

Effect of different doses of the catecholamine epinephrine on antioxidant responses of larvae of the flesh fly Sarcophaga dux

Eman A. Abdelfattah, D Renault

▶ To cite this version:

Eman A. Abdelfattah, D Renault. Effect of different doses of the catecholamine epinephrine on antioxidant responses of larvae of the flesh fly Sarcophaga dux. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2022, 29 (7), pp.10408-10415. 10.1007/s11356-021-16325-9. hal-03366437

HAL Id: hal-03366437 https://hal.science/hal-03366437

Submitted on 26 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Effect of different doses of the catecholamine epinephrine on antioxidant responses of	1
larvae of the flesh fly Sarcophaga dux	2
	3
	4
	5
Eman A. ABDELFATTAH ^a , David RENAULT ^{b,c}	6
	7
	8
^a Entomology Department; Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Egypt	9
^b University of Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO [(Ecosystèmes, biodiversité, évolution)] - UMR 6553, F 35000	10
Rennes, France	11
^c Institut Universitaire de France, 1 rue Descartes, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France	12
	13
	14
	15
Corresponding authors :	16
	17
David RENAULT	18
University of Rennes, UMR CNRS 6553 ECOBIO,	19
263 Avenue du Gal Leclerc	20
CS 74205	21
35042 Rennes Cedex	22
France	23
Email : <u>david.renault@univ-rennes1.fr</u>	24
Phone : +33 2 23 23 66 27	25
	26
Eman A. ABDELFATTAH	27
Entomology Department	28
Faculty of Science, Cairo University	29
11221 Al Orman, Giza	30
Egypt	31
Email: <u>eman.alaaeldein@gmail.com</u>	32
Phone: +201203945934	33
	34
	35
	36

DECLARATIONS	37
	38
Ethical approval and consent to participate	39
	40
This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals that require ethical	41
approval.	42
	43
Consent for publication	44
Not applicable.	45
	46
Availability of data and materials	47
The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding	48
author on reasonable request.	49
	50
Competing interests	51
The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.	52
	53
Funding	54
This study was funded by the Entomology Department(Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Egypt).	55
Author's contributions	50
Author's contributions	57
draft preparation) visualization, and funding acquisition	58
aran preparation, visualization, and randing acquisition	60
David Renault: conceptualization, supervision, writing (original draft preparation), writing (review	61
and editing) and visualization.	62
	63
	64
Acknowledgments	65
This study was done at the Entomology Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Egypt.	66
	67
	68

Abstract 69 The production and use of pharmaceutical products have increased over the past decades, and 70 several are considered potential or proved hazardous wastes. When contaminating the 71 environments, they can severely impact biodiversity. The catecholamine epinephrine (adrenaline) is 72 no exception. Epinephrine can be administered as growth promoter in cattle, and is used for 73 anaphylaxis treatment in human. While a range of studies have examined the effects of this 74 catecholamine on vertebrates tissues, and evidenced that it can disrupt the oxidative stress status, 75 the effects epinephrine could have on insects have remined poorly considered. Here, we examined 76 the physiological effects of different concentrations (0, 25, 50 and 100 μ g/mL) of epinephrine on 77 larvae of the flesh fly Sarcophaga dux. Following experimental treatments, levels of H₂O₂, GSH, CAT, 78 GPx, and CEH were measured from the fat body, cuticle, gut, and hemolymph of 3rd instars. 79 Significant differences are reported for these physiological endpoints among the considered body 80 compartments, and epinephrine concentrations. Epinephrine treatments did not increase reactive 81 oxygen species production (H_2O_2 amounts), except for gut tissues. Increased levels of GSH suggest 82 that epinephrine may have enhanced glucose metabolism and flux towards the pentose phosphate 83 pathway, while reducing glutamine oxidation. CAT activity was slightly increased when the 84 concentration of epinephrine was higher. The decreased GPx activity in the fat body was consistent 85 with GSH variations. In sum, the injection of epinephrine seemed to elicit the antioxidant response 86 in *S. dux* larvae, in turn attenuating ROS production. 87 88 89 90 91 Keywords Adrenaline, Oxidative stress, Hydrogen peroxide, Glutathione, Catalase, Glutathione peroxidase, 92 Sarcophagidae 93 94

Highlights

		99
•	The effects of different doses of epinephrine on flesh fly larvae were examined	100
•	Levels of H_2O_2 , GSH, CAT, GPx, and CEH were measured from different body parts	101
•	Increased levels of GSH were found in epinephrine-treated larvae	102
•	CAT activity was slightly increased when the concentration of epinephrine was higher	103
•	Epinephrine can reduce the production of reactive oxygen species	104
		105
		106

95 96

1. Introduction

Environmental pollution is becoming a significant issue worldwide, severely impacting biodiversity 108 in general (Edwards 2002; de Souza Machado et al. 2018; Miller et al. 2018). In particular, 109 pharmaceutical residues can reach high amounts (Grenni et al. 2018) in soils fertilized with 110 wastewater effluents, sewage sludge, or waste manure from livestock (Kaczala & Blum 2016). When 111 released into the environment, these drugs can be biomagnified along with the food web (Kelly et 112 al. 2007; Fremlin et al. 2020), increasing the threats to top predators and higher vertebrates (Shore 113 et al. 2014), as well as for those species thriving on decaying cadavers of top predators. Among the 114 different drugs administrated to humans and cattle, antibiotics, antidepressants, or growth 115 promoting factors are frequently reported from natural environments (Küster and Adler 2014). 116 These pollutants, which can persist over the long term, can greatly threaten biodiversity (Santos et 117 al. 2010; Richmond et al. 2016). For instance, in a recent study, Renault et al. (2018) experimentally 118 evidenced the deleterious effects of antibiotics on the development and survival of the blow fly 119 Chrysomya albiceps. In mosquitoes, chronic exposure of the parental generation to the anti-120 inflammatory ibuprofen had consistent effects on the progeny by accelerating their development 121 and increasing their capacity to handle starvation periods (Prud'homme et al. 2018). 122

