

Effects of motor tempo on frontal brain activity: An fNIRS study

Ségolène M. R. Guérin, Marion A. Vincent, Costas I. Karageorghis, Yvonne

N. Delevoye-Turrell

► To cite this version:

Ségolène M. R. Guérin, Marion A. Vincent, Costas I. Karageorghis, Yvonne N. Delevoye-Turrell. Effects of motor tempo on frontal brain activity: An fNIRS study. NeuroImage, 2021, 230, pp.117597. 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117597 . hal-03363512

HAL Id: hal-03363512 https://hal.science/hal-03363512

Submitted on 13 Feb 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S105381192031082X Manuscript_adf7b53fa76502f2b1f33a5517e61c49

MOTOR TEMPO AND FRONTAL ACTIVITY

1 Effects of Motor Tempo on Frontal Brain Activity: An fNIRS Study Ségolène M. R. Guérin¹, Marion A. Vincent¹, Costas I. Karageorghis², and Yvonne N. $\mathbf{2}$ 3 Delevoye-Turrell^{*1} ¹Univ. Lille, UMR 9193 - SCALab - Sciences Cognitives et Sciences Affectives, F-59000 4 5Lille, France ²Brunel University London, Uxbridge, Middlesex, United Kingdom 6 7 Author Note 8 Ségolène M. R. Guérin (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0990-9408 9 Marion A. Vincent (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1761-7491 10 Costas I. Karageorghis ib https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9368-0759 11

- 12 Yvonne N. Delevoye-Turrell D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4034-3684
- 13 The approved Stage 1 protocol is available here:

14 https://osf.io/ne6xp/?view_only=a82761c903a446608493c132329525eb. The study data

15 and materials are shared openly as part of the publication of the article

16 (https://osf.io/jw3me/?view_only=43627073ab9f4755a1225b62d05648c0). The study

- 17 received financial support from the I-SITE ULNE Foundation. The authors have no
- 18 competing financial interests to declare. The ethics committee of the University of Lille,
- 19 France, approved the study (#2017-8-S52).

20 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Yvonne N.

- 21 Delevoye-Turrell, SCALab UMR CNRS 9193, University of Lille, Rue du Barreau, BP
- 22 60149, 59653 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex. E-mail: yvonne.delevoye@univ-lille.fr

23 Effects of Motor Tempo on Frontal Brain Activity: An *f*NIRS Study

The coding of time by the brain remains a mystery for the simple reason that 2425there are no time-specific sensory receptors. Initial studies in neuropsychology referred to a normative scale depicted in the form of an internal clock. Conceptualized by 26Treisman (1963), the internal clock is described as a pacemaker-accumulator model that 27has become the most popular concept model to date (Droit-Volet & Wearden, 2003). It 28is composed of three distinct stages in which temporal information about an event is 29extracted, encoded, and processed. However, the internal clock metaphor was primarily 30 31created to fulfill the need of a conceptual framework, and is now challenged by biological and pharmacological research, which suggests that time may be embedded 3233 within the neural activity of the cortex (Buhusi & Meck, 2005).

Since the turn of the millennium, neuroscientific studies have indicated that 34specific brain structures may play a function in time processing; notably the cerebellum 35and the basal ganglia, with wider networks including the supplementary motor area, the 36prefrontal cortex, and the posterior parietal cortex (Buhusi & Meck, 2005; Ivry & 37 Spencer, 2004; Rubia & Smith, 2004). However, a major limitation in the literature is 38 the fact that most of the neuroimaging studies have focused on the perception of time, 39 while neglecting the question of motor timing (Bareš et al., 2019; Grahn & Brett, 2007; 4041Jongsma et al., 2007). Although previous findings have indicated that the brain areas dedicated to time perception are similar to those devoted to time production (Rubia & 42Smith, 2004; Schubotz et al., 2000), due care should be taken in generalizing such 43results given that these studies were conducted by use of functional magnetic resonance 44imaging (fMRI). It is extremely difficult to execute studies with motor paradigms using 45fMRI, as this technology is highly sensitive to movement artifacts. 46

Over the last decade, the noninvasive imaging method of functional near-infrared spectroscopy (*f*NIRS) has become the tool of choice for those investigating motor paradigms (Leff et al., 2011). It makes use of the optical proprieties of light in order to evaluate local hemodynamic responses (i.e., increase in blood flow) in a given cortical area. Notably, the brain is one of the body parts in which the metabolic activity is most

intense (up to 20% of energy consumption of the body at rest; Attwell et al., 2010; 52Gusnard & Raichle, 2001) and yet it possesses no reserves of energy. Hence, the brain 53has developed a large vascular network that can perpetually support its nutritional 54requirements. The local electrical activity of the neurons (i.e., action potential) 5556engenders an energy cost in oxygen and glucose, that is met by metabolically active cells (i.e., astrocytes; Magistretti et al., 1999). This provides the resources for effective 57cerebral activity (León-Carrión & León-Dominguez, 2012). fNIRS is a neuroimaging 5859technique that provides a means by which to assess such changes in brain metabolism,

and thus allows the researcher to infer related neural activity.

60

Near-infrared spectrum light uses the optical window in which the diffusion of 6162 light through biological tissues is the greatest. It is notable that skin, tissue, and bone are mostly transparent to NIR light in the optimal spectrum of 700–900 nm, while 63oxygenated-hemoglobin (HbO_2) and deoxygenated-hemoglobin (HHb) are stronger 64absorbers of light. Thus, differences in the absorption spectra of HHb and HbO₂ allow 65the calculation of the relative changes in hemoglobin concentration (Hb) through the 66 67 use of the degree of light attenuation at multiple wavelengths Strangman et al., 2002. Consequently, fNIRS can provide specific information on brain oxygenation (i.e., 68 HbO₂), deoxygenation (i.e., HHb), and the total content of hemoglobin (i.e., HbT). In 69 the present study, the fNIRS technique was used to measure changes in oxygenation of 70the brain tissues over the prefrontal and motor areas. This enabled a fuller 7172understanding of the relative contributions of these brain areas to motor timing.

The behavioral task that is most commonly used to study motor timing in 73experimental psychology is the tapping paradigm (Repp, 2005). Early studies measured 7475the spontaneous tapping speed of the hand—referred to as the *spontaneous motor* tempo—to further understand people's "natural pace" (Fraisse, 1982; Fraisse et al., 76771954). Among the general population, this idiosyncratic tempo is subject to considerable interindividual variability (Drake & Baruch, 1995; Fraisse, 1974); 78nevertheless, spontaneous motor tempo is found to average ~ 2 Hz (i.e., 500-ms time 79intervals; McAuley et al., 2006; Moelants, 2002). fNIRS has been used in self-paced 80

MOTOR TEMPO AND FRONTAL ACTIVITY

finger-tapping paradigms (Drenckhahn et al., 2015; Holper et al., 2009; Sato et al., 2007; Wilson et al., 2014). Results have shown that brain hemodynamic responses depend on task complexity, with complex tasks (e.g., bimanual tapping) eliciting significantly larger HbO₂ changes in the premotor area and the primary motor cortex than simple unimanual tapping (Holper et al., 2009). Nonetheless, few studies have used *f*NIRS techniques to ascertain how the brain modulates the spontaneous motor tempo.

Humans live in a constantly changing and evolving environment. Hence, adapted 87 88 behaviors require individuals to be able to accelerate or decelerate the spontaneous pace of their own motor actions to facilitate smooth interaction with individuals and objects 89 present in their environment (Bryant & Barrett, 2007). Such motor timing abilities are 90 91commonly assessed using sensorimotor synchronization tasks. In fact, this approach concerns a form of referential behavior in which an action is temporally coordinated 92with a predictable external event, the referent (Repp, 2005, p. 969). Sensorimotor 93 synchronization tasks have shown that the ability to adapt the timing of voluntary 94actions to environmental constraints develops with age as well as experience. For 9596 example, babies are unable to alter the speed of their natural behaviors. When required to synchronize self-generated actions to slow auditory stimuli, newborns and 97 2-month-old babies were reported to be unable to slow down their non-nutritive sucking 98rate below their spontaneous motor tempo (Bobin-Bègue et al., 2006). Similar results 99100were found in $3\frac{1}{2}$ -year-olds during the synchronization of hand-tapping with slow 101 auditory and visual stimuli (Bobin-Bègue & Provasi, 2008). Bobin-Bègue et al. (2006) suggested that the ability to slow down movements depends on motor inhibition, a 102 process that is a component of high-level cognitive functions. Thus, it would only be 103104 acquired later in ontogeny and have functional impact from 8 years and beyond (Williams et al., 1999). 105

If the ability to modulate motor tempo according to the environmental
constraints is underpinned by cognitive functions, it should involve frontal activations.
Following this train of thought, Kuboyama et al. (2004, 2005) reported a gradation in
cerebral oxygenation of the motor cortex in accord with the frequency at which a

MOTOR TEMPO AND FRONTAL ACTIVITY

finger-tapping task was performed. Larger hemodynamic responses were found for maximal speed tapping compared to slower tapping conditions. However, neither of these studies investigated prefrontal activations as a concomitant of the pace of motor execution.

