

Structural and Functional Analyses Explain Pea KAI2 Receptor Diversity and Reveal Stereoselective Catalysis During Signal Perception

Angelica M Guercio, Salar Torabi, David Cornu, Marion Dalmais, Abdelhafid Bendahmane, Christine Le Signor, Jean-Paul Pillot, Philippe Le Bris, François-Didier Boyer, Catherine Rameau, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Angelica M Guercio, Salar Torabi, David Cornu, Marion Dalmais, Abdelhafid Bendahmane, et al.. Structural and Functional Analyses Explain Pea KAI2 Receptor Diversity and Reveal Stereoselective Catalysis During Signal Perception. 2021. hal-03366101

HAL Id: hal-03366101 https://hal.science/hal-03366101v1

Preprint submitted on 7 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Structural and Functional Analyses Explain Pea KAI2 Receptor Diversity and Reveal
2	Stereoselective Catalysis During Signal Perception
3	
4	Angelica M. Guercio ¹ , Salar Torabi ² , David Cornu ³ , Marion Dalmais ⁴ , Abdelhafid Bendahmane ⁴ ,
5	Christine Le Signor ⁵ , Jean-Paul Pillot ⁶ , Philippe Le Bris ⁶ , François-Didier Boyer ⁷ , Catherine
6	Rameau ⁶ , Caroline Gutjahr ² , Alexandre de Saint Germain ⁶ *, and Nitzan Shabek ¹ *
7	
8 9	¹ Department of Plant Biology, University of California – Davis, Davis, CA 95616
10 11 12	² Plant Genetics, TUM School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich (TUM), 85354 Freising
12 13 14	³ Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.
13 16 17	⁴ Institute of Plant Sciences Paris-Saclay (IPS2), INRAE, CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, Université d'Evry, Université Paris-Diderot, 91405 Orsay, France
18 19 20	⁵ Agroécologie, AgroSup Dijon, INRAE, Univ Bourgogne, Univ Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 21000 Dijon, France.
21 22 23 24	⁶ Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, 78000, Versailles, France
24 25 26 27	⁷ Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, UPR 2301, 91198, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
28	*Correspondence should be addressed to: <u>nshabek@ucdavis.edu</u> , <u>Alexandre.De-Saint-</u>
29	Germain@inrae.fr
20	

31 Abstract:

KAI2 are plant α/β hydrolase receptors, which perceive smoke-derived butenolide signals 32 (karrikins) and putative endogenous, yet unidentified phytohormones (KAI2-ligands, KLs). The 33 number of functional KAI2 receptors varies among plant species. It has been suggested that KAI2 34 gene duplication and sub-functionalization plays an adaptative role for diverse environments or 35 36 ligand diversification by altering the receptor responsiveness to specific KLs. Legumes represent one of the largest families of flowering plants and contain many essential agronomic crops. Prior 37 to legume diversification, KAI2 underwent duplication, resulting in KAI2A and KAI2B. Integrating 38 plant genetics, ligand perception and enzymatic assays, and protein crystallography, we 39 demonstrate that *Pisum sativum* KAI2A and KAI2B act as receptors and enzymes with divergent 40 ligand stereoselectivity. KAI2B has a stronger affinity than KAI2A towards the KAI2-ligand (-)-41 GR24 and remarkably hydrolyses a broader range of substrates including the strigolactone-like 42 isomer (+)-GR24. We determine the crystal structures of PsKAI2B in apo and butenolide-bound 43 44 states. The biochemical and structural analyses as well as recorded mass spectra of KAI2s reveal a transient intermediate on the catalytic serine and a stable adduct on the catalytic histidine, further 45 illuminating the role of KAI2 not only as receptors but also as *bona fide* enzymes. Our work 46 47 uncovers the stereoselectivity of ligand perception and catalysis by evolutionarily diverged KAI2 receptors in KAR/KL signaling pathways and proposes adaptive sensitivity to KAR/KL and 48 strigolactone phytohormones by KAI2B. 49

- 50
- 51 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56

57 Introduction

58

Karrikins (KARs) are a family of butenolide small molecules produced from the combustion of 59 vegetation and are bio-active components of smoke (1-3). These molecules are capable of 60 inducing germination of numerous plant species, even those not associated with fire or fire-prone 61 environments such as Arabidopsis (1-6). Through studies in Arabidopsis, KAR sensitivity was 62 shown to be dependent on three key proteins: a KAR receptor α/β hydrolase KARRIKIN 63 64 INSENSITIVE2 (KAI2), an F-box MORE AXILLARY GROWTH 2 (MAX2) component of the Skp1-Cullin-F-box (SCF) E3 ubiquitin ligase, and the target of ubiquitination and degradation, the 65 transcriptional corepressor SMAX1/SMXL2 (7-11). An increasing number of studies shows that 66 the KAR signaling components are involved in the regulation of a number of plant developmental 67 processes including seedling development, leaf shape, cuticle formation, and root development (8, 68 12–15). Furthermore, they play critical roles in arbuscular mycorrhiza symbiosis and abiotic stress 69 response (16–18). 70

71 The striking similarities between KAR and strigolactone (SL) signaling pathways have been the focus of an increasing number of studies. Both SLs and KARs share a similar butenolide ring 72 structure but instead of the KAR pyran moiety, the butenolide is connected via an enol ether bridge 73 74 to either a tricyclic lactone (ABC rings) in canonical SLs, or to a structural variety in non-canonical 75 SLs (19, 20). The receptor for SL, DWARF14 (D14) shares a similar α/β hydrolase fold as KAI2 and a parallel signaling cascade requiring the function of the MAX2 ubiquitin ligase and 76 degradation of corepressors (SMXL6, 7 and 8), which belong to the same protein family as 77 78 SMAX1/SMXL2 (7, 8, 11, 21). Unlike KARs, SLs are plant hormones that act endogenously, but 79 were also found to be exuded by plant roots. SLs affect diverse responses such as hyphal branching of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi to enhance the efficiency of root colonization, germination 80

of root parasitic plant species, shoot branching, lateral root formation, primary root growth, 81 secondary growth in the stem, leaf senescence, and adventitious root formation (22–28). Notably, 82 83 KAI2 family receptors have undergone numerous duplication events within various land plant lineages. D14 was found to be an ancient duplication in the KAI2 receptor in the seed plant lineage 84 followed by sub-functionalization of the receptor, enabling SL perception (29-32). This sub-85 86 functionalization has been highlighted by the observations that D14 and KAI2 are not able to complement each other's functions in planta (33-37). While the role of the D14 receptor in SL 87 signaling is better established, KAI2 receptors and KAR signaling are less understood. A central 88 89 question in receptor diversity has been the evolutionary purpose and functional significance of KA12 duplication events, including but not limited to the event that led to the distinct SL receptors 90 D14s. D14s and KAI2s contain over a 70% sequence similarity but confer unique functions in 91 plant. Notably, within the KAI2 family the substitution of a few amino acids within the ligand 92 binding site can alter ligand specificity between KAI2 duplicated copies in Brassica tournefortii 93 and Lotus japonicus (35, 38). Additionally, given that KAR signaling governs diverse 94 developmental processes including those unrelated to fire, KAI2s are thought to perceive 95 endogenous ligands, which remain elusive and tentatively named KAI2-Ligands (KLs) (29, 30, 96 97 37, 39). Therefore, the ability to alter the specificity of KAI2 receptors to different ligands is likely to be correlated to their ability to perceive distinct KLs. Thus far, several crystal structures of 98 KAI2/D14 receptors have been reported and led to a greater understanding of receptor-ligand 99 100 perception towards certain ligands (9, 21, 32, 34, 40-42). However, the divergence between duplications of KAI2 receptors to confer altered ligand perception and hydrolysis specificities has 101 been only partially addressed for few plant species at the physiological and biochemical level, and 102 103 a detailed structural examination is still missing (34-36, 38).

104 Legumes represent one of the largest families of flowering plants and contain many essential crops. Beyond their agronomic value, most legume species are unique among plants because of 105 their ability to fix nitrogen by utilizing symbiosis with rhizobia, in addition to AM fungi symbiosis. 106 Because of the potential functional diversification and specialization of KAI2-ligands, in this 107 study, we examined the physiological and biochemical functions of divergent KAI2 receptors in a 108 109 legume, using Pisum sativum (Ps) as a model. We found that Pisum sativum expresses three distinct KAI2 homologues, two of which, KAI2A and KAI2B have sub-functionalized, while KAI2C 110 is a pseudogene. Using comprehensive biochemical characterization, we show that these divergent 111 receptors display distinct ligand sensitivities and hydrolytic activities. We further substantiate 112 these findings *in planta* by investigating the sensitivities to ligands on hypocotyl elongation in 113 Arabidopsis transgenic complementation lines expressing PsKAI2A and PsKAI2B, as well as 114 studying phenotypic effects in *Pisum sativum* wild-type and *kai2* mutants. Strikingly, KAI2B, was 115 more reactive than KAI2A towards SL/KARs stereoisomers. The diverged receptor was able to 116 117 cleave strigolactone synthetic analog (+)-GR24, although not to the same extend as D14/RMS3, suggesting that PsKAI2B evolved the ability to sense SL-like ligands. To further address this 118 notion, we determine the structure of an evolutionarily diverged PsKAI2B in apo and a unique 119 120 butenolide-bound state at high resolution (1.6 Å and 2.0 Å, respectively). Unlike the D14 α/β hydrolase, mass spectrometry analysis and structural examination reveal a mode of ligand 121 perception and hydrolysis by PsKAI2, that involves an intermediate step in which the catalytic 122 serine is transiently bound to a moiety of the ligand and then form a stable adduct with the catalytic 123 histidine. Altogether, in this study we identify and characterize divergent KAI2 receptors, reveal 124 their distinct function and ligand sensitivities and illuminate KAI2s enzymatic mechanism. Better 125 understanding of the evolution of plant α/β hydrolase receptors and their functional adaptation in 126

127 KAR/KL/SL sensing, in particular in a key crop, will have far-reaching impact on the implications
128 of KAR/KL signaling pathways in agro-systems and food security.

129

130 **Results**

131

132 Identification and characterization of the Pisum sativum KAI2 genes

To characterize the karrikin sensing machinery in legumes, we built a phylogenetic tree of 133 representative legume KAI2s. KAI2 has undergone two independent duplication events in the 134 legume lineage prior to its diversification followed by the loss of KAI2C in the hologalegina clade 135 resulting in distinct KAI2A and KAI2B protein receptors (Fig. 1a and Fig. S1) (38). We focused 136 on the hologalegina representative *Pisum sativum* for which a high-quality, annotated genome 137 sequence has been recently obtained (43). We identified three KAI2 homologs in the pea genome 138 (43) that clearly group within the core KAI2 clade by phylogenetic analysis. One (Psat4g083040) 139 renamed PsKAI2B, grouped in the same subclade as the legume KAI2Bs (including Lotus 140 japonicus, Lj, KAI2B (38)), and two Psat2g169960 and Psat3g014200 respectively termed 141 *PsKAI2A* and *PsKAI2C*, in the same subclade as the legume *KAI2As* (including *LjKAI2A* (38)) 142 (Fig. 1a and Fig. S1). PsKAI2C was detected by PCR in the genomic, but not the cDNA, and 143 appear to be pseudogene because the predicted encoded protein is truncated at 128 amino acids 144 (aa) due to a premature stop codon at 387 nucleotides after the translation initiation site (**Fig. 1b**). 145 By cloning the *PsKAI2A* coding sequence (CDS) we identified two transcripts for this gene, 146 corresponding to two splice variants (Fig. 1b and Fig. S2). The transcript PsKAI2A.1 results from 147 intron splicing and encodes a protein of 305 aa. Thus, this protein shows a C-terminal extension 148 of 33 aa similar to LjKAI2A (Fig. S2), missing in other KAI2 proteins. The PsKAI2A.2 transcript 149 arises from the intron retention and shows a premature STOP codon two nucleotides after the end 150

of the first exon. This leads to a 272 aa protein representing a similar size to other KAI2s described 151 (Fig. 1b and Fig. S2). Due to the similar size, lack of introns, and evolutionary conservation of the 152 residues contained in the PsKAI2A.2 protein, PsKAI2A hereafter will refer to the PsKAI2A.2 153 protein. From this analysis, it is clear that the KAI2 clade has undergone an independent 154 duplication event in the legume lineage, resulting in two functional KAI2A and KAI2B forms (Fig. 155 156 **S1a-b**). To examine potential functional divergence between the PsKAI2A.2 and PsKAI2B forms, we first analyzed the aa sequences and identified notable alterations in key residues, of which 157 numerous are likely to be functional changes as indicated in later analyses (Fig. S3). To further 158 159 characterize divergence of these genes, we studied their expression patterns in various tissues of the Pisum plant (Fig. 1c-d). Interestingly, PsKAI2A was ten-fold more highly expressed in 160 comparison to *PsKAI2B* and the expression in the roots differed between the two forms, suggesting 161 sub-functionalization between transcriptional regulatory sequences of *PsKAI2A* and *PsKAI2B*. 162