Nowadays, many pharmaceuticals are listed as potential or proven hazardous wastes by the 124 federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), including the catecholamine epinephrine 125 (adrenaline). This compound is used to treat anaphylaxis episodes in human, *i.e.* limiting the severity 126 of venom, food or medication-induced allergies. This compound, also used as growth promoter in 127 cattle, can be further found in the environment, with unknown consequences for wildlife. 128 Epinephrine can also be detected in high amounts in plants cultivated in industrial areas, bio-129 indicating plants' exposure to environmental stress (Azzazy 2020). As a result, environmental risks, 130 owing to the management of the produced wastes, the potential transfer of part of the drug into the 131 environment via urine, or for herbivorous and saprophagous organisms developing on rich 132 epinephrine-containing organisms, can be significant. 133

In mammals, epinephrine (Adrenaline: ((R)-4-(1-hydroxy-2-(methylamino)ethyl)-benzene-1,2-135 diol) is a naturally produced catecholamine having a neurotransmitter function. Epinephrine is 136 excreted by the sympathetic nervous system and the adrenal medulla, and it is known to help in the 137 flight or fight process of vertebrate organisms by regulating blood pressure, blood sugar levels and 138 cardiac activity (Cahova et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2013). When administered as a growth promoter or 139 for anaphylaxis treatment, epinephrine can reach high amounts that can have cascading 140 physiological consequences for the organism. Specifically, large concentrations of epinephrine have 141 been reported to provoke deleterious effects on several biological functions and macromolecules, in 142 particular proteins, lipids and DNA, increasing the production of protein carbonyls, lipid peroxides, 143 and DNA strand breaks due to its auto-oxidation and pro-oxidant activity (Tichy 2011; Gavrilović et 144 al. 2012; Radaković et al. 2018). While epinephrine can contribute to reduce oxidative stress 145 (Álvarez-Diduk and Galano 2015), excessive contents of this catecholamine enhance the production 146 of reactive oxygen species, as demonstrated in mammalians (Djelić et al. 2015; Radaković et al. 2018; 147 Pal et al. 2020). Thus, according to the physiological situation, epinephrine can be protector or 148 molecular target of free radicals (Álvarez-Diduk and Galano 2015). 149

107

123

Oxidative stress is the consequence of imbalanced amounts in the levels of reactive oxygen 150 species (ROS), such as superoxide, peroxide, hydroxyl radical or singlet oxygen, and non-enzymatic 151 and enzymatic ROS-scavenging compounds in the organism. To reduce the negative effects of ROS 152 (which includes DNA strand breaks, production of protein carbonyls and lipid peroxides), 153 enzymatically-based mechanisms, involving catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), and 154 carboxyl esterase hydroxylase (CEH), as well as non-enzymatically based mechanisms, such as 155 reduced glutathione (GSH), are acting in the organisms as the first defense line. Antioxidant 156 responses have been characterized from several arthropods exposed to a diversity of stressful abiotic 157 factors (Lalouette et al. 2011; Lawniczak et al. 2013; Renault et al. 2016; Abdelfattah et al. 2017; 158 Yousef et al. 2017; Abdelfattah et al. 2021). 159

While they can be subjected to epinephrine exposure in their environments, the effects of this 161 catecholamine have poorly examined in arthropods. In crustaceans (crabs), it has been reported that 162 epinephrine injections increased the activity of the myogenic heart (Yamagishi 2003) and the 163 amounts of glucose in the hemolymph, while decreasing glycogen levels in the hepatopancreas of 164 the individuals (Model et al. 2019). In insects, Naidu (1955) reported an acceleration of the heartbeat 165 in epinephrine-injected cockroaches. Chowanski et al. (2017) experimentally demonstrated that 166 epinephrine stimulates the contraction of muscles of hindgut and oviduct in the beetle Tenebrio 167 molitor. To further improve our understanding of the effects of this catecholamine on insects, we 168 assessed the physiological effects of different concentrations of epinephrine on the flesh fly 169 Sarcophaga dux. This insect, of prominent importance for the decomposition of cadavers (Pape 170 1996), is also frequently used in ecotoxicological researches aiming at monitoring the impacts of 171 various drug concentrations on the development and physiology of insects. While epinephrine may 172 protect the organism against the deleterious effect of oxidative stress at physiological doses, by 173 acting as antioxidant and free radical scavengers (Jhang et al. 2014), high doses may become at risk 174 for living organisms (Zarow et al. 2003; Weinshenker et al. 2008). Here, we evaluated if different 175 epinephrine concentrations (injections of 0, 25, 50 or 100 μ g/mL) could affect the levels of H₂O₂, 176 GSH, CAT, GPx, and CEH from different body compartments (fat body, cuticle, gut, and hemolymph) 177 of 3rd instar larvae of the flesh fly *Sarcophaga dux* (Supplementary Information 1). 178