114 The main objective of the present study was to examine the cerebral oxygenation 115of prefrontal and motor areas simultaneously during the execution of visuomotor tasks performed under different time constraints. Three forms of upper-limb movement were 116117used to control for motor complexity and facilitate generalization of the findings: A simple task (i.e., finger-tapping task, discrete movements with a recognizable beginning 118and end), a task of moderate complexity (i.e., pointing task, serial 119120 individual-movements linked together to constitute a whole), and a complex task (i.e., 121 circle drawing task, continuous movements with no recognizable beginning and end; 122Schmidt et al., 1988). These three sensorimotor synchronization tasks were administered via a computer touchscreen according to three externally-paced tempi: fast pace (i.e., 123300 ms), natural pace (i.e., 500 ms), and slow pace (i.e., 1200 ms). A series of self-paced 124125trials (i.e., without an auditory beep) was collected at the start of the experimental 126session in order to record each participant's spontaneous tempo. The addition of this non-cued condition allowed the research team to control for the effects of auditory cues 127128with reference to brain activations during motor production at a natural pace. To 129ensure methodological rigor, acquisition and filtering pipelines, as well as raw data, were 130reported (Leff et al., 2011). To discriminate between physiological noise and cerebral meaningful signals, the physiological data (i.e., heart and respiratory rates) were 131recorded throughout the experimental session so that frequency bands of such signals 132133could be regressed from the fNIRS data. An automatic tracking of the headset was also used to monitor the exact position of the optodes in reference to the cranio-cerebral 134correlates of the NIR channels. 135

Motor timing at the spontaneous motor tempo would lead to less prefrontal (i.e., anterior and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices) and motor (i.e., premotor and primary motor cortex) activation when compared to performing the motor task at either fast or 139 slow tempi (H_1) . Furthermore, action production at slow tempi would lead to a

140 significantly greater increase in cerebral oxygenation over the prefrontal lobe when

141 compared to task execution at a fast tempo, for which the increase in cerebral

142 oxygenation would involve motor areas (H_2) . Similar patterns of activation would be

143 observed regardless of the complexity of the motor task being undertaken (H_3) .

144

Methods

145 2.1 Participants

146Healthy adults were recruited for the present study from among the corpus of 147University of Lille staff and students. Participants were right-handed (assessed by use of the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory; Oldfield, 1971), had normal to 148149corrected-to-normal vision, and did not present motor dysfunction or neurological/psychiatric disorders. A pilot test conducted on two participants confirmed 150that both hair density and length could induce significant noise in fNIRS data 151(Figure 1). Ongoing work in our laboratory is targeting potential solutions to address 152this limitation that pertains to fNIRS technology. Nevertheless, for the present study, 153only male participants with very short haircuts (< 1 cm) or shaven heads were included 154155to avoid hair-related issues (McIntosh et al., 2010; Pringle et al., 1999). Participants 156were informed of the tasks to be performed and the measurements to be taken at least 48 hr prior to their participation. After reading an information sheet, each participant 157was invited to provide written informed consent. At this point, demographic data were 158collected (sex, age, and musical expertise). 159

160The sample size required for the critical statistical test of each research hypothesis was calculated using G*Power (3.1.9.2; see Table 1). For H_1 , H_2 , and H_3 , the 161fNIRS results of Abiru et al. (2016) were used as group parameters. For H_1 and H_2 , 162163 Tukey post hoc tests were the critical statistical tests. Because a Tukey test is essentially a modified t statistic that corrects for multiple comparisons, required sample 164size was also computed for paired-samples t tests. The power analysis indicated that 15 165participants would be required for H_1 (dz = .68; $\alpha = .05$; $1-\beta = .80$), and 16 166participants for H_2 (dz = .67; $\alpha = .05$; 1- $\beta = .80$). For H_3 , required sample size was 167

also computed for paired-samples t tests. The power analysis indicated that 16 participants would be required (dz = .67; $\alpha = .05$; $1-\beta = .80$). Accordingly, a sample of 170 16 participants was recruited.

The small telescopes approach was used to determine the smallest effect size of interest (SESOI; i.e., the difference that is considered too small to be meaningful; Simonsohn, 2015) for each hypothesis. Accordingly, the SESOI was set to the effect size that an earlier study would have had 33% power to detect (Lakens et al., 2018). As previously, the *f*NIRS results of Abiru et al. (2016) were used for H_1 , H_2 , and H_3 . The SESOI for each hypothesis is reported in Table 1.

177 2.2. Experimental Procedure and Tasks

178 2.2.1 Experimental Procedure

Each participant was administered three visuomotor tasks via a touchscreen on 179180 which he used his right index finger, with a closed fist. An fNIRS headset was worn by the participant throughout the session. The touchscreen (1915L Elo Touch 19"; Elo 181182Touch Solutions Inc.; Milpitas, California, CA) was placed on a table in front of the participant with the screen oriented at 45°. The participant was seated on a stool, 183184suitably adjusted to her/his height to minimize lower-limb muscular fatigue (assessed 185by use of the rating-of-fatigue scale; Micklewright et al., 2017) and avoid any extraneous movements during task performance. The stool was fixed in such a way that horizontal 186 rotational movement would be possible. The experimental session took place in a quiet, 187 windowless room that was dimly lit. The lighting is of particular importance given that 188189bright light can affect fNIRS signals (Shadgan et al., 2010). The fNIRS system (FOIRE-3000/16; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was placed behind the participant to limit 190distraction and facilitate the management of the cables. This setup also provided a 191means by which to minimize the weight of cables on the participant's neck. To verify 192that this was effective, a self-rated pain scale of was administered. The scale was 193attached to a 9-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (no pain) to 9 (unbearable pain). The 194participant was required to indicate the degree of pain that he was experiencing in 195regard to the weight of optodes on the head and neck. This pain scale was presented at 196

197 the beginning of each block of trials as well as at the end of the experiment, for an198 overall evaluation of the participant's experience.

199 2.2.2 Task Description

A total of three visuomotor tasks were administered in a counterbalanced order 200 across participants. In the finger-tapping task, the participant was required to tap on a 201202 single visual target (dot of 10-mm diameter) located in the center of the touchscreen (Figure 2, left panel). In the pointing task, six targets (dots of 10-mm diameter) 203204 positioned around an invisible circle of 100 mm radius were displayed on the screen. The participant was asked to tap each target, one after the other (Figure 2, middle 205panel). In the drawing task, six targets of a similar nature linked together to form a 206100-mm circle were displayed on the screen (Figure 2, right panel). The participant was 207208required to trace the circle and, in so doing, produce a regular and continuous arm 209movement. In both the pointing and the drawing tasks, the participant was instructed to start with the finger above the top-right target and move counterclockwise. The 210211participant was instructed to maintain accuracy in both temporal and spatial facets of 212the skill, but to favor temporal accuracy in case the task became too challenging for 213both to be maintained.

The participant performed the tapping, pointing, and drawing tasks at three predefined tempi that were set by an auditory metronome. The beeps of the metronome had a duration of 80 ms and a sound frequency of 294 Hz. The beeps were generated using Matlab 7.11.0 R2010 software (Mathworks Inc.; Natick, Massachusetts, MA).

The three tempi used in the study were 300 ms (for the fast-tempo trials), 500 ms (for the natural-tempo trials), and 1200 ms (for the slow-tempo trials). For the fastand slow-tempo trials, this enabled the participant to depart from her/his spontaneous motor tempo but remain within the possible sensorimotor synchronization zone (between 180 ms and 1800 ms, Keele et al., 1985; Mates et al., 1994). These metronome tempi were played to the participant via two Creative SBS 250 desk speakers (Creative Technology; Singapore) positioned either side of the screen.

8

225 2.2.3 Experimental Design

The experiment was predicated on a block design procedure, characterized by alternating periods of activity and respite to facilitate the acquisition of reliable *f*NIRS signals (Gervain et al., 2011). It has been shown that the best *f*NIRS signal is obtained with a resting period of 30 s prior to stimulation (Obrig et al., 1997). Accordingly, each 60-s trial was preceded by a rest period of 30 s to allow the hemodynamic indices to return to their baseline levels and to optimize the quality of the hemodynamic responses to time-locked body movements.

The participant performed a series of 12 blocks, for a total of 36 trials. In a first series, the participant performed the three visuomotor tasks in a randomized order at a self-paced spontaneous tempo (i.e., executed at a regular and most natural pace). In a second series, he performed the three visuomotor tasks while synchronizing their movements to the metronome. Three blocks of trials were recorded for each task, with the slower, natural, and faster conditions administered in a random order.

Throughout the session, the participant was instructed to leave his left arm hanging by their side in a relaxed manner. The participant was also informed not to speak and to avoid extraneous movements during each fNIRS trial. The self-paced trials were systematically administered before the externally-paced trials to avoid cross-contamination (Bove et al., 2009). The total duration of the experimental test period was ~100 min.

245 2.3 Data Acquisition and Preprocessing Analyses

246 2.3.1 Behavioral Data Acquisition and Preprocessing

In the tapping and pointing tasks, inter-response intervals (IRIs) were measured as the time interval between the onset of successive taps. In the drawing task, radiuses from the center of the circle to each target were computed first. Taps were defined as the locus that intersected the participant's finger and each radius. Before conducting the main analyses, the time series were checked in order to detect and remove the IRIs greater than twice the ISI of the given block of trials. These trials were referred to as "temporal omissions" and were not included in the statistical analyses. 254 An IRI error (IRI_{error}) was computed as the percentage of absolute difference 255 between an IRI and its reference ISI for a given time interval t (Equation 1).

$$IRI_{error(t)} = (|IRI_t - ISI|)/ISI \times 100$$
(1)

The mean IRI_{error} measurement within a trial indicated the accuracy of time interval
production (i.e., behavioral tempo-accurary; Repp, 2005).

For each time interval *t*, a spatial error was computed (pixels), as the difference between the center of the visual target and the location of the participant's forefinger. The mean pointing error within a trial was used as an indicator of behavioral-spatial accuracy (Dione & Delevoye-Turrell, 2015).

262 2.3.2 fNIRS Data Acquisition

Data were collected using a continuous-wave *f*NIRS system operating at three near-infrared wavelenghts (780 nm, 805 nm, and 830 nm) and monitored by the associated LabNIRS software. This *f*NIRS system offers 32 optodes divided into 16 light sources (multicomponent glass bundle fibers) and 16 detectors (multi-alkali photomultipliers detectors). The sampling frequency was set at 2.27 Hz (i.e., temporal resolution of 440 ms).

