



HAL
open science

Effect of different doses of the catecholamine epinephrine on antioxidant responses of larvae of the flesh fly *Sarcophaga dux*

Eman A. Abdelfattah, D Renault

► **To cite this version:**

Eman A. Abdelfattah, D Renault. Effect of different doses of the catecholamine epinephrine on antioxidant responses of larvae of the flesh fly *Sarcophaga dux*. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 2022, 29 (7), pp.10408-10415. 10.1007/s11356-021-16325-9 . hal-03366437

HAL Id: hal-03366437

<https://hal.science/hal-03366437>

Submitted on 26 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

[Click here to view linked References](#)

Effect of different doses of the catecholamine epinephrine on antioxidant responses of	1
larvae of the flesh fly <i>Sarcophaga dux</i>	2
	3
	4
	5
Eman A. ABDELFATTAH ^a , David RENAULT ^{b,c}	6
	7
	8
^a Entomology Department; Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Egypt	9
^b University of Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO [(Ecosystèmes, biodiversité, évolution)] - UMR 6553, F 35000	10
Rennes, France	11
^c Institut Universitaire de France, 1 rue Descartes, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France	12
	13
	14
	15
<u>Corresponding authors :</u>	16
	17
David RENAULT	18
University of Rennes, UMR CNRS 6553 ECOBIO,	19
263 Avenue du Gal Leclerc	20
CS 74205	21
35042 Rennes Cedex	22
France	23
Email : david.renault@univ-rennes1.fr	24
Phone : +33 2 23 23 66 27	25
	26
Eman A. ABDELFATTAH	27
Entomology Department	28
Faculty of Science, Cairo University	29
11221 Al Orman, Giza	30
Egypt	31
Email: eman.alaaeldein@gmail.com	32
Phone: +201203945934	33
	34
	35
	36

DECLARATIONS	37
Ethical approval and consent to participate	38
	39
	40
This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals that require ethical approval.	41
	42
	43
Consent for publication	44
Not applicable.	45
	46
Availability of data and materials	47
The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.	48
	49
	50
Competing interests	51
The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.	52
	53
Funding	54
This study was funded by the Entomology Department(Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Egypt).	55
	56
Author's contributions	57
Eman A. Abdelfattah: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, resources, writing (original draft preparation), visualization, and funding acquisition	58
	59
	60
David Renault: conceptualization, supervision, writing (original draft preparation), writing (review and editing) and visualization.	61
	62
	63
	64
Acknowledgments	65
This study was done at the Entomology Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Egypt.	66
	67
	68

Abstract 69
The production and use of pharmaceutical products have increased over the past decades, and 70
several are considered potential or proved hazardous wastes. When contaminating the 71
environments, they can severely impact biodiversity. The catecholamine epinephrine (adrenaline) is 72
no exception. Epinephrine can be administered as growth promoter in cattle, and is used for 73
anaphylaxis treatment in human. While a range of studies have examined the effects of this 74
catecholamine on vertebrates tissues, and evidenced that it can disrupt the oxidative stress status, 75
the effects epinephrine could have on insects have remained poorly considered. Here, we examined 76
the physiological effects of different concentrations (0, 25, 50 and 100 µg/mL) of epinephrine on 77
larvae of the flesh fly *Sarcophaga dux*. Following experimental treatments, levels of H₂O₂, GSH, CAT, 78
GPx, and CEH were measured from the fat body, cuticle, gut, and hemolymph of 3rd instars. 79
Significant differences are reported for these physiological endpoints among the considered body 80
compartments, and epinephrine concentrations. Epinephrine treatments did not increase reactive 81
oxygen species production (H₂O₂ amounts), except for gut tissues. Increased levels of GSH suggest 82
that epinephrine may have enhanced glucose metabolism and flux towards the pentose phosphate 83
pathway, while reducing glutamine oxidation. CAT activity was slightly increased when the 84
concentration of epinephrine was higher. The decreased GPx activity in the fat body was consistent 85
with GSH variations. In sum, the injection of epinephrine seemed to elicit the antioxidant response 86
in *S. dux* larvae, in turn attenuating ROS production. 87

Keywords 91

Adrenaline, Oxidative stress, Hydrogen peroxide, Glutathione, Catalase, Glutathione peroxidase, 92
Sarcophagidae 93

Highlights 97

- The effects of different doses of epinephrine on flesh fly larvae were examined 100
- Levels of H₂O₂, GSH, CAT, GPx, and CEH were measured from different body parts 101
- Increased levels of GSH were found in epinephrine-treated larvae 102
- CAT activity was slightly increased when the concentration of epinephrine was higher 103
- Epinephrine can reduce the production of reactive oxygen species 104

1. Introduction

Environmental pollution is becoming a significant issue worldwide, severely impacting biodiversity in general (Edwards 2002; de Souza Machado et al. 2018; Miller et al. 2018). In particular, pharmaceutical residues can reach high amounts (Grenni et al. 2018) in soils fertilized with wastewater effluents, sewage sludge, or waste manure from livestock (Kaczala & Blum 2016). When released into the environment, these drugs can be biomagnified along with the food web (Kelly et al. 2007; Fremlin et al. 2020), increasing the threats to top predators and higher vertebrates (Shore et al. 2014), as well as for those species thriving on decaying cadavers of top predators. Among the different drugs administered to humans and cattle, antibiotics, antidepressants, or growth promoting factors are frequently reported from natural environments (Küster and Adler 2014). These pollutants, which can persist over the long term, can greatly threaten biodiversity (Santos et al. 2010; Richmond et al. 2016). For instance, in a recent study, Renault et al. (2018) experimentally evidenced the deleterious effects of antibiotics on the development and survival of the blow fly *Chrysomya albiceps*. In mosquitoes, chronic exposure of the parental generation to the anti-inflammatory ibuprofen had consistent effects on the progeny by accelerating their development and increasing their capacity to handle starvation periods (Prud'homme et al. 2018).