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Insects rearing and epinephrine treatments

For the purpose of the study, freshly emerged 3rd instars of the flesh fly Sarcophaga dux were 183 obtained from the Entomology Department of the Faculty of Science of Cairo University (Cairo, 184 Egypt). The insects were reared in plastic squared boxes of 30x30x15 cm (Lxlxh) at 37 °C (RH, 12:12h 185 Light: Dark), and supplied with beef meat and water ad libitum. In this species, the duration of the 186 3rd instar ranges from 44 to 151h at 38 °C (Chakraborty et al. 2014). Before the larvae were used for 187 the experiments, they were starved for 24h in order to standardize the feeding status among 188 individuals. 189

Different concentrations of the catecholamine epinephrine, *i.e.* 0, 25, 50 and 100 μ g/mL, were 191 freshly prepared (obtained from Sigma Aldrich, CAS number 51-43-4). The desired concentrations 192 were obtained by dissolving epinephrine in deionized water. Then, a volume of 3 µL of one of the 193

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT - CLEAN COPY

179

160

180

181

190

prepared epinephrine solutions was injected to each larva. The larvae that received deionized water194only (3 μL) served as controls. All injections were made with a microsyringe between the third and195fourth segment of the abdomen. Twenty minutes post-injection, the cuticle of the larvae was196punctured with a fine sterile dissecting needle, and hemolymph of the larvae was collected with a197glass capillary tube under a binocular. Immediately after collection, hemolymph was transferred to198sterile and chilled microtubes which were kept at -20 °C until subsequent analyses.199

For the dissection of gut, cuticle, and fat body, the larvae were transferred to a Petri dish containing2001X phosphate buffered saline solution and kept on cold ice. The delay between injection and201dissection was fixed to ensure that epinephrine could diffuse within the body and has potential202physiological effects. The dissected parts of the body and hemolymph, hereafter referred to as body203samples, were stored at - 20 °C until use. For each experimental group, pools of 20 individuals of the2043rd instar of *S. dux* were prepared.205

206

207

220

221

231

2.2. Measurements of the amounts of hydrogen peroxide

For each sample, hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) concentration was measured spectrophotometrically 208 following the method described by Junglee et al. (2014). Briefly, 150 mg of each body sample (or 150 209 μ L for hemolymph) was homogenized at 4 °C for 10 min into 1 mL of a solution containing 0.25 mL 210 Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) (0.1% (v:v)), 0.5 mL KI (1 M) and 0.25 mL potassium phosphate buffer (10 211 mM, pH 7.0). This procedure combined the extraction of H_2O_2 and the colorimetric reaction; samples 212 were quickly covered with aluminum foil to limit their alteration by light. The blank was obtained by 213 replacing KI by 0.5 mL of H₂O. The homogenates were then centrifuged at $12,000 \times q$ for 15 min at 4 214 $^{\circ}$ C. For each sample, an aliquot of 200 μ L of the supernatant was transferred to the well of an UV-215 microplate, and incubated at 20 °C for 20 min before the absorbance was measured at 240 nm. A 216 calibration curve, obtained with H₂O₂ standard solutions prepared in 0.1% TCA, was used for 217 quantification. H_2O_2 concentration was expressed as ppm. All samples and blanks were analyzed in 218 triplicates. 219

2.3. Measurements of the amounts of reduced glutathione

The concentration of glutathione (GSH), which has a non-enzymatic antioxidant activity, was 222 conducted by colorimetric methods according to the procedure of Allen et al. (1984). Briefly, 200 mg 223 of each body sample (200 μ L for hemolymph) was homogenized with 2 mL of 5% (w/v) TCA in 1 mM 224 EDTA before being centrifuged at 10,000 \times g for 20 min at 4 °C. A volume of 1 mL of the reaction 225 mixture, which contained 150 μ L of extract, 800 μ L of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 8.0), and 50 μ L 226 (0.01% in 0.1M phosphate buffer, pH 8.0) of 5, 5⁻ Diothio bis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), was mixed 227 thoroughly, and then incubated at 25 °C for 20 minutes. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was 228 measured at 412 nm. The GSH content was determined from a GSH standard curve, and the GSH 229 concentration was expressed in µg GSH/ mg protein. 230

2.4. Measurements of the catalytic activities of catalase, glutathione peroxidase and carboxyl232esterase hydrolase233

For each replicate, 200 mg of body sample (200 μ L for hemolymph) was grinded into 2 mL of PBS (pH= 7.0; 10mM). The samples were centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 20 min at 4 °C, and supernatants were collected for the measurements of the catalytic activity of the enzymes. The enzymatic activity of catalase (CAT) was determined by colorimetric methods, as formerly described by Aebi (1984). 237

The reaction mixture contained 0.9 mL of a potassium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0), 60 μ L of238the supernatant, and 40 μ L of freshly prepared H2O2 (10 mM). The change in absorbance was239measured at 240 nm over 1 min. The CAT activity was further expressed as OD/mg protein/min.240

Glutathione peroxidase (GPx) antioxidant activity was measured according to the method242described by Mazhoudi et al. (1997), with minor modifications. The reaction mixture contained 0.5243mL of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.2 mL of 1% (m/v) guaiacol, 0.2 mL OF 0.4%244(v/v) H₂O₂, and 0.1 mL of the supernatant. Absorbance was measured at 470 nm over 3 min, and GPx245activity was expressed as OD/mg protein/min.246