269The brain regions of interest were the prefrontal cortex (anterior and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices; Brodmann's areas 9 and 10) and motor cortex (premotor and 270primary motor cortices; Brodmann's areas 4 and 6; Homan et al., 1987; Okamoto et al., 2712722004). Thus, a 45-channel (28 optodes, 45 source-detector couples) configuration was applied in order to cover these cortices over both brain hemispheres (Figure 3). One 273additional channel was applied to the occipital cortex (Brodmann's area 18) as a means 274of providing "negative control" (i.e., control an area of the brain not expected to be 275influenced by the experimental manipulations). The optodes were attached to a 27627732-optode headset with a 3-cm source-detector distance, giving a depth of analysis from 2780.5-2.0 cm. The headset was placed on each participant's head in accord with the International 10-20 system guidelines for standard electrode positions (Jasper, 1958). As 279a result, the Cz optode was located at the midway point between the nasion and inion. 280

System calibration was performed through an automatic adjustment using LabNIRS to adapt the internal parameters of the fNIRS device (e.g., gain, amount of light to emit) to the head morphology and the hair-type characteristics of each participant. Differences in the absorption of HbO₂ and HHb provided the means to measure the differences in the hemoglobin concentration (µmol/L). These differences were computed in real time using Equation 2 and 3 (generated by LabNIRS from the modified Beer-Lambert law; Baker et al., 2014):

$$HbO_2 = (-1.4887) \times Abs[780nm] + 0.5970 \times Abs[805nm] + 1.4847 \times Abs[830nm]$$
(2)

 $HHb = 1.8545 \times Abs[780nm] + (-0.2394) \times Abs[805nm] + (-1.0947) \times Abs[830nm] \quad (3)$ 281 The total hemoglobin (HbT) will then be established through a summation of HbO₂
282 and HHb (Equation 4):

$$HbT = HbO_2 + HHb \tag{4}$$

283 2.3.3 Preprocessing of fNIRS Data

Data (HHb, HbO₂, and HbT) were first filtered to eliminate mechanical artifacts (quick baseline shifts of the signal waveform characterized by sharp and steep edges) and physiological noise (heart and respiratory rates). This enabled the research team to keep only the physiological hemodynamic signals X (HHb, HbO₂, and HbT), which show slow variations over time (Pinti et al., 2019). The precise preprocessing pipeline was defined in accord with the shape of the data, as there is presently no consensus in the fNIRS literature regarding filtering methods (Pinti et al., 2019).

291For each trial *i*, a baseline $B_{X,i}$ and a plateau $P_{X,i}$ were defined as the mean 292values of X upon a 5-s time window starting 10 s before the trial onset and upon the 293last 5 s of the trial, respectively (for similar calculations, see Mandrick, 2013). Then, the variations $\Delta_{X,i}$ of a trial were given relative to its baseline (i.e., by subtracting $B_{X,i}$ to 294 $P_{\rm X,i}$), to be free from possible offsets across trials and linear trends of the signal over 295time. The mean $\Delta_{X,n}$ was computed over each block n, for each channel, in each 296297condition (for similar calculations, see Derosière et al., 2014; Mandrick et al., 2013). Finally, the mean variations in HbO₂, HHb, and HbT were given for two channel 298clusters defined according to the two regions of interest: $\bar{\Delta}_{X, \text{ prefrontal}}$ and $\bar{\Delta}_{X, \text{ motor}}$. 299

300 2.3.4 Controlling for Noisy Signals

301 Data contamination caused by movement and physiological artifacts in fNIRS is 302 an important consideration with regard to reaping the full potential of the technique for real-life applications (Jahani et al., 2018). In the present study, we applied tracking 303 methods to identify sources of noisy data. The synchronization of the different systems 304305 was controlled by means of Matlab algorithms. The central command computer controlled for motor tasks; it sent triggers to the other apparatus—including the fNIRS 306 system—to segment the times of onsets and offsets in an automated manner. A similar 307 308 triggering system was used in our previous studies (e.g., Blampain et al., 2018), which 309 revealed a synchronization error of ~ 35 ms. Visual tags were used to identify the beginning and end of each trial, with specific tags for each trial type. 310

311

2.3.4.1 Cardiorespiratory Monitoring

By use of the Fourier transform method, both heart and respiratory rates can be identified in the fNIRS frequency spectrum. The ability to identify these two frequency components serves to ensure the validity of fNIRS measures. In terms of frequency, the neurophysiological detail in the fNIRS signals is lower than that of both heart and respiratory rates (~2 Hz and ~0.3 Hz, respectively; Pinti et al., 2019). Thus, these physiological components were filtered out, which restricted any potential contamination from the raw fNIRS signal.

Cardiorespiratory monitoring was done using the MP150 Biopac system (Biopac 319 Systems, Goleta, CA), complemented with two dedicated add-on wearable devices. To 320321avoid recording movement artifacts, heart rate (HR) data (Hz) were captured by use of an ECG Bionomadix module (wireless transmitter and RPEC-R amplifier) and low-pass 322filtered to 1 Hz. Two disposable patch electrodes were placed on the participant's right 323 and left clavicles. Respiration rate (Hz) was recorded using the TSD201 respiratory 324325 effort transducer, which was wired to the RSP100C amplifier. This respiratory belt was placed around the chest wall, at the level of the sternum. Sampling frequency was set to 326327 250 Hz. Data acquisition was facilitated by the AcqKnowledge software that is included in the MP system. 328

MOTOR TEMPO AND FRONTAL ACTIVITY

329

2.3.4.2 Headset Position Tracker

Data were collected using three Oqus 5+ cameras (Qualisys MoCap, Göteborg, 330 Sweden) to control for any shift in the headset. The spatial positions were measured in 331real time using three spherical passive markers taped to the participant's right temple 332333 and headset (with the use of one and two markers, respectively). The distance between the temple marker and each of the two headset markers was computed (in cm) based on 334Cartesian coordinates (i.e., x, y, and z). The Real-Time Motion Capture (RTMocap) 335 336 Matlab toolbox (Lewkowicz & Delevoye-Turrell, 2016) was used to interpolate any 337 missing data. The spatial accuracy of the system is 0.5 mm for each dimension of 3D 338 space.

To verify the occurrence of a *f*NIRS helmet shift, a deformation calculation of the area of the planar triangle connecting the 3D markers was used. This was referred to as the *mesh area*. Notably, if the 3D markers remain in the same place with respect to each other, the mesh area remains constant. On the other hand, if the two markers of the headset move relative to the reference marker (i.e., temple marker), the mesh area is modified.

The mesh area between the three markers M_i , with $i \in \{0; 1; 2\}$, at a given moment in time $t \in [1; d]$, with d defined as the acquisition duration, was computed using a scalar product (Equation 5), equal to twice the mesh area.

$$\overrightarrow{M_0M_1}(t) \cdot \overrightarrow{M_0M_2}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) - x_0(t) \\ y_1(t) - y_0(t) \\ z_1(t) - z_0(t) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_2(t) - x_0(t) \\ y_2(t) - y_0(t) \\ z_2(t) - z_0(t) \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

If $\overrightarrow{M_0M_1} \cdot \overrightarrow{M_0M_2}$ remained constant over time with an acceptable error threshold, an absence of deformation of the mesh was considered and indicative of an absence of headset shift. In the present study, the error threshold ϵ was set to 10 mm, which corresponds to the degree of spatial resolution of the *f*NIRS optical imaging technique (Quaresima & Ferrari, 2016). The variation Δ_{mesh} of the $\overrightarrow{M_0M_1} \cdot \overrightarrow{M_0M_2}$ value was computed. If this value exceeded 15%, which corresponds with ϵ , the participant's data from that block of trials as well as from subsequent blocks were removed for the purposes of statistical analysis, as it was difficult to determine the exact sources of therecorded hemodynamic signals.

357 2.4 Statistical Analyses

358 2.4.1 Data Eligible for Analysis

359 HbT reflects the overall changes in corpuscular blood volume of the sampling 360 volume. Because HbT is a summation of HbO₂ and HHb changes (see Equation 4), it 361 was not statistically analyzed. The behavioral data were analyzed and reported in a 362 supplementary online file. HHb and HbO₂ data were analyzed only from those blocks of 363 trials characterized by (a) at least 70% level of behavioral accuracy, and (b) an absence 364 of headset shift. A participant's entire data set was removed if > 25% of his data were 365 ineligible and any excluded participants were replaced.

Given that an absence of cerebral activation can be informative, both activated and non-activated channels were taken into consideration. In addition, the ratio of activated to non-activated channels (i.e., if $B_{X,i}$ and $P_{X,i}$ are significantly different) was reported for each trial. Only HbO₂ data were used to support or refute hypotheses. However, HHb data were also statistically analyzed to improve specificity of *f*NIRS signals, as recommended in the *f*NIRS literature (e.g., Leff et al., 2011; Tachtsidis & Scholkmann, 2016). The alpha level was set at p < .05 for all statistical analyses.