163

164 Identification and phenotypic examination of Pskai2a and Pskai2b TILLING mutants

To investigate the function of *PsKAIA* and *PsKAI2B*, mutants in both genes were identified via 165 Targeting-Induced Local Lesions IN Genomes (TILLING) using the mutagenized Caméor 166 167 population (44, 45). Twenty mutations in *PsKAI2A* and sixteen mutations is *PsKAI2B* were identified (Table S1). Among them, five of the *PsKAI2A* and three of the *PsKAI2B* mutations were 168 predicted as non-synonymous and may result in mutated amino acids that compromise the protein 169 170 function (Table S1, Fig. 2a, Fig. S4). The comparison between wild-type and *Pskai2* single mutants revealed no detectable shoot architecture differences in either branch number or in plant 171 172 height. The double mutant *Pskai2a-6 Pskai2b-3* showed a reduce height and decreased branching 173 (Fig. S5a-c). Similar to the other legume L. japonicus and in contrast to Arabidopsis thaliana (38,

46), the root hair length of the double mutant *Pskai2a-6 Pskai2b-3* in pea is not significantly
different from wild-type. Together, under our growth conditions, KAI2 requirement for root hair
elongation differs among plant species/families and may be absent from legumes. (Fig. S5d, e).

178 Karrikin signaling reporter DLK2 expression is mediated by KAI2s in P. sativum roots in a 179 ligand-specific manner

It was recently shown, in L. *japonicus* that the root system architecture can be modulated by KAR₁ 180 but not by KAR₂ treatment, and the expression of the KAR signaling marker gene, DLK2 in roots, 181 182 was responsive only to KAR₁ but not to KAR₂ (38). Furthermore, LjKAI2A and LjKAI2B have distinct ligand-binding specificities since LjKAI2A but not LjKAI2B can perceive (-)-GR24 in 183 vitro. This alteration in perception depends on the divergent amino acid F157/W158 within the 184 ligand binding pocket, and indeed in roots, LjKAI2A but not LjKAI2B can induce DLK2 185 expression in response to (-)-GR24 (38). Intriguingly, because F157/W158 amino acid difference 186 between KAI2A and KAI2B is not conserved across most legumes, this raises the question how 187 pea roots respond to the range of artificial ligands. Thus, we treated pea wild-type, kai2a-6, kai2b-188 3, and kai2a-6 kai2b-3 double mutant roots with KAR₁, KAR₂, (+)-GR24, and (-)-GR24 and 189 190 performed RT-qPCR analysis of *PsDLK2* transcript accumulation (Fig. 2b). Similar to L. *japonicus*, *DLK2* was induced in pea wild-type roots only in response to KAR₁ but not to KAR₂. 191 Interestingly, without treatment, PsDLK2 expression was significantly reduced in both single 192 193 mutants (kai2a-6 and kai2b-3) indicating that KAI2A and KAI2B are not fully redundant and even more so in the double mutant (kai2a-6 kai2b-3) (Fig. 2b). This expression pattern strongly suggests 194 195 that PsKAI2A and PsKAI2B functionally mediate KAR signaling and validates that the kai2a-6 196 and kai2b-3 mutants perturb protein function. Surprisingly, in response to KAR₁, Pskai2a-6 shows

197 only a minor induction of *PsDLK2*, whereas *Pskai2b-3* shows no *PsDLK2* induction. Given that both PsKAI2A and PsKAI2B transcripts accumulated to similar levels (Fig. 2c, d), these data 198 199 suggest that either PsKAI2B protein accumulate to higher levels than PsKAI2A or it is more active in KAR₁ perception. In all genotypes, PsDLK2 was induced by (-)-GR24 treatment, which is 200 consistent with previous studies in Arabidopsis where (-)-GR24 also acts as ligand for the SL 201 202 receptor D14 (46, 47). Unlike the observations with L. japonicus (38), all pea genotypes showed a significant induction of *DLK2* expression in response to (–)-GR24, with a significantly stronger 203 induction in the wild-type and the single mutants as compared to the double mutant. Thus, in pea, 204 (-)-GR24 is not only perceived by KAI2 but to some extent also by the SL receptor D14, similar 205 to previous observations in Arabidopsis (46). However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the 206 mutated proteins are still able to perceive (-)-GR24, even though they lost sensitivity to KAR₁. 207

208

209 PsKAI2A, but not PsKAI2B, rescues inhibition of hypocotyl elongation in Arabidopsis kai2 210 mutants

Since we could not distinguish a possible differential sensitivity of PsKAI2A and PsKAI2B in the 211 pea background (as previously observed in L. japonicus (38)), we performed a cross-species 212 213 complementation by transforming the Arabidopsis *htl-3* (also known as *kai2*) mutant with *PsKAI2A.2* and *PsKAI2B* (Fig. 3). The genes were expressed as fusions with *mCitrine* or *GUS* and 214 driven by the native AtKAI2 promoter (pAtKAI2) (Fig. S6b-c). To test protein functionality we 215 216 performed the widely used hypocotyl elongation assay (33, 39) under low light conditions, which causes an elongated hypocotyl phenotype of the *htl-3* mutant when compared to the wild-type 217 218 Columbia (Col-0). Remarkably, all transgenes, except *PsKAI2B*, completely or partially restored 219 hypocotyl length of *htl-3* to the wild-type length (**Fig. 3**). Also interestingly, all lines (even *htl-3*),

except those complemented with PsKAI2A responded to (-)-GR24 (Fig. 3). We repeated the 220 complementation for the kai2-2 mutant in the Landsberg erecta (Ler) background. The data 221 222 confirmed that the two *PsKAI2A* splice forms restored the reduced hypocotyl length in *kai2-2* but the proteins did not mediate responses to (-)-GR24, whereas PsKAI2B did not restore the wild-223 type hypocotyl length but mediated a response to (-)-GR24 (**Fig. S6**). Interestingly, these results 224 225 suggest that PsKAI2A can perceive endogenous KL in Arabidopsis but does not perceive the synthetic (-)-GR24. In contrast, PsKAI2B is unable to perceive KL but is sensitive to (-)-GR24. 226 These results propose that PsKAI2A is the functional orthologue of Arabidopsis KAI2 while 227 PsKAI2B has diverged and adapted to perceive different ligands. 228

229

230 Altered ligand binding specificity and activity between PsKAI2s

To further investigate the distinct ligand selectivity, we purified PsKAI2 recombinant proteins and 231 studied their ligand-interaction and ligand-enzymatic activities using various complementary 232 assays (Fig. 4-5 and Fig. S7-S9). We first examined PsKAI2A.2 and PsKAI2B ligand interactions 233 via the thermal shift assay (Differential Scanning Fluorimetry, DSF) with various KAI2/D14 234 family ligands including (+) and (-)-GR24 enantiomers (also known as GR24^{5DS} and GR24^{ent-5DS}, 235 respectively), and (+)- and (-)-2'-epi-GR24 (also known as GR24^{ent-5DO} and GR24^{5DO}, 236 respectively) (47) (Fig. 4c-f, Fig. S8). DSF analyses revealed an increased shift in stability in the 237 presence of (-)-GR24 for PsKAI2B as compared to PsKAI2A which has little to no alteration (Fig. 238 239 **4c-f**). The other ligands and enantiomers induce no detectable shift in stability for either receptor. An extensive interaction screen using intrinsic fluorescence confirmed that only the (-)-GR24 240 241 stereoisomer interacts with PsKAI2 proteins (Fig. 4b and Fig. S9), and further corroborating the 242 results of the Arabidopsis hypocotyl elongation and the DSF assays. The calculated K_d shows a higher affinity for (–)-GR24 for PsKAI2B ($K_d = 89.43 \pm 12.13 \mu$ M) than PsKAI2A ($K_d = 115.40 \pm 9.87 \mu$ M).

Because the structural similarity between KAI2s and D14s α/β hydrolases in particular the 245 conserved serine catalytic triad signature of these receptors, we examine the potential catalytic 246 function of KAI2s. The hydrolytic activity of the PsKAI2 proteins towards distinct ligands was 247 248 quantified in comparison to AtD14, AtKAI2, and RMS3. The proteins were incubated with (+)-GR24, (-)-GR24, (+)-2'-epi-GR24 and (-)-2'-epi-GR24 in presence of 1-indanol as an internal 249 standard, followed by ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UHPLC)/UV DAD analysis (Fig. 250 251 5). Interestingly, PsKAI2A can only cleave (-)-GR24, while PsKAI2B is able to cleave (+)-GR24, (-)-GR24 and (-)-2'-epi-GR24 stereoisomers. Among the tested ligands, all enzymes show 252 reduced activity towards (+)-2'-epi-GR24, and among the KAI2s, PsKAI2A had no detectable 253 activity for (+)-2'-epi-GR24. These results strongly indicate that PsKAI2s have distinct 254 stereoselectivity, and PsKAI2B appears to be more reactive. To further investigate the hydrolysis 255 kinetics of PsKAI2 proteins, we performed an enzymatic assay with pro-fluorescent probes that 256 were previously designed for detecting SL hydrolysis (36, 48) (Fig. 4g-h). As expected, PsKAI2A 257 shows no activity towards the probe (\pm) -GC240, similar to AtKAI2, as previously reported (48). 258 259 Strikingly, PsKAI2B is able to cleave (±)-GC240, suggesting yet again that unlike PsKAI2A, PsKAI2B has a ligand stereoselectivity that is similar to AtD14 and RMS3 (Fig. 4g). Since probes 260 without a methyl group, such as dYLG, can serve as the hydrolysis substrates for AtKAI2 (49), 261 262 we tested the activity of KAI2s and D14s using the (\pm) -GC486 probe bearing no methyl on D-ring. Notably, PsKAI2B, RMS3, and AtD14 were able to effectively hydrolyze (±)-GC486, whereas 263 PsKAI2A and AtKAI2 showed little activity (Fig. 4h). Nonetheless, PsKAI2A and AtKAI2 also 264 265 exhibit a biphasic time course of fluorescence, consisting of an initial phase, followed by a plateau

266 phase. Comparative analysis of the kinetic profiles shows that PsKAI2B, RMS3 and AtD14 display 267 a higher plateau (1 μ M versus 0.3 μ M of DiFMU, **Fig. 4h**). Taken together the comparative kinetic 268 analysis substantiates the distinct function of PsKAI2B compared to PsKAI2A not only highlights 269 the unique similarity of PsKAI2B to SL receptors (*8*, *10*, *36*, *40–42*, *48*, *50*) rather than karrikin 270 receptors (*8–10*, *13*, *34–36*, *38*, *49*), but may also offer new insights into the missing link between 271 Karrikin and SL by diverged KAI2s.