The activity of carboxyl esterase hydrolase (CEH) was determined following the method described248by Gomori (1953) and modified by Bunyan et al. (1968). The reaction mixture contained 18 mg of249fast blue B salt dissolved in 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 600 μ L of 0.113 M q-250naphthyl acetate which was dissolved in 50 % acetone. A volume of 240 μ L of this solution was mixed251with 10 μ L of each body sample extract, and the absorbance was measured at 450 nm over 3 min.252CEH activity was expressed as OD/mg protein/min.253

2.5. Total protein amount

Total protein concentration was quantified for each body sample with Bradford's method (Bradford2561976), using Coomassie Brilliant Blue (COBB). A volume of 0.9 mL of the dye reagent (10 mg COBB257mixed with 5 mL methanol and 10 mL of 85% O-phosphoric acid, completed to 100 mL with distilled258water) was mixed with 0.1 mL of body sample, then left for 2 min before measuring the optical259density of the samples at 595 nm. The blank consisted in distilled water instead of the protein260sample. Bovine serum albumin (BSA) fraction V (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in 0.15 M NaCl was used as261drawing the calibration curve.262

2.6. Statistical analysis

As a first step, a Generalized Estimating Equation (GEE) was computed to examine if the 265 concentrations of epinephrine (0, 25, 50 or 100 μ g/mL), the type of body sample (fat body, gut, 266 cuticle, hemolymph), and the interaction of these terms affected the physiological endpoints we 267 measured (H₂O₂, GSH, CAT, GPx, and CEH). These models were preferred over generalized linear 268 models, as it is very likely that the variations of H_2O_2 , GSH, CAT, GPx, and CEH measured from the 269 body samples of the larvae were not independent. Wald Chi-square tests were run to assess the 270 statistical validity of the models. In a second step, the effect of the different concentrations of 271 epinephrine on the levels of H_2O_2 , GSH, CAT, GPx, and CEH in the hemolymph, gut, cuticle and fat 272 body of 3rd instars of *S. dux* was assessed by performing a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), 273 followed by Tukey's post-hoc tests when necessary. The comparison of the amounts of H₂O₂, GSH, 274 CAT, GPx, and CEH among the different body samples was also conducted by performing a one-way 275 ANOVA. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 17.0. 276 Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). 277

3. Results and Discussion

278

241

247

254

255

263

The interaction analysis obtained from the computation of Generalized Estimating Equation (GEE) 281 revealed that the different concentrations of epinephrine (0, 25, 50, 100 μ g/mL), the nature of the 282 body samples (fat body, cuticle, gut, hemolymph), and the interaction of these terms, significantly 283 influenced the physiological endpoints we measured (H₂O₂, GSH, CAT, GPx & CEH) in 3rd instars of 284 the flesh fly *S. dux* (Table 1, Supplementary Information 2 & 3). 285

286

301

311

321

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), including hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), are formed in the cells 287 during metabolic processes. Several exogenous and endogenous factors contribute to increase ROS 288 production, with, for instance, studies having reported that the catecholamine epinephrine increases 289 the production of H₂O₂ in animals (Maral et al. 1977; Díaz-Cruz et al. 2007). Yet, our results revealed 290 that epinephrine treatment did not affect the production of H_2O_2 in the assayed body tissues of the 291 fly S. dux larvae, and even decreased its amounts, except in the gut tissues of the individuals injected 292 with epinephrine at 50 μ g/mL (Figure 1a, Supplementary Information 3). Importantly, the highest 293 epinephrine concentration (100 μ g/mL) resulted in a drastic reduction of H₂O₂ in the cuticle and 294 hemolymph of the larvae of S. dux, with levels being about 10 times lower as compared with their 295 control relative (SS=2268.2, 1005.1; df=3, 3; MS= 756, 335, p<0.001 for cuticle, and F=1124.9, 192, 296 p<0.001 for hemolymph) (Figure 1a). Levels of H₂O₂ were also significantly lower in the cuticle, gut, 297 and hemolymph of larvae injected with 3 μ L of epinephrine at 100 μ g/mL as compared with their 298 relatives that received half of this dose. This result suggests the existence of a concentration 299 threshold before any significant epinephrine effect could be observed on H₂O₂ production. 300

There is little information on the effects of epinephrine on insects' physiology in the literature. 302 Yet, the studies conducted on crustaceans (Yamagishi 2003; Model et al. 2019) and rats (Garcia et al. 303 1999; Wu et al. 2013) showed that injections of epinephrine had similar effects on the cardiac activity 304 and metabolism of these organisms. For instance, in the burrowing crab Neohelice granulata, 305 epinephrine increased the amounts of glucose circulating in the body fluids, while reducing glycogen 306 stores in the fat body (Model et al. 2019). In rats, it has been reported that epinephrine 307 concentrations of 50µM increased the metabolism of glucose (Garcia et al. 1999). Thus, the 308 similarities in the reported effects of epinephrine suggest that the knowledge gathered from other 309 animal taxa can be considered for interpreting our results. 310