373 2.4.2 Analyses Undertaken

374

2.4.2.1 Classic Null-Hypothesis Significance Tests

The dependent variables, Δ_{HbO_2} and Δ_{HHb} for each of the two regions of interest were analyzed. To examine H_1 and H_2 , a oneway RM MANOVA (Externally-Paced Tempo [300 ms, 500 ms, 1200 ms]) was applied. Normality was checked using the Shapiro–Wilk test; if violated, the data were normalized using a transformation that was contingent on data distribution curves (e.g., log10). Where Mauchly's tests indicated violations of the sphericity assumption, Greenhouse–Geisser corrections were applied. Tukey post hoc tests were used where necessary (see Table 1). 382

2.4.2.2 Equivalence Tests

383 It is not acceptable to use nonsignificance of the interaction term from an 384ANOVA to claim the absence of an interaction effect (Cribbie et al., 2016). Consequently, to confirm that similar effects of externally-paced tempo were observed 385 386 regardless of the task complexity (H_3) , two one-sided tests (TOSTs) were used (Lakens 387 et al., 2018). In this procedure—referred to as equivalence testing—the SESOI is used to test whether an effect is sufficiently close to zero to reject the presence of a meaningful 388 difference (Harms & Lakens, 2018, p. 385). The results of both t tests needed to reach 389 significance in order for equivalence to be claimed. To date, the TOST procedure has 390 only been used in a oneway ANOVA design (Campbell & Lakens, 2020), and has yet to 391392 be extended to interaction effects. Accordingly, TOST were computed on the *change* score (i.e., difference between the 300-ms and 1200-ms tempo trials) between (a) the 393 simple and moderate tasks, (b) the simple and complex tasks, and (c) the moderate and 394 complex tasks. TOSTs were computed using the TOSTER R package for 395 paired-samples t tests (Lakens, 2017). 396

397 2.4.3 Outcome-neutral validation tests

398 The fNIRS technique is rather new in the field of human brain sciences and so defining a positive control provides many challenges. Consequently, a negative control 399condition was included by placing an additional channel over the occipital brain region 400 401(Broadmann's area 18). This region is involved primarily in visual perception and so its activation should remain fairly consistent across pacing conditions and motor tasks. 402Accordingly, TOSTs (Lakens et al., 2018) were computed for paired-samples t tests 403between the baseline $B_{X,occipital}$ and the plateau $P_{X,occipital}$ for each block of trials. 404Statistically nonsignificant differences provided a means by which to confirm that 405observed prefrontal and motor activations are related to the modulation of motor 406 tempo. If differences were detected over the occipital brain region, the delta activation 407was removed from all other delta values. 408

3. Results

410 **3.1 Behavioral Data**

411 3.1.1 Time-Interval Accuracy

The RM ANOVA showed a significant main effect of motor tempo, F(2, 24) =4126.88, p = .004, $\eta_p^2 = .36$, with more IRI_{error} in the 300 ms ISI (M = 10.79, SD = 3.39) 413than in the 500 ms (M = 8.54, SD = 2.84) and 1200 ms ISI conditions (M = 8.23, SD414= 2.74; Figure 4). The main effect of task complexity was also significant, F(2, 24) =41577.86, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .87$, with a smaller IRI_{error} in the simple (M = 4.51, SD = 1.62) 416and moderate tasks (M = 5.89, SD = 1.59) compared to the complex task (M = 17.17,417SD = 5.75). The Motor Tempo × Task Complexity interaction was nonsignificant (p =4180.117). Overall, the results indicated that participants made more timing errors under a 419fast externally-paced tempo and when the task was complex. 420

421 3.1.2 Spatial Accuracy

422The RM ANOVA showed a significant main effect of motor tempo, F(2, 24) =20.38, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .63$, with the spatial errors decreasing from the 300 ms ISI (M =42342.75, SD = 13.04) to the 1200 ms ISI (M = 24.58, SD = 11.86). The main effect of 424 task complexity was also significant, F(2, 24) = 78.88, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = .87$, with fewer 425spatial errors in the simple task (M = 9.56, SD = 2.61) than in the complex one (M =42642722.34, SD = 14.98), and in the complex than in the moderate task (M = 100.73, SD =16.53). The Motor Tempo \times Task Complexity interaction was significant, F(4, 48) =4288.54, p = .001, $\eta_p^2 = .42$. This indicated that spatial errors were smaller in the simple 429task than in the complex one in the 300 ms (p < .001) and the 500 ms ISI conditions (p430431< .001); however, the spatial errors were similar in the simple and complex tasks in the 4321200 ms ISI condition (Figure 4). Overall, the results showed that participants made more spatial errors when required to move through space quickly. 433

434 **3.2 Headset Position Tracker**

435 The absolute variation Δ_{mesh} did not exceed the 15% threshold for any of our 436 participants (M = 0.91, SD = 0.87). The maximum percentage change observed was 437 3.13%. Overall, the data confirmed the absence of an fNIRS helmet shift, meaning that 438 the 3D markers held the same relative positions throughout the experimental trials.

439 3.3 *f*NIRS Data

440 3.3.1 Preprocessing

Given that our fNIRS system does not provide access to raw intensities, preprocessing was performed directly on Δ_{HbO_2} and Δ_{HHb} concentrations. This was achieved using Matlab, with both personal code and algorithm adapted from the Matlab-based toolbox Homer2 (Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA). The preprocessing steps are detailed in Figure 5.

The *f*NIRS literature details several filtering methods (Herold et al., 2018; Hocke et al., 2018; Pinti et al., 2019) and a hybrid filtering of the *f*NIRS time-series was applied in the present study (cf. Jahani et al., 2018). First, the wavelet-based method was used to perform motion-artefact correction, as it appeared to apply particularly well to our dataset, and has been shown to be relatively efficient (Hocke et al., 2018). Second, *f*NIRS data were bandpass filtered to remove physiological noise that was concomitant to the task-induced hemodynamic activity.

453

3.3.1.1 Channel Exclusion Criterion

454The first mandatory step was to control for the optical-coupling quality of the acquired fNIRS data (Orihuela-Espina et al., 2010; Pinti et al., 2019; Scholkmann et al., 4552017). Optical coupling is characterized by the presence of heart-beat oscillations within 456the fNIRS signals. A frequency inspection of the raw fNIRS time series enabled the 457458exclusion of channels with a poor optical coupling (i.e., an absence of HR in the power 459spectrum of fNIRS signals). Only Δ_{HbO_2} raw data were analyzed, as HbO₂ is more sensitive to cardiac oscillation than HHb (Pinti et al., 2019). A two-step process was 460461 used to check the 45 channels for each participant: First, applying power-spectrum density (PSD; i.e., frequency domain) to the raw data, the frequency corresponding to 462463 maximal peak in the 50–160 beat-per-minute (bpm) range was detected. Second, to guarantee that the identified frequency was indeed the HR frequency, it was compared 464

to the HR measurements provided by the Biopac system, with a tolerance threshold of 7bpm.

Following the aforementioned steps, three participants were excluded due to an absence of heart beat oscillations in the *f*NIRS signal across all channels pertaining to at least one region of interest. In addition, the channels for which we failed to identify cardiac-frequency component for all participants were excluded from subsequent statistical analyses. Overall, 34.8% of channels were rejected on this basis. Examples of both acceptable and excluded channels are shown in Figure 6.

473

3.3.1.2 Motion Correction: Wavelet Filtering

fNIRS signals recorded during body movements are prone to motion artefacts. 474475Accordingly, motion correction was performed to remove motion-induced sharp and fast skips from the raw fNIRS time series (see Figure 7). To this end, the wavelet-based 476 477smoothing method described by Molavi and Dumont (2012) and implemented in Homer2 (hmrMotionCorrect_Wavelet function, interquartile-range [iqr] = 1.5) was 478adapted to process concentrations rather than of optical densities. The motion-corrected 479480 data were visually inspected to ensure that the selected iqr value was well suited to the present dataset. 481

482

3.3.1.3 Bandpass Filtering of Physiological Noise

Hemodynamic responses elicited by a cognitive process are jeopardized by physiological processes that are not directly linked to the task being undertaken (Scholkmann et al., 2014; Tachtsidis et al., 2004). To minimize the effects of spontaneous hemodynamic activity—HR (~1 Hz), breathing rate (~0.3 Hz), Mayer waves (i.e., arterial pressure oscillations; ~0.1 Hz), and very low frequency oscillations (VLF, < 0.04 Hz)—motion-corrected *f*NIRS signals were bandpass filtered.

A third-order Butterworth filter was applied to extract relevant frequencies (Figure 7) The high cut-off frequency (lowpass) was set to 0.09 Hz to reject both cardiac and breathing rates and parts of Mayer oscillations. The highpass was set at 0.003 Hz to preserve the stimulation protocol frequency (1 / (task + rest) = 0.01 Hz)without attenuation (0 dB flat frequency band of the filter). The 2nd and 3rd harmonics 494 that contained important information were also preserved (Pinti et al., 2019). Figure 7495 summarizes the steps taken in the hybrid filtering process.

496 3.3.2 Outcome-Neutral Validation Tests

The TOST procedure (SESOI = 0.8) showed that both t tests were significant, typer(15) = -4.48, p < .001, $t_{lower}(15) = 1.92$, p = .037. Thus, equivalence was established, confirming that occipital activations remained similar across tempo conditions and levels of task complexity.

501 3.3.3 Oxygenated Hemoglobin

To detect non-activated channels, Δ_{HbO_2} was tested against zero by means of a one-sided t test within each of the 45 channels. To account for multiple comparisons, Bonferroni corrections were applied (.05 ÷ 45; $\alpha = .001$). Results showed that all $\overline{\Delta}_{\text{HbO}_2}$ were significantly different from zero. Collectively, the results indicate that 100% of the channels were activated.

507

3.3.3.1 Motor Channels

The RM ANOVA showed a significant main effect of motor tempo, F(2, 30) =509 5.77, p = .007, $\eta_p^2 = .28$, with a smaller $\overline{\Delta}_{HbO_2}$ in the 1200 ms ISI (M = 1.85, SD =510 6.52) than in the 300 ms ISI (M = 4.94, SD = 7.60, $d_z = 0.43$) and the 500 ms ISI 511 conditions (M = 4.23, SD = 7.26, $d_z = 0.34$; Figure 8). Note that the effect size of both 512 contrasts was larger than the required SESOI (see Table 1), which indicated that the 513 effects were sufficiently strong to be considered meaningful.

514The TOST procedure (SESOI = 0.62; Miyai et al., 2001) computed on the change score between the simple and moderate tasks showed that both t tests were 515significant, $t_{upper}(15) = -2.97$, p = .005, $t_{lower}(15) = 1.97$, p = .034. However, neither of 516the TOST procedures computed on the change score between (a) the simple and 517complex tasks $(p_{upper} = .003, p_{lower} = .159)$, and (b) the moderate and complex tasks 518 $(p_{upper} = .002, p_{lower} = .183)$ reached significance. Overall, the results confirmed similar 519effects of motor tempo across the simple and moderate tasks over the motor areas (see 520 521Table 2).

522

3.3.3.2 Prefrontal Channels

The RM ANOVA showed a significant main effect of motor tempo, F(2, 30) =524 3.93, p = .030, $\eta_p^2 = .21$, with a larger $\overline{\Delta}_{HbO_2}$ in the 500 ms ISI (M = 3.75, SD = 6.51) 525 than in the 1200 ms condition (M = 1.06, SD = 5.52, $d_z = 0.44$; Figure 8). Note that 526 the effect size of the contrast was larger than the required SESOI, which indicated that 527 the effect was sufficiently strong to be considered meaningful.

The TOST procedure (SESOI = 0.62) computed on the change score between the simple and moderate tasks showed that both t tests were significant, $t_{upper}(15) =$ -2.56, p = .011, $t_{lower}(15) = 2.38$, p = .015. However, neither of the TOST procedures computed on the change score between (a) the simple and complex tasks ($p_{upper} = .007$, $p_{lower} = .072$), and (b) the moderate and complex tasks ($p_{upper} = .007$, $p_{lower} = .069$) were significant. Overall, these results indicated similar effects of motor tempo across the simple and moderate complexity tasks over the prefrontal areas (see Table 2).

535 3.3.4 Deoxygenated Hemoglobin

536

3.3.4.1 Motor Channels

The RM ANOVA ran on the Δ_{HHb} was nonsignificant (p = .749). The TOST 537538procedure (SESOI = 0.62) computed on the change score between the simple and moderate tasks showed that both t tests were significant, $t_{upper}(15) = -2.20, p = .022$, 539 $t_{\text{lower}}(15) = 2.75, p = .008$. However, neither of the TOST procedures computed on the 540change score between (a) the simple and complex tasks $(p_{upper} = .008, p_{lower} = .062)$, 541and (b) the moderate and complex tasks $(p_{upper} = .007, p_{lower} = .073)$ were significant. 542543Overall, these results indicated similar effects of motor tempo across the simple and moderate complexity tasks over the motor areas (see Table 2). 544

545

3.3.4.2 Prefrontal Channels

The RM ANOVA performed on the Δ_{HHb} was nonsignificant (p = .529). The TOST procedure (SESOI = 0.62) computed on the change score between the simple and moderate tasks showed that both t tests were significant, $t_{\text{upper}}(15) = -2.92$, p =.005, $t_{\text{lower}}(15) = 2.03$, p = .030. The TOST procedure computed on the change score between the moderate and complex tasks showed that both t tests were significant, 551 $t_{upper}(15) = -2.63$, p = .011, $t_{lower}(15) = 1.82$, p = .047. However, the TOST procedure 552 computed for the change score between the simple and complex tasks was nonsignificant 553 $(p_{upper} = .007, p_{lower} = .071)$. Overall, these results indicated similar effects of motor 554 tempo over the prefrontal area across the simple and moderate complexity tasks, and in 555 the moderate and complex tasks (see Table 2).

556 3.4 Exploratory Analyses

557 Through visual inspection, we noticed that HbO₂ began to increase just prior to 558 initiation of the task (see Figure 8). It is notable that the epoch used to compute 559 $B_{\text{HbO}_{2},i}$ (i.e., from 5 s to 10 s before the trial onset) coincided with when HbO₂ had 560 already started to increase. To make $B_{\text{HbO}_{2},i}$ more representative of the baseline level, 561 additional analyses were applied for which $B_{\text{HbO}_{2},i}$ was taken from 20 s to 10 s prior to 562 trial onset. These findings are presented in the paragraphs that follow.

563The RM ANOVA performed on the motor channels showed a significant main effect of motor tempo, F(2, 30) = 7.04, p = .003, $\eta_p^2 = .32$, with a smaller $\overline{\Delta}_{HbO_2}$ in the 5641200 ms (M = 3.06, SD = 5.21) than in the 300 ms ISI ($M = 6.74, SD = 6.65, d_z =$ 5650.59) and the 500 ms ISI conditions ($M = 5.94, SD = 6.05, d_z = 0.50$). Note that the 566567effect size of both contrasts was larger than the required SESOI, which indicated that 568the effects were strong enough to be considered meaningful. None of the TOST 569procedures computed on the change score between (a) the simple and moderate tasks $(p_{\text{upper}} = .001, p_{\text{lower}} = .093)$, (b) the simple and complex tasks $(p_{\text{upper}} = .005, p_{\text{lower}} = .005)$ 570.097), and (c) the moderate and complex tasks $(p_{upper} = .009, p_{lower} = .056)$ were 571572significant. Overall, these results indicate dissimilar effects of motor tempo over the motor region. A oneway RM ANOVA (Task Complexity [simple, moderate, complex]) 573was also computed but found to be nonsignificant (p = .966). 574

The RM ANOVA performed on the prefrontal channels showed a significant main effect of motor tempo, F(2, 30) = 6.11, p = .006, $\eta_p^2 = .29$, with a larger $\bar{\Delta}$ _HbO₂ in the 500 ms ISI (M = 5.81, SD = 5.23) than in the 1200 ms conditions (M = 2.83, SD= 5.14, $d_z = 0.57$). Note that the effect size of the contrast was larger than the required SESOI, which indicated that the effect was sufficiently strong to be considered meaningful. The TOST procedure computed on the change score between the simple and moderate tasks showed that both t tests were significant, $t_{upper}(15) = -2.97$, p =.005, $t_{lower}(15) = 1.97$, p = .034. The same effects were observed between the simple and complex tasks, $t_{upper}(15) = -2.64$, p = .011, $t_{lower}(15) = 1.82$, p = .047, and between the moderate and complex tasks, $t_{upper}(15) = -2.38$, p = .017, $t_{lower}(15) = 2.08$, p = .030. Overall, these results confirmed similar effects of motor tempo over the prefrontal region, regardless of level of task complexity.

587

4. Discussion

588The main purpose of the present study was to investigate frontal brain activity under conditions of different speeds of motor execution. Three forms of upper-limb 589movement were used as sensorimotor-synchronization tasks and performed at fast (i.e., 590591300 ms), natural (i.e., 500 ms), and slow paces (i.e., 1200 ms). H_1 was not supported as performing the tasks at spontaneous motor tempo did not yield less prefrontal and 592motor activation than moving faster or slower. Action production at fast tempi led to 593greater motor oxygenation compared to action production at slow tempi, which 594replicated the previously-reported effect of larger increases in HbO₂ over the motor 595596cortex when a movement is executed at a fast pace (Kuboyama et al., 2004; Kuboyama 597et al., 2005). However, moving at slow tempi did not lead to greater increases in 598cerebral oxygenation over the prefrontal lobe when compared to the faster tempo. Accordingly, H_2 is partially verified. Equivalence tests on task complexity were 599600 significant for the two finger-tapping tasks only, and not for the continuous-drawing 601task. Hence, H_3 was also only partially verified.

602 4.1 Cerebral Responses

Collectively, the present findings demonstrated the ability of fNIRS to dissociate the involvement of different cognitive mechanisms as a function of task constraints. The first important result is that the motor areas were activated to a greater degree when producing actions at fast vs. slow tempi. In addition, TOST tests and descriptive data confirmed that this pattern of results was potentiated in the complex task (i.e., circle drawing; see Table 2). These findings are congruent with the *dynamic systems* approach 609 of motor timing, in which behavior is described as "the emergent product of a self-organizing, multicomponent system evolving over time" (Perone & Simmering, 610611 2017, p. 44). Indeed, behavioral studies have reported that the production of fast movements is dependent on dynamic processes (Huys et al., 2008). In this respect, the 612 613 temporal organization of movements performed at 300 ms of ISI would emerge from the 614 regularities of body-dynamics (e.g., mass, length, velocity; Zelaznik et al., 2002). Hence, there would be less cognitive control applied upon motor execution (Lemoine, 2007). 615616 The findings of the present study extend the behavioral literature by providing evidence that fast movement production is underpinned predominantly by central and 617 non-reflective motor processes. 618

619 The second important result is that performing a task at a slow pace did not induce larger prefrontal hemodynamic responses when compared to performing at a fast 620 621pace. Explanation for this unexpected finding lies with a measurement issue. Being limited to 47 channels by our fNIRS system, we were not able to optimally cover the 622 623 prefrontal areas of the brain: A unique row of channels is accounted for on the 624 dorsolateral cortex. Therefore, it is possible that the ability to modulate motor tempo 625 according to environmental constraints is underpinned by cognitive functions that might be pinpointed further forward in the brain. 626

627 By way of illustration, the medial prefrontal cortex has been proposed to control the adaptive responses to context, location, and events (Di Pellegrino et al., 2007; 628629 Euston et al., 2012), and could play a central role in performing a motor task at a slow tempo. However, it would not have been recorded with the current fNIRS measurement 630 configuration. Even further frontal is the orbitofrontal cortex, which is implicated in 631 response inhibition (Evans et al., 2004; Horn et al., 2003). In futures studies, there will 632 be a clear need for more accurate contrasts of the activation loci evident across different 633634 prefrontal areas. This will facilitate delineation of the frontal-cognitive processes that 635 underlie the ability to execute slow movements.

636 4.2 Spontaneous Motor Tempo

It is notable that motor timing at the spontaneous motor tempo led to greater 637 638prefrontal activation. It could be that the recorded activation does not originate from 639 the dorsolateral cortex but from the supplementary motor area (SMA), which is located close to the recorded channels. Using the fOLD toolbox (fNIRS Optodes' Location 640 Decider; Morais et al., 2018), we found that the recorded prefrontal activation had a 641 $\sim 25\%$ likelihood of having originated from the SMA. Relative to other neuroimaging 642 techniques (e.g., EEG), the fNIRS spatial resolution is quite good; nonetheless, the 643644 measured activity is localized within the brain with an error of ~ 1 cm (Herold et al., 645 2018). Therefore, the fNIRS technique may not afford sufficient fidelity to distinguish 646 dorsolateral prefrontal cortex activity from SMA activity.