272

273 Structural insights into divergence of legume KAI2A and KAI2B

To elucidate the structural basis for the differential ligand selectivity between KAI2A and KAI2B, 274 we determined the crystal structure of PsKAI2B at 1.6 Å resolution (Fig. 6 and Table S2). The 275 PsKAI2B structure shares the canonical α/β hydrolase fold and comprises base and lid domains 276 (Fig. 6a). The core domain contains seven-stranded mixed β -sheets ($\beta 1-\beta 7$), five α -helices (αA , 277 α B, α C, α E and α F) and five 3₁₀ helices (η 1, η 2, η 3, η 4, and η 5). The helical lid domain (residues 278 124–195, Fig. S3) is positioned between strands $\beta 6$ and $\beta 7$ and forms two parallel layers of V-279 shaped helices (aD1-4) that create a deep pocket area adjoining the conserved catalytic Ser-His-280 Asp triad site (Fig. 6b and Fig. S3). Despite the sequence variation (77% similarity between 281 PsKAI2B and AtKAI2, Fig. S3), we did not observe major structural rearrangements between 282 PsKAI2B and the previously determined Arabidopsis KAI2 structure (51) as shown by an Root 283 Mean Squared Deviation (RMSD) of 0.35 Å for superposition of backbone atoms (Fig. 6b). 284 Nonetheless, further structural comparative analyses have identified two residue alterations in 285 positions 129 and 147 within the lid domain which appear to slightly alter the backbone atoms and 286 generally distinguish legume KAI2 proteins from KAI2s in other species (Fig. S3 and Fig. 6b). 287 The asparagine residue in position 129 is more unique to legume KAI2As, and the legume alanine 288

or serine in position 147 has diverged from bulky polar residues compared to other KAI2s.
Therefore, it is likely that these amino acids variations play role in downstream events rather than
directly modulate distinct ligand perception.

To further determine the differential ligand specificity between PsKAI2A and PsKAI2B, we 292 utilized the PsKAI2B crystal structure reported here to generate a high probability 3D model for 293 294 PsKAI2A. As expected, PsKAI2A structure exhibits a similar backbone atom arrangement (RMSD of 0.34 Å) that parallels the PsKAI2B structure (Fig. 7a). Nonetheless, we identified eight 295 significant divergent amino acids between the two structures including residues involved in 296 297 forming the ligand binding pocket as well as solvent-exposed regions (Fig. 7b-d and Fig. S10ab). Because these variants are evolutionarily conserved across legumes, the analysis of the 298 underlined residues not only distinguishes between KAI2A and KAI2B in Pisum but can be 299 extrapolated to other legume KAI2A/B diverged proteins. Structural comparative analysis within 300 the ligand-binding pocket shows divergent solvent accessibility between PsKAI2A and PsKAI2B 301 (Fig. 7b). PsKAI2B exhibits a structural arrangement that results in a larger volume of the 302 hydrophobic pocket (125.4 A^3) yet with a smaller entrance circumference (30.3 Å) than PsKAI2A 303 (114.8 A³ and 33.6 Å, respectively, Fig. 7b). Further in silico docking experiments of (-)-GR24 304 305 with PsKAI2B results in a successful docking of the ligand that is totally buried in the pocket and positioned in a pre-hydrolysis orientation nearby the catalytic triad. In contrast, docking 306 experiments of (-)-GR24 with PsKAI2A results in more restricted interaction where the ligand is 307 308 partially outside the pocket (Fig. S10c). Notably, there are five key residues that are found to directly alter the pocket morphology (Fig. 7c and Fig. S10a-b). Among these residues, 309 310 L160/S190/M218 in PsKAI2A and the corresponding residues, M160/L190/L218 in PsKAI2B are 311 of particular interest because of their functional implications in the pocket volume and solvent

accessibility (Fig. 7d). Interestingly, the variant in position 218 places it in the center of the Asp 312 loop (D-loop, region between β 7 and αE , Fig. 7c-d), that has been recently suggested to impact 313 D14 protein-protein interactions in SL signaling (52, 53). Residues 160 and 190 are of great 314 interest because of their direct effect on ligand accessibility and binding pocket size. Residue 160 315 is positioned at the entrance of the ligand-binding pocket in helix $\alpha D2$, thus the substitution of 316 317 leucine (L160 in KAI2A) to methionine (M160 in KAI2B) results in modifying the circumference of PsKAI2B pocket entrance (Fig. 7b-d). While both L160 and M160 represent aliphatic non-polar 318 residues, the relative low hydrophobicity of methionine as well as its higher plasticity are likely to 319 320 play a major role in modifying the ligand pocket. The conserved divergence in residue 190 (S190 in PsKAI2A and L190 in PsKAI2B, Fig. 7d) is positioned in helix aD4 and represents a major 321 structural arrangement at the back of the ligand envelope. Because leucine has moderate flexibility 322 compared to serine and much higher local hydrophobicity, this variation largely attributes to the 323 changes in the pocket volume as well as fine-tunes available ligand orientations. 324

To further examine whether the diverged residues directly impact ligand perception. We produced 325 the recombinant reciprocal swap mutants PsKAI2A.2^{L160M, S190L} and PsKAI2B^{M160L, L190S}, and 326 tested their sensitivity to selected ligands by DSF. Remarkably, the swap of PsKAI2A.2 and 327 PsKAI2B at residues 160 and 190 resulted in a loss of sensitivity of PsKAI2B^{M160L, L190S} towards 328 (-)-GR24 ligand, yet with no gain of sensitivity of PsKAI2A.2^{L160M, S190L} (Fig. S11). This 329 demonstrates that the variation in residues 160 and 190 in KAI2s are necessary for ligand 330 331 perception and selectivity but may not be entirely sufficient for PsKAI2B perception of (-)-GR24. Notably, structural analysis of the ligand-envelopes of PsKAI2A.2^{L160M, S190L} and PsKAI2B^{M160L,} 332 ^{L190S} in comparison to their WT counterparts further corroborates the adapted pocket size in 333 PsKAI2B^{M160L, L190S}, which explain the observed loss of sensitivity to (-)-GR24 (Fig. S11e). 334

Structural and functional elucidation of ligand hydrolysis mechanism by PsKAI2B receptor 336 To examine the molecular interaction of PsKAI2B with the enantiomer (-)-GR24, we co-337 crystallized and determined the structure of PsKAI2B-(-)-GR24 at 2.0 Å resolution (Fig. 8a and 338 **Table S2**). Electron density map analysis of the ligand-binding pocket revealed the existence of a 339 340 unique ring-shaped occupancy that is contiguously linked to the catalytic serine (S95) (Fig. 8a-b). The structural comparison of the backbone atoms between apo-PsKAI2B and PsKAI2B- (-)-GR24 341 did not reveal significant differences (Fig. S12a) and is in agreement with previously reported apo 342 and ligand bound D14/KAI2 crystal structures (9, 10, 21, 32, 41). This similarity suggests that a 343 major conformational change may indeed occur as proposed for D14 (53). It may happen after the 344 nucleophilic attack of the catalytic serine and the (-)-GR24 cleavage which is likely to be a highly 345 unstable state for crystal lattice formation. Further analysis suggests that the 5-hydroxy-3-346 methylbutenolide (D-OH ring), resulting from the (-)-GR24 cleavage, is trapped in the catalytic 347 site (Fig. S12b-d). The lack of a defined electron density fitting with the tricyclic lactone (ABC 348 ring) may exclude the presence of the intact GR24 molecule. Other compounds present in the 349 crystallization condition were tested for their ability to occupy the S95-contiguous density, and the 350 351 D-OH group of (-)-GR24 demonstrated the highest calculated correlation coefficient (CC) score and the best fit in the PsKAI2B co-crystal structure (Fig. S12c). Additional tests of D-OH binding 352 including in silico docking simulations and analyses revealed a high affinity for D-OH in a specific 353 354 orientation and in agreement with the structure presented here (Fig. S12d). The most probable orientation of the D-OH positions the methyl group (C4') together with the hydroxyl group of D-355 OH towards the very bottom/back of the pocket near the catalytic serine, where the O5" atom is 356 357 coordinated by both N atoms of F26 and V96 (Fig. 8b-c). The hemiacetal group (C2') of D-OH is

oriented towards the access groove of the pocket with angles (between carbon and oxygen atoms) 358 supporting the captured D-OH in an orientation in which cleavage of the intact (-)-GR24 may have 359 taken place. The C5' of D-OH appears to form a covalent bond with Oy of S95 (dark gray line in 360 361 Fig. 8c) and generates a tetrahedral carbon atom. The overall positioning of this molecule is strictly coordinated by F26, H246, G25, and I193 residues. Notably, the electron density around the S95 362 does not display an open D-OH group (2,4,4,-trihydroxy-3-methyl-3-butenal as previously 363 described for OsD14 (21)) that could directly result from the nucleophilic attack event, but rather 364 more closely corresponds to a cyclized D-OH ring linked to the S95. The D-OH ring is likely to 365 366 be formed by water addition to the carbonyl group at C2' that is generated after cleavage of the enol function and cyclization to re-form the butenolide (Fig. 8d). Based on the suggested 367 mechanism of SL hydrolysis by D14 (36, 48, 50, 53), we propose that the formation of the S95-368 adduct serves as a highly transient intermediate before its transfer to the catalytic histidine residue. 369 Therefore, to further examine (-)-GR24 catalysis by PsKAI2s, we recorded mass spectrometry 370 (MS) spectra under denaturing conditions with PsKAI2B and PsKAI2A. As expected, a mass shift 371 occurred corresponding to an intermediate covalently bound to PsKAI2s (Fig S13a-d). Following 372 digestion, a peptide with additional mass of 96 Da was detected on the catalytic residue H246, 373 further corroborating the transient nature of S95-adduct that was capture in the crystal structure 374 (Fig. S13e-f). Collectively, the crystal structure of PsKAI2B bound to GR24 and the MS data show 375 transient and stable intermediates attached to \$95 and H246 of the catalytic triad and reveal the 376 mode of action of KAI2 as receptors and enzymes (Fig. 8d). 377

378

379 Discussion

The coevolution between receptors and ligands in diverse environments throughout plant evolution
is of wide interest in many biological fields. In particular, characterization of the emerging

karrikin/KL signaling in non-fire following plants has been of increasing importance in plant 382 signaling at large. While there are many missing pieces in the karrikin/KL signaling puzzle, it is 383 clear that KAI2 is the key sensor in this pathway(s). The striking evolutionary conservation of 384 KAI2 receptors in all land plants is not fully explained by the limited natural occurrence of smoke-385 derived karrikin molecules as well as non-fire following species. Also, an increasing number of 386 387 studies suggests that the functions of KAI2s are preserved to regulate plant development and response to stresses by perceiving KL signals from either internal or external sources. Most 388 importantly, the intriguing potential dual function of KAI2s as receptors and enzymes remained 389 390 largely elusive. Here, we identified and characterized the KAI2 receptors in pea (P. sativum) that serve as representatives to examine KAI2 sub-functionalization in legumes. The identification of 391 both PsKAI2A and PsKAI2B genes corroborates the recent finding that the KAI2 gene duplication 392 event occurred in Papilionoidaea before the diversification of legumes (38). The similarities in 393 gene expression patterns are found between pea and Lotus with globally higher expression of 394 KAI2A in comparison to KAI2B and higher expression KAI2B versus KAI2A in roots, depending 395 on whether the plants are grown in pots or on Petri dishes. In the characterization of the PsKAI2 396 TILLING mutant lines, the effects on branching and root hair phenotypes were not significant. 397 398 Thus, future studies of pea *Pskai2a/b* mutants will further delineate the distinct physiological functions, in particularly their symbiotic relationship with AM fungi and the differential expression 399 patterns in the roots. Interestingly, both pea single mutants can induce the expression of PsDLK2 400 401 in response to (-)-GR24, which is in agreement with the observation of (-)-GR24 perception by L. japonicus KAI2B (38) and substantiate the hindering effect of W158 in (-)-GR24 perception 402 403 that is absent from pea PsKAI2s. Yet our DSF analyses suggest that PsKAI2B differs from its 404 ortholog from L. japonicus, which is not destabilized by (-)-GR24 due to a rare phenylalanine to

tryptophan exchange at position 158 at the binding pocket (*38*). The arabidopsis complementation
experiments with pea KAI2s verified the distinct functionalities of PsKAI2A and PsKAI2B,
wherein PsKAI2A most closely resembles AtKAI2 complementation in hypocotyl elongation
assays indicating sensitivity to the endogenous KL, while PsKAI2B and not PsKAI2A is able to
rescue the sensitivity of the *htl-3* and *kai2-2* mutants to (–)-GR24.