In rats, epinephrine deviated the glucose flux from the pentose phosphate pathway, with 312 cascading consequences on the ability of the organisms to handle oxidative stress (Garcia et al. 313 1999). Impaired redeployment of glucose towards the pentose phosphate pathway might be 314 problematic in animals facing oxidative stress. Indeed, this mechanism represents a prominent way 315 for the regeneration of NAPDH, allowing the glutathione system to work properly (Christodoulou et 316 al. 2018). While glucose deviation towards the pentose phosphate pathway may have been impaired 317 in the gut of S. dux larvae injected with epinephrine at 50 μ g/mL, as depicted by the high increase in 318 H_2O_2 production, we did not find signs in favor of this hypothesis for most experimental treatments 319 and body samples (Figure 1a). 320

Garcia et al. (1999) reported that glutamine oxidation was reduced in epinephrine-treated cells322of rats, suggesting that higher amounts of this amino acid might have been made available for the323synthesis of glutathione. A similar pattern may have occurred in the larvae of the flesh flies, at least324

in the hemolymph, as supported by the significant increase in the levels of GSH in larvae that received 325 the 100 μ g/mL epinephrine concentration, in parallel to showing reduced amounts of H₂O₂ (Figures 326 1a and b, Supplementary Information 3). In the gut, GSH contents were progressively decreased 327 when the concentration of epinephrine was increased (Figure 1b). This pattern may additionally be 328 interpreted in light of the results obtained by Sugiyama and Natori (1994) on Sarcophaga peregrina 329 flies. These authors demonstrated that GSH levels were decreased in flies whose tegument was 330 injured. The changing GSH amounts we are reporting for the body samples may have resulted from 331 the combined effects of altered glutamine oxidation and injured tegument of the pricked flies. In 332 future studies, it would be interesting to have measures of GSH amounts from non-pricked flies to 333 obtain information regarding the basal GSH levels of intact individuals. Finally, GSH levels were 334 significantly decreased in the gut of flies treated with epinephrine (Figure 1b), and this may have 335 permitted the expression of insect defense proteins (Sugiyama and Natori 1994), as formerly 336 evidenced in Drosophila flies (Engstrom et al. 1993). 337

338

351

365

The little, yet significant, increase in the activity of catalase (CAT) observed in body samples of 339 the larvae treated with 50 or 100 μ g/mL epinephrine as compared with controls (Figure 1c, 340 Supplementary Information 3), except in the cuticle, is consistent with the measured changes in 341 H_2O_2 levels. CAT is well known for its breakdown capacities of H_2O_2 in a range of animals (Storey 342 1996). With CAT, GPx represents another important antioxidant enzyme of the defense system 343 against ROS, in particular for controlling both intra- and extracellular amounts of H₂O₂ (Giorgio et al. 344 2007). Often, it has been suggested that CAT catalyzes the hydrolysis of H_2O_2 when it is at high 345 concentration, while GPx catalyzes this reaction at low H₂O₂ concentration inside cells (Fridovich 346 347 1995). The little increase activity of CAT may suggest that the enzyme has played a role in controlling the production of ROS in treated larvae, with epinephrine treatments being known to protect from 348 oxidative stress or to induce it, depending on the body sample and physiological situation (Alvarez-349 Diduk and Galano 2015). 350

In our study, the activity of GPx, an enzyme which needs GSH as the electron donor and allows 352 converting H_2O_2 to H_2O , was similar in the fat body of control S. dux flies as in those treated with 353 epinephrine at 25 μg/mL (Figure 1d, Supplementary Information 3). GPx activity then decreased in 354 this tissue when the epinephrine concentrations were higher, paralleling the variation pattern 355 reported for GSH. It is suggested that the reduction in the levels of GSH may inhibit GPx activity 356 (Kazek et al. 2020), and that H_2O_2 might have been preferentially scavenged by CAT in this tissue. 357 Former studies evidenced the importance of CAT in the control of H_2O_2 when low glutathione levels 358 limit GPx activity in insects (Paes et al. 2001). Conversely, the activity of GPx enzyme was slightly 359 increased in the hemolymph at the two higher epinephrine treatments (Figure 1d), and very high 360 amounts of GSH were measured at the highest epinephrine treatment. These findings suggest that 361 GSH is certainly not the only factor regulating GPx activity, as observed at epinephrine 362 concentrations of 50 µg/mL in hemolymph; at this epinephrine concentration, GPx activity may have 363 remained high to counteract the high amounts of H_2O_2 . 364

The activity of CEH was decreased gradually along with increased concentrations of epinephrine366 $(25 - 100 \ \mu g/mL)$ in all body samples of the 3rd instars of the flesh fly *S. dux* (Figure 1e). The lowest367values were measured from larvae injected with epinephrine concentrations of 100 $\ \mu g/mL$. CEH are368

essential enzymes processing the biotransformation of drugs, endogenous compounds, or pollutants 369 (Di 2018). By hydrolyzing endogenous esters, this enzyme has important role in lipid metabolism. In 370 rats, it has been demonstrated that epinephrine may have different effects on tissue metabolism, by 371 either stimulating glycogen breakdown in parallel to reducing triacylglycerol levels, or by stimulating 372 lipid hydrolysis over glycogen breakdown (Peters et al. 1998). While epinephrine treatment was 373 found to increase lipid metabolism in the fat body of cockroaches (Bhakthan and Gilbert 1968), our 374 results suggest that this might not have happened in our flies. Here, epinephrine treatment may 375 have rather stimulated glycogen breakdown in flesh flies. 376