647 Macar et al. (2006) proposed that, as part of the striato-cortical pathway, the 648SMA plays a key role in time processing. In previous studies with fMRI, the pre-SMA 649 was found to be more activated when participants were directed to selectively attend to 650 time in perceptual-timing tasks (Coull, 2004; Coull et al., 2004). It is noteworthy that the SMA was more involved for tempi close to spontaneous pace (i.e., 540 ms ISI) than 651for slower tempi (i.e., 1080 ms ISI; Coull et al., 2012). There is similarity with the 652present findings, which show significantly greater prefrontal activation in spontaneous 653654vs. slow-pace movement using analogous time intervals. In addition, patients with SMA lesions have been reported to be impaired in rhythm-reproduction tasks in the absence 655 of auditory cues; nonetheless, they were perfectly able to produce rhythms when 656 657 auditory pacing was provided (Halsband et al., 1993). Accordingly, the SMA may be more strenuously involved in internally-generated movements rather than in the 658 659external guidance of timed movements (Rao et al., 1997). The increase of prefrontal activation identified in the present study was occasioned by motor execution at a 660 spontaneous pace (i.e., the instinctive speed associated with self-initiated action). As 661 662 scientific knowledge stands, the cerebral correlates of motor production at a 663 spontaneous motor tempo are unclear. A plausible hypothesis emanating from the present findings is that the SMA, and more specifically the pre-SMA, which has 664

665 projections to and from the prefrontal cortex (Kim et al., 2010), serves a pivotal role in 666 motor timing at (close-to-) spontaneous pace.

667 4.3 Behavioral Outcome

An original contribution of the present study concerns the three forms of 668 upper-limb movement that were used to control for motor complexity. The behavioral 669 670 results indicated that participants were less accurate in their time-interval production when they performed the complex (i.e., circle-drawing task) vs. the easier tasks (i.e., 671672 finger-tapping and pointing tasks). The same pattern of results was found using fNIRS: 673 Identical effects of externally-paced tempo were observed for both the prefrontal and motor areas between the simple and moderate tasks, but not between these tasks and 674675 their complex counterpart. Accordingly, it seems that the performance of a timing task 676 is more laborious in continuous motion than in discrete actions.

677 Discrete actions are characterized by a recognizable beginning and end; thus, they are much easier to synchronize with external events than continuous movements, 678 679for which there is no recognizable beginning and end. In the present study, motor activation could have been more salient when motor-timing control was challenging. 680 681Interestingly, the complementary analysis (performed on the first peak of hemodynamic activation) showed that an externally-paced tempo elicited similar effects regardless of 682motor complexity in the prefrontal cortex. Conversely, the three equivalence tests failed 683 684to reach significance in terms of the activation of motor areas. This finding suggests that the three upper-limb movements required different planning and execution 685 686 strategies, but similar degrees of cognitive control for performance outcome.

687 4.4 Strengths and Limitations

The combination of *f*NIRS measurement with physiological indices has been advocated in recent years and referred to as *systemic-physiology-augmented fNIRS* (SPA-*f*NIRS; Scholkmann et al., 2017). The *f*NIRS technique enables the researcher to draw inferences about neural activity through the assessment of cerebral blood oxygenation. However, given that cardiac contractions contribute significantly to cerebral oxygen supply, the frequency of these contractions must be identified in the 694 fNIRS signal of interest. Without such identification, the recorded signal might only contains non-physiological fluctuations. In the present study, the validity of the 695696 recorded fNIRS signal was verified through detailed observation of the relevant physiological information derived from the fNIRS channels (i.e., HR and respiratory 697 698 frequency; see Figure 6). Collectively, the preprocessing data confirmed that the 699 application of fNIRS to motor paradigms is a scientifically legitimate endeavor. 700 Furthermore, we illustrated the importance of using physiological measurements to identify and select the channels of interest. Therefore, it is evident that SPA-fNIRS701 should be used in a systematic manner to verify that fNIRS data are sound, even in 702experimental paradigms that do not involve movement-based tasks. 703

704 The methodological advancement evident in the present study entails a rigorous 705 procedure for the acquisition and processing of fNIRS data in the realm of whole-body 706 movement. First, a 3D reconstruction of the headset position on the participant's head was used. This control is of paramount importance, as it enables the researcher to 707 ascertain the precise location of the recorded fNIRS signal throughout an experimental 708709 session. In the current study, no fNIRS headset shifts were detected (on average, < 1%of variation during the experimental session), even in tasks requiring moving through 710 space (e.g., the circle-drawing trials). Second, channel selection was undertaken to 711ascertain whether cardiac frequency was visible in the fNIRS signals (Pinti et al., 2019). 712713Through this preprocessing method, only those channels with meaningful inputs were 714kept in the regions of interest. Finally, a hybrid filter technique that was applied proved effective in removing instrumental noise, motion-related artefacts, and physiological 715oscillations (for a review of noise source in fNIRS signals, see Herold et al., 2018) 716717 without overfiltering the signal, which seems to be a common phenomenon in the NIRS literature. 718

In terms of limitations of the present study, the three types of upper-limb movements that were chosen are simple laboratory-based tasks that have limited ecological validity. In addition, it is possible that the natural-pace condition did not best reflect the spontaneous motor tempo of a few participants. A condition developed from prior measurement of each participant's spontaneous motor tempo could have enhanced internal validity somewhat. The decision to select only participants with very short hair (< 1 cm) is also acknowledged as a minor limitation. Given that only male participants were recruited, we cannot readily generalize the findings to both sexes.

727 4.5 Future Directions

728 The present work serves to illustrate the technical challenges related to using whole-brain fNIRS in movement paradigms. Nonetheless, a few recent articles providing 729730 recommendations for fNIRS application are beginning to emerge in the scientific literature (e.g., Herold et al., 2018; Perrey, 2014; Pinti et al., 2019). It is hoped that 731researchers will take up the gauntlet of investigating cognitive processes underlying time 732 733 production and use realistic, whole-body movements in so doing. Such explorations will 734represent a meaningful contribution to the knowledge base of cognitive neuroscience by 735 providing a broader picture of how the brain modulates motor timing.

Future research might also address the role of the brain's functional connectivity in the adaptation of motor timing (see Vergotte et al., 2018; Vergotte et al., 2017). The assessment of *f*NIRS connectivity between the prefrontal cortex and motor areas holds potential to provide valuable insights on the cerebral dynamics underlying time production. This form of rich detail is obfuscated when researchers focus solely upon the activation pattern of one or two regions of interest.

742 From a methodological point of view, future research will need to tackle the dissociation of prefrontal from SMA activations. With this aim in mind, it will be 743 appropriate to take anatomical specificity into account through tailoring the placement 744of fNIRS channels to the brain morphology of each participant; this *neuronavigational* 745approach will substantially increase the accurate identification of the brain areas of 746interest (Herold et al., 2018). Close attention will also need to be given to the choice of 747 experimental motor tasks, as different brain patterns can be observed as a function of 748the discrete vs. continuous dimension of motor skill execution. Researchers might use 749naturalistic movements that mirror those used in everyday life, such as walking or 750751cycling.

752 4.6 Conclusions and Recommendations

When examined collectively, the present findings indicate that motor tasks 753754performed either at a fast or slow tempo will result in differences in brain activation. fNIRS can be used to gain a fuller understanding of the brain dynamics involved in the 755modulation of motor tempo. Fast pacing relies on greater activity of the motor areas 756whereas moving at close-to-spontaneous pace places a heavier load on posterior 757 prefrontal processes. These findings are consistent with the notion that two timing 758modes exist (see Huys et al., 2008; Madison & Delignieres, 2009); they confirm that the 759760 execution of fast movements (i.e., faster than the spontaneous motor tempo) depend on 761dynamic systems from which bodily movements emerge (Zelaznik et al., 2002). The effects of spontaneous motor pacing on prefrontal brain activity are possibly the result 762 of the SMA involvement for motor execution at natural pace. With fNIRS technology, 763the scientific community has a means by which to unravel the mystery surrounding how 764765the brain controls time production.

766	References
767	Abiru, M., Sakai, H., Sawada, Y., & Yamane, H. (2016). The effect of the challenging
768	two handed rhythm tapping task to DLPFC activation. Asian Journal of
769	Occupational Therapy, $12(1)$, 75–83. https://doi.org/10.11596/asiajot.12.75
770	Attwell, D., Buchan, A. M., Charpak, S., Lauritzen, M., MacVicar, B. A., &
771	Newman, E. A. (2010). Glial and neuronal control of brain blood flow. Nature,
772	468(7321), 232-243. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09613
773	Baker, W. B., Parthasarathy, A. B., Busch, D. R., Mesquita, R. C., Greenberg, J. H., &
774	Yodh, A. (2014). Modified Beer-Lambert law for blood flow. <i>Biomedical Optics</i>
775	Express, $5(11)$, 4053–4075. https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.5.004053
776	Bareš, M., Apps, R., Avanzino, L., Breska, A., D'Angelo, E., Filip, P., Gerwig, M.,
777	Ivry, R. B., Lawrenson, C. L., Louis, E. D., Lusk, N. A., Manto, M.,
778	Meck, W. H., Mitoma, H., & Petter, E. A. (2019). Consensus paper: Decoding
779	the contributions of the cerebellum as a time machine. From neurons to clinical
780	applications. The Cerebellum, $18(2)$, $266-286$.
781	https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-018-0979-5
782	Blampain, J., Ott, L., & Delevoye-Turrell, Y. N. (2018). Seeing action simulation as it
783	unfolds: The implicit effects of action scenes on muscle contraction evidenced
784	through the use of a grip-force sensor. Neuropsychologia, 114, 231–242.
785	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2018.04.026
786	Bobin-Bègue, A., Provasi, J., Marks, A., & Pouthas, V. (2006). Influence of auditory
787	tempo on the endogenous rhythm of non-nutritive sucking. European Review of
788	Applied Psychology, $56(4)$, 239–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erap.2005.09.006
789	Bobin-Bègue, A., & Provasi, J. (2008). Régulation rythmique avant 4 ans : Effet d'un
790	tempo auditif sur le tempo moteur [Rhythmic regulation before 4 years: Effect of
791	an auditory tempo on the motor tempo]. L'Année Psychologique, 108, 631–658.
792	https://frama.link/78H2hbzN