Nowadays, many pharmaceuticals are listed as potential or proven hazardous wastes by the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), including the catecholamine epinephrine (adrenaline). This compound is used to treat anaphylaxis episodes in human, *i.e.* limiting the severity of venom, food or medication-induced allergies. This compound, also used as growth promoter in cattle, can be further found in the environment, with unknown consequences for wildlife. Epinephrine can also be detected in high amounts in plants cultivated in industrial areas, bio-indicating plants' exposure to environmental stress (Azzazy 2020). As a result, environmental risks, owing to the management of the produced wastes, the potential transfer of part of the drug into the environment *via* urine, or for herbivorous and saprophagous organisms developing on rich epinephrine-containing organisms, can be significant.

In mammals, epinephrine (Adrenaline: ((R)-4-(1-hydroxy-2-(methylamino)ethyl)-benzene-1,2-diol) is a naturally produced catecholamine having a neurotransmitter function. Epinephrine is excreted by the sympathetic nervous system and the adrenal medulla, and it is known to help in the flight or fight process of vertebrate organisms by regulating blood pressure, blood sugar levels and cardiac activity (Cahova et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2013). When administered as a growth promoter or for anaphylaxis treatment, epinephrine can reach high amounts that can have cascading physiological consequences for the organism. Specifically, large concentrations of epinephrine have been reported to provoke deleterious effects on several biological functions and macromolecules, in particular proteins, lipids and DNA, increasing the production of protein carbonyls, lipid peroxides, and DNA strand breaks due to its auto-oxidation and pro-oxidant activity (Tichy 2011; Gavrilović et al. 2012; Radaković et al. 2018). While epinephrine can contribute to reduce oxidative stress (Álvarez-Diduk and Galano 2015), excessive contents of this catecholamine enhance the production of reactive oxygen species, as demonstrated in mammals (Djelić et al. 2015; Radaković et al. 2018; Pal et al. 2020). Thus, according to the physiological situation, epinephrine can be protector or molecular target of free radicals (Álvarez-Diduk and Galano 2015).

Oxidative stress is the consequence of imbalanced amounts in the levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide, peroxide, hydroxyl radical or singlet oxygen, and non-enzymatic and enzymatic ROS-scavenging compounds in the organism. To reduce the negative effects of ROS (which includes DNA strand breaks, production of protein carbonyls and lipid peroxides), enzymatically-based mechanisms, involving catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), and carboxyl esterase hydroxylase (CEH), as well as non-enzymatically based mechanisms, such as reduced glutathione (GSH), are acting in the organisms as the first defense line. Antioxidant responses have been characterized from several arthropods exposed to a diversity of stressful abiotic factors (Lalouette et al. 2011; Lawniczak et al. 2013; Renault et al. 2016; Abdelfattah et al. 2017; Yousef et al. 2017; Abdelfattah et al. 2021).

While they can be subjected to epinephrine exposure in their environments, the effects of this catecholamine have poorly examined in arthropods. In crustaceans (crabs), it has been reported that epinephrine injections increased the activity of the myogenic heart (Yamagishi 2003) and the amounts of glucose in the hemolymph, while decreasing glycogen levels in the hepatopancreas of the individuals (Model et al. 2019). In insects, Naidu (1955) reported an acceleration of the heartbeat in epinephrine-injected cockroaches. Chowanski et al. (2017) experimentally demonstrated that epinephrine stimulates the contraction of muscles of hindgut and oviduct in the beetle *Tenebrio molitor*. To further improve our understanding of the effects of this catecholamine on insects, we assessed the physiological effects of different concentrations of epinephrine on the flesh fly *Sarcophaga dux*. This insect, of prominent importance for the decomposition of cadavers (Pape 1996), is also frequently used in ecotoxicological researches aiming at monitoring the impacts of various drug concentrations on the development and physiology of insects. While epinephrine may protect the organism against the deleterious effect of oxidative stress at physiological doses, by acting as antioxidant and free radical scavengers (Jhang et al. 2014), high doses may become at risk for living organisms (Zarow et al. 2003; Weinschenker et al. 2008). Here, we evaluated if different epinephrine concentrations (injections of 0, 25, 50 or 100 µg/mL) could affect the levels of H₂O₂, GSH, CAT, GPx, and CEH from different body compartments (fat body, cuticle, gut, and hemolymph) of 3rd instar larvae of the flesh fly *Sarcophaga dux* (Supplementary Information 1).

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Insects rearing and epinephrine treatments

For the purpose of the study, freshly emerged 3rd instars of the flesh fly *Sarcophaga dux* were obtained from the Entomology Department of the Faculty of Science of Cairo University (Cairo, Egypt). The insects were reared in plastic squared boxes of 30x30x15 cm (LxLxh) at 37 °C (RH, 12:12h Light: Dark), and supplied with beef meat and water *ad libitum*. In this species, the duration of the 3rd instar ranges from 44 to 151h at 38 °C (Chakraborty et al. 2014). Before the larvae were used for the experiments, they were starved for 24h in order to standardize the feeding status among individuals.

Different concentrations of the catecholamine epinephrine, *i.e.* 0, 25, 50 and 100 µg/mL, were freshly prepared (obtained from Sigma Aldrich, CAS number 51-43-4). The desired concentrations were obtained by dissolving epinephrine in deionized water. Then, a volume of 3 µL of one of the

prepared epinephrine solutions was injected to each larva. The larvae that received deionized water only (3 μ L) served as controls. All injections were made with a microsyringe between the third and fourth segment of the abdomen. Twenty minutes post-injection, the cuticle of the larvae was punctured with a fine sterile dissecting needle, and hemolymph of the larvae was collected with a glass capillary tube under a binocular. Immediately after collection, hemolymph was transferred to sterile and chilled microtubes which were kept at -20 °C until subsequent analyses.

For the dissection of gut, cuticle, and fat body, the larvae were transferred to a Petri dish containing 1X phosphate buffered saline solution and kept on cold ice. The delay between injection and dissection was fixed to ensure that epinephrine could diffuse within the body and has potential physiological effects. The dissected parts of the body and hemolymph, hereafter referred to as body samples, were stored at - 20 °C until use. For each experimental group, pools of 20 individuals of the 3rd instar of *S. dux* were prepared.

2.2. Measurements of the amounts of hydrogen peroxide

For each sample, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) concentration was measured spectrophotometrically following the method described by [Junglee et al. \(2014\)](#). Briefly, 150 mg of each body sample (or 150 μ L for hemolymph) was homogenized at 4 °C for 10 min into 1 mL of a solution containing 0.25 mL Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) (0.1% (v:v)), 0.5 mL KI (1 M) and 0.25 mL potassium phosphate buffer (10 mM, pH 7.0). This procedure combined the extraction of H₂O₂ and the colorimetric reaction; samples were quickly covered with aluminum foil to limit their alteration by light. The blank was obtained by replacing KI by 0.5 mL of H₂O. The homogenates were then centrifuged at 12,000 \times g for 15 min at 4 °C. For each sample, an aliquot of 200 μ L of the supernatant was transferred to the well of an UV-microplate, and incubated at 20 °C for 20 min before the absorbance was measured at 240 nm. A calibration curve, obtained with H₂O₂ standard solutions prepared in 0.1% TCA, was used for quantification. H₂O₂ concentration was expressed as ppm. All samples and blanks were analyzed in triplicates.

2.3. Measurements of the amounts of reduced glutathione

The concentration of glutathione (GSH), which has a non-enzymatic antioxidant activity, was conducted by colorimetric methods according to the procedure of [Allen et al. \(1984\)](#). Briefly, 200 mg of each body sample (200 μ L for hemolymph) was homogenized with 2 mL of 5% (w/v) TCA in 1 mM EDTA before being centrifuged at 10,000 \times g for 20 min at 4 °C. A volume of 1 mL of the reaction mixture, which contained 150 μ L of extract, 800 μ L of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 8.0), and 50 μ L (0.01% in 0.1M phosphate buffer, pH 8.0) of 5, 5'-Diothio bis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), was mixed thoroughly, and then incubated at 25 °C for 20 minutes. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured at 412 nm. The GSH content was determined from a GSH standard curve, and the GSH concentration was expressed in μ g GSH/ mg protein.

2.4. Measurements of the catalytic activities of catalase, glutathione peroxidase and carboxyl esterase hydrolase

For each replicate, 200 mg of body sample (200 μ L for hemolymph) was grinded into 2 mL of PBS (pH= 7.0; 10mM). The samples were centrifuged at 10,000 \times g for 20 min at 4 °C, and supernatants were collected for the measurements of the catalytic activity of the enzymes. The enzymatic activity of catalase (CAT) was determined by colorimetric methods, as formerly described by [Aebi \(1984\)](#).

The reaction mixture contained 0.9 mL of a potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0), 60 μ L of the supernatant, and 40 μ L of freshly prepared H₂O₂ (10 mM). The change in absorbance was measured at 240 nm over 1 min. The CAT activity was further expressed as OD/mg protein/min.

Glutathione peroxidase (GPx) antioxidant activity was measured according to the method described by Mazhoudi et al. (1997), with minor modifications. The reaction mixture contained 0.5 mL of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.2 mL of 1% (m/v) guaiacol, 0.2 mL OF 0.4% (v/v) H₂O₂, and 0.1 mL of the supernatant. Absorbance was measured at 470 nm over 3 min, and GPx activity was expressed as OD/mg protein/min.

The activity of carboxyl esterase hydrolase (CEH) was determined following the method described by Gomori (1953) and modified by Bunyan et al. (1968). The reaction mixture contained 18 mg of fast blue B salt dissolved in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 600 μ L of 0.113 M α -naphthyl acetate which was dissolved in 50 % acetone. A volume of 240 μ L of this solution was mixed with 10 μ L of each body sample extract, and the absorbance was measured at 450 nm over 3 min. CEH activity was expressed as OD/mg protein/min.

2.5. Total protein amount

Total protein concentration was quantified for each body sample with Bradford's method (Bradford 1976), using Coomassie Brilliant Blue (COBB). A volume of 0.9 mL of the dye reagent (10 mg COBB mixed with 5 mL methanol and 10 mL of 85% O-phosphoric acid, completed to 100 mL with distilled water) was mixed with 0.1 mL of body sample, then left for 2 min before measuring the optical density of the samples at 595 nm. The blank consisted in distilled water instead of the protein sample. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) fraction V (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in 0.15 M NaCl was used as drawing the calibration curve.

2.6. Statistical analysis

As a first step, a Generalized Estimating Equation (GEE) was computed to examine if the concentrations of epinephrine (0, 25, 50 or 100 μ g/mL), the type of body sample (fat body, gut, cuticle, hemolymph), and the interaction of these terms affected the physiological endpoints we measured (H₂O₂, GSH, CAT, GPx, and CEH). These models were preferred over generalized linear models, as it is very likely that the variations of H₂O₂, GSH, CAT, GPx, and CEH measured from the body samples of the larvae were not independent. Wald Chi-square tests were run to assess the statistical validity of the models. In a second step, the effect of the different concentrations of epinephrine on the levels of H₂O₂, GSH, CAT, GPx, and CEH in the hemolymph, gut, cuticle and fat body of 3rd instars of *S. dux* was assessed by performing a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey's *post-hoc* tests when necessary. The comparison of the amounts of H₂O₂, GSH, CAT, GPx, and CEH among the different body samples was also conducted by performing a one-way ANOVA. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 17.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.).

3. Results and Discussion

The interaction analysis obtained from the computation of Generalized Estimating Equation (GEE) revealed that the different concentrations of epinephrine (0, 25, 50, 100 µg/mL), the nature of the body samples (fat body, cuticle, gut, hemolymph), and the interaction of these terms, significantly influenced the physiological endpoints we measured (H₂O₂, GSH, CAT, GPx & CEH) in 3rd instars of the flesh fly *S. dux* (**Table 1, Supplementary Information 2 & 3**).

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), including hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), are formed in the cells during metabolic processes. Several exogenous and endogenous factors contribute to increase ROS production, with, for instance, studies having reported that the catecholamine epinephrine increases the production of H₂O₂ in animals (Maral et al. 1977; Díaz-Cruz et al. 2007). Yet, our results revealed that epinephrine treatment did not affect the production of H₂O₂ in the assayed body tissues of the fly *S. dux* larvae, and even decreased its amounts, except in the gut tissues of the individuals injected with epinephrine at 50 µg/mL (**Figure 1a, Supplementary Information 3**). Importantly, the highest epinephrine concentration (100 µg/mL) resulted in a drastic reduction of H₂O₂ in the cuticle and hemolymph of the larvae of *S. dux*, with levels being about 10 times lower as compared with their control relative (SS=2268.2, 1005.1; df=3, 3; MS= 756, 335, *p*<0.001 for cuticle, and F=1124.9, 192, *p*<0.001 for hemolymph) (**Figure 1a**). Levels of H₂O₂ were also significantly lower in the cuticle, gut, and hemolymph of larvae injected with 3 µL of epinephrine at 100 µg/mL as compared with their relatives that received half of this dose. This result suggests the existence of a concentration threshold before any significant epinephrine effect could be observed on H₂O₂ production.

There is little information on the effects of epinephrine on insects' physiology in the literature. Yet, the studies conducted on crustaceans (Yamagishi 2003; Model et al. 2019) and rats (Garcia et al. 1999; Wu et al. 2013) showed that injections of epinephrine had similar effects on the cardiac activity and metabolism of these organisms. For instance, in the burrowing crab *Neohelice granulata*, epinephrine increased the amounts of glucose circulating in the body fluids, while reducing glycogen stores in the fat body (Model et al. 2019). In rats, it has been reported that epinephrine concentrations of 50µM increased the metabolism of glucose (Garcia et al. 1999). Thus, the similarities in the reported effects of epinephrine suggest that the knowledge gathered from other animal taxa can be considered for interpreting our results.

In rats, epinephrine deviated the glucose flux from the pentose phosphate pathway, with cascading consequences on the ability of the organisms to handle oxidative stress (Garcia et al. 1999). Impaired redeployment of glucose towards the pentose phosphate pathway might be problematic in animals facing oxidative stress. Indeed, this mechanism represents a prominent way for the regeneration of NADPH, allowing the glutathione system to work properly (Christodoulou et al. 2018). While glucose deviation towards the pentose phosphate pathway may have been impaired in the gut of *S. dux* larvae injected with epinephrine at 50 µg/mL, as depicted by the high increase in H₂O₂ production, we did not find signs in favor of this hypothesis for most experimental treatments and body samples (**Figure 1a**).

Garcia et al. (1999) reported that glutamine oxidation was reduced in epinephrine-treated cells of rats, suggesting that higher amounts of this amino acid might have been made available for the synthesis of glutathione. A similar pattern may have occurred in the larvae of the flesh flies, at least

in the hemolymph, as supported by the significant increase in the levels of GSH in larvae that received the 100 µg/mL epinephrine concentration, in parallel to showing reduced amounts of H₂O₂ (**Figures 1a and b, Supplementary Information 3**). In the gut, GSH contents were progressively decreased when the concentration of epinephrine was increased (**Figure 1b**). This pattern may additionally be interpreted in light of the results obtained by Sugiyama and Natori (1994) on *Sarcophaga peregrina* flies. These authors demonstrated that GSH levels were decreased in flies whose tegument was injured. The changing GSH amounts we are reporting for the body samples may have resulted from the combined effects of altered glutamine oxidation and injured tegument of the pricked flies. In future studies, it would be interesting to have measures of GSH amounts from non-pricked flies to obtain information regarding the basal GSH levels of intact individuals. Finally, GSH levels were significantly decreased in the gut of flies treated with epinephrine (**Figure 1b**), and this may have permitted the expression of insect defense proteins (Sugiyama and Natori 1994), as formerly evidenced in *Drosophila* flies (Engstrom et al. 1993).

The little, yet significant, increase in the activity of catalase (CAT) observed in body samples of the larvae treated with 50 or 100 µg/mL epinephrine as compared with controls (**Figure 1c, Supplementary Information 3**), except in the cuticle, is consistent with the measured changes in H₂O₂ levels. CAT is well known for its breakdown capacities of H₂O₂ in a range of animals (Storey 1996). With CAT, GPx represents another important antioxidant enzyme of the defense system against ROS, in particular for controlling both intra- and extracellular amounts of H₂O₂ (Giorgio et al. 2007). Often, it has been suggested that CAT catalyzes the hydrolysis of H₂O₂ when it is at high concentration, while GPx catalyzes this reaction at low H₂O₂ concentration inside cells (Fridovich 1995). The little increase activity of CAT may suggest that the enzyme has played a role in controlling the production of ROS in treated larvae, with epinephrine treatments being known to protect from oxidative stress or to induce it, depending on the body sample and physiological situation (Álvarez-Diduk and Galano 2015).

In our study, the activity of GPx, an enzyme which needs GSH as the electron donor and allows converting H₂O₂ to H₂O, was similar in the fat body of control *S. dux* flies as in those treated with epinephrine at 25 µg/mL (**Figure 1d, Supplementary Information 3**). GPx activity then decreased in this tissue when the epinephrine concentrations were higher, paralleling the variation pattern reported for GSH. It is suggested that the reduction in the levels of GSH may inhibit GPx activity (Kazek et al. 2020), and that H₂O₂ might have been preferentially scavenged by CAT in this tissue. Former studies evidenced the importance of CAT in the control of H₂O₂ when low glutathione levels limit GPx activity in insects (Paes et al. 2001). Conversely, the activity of GPx enzyme was slightly increased in the hemolymph at the two higher epinephrine treatments (**Figure 1d**), and very high amounts of GSH were measured at the highest epinephrine treatment. These findings suggest that GSH is certainly not the only factor regulating GPx activity, as observed at epinephrine concentrations of 50 µg/mL in hemolymph; at this epinephrine concentration, GPx activity may have remained high to counteract the high amounts of H₂O₂.

The activity of CEH was decreased gradually along with increased concentrations of epinephrine (25 - 100 µg/mL) in all body samples of the 3rd instars of the flesh fly *S. dux* (**Figure 1e**). The lowest values were measured from larvae injected with epinephrine concentrations of 100 µg/mL. CEH are

essential enzymes processing the biotransformation of drugs, endogenous compounds, or pollutants 369
(Di 2018). By hydrolyzing endogenous esters, this enzyme has important role in lipid metabolism. In 370
rats, it has been demonstrated that epinephrine may have different effects on tissue metabolism, by 371
either stimulating glycogen breakdown in parallel to reducing triacylglycerol levels, or by stimulating 372
lipid hydrolysis over glycogen breakdown (Peters et al. 1998). While epinephrine treatment was 373
found to increase lipid metabolism in the fat body of cockroaches (Bhakthan and Gilbert 1968), our 374
results suggest that this might not have happened in our flies. Here, epinephrine treatment may 375
have rather stimulated glycogen breakdown in flesh flies. 376

In this study, we have examined the effects of the catecholamine epinephrine (**Supplementary** 378
Information 1), a compound used as a growth promoter for cattle, and for anaphylaxis treatments 379
in human. When used as a drug, epinephrine can be further transferred to the environment, with 380
potential consequences for wildlife. We demonstrated that larvae of the fly *S. dux* that received the 381
highest epinephrine concentration were characterized by highly reduced amounts of H₂O₂, 382
suggesting that epinephrine injections may have had a protective effect against ROS production, as 383
formerly reported from other animal taxa. The changing patterns we measured for CEH, combined 384
with former evidence reporting increased glucose metabolism in epinephrine-treated tissues, 385
suggested that higher amounts of glucose were diverted towards the pentose phosphate pathway. 386
Increased glucose metabolism and redeployment over the pentose phosphate pathway would have 387
helped containing ROS production by enhancing regeneration of NADPH . This hypothesis will have 388
to be explored in future studies. 389

390
391
392

Table 1. Statistical results of the effects of different concentrations of epinephrine (0, 25, 50 or 100 µg/mL), the nature of the body samples (fat body, cuticle, gut, hemolymph), and interaction of these terms, on the measured physiological endpoints, i.e. the categorical variables, in 3rd instars of the flesh fly *Sarcophaga dux*.

Categorical variables	Chi-square (χ^2)	df	QIC	p value
Intercept				
H ₂ O ₂	4089.0	1	104	<0.0001
GSH	2018.7	1	38	<0.0001
CAT	3618.3	1	1844	<0.0001
GPx	4468.6	1	36	<0.0001
CEH	1255.0	1	39	<0.0001
Effect of epinephrine concentration				
H ₂ O ₂	3330.3	3	104	<0.0001
GSH	401.1	3	38	<0.0001
CAT	143.4	3	1844	<0.0001
GPx	1627.4	3	36	<0.0001
CEH	301.5	3	39	<0.0001
Effect of body samples				
H ₂ O ₂	2910.4	3	104	<0.0001
GSH	469.7	3	38	<0.0001
CAT	164.9	3	1844	<0.0001
GPx	702.6	3	36	<0.0001
CEH	654.3	3	39	<0.0001
Effect of the interaction epinephrine concentration – body samples				
H ₂ O ₂	4064.9	9	104	<0.0001
GSH	3742.0	9	38	<0.0001
CAT	285.9	9	1844	<0.0001
GPx	4889.3	9	36	<0.0001
CEH	434.6	9	39	<0.0001

Generalized Estimating Equation (GEE) were performed to test the effects of different concentrations of epinephrine.

The categorical variables are: reactive oxygen species concentration H₂O₂, non-enzymatic antioxidants concentration GSH, and antioxidant enzymes activity CAT, GPx and CEH.

QIC is the quasi-likelihood under the independence model criterion and is a derivation of Akaike's information criterion for GEE

Figure legends

Figure 1. Effects of epinephrine on the amount (mean \pm SE) of **(a)** hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), **(b)** glutathione reduced (GSH), and catalytic activity of **(c)** catalase (CAT), **(d)** glutathione oxidase (GPx) and **(e)** carboxyl esterase hydrolase (CEH), measured in tissue homogenates (fat body, cuticle and gut) and hemolymph of 3rd instars of the flesh fly *Sarcophaga dux*. Larvae were injected with different concentrations of epinephrine: 0, 25, 50 or 100 μ g/mL. For each tissue homogenate, bars with different letters report significant differences among the experimental treatments (epinephrine concentration of 0, 25, 50 or 100 μ g/mL) (ANOVA, Tukey's-*b* test, $p < 0.05$).

406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420

References	421
Abdelfattah EA, Augustyniak M, Yousef HA (2017) Biomonitoring of genotoxicity of industrial fertilizer pollutants in <i>Aiolopus thalassinus</i> (Orthoptera: Acrididae) using alkaline comet assay. <i>Chemosphere</i> 182:762-770	422 423 424
Abdelfattah EA, Augustyniak M, Yousef HA (2021) Stage-, sex-and tissue-related changes in H ₂ O ₂ , glutathione concentration, and glutathione-dependent enzymes activity in <i>Aiolopus thalassinus</i> (Orthoptera: Acrididae) from heavy metal polluted areas. <i>Ecotoxicology</i> 30: 478-491	425 426 427
Aebi H (1984) Catalase in vitro. <i>Method Enzymol</i> 105:121-126	428
Allen RG, Farmer KJ, Newton RK, Sohal RS (1984) Effects of paraquat administration on longevity, oxygen consumption, lipid peroxidation, superoxide dismutase, catalase, glutathione reductase, inorganic peroxides and glutathione in the adult housefly. <i>Comp Biochem Physiol C</i> 78:283-288	429 430 431
Álvarez-Diduk R, Galano A (2015) Adrenaline and noradrenaline: protectors against oxidative stress or molecular targets? <i>J Phys Chem B</i> 119:3479-3491	432 433
Azzazy MF (2020) Plant bioindicators of pollution in Sadat City, Western Nile Delta, Egypt. <i>Plos one</i> 15:e0226315.	434 435
Bhakthan NMG, Gilbert LI (1968) Effects of some vertebrate hormones on lipid mobilization in the insect fat body. <i>Gen Comp Endocrinol</i> 1:186-197	436 437
Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. <i>Anal Biochem</i> 72:248-254	438 439
Bunyan PJ, Jennings DM, Taylor A (1968) Organophosphorus poisoning. Properties of avian esterases. <i>J Agri Food Chem</i> 16:326-331	440 441
Cahova M, Palenickova E, Papackova Z, Dankova H, Skop V, Kazdova L (2012) Epinephrine-dependent control of glucose metabolism in white adipose tissue: the role of α - and β -adrenergic signalling. <i>Exp Biol Med</i> 237:211-218	442 443 444
Chakraborty A, Ansar W, Ghosh S, Banerjee D (2014) The first report of the life cycle of <i>Sarcophaga</i> (L) <i>dux</i> on dead reptilian carcass: Their application as forensic indicators. <i>Sch Acad J Biosci</i> 2:731-739	445 446 447
Chowanski S, Spochacz M, Szymczak M, Rosinski G (2017) Effect of biogenic amines on the contractile activity of visceral muscles in the beetle <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> . <i>Bull Insectol</i> 70:209-220	448 449
Christodoulou D, Link H, Fuhrer T, Kochanowski K, Gerosa L, Sauer U (2018) Reserve flux capacity in the pentose phosphate pathway enables <i>Escherichia coli</i> 's rapid response to oxidative stress. <i>Cell Syst</i> 6:569-578	450 451 452
de Souza Machado AA, Kloas W, Zarfl C, Hempel S, Rillig MC (2018) Microplastics as an emerging threat to terrestrial ecosystems. <i>Glob Change Biol</i> 24:1405-1416	453 454
Di L (2018) The impact of carboxylesterases in drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics. <i>Curr Drug Metab</i> 20:91-102	455 456
Díaz-Cruz A, Guinzberg R, Guerra R, Vilchis M, Carrasco D, García-Vázquez FJ, Piña E (2007) Adrenaline stimulates H ₂ O ₂ generation in liver via NADPH oxidase. <i>Free Radic Res</i> 41:663-672	457 458
Djelić N, Radaković M, Spremo-Potporević B, Zivković L, Bajić V, Stevanović J, Stanimirović Z (2015) Evaluation of cytogenetic and DNA damage in human lymphocytes treated with adrenaline in vitro. <i>Toxicol In Vitro</i> 29:27-33	459 460 461
Edwards CA (2002) Assessing the effects of environmental pollutants on soil organisms, communities, processes and ecosystems. <i>Eur J Soil Biol</i> 38:225-231	462 463

Engstrom Y, Kadalayil L, Sun S-C, Samakovlis C, Hultmark D, Faye I (1993) Kappa B-like motifs regulate the induction of immune genes in <i>Drosophila</i> . <i>J Mol Biol</i> 232:327-333	464 465
Fremelin KM, Elliott JE, Green DJ, Drouillard KG, Harner T, Eng A, Gobas FA (2020) Trophic magnification of legacy persistent organic pollutants in an urban terrestrial food web. <i>Sci Total Environ</i> 714:136746	466 467 468
Fridovich I (1995) Superoxide radical and superoxide dismutases. <i>Annu Rev Biochem</i> 64:97-112	469
Garcia C, Pithon-Curi TC, De Lourdes Firmano M, Pires De Melo M, Newsholme P, Curi R (1999) Effects of adrenaline on glucose and glutamine metabolism and superoxide production by rat neutrophils. <i>Clin Sci</i> 96:549-555	470 471 472
Gavrilović L, Stojiljković V, Kasapović J, Pejić S, Todorović A, Pajović SB, Dronjak S (2012) Chronic physical stress changes gene expression of catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes in the adrenal medulla of adult rats. <i>Acta Vet Hung</i> 62:151-169	473 474 475
Giorgio M, Trinei M, Migliaccio E, Pelicci PG (2007) Hydrogen peroxide: a metabolic by-product or a common mediator of ageing signals? <i>Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol</i> 8:722-728	476 477
Gomori G (1953) Human esterases. <i>J Lab Clin Med</i> 42:445-453	478
Grenni P, Ancona V, Caracciolo AB (2018) Ecological effects of antibiotics on natural ecosystems: A review. <i>Microchem J</i> 136:25-39	479 480
Jhang KA, Lee EO, Kim HS, Chong YH (2014) Norepinephrine provides short-term neuroprotection against A β 1–42 by reducing oxidative stress independent of Nrf2 activation. <i>Neurobiol Aging</i> 35:2465-2473	481 482 483
Junglee S, Urban L, Sallanon H, Lopez-Lauri F (2014) Optimized assay for hydrogen peroxide determination in plant tissue using potassium iodide. <i>Am J Analyt Chem</i> 5:730	484 485
Kaczala F, Blum SE (2016) The occurrence of veterinary pharmaceuticals in the environment: A review. <i>Curr Anal Chem</i> 12:169-182	486 487
Kazek M, Kaczmarek A, Wrońska AK, Boguś MI (2020) <i>Conidiobolus coronatus</i> induces oxidative stress and autophagy response in <i>Galleria mellonella</i> larvae. <i>Plos one</i> 15:e0228407	488 489
Kelly BC, Ikonomou MG, Blair JD, Morin AE, Gobas FA (2007) Food web–specific biomagnification of persistent organic pollutants. <i>Science</i> 317:236-239	490 491
Küster A, Adler N (2014) Pharmaceuticals in the environment: scientific evidence of risks and its regulation. <i>Phil Trans R Soc B</i> 369:20130587.	492 493
Lalouette L, Williams CM, Hervant F, Sinclair BJ, Renault D (2011) Metabolic rate and oxidative stress in insects exposed to low temperature thermal fluctuations. <i>Comp Biochem Physiol A</i> 158:229-234	494 495 496
Lawniczak M, Romestaing C, Roussel D, Maazouzi C, Renault D, Hervant F (2013) Preventive antioxidant responses to extreme oxygen level fluctuation in a subterranean crustacean. <i>Comp Biochem Physiol A</i> 165:299-303	497 498 499
Maral J, Puget K, Michelson AM (1977) Comparative study of superoxide dismutase, catalase and glutathione peroxidase levels in erythrocytes of different animals. <i>Biochem Biophys Res Commun</i> 77:1525-1535	500 501 502
Mazhoudi S, Chaoui A, Ghorbal MH, El Ferjani E (1997) Response of antioxidant enzymes to excess copper in tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> , Mill.). <i>Plant Sci</i> 127:129-137	503 504
Miller TH, Bury NR, Owen SF, MacRae JI, Barron LP (2018) A review of the pharmaceutical exposome in aquatic fauna. <i>Environ Pollu</i> 239:129-146	505 506

Naidu MB (1955) Physiological action of drugs and insecticides on insects. Bull Entomol Res 46:205-220	507 508
Paes MC, Oliveira MB, Oliveira PL (2001) Hydrogen peroxide detoxification in the midgut of the blood-sucking insect, <i>Rhodnius prolixus</i> . Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 48:63-71.	509 510
Pal PK, Sarkar S, Mishra S, Chattopadhyay S, Chattopadhyay A, Bandyopadhyay D (2020) Amelioration of adrenaline induced oxidative gastrointestinal damages in rat by melatonin through SIRT1-NFκB and PGC1α-AMPKα cascades. Melatonin Research 3: 482-502	511 512 513
Pape T (1996) Catalogue of the Sarcophagidae of the world (Insecta: Diptera). Florida, Gainesville: Associated Publishers. Memoirs on Entomology 8:1-558	514 515
Peters SJ, Dyck DJ, Bonen A, Spriet LL (1998) Effects of epinephrine on lipid metabolism in resting skeletal muscle. Am J Physiol 275:E300-E309	516 517
Prud'Homme SM, Renault D, David JP, Reynaud S (2018) Multiscale approach to deciphering the molecular mechanisms involved in the direct and intergenerational effect of ibuprofen on mosquito <i>Aedes aegypti</i> . Environ Sci Technol 52:7937-7950	518 519 520
Radaković M, Borozan S, Djelić N, Ivanović S, Miladinović ĐĆ, Ristanić M, ... and Stanimirović Z (2018) Nitroso-oxidative stress, acute phase response, and cytogenetic damage in wistar rats treated with adrenaline. Oxid Med Cell Longev 21:1805354	521 522 523
Renault D, Dorrah MA, Mohamed AA, Abdelfattah EA, Bassal TT (2016) Assessment of oxidative stress and activities of antioxidant enzymes depict the negative systemic effect of iron-containing fertilizers and plant phenolic compounds in the desert locust. Environ Sci Pollut Res 23:21989-22000	524 525 526 527
Renault D, Yousef H, Mohamed AA (2018) The multilevel antibiotic-induced perturbations to biological systems: Early-life exposure induces long-lasting damages to muscle structure and mitochondrial metabolism in flies. Environ Pollut 241:821-833	528 529 530
Richmond EK, Rosi-Marshall EJ, Lee SS, Thompson RM, Grace MR 2016. Antidepressants in stream ecosystems: influence of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) on algal production and insect emergence (2016). Freshwater Science 35:845-855	531 532 533
Santos LHMLM, Araújo AN, Fachini A, Pena A, Delerue-Matos C, Montenegro MCBSM (2010) Ecotoxicological aspects related to the presence of pharmaceuticals in the aquatic environment. Journal of Hazardous Materials 175:45-95	534 535 536
Shore RF, Taggart MA, Smits J, Mateo R, Richards NL, Fryday S (2014) Detection and drivers of exposure and effects of pharmaceuticals in higher vertebrates. Phil Trans R Soc B 369:20130570	537 538
Storey KB (1996) Oxidative stress: animal adaptations in nature. Braz J Med Biol Res 29:1715-1733	539
Sugiyama H, Natori S (1994) Induction of glutathione metabolism in the fat body of <i>Sarcophaga peregrina</i> by integumental injury. J Biochem 116:1171-1175	540 541
Tichy ED (2011) Mechanisms maintaining genomic integrity in embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells. Exp Biol Med 236:987-996	542 543
Weinshenker D (2008) Functional consequences of locus coeruleus degeneration in Alzheimer's disease. Curr Alzheimer Res 5:342-345	544 545
Wu J, Ji MH, Wang ZY, Zhu W, Yang JJ, Peng YG (2013) Blood pressure reduction induced by low dose of epinephrine via different routes in rats. J Cardiovasc Pharm 62:325-328	546 547
Yamagishi H (2003) Aminergic modulation of the myogenic heart in the branchiopod crustacean <i>Triops longicaudatus</i> . Zoological Science 20:841-846	548 549

Yousef HA, Abdelfattah EA, Augustyniak M (2017) Evaluation of oxidative stress biomarkers in	550
<i>Aiolopus thalassinus</i> (Orthoptera: Acrididae) collected from areas polluted by the fertilizer	551
industry. <i>Ecotoxicology</i> 26:340-350	552
Zarow C, Lyness SA, Mortimer JA, Chui HC (2003) Neuronal loss is greater in the locus coeruleus than	553
nucleus basalis and substantia nigra in Alzheimer and Parkinson diseases. <i>Arch Neurol</i> 60:337-	554
341	555







Figure 1



Click here to access/download
Supplementary Material
3. Supplementary Information 1.pptx







Click here to access/download

Supplementary Material

4. Supplementary Information 2.docx





Click here to access/download
Supplementary Material
5. Supplementary Information 3.docx