In this study, we have examined the effects of the catecholamine epinephrine (Supplementary 378 **Information 1)**, a compound used as a growth promoter for cattle, and for anaphylaxis treatments 379 in human. When used as a drug, epinephrine can be further transferred to the environment, with 380 potential consequences for wildlife. We demonstrated that larvae of the fly S. dux that received the 381 highest epinephrine concentration were characterized by highly reduced amounts of H_2O_2 , 382 suggesting that epinephrine injections may have had a protective effect against ROS production, as 383 formerly reported from other animal taxa. The changing patterns we measured for CEH, combined 384 with former evidence reporting increased glucose metabolism in epinephrine-treated tissues, 385 suggested that higher amounts of glucose were diverted towards the pentose phosphate pathway. 386 Increased glucose metabolism and redeployment over the pentose phosphate pathway would have 387 helped containing ROS production by enhancing regeneration of NAPDH. This hypothesis will have 388 to be explored in future studies. 389

....

- 390
- 391 392

Table 1. Statistical results of the effects of different concentrations of epinephrine (0, 25, 50 or393 $100 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$), the nature of the body samples (fat body, cuticle, gut, hemolymph), and interaction394of these terms, on the measured physiological endpoints, i.e. the categorical variables, in 3rd395instars of the flesh fly Sarcophaga dux.396

Categorical	Chi-square (χ²)	df	QIC	<i>p</i> value
valiabies				
		Interce	ot	
H_2O_2	4089.0	1	104	<0.0001
GSH	2018.7	1	38	<0.0001
CAT	3618.3	1	1844	<0.0001
GPx	4468.6	1	36	<0.0001
CEH	1255.0	1	39	<0.0001
Effect of epinephrine concentration				
H_2O_2	3330.3	3	104	<0.0001
GSH	401.1	3	38	<0.0001
CAT	143.4	3	1844	<0.0001
GPx	1627.4	3	36	<0.0001
CEH	301.5	3	39	<0.0001
	Effect o	of body	samples	
H_2O_2	2910.4	3	104	<0.0001
GSH	469.7	3	38	<0.0001
CAT	164.9	3	1844	<0.0001
GPx	702.6	3	36	<0.0001
CEH	654.3	3	39	<0.0001
Effect of th	e interaction epine	phrine	concentration -	 body samples
H_2O_2	4064.9	9	104	<0.0001
GSH	3742.0	9	38	<0.0001
CAT	285.9	9	1844	<0.0001
GPx	4889.3	9	36	<0.0001
CEH	434.6	9	39	<0.0001

Generalized Estimating Equation (GEE) were performed to test the effects of different399concentrations of epinephrine.400

The categorical variables are: reactive oxygen species concentration H_2O_2 , non-enzymatic 401 antioxidants concentration GSH, and antioxidant enzymes activity CAT, GPx and CEH. 402

QIC is the quasi-likelihood under the independence model criterion and is a derivation of Akaike's 403 information criterion for GEE 404

405

398

Figure legends

408 Figure 1. Effects of epinephrine on the amount (mean \pm SE) of (a) hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), (b) 409 glutathione reduced (GSH), and catalytic activity of (c) catalase (CAT), (d) glutathione oxidase (GPx) 410 and (e) carboxyl esterase hydrolase (CEH), measured in tissue homogenates (fat body, cuticle and 411 gut) and hemolymph of 3rd instars of the flesh fly Sarcophaga dux. Larvae were injected with 412 different concentrations of epinephrine: 0, 25, 50 or 100 μ g/mL. 413

For each tissue homogenate, bars with different letters report significant differences among the 414 experimental treatments (epinephrine concentration of 0, 25, 50 or 100 µg/mL) (ANOVA, Tukey's-415 *b* test, *p* < 0.05). 416