- 793 Bove, M., Tacchino, A., Pelosin, E., Moisello, C., Abbruzzese, G., & Ghilardi, M. F.
- (2009). Spontaneous movement tempo is influenced by observation of rhythmical
 actions. Brain Research Bulletin, 80(3), 122–127.
- 796 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2009.04.008
- Bryant, G. A., & Barrett, H. C. (2007). Recognizing intentions in infant-directed
 speech: Evidence for universals. *Psychological Science*, 18(8), 746–751.
- 799 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01970.x
- Buhusi, C. V., & Meck, W. H. (2005). What makes us tick? Functional and neural
 mechanisms of interval timing. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 6(10), 755–765.
- 802 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1764
- 803 Campbell, H., & Lakens, D. (2020). Can we disregard the whole model? Omnibus 804 non-inferiority testing for R^2 in multivariable linear regression and η^2 in
- ANOVA. British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology, Advance
 online publication. https://doi.org/10.1111/bmsp.12201
- 807 Coull, J. T. (2004). fMRI studies of temporal attention: Allocating attention within, or
 808 towards, time. Cognitive Brain Research, 21(2), 216–226.
- 809 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogbrainres.2004.02.011
- 810 Coull, J. T., Hwang, H. J., Leyton, M., & Dagher, A. (2012). Dopamine precursor
- 811 depletion impairs timing in healthy volunteers by attenuating activity in
- 812 putamen and supplementary motor area. Journal of Neuroscience, 32(47),

813 16704–16715. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1258-12.2012

- Coull, J. T., Vidal, F., Nazarian, B., & Macar, F. (2004). Functional anatomy of the
 attentional modulation of time estimation. *Science*, 303(5663), 1506–1508.
- 816 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1091573
- 817 Cribbie, R. A., Ragoonanan, C., & Counsell, A. (2016). Testing for negligible
- 818 interaction: A coherent and robust approach. *British Journal of Mathematical*
- and Statistical Psychology, 69(2), 159–174. https://doi.org/10.1111/bmsp.12066

- 820 Derosière, G., Alexandre, F., Bourdillon, N., Mandrick, K., Ward, T. E., & Perrey, S.
- 821 (2014). Similar scaling of contralateral and ipsilateral cortical responses during
 822 graded unimanual force generation. *NeuroImage*, *85*, 471–477.
- 823 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.02.006
- 824 Di Pellegrino, G., Ciaramelli, E., & Làdavas, E. (2007). The regulation of cognitive
- 825 control following rostral anterior cingulate cortex lesion in humans. *Journal of*
- 826 Cognitive Neuroscience, 19(2), 275-286.
- 827 https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2007.19.2.275
- 828 Dione, M., & Delevoye-Turrell, Y. (2015). Testing the co-existence of two timing
- 829 strategies for motor control in a unique task: The synchronisation
- 830 spatial-tapping task. *Human Movement Science*, 43, 45–60.
- 831 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2015.06.009
- 832 Drake, C., & Baruch, C. (1995). De la mesure de la sensibilité temporelle aux modèles
- 833 d'organisation temporelle : Hypothèses et données sur l'acquisition des capacités
- temporelles auditives [From measurement of temporal sensitivity to temporal
- 835 organization models: Hypotheses and data on the acquisition of temporal
- 836 auditory abilities]. L'année Psychologique, 95(4), 555-569.
- 837 https://doi.org/10.3406/psy.1995.28855
- 838 Drenckhahn, C., Koch, S. P., Dümmler, J., Kohl-Bareis, M., Steinbrink, J., &
- 839 Dreier, J. P. (2015). A validation study of the use of near-infrared spectroscopy
- imaging in primary and secondary motor areas of the human brain. Epilepsy \mathscr{C}
- 841 Behavior, 49, 118–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2015.04.006
- 842 Droit-Volet, S., & Wearden, J. (2003). Les modèles d'horloge interne en psychologie du
- 843 temps [Internal clock models in the psychology of time]. L'année Psychologique,
- 844 103(4), 617–654. https://doi.org/10.3406/psy.2003.29656
- 845 Euston, D. R., Gruber, A. J., & McNaughton, B. L. (2012). The role of medial
- prefrontal cortex in memory and decision making. Neuron, 76(6), 1057–1070.
- 847 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.12.002

- 848 Evans, D. W., Lewis, M. D., & Iobst, E. (2004). The role of the orbitofrontal cortex in
- 849 normally developing compulsive-like behaviors and obsessive-compulsive
 850 disorder. *Brain and Cognition*, 55(1), 220–234.
- 851 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-2626(03)00274-4
- 852 Fraisse, P. (1974). *Psychologie du rythme* [Psychology of the rhythm]. Presses
- 853 Universitaires de France.
- 854 Fraisse, P. (1982). Rhythm and tempo. In D. Deutsch (Ed.), *The psychology of music*855 (pp. 149–180). Academic Press.
- 856 Fraisse, P., Chambron, H., & Oléron, G. (1954). Note sur la constance et l'évolution
- génétique du tempo spontané moteur [The constancy and genetic evolution of
 spontaneous motor rhythm]. *Enfance*, 7(1), 25–34.
- 859 https://doi.org/10.3406/enfan.1954.1311
- 860 Gervain, J., Mehler, J., Werker, J. F., Nelson, C. A., Csibra, G., Lloyd-Fox, S.,
- 861 Shukla, M., & Aslin, R. N. (2011). Near-infrared spectroscopy: A report from the
- 862 McDonnell infant methodology consortium. *Developmental Cognitive*
- 863 Neuroscience, 1(1), 22–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2010.07.004
- Grahn, J. A., & Brett, M. (2007). Rhythm and beat perception in motor areas of the
- 865 brain. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 19(5), 893-906.
- 866 https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2007.19.5.893
- Gusnard, D. A., & Raichle, M. E. (2001). Searching for a baseline: Functional imaging
 and the resting human brain. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 2(10), 685–694.
- 869 https://doi.org/10.1038/35094500
- 870 Halsband, U., Ito, N., Tanji, J., & Freund, H.-J. (1993). The role of premotor cortex
- and the supplementary motor area in the temporal control of movement in man.
- 872 Brain, 116(1), 243–266. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/116.1.243
- 873 Harms, C., & Lakens, D. (2018). Making 'null effects' informative: Statistical techniques
- and inferential frameworks. Journal of Clinical and Translational Research,
- 875 3(Suppl. 2), 382–393. https://doi.org/10.18053/jctres.03.2017S2.007

- 876 Herold, F., Wiegel, P., Scholkmann, F., & Müller, N. G. (2018). Applications of
- functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) neuroimaging in
- 878 exercise–cognition science: A systematic, methodology-focused review. *Journal of*
- 879 Clinical Medicine, 7(12), Article e466. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm7120466
- 880 Hocke, L. M., Oni, I. K., Duszynski, C. C., Corrigan, A. V., Frederick, B. d., &
- 881 Dunn, J. F. (2018). Automated processing of fNIRS data: A visual guide to the
- pitfalls and consequences. *Algorithms*, 11(5), Article e67.
- 883 https://doi.org/10.3390/a11050067
- 884 Holper, L., Biallas, M., & Wolf, M. (2009). Task complexity relates to activation of
- cortical motor areas during uni-and bimanual performance: A functional NIRS
 study. *NeuroImage*, 46(4), 1105–1113.
- 887 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.03.027
- Homan, R. W., Herman, J., & Purdy, P. (1987). Cerebral location of international
 10–20 system electrode placement. *Electroencephalography and Clinical*
- 890 Neurophysiology, 66(4), 376–382. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(87)90206-9
- 891 Horn, N., Dolan, M., Elliott, R., Deakin, J. F., & Woodruff, P. (2003). Response
- inhibition and impulsivity: An fMRI study. Neuropsychologia, 41(14),
- 893 1959–1966. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932(03)00077-0
- 894 Huys, R., Studenka, B. E., Rheaume, N. L., Zelaznik, H. N., & Jirsa, V. K. (2008).
- 895 Distinct timing mechanisms produce discrete and continuous movements. *PLOS*
- 896 Computational Biology, 4, Article e1000061.
- 897 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000061
- 898 Ivry, R. B., & Spencer, R. M. (2004). The neural representation of time. *Current*
- 899 Opinion in Neurobiology, 14(2), 225-232.
- 900 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2004.03.013
- 901 Jahani, S., Setarehdan, S. K., Boas, D. A., & Yücel, M. A. (2018). Motion artifact
- 902 detection and correction in functional near-infrared spectroscopy: A new hybrid
- 903 method based on spline interpolation method and Savitzky–Golay filtering.
- 904 Neurophotonics, 5(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.NPh.5.1.015003

- 905 Jasper, H. H. (1958). Report of the Committee on Methods of Clinical Examination in
- 906 Electroencephalography. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*,

907 10, 370–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4694(58)90053-1

- 908 Jongsma, M. L., Meeuwissen, E., Vos, P. G., & Maes, R. (2007). Rhythm perception:
- 909 Speeding up or slowing down affects different subcomponents of the ERP P3
- 910 complex. Biological Psychology, 75(3), 219–228.
- 911 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2007.02.003
- 912 Keele, S. W., Pokorny, R. A., Corcos, D. M., & Ivry, R. (1985). Do perception and
- 913 motor production share common timing mechanisms: A correlational analysis.
- 914 Acta Psychologica, 60(2-3), 173-191.
- 915 https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918(85)90054-X
- 916 Kim, J.-H., Lee, J.-M., Jo, H. J., Kim, S. H., Lee, J. H., Kim, S. T., Seo, S. W.,
- 917 Cox, R. W., Na, D. L., Kim, S. I., et al. (2010). Defining functional SMA and
- 918 pre-SMA subregions in human MFC using resting state fMRI: Functional
- 919 connectivity-based parcellation method. *NeuroImage*, 49(3), 2375–2386.
- 920 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.10.016
- 921 Kuboyama, N., Nabetani, T., Shibuya, K.-i., Machida, K., & Ogaki, T. (2004). The
- 922 effect of maximal finger tapping on cerebral activation. Journal of Physiological
 923 Anthropology and Applied Human Science, 23(4), 105–110.
- 924 https://doi.org/10.2114/jpa.23.105
- 925 Kuboyama, N., Nabetani, T., Shibuya, K., Machida, K., & Ogaki, T. (2005).
- 926 Relationship between cerebral activity and movement frequency of maximal
- 927 finger tapping. Journal of Physiological Anthropology and Applied Human
- 928 Science, 24(3), 201–208. https://doi.org/10.2114/jpa.24.201
- 929 Lakens, D. (2017). Equivalence tests: A practical primer for t tests, correlations, and
- 930 meta-analyses. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 8(4), 355–362.
- 931 https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550617697177