410 The occurrences of molecular coevolution of ligands and their specialized receptors have been previously demonstrated for phytohormones such as SL (55), ABA (56), GA (57), and more 411 recently, karrikins (35, 38). Even though the exact identity of KL remains to be revealed, it is 412 thought that the ligands likely share a common chemical composition to SLs. As such, it has been 413 recently shown that one enantiomer of the artificial SL analogue, rac-GR24, can function by 414 binding KAI2 in Arabidopsis (9, 39, 49). Here we show that PsKAI2B can form stronger 415 interactions with the enantiomer (-)-GR24, compared to PsKAI2A. Moreover, we found that while 416 both KAI2s are active hydrolases, they have distinct binding affinity and stereoselectivity towards 417 GR24 stereoisomers. These findings indicate that sub-functionalization of KAI2s via substitutions 418 in only few amino acids can greatly alter ligand affinity, binding, enzymatic activity, and probably 419 signaling with downstream partners (34, 38). 420

To better elucidate PsKAI2A/B molecular divergence and their dual receptor-enzyme function, we carried out extensive biochemical and structural studies. KAI2/D14 crystal structures have greatly impacted our understanding of their receptor ligand-binding pockets and their ability to not only accommodate, but also hydrolyze certain ligands (*9*, *21*, *32*, *34*, *40–42*). The crystal structure of legume PsKAI2B together with the PsKAI2A homology model reported here, further substantiates the structural basis of this differential ligand selectivity. We identified conserved key amino acid changes that alter the shape of the pocket and confer altered ligand specificities. These

atomic structures of legume KAI2 enabled us to analyze the distinction between key residues 428 L160/S190/M218 in PsKAI2A and the corresponding residues M160/L190/L218 in PsKAI2B. 429 Further swap experiments between residues 160 and 190 confirmed that these residues are 430 necessary for the sensitivity of PsKAI2B for (-)-GR24, but not sufficient to bring PsKAI2A to 431 similar sensitivities. These findings support recent *in planta* studies that demonstrate that residues 432 160 and 190 are required for differential ligand specificity between Lotus KAI2A and KAI2B (38). 433 Furthermore, the residue in position 190 was also identified in the parasitic plant Striga 434 hermonthica as being involved in forming differential specificity pockets between the highly 435 variable and functionally distinct HTLs (31, 32). While the changes in positions 160 and 190 436 directly reshape the pocket morphology, the variant in position 218 is located in the center of the 437 D-loop that has been suggested in downstream protein-protein interactions (52, 53). Therefore, the 438 conserved substitution of KAI2A and KAI2B in M218 to L218 respectively across legumes may 439 also contribute to downstream interaction(s). Based on the analogy with the D14-MAX2 440 perception mechanism, the KAI2 receptor is likely to adopt different conformational states upon 441 ligand binding and cleavage. As such, the identification of unique residue variations in the lid 442 (between KAI2A and KAI2B, respectively in positions 129 and 147) reported here, infer a sub-443 444 functionalization in the receptor regions that are likely to be involved in MAX2 and/or SMAX1 and/or SMXL2 downstream interactions. Therefore, it remains to be further elucidated whether 445 these distinctive residues play a role in fine tuning the formation of the protein complex with 446 447 MAX2-SMAX1/SMXL2.

The crystal structure of ligand-bound PsKAI2B provides a unique mechanistic view of perception and cleavage by KAI2s. Based on the crystallization conditions and following a detailed investigation of the electron density, we were able to overrule common chemicals and place the (–

)-GR24 D-OH ring with higher relative fitting values than other components. The absence of 451 positive electron density peaks corresponding to the intact (-)-GR24, and thus the presence of only 452 the D-OH ring, raise questions of whether the S95-D-OH adduct recapitulates a pre- or post-453 cleavage intermediate state of (-)-GR24. The possibility that the trapped molecule represents a 454 post cleavage state is intriguing and may provide a new intermediate state where S95 is covalently 455 456 linked to the cleavage product. As such, the S95-D-OH adduct suggested here could explain the single turnover cycle that was observed for KAI2s in this study. While early structural study of 457 D14 hydrolysis also positioned the catalytic serine with a covalent adduct, (21) other studies of the 458 single turnover activity of D14 suggest that a covalent intermediate is in fact formed between the 459 catalytic histidine and serine (53). The chemical similarity of the D-OH butenolide ring of karrikin 460 and GR24 suggests that the KL signal may share a parallel structure and perhaps is biochemically 461 processed via multiple steps and intermediate adducts. Therefore, the significance of this finding 462 may also shed light on SL perception and cleavage by D14, which are still elusive. While the MS 463 data corroborate a mass shift corresponding to an intermediate covalently bound to KAI2s, the 464 adduct was detected more significantly on the catalytic histidine rather than on the serine. This 465 data is in agreement with the expected transient nature of the serine nucleophilic attack, and the 466 467 more stable adduct that can be formed on the catalytic histidine. Collectively, the crystal structure of PsKAI2B bound to enantiomeric SL synthetic analog and the MS data reveal, for the first time, 468 the mode of action of KAI2 not only as receptors but also as bona fide enzymes. Beyond the 469 470 importance of illuminating the stereoselectivity of ligand perception diverged KAI2 receptors in KAR/KL signaling pathways, our data strongly suggest the through the evolution of KAI2 471 472 enzymes, specific structural and functional adaptation diverged to enable more extended 473 sensitivities to KAR/KL and SL and SL-like molecules by KAI2B.

Here, we elucidate the molecular basis for functional divergence of KAI2 receptors, focusing on a pea as model legume. Because of their ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen through plant– rhizobium symbiosis, legume crops such as pea or fava bean are attracting increasing attention for their agroecological potential. Thus, better understanding of KAR/KL perception and signaling in these key crops may have far-reaching impacts on agro-systems and food security.

- 479
- 480
- 481 Methods
- 482

483 **Protein sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree analyses**

Representative KAI2 sequences of 41 amino acid sequences were downloaded from Phytozome 484 and specific genome databases as shown in Fig. S1. Alignment was performed in MEGA X (58) 485 using the MUSCLE multiple sequence alignment algorithm (59). Sequence alignment graphics 486 were generated using CLC Genomics Workbench v12. The evolutionary history was inferred by 487 using the Maximum Likelihood method and JTT matrix-based model (60). Initial tree(s) for the 488 heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to 489 a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the JTT model, and then selecting the topology with 490 superior log likelihood value. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered 491 together is shown next to the branches (61). Tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured 492 in the number of substitutions per site. Analysis involved 41 amino acid sequences with a total of 493 494 327 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X (58).

495 *RT-PCR analyses*

For PsKAI2A splicing variant detection, PCR reactions were performed using 1 μ l of cDNA or genomic DNA sample in a final reaction mixture (20 μ l) containing 2 μ l of 10 x PCR buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific), 0.25 μ L of 25 mM dNTPs, 0.25 μ l of each primer at 10 μ M, and 1 unit of Dream Taq DNA polymerase (ThermoFisher Scientific). Primer sequences are indicated in **Table S3**. PCR was performed in the following conditions: 94 °C/5 min, 94 °C/30 s, 58 °C/30 s,
72 °C/1 min for 30 cycles. Half of each PCR product was loaded onto Ethidium bromide
stained 1% agarose gels in TAE buffer, stained with ethidium bromide, and photographed with
Molecular Imager[®] Gel Doc[™] XR System (BioRad)

504

505 Constructs and generation of transgenic lines

The expression vectors for transgenic Arabidopsis were constructed by MultiSite Gateway Three-506 507 Fragment Vector Construction kit (Invitrogen). AtKAI2 and PsKAI2A.2 constructs were tagged with 6xHA epitope tag, mCitrine protein or GUS protein at their C-terminus. Lines were resistant 508 to hygromycin. The AtKAI2 native promoter (0.7 kb) was cloned into the pDONR-P4P1R vector, 509 using Gateway recombination (Invitrogen) as described in (62). The 6xHA with linker and 510 mCitrine tags were cloned into pDONR-P2RP3 (Invitrogen) as described in de Saint Germain et 511 al. (48). PsKAI2A.1, PsKAI2A.2 and PsKAI2B CDS were PCR amplified from Pisum cv. Térèse 512 cDNA with the primers specified in Table S3.and then recombined into the pDONR221 vector 513 (Invitrogen). The suitable combination of AtKAI2 native promoter, AtKAI2, PsKAI2A.1, 514 PsKAI2A.2 or PsKAI2B and 6XHA, mCitrine or GUS was cloned into the pH7m34GW final 515 destination vectors by using the three fragment recombination system (63) and were thusly named 516 517 proAtKAI2:AtKAI2-6xHA, proAtKAI2:AtKAI2-mcitrine, proAtKAI2:AtKAI2-GUS, 518 proAtKAI2:PsKAI2A.1-6xHA, proAtKAI2:PsKAI2A.2-mcitrine, proAtKAI2:PsKAI2A.2-GUS, proAtKAI2:PsKAI2B-6XHA, proAtKAI2:PsKAI2B-GUS and proAtKAI2:PsKAI2B-mcitrine.. 519 Transformation of Arabidopsis htl-3 or kai2-2 mutant was performed according to the 520 521 conventional floral dipping method (64), with Agrobacterium strain GV3101. For each construct,

only a few independent T1 lines were isolated, and all lines were selected in T2. Phenotypic
analysis shown in Fig. 1e was performed on the T3 homozygous lines.

524

525 **Protein extraction and immunoblotting.**

Total protein extract was prepared from 8 to 10, 10 day-old Arabidopsis seedling in Laemmli 526 527 buffer and boiled for 5 min. Total protein were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad) probed with anti-HA primary antibody (1:10000; 528 529 SIGMA H9658-100UL Lot#128M4789V) and then anti-mouse-IgG-HRP secondary antibody (1:10000; SIGMA A9044-2ML-100UL Lot#029M4799V) or with anti-GFP primary antibody 530 (1:10000; CHROMTEK 3H9-100 Lot#60706001AB) and then anti-rat-IgG-HRP secondary 531 antibody (1:10000; SIGMA A9037-1ML Lot#SLCF6775). Ponceau staining was used as a loading 532 control. 533

534

535 Identification of Pskai2a and Pskai2b Targeting-Induced Local Lesions IN Genomes

536 (TILLING) mutants

537 The mutagenized population in the pea cultivar (cv.) Caméor was used as a TILLING resource. 538 For obtaining mutants in *PsKAI2A*, TILLING analysis was performed on 5000 families within one 539 amplicon of 1068 bp using nested primers (N1, N2) with PsKAI2A_N1F primer and PsKAI2A_N1R primer PsKAI2A_N2Ftag primer and PsKAI2A_N2Rtag primer Primers are 540 indicated in **Table S3**. The enzymatic mutation detection technique based on the mismatch specific 541 542 endonuclease ENDO1 was used. For PsKAI2B, the mutation detection system by sequencing and described in (65) was used. Two amplicons of 381 and 401 bp were screened in 2500 families. 543 Primers are indicated in Table S3. Prediction of the amino acid changes that affect protein function 544 was made using the SIFT program (sift.jcvi.org/). 545

546 M3 and M4 seeds from lines carrying mutations in the *PsKAI2A* and *PsKAI2B* genes were

547 genotyped for homozygous mutant plants; these plants were backcrossed once (alleles *Pskai2a-4*,

548 *Pskai2b-1*, *Pskai2b-2*) to three or four times (alleles *Pskai2a-2*, *Pskai2a-6*, *Pskai2b-3*) to the cv.

549 Caméor. BC1-F3 and M5 single mutant plants were crossed for obtaining the *Pskai2a-6 Pskai2b*-

550 *3* double mutant.

551

552 Plant material and growth conditions.

For branching quantification, *Pisum sativum* plants were grown in glasshouse (23°C day/ 15°C night) under a 16-h photoperiod (the natural daylength was extended or supplemented during the day when necessary using sodium lamps) in pots filled with clay pellets, peat, and soil (1:1:1) supplied regularly with nutrient solution. Nodes were numbered acropetally from the first scale leaf as node 1.

558

559 Root hair assay

Pisum sativum Cameor wild-type, Pskai2a-3, Pskai2b-6, Pskai2a-3 Pskai2a-6 seeds were surface 560 sterilized with 1% NaClO, washed 5 times, and incubated for 2 hours in sterile water. Imbibed 561 562 seeds were germinated on ¹/₂ MS, pH 5.8 containing 1% agar at 4°C for 3 days in the dark. Seedlings were grown in axenic conditions on 12x12 cm square Petri dishes at 24°C with 16-h-563 light/8-h-dark cycles. To assess root hair length, images of the primary root tips of 10-day old 564 565 seedlings were taken with a Zeiss Discovery V8 microscope equipped with a Zeiss Axiocam 503 camera. Root hair length was measured for a minimum of 8 roots per genotype for 8 different root 566 hairs per root, between 10 and 20 mm from the root tip using Fiji as described (66). For root-hair 567 568 length measurements a Welch t-test, p-value < 0.05 and for RT-qPCR analysis a Kruskal-Wallis Test with post-hoc Student's t-test, p<0.05 were performed using R statistical environment (https://www.r-project.org/). For the Kruskal-Wallis Test the R-package agricolae (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=agricolae) was used.

572

573 Treatment for analysis of transcript accumulation

For treatments with KARs and GR24 enantiomers, 10-day old seedlings grown on Petri dishes as described above, were placed with their roots into 50 ml amber Falcon tubes filled with $\frac{1}{2}$ MS solution for 24 h to allow the seedlings to adapt to the new growth system. For the treatment the growth media was exchanged with $\frac{1}{2}$ MS solution containing 3µM Karrikin₁, Karrikin₂, (www.olchemim.cz), (+)-GR24 or (-)-GR24 (www.strigolab.eu) and seedlings were incubated with their shoots in the light for 4 hours.

580 Analysis of transcript accumulation by RT-qPCR

For analysis of transcript levels by RT-qPCR presented in figure 1, total RNA was isolated from 581 28 days old plant for flower and flower bud and from 10 days old plants for all other tissues, using 582 TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's protocol. DNase treatment was 583 performed to remove DNA using the Qiagen RNase-Free DNase Set (79254) and the RNeasy Mini 584 Kit (74904) and eluted in 50 mL of RNase-free water. RNA was quantified using NanoDrop 1000 585 and migrated on gels to check RNA non-degradation. Total cDNA was synthesized from 2 mg of 586 total RNA using 50 units of RevertAid H Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase in 587 30 µL following the manufacturer's instructions with poly(T)18 primer. cDNA was diluted 10 588 times before subsequent analysis. Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR analyses were adapted 589 from (67). They were performed using SsoAdvancedTM Universal SYBR® Green SuperMix 590 (Biorad). Cycling conditions for amplification were 95°C for 10 min, 50 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, 591

592 62° C for 5 s, and 72°C for 15 s, followed by 0.1°C s–1 ramping up to 95°C for fusion curve 593 characterization. Two biological repeats were analyzed in duplicate. To calculate relative transcript 594 levels, the comparative cycle method based on non-equal efficiencies was used (*68*). Transcript 595 levels for the different genes were expressed relative to the expression of the *PsACTIN* gene. 596 Primers are indicated in **Table S3**.

For analysis of transcript levels by RT-qPCR presented in Fig. 2, plant tissue was rapidly shock 597 frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground to a fine powder with a mortar and pestle. RNA was extracted 598 using the Spectrum Plant Total RNA Kit (www.sigmaaldrich.com). The RNA was treated with 599 Invitrogen DNAse I amp. grade (www.invitrogen.com) and tested for purity by PCR. cDNA 600 synthesis was performed with 1 µg RNA using the iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (www.Biorad.com). 601 cDNA was diluted in water in a 1:10 ratio and 1µl was used for RT-PCR was performed with an 602 iCycler (Biorad, www.bio-rad.com/) using a Green MasterMix (Jena Bioscience, highROX, 2x 603 conc.). Thermal cycler conditions were: 95°C 2 min, 45 cycles of 95°C 30 sec, 60°C 30sec and 604 72°C 20 sec followed by dissociation curve analysis. Expression levels were calculated according 605 to the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method (69). For each genotype and treatment three to four biological replicates were 606 monitored and each sample was represented by two technical replicates. Transcript levels for the 607 608 different genes were expressed relative to the expression of the *PsTUB* gene, Accession:X54844, (70). Primers are indicated in Table S3. 609

610

611 Hypocotyl elongation assays.

Arabidopsis seeds were surface sterilized by consecutive treatments of 5 min 70% (v/v) ethanol with 0.05% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and 5 min 95% (v/v) ethanol. Then seeds were sown on half-strength Murashige and Skoog ($\frac{1}{2}$ MS) media (Duchefa Biochemie) containing 1% agar, supplemented with 1 μ M (–)-GR24 or with 0.01 % DMSO (control). Seeds were stratified at 4 °C (2 days in dark) then transferred to the growth chamber at 22 °C, under 20-30 μ E /m²/sec of white light in long day conditions (16 hr light/ 8 hr dark). Seedlings were photographed and hypocotyl lengths were quantified using ImageJ (71). 2 plates of 10-12 seeds were sown for each genotype x treatment. Using Student t-tests, no statistically significantly different means were detected between plates. The data from the 20-24 seedlings were then used for a one-way ANOVA.

621

622 Chemicals

Enantiopure GR24 isomers were obtained as described in de Saint Germain et al. (*48*) or purchased
from StrigoLab. Karrikin₁ and Karrikin₂ were purchased from Olchemim. Profluorescent probes
(GC240, GC486) were obtained as described in de Saint Germain et al. (*48*, 72).

626

627 **Protein preparation and purification**

PsKAI2A.2, PsKAI2B, and all described mutants were independently cloned and expressed as a 628 6× His-SUMO fusion proteins from the expression vector pAL (Addgene). These were cloned 629 utilizing primers in **Table S3**. BL21 (DE3) cells transformed with the expression plasmid were 630 631 grown in LB broth at 16 °C to an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.8 and induced with 0.2 mM IPTG for 16 h. Cells were harvested, re-suspended and lysed in extract buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 5 632 mM imidazole, 4% Glycerol). All His-SUMO-PsKAI2s were isolated from soluble cell lysate by 633 Ni-NTA resin. The His-SUMO-PsKAI2 was eluted with 250 mM imidazole and subjected to 634 635 anion-exchange. The eluted protein was than cleaved with TEV (tobacco etch virus) protease overnight at 4 °C. The cleaved His-SUMO tag was removed by passing through a Nickel 636 Sepharose and PsKAI2 was further purified by chromatography through a Superdex-200 gel 637

638 filtration column in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, 1% Glycerol. All proteins 639 were concentrated by ultrafiltration to $3-10 \text{ mg/mL}^{-1}$. RMS3, AtD14, AtKAI2 were expressed in 640 bacteria with TEV cleavable GST tag, purified and used as described in de Saint Germain et al. 641 (48).

642

643 Enzymatic hydrolysis of GR24 isomers by purified proteins

Ligands (10 µM) were incubated without and with purified proteins (5 µM) for 150 min at 25 °C 644 in PBS (0.1 mL, pH 6.8) in presence of (±)-1-indanol (100 µM) as the internal standard. The 645 solutions were acidified to pH 1 with 10% trifluoroacetic acid in CH₃CN (v/v) (2 µL) to quench 646 the reaction and centrifuged (12 min, 12,000 tr/min). Thereafter the samples were subjected to RP-647 UPLC-MS analyses using Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography system equipped with a 648 PDA and a Triple Quadrupole mass spectrometer Detector (Acquity UPLC-TQD, Waters, USA). 649 RP-UPLC (HSS C₁₈ column, 1.8 µm, 2.1 mm × 50 mm) with 0.1% formic acid in CH₃CN and 650 0.1% formic acid in water (aq. FA, 0.1%, v/v, pH 2.8) as eluents [10% CH₃CN, followed by linear 651 gradient from 10 to 100% of CH₃CN (4 min)] was carried out at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min. The 652 detection was performed by PDA using the TQD mass spectrometer operated in Electrospray 653 ionization positive mode at 3.2 kV capillary voltage. The cone voltage and collision energy were 654 optimized to maximize the signal and were respectively 20 V for cone voltage and 12 eV for 655 collision energy and the collision gas used was argon at a pressure maintained near $4.5.10^{-3}$ mBar. 656

657

658 Enzymatic assay with pro-fluorescent probes

Enzymatic assay and analysis have been carried out as described in de Saint Germain et al. (48),

using a TriStar LB 941 Multimode Microplate Reader from Berthold Technologies. The

661 experiments were repeated three times.

662

663 **Protein melting temperatures**

Differential Scanning Fluorimetry (DSF) experiments were performed on a CFX96 TouchTM 664 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, California, USA) using 665 excitation and emission wavelengths of 490 and 575 nm, respectively. Sypro Orange ($\lambda_{ex}/\lambda_{em}$: 666 470/570 nm; Life Technologies Co., Carlsbad, California, USA) was used as the reporter dye. 667 Samples were heat-denatured using a linear 25 to 95 °C gradient at a rate of 1.3 °C per minute 668 after incubation at 25 °C for 30 min in the absence of light. The denaturation curve was obtained 669 using CFX manager[™] software. Final reaction mixtures were prepared in triplicate in 96-well 670 white microplates, and each reaction was carried out in 20 µL scale in Phosphate buffer saline 671 (PBS) (100 mM Phosphate, pH 6.8, 150 mM NaCl) containing 6 µg protein (such that final 672 reactions contained 10 µM protein), 0-1000 µM ligand (as shown on the Fig. 4c-f and Fig. S8b-673 e), 4% (v/v) DMSO, and 0.008 μ L Sypro Orange. Plates were incubated in darkness for 30 minutes 674 before analysis. In the control reaction, DMSO was added instead of ligand. All experiments were 675 repeated three times. 676

677

678 Intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence assays and kinetics

Intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence assays and determination of the dissociation constant K_D has
been performed as described in de Saint Germain et al. (48), using the Spark® Multimode
Microplate Reader from Tecan.

682 Crystallization, data collection and structure determination

The crystals of PsKAI2B were grown at 25 °C by the hanging-drop vapor diffusion method with 683 1.0 µL purified protein sample mixed with an equal volume of reservoir solution containing 0.1 M 684 HEPES pH 7.5, 2.75% PEG 2000, 2.75% v/v PEG 3350, 2.75% v/v PEG 4000, 2.75% v/v PEG-685 ME 5000. The crystals of PsKAI2B in complex with (-)-GR24 were grown at 25 °C by the 686 hanging-drop vapor diffusion method with 1.0 µL purified protein complex (preincubated with 1 687 mM (-)-GR24, StrigoLab) and mixed with an equal volume of reservoir solution containing 0.1 688 M HEPES pH 7.5, 2.75% PEG 2000, 2.75% v/v PEG 3350, 2.75% V/V PEG 4000, 2.75% v/v 689 690 PEG-ME 5000, 1 mM (-)-GR24. Crystals of maximum size were obtained and harvested after 2 weeks from the reservoir solution with additional 20% MPD serving as cryoprotectant. X-ray 691 diffraction data was integrated and scaled with HKL2000 package (73). PsKAI2s crystal structures 692 were determined by molecular replacement using the AtKAI2 model (PDB: 5Z9H) (74) as the 693 search model. All structural models were manually built, refined, and rebuilt with PHENIX (75) 694 and COOT (76). 695

696

697 Structural biology modelling and analyses

Model structure illustrations were made by PyMOL (77). PsKAI2A model structure was generated using iTASSER (78). Ligand identification, ligand-binding pocket analyses, and computing solvent accessible surface values analyses were carried out using Phenix LigandFit (75), CASTp software (79), and AutoDock Vina (80), respectively. LigPlot+ program (81) was used for 2-D representation of protein-ligand interactions from standard PDB data format.

703

704 Direct electrospray ionization – mass spectrometry of PsKAI2 proteins (ESI)-MS under 705 denaturing conditions

Mass spectrometry measurements were performed with an electrospray O-TOF mass spectrometer 706 (Waters) equipped with the Nanomate device (Advion, Inc.). The HD A 384 chip (5 µm I.D. 707 nozzle chip, flow rate range 100-500 nL/min) was calibrated before use. For ESI-MS 708 709 measurements, the Q-TOF instrument was operated in RF quadrupole mode with the TOF data being collected between m/z 400-2990. Collision energy was set to 10 eV and argon was used as 710 711 the collision gas. PsKAI2 proteins (50 μ M) in 50 mM ammonium acetate (pH 6.8) in presence or 712 without (-)-GR24 (500 µM) were incubated for 10 min at room temperature before denaturation in 50% acetonitrile and 1% formic acid. The solutions were directly injected for Mass spectra 713 acquisition or digested before LC-MS/MS analyses. Mass Lynx version 4.1 (Waters) and 714 Peakview version 2.2 (Sciex) software were used for acquisition and data processing, respectively. 715 Deconvolution of multiply charged ions was performed by applying the MaxEnt algorithm (Sciex). 716 717 The average protein masses were annotated in the spectra and the estimated mass accuracy was \pm 2 Da. External calibration was performed with NaI clusters (2 µg/µL, isopropanol/H₂O 50/50, 718 Waters) in the acquisition m/z mass range. 719

720

Acknowledgements: N.S. is supported by NSF-CAREER (Award #2047396) and NSF-EAGER (Award #2028283). This work is also supported by the CHARM3AT Labex program (ANR-11-LABX-39) to F.-D.B.; by AgreenSkills from the European Union in the framework of the Marie-Curie FP7 COFUND People Programme and a fellowship from Saclay Plant Sciences (ANR-17-EUR-0007) to A.d.S.G.; and by the Emmy Noether program (GU1423/1-1) of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) to C.G.. Furthermore, this work was supported by the Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin's Plant Observatory technological platforms. We thank the beamline staff at the Advanced Light Source (U.S. DOE Office of Science User Facility under Contract No. DEAC02-05CH11231, is supported in part by the ALS-ENABLE program funded by the National
Institutes of Health, National Institute of General Medical Sciences, grant P30 GM124169-01).
We thank the facilities and expertise of the I2BC proteomic platform (Proteomic-Gif, SICaPS)
supported by IBiSA, Ile de France Region, Plan Cancer, CNRS and Paris-Sud University

Author Contributions: AM.G., S.T., F.-D.B., C.R., C.G., A.dS.G., and N.S. conceived and 734 designed the experiments. N.S., A.dS.G., and AM.G. conducted the protein purification, 735 736 biochemical and crystallization experiments. J.-P.P. characterized pea Tilling mutants. S.T. performed pea RT-qPCR and root hair assay. P.LB. obtained Arabidopsis complementation lines. 737 A.B., M.D. and C.LS. generated pea TILLING mutant collection. D.C. performed the mass 738 experiments. N.S. and AM.G. determined and analyzed crystal structures and conducted in silico 739 studies. S. T. performed pea root hair assays and gene expression analysis in Fig. 2. AM.G., 740 A.dS.G., and N.S. wrote the manuscript with help from S.T., F.-D.B., C.R. and C.G. 741

Disclosure Statement: N.S. has an equity interest in Oerth Bio and serves on the company's
Scientific Advisory Board.

744 Data and materials availability:

The atomic coordinates of apo and ligand-bound forms of PsKAI2 structures has been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession codes 7K2Z and 7K38, respectively. All relevant data are available from corresponding authors upon request.

748

749 **References**

- G. R. Flematti, E. L. Ghisalberti, K. W. Dixon, R. D. Trengove, A compound from smoke that promotes seed germination. *Science*. **305**, 977 (2004).
- G. R. Flematti, E. D. Goddard-Borger, D. J. Merritt, E. L. Ghisalberti, K. W. Dixon, R. D.
 Trengove, Preparation of 2H-furo[2,3-c]pyran-2-one derivatives and evaluation of their
 germination-promoting activity. J. Agric. Food Chem. 55, 2189–2194 (2007).
- G. R. Flematti, A. Scaffidi, K. W. Dixon, S. M. Smith, E. L. Ghisalberti, Production of the seed germination stimulant karrikinolide from combustion of simple carbohydrates. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 59, 1195–1198 (2011).
- K. W. Dixon, D. J. Merritt, G. R. Flematti, E. L. Ghisalberti, Karrikinolide A
 phytoreactive compound derived from smoke with applications in horticulture, ecological
 restoration and agriculture. *Acta Hortic.* 813 (2009).
- J. C. Stevens, D. J. Merritt, G. R. Flematti, E. L. Ghisalberti, K. W. Dixon, Seed
 germination of agricultural weeds is promoted by the butenolide 3-methyl-2H-furo[2,3c]pyran-2-one under laboratory and field conditions. *Plant Soil.* 298, 113–124 (2007).
- R. L. Long, K. Williams, E. M. Griffiths, G. R. Flematti, D. J. Merritt, J. C. Stevens, S. R.
 Turner, S. B. Powles, K. W. Dixon, Prior hydration of Brassica tournefortii seeds reduces
 the stimulatory effect of karrikinolide on germination and increases seed sensitivity to
 abscisic acid. *Ann. Bot.* 105, 1063–1070 (2010).
- 7. D. C. Nelson, A. Scaffidi, E. A. Dun, M. T. Waters, G. R. Flematti, K. W. Dixon, C. A.
 Beveridge, E. L. Ghisalberti, S. M. Smith, F-box protein MAX2 has dual roles in karrikin and strigolactone signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108, 8897–8902 (2011).
- M. T. Waters, D. C. Nelson, A. Scaffidi, G. R. Flematti, Y. K. Sun, K. W. Dixon, S. M.
 Smith, Specialisation within the DWARF14 protein family confers distinct responses to karrikins and strigolactones in Arabidopsis. *Development*. **139**, 1285–1295 (2012).
- Y. Guo, Z. Zheng, J. J. La Clair, J. Chory, J. P. Noel, Smoke-derived karrikin perception
 by the a/B hydrolase KAI2 from Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 110, 8284–8289
 (2013).
- M. Kagiyama, Y. Hirano, T. Mori, S. Y. Kim, J. Kyozuka, Y. Seto, S. Yamaguchi, T. Hakoshima, Structures of D14 and D14L in the strigolactone and karrikin signaling pathways. *Genes to Cells.* 18, 147–160 (2013).
- J. P. Stanga, S. M. Smith, W. R. Briggs, D. C. Nelson, SUPPRESSOR OF MORE
 AXILLARY GROWTH2 1 Controls Seed Germination and Seedling Development in
 Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* 163, 318–330 (2013).
- D. C. Nelson, G. R. Flematti, J. A. Riseborough, E. L. Ghisalberti, K. W. Dixon, S. M.
 Smitha, Karrikins enhance light responses during germination and seedling development in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **107**, 7095–7100 (2010).
- X. D. Sun, M. Ni, HYPOSENSITIVE to LIGHT, an alpha/beta fold protein, acts
 downstream of ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 to regulate seedling de-etiolation. *Mol. Plant.* 4, 116–126 (2011).

- I4. J. Yao, M. T. Waters, Perception of karrikins by plants: A continuing enigma. *J. Exp. Bot.* **71**, 1774-1781 (2020).
- M. T. Waters, A. Scaffidi, Y. K. Sun, G. R. Flematti, S. M. Smith, The karrikin response system of Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* **79**, 623–631 (2014).
- C. Gutjahr, E. Gobbato, J. Choi, M. Riemann, M. G. Johnston, W. Summers, S.
 Carbonnel, C. Mansfield, S. Y. Yang, M. Nadal, I. Acosta, M. Takano, W. B. Jiao, K.
 Schneeberger, K. A. Kelly, U. Paszkowski, Rice perception of symbiotic arbuscular
 mycorrhizal fungi requires the karrikin receptor complex. *Science*. 350, 1521–1524
 (2015).
- W. Li, K. H. Nguyen, H. D. Chu, C. Van Ha, Y. Watanabe, Y. Osakabe, M. A. Leyva-González, M. Sato, K. Toyooka, L. Voges, M. Tanaka, M. G. Mostofa, M. Seki, M. Seo,
 S. Yamaguchi, D. C. Nelson, C. Tian, L. Herrera-Estrella, L. S. P. Tran, The karrikin
 receptor KAI2 promotes drought resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS Genet.* 13, e1007076 (2017).
- 18. L. Wang, M. T. Waters, S. M. Smith, Karrikin-KAI2 signalling provides Arabidopsis
 seeds with tolerance to abiotic stress and inhibits germination under conditions
 unfavourable to seedling establishment. *New Phytol.* 219, 605–618 (2018).
- A. Scaffidi, M. T. Waters, C. S. Bond, K. W. Dixon, S. M. Smith, E. L. Ghisalberti, G. R.
 Flematti, Exploring the molecular mechanism of karrikins and strigolactones. *Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett.* 22, 3743–3746 (2012).
- K. Yoneyama, Recent progress in the chemistry and biochemistry of strigolactones. J. *Pestic. Sci.* 45, 45–53 (2020).
- 81221.L. H. Zhao, X. Edward Zhou, Z. S. Wu, W. Yi, Y. Xu, S. Li, T. H. Xu, Y. Liu, R. Z. Chen,813A. Kovach, Y. Kang, L. Hou, Y. He, C. Xie, W. Song, D. Zhong, Y. Xu, Y. Wang, J. Li,814C. Zhang, K. Melcher, H. Eric Xu, Crystal structures of two phytohormone signal-815transducing α/β hydrolases: Karrikin-signaling KAI2 and strigolactone-signaling816DWARF14. *Cell Res.* 23, 436–439 (2013).
- K. Akiyama, K. I. Matsuzaki, H. Hayashi, Plant sesquiterpenes induce hyphal branching
 in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Nature*. 435, 824–827 (2005).
- V. Gomez-Roldan, S. Fermas, P. B. Brewer, V. Puech-Pagès, E. A. Dun, J. P. Pillot, F.
 Letisse, R. Matusova, S. Danoun, J. C. Portais, H. Bouwmeester, G. Bécard, C. A.
 Beveridge, C. Rameau, S. F. Rochange, Strigolactone inhibition of shoot branching. *Nature.* 455, 189–194 (2008).
- J. Agusti, S. Herold, M. Schwarz, P. Sanchez, K. Ljung, E. A. Dun, P. B. Brewer, C. A.
 Beveridge, T. Sieberer, E. M. Sehr, T. Greb, Strigolactone signaling is required for auxindependent stimulation of secondary growth in plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 180,
 20242–20247 (2011).
- Y. Kapulnik, P. M. Delaux, N. Resnick, E. Mayzlish-Gati, S. Wininger, C. Bhattacharya,
 N. Séjalon-Delmas, J. P. Combier, G. Bécard, E. Belausov, T. Beeckman, E. Dor, J.
 Hershenhorn, H. Koltai, Strigolactones affect lateral root formation and root-hair
 elongation in Arabidopsis. *Planta*. 233, 209–216 (2011).

26. A. Rasmussen, M. G. Mason, C. de Cuyper, P. B. Brewer, S. Herold, J. Agusti, D. Geelen, 831 832 T. Greb, S. Goormachtig, T. Beeckman, C. A. Beveridge, Strigolactones suppress adventitious rooting in arabidopsis and pea. Plant Physiol. 158, 1976–1987 (2012). 833 27. M. T. Waters, C. Gutjahr, T. Bennett, D. C. Nelson, Strigolactone Signaling and 834 Evolution. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 68, 291-322 (2017). 835 28. C. E. Cook, L. P. Whichard, B. Turner, M. E. Wall, G. H. Egley, Germination of 836 witchweed (striga lutea lour.): Isolation and properties of a potent stimulant. Science. 154, 837 838 1189-1190 (1966). 29. R. Bythell-Douglas, C. J. Rothfels, D. W. D. Stevenson, S. W. Graham, G. K. S. Wong, 839 D. C. Nelson, T. Bennett, Evolution of strigolactone receptors by gradual neo-840 functionalization of KAI2 paralogues. BMC Biol. 15, 1-21 (2017). 841 S. M. Swarbreck, Y. Guerringue, E. Matthus, F. J. C. Jamieson, J. M. Davies, Impairment 842 30. in karrikin but not strigolactone sensing enhances root skewing in Arabidopsis thaliana. 843 Plant J. 98, 607-621 (2019). 844 31. S. Toh, D. Holbrook-Smith, P. J. Stogios, O. Onopriyenko, S. Lumba, Y. Tsuchiya, A. 845 Savchenko, P. McCourt, Structure-function analysis identifies highly sensitive 846 strigolactone receptors in Striga. Science. 350, 203-207 (2015). 847 Y. Xu, T. Miyakawa, H. Nakamura, A. Nakamura, Y. Imamura, T. Asami, M. Tanokura, 32. 848 Structural basis of unique ligand specificity of KAI2-like protein from parasitic weed 849 Striga hermonthica. Sci. Rep. 6, 1–9 (2016). 850 33. M. T. Waters, A. Scaffidi, S. L. Y. Moulin, Y. K. Sun, G. R. Flematti, S. M. Smith, A 851 selaginella moellendorffii ortholog of KARRIKIN INSENSITIVE2 functions in 852 arabidopsis development but cannot mediate responses to karrikins or strigolactones. 853 854 Plant Cell. 27, 1925–1944 (2015). 34. M. Bürger, K. Mashiguchi, H. J. Lee, M. Nakano, K. Takemoto, Y. Seto, S. Yamaguchi, J. 855 856 Chory, Structural Basis of Karrikin and Non-natural Strigolactone Perception in Physcomitrella patens. Cell Rep. 26, 855–865 (2019). 857 35. Y. K. Sun, J. Yao, A. Scaffidi, K. T. Melville, S. F. Davies, C. S. Bond, S. M. Smith, G. 858 R. Flematti, M. T. Waters, Divergent receptor proteins confer responses to different 859 karrikins in two ephemeral weeds. Nat. Commun. 11 (2020), doi:10.1038/s41467-020-860 14991-w. 861 36. A. de Saint Germain, A. Jacobs, G. Brun, J. B. Pouvreau, L. Braem, D. Cornu, G. Clavé, 862 E. Baudu, V. Steinmetz, V. Servajean, S. Wicke, K. Gevaert, P. Simier, S. Goormachtig, 863 P. Delavault, F. D. Boyer, A Phelipanche ramosa KAI2 protein perceives strigolactones 864 and isothiocyanates enzymatically. Plant Commun. (2021), 865 doi:10.1016/j.xplc.2021.100166. 866 Y. K. Sun, G. R. Flematti, S. M. Smith, M. T. Waters, Reporter gene-facilitated detection 867 37. of compounds in arabidopsis leaf extracts that activate the karrikin signaling pathway. 868 Front. Plant Sci. 7, 1799 (2016). 869 S. Carbonnel, S. Torabi, M. Griesmann, E. Bleek, Y. Tang, S. Buchka, V. Basso, M. 870 38. 871 Shindo, F. D. Boyer, T. L. Wang, M. Udvardi, M. T. Waters, C. Gutjahr, Lotus japonicus

karrikin receptors display divergent ligand-binding specificities and organ-dependent 872 redundancy. PLoS Genet. 16, e1009249 (2020). 873 39. C. E. Conn, D. C. Nelson, Evidence that KARRIKIN-INSENSITIVE2 (KAI2) Receptors 874 may Perceive an Unknown Signal that is not Karrikin or Strigolactone. Front. Plant Sci. 6, 875 1-7 (2016). 876 40. C. Hamiaux, R. S. M. Drummond, B. J. Janssen, S. E. Ledger, J. M. Cooney, R. D. 877 Newcomb, K. C. Snowden, DAD2 is an α/β hydrolase likely to be involved in the 878 879 perception of the plant branching hormone, strigolactone. Curr. Biol. 22, 2032-2036 (2012). 880 41. L. H. Zhao, X. E. Zhou, W. Yi, Z. Wu, Y. Liu, Y. Kang, L. Hou, P. W. De Waal, S. Li, Y. 881 Jiang, A. Scaffidi, G. R. Flematti, S. M. Smith, V. Q. Lam, P. R. Griffin, Y. Wang, J. Li, 882 K. Melcher, H. E. Xu, Destabilization of strigolactone receptor DWARF14 by binding of 883 884 ligand and E3-ligase signaling effector DWARF3. Cell Res. 25, 1219–1236 (2015). 42. Y. Xu, T. Miyakawa, S. Nosaki, A. Nakamura, Y. Lyu, H. Nakamura, U. Ohto, H. Ishida, 885 T. Shimizu, T. Asami, M. Tanokura, Structural analysis of HTL and D14 proteins reveals 886 the basis for ligand selectivity in Striga. Nat. Commun. 9, 3947 (2018). 887 J. Kreplak, M. A. Madoui, P. Cápal, P. Novák, K. Labadie, G. Aubert, P. E. Bayer, K. K. 888 43. Gali, R. A. Syme, D. Main, A. Klein, A. Bérard, I. Vrbová, C. Fournier, L. D'Agata, C. 889 Belser, W. Berrabah, H. Toegelová, Z. Milec, J. Vrána, H. T. Lee, A. Kougbeadjo, M. 890 Térézol, C. Huneau, C. J. Turo, N. Mohellibi, P. Neumann, M. Falque, K. Gallardo, R. 891 McGee, B. Tar'an, A. Bendahmane, J. M. Aury, J. Batley, M. C. Le Paslier, N. Ellis, T. D. 892 Warkentin, C. J. Coyne, J. Salse, D. Edwards, J. Lichtenzveig, J. Macas, J. Doležel, P. 893 894 Wincker, J. Burstin, A reference genome for pea provides insight into legume genome evolution. Nat. Genet. 51, 1411–1422 (2019). 895 44. K. Triques, B. Sturbois, S. Gallais, M. Dalmais, S. Chauvin, C. Clepet, S. Aubourg, C. 896 Rameau, M. Caboche, A. Bendahmane, Characterization of Arabidopsis thaliana 897 mismatch specific endonucleases: Application to mutation discovery by TILLING in pea. 898 *Plant J.* **51** (2007), doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03201.x. 899 M. Dalmais, J. Schmidt, C. Le Signor, F. Moussy, J. Burstin, V. Savois, G. Aubert, V. 45. 900 901 Brunaud, Y. de Oliveira, C. Guichard, R. Thompson, A. Bendahmane, UTILLdb, a Pisum sativum in silico forward and reverse genetics tool. Genome Biol. 9 (2008), 902 doi:10.1186/gb-2008-9-2-r43. 903 J. A. Villaécija-Aguilar, M. Hamon-Josse, S. Carbonnel, A. Kretschmar, C. Schmidt, C. 904 46. Dawid, T. Bennett, C. Gutjahr, SMAX1/SMXL2 regulate root and root hair development 905 downstream of KAI2-mediated signalling in Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet. (2019), 906 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1008327. 907 908 47. A. Scaffidi, M. T. Waters, Y. K. Sun, B. W. Skelton, K. W. Dixon, E. L. Ghisalberti, G. R. Flematti, S. M. Smith, Strigolactone hormones and their stereoisomers signal through 909 two related receptor proteins to induce different physiological responses in arabidopsis. 910 911 Plant Physiol. 165, 1221–1232 (2014). A. De Saint Germain, G. Clavé, M. A. Badet-Denisot, J. P. Pillot, D. Cornu, J. P. Le Caer, 48. 912 M. Burger, F. Pelissier, P. Retailleau, C. Turnbull, S. Bonhomme, J. Chory, C. Rameau, F. 913

- D. Boyer, An histidine covalent receptor and butenolide complex mediates strigolactone
 perception. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 12, 787–794 (2016).
- 49. J. Yao, K. Mashiguchi, A. Scaffidi, T. Akatsu, K. T. Melville, R. Morita, Y. Morimoto, S.
 M. Smith, Y. Seto, G. R. Flematti, S. Yamaguchi, M. T. Waters, An allelic series at the
 KARRIKIN INSENSITIVE 2 locus of Arabidopsis thaliana decouples ligand hydrolysis
 and receptor degradation from downstream signalling. *Plant J.* 96, 75–89 (2018).
- So. R. Yao, F. Wang, Z. Ming, X. Du, L. Chen, Y. Wang, W. Zhang, H. Deng, D. Xie,
 ShHTL7 is a non-canonical receptor for strigolactones in root parasitic weeds. *Cell Res.*27 (2017), doi:10.1038/cr.2017.3.
- S1. R. Bythell-Douglas, M. T. Waters, A. Scaffidi, G. R. Flematti, S. M. Smith, C. S. Bond,
 The Structure of the Karrikin-Insensitive Protein (KAI2) in Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS*One. 8, e54758 (2013).
- 52. Y. Seto, R. Yasui, H. Kameoka, M. Tamiru, M. Cao, R. Terauchi, A. Sakurada, R. Hirano,
 T. Kisugi, A. Hanada, M. Umehara, E. Seo, K. Akiyama, J. Burke, N. Takeda-Kamiya, W.
 Li, Y. Hirano, T. Hakoshima, K. Mashiguchi, J. P. Noel, J. Kyozuka, S. Yamaguchi,
 Strigolactone perception and deactivation by a hydrolase receptor DWARF14. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 191 (2019).
- 53. R. Yao, Z. Ming, L. Yan, S. Li, F. Wang, S. Ma, C. Yu, M. Yang, L. Chen, L. Chen, Y.
 bi, C. Yan, D. Miao, Z. Sun, J. Yan, Y. Sun, L. Wang, J. Chu, S. Fan, W. He, H. Deng, F.
 Nan, J. Li, Z. Rao, Z. Lou, D. Xie, DWARF14 is a non-canonical hormone receptor for
 strigolactone. *Nature*. 536, 469–473 (2016).
- 54. S. Carbonnel, D. Das, K. Varshney, M. C. Kolodziej, J. A. Villaécija-Aguilar, C. Gutjahr,
 The karrikin signaling regulator SMAX1 controls Lotus japonicus root and root hair
 development by suppressing ethylene biosynthesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 117
 (2020), doi:10.1073/pnas.2006111117.
- 55. C. E. Conn, R. Bythell-Douglas, D. Neumann, S. Yoshida, B. Whittington, J. H.
 Westwood, K. Shirasu, C. S. Bond, K. A. Dyer, D. C. Nelson, Convergent evolution of
 strigolactone perception enabled host detection in parasitic plants. *Science*. 349, 540–543
 (2015).
- 56. J. K. Weng, M. Ye, B. Li, J. P. Noel, Co-evolution of Hormone Metabolism and Signaling
 Networks Expands Plant Adaptive Plasticity. *Cell.* 166, 881–893 (2016).
- 57. H. Yoshida, E. Tanimoto, T. Hirai, Y. Miyanoiri, R. Mitani, M. Kawamura, M. Takeda, S.
 57. H. Yoshida, E. Tanimoto, T. Hirai, Y. Miyanoiri, R. Mitani, M. Kawamura, M. Takeda, S.
 57. Takehara, K. Hirano, M. Kainosho, T. Akagi, M. Matsuoka, M. Ueguchi-Tanaka,
 57. Evolution and diversification of the plant gibberellin receptor GID1. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*58. U. S. A. 115, E7844–E7853 (2018).
- 58. S. Kumar, G. Stecher, M. Li, C. Knyaz, K. Tamura, MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 1547–1549 (2018).
- 951 59. R. C. Edgar, MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
 952 throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 1792–1797 (2004).
- 60. D. T. Jones, W. R. Taylor, J. M. Thornton, The rapid generation of mutation data matrices
 from protein sequences. *Bioinformatics*. 8, 275–282 (1992).

- 955 61. J. Felsenstein, Confidence Limits on Phylogenies: An Approach Using the Bootstrap.
 956 *Evolution (N. Y).* **39**, 783–791 (1985).
- M. Lopez-Obando, A. Guillory, F.-D. Boyer, D. Cornu, B. Hoffmann, P. Le Bris, J.-B.
 Pouvreau, P. Delavault, C. Rameau, A. de Saint Germain, S. Bonhomme, The
 Physcomitrium (Physcomitrella) patens PpKAI2L receptors for strigolactones and related
 compounds highlight MAX2 dependent and independent pathways. *bioRxiv* (2020).
- 63. M. Karimi, A. Bleys, R. Vanderhaeghen, P. Hilson, Building blocks for plant gene assembly. *Plant Physiol.* 145, 1183–1191 (2007).
- 64. S. J. Clough, A. F. Bent, Floral dip: A simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J.* 16, 735–743 (1998).
- A. N. Kirienko, Y. B. Porozov, N. V. Malkov, G. A. Akhtemova, C. Le Signor, R.
 Thompson, C. Saffray, M. Dalmais, A. Bendahmane, I. A. Tikhonovich, E. A. Dolgikh,
 Role of a receptor-like kinase K1 in pea Rhizobium symbiosis development. *Planta*. 248
 (2018), doi:10.1007/s00425-018-2944-4.
- 66. J. A. Villaécija-Aguilar, S. Struk, S. Goormachtig, C. Gutjahr, in *Strigolactones: Methods*and *Protocols*, C. Prandi, F. Cardinale, Eds. (Springer US, New York, NY, 2021;
 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1429-7_11), pp. 129–142.
- N. Braun, A. de Saint Germain, J.-P. Pillot, S. Boutet-Mercey, M. Dalmais, I. Antoniadi,
 X. Li, A. Maia-Grondard, C. Le Signor, N. Bouteiller, D. Luo, A. Bendahmane, C.
 Turnbull, C. Rameau, The pea TCP transcription factor PsBRC1 acts downstream of
 Strigolactones to control shoot branching. *Plant Physiol.* 158, 225–38 (2012).
- M. W. Pfaffl, A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR.
 Nucleic Acids Res. 29 (2001), doi:10.1093/nar/29.9.e45.
- 69. T. Czechowski, R. P. Bari, M. Stitt, W. R. Scheible, M. K. Udvardi, Real-time RT-PCR
 profiling of over 1400 Arabidopsis transcription factors: Unprecedented sensitivity reveals
 novel root-and shoot-specific genes. *Plant J.* 38 (2004), doi:10.1111/j.1365313X.2004.02051.x.
- M. Knopkiewicz, P. Wojtaszek, Validation of reference genes for gene expression
 analysis using quantitative polymerase chain reaction in pea lines (Pisum sativum) with
 different lodging susceptibility. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **174** (2019), doi:10.1111/aab.12475.
- 71. C. A. Schneider, W. S. Rasband, K. W. Eliceiri, NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat. Methods*. 9, 671–675 (2012).
- 72. A. de Saint Germain, G. Clavé, F. D. Boyer, in *Methods in Molecular Biology* (2021), vol.
 2309.
- 73. Z. Otwinowski, W. Minor, Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. *Methods Enzymol.* 276, 307–326 (1997).

74. I. Lee, K. Kim, S. Lee, S. Lee, E. Hwang, K. Shin, D. Kim, J. Choi, H. Choi, J. S. Cha, H.
892 Kim, R. A. Lee, S. Jeong, J. Kim, Y. Kim, H. G. Nam, S. K. Park, H. S. Cho, M. S. Soh,
893 A missense allele of KARRIKIN-INSENSITIVE2 impairs ligand-binding and

downstream signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Exp. Bot. 69, 3609–3623 (2018).

995 996 997 998 999	75.	 P. D. Adams, P. V. Afonine, G. Bunkóczi, V. B. Chen, I. W. Davis, N. Echols, J. J. Headd, L. W. Hung, G. J. Kapral, R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, A. J. McCoy, N. W. Moriarty, R. Oeffner, R. J. Read, D. C. Richardson, J. S. Richardson, T. C. Terwilliger, P. H. Zwart, PHENIX: A comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. <i>Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.</i> 66, 213–221 (2010).
1000 1001	76.	P. Emsley, B. Lohkamp, W. G. Scott, K. Cowtan, Features and development of Coot. <i>Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.</i> 66 , 486–501 (2010).
1002 1003	77.	W. L. DeLano, The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.3. <i>Schrödinger LLC</i> (2020).
1004 1005	78.	J. Yang, Y. Zhang, I-TASSER server: New development for protein structure and function predictions. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 43 , W174–W181 (2015).
1006 1007 1008	79.	J. Dundas, Z. Ouyang, J. Tseng, A. Binkowski, Y. Turpaz, J. Liang, CASTp: Computed atlas of surface topography of proteins with structural and topographical mapping of functionally annotated residues. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 34 , W116–W118 (2006).
1009 1010 1011	80.	C. Steffen, K. Thomas, U. Huniar, A. Hellweg, O. Rubner, A. Schroer, AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: Automated Docking with Selective Receptor Flexibility. <i>J. Comput. Chem.</i> 30 , 2785–2791 (2010).
1012 1013	81.	R. A. Laskowski, M. B. Swindells, LigPlot+: Multiple ligand-protein interaction diagrams for drug discovery. <i>J. Chem. Inf. Model.</i> 51 , 2778–2786 (2011).
1014		
1015		
1016		

1018 Figure Legends

1019

Fig. 1. Evolutionary analysis and differential expression of the legume Pisum sativum KAI2s. 1020 (a) Maximum likelihood phylogeny of 24 representative KAI2 amino acid sequences. Node values 1021 represent percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together. Vertical rectangles 1022 1023 highlight distinct KAI2 family clades. Black circle indicates legume duplication event. Pink, green, and blue circles mark the position of PsKAI2As, PsKAI2B, and PsKAI2C respectively. The tree 1024 1025 is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. (b) 1026 PsKAI2A, PsKAI2B and PsKAI2C are homologues to AtKAI2 and encode α - β /hydrolases. Schematic representation of the PsKAI2A, PsKAI2B and PsKAI2C genes; Exons are in pink, 1027 green and blue lines, non-coding sequences colored in thin black lines and UTR regions shown as 1028 thick gray lines. Bases are numbered from the start codon. PsKAI2A shows 2 splicing variants. 1029 Spliced introns are shown as bent ("V") lines. Inverted triangle ($\mathbf{\nabla}$) indicates premature 1030 1031 termination codons. Catalytic triad residues are indicated in pink. The hatched part indicated the C-terminus extension of the PsKAI2.1 protein (c-d) Differential expression pattern of PsKAI2A 1032 (c, pink) and PsKAI2B (d, green). Transcript levels in the different tissues of 21 old wild-type 1033 1034 Pisum sativum plants (cv. Terese) were determined by real-time PCR, relative to $PsEF1\alpha$. Data are means \pm SE (n = 2 pools of 8 plants). Inset drawing of a node showing the different parts of 1035 1036 the pea compound leaf.

1037

Fig. 2. Characterization of the Pskai2 mutants. (a) Gene structure of PsKAI2 and locations of
mutations. Bases are numbered from the start codon. Point mutations are indicated by dotted lines
(black and red for the one studied here) (b-d) RT-qPCR-based expression of PsDLK2 (b),

1041 PsKAI2A (c), PsKAI2B (d) in roots of 10-day old P. sativum plants after 4 hours treatment with 1042 solvent (Mock) or 3 μ M KAR1 or (+)-GR24 or (–)-GR24. Expression values were normalized to 1043 those of the housekeeping gene TUBULIN (n = 3–4). Letters indicate significant differences 1044 versus mock treatment (Kruskal-Wallis Test, p<0.05).

1045

Fig. 3. Arabidopsis hypocotyl elongation complementation assays with PsKAI2s. Hypocotyl 1046 length of 7-day-old seedlings grown under low light at 21 °C. Data are means \pm SE (n = 20-24; 2 1047 plates of 10-12 seedlings per plate). Light blue bars: Mock (DMSO), lavender bars: (-)-GR24 1048 1049 (1µM). Complementation assays using the AtKAI2 promoter to express AtKAI2 (control) or PsKAI2 genes in the htl-3 mutant background (Ler ecotype) as noted above the graph. Proteins 1050 were tagged with mCitrine or GUS protein. For DMSO controls, statistical differences were 1051 1052 determined using a one-way ANOVA with a Tukey multiple comparison of means post-hoc test, statistical differences of P<0.05 are represented by different letters. Means with asterisks indicate 1053 significant inhibition compared to mock-treated seedlings with *** corresponding to $p \leq p$ 1054 0.001 and * to $p \le 0.01$, as measured by t- test. 1055

1056

Fig. 4. Biochemical analysis of PsKAI2A.2 and PsKAI2B interactions with different GR24
isomers and enzymatic property. (a) Chemical structure of ligands used. (b) Plots of
fluorescence intensity versus SL concentrations. The change in intrinsic fluorescence of AtKAI2,
PsKAI2A.2 and PsKAI2B was monitored (see Fig. S4) and used to determine the apparent Kd
values. The plots represent the mean of two replicates and the experiments were repeated at least
three times. The analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 7.05 Software. (c-f) DSF assay.
The melting temperature curves of 10 μM PsKAI2A.2 (c, e) or PsKAI2B (d, f) with (+)-GR24 (c-

1064 d), (–)-GR24 (e-f) at varying concentrations are shown as assessed by DSF. Each line represents 1065 the average protein melt curve for three technical replicates; the experiment was carried out twice. 1066 (g-h) Enzymatic kinetics for AtD14, AtKAI2, RMS3, PsKAI2A.2 and PsKAI2B proteins 1067 incubated with (±)-GC240 (g) or (±)-GC486 (h). Progress curves during probes hydrolysis, 1068 monitored (λ em 460 nm) at 25 °C. Protein catalyzed hydrolysis with 400 nM μ M of protein and 1069 20 μ M of probes. These traces represent one of the three replicates, and the experiments were 1070 repeated at least twice.

1071

Fig. 5. Comparative enzymatic activity of AtD14, AtKAI2, RMS3, PsKAI2A and PsKAI2B proteins with GR24 isomers. UPLC-UV (260 nm) analysis showing the formation of the ABC tricycle from GR24 isomers. The enzymes (10 μ M) hydrolysis activity was monitored after incubation with 10 μ M (+)-GR24 (yellow), (-)-GR24 (orange), (+)-2'-epi-GR24 (blue), or (-)- 2'epi-GR24 (purple). The indicated percentage corresponds to the hydrolysis rate calculated from the remaining GR24 isomer, quantified in comparison with indanol as an internal standard. Data are means ± SE (n = 3). nd = no cleavage detected.

1079

Fig. 6. The crystal structure of legume KAI2. (a) Overview of PsKAI2B structure. Lid and base domains are colored in forest and light green respectively with secondary structure elements labeled. (b) Structural alignment of PsKAI2B and AtKAI2 (PDB ID: 4HTA) shown in light green and wheat colors respectively. Root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) value of the aligned structures is shown. The location and conservation of legume KAI2 unique residues, alanine in position 147 (A147) and asparagine N129, are highlighted on the structure shown as sticks as well as in reduced Multiple Sequence Alignment from **Fig. S1**. 1087

Fig. 7. Structural divergence analysis of legume KAI2A and KAI2B. (a) Structural alignment 1088 of PsKAI2A and PsKAI2B shown in pink and light green colors respectively. RMSD of aligned 1089 structures is shown. (b) Analysis of PsKAI2A and PsKAI2B pocket volume, area, and morphology 1090 is shown by solvent accessible surface presentation. Pocket size values were calculated via the 1091 1092 CASTp server. (c) Residues involved in defining ligand-binding pocket are shown on each 1093 structure as sticks. Catalytic triad is shown in red. (d) Residues L/M160, S/L190, and M/L218 are 1094 highlighted as divergent legume KAI2 residues, conserved among all legume KAI2A or KAI2B 1095 sequences as shown in reduced Multiple Sequence Alignment from Fig. S1.

1096

Fig. 8. Structural basis of PsKAI2B ligand interaction. (a) Surface (left) and cartoon (right) 1097 representations of PsKAI2B crystal structure in complex with (-)-GR24 D-OH ring. Protein 1098 1099 structure is shown in blue/gray and ligand in orange. (b) Close-up view on ligand interactions and 1100 contiguous density with the catalytic serine S95. Electron density for the ligand is shown in navy blue and blue/gray mesh for the labeled catalytic triad. The contiguous density between S95 and 1101 1102 the D-OH ring indicates a covalent bond. The electron density is derived from 2mFoDFc (2fofc) 1103 map contoured at 1.0s. (c) Side view of PsKAI2B-D-OH structure shown in cartoon with 1104 highlighted (orange) the intact D-OH ring structure. 2-D ligand interaction plot was generated 1105 using LigPlot+ software. Dark grey line represents S95-D-OH ring covalent bond. (d) Schematic 1106 diagram of the proposed mechanism for the formation of the D-ring intermediate covalently bound to \$95 in a first time and then to H246. 1107

1108

1109





Fig. 2





Fig. 4