406 407

417

418

419 420

Defense and	424
References	421
Abdelfattan EA, Augustyniak M, Yousef HA (2017) Biomonitoring of genotoxicity of industrial	422
fertilizer pollutants in <i>Alolopus thaldssinus</i> (Orthoptera: Acrididae) using alkaline comet assay.	423
Chemosphere 182:762-770	424
Abdelfattah EA, Augustyniak M, Yousef HA (2021) Stage-, sex-and tissue-related changes in H ₂ O ₂ ,	425
glutathione concentration, and glutathione-dependent enzymes activity in Aiolopus thalassinus	426
(Orthoptera: Acrididae) from heavy metal polluted areas. Ecotoxicology 30: 478-491	427
Aebi H (1984) Catalase in vitro. Method Enzymol 105:121-126	428
Allen RG, Farmer KJ, Newton RK, Sohal RS (1984) Effects of paraquat administration on longevity,	429
oxygen consumption, lipid peroxidation, superoxide dismutase, catalase, glutathione reductase,	430
inorganic peroxides and glutathione in the adult housefly. Comp Biochem Physiol C 78:283-288	431
Álvarez-Diduk R, Galano A (2015) Adrenaline and noradrenaline: protectors against oxidative stress	432
or molecular targets? J Phys Chem B 119:3479-3491	433
Azzazy MF (2020) Plant bioindicators of pollution in Sadat City, Western Nile Delta, Egypt. Plos one	434
15:e0226315.	435
Bhakthan NMG, Gilbert LI (1968) Effects of some vertebrate hormones on lipid mobilization in the	436
insect fat body. Gen Comp Endocrinol 1:186-197	437
Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of	438
protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem 72:248-254	439
Bunyan PJ, Jennings DM, Taylor A (1968) Organophosphorus poisoning. Properties of avian	440
esterases. J Agri Food Chem 16:326-331	441
Cahova M, Palenickova E, Papackova Z, Dankova H, Skop V, Kazdova L (2012) Epinephrine-dependent	442
control of glucose metabolism in white adipose tissue: the role of α -and β -adrenergic signalling.	443
Exp Biol Med 237:211-218	444
Chakraborty A, Ansar W, Ghosh S, Banerjee D (2014) The first report of the life cycle of Sarcophaga	445
(L) dux on dead reptilian carcass: Their application as forensic indicators. Sch Acad J Biosci 2:731-	446
739	447
Chowanski S, Spochacz M, Szymczak M, Rosinski G (2017) Effect of biogenic amines on the contractile	448
activity of visceral muscles in the beetle Tenebrio molitor. Bull Insectol 70:209-220	449
Christodoulou D, Link H, Fuhrer T, Kochanowski K, Gerosa L, Sauer U (2018) Reserve flux capacity in	450
the pentose phosphate pathway enables Escherichia coli's rapid response to oxidative stress.	451
Cell Syst 6:569-578	452
de Souza Machado AA, Kloas W, Zarfl C, Hempel S, Rillig MC (2018) Microplastics as an emerging	453
threat to terrestrial ecosystems. Glob Change Biol 24:1405-1416	454
Di L (2018) The impact of carboxylesterases in drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics. Curr Drug	455
Metab 20:91-102	456
Díaz-Cruz A, Guinzberg R, Guerra R, Vilchis M, Carrasco D, García-Vázquez FJ, Piña E (2007)	457
Adrenaline stimulates H ₂ O ₂ generation in liver via NADPH oxidase. Free Radic Res 41:663-672	458
Djelić N, Radaković M, Spremo-Potparević B, Zivković L, Bajić V, Stevanović J, Stanimirović Z (2015)	459
Evaluation of cytogenetic and DNA damage in human lymphocytes treated with adrenaline in	460
vitro. Toxicol In Vitro 29:27-33	461
Edwards CA (2002) Assessing the effects of environmental pollutants on soil organisms,	462
communities, processes and ecosystems. Eur J Soil Biol 38:225-231	463

Engstrom Y, Kadalayil L, Sun S-C, Samakovlis C, Hultmark D, Faye I (1993) Kappa B-like motifs regulate the induction of immune genes in <i>Drosophila</i> . J Mol Biol 232:327-333	464 465
Fremlin KM, Elliott JE, Green DJ, Drouillard KG, Harner T, Eng A, Gobas FA (2020) Trophic	466
magnification of legacy persistent organic pollutants in an urban terrestrial food web. Sci Total	467
EINIOIT / 14.130/40 Fridovich L (1995) Superovide radical and superovide dismutases. Appu Rev Biochem 64:97-112	408
Garcia C. Pithon-Curi TC. De Lourdes Firmano M. Pires De Melo M. Newsholme P. Curi R (1999) Effects	405
of adrenaline on glucose and glutamine metabolism and superoxide production by rat	471
neutrophils. Clin Sci 96:549-555	472
Gavrilović L, Stojiljković V, Kasapović J, Pejić S, Todorović A, Pajović SB, Dronjak S (2012) Chronic	473
physical stress changes gene expression of catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes in the adrenal	474
medulla of adult rats. Acta Vet Hung 62:151-169	475
Giorgio M, Trinei M, Migliaccio E, Pelicci PG (2007) Hydrogen peroxide: a metabolic by-product or a	476
common mediator of ageing signals? Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 8:722-728	477
Gomori G (1953) Human esterases. J Lab Clin Med 42:445-453	478
Grenni P, Ancona V, Caracciolo AB (2018) Ecological effects of antibiotics on natural ecosystems: A	479
review. Microchem J 136:25-39	480
Jhang KA, Lee EO, Kim HS, Chong YH (2014) Norepinephrine provides short-term neuroprotection	481
against Aβ1–42 by reducing oxidative stress independent of Nrf2 activation. Neurobiol Aging	482
35:2465-2473	483
Junglee S, Urban L, Sallanon H, Lopez-Lauri F (2014) Optimized assay for hydrogen peroxide	484
determination in plant tissue using potassium iodide. Am J Analyt Chem 5:730	485
raviow. Curr Anal Cham 12:160, 182	486
Kazek M. Kaczmarek A. Wrońska AK. Boguć MI (2020) <i>Conidiobalus coronatus</i> induces ovidative	407
stress and autophagy response in <i>Galleria mellonella</i> larvae. Plos one 15:e0228407	400
Kelly BC Ikonomou MG Blair ID Morin AF Gobas FA (2007) Food web-specific biomagnification of	490
persistent organic pollutants. Science 317:236-239	491
Küster A, Adler N (2014) Pharmaceuticals in the environment: scientific evidence of risks and its	492
regulation. Phil Trans R Soc B 369:20130587.	493
Lalouette L, Williams CM, Hervant F, Sinclair BJ, Renault D (2011) Metabolic rate and oxidative stress	494
in insects exposed to low temperature thermal fluctuations. Comp Biochem Physiol A 158:229-	495
234	496
Lawniczak M, Romestaing C, Roussel D, Maazouzi C, Renault D, Hervant F (2013) Preventive	497
antioxidant responses to extreme oxygen level fluctuation in a subterranean crustacean. Comp	498
Biochem Physiol A 165:299-303	499
Maral J, Puget K, Michelson AM (1977) Comparative study of superoxide dismutase, catalase and	500
glutathione peroxidase levels in erythrocytes of different animals. Biochem Biophys Res	501
Commun 77:1525-1535	502
Mazhoudi S, Chaoui A, Ghorbal MH, El Ferjani E (1997) Response of antioxidant enzymes to excess	503
copper in tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> , Mill.). Plant Sci 127:129-137	504
Miller TH, Bury NR, Owen SF, MacRae JI, Barron LP (2018) A review of the pharmaceutical exposome	505
in aquatic fauna. Environ Pollu 239:129-146	506