- 932 Lakens, D., Scheel, A. M., & Isager, P. M. (2018). Equivalence testing for psychological 933 research: A tutorial. Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological 934Science, 1(2), 259–269. https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245918770963 Leff, D. R., Orihuela-Espina, F., Elwell, C. E., Athanasiou, T., Delpy, D. T., 935936 Darzi, A. W., & Yang, G.-Z. (2011). Assessment of the cerebral cortex during 937 motor task behaviours in adults: A systematic review of functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) studies. NeuroImage, 54(4), 2922–2936. 938 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.10.058 939 940 Lemoine, L. (2007). Implication des processus de timing évènementiels et émergents dans la gestion des aspects temporels du mouvement (Doctoral dissertation). 941942 Montpellier 1. León-Carrión, J., & León-Dominguez, U. (2012). Functional near-infrared spectroscopy 943 944(fNIRS): Principles and neuroscientific applications. In P. Bright (Ed.), Neuroimaging-Methods (pp. 47–74). InTech. 945 Lewkowicz, D., & Delevoye-Turrell, Y. (2016). Real-time motion capture toolbox 946 947 (RTMocap): An open-source code for recording 3-D motion kinematics to study 948 action-effect anticipations during motor and social interactions. Behavior Research Methods, 48(1), 366–380. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-015-0580-5 949 Macar, F., Coull, J., & Vidal, F. (2006). The supplementary motor area in motor and 950
- 951 perceptual time processing: fMRI studies. Cognitive Processing, 7(2), 89–94.
- 952 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10339-005-0025-7
- 953 Madison, G., & Delignieres, D. (2009). Auditory feedback affects the long-range
 954 correlation of isochronous serial interval production: Support for a closed-loop or
- 955 memory model of timing. *Experimental Brain Research*, 193(4), 519–527.
- 956 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-008-1652-x
- 957 Magistretti, P. J., Pellerin, L., Rothman, D. L., & Shulman, R. G. (1999). Energy on
- 958 demand. Science, 283(5401), 496-497.
- 959 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.283.5401.496

- 960 Mandrick, K. (2013). Application de la spectroscopie proche infrarouge dans la
- 961 *discrimination de la charge de travail* [Doctoral dissertation, Montpellier 1].
- 962 https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00868844/
- 963 Mandrick, K., Derosiere, G., Dray, G., Coulon, D., Micallef, J.-P., & Perrey, S. (2013).
- 964 Prefrontal cortex activity during motor tasks with additional mental load
- 965 requiring attentional demand: A near-infrared spectroscopy study. *Neuroscience*
- 966 Research, 76(3), 156–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neures.2013.04.006
- 967 Mates, J., Müller, U., Radil, T., & Pöppel, E. (1994). Temporal integration in
- sensorimotor synchronization. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 6(4), 332–340.
 https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.1994.6.4.332
- 970 McAuley, J. D., Jones, M. R., Holub, S., Johnston, H. M., & Miller, N. S. (2006). The
- time of our lives: Life span development of timing and event tracking. Journal of *Experimental Psychology: General*, 135(3), 348–367.
- 973 https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-3445.135.3.34
- 974 McIntosh, M. A., Shahani, U., Boulton, R. G., & McCulloch, D. L. (2010). Absolute
- 975 quantification of oxygenated hemoglobin within the visual cortex with functional
- 976 near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS). Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual

977 Science, 51(9), 4856–4860. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.09-4940

- Micklewright, D., Gibson, A. S. C., Gladwell, V., & Al Salman, A. (2017). Development
 and validity of the rating-of-fatigue scale. *Sports Medicine*, 47(11), 2375–2393.
- 980 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0711-5
- 981 Miyai, I., Tanabe, H. C., Sase, I., Eda, H., Oda, I., Konishi, I., Tsunazawa, Y.,
- 982 Suzuki, T., Yanagida, T., & Kubota, K. (2001). Cortical mapping of gait in
- 983 humans: A near-infrared spectroscopic topography study. *NeuroImage*, 14(5),
- 984 1186–1192. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2001.0905
- 985 Moelants, D. (2002). Preferred tempo reconsidered. In D. G. Nair, E. W. Large,
- 986 F. Steinberg, J. A. S. Kelso, K. Stevens, D. Burnham, & J. Renwick (Eds.),
- 987 Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Music Perception and
- 988 Cognition (pp. 1–4). https://frama.link/8EpG2BtE

- 989 Molavi, B., & Dumont, G. A. (2012). Wavelet-based motion artifact removal for
- 990 functional near-infrared spectroscopy. *Physiological Measurement*, 33(2),
- 991 259–270. https://doi.org/10.1088/0967-3334/33/2/259
- 992 Morais, G. A. Z., Balardin, J. B., & Sato, J. R. (2018). FNIRS optodes' location decider
- 993 (fOLD): A toolbox for probe arrangement guided by brain regions-of-interest.
- 994 Scientific reports, 8(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21716-z
- 995 Obrig, H., Hirth, C., Junge-Hülsing, J. G., Döge, C., Wenzel, R., Wolf, T., Dirnagl, U.,
- 996 & Villringer, A. (1997). Length of resting period between stimulation cycles
- 997 modulates hemodynamic response to a motor stimulus. In I. R. Cohen,
- A. Lajtha, J. D. Lambris, R. Paoletti, & N. Rezaei (Eds.), Oxygen transport to
 tissue XVIII (pp. 471–480). Springer.
- 1000 Okamoto, M., Dan, H., Sakamoto, K., Takeo, K., Shimizu, K., Kohno, S., Oda, I.,
- 1001 Isobe, S., Suzuki, T., Kohyama, K., & Dan, I. (2004). Three-dimensional
- 1002 probabilistic anatomical cranio-cerebral correlation via the international 10–20
- 1003 system oriented for transcranial functional brain mapping. *NeuroImage*, 21(1),

1004 99–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2003.08.026

- 1005 Oldfield, R. C. (1971). The assessment and analysis of handedness: The Edinburgh
- 1006 inventory. Neuropsychologia, 9(1), 97–113.
- 1007 http://andersgade.dk/Readings/Oldfield1971.pdf
- 1008 Orihuela-Espina, F., Leff, D. R., James, D. R., Darzi, A. W., & Yang, G.-Z. (2010).
- 1009 Quality control and assurance in functional near infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS)
- 1010 experimentation. Physics in Medicine & Biology, 55(13), 3701.
- 1011 https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/55/13/009
- 1012 Perone, S., & Simmering, V. R. (2017). Applications of dynamic systems theory to
- 1013 cognition and development: New frontiers. In J. B. Benson (Ed.), Advances in
 1014 child development and behavior (pp. 43–80). Academic Press.
- 1015 Perrey, S. (2014). Possibilities for examining the neural control of gait in humans with
- 1016 fNIRS. Frontiers in Physiology, 5, Article e204.
- 1017 https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2014.00204

- 1018 Pinti, P., Scholkmann, F., Hamilton, A., Burgess, P., & Tachtsidis, I. (2019). Current
- 1019 status and issues regarding pre-processing of *f* nirs neuroimaging data: An
- 1020 investigation of diverse signal filtering methods within a general linear model
- 1021 framework. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 12, Article e505.
- 1022 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00505
- 1023 Pringle, J., Roberts, C., Kohl, M., & Lekeux, P. (1999). Near infrared spectroscopy in
- 1024 large animals: Optical pathlength and influence of hair covering and epidermal
- 1025 pigmentation. The Veterinary Journal, 158(1), 48-52.
- 1026 https://doi.org/10.1053/tvjl.1998.0306
- 1027 Quaresima, V., & Ferrari, M. (2016). Functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) for
- 1028 assessing cerebral cortex function during human behavior in natural/social
- 1029 situations: A concise review. Organizational Research Methods, 22(1), 1–23.
- 1030 https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428116658959
- 1031 Rao, S. M., Harrington, D. L., Haaland, K. Y., Bobholz, J. A., Cox, R. W., &
- 1032 Binder, J. R. (1997). Distributed neural systems underlying the timing of
- 1033 movements. Journal of Neuroscience, 17(14), 5528-5535.
- 1034 https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.17-14-05528.1997
- 1035 Repp, B. H. (2005). Sensorimotor synchronization: A review of the tapping literature.
- 1036 Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 12(6), 969–992.
- 1037 https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03206433
- Rubia, K., & Smith, A. (2004). The neural correlates of cognitive time management: A
 review. Acta Neurobiologiae Experimentalis, 64 (3), 329–340.
- 1040 http://psycnet.apa.org/record/2004-18721-004
- 1041 Sato, T., Ito, M., Suto, T., Kameyama, M., Suda, M., Yamagishi, Y., Ohshima, A.,
- 1042 Uehara, T., Fukuda, M., & Mikuni, M. (2007). Time courses of brain activation
- and their implications for function: A multichannel near-infrared spectroscopy
- 1044 study during finger tapping. *Neuroscience Research*, 58(3), 297–304.
- 1045 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neures.2007.03.014

1046	Schmidt, R. A., Lee, T. D., Winstein, C., Wulf, G., & Zelaznik, H. N. (1988). Motor
1047	control and learning: A behavioral emphasis (6th ed.). Human Kinetics.

- 1048 Scholