

2.6% cm –2 Single-Pass CO 2 -to-CO Conversion Using Ni Single Atoms Supported on Ultra-Thin Carbon Nanosheets in a Flow Electrolyzer

Yang Zhang, Kun Qi, Ji Li, Bonito A Karamoko, Luc Lajaunie, Franck Godiard, Erwan Oliviero, Xiaoqiang Cui, Ying Wang, Yupeng Zhang, et al.

► To cite this version:

Yang Zhang, Kun Qi, Ji Li, Bonito A Karamoko, Luc Lajaunie, et al.. 2.6% cm –2 Single-Pass CO 2 -to-CO Conversion Using Ni Single Atoms Supported on Ultra-Thin Carbon Nanosheets in a Flow Electrolyzer. ACS Catalysis, 2021, 11 (20), pp.12701-12711. 10.1021/acscatal.1c03231. hal-03364487

HAL Id: hal-03364487 https://hal.science/hal-03364487v1

Submitted on 4 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

2.6 % cm⁻² single-pass CO₂-to-CO conversion using Ni single-atoms supported on ultra-thin carbon nanosheets in a flow electrolyzer

- 4 Yang Zhang^{1,2}, Kun Qi², Ji Li³, Bonito A. Karamoko², Luc Lajaunie^{4,5}, Franck
- 5 Godiard⁶, Erwan Oliviero⁶, Xiaoqiang Cui⁷, Ying Wang⁷, Yupeng Zhang¹, Huali Wu²,
- 6 Wensen Wang², Damien Voiry^{2*}
- 7 ¹ Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Devices and Systems of Ministry of Education and
- 8 Guangdong Province, College of Optoelectronic Engineering, Shenzhen University,
- 9 Shenzhen, 518000, China
- ² Institut Européen des Membranes, IEM, UMR 5635, Université Montpellier,
 ENSCM, CNRS, Montpellier, 34090, France
- ³ College of Bioresources Chemical and Materials Engineering, Shaanxi University of
- 13 Science and Technology, Xi'an, 710000, China
- 14 ^{4,5} Departamento de Ciencia de los Materiales e Ingeniería Metalúrgica y Química
- 15 Inorgánica, Instituto Universitario de Investigación de Microscopía Electrónica y
- 16 Materiales (IMEYMAT), Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Cádiz, Campus Río San
- 17 Pedro S/N, Puerto Real, Cádiz, 11510, Spain
- ⁶ MEA Platform, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, 34090, France.

1	⁷ State Key Laboratory of Automotive Simulation and Control, Department of Materials
2	Science, Key Laboratory of Automobile Materials of MOE, Jilin University,
3	Changchun, 130000, China.
4	
5	Keywords: CO ₂ reduction reaction, single-atom catalysts, flow electrolyzers, three-
6	phase interface, single pass conversions.

8 ABSTRACT

9 The CO₂ reduction reaction using a renewable energy source is a promising strategy for 10 its utilization. Such a technology however requires the development of catalysts with 11 optimized activity and selectivity that can be integrated into the device architectures. 12 Flow electrolyzers have recently been proposed for facilitating the electrochemical CO₂ 13 reduction reaction thanks to their unique ability to perform electroreduction at high 14 reaction rates via the creation of three-phase interface. While some examples of flow 15 electrolyzers for the conversion of CO₂ has recently been reported, the influence of the 16 CO₂ and electrolyte streams on the overall catalytic mechanism is remained ambiguous. 17 Here, we synthesized single-atom nickel on two-dimensional nitrogen-doped carbon 18 nanosheets (SA Ni-NC) for the CO₂-to-CO conversion. Taking advantage of this model 19 catalyst, we explored the correlation between the applied potential and the feeds in both

electrolyte and CO₂ on the one hand, and the performance metrics on the other hand. By regulating the feed stream's conditions, the SA Ni-NC achieves energy efficiencies as high as 85% at $j_{CO} = 7.2$ mA cm⁻² and 56% at $j_{CO} = 170$ mA cm⁻² whereas the singlepass CO₂-to-CO conversion efficiency (SPCC) reaches 2.6% cm⁻². We finally demonstrated the scalability of the flow electrolyzers by stacking three 1-cm² reactors and reached a record-high CO yield rate of 31.5 L min⁻¹ m⁻² with near-unity CO selectivity and an SPCC of 8.9% from three.

8

9 **1. Introduction**

10 The intensive consumption of fossil fuels along with excessive emission of carbon 11 dioxide (CO₂) exacerbate global environmental problems, which severely limits the potential of sustainable growth.¹ The CO₂ capture and utilization technologies are being 12 investigated to mitigate the release of CO₂ into the atmosphere.² Among the various 13 14 strategies, the electrochemical CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR) under ambient 15 conditions, which can be coupled with renewable electricity sources, represents a 16 promising approach to reuse the CO₂ emissions, while generating value-added fuels and chemicals.^{3,4} In this context, a vast amount of efforts are currently being devoted 17 18 to the development of novel electrocatalysts with optimum selectivity and activity towards the production of various C₁-C₃ molecules.^{5,6} The discovery of single-atom 19 20 catalysts has extended new directions for the rational design of heterogeneous catalysts

1	with well-defined structure and maximum exposure of the active sites. ⁷ Single-atom
2	catalysts have been demonstrated with outstanding electrochemical CO ₂ RR selectivity
3	and high current densities thanks to the peculiar coordination environment of the single
4	metal atom and the local electronic structures that differs from those of the nanoparticle
5	counterparts. ⁸⁻¹⁰ Specifically, nitrogen-doped carbon with the inclusions of metal single
6	atoms (M-N-C) has been investigated for several key electrochemical reactions
7	including the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR)
8	and also the $CO_2 RR$. ¹¹⁻¹³
9	The search for highly active CO ₂ RR catalysts is typically carried out using traditional
10	"H-cell" reactors. The performance is however severely limited by the relatively low
11	CO ₂ dissolvability in the liquid electrolyte. H-cell designs also face mass transport
12	limitation and high proton transfer resistance, thus leading to the current densities
13	typically lower than 100 mA cm ⁻² which precluding its industrial applications. ¹² To
14	unlock these bottlenecks, novel device architectures have recently been reported using
15	solid-state electrolytes or flow reactors. Specifically, mass transport limitations can
16	efficiently be overcame thanks to the continuously feed in the electrolyte and CO ₂ and
17	current densities up to 1 A cm ⁻² have recently been reported. ¹⁴⁻¹⁶ In flow electrolyzers,

18 the reduction of CO_2 occurs at the three-phase interface, which is formed by CO_2 (gas),

19 the electrolyte (liquid) and the catalyst (solid) respectively. This strategy ensures an

20 efficient supply of CO₂ meanwhile electrons and protons for the successive electron-

21 proton coupled transfers associated with the reaction pathway.¹⁷ Two main strategies

are usually adopted in order to enhance the CO₂RR activity and selectivity using flow electrolyzers: *i*) improve the intrinsic activity of the catalysts and *ii*) engineer the structure of the gas diffusion electrodes (GDE). For example, by changing the structure of catalysts, the porosity of the carbon support, or the hydrophilic/hydrophobic properties of the electrode, the CO₂ diffusion length can be greatly reduced and the mass transport will be significantly accelerated.¹⁸⁻²²

7 In addition to the design of the catalyst and the manipulation of electrode those all 8 focus on the optimizing of the solid phase for three-phase interface, the feed stream's 9 conditions of both the electrolyte (liquid) and CO₂ (gas) are therefore expected to play 10 a central role in the kinetics of the CO₂RR and the final CO₂-to-product conversion efficiency.²³ For example, by tuning the gas flow rates, the transport of CO₂ to the 11 12 surface of the catalyst as well as its local concentration can be directly controlled to 13 optimize the equilibrium between the adsorption of CO₂ and the desorption of the products on the active sites.²⁴⁻²⁶ This is particularly crucial concerning the reaction 14 15 processes at high conversion rates -i.e. at high current densities. For example, if we 16 consider the conversion of CO₂ to carbon monoxide (CO), a current density of 100 mA cm^{-2} – considered to be a threshold value for any industrial application – involves a 17 turnover of $\approx 6.2 \times 10^{17}$ cm⁻² molecules of CO₂ and protons per second. To date, the 18 19 understanding of the influence of electrolyte and CO₂ feeds on the overall CO₂RR 20 performance – notably the energy efficiency of the reaction – in flow electrolyzers has 21 remained elusive.

1	Herein, we investigate the behavior of single atom nickel supported on ultrathin
2	nitrogen-doped carbon nanosheets (SA Ni-NC) in a flow electrolyzer for the
3	electrocatalytic conversion of CO2-to-CO. The SA Ni-NC demonstrates excellent
4	CO ₂ RR stability together with a near-unity CO ₂ -to-CO selectivity; making it an ideal
5	platform to investigate the feedstock parameters in a flow electrolyzer. By tuning the
6	electrolyte or CO_2 flow rates, we explored the role of the CO_2 and electrolyte feeds on
7	the electrochemical CO ₂ RR properties and the reaction rates at the three-phase
8	interface. The optimum flow allowed achieving current densities as high as 170 mA
9	cm ⁻² with an ultra-high energy efficiency ranging up to 85%. We finally demonstrate
10	the scalability of our catalyst by connecting up to three flow electrolyzers in series to
11	reach a single-pass CO ₂ -to-CO conversion of 8.9 % and a yield rate of ≈ 31.5 L min $^{-1}$
12	m ⁻² while maintaining a near-unity CO ₂ -to-CO selectivity. Our work highlights the
13	importance of the understanding of the flow dynamics in CO ₂ RR to optimize the energy
14	efficiency, the single-pass conversion as well as the yield rate of the reaction, which are
15	key parameters for the development of industrial-scale processes towards the
16	conversion of CO ₂ -to-CO.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Density functional theory calculations and catalyst selection

We first performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations to identify a model
CO₂RR catalyst for the conversion of CO₂-to-CO with high efficiency and selectivity.
Figure 1a shows the successive steps associated with the CO₂ electrochemical

1	reduction pathways on the optimized structures of atomic nickel coordinated by four
2	pyrrolic nitrogen: NiN_4 supported on a carbon nanosheet. The reaction pathways for the
3	CO ₂ electroreduction to CO is known to proceed via two successive proton-coupled
4	electron transfer (PCET) associated with the formation of *COOH and *CO
5	intermediates. ^{27,28} The energy profile associated with the CO ₂ -to-CO conversion has
6	been calculated for the NiN ₄ and Ni (111) for comparison (Figure 1b). We first note
7	that the barrier energy associated with CO ₂ -to-CO reaction on the NiN ₄ is 0.1 eV
8	smaller than that on Ni (111). The corresponding Gibbs free energy plot for the above-
9	mentioned Ni-based catalysts suggests that the free energy change of Ni (111) for the
10	formation of the *COOH intermediate is lower compared with that of NiN ₄ at 0.09 eV
11	and 1.56 eV respectively. The formation of *COOH on NiN4 is endergonic and
12	corresponds to the common rate-determining step for the CO2RR on the single-atom
13	sites. This first PCET process is followed by the formation of *CO for both catalysts.
14	The *CO desorption from NiN ₄ is then exothermic with a free energy change of 0.75
15	eV contrary to Ni (111), for which the desorption is endergonic with an associated free
16	energy change of 1.65 eV. We examined the influence of the applied potential on the
17	electrochemical CO ₂ RR performance for NiN ₄ and Ni (111), respectively. As shown in
18	Figure 1c and 1d, the free energy change of the rate-determining step for NiN4
19	decreases with the applied potential increasing, while it remains virtually constant in
20	the case of Ni (111). The predictions therefore suggest that the increase of the
21	overpotential does not yield to an improved catalytic activity towards the production of

1	CO for Ni (111). In addition, the DFT calculations of HER show that the first
2	protonation step for Ni (111) is spontaneous, and the energy barrier for the formation
3	of $1/2$ H ₂ is estimated to be 0.36 eV – much smaller than the *CO desorption energy
4	barrier of 1.63 eV (Figure S1). Our results indicate that the NiN_4 sites supported on
5	carbon are promising to deliver high CO2-to-CO selectively thanks to an efficient
6	desorption step, and a reduced barrier energy of rate-determining step at increasing
7	overpotentials. Conversely the Ni (111) sites are likely to be poisoned due to the rate-
8	determining step associated with the desorption of *CO. To further understand the
9	nature of binding of CO on NiN ₄ and Ni (111), the projected density of states (PDOS)
10	was calculated in the presence and absence of CO, respectively. After the geometry
11	optimization, we found that the 3d orbitals of the active sites on Ni (111) hybridize with
12	the 5 σ and 2 $\pi*$ orbitals of CO, presenting strong chemisorption of CO molecules on
13	Ni (111) (Figure 1e). However, in the case of the NiN ₄ sites, CO spontaneously desorbs
14	and no obvious orbital hybridization of CO and activate sites was observed. The
15	distance between the nickel atoms of NiN4 and the carbon atoms of CO was estimated
16	to be larger than 3 Å, suggesting weak Van der Waals' interactions and confirming
17	facile desorption of the produced CO molecules. Our results thus predict again that the
18	rapid desorption of CO on NiN_4 plays a key role in the selectivity of the CO_2RR towards
19	the production of CO.

2.2. Catalyst characterization and electrode preparation

1	In the light of the DFT results, single-atom nickel with well-defined catalytically
2	active sites on ultrathin supported on two-dimensional (2D) nitrogen-doped carbon
3	nanosheets (SA Ni-NC) was prepared. The as-prepared catalyst exhibits a two-
4	dimensional structure with a smooth surface as confirmed by scanning electron
5	microscopy and atomic force microscopy characterizations (Figure 2a, Figure S2). To
6	provide compelling evidence of the uniform distribution of the nickel atoms on the
7	nitrogen-doped carbon nanosheets, we performed energy dispersive X-ray (EDX)
8	mapping on the SA Ni-NC nanosheets. EDX analyses revealed that the Ni, C, and N
9	elements are homogeneously distributed at the scale of the flake without noticeable
10	metal aggregation or formation of the Ni nanoparticles (Figure 2b-2e). The nature of
11	the Ni atoms in SA Ni-NC was further characterized by aberration-corrected high-angle
12	annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM). Ni
13	atoms are observed as brighter spots due to their larger atomic number compared with
14	those of carbon and nitrogen. Ultra-small bright dots from the nickel single-atoms are
15	found on SA Ni-NC confirming the uniform dispersion of the nickel with an average
16	size of 0.15 \pm 0.04 nm as confirmed by the HAADF-STEM and electron energy-loss
17	spectroscopy (EELS) mapping (Figure 2f, Figure S3). To investigate the chemical
18	composition and oxidation state of Ni, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was
19	performed on the SA Ni-NC (Figure S4). The Ni 2p spectrum of SA Ni-NC appears as
20	doublets corresponding to Ni 2p3/2 (855.5 eV) and Ni 2p1/2 (873.5 eV) respectively.
21	We observe a positive shift of the binding energy compared with that of bulk Ni -

1	expected at 852.4 eV and 869.6 eV for Ni 2p3/2 and Ni 2p1/2 respectively, which
2	reveals a higher oxidation state for Ni as expected for the NiN_x sites of the single
3	atoms. ^{9,29} The high-resolution N 1s XPS spectrum of SA Ni-NC exhibits four peaks
4	located at 398.0, 400.5 and 401.2 eV, which are assigned to the pyridinic N, pyrrolic N
5	and graphitic N, respectively. ⁸ Besides, the peak at a binding energy of 399.3 eV is
6	attributed to the presence of N atoms coordinated by metal and points out the presence
7	of Ni-N bonds in the case of SA Ni-NC. According to the XPS data, the atomic ratio of
8	N to Ni was estimated to be 2.7, which can be ascribed to the presence of a trace amount
9	of encapsulated Ni aggregates in the carbon layer. The atomic C : N ratio on the carbon
10	flakes was estimated by XPS and EELS to be between 9.2% and 11.5% respectively
11	(Figure S5). The oxidation state of the Ni atoms in SA Ni-NC was further determined
12	from the position of the rising edge of the Ni K-edge X-ray absorption near edge
13	structure (XANES). A positive peak offset is observed with respect to the position of
14	the K-edge of the reference nickel foil; in qualitative agreement with the shift observed
15	from the Ni 2p region in XPS (Figure S6a). The final evidence of the single-atomic
16	nature of the SA Ni-NC was provided by the extended X-ray absorption fine structure
17	(EXAFS) measurements. We obtained a good agreement between the Fourier
18	transformed EXAFS spectrum of SA Ni-NC in k-space and the simulated spectrum of
19	Ni-N4, which indicates the presence of Ni-N4 sites on SA Ni-NC (Inset Figure 2g,
20	Figure S6b and Table S1). And the Fourier transform of the phase uncorrected EXAFS
21	spectra of SA Ni-NC displayed a prominent peak at 1.42 Å (Figure 2g). Such a value

is shorter than the Ni-Ni interactions in Ni foil at 2.15 Å and attributed to the presence
 of Ni-N interactions, in perfect agreement with the presence of isolated nickel atoms on
 the nitrogen-doped carbon nanosheet for SA Ni-NC.

4

2.3. Investigations of the CO₂RR activity in an H-cell reactor

5 To gauge the electrochemical CO₂RR performance, the SA Ni-NC catalyst was first 6 tested using a traditional H-cell equipped with a cation exchange membrane between 7 the anode and cathode chambers. A CO₂-saturated solution consisting of 0.1 M KOH + 8 $0.5 \text{ M K}_2\text{SO}_4$ was used as the electrolyte and the catalyst was immobilized on a 9 mechanically polished glassy carbon electrode with a geometric loading of 0.044 mg 10 cm⁻² (See Experimental section for details). To observe the influence of the single-atom 11 nature of the Ni sites on the CO₂RR properties, we first compared the performance of 12 SA Ni-NC with that of Ni nanoparticles supported on reduced graphene oxide (Ni-13 NPC) under the same conditions. The gas and liquid products were analyzed using gas 14 chromatography (GC) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) respectively. Hydrogen 15 (H₂) and CO were found to be the only products of the reaction for both SA Ni-NC and 16 Ni-NPC catalysts. The linear scan voltammetry (LSV) curves demonstrate that Ni-NPC 17 has a larger reduction current at a large range of applied potential compared with that 18 of SA Ni-NC (Figure 3a), while most of the current works for hydrogen evolution. The 19 onset potential of SA Ni-NC for CO₂RR to occur is about -0.25 V vs. the reversible 20 hydrogen electrode (RHE), which compares favorably with the recently reported values 21 in the literature.^{9,16,30} Remarkably, the Faradaic efficiency of CO (FE_{CO}) for SA Ni-NC

1	is much larger than that for Ni-NPC and the FE_{CO} reaches the highest value of about
2	80% at an applied potential of -0.75 V vs. RHE (Figure 3b). At this applied potential,
3	the partial current density of CO (j_{CO}) reaches -1.87 mA cm ⁻² and -0.77 mA cm ⁻² for
4	the SA Ni-NC and Ni-NPC, respectively. We also observe that the reactions on Ni-NPC
5	are dominated by the HER process – i.e. the production of H_2 – and the Ni nanoparticles
6	are poorly selective towards CO_2RR (Figure 3c). The high selectivity of the NiN ₄ sites
7	compared with Ni nanoparticles is in line with our numerical predictions and attributed
8	to the rapid desorption of *CO. To further assess the potential of SA Ni-NC as a CO ₂ RR
9	catalyst, we evaluated the electrochemical stability of the catalyst over 48 h at an
10	applied potential of -0.8 V vs. RHE (Figure 3d). The current was continuously
11	measured while the gas products were injected into the gas chromatograph every 3
12	hours. The SA Ni-NC catalyst exhibits a stable cathodic current density and negligible
13	drop of FE_{CO} as demonstrated by retentions of 92.5% and 95% respectively (Figure 3d).
14	We also measured the electrochemical double-layer capacity (C_{dl}) and the
15	electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of the two catalysts (Figure S7 and
16	Table S2). The results show that SA Ni-NC catalyst exhibits a better interfacial charge
17	transfer and more electrochemical active sites for CO ₂ RR. All of the above results
18	indicate that SA Ni-NC holds promises as a CO2RR catalyst with excellent selectivity
19	and stability towards the production of CO from CO ₂ .

2.4. Investigations of the CO₂RR activity in a flow electrolyzer

1	The performance of SA Ni-NC was further evaluated in a liquid-electrolyte flow
2	electrolyzer using CO ₂ -saturated mixture of 0.1 M KOH+0.5 M K ₂ SO ₄ solution as the
3	electrolyte for both the cathode and the anode chambers. The catalyst was loaded on a
4	GDE and the downstream products of the reaction were continuously analyzed using
5	gas chromatography. The model 1 cm ² flow reactor allows for the continuous diffusion
6	of CO ₂ to the catalyst layer thanks to the position of the supported catalyst directly at
7	the gas/liquid interface (Figure S8). To scrutinize the relationship between the CO_2RR
8	properties and the CO ₂ /electrolyte feedstocks, the flow rates for both the electrolyte and
9	CO ₂ were controllably tuned from 20 to 100 mL min ⁻¹ and 20 to 140 mL min ⁻¹ ,
10	respectively. The specific current density and Faradaic efficiency were measured at
11	different potentials for each feed parameters, which allowed us to elucidate the behavior
12	of SA Ni-NC in the flow electrolyzer. The electrochemical CO ₂ RR properties of the
13	SA Ni-NC were first assessed at a fixed stream of 60 ml min ⁻¹ CO ₂ with the variation
14	of electrolyte flow rates (Figure 4a). The highest current reaches $\approx 170 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ at -
15	1.15 V vs. RHE for a 60 mL min ⁻¹ electrolyte flow rate while the FE _{CO} shows near-
16	unity selectivity for all electrolyte flow rates - sharply improved compared with that
17	measured in H-cell (Figure 4b and Figure 3b). It should be noted that, due to the
18	experimental errors introduced by the GC analyzes, the measured CO selectivity could
19	sometimes be slightly higher than 100%, especially when H ₂ was suppressed to below
20	1%. ³¹ To further study the effects of the electrolyte flow rate on the CO ₂ RR
21	performance from the viewpoint of three-phase interface, we analyzed both the

1	electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of the catalyst and the double-layer
2	capacitance (C _{dl}) (Figure 4c and 4d). ³² As shown in Figure 4d, the C _{dl} values get larger
3	with the increase of the electrolyte flow rate and reaches a plateau for flow rates > 60
4	mL min ⁻¹ . We also found that the internal resistance (R_s) and the charge transfer
5	resistance (R_{ct}) simultaneously increase. In particular, the R_{ct} sharply increases at the
6	largest flow rates above 80 mL min ⁻¹ , suggesting an abrupt change in reaction kinetics
7	attributed to the modification of the three-phase interface. Below 80 mL min ⁻¹ , larger
8	flow rate may promote the penetration of the electrolyte inside the porous gas diffusion
9	electrode (GDE) and therefore improves the reaction interface between the catalyst
10	surface and the liquid electrolyte (Figure 4e). However, when further increasing the
11	flow of electrolyte, the gas-liquid interface moves deeper inside the GDE resulting in a
12	degraded three-phase interface and unfavorable conditions for the electrochemical
13	reactions. ^{14, 33} Our experimental results highlight the critical role of interfaces for the
14	CO ₂ RR in a flow cell and the optimal electrolyte flow rate corresponds to the proper
15	balance between the surface of catalyst in contact with the electrolyte and the position
16	of the gas-liquid interface inside the GDE. To elucidate the influence of the CO ₂ feed,
17	steady-state chronoamperometry was then recorded for different flow rates between 20
18	mL min ⁻¹ and 140 mL min ⁻¹ with a constant stream of electrolyte at 60 mL min ⁻¹ .
19	Remarkably, the selectivity of SA Ni-NC is not influenced by the gas flow and the
20	catalyst performs with nearly 100% selectivity towards the formation of CO over the
21	range of potential (Figure 4f). The onset potential for the production of CO reaches -

1	0.35 V vs. RHE in the flow reactor – slightly more negative than that in the H-cell
2	(Figure 4a and 4g). This can be attributed to the fact that the instantaneous
3	concentration of CO at low potentials is below the detection limit of our instrument
4	(See Experimental section for details). At an applied potential of -0.35 V vs. RHE, the
5	SA Ni-NC shows similar current densities for all flow rates; therefore indicating similar
6	levels of CO ₂ RR activity (Figure 4g). At larger applied potentials, the current density
7	increases as the CO ₂ flow rates increases from 20 to 60 mL min ⁻¹ due to the local
8	depletion of CO ₂ near the site active at low flow rates. ³² The lower current density for
9	the CO ₂ flow rates higher than 60 mL min ⁻¹ points out a possible local decrease of the
10	pH, which perturbs the CO ₂ activation and the free-energy barriers in CO ₂ RR, in
11	agreement with the endergonic nature of the first step. Indeed, a high feed in CO ₂ will
12	result in a large excess of CO ₂ molecules not involved in the CO ₂ RR, which will likely
13	induce a reduction of the local pH as previously been identified. ^{35,36} Overall, we
14	identified optimal ranges for the electrolyte and CO ₂ flow rates to be 40-80 mL min ⁻¹
15	and 60 mL min ⁻¹ respectively depending on the applied potentials (Figure 5a). Our
16	results demonstrate that the potential-dependent nature of the electrocatalytic reaction
17	rate is also controlled by the supply of CO ₂ and electrolytes for the successive PCET
18	steps. ³⁷ Remarkably, the FE _{CO} remains \approx 100% even at current densities larger than
19	100 mA cm ⁻² and the CO ₂ RR properties of SA Ni-NC in the flow electrolyzer are
20	superior to those measured in the H-Cell (Figure 5b, c). For example, the j_{mass} , j_{total}
21	and j_{CO} values at -0.75 V vs. RHE in flow electrolyzer are 2.2 A cm ⁻² , 111 mA cm ⁻² and

1	109.18 mA cm ⁻² , respectively. However, the values in H-cell are 0.05 A cm ⁻² , 2.31 mA
2	cm ⁻² and 1.87 mA cm ⁻² , respectively, which are all significantly smaller than those in
3	the flow electrolyzer. This is attributed to the i) better exposure of the active sites, ii)
4	larger local concentration of CO2 and <i>iii</i>) reduced amount of hydrated proton which is
5	involved in the competitive HER. ³⁸ To better evaluate the performance of SA Ni-NC,
6	we calculated the evaluative parameters of the system as a function of the CO ₂ flow
7	rates at different applied potentials. The energy efficiency is found to be larger than 55
8	% for all the range of potentials. It reaches to 85% at -0.35 V vs. RHE with a current
9	density of 7.2 mA cm ⁻² (Figure 5d). When considering the two important metrics, the
10	specific current density and the energy efficiency, the SA Ni-NC catalysts in a flow
11	reactor can achieve a current density larger than 170 mA cm ⁻² while maintaining a high
12	energy efficiency of 56%. To gain some insight into the behavior of SA Ni-NC in the
13	flow reactor, we also explored the role of the CO ₂ feed on the yield rate of CO (Figure
14	5e). The yield rate increases with the applied potential and reached values as high as
15	28.4 mL min ⁻¹ $mg_{catalyst}^{-1}$ at an applied potential of -1.15 V vs. RHE and CO ₂ flux of
16	60 mL min ⁻¹ – equivalent to a continuous CO production of 12.6 L min ⁻¹ m ⁻² of the
17	electrode.

18 We further examined the single-pass CO_2 -to-CO conversion, which represents the 19 percentage of CO_2 -to-CO conversion after one pass in the reactor which is the key 20 parameter to assess the scalability of the CO_2RR system. For example, a single-pass 21 CO_2 -to-CO conversion of 10% is associated with a separation cost of 23% of the total

1	operation cost for the production of CO. ³⁹ Such a value is generally considered to be a
2	threshold target to demonstrate the industrial viability of the process. According to
3	Figure 5f, it can be observed that the single-pass CO ₂ -to-CO conversion obeys the same
4	trend as for j_{CO} and the largest values are obtained for electrolyte flow rates around 60
5	mL min ⁻¹ . At this specific electrolyte flow rate, the highest single-pass CO ₂ -to-CO
6	conversion for the flow reactor reaches \approx 3 % at a potential of -1.15 V vs. RHE for a
7	CO_2 flow rate of 20 mL min ⁻¹ (Figure 5g). We also note the tendency that for a constant
8	feed in CO ₂ , the single-pass CO ₂ -to-CO conversion values increase with the applied
9	potential, but decreases with the CO ₂ flow rate. Overall, reducing the CO ₂ flow rate
10	results in larger single-pass CO ₂ -to-CO conversion performance and improves the CO ₂
11	conversion efficiency but lower the CO yield rate. Trade-offs between single-pass CO ₂ -
12	to-CO conversion and CO yield rate must therefore be chosen to meet the specific
13	industrial recommendations.

14 To finally demonstrate the potential of our approach, we stacked three identical flow 15 electrolyzer using the SA Ni-NC as a CO₂RR catalyst (Figure 6a). The anolyte and 16 catholyte were recycled using a peristaltic pump with a constant flow rate. The first 17 flow electrolyzer was continuously fed with pure CO₂ and the gas outlet was 18 successively injected in the second and third flow electrolyzer, while the downstream gas products were continuously detected via GC analyses. The CO2-to-CO 19 performances of each reactor were first examined and the specific current reached 160 20 \pm 20 mA cm⁻² for each electrolyzer (Figure 6b). After the first two reactors, we 21

1	measured a single-pass CO ₂ -to-CO conversion and a CO yield rate of 4.4% and 35.3
2	mL min ⁻¹ $mg_{catalyst}^{-1}$, respectively. These values were further improved after passing
3	through the third reactor and reached 8.9% and 71.2 mL min ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ _{catalyst} – equivalent
4	to a continuous CO production of 31.5 L min ⁻¹ m ⁻² , respectively – pointing out the
5	remarkable CO ₂ RR properties of SA Ni-NC in a diluted CO ₂ environment (Figure 6c).
6	These results allowed the identification of a quasi-linear increase of the CO ₂ -to-CO
7	conversion and validated the scalability of our strategy towards higher single-pass CO ₂ -
8	to-CO conversion by rationally designing the electrolyzer and the feedstock parameters.
9	According to the linear fitting, the SA Ni-NC in a flow reactor demonstrates a single-
10	pass conversion of 2.6% per cm^2 of the electrode for the production from CO ₂ -to-CO,
11	which compares favorably to the best reports in the literature using flow electrolyzer
12	(Table S3).
13	3. Conclusion
14	In summary, we have prepared single-atom nickel supported on two-dimensional
15	nitrogen-doped carbon nanosheets using a salt template strategy. The controlled design
16	of the single-atom Ni sites translates into high selectivity and high efficiency towards
17	the conversion of CO ₂ -to-CO. When implemented in a flow electrolyzer, SA Ni-NC
18	exhibits near-unity selectivity towards the production of CO with a specific current
19	density as high as 170 mA cm ⁻² . Importantly, our study reveals the influence of feed-in
20	electrolytes and CO ₂ on the catalytic performance in a flow electrolyzer system. Using
21	a model 1 cm ² reactor, we determined the optimum flow rates for the electrolyte and

1	CO ₂ at 40-80 mL min ⁻¹ and 60 mL min ⁻¹ , respectively – corresponding to flow speeds
2	of 8.3-16.6 cm s ⁻¹ and 12.5 cm s ⁻¹ . The feed parameters are found to modulate the
3	catalyst behavior in the reactor by tuning the equilibrium of the three-phase interface
4	between the electrolyte, the CO ₂ atmosphere and the surface of the electrocatalyst. This
5	translates into a single-pass CO ₂ -to-CO conversion of 2.6% cm ⁻² , corresponding to a
6	total conversion efficiency of 8.9% when stacking three 1-cm ² reactors without a
7	notifiable drop of the catalyst performance when operating under diluted CO2
8	atmosphere. Our approach provides insight into the scalability of flow electrolyzers
9	toward the mass production of CO from CO2 with high Faradaic efficiency using single-
10	atom electrocatalysts. Future developments require the further optimization of the size
11	of the electrodes and the number of stacks for optimizing the tradeoff between the
12	single-pass conversion and the vield rate.

13 **4. Experimental Section**

14 Materials:

Nickel nitrate hexahydrate (Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, \geq 98.5%), 2-methylimidazole (CH₃C₃H₂N₂H, 98%), methanol (CH₃OH, \geq 99.9%), sodium chloride (NaCl, \geq 99.0%), ethylene glycol (HOCH₂CH₂OH, 99.8%), Nickel (II) acetate tetrahydrate (Ni(CH₃COO)₂·4H₂O, 98%), graphene, urea (NH₂CONH₂, \geq 99.5%), potassium sulfate (K₂SO₄, \geq 99.0%) and potassium hydroxide (KOH, 90%,) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Nafion (5%) and hydrochloric acid (HCl, 37%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. All the chemicals in the experiment were used without further purification. The gas diffusion electrode (GDE, Sigracet 29 BC) and cation exchange membrane
 (CEM, Nafion 117) were both purchased from the Fuel Cell Store. The fresh cathode,
 anode, and CEM were used for each electrolysis test to avoid contamination.

4 Synthesis of SA Ni-NC:

5 SA Ni-NC was synthesized from the graphitization of a zeolitic imidazolate metal-6 organic framework (ZIF). In a typical synthesis of ultrathin ZIF-67 nanosheets, 200 mg 7 of 2-methylimidazole and 50 mg of nickel nitrate hexahydrate were dissolved in 2.5 mL of methanol, respectively.⁴⁰ After that, 20 g of the sodium chloride was mixed with 8 9 the two solutions into a flask under vigorous magnetic stirring overnight at room 10 temperature. The controlled ratio in MOF precursors and NaCl was adjusted to promote 11 a thin coating on the salt crystals and favor the lateral growth of ZIF-67s. Subsequently, 12 the NaCl@ZIF-67 nanosheets were obtained by the slow evaporation of the solvent at 13 35 °C followed by pyrolyzis at 800 °C for 2 hours under argon (Ar). After cooling down 14 to room temperature, the product was soaked in 0.5 M HCl solution for 24 h at 60 $^{\circ}$ C 15 to remove the redundant nickel nanoparticles. Finally, the sample was washed with 16 deionized water for several times to remove the redundant NaCl, then centrifuged and 17 freeze-dried for further storage.

18 Synthesis of Ni NPC:

19 The Ni nanoparticles were prepared by a modified chemical reduction method.⁴¹ In a 20 typical synthesis process, 0.349 g Nickel (II) acetate tetrahydrate, 17.5 mg graphene, 21 and 1.394 g urea were mixed with 35 mL ethylene glycol through intense agitation for

0.5 h to form a homogeneous solution. Then the homogeneous solution was transferred
into a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and kept at 200 ° C for 1 h. After
cooling down, the mixture was washed with ethanol for six times and dried at 80 ° C
in a vacuum oven. The Ni-NPC composite was obtained by annealing the above mixture
at 700 ° C in an Ar atmosphere for 10 h.

6 Sample characterization:

7 Transmission electron microscopy images (TEM) were obtained using a JEOL 1400 8 microscope with an emission gun operating at 120 kV. High-resolution transmission 9 electron microscopy images (HRTEM) were obtained using JEOL 2200 FS microscope with an emission gun operating at 200 kV. The samples for electron microscopy were 10 11 prepared by dropping ethanol dispersions of the samples onto 300 mesh carbon-coated 12 copper grids and then evaporating the solvent. Aberration-corrected high-resolution 13 scanning ttransmission electron microscopy imaging (HR-STEM), and spatially-14 resolved electron energy-loss spectroscopy (SR-EELS) were performed using an FEI 15 Titan Cubed Themis microscope which was operated at 80 kV. The Themis is equipped 16 with a double Cs aberration corrector, a monochromator, an X-FEG gun, and an ultra-17 high resolution energy filter (Gatan Quantum ERS) which allows for working in Dual-18 EELS mode. HR-STEM imaging was performed by using high-angle annular dark-field 19 (HAADF) and annular dark-field (ADF) detectors. SR-EELS spectra were acquired 20 with the monochromator excited allowing an energy resolution of 1.1 eV with an energy 21 dispersion of 0.4 eV/pixel. AFM images were obtained in Digital Instruments

1 Nanoscope IV in tapping mode with cantilevers with a spring constant of 40 N/m and tip curvature < 10 nm and a frequency of 325 kHz (Dimension Icon, Veeco 2 Instruments/Bruker, Germany). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was 3 performed using an ESCALAB-250 instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), 4 5 performed with a monochromatic Al-K α (1486.6 eV) radiation source and a 6 hemisphere detector with an energy resolution of 0.1 eV. Peak positions were all 7 corrected by the C 1s spectrum at 284.8 eV. X-ray absorption spectra (XAS) were measured at Beijing synchrotron radiation facility (BSRF) on beamline 1W1B. The 8 9 storage ring is operated at a beam current of 250 mA with an electron energy of 2.5 GeV. The beam size for the sample position was $\approx 900 \times 300 \ \mu \text{ m}^2$. A Si (111) 10 11 double-crystal monochromatic was applied. All of the experiments were conducted at 12 ambient temperature and applied in the transmission mode. The curve fitting and data analysis were performed using the Artemis and IFEFFIT softwares.^{42,43} The energy 13 resolution (\triangle E/E) for the incident X-ray photons was estimated to be 2×10^{-4} . 14 15 Conventional transmission mode was adopted for the Ni K-edge EXAFS measurements. 16 To verify the reproducibility of the experimental data, at least two scans were collected 17 and analyzed for each sample.

18

Electrode preparation for the H-Cell reactor:

The SA Ni-NC catalyst ink was prepared by mixing 2.5 mg of SA Ni-NC powder
with 9.8 mL absolute ethanol and 200 µl of Nafion solution. Subsequently, 200 µL of

1	the ink was drop-casted on the mechanically polished glassy carbon electrode to obtain
2	a catalyst loading of 0.044 mg/cm ² .

3 **H-Cell assembly:**

The H-Cell reactor was assembled using a Ag/AgCl reference electrode, a Pt plate counter electrode (1.5 cm x 2 cm) and a glassy carbon working electrode (1.5 cm x 1 cm). The electrolyte consisted of 0.1 M KOH and 0.5 M K₂(SO)₄ mixture solution, the pH value was 13.03. Before each time CO₂RR measurement, CO₂ is saturated for 30 min. The CO₂ flow is set to 5 mL min⁻¹ for the long-term stability tests. All data are presented without iR-compensation.

10 Electrode preparation for the flow reactor:

The same amount of Ni catalyst (0.044 mg cm⁻²) was drop-casted on the surface of GDE. The geometrical area was fixed to 1 cm² by the coverage of epoxy. A standard cleaning procedure was used to clean the nickel foam anode by sonication in acetone and then deionized water. The fresh cathode, anode, and CEM were used for each electrolysis test to avoid contamination. The innner diameters for the inlet and outlet tubes was 3.17 mm.

17 Flow electrolyzer assembly:

The model flow reactor was composed of a sandwich of flow frames, electrodes, gaskets, and a membrane as illustrated in **Figure S8** forming a three-compartment electrolyzer. One compartment delivered the CO_2 from the backside and through the GDE (2.8 x 2.8 cm², fixed with a PTFE frame), while the second compartment contained the catholyte solution (0.1 M KOH + 0.5 M K₂(SO)₄) between the GDE and the CEM. The exposed area of the GDE was estimated to be 1 cm². A silver electrode was used as a quasi reference electrode. Before the experiment, the electrode was calibrated using a standard Ag/AgCl reference electrode. On the other side of the CEM, the anolyte was injected between the CEM and the nickel foam anode. The flow frames were made by PTFE, and the gaskets were made by rubber. Catholyte and anolyte were recycled using the peristaltic pump (Heidolph PD 5201).

8 Electrochemical measurements and gas-phase product analysis:

9 All of the electrochemical measurements were measured with a VSP potentiostat (Bio-10 Logic Science Instruments). All potentials were calibrated against the reversible 11 hydrogen electrode (RHE) by the equation: $E_{RHE} = E_{Ag/AgCl} + 0.059 \text{ pH} + 0.197$. The 12 products of the reaction were detected using a micro gas chromatograph (Agilent 490) 13 and the micro-GC runs were initiated every 180 s. The GC was equipped with a packed 14 MolSieve 5 A column and a packed HaySep D column. Argon (Praxair, 99.999%) was 15 used as the carrier gas. A flame ionization detector with a mechanized was used to 16 quantify CO, CH₄, and C₂H₄ while a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) was used to 17 quantify hydrogen. The GC was calibrated by injecting a mixture calibration gas (2500 18 ppm CO, 2500 ppm CH₄, 2500 ppm, 2500 ppm C₂H₄, 2500 ppm C₂H₆ in CO₂, Linde). 19 In the H-cell system, the electrolyte was first saturated with CO₂ for 30 min and gas 20 products were detected after 30 min of reaction under potentiostat conditions. When 21 using the flow electrolyzer, the CO₂ flow rate was tuned between 20 and 140 standard 22 mL min⁻¹ using a calibrated flow controller. The electrolyte was circulated through the 23 anode and cathode compartments using a peristaltic pump. The gas outlet of the flow 24 cell was directed to a condenser before being vented directly into the gas-sampling loop 25 of the gas chromatograph. The EIS measurements were conducted at a constant 26 potential of 0.7 V vs. RHE with an AC voltage of 10 mV between 100 kHz to 100 mHz 24

- $1 \qquad \text{in the flow cell. The C_{dl} was calculated from linear fit of the evolution of the cathodic} \\$
- 2 and anodic current density with the potential scan rates.
- 3

4 FIGURES



6 Figure 1. Theoretical and statistical predicational results on CO₂ reduction and 7 SA Ni-NC optimizing. a, Proposed reaction pathways of SA Ni-NC for CO₂ 8 electroreduction to CO. Silver, blue, grey and red balls represent Ni, N, C and O atoms, 9 respectively. **b**, DFT-based free energy profile for the optimized NiN₄ and Ni (111) 10 models during the CO_2 reduction process. **c**, Free energy diagram of the electrochemical 11 CO₂ reduction to CO for NiN₄ and Ni (111) at increasing applied potential (V vs. RHE) 12 U = 0 V, U = -0.5 V and U = -1 V. c, Free energy change of the limiting step (ΔG_{RDS}) 13 at different applied potential for NiN₄ and Ni (111), respectively. **d**, Free energy 14 diagram of hydrogen evolution for NiN₄ and Ni (111) without applied potential,

- 1 respectively. e, PDOS calculated by DFT for CO adsorbed on the active sites of the Ni
- 2 (111) and NiN₄.
- 3



Figure 2. Characterization of the SA Ni-NC catalyst. a, Bright-field TEM image of the SA Ni-NC. b-e, The EDX mapping of the SA Ni-NC, which demonstrates the distribution of C, N, Ni. f, HAADF-STEM image of the SA Ni-NC. g, Fourier transformed EXAFS spectrum for the SA Ni-NC and Ni foil. The SA Ni-NC spectrum has been magnified 3 times.



Figure 3. CO₂ electroreduction performance in the H-cell. a, LSV curves measured
in CO₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH + 0.5 M K₂SO₄ mixture electrolyte of SA Ni-NC and NiNPC modified glassy carbon electrodes. b, Faradaic efficiency and c, partial current
density at various applied potentials of the SA Ni-NC and Ni-NPC electrodes. d,
Evolution of the cathode current density and FE_{CO} of the SA Ni-NC catalyst obtained
via potentiostatic measurement at -0.8 V vs. RHE.



2 Figure 4. CO₂ electroreduction performance in the flow electrolyzer. a, Current 3 densities for the CO₂ reduction at various applied potentials and electrolyte flow rates 4 on SA Ni-NC catalyst. **b**, FE_{CO} measured at different applied potentials with different 5 electrolyte flow rates. c, Nyquist plots of Ni SA-NC catalyst with CO₂ flow of 60 mL min⁻¹ and electrolyte flow rates ranging from 20 mL min⁻¹ to 100 mL min⁻¹ (solid 6 7 symbols are experimental results and solid lines are fitting results). **d**, C_{dl}, R_s and R_{ct} as 8 a function of the flow rate of electrolyte for SA Ni-NC supported on the GDE in the 9 flow cell reactor. Rs and Rct are simulated based on the equivalent circuit (Insert in 10 Figure 4c). e, Schematic illustration of the change of the three-phase interface with the 11 electrolyte flow rate. f, FE_{CO} measured at different applied potentials with different CO₂ 12 flow rates. g, Evolution of the current density with the applied potentials for different 13 CO₂ flow rates.



2 Figure 5. CO₂ electroreduction performance in the flow electrolyzer. a, Optimum 3 electrolyte flow rates determined for each potential with a constant CO₂ flow rate. **b**, FE_{CO} values from SA Ni-NC under different current densities. **c**, CO₂RR performance 4 5 from SA Ni-NC measured in the H-cell and in the flow electrolyzer (The bold values 6 with different colors labeled in the graph are corresponding to the j_{total} , j_{mass} and j_{CO} 7 performance in H-cell, respectively). **d** and **e**, 2D map of the energy efficiency (**d**) and 8 the yield rate of CO (YR of CO) (e) of electrochemical CO₂ reduction from SA Ni-NC 9 catalyst with different CO₂ flow rates with a constant electrolyte flux of 60 mL min⁻¹ at 10 different applied potentials. f, Single-pass CO₂ conversion for SA Ni-NC catalyst with 11 different electrolyte flow rates at different applied potentials. g, Single-pass CO₂ 12 conversion for SA Ni-NC with different CO₂ flow rates at different applied potentials.

Figure 6. CO₂ electroreduction performance in the stacked flow electrolyzers. a,
Scheme of the stack configuration consisting of three flow electrolyzers in series. b,

4 Current densities measured by implementing with one stack, two stacks and three stacks

5 of flow electrolyzers. c, Evolution of the single-pass CO₂ conversion and the yield rates

6 for SA Ni-NC catalyst with the flow electrolyzer stack.