Naidu MB (1955) Physiological action of drugs and insecticides on insects. Bull Entomol Res 46:205- 220	507 508
Paes MC Oliveira MB Oliveira PL (2001) Hydrogen perovide detoxification in the midgut of the	509
blood-sucking insert <i>Bhodnius prolivus</i> Arch Insert Biochem Physiol 48:63-71	510
Dioda sucking insect, <i>Michael Sciences</i> Provides Archinisect Biochem Physiol 48.05-71.	510
Amelioration of adrenaline induced oxidative gastrointestinal damages in rat by melatonin	512
through SIPT1 NEvP and DCC1 a AMDKa cascados. Molatonin Posoarch 2: 482 502	512
Pape T (1996) Catalogue of the Sarconbagidae of the world (Insecta: Dintera) Elevida. Gainesville:	515
Associated Dublichers, Memoirs on Entemplogy 8:1 558	514
Associated Publishers. Memoris on Encomology 6.1-556	515
skeletal muscle. Am J Physiol 275:E300-E309	510
Prud'Homme SM, Renault D, David JP, Reynaud S (2018) Multiscale approach to deciphering the	518
molecular mechanisms involved in the direct and intergenerational effect of ibuprofen on	519
mosquito Aedes aegypti. Environ Sci Technol 52:7937-7950	520
Radaković M, Borozan S, Djelić N, Ivanović S, Miladinović DĆ, Ristanić M, and Stanimirović Z (2018)	521
Nitroso-oxidative stress, acute phase response, and cytogenetic damage in wistar rats treated	522
with adrenaline. Oxid Med Cell Longev 21:1805354	523
Renault D, Dorrah MA, Mohamed AA, Abdelfattah EA, Bassal TT (2016) Assessment of oxidative	524
stress and activities of antioxidant enzymes depict the negative systemic effect of iron-	525
containing fertilizers and plant phenolic compounds in the desert locust. Environ Sci Pollut Res	526
23:21989-22000	527
Renault D, Yousef H, Mohamed AA (2018) The multilevel antibiotic-induced perturbations to	528
biological systems: Early-life exposure induces long-lasting damages to muscle structure and	529
mitochondrial metabolism in flies. Environ Pollut 241:821-833	530
Richmond EK, Rosi-Marshall EJ, Lee SS, Thompson RM, Grace MR 2016. Antidepressants in stream	531
ecosystems: influence of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) on algal production and	532
insect emergence (2016). Freshwater Science 35:845-855	533
Santos LHMLM, Araújo AN, Fachini A, Pena A, Delerue-Matos C, Montenegro MCBSM (2010)	534
Ecotoxicological aspects related to the presence of pharmaceuticals in the aquatic environment.	535
Journal of Hazardous Materials 175:45-95	536
Shore RF, Taggart MA, Smits J, Mateo R, Richards NL, Fryday S (2014) Detection and drivers of	537
exposure and effects of pharmaceuticals in higher vertebrates. Phil Trans R Soc B 369:20130570	538
Storey KB (1996) Oxidative stress: animal adaptations in nature. Braz J Med Biol Res 29:1715-1733	539
Sugiyama H, Natori S (1994) Induction of glutathione metabolism in the fat body of Sarcophaga	540
peregring by integumental injury. J Biochem 116:1171-1175	541
Tichy ED (2011) Mechanisms maintaining genomic integrity in embryonic stem cells and induced	542
pluripotent stem cells. Exp Biol Med 236:987-996	543
Weinshenker D (2008) Functional consequences of locus coeruleus degeneration in Alzheimer's	544
disease. Curr Alzheimer Res 5:342-345	545
Wu J, Ji MH, Wang ZY, Zhu W, Yang JJ, Peng YG (2013) Blood pressure reduction induced by low dose	546
of epinephrine via different routes in rats. J Cardiovasc Pharm 62:325-328	547
Yamagishi H (2003) Aminergic modulation of the myogenic heart in the branchiopod crustacean	548
Triops longicaudatus. Zoological Science 20:841-846	549

Yousef HA, Abdelfattah EA, Augustyniak M (2017) Evaluation of oxidative stress biomarkers in	550
Aiolopus thalassinus (Orthoptera: Acrididae) collected from areas polluted by the fertilizer	551
industry. Ecotoxicology 26:340-350	552
Zarow C, Lyness SA, Mortimer JA, Chui HC (2003) Neuronal loss is greater in the locus coeruleus than	553
nucleus basalis and substantia nigra in Alzheimer and Parkinson diseases. Arch Neurol 60:337-	554
341	555



Figure 1

Supplementary Material 1

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material 3. Supplementary Information 1.pptx

Supplementary Material 2

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material 4. Supplementary Information 2.docx

Supplementary Material 3

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material 5. Supplementary Information 3.docx