7 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

8 Computational details, AFM image, SEM image, HRTEM images, STEM-ADF

- 9 micrographs, XPS spectra, XANES spectra, the expanded view of the flow electrolyzer
- 10 and the comparison of the single-pass CO₂-to-CO conversion performance (PDF).

11 AUTHOR INFORMATION

12 Corresponding Author

13 *E-mail: damien.voiry@umontpellier.fr (D. Voiry).

14 Author Contributions

15 D.V., and Y. Z. designed and directed the research. Y. Z., K. Q., B. A. K., H. L. W.,

16 Y. P. Z, and W. S. W. synthesized the materials and performed the materials property

1	characterization. X. Q. C. and Y. W. performed the TEM, HAADF-STEM	
2	characterization and XAS experiments. F.G., E.O., and L. L. performed the HRTEM	
3	characterization. J. L. carried out and analyzed the theoretical results. Y. Z. and D. V.	
4	wrote the manuscript. D. V. supervised the project and established the final version of	
5	the paper. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript. All	
6	authors have approved the final version of the manuscript.	
7	Supporting Information. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on	
8	the ACS Publications website at DOI:	
9	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	
10	D.V., K.Q. and H. L. W. acknowledge funding from the European Research Council	
11	(ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme	
12	(grant agreement No 804320). K. Q. and Y. Z. acknowledge financial support from the	
13	China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2018M633127) and Natural Science	
14	Foundation of Guangdong Province (2018A030310602). J. L. acknowledge financial	
15	support by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21808134). Patrice	
16	Montels and Daniel Valenza are acknowledged for their technical assistance.	
17	REFERENCES	
18	1 Centi, G., Quadrelli, E. & Perathoner, S. Catalysis for CO ₂ conversion: a key	
19	technology for rapid introduction of renewable energy in the value chain of	
20	chemical industries. Energy Environ. Sci. 6, 1711-1731 (2013).	

1	2	Voiry, D., Shin, H., Loh, K. & Chhowalla, M. Low dimensional catalysts for
2		hydrogen evolution and CO ₂ reduction. Nat. Rev. Chem. 2, 0105 (2018).
3	3	Qi, K., Zhang, Y., Li, J., Charmette, C., Ramonda, M., Cui, X. Q., Wang, Y.,
4		Zhang, Y. P., Wu, H. L., Wang, W. S., Zhang, X. L. & Voiry, D. Enhancing the
5		CO ₂ -to-CO conversion from 2D silver nanoprisms <i>via</i> superstructure assembly.
6		ACS Nano 15, 7682-7693 (2021).
7	4	Creissen, C. & Fontecave, M. Solar-driven electrochemical CO ₂ reduction with
8		heterogeneous catalysts. Adv. Energy Mater. 2002652 (2020).
9	5	Gao, D., Arán-Ais, R., Jeon, H. & Cuenya, B. Rational catalyst and electrolyte
10		design for CO ₂ electroreduction towards multicarbon products. Nat. Catal. 2,
11		198-210 (2019).
12	6	Chen, K. Q., Qi, K., Zhou, T., Yang, T. Q., Zhang, Y. P., Guo, Z. N., Lim, C.
13		K., Zhang, J. Y., Žutic, I., Zhang, H. & Prasad, P. N. Water-dispersible CsPbBr ₃
14		perovskite nanocrystals with ultra-stability and its application in
15		electrochemical CO ₂ reduction. Nano-Micro Lett. 13, 172 (2021)
16	7	Qi, K., Chhowalla, M. & Voiry, D. Single atom is not alone: Metal-support
17		interactions in single-atom catalysis. Mater. Today 40, 173-192 (2020).
18	8	Yang, H. B., Hung, S. F., Liu, S., Yan, K., Miao, S., Zhang, L. P., Huang, X.,
19		Wang, H. Y., Cai, W. Z., Chen, R., Gao, J. J., Yang, X. F., Chen, W., Huang,
20		Y. Q., Chen, H. M., Li, C. M., Zhang, T. & Liu, B. Atomically dispersed Ni(i)
21		as the active site for electrochemical CO_2 reduction. Nat. Energy 3, 140-147
22		(2018).
23	9	Zheng, T. T., Jiang, K., Ta, N., Hu, Y. F., Zeng, J., Liu, J. Y., & Wang, H. T.
24		Large-scale and highly eelective CO ₂ electrocatalytic reduction on nickel
25		single-atom catalyst. Joule 3, 265-278 (2019).
26	10	Gong, L. L., Zhang, D. T., Lin, C. Y., Zhu, Y. H., Shen, Y., Zhang, J., Han, X.,
27		Zhang, L. P. & Xia, Z. H. Catalytic mechanisms and design principles for

2

single-atom catalysts in highly efficient CO₂ conversion. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **9**, 1902625 (2019).

- Wang, Y., Qi, K., Yu, S. S., Jia, G. R., Cheng, Z. L., Zheng, L. R., Wu, Q., Bao, 3 11 4 Q. L., Wang, Q. Q., Zhao, J. X., Cui, X. Q. & Zheng, W. T. Revealing the 5 intrinsic peroxidase-like catalytic mechanism of heterogeneous single-atom Co-6 MoS₂. Nano-Micro Lett. 11, 1-13 (2019). 7 12 Qi, K., Cui, X. Q., Gu, L., Yu, S. S., Fan, X. F., Luo, M. C., Xu, S., Li, N. B., Zheng, L. R., Zhang, Q. H., Ma, J. Y., Gong, Y., Lv, F., Wang, K., Huang, H. 8 9 H., Zhang, W., Guo, S. J., Zheng, W. T. & Liu, P. Single-atom cobalt array 10 bound to distorted 1T MoS₂ with ensemble effect for hydrogen evolution 11 catalysis. Nat. Commun. 10, 5231 (2019). 12 Choi, H., Lee, D. K., Han, M. K., Janani, G., Surendran, S., Kim, J. H., Kim, J. 13 K., Cho, H. & Sim, U. Review-Non-noble metal-based single-atom catalysts 13 14 for efficient electrochemical CO₂ reduction reaction. J. Electrochem. Soc. 167, 15 164503 (2020). 16 14 García de Arquer, F., Dinh, C. T., Ozden, A., Wicks, J., Mccallum, C., Kirmani,
- 14 Galefa de Alquel, F., Dhin, C. T., Ozden, A., Wicks, J., Mccandill, C., Khinahi,
 17 A. R., Nam, D. H., Gabardo, C., Seifitokaldani, A., Wang, X., Li, Y. C., Li, F.
 18 W., Edwards, J., Richter, L. J., Thorpe, S. J., Sinton, D. & Sargent, E. H CO₂
 19 electrolysis to multicarbon products at activities greater than 1 A cm⁻². *Science*20 367, 661 (2020).
- Ren, S. X., Joulié, D., Salvatore, D., Torbensen, K., Wang, M., Robert, M., &
 Berlinguette, C. P. Molecular electrocatalysts can mediate fast, selective CO₂
 reduction in a flow cell. *Science* 365, 367-369 (2019).
- Yang, H. P., Lin, Q., Zhang, C., Yu, X. Y., Cheng, Z. Li, G. D., Hu, Q., Ren, X.
 Z., Zhang, Q. L., Liu, J. H. & He, C. X. Carbon dioxide electroreduction on
 single-atom nickel decorated carbon membranes with industry compatible
 current densities. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 593 (2020).

1	17	Salvatore, D. & Berlinguette, C. Voltage matters when reducing CO ₂ in an
2		electrochemical flow cell. ACS Energy Lett. 5, 215-220 (2019).
3	18	Gabardo, C. M., Seifitokaldani, A., Edwards, J. P., Dinh, C. T., Burdyny, T.,
4		Kibria, M. G., O'Brien, C. P., Sargent, E. H. & Sinton, D. Combined high
5		alkalinity and pressurization enable efficient CO ₂ electroreduction to CO.
6		Energy Environ. Sci. 11, 2531-2539 (2018).
7	19	Ma, M. Chark, E. L., Therkildsen, K. T., Dalsgaard, S., Chorkendorff, Ib. &
8		Seger, B. et al. Insights into the carbon balance for CO ₂ electroreduction on Cu
9		using gas diffusion electrode reactor designs. Energy Environ. Sci. 13, 977-985
10		(2020).
11	20	Millet, M. M., Siller, G. A., Wrabetz, S., Mazheika, A., Girgsdies, F., Teschner,
12		D., Seitz, F., Tarasov, A., Levchenko, S. V., Schlögl, R. & Frei, E. Ni single
13		atom catalysts for CO ₂ activation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141, 2451-2461 (2019).
14	21	Kim, B., Hillman, F., Ariyoshi, M., Fujikawa, S. & Kenis, P. Effects of
15		composition of the micro porous layer and the substrate on performance in the
16		electrochemical reduction of CO ₂ to CO. J. Power Sources 312, 192-198
17		(2016).
18	22	Gabardo, C. M., O'Brien, C. P., Edwards, J. P., McCallum, C., Xu, Y., Dinh, C.
19		T., Li, J., Sargent, E. H. & Sinton, D. Continuous carbon cioxide
20		electroreduction to concentrated multi-carbon products using a membrane
21		electrode assembly. Joule 3, 2777-2791 (2019).
22	23	Tan, Y., Lee, K., Song, H. & Oh, J. Modulating Local CO ₂ concentration as a
23		general strategy for enhancing C-C coupling in CO ₂ electroreduction. Joule 4,
24		1104-1120 (2020).
25	24	Song, H., Im, M., Song, J. T., Lim, J. A., Kim, B. S., Kwon, Y., Ryu, S. & Oh,
26		J. et al. Effect of mass transfer and kinetics in ordered Cu-mesostructures for
27		electrochemical CO ₂ reduction. Appl. Catal. B 232, 391-396 (2018).

1	25	Lum, Y. & Ager, J. Sequential catalysis controls selectivity in electrochemical
2		CO ₂ reduction on Cu. <i>Energy Environ. Sci.</i> 11 , 2935-2944 (2018).
3	26	Endrődi, B., Kecsenovity, E., Samu, A., Darvas, F., Jones, R. V., Török, V.,
4		Danyi, A. & Janáky. C. Multilayer electrolyzer stack converts carbon dioxide
5		to gas products at high pressure with high efficiency. ACS Energy Lett. 4, 1770-
6		1777 (2019).
7	27	Yang, H. P., Wu, Y., Lin, Q., Fan, L. D., Chai, X. Y., Zhang, Q. L., Liu, J. H.,
8		He, C. X. & Lin. Z. Q. Composition tailoring via N and S co-doping and
9		structure tuning by constructing hierarchical pores: Metal-free catalysts for
10		high-performance electrochemical reduction of CO2. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.
11		130 , 15702-15706 (2018).
12	28	Möller, T., Ju, W., Bagger, A., Wang, X. L., Luo, F., Thanh, T. N., Varela, A.
13		S., Rossmeisl, J. & Strasser, P. Efficient CO ₂ to CO electrolysis on solid Ni-N-
14		C catalysts at industrial current densities. Energy Environ. Sci. 12, 640-647
15		(2019).
16	29	Nesbitt, H., Legrand, D. & Bancroft, G. M. Interpretation of Ni2p XPS spectra
17		of Ni conductors and Ni insulators. Phys Chem Miner. 27, 357-366 (2000).
18	30	Zhang, T., Lin, L. L., Li, Z. Y., He, X. Y., Xiao, S. D., Shanov, V. N. & Wu, J.
19		J. Nickel-nitrogen-carbon molecular catalysts for high rate CO ₂ electro-
20		reduction to CO: On the role of carbon substrate and reaction chemistry. ACS
21		Appl. Energy Mater. 3, 1617-1626 (2020).
22	31	García de Arquer, F., Bushuyev, O. S., Luna, P. D., Dinh, C. T., Seifitokaldani,
23		A., Saidaminov, M. I., Tan, C. S., Quan, L. N., Proppe, A., Kibria, M. G.,
24		Kelley, S. O., Sinton, D. & D. Sargent, E. H. 2D metal oxyhalide-derived
25		catalysts for efficient CO ₂ electroreduction. Adv. Mater. 30 , 1802858 (2018).
26	32	Jouny, M., Luc, W. & Jiao, F. High-rate electroreduction of carbon monoxide
27		to multi-carbon products. Nat. Catal. 1, 748-755 (2018).

1	33	Bienen, F., Kopljar, D., Löwe, A., Geiger, S., Wagner, N., Klemm, E. &
2		Friedrich, K. A. Revealing mechanistic processes in gas-diffusion electrodes
3		during CO ₂ reduction via impedance spectroscopy. ACS Sustainable Chem.
4		<i>Eng.</i> 8 , 13759–13768 (2020).
5	34	Bondue, C., Graf, M., Goyal, A. & Koper, M. Suppression of hydrogen
6		evolution in acidic electrolytes by electrochemical CO ₂ reduction. J. Am. Chem.
7		Soc. 143, 279-285 (2020).
8	35	Li, J., Chen, G. X., Zhu, Y. Y., Liang, Z., Pei, A. Wu, C. L., Wang, H. X., Lee,
9		H. R., Liu, K., Chu, S. & Cui, Y. Efficient electrocatalytic CO ₂ reduction on a
10		three-phase interface. Nat. Catal. 1, 592-600 (2018).
11	36	Singh, M., Goodpaster, J., Weber, A., Head-Gordon, M. & Bell, A. Mechanistic
12		insights into electrochemical reduction of CO2 over Ag using density functional
13		theory and transport models. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A 114, E8812-E8821
14		(2017).
15	37	Shinagawa, T., Garcia-Esparza, A. & Takanabe, K. Insight on Tafel slopes from
16		a microkinetic analysis of aqueous electrocatalysis for energy conversion. Sci.
17		<i>Rep.</i> 5 , 13801 (2015).
18	38	Nguyen, D., Lee, W. C., Na, J., Kim, M. C., Kha Tu, N. D., Lee, S. Y., Sa, Y.
19		J., Won, D. H., Oh, H. S., Kim, H., Min, B. K., Han, S. S., Lee, U. & Hwang,
20		Y. J. Mass transport control by surface graphene oxide for selective CO
21		production from electrochemical CO ₂ reduction. ACS Catal. 10, 3222-3231
22		(2020).
23	39	Jeng, E. & Jiao, F. Investigation of CO ₂ single-pass conversion in a flow
24		electrolyzer. React. Chem. Eng. 5, 1768-1775 (2020).
25	40	Huang, L., Zhang, X. P., Han, Y. J., Wang, Q. Q., Fang, Y. X. & Dong, S. J. In
26		situ synthesis of ultrathin metal0organic framework nanosheets: A new method
27		for 2D metal-based nanoporous carbon electrocatalysts. J. Mater. Chem. A 5,
28		18610-18617 (2017).

1	41	Zhang, Z., Wang, X. G., Zhang, X., Xie, Z. J., Chen, Y. N., Ma, L. P., Peng, Z.
2		Q. & Zhou, P. Z. Verifying the rechargeability of Li-CO ₂ batteries on working
3		cathodes of Ni nanoparticles highly dispersed on N-doped graphene. Adv. Sci.
4		5, 1700567 (2018).
5	42	Rehr, J. & Albers, R. Theoretical approaches to X-ray absorption fine structure.
6		Rev. Mod. Phys. 72, 621 (2000).
7	43	Newville, M. EXAFS analysis using FEFF and FEFFIT. J. Synchrotron. Radiat.
8		8, 96-100 (2001).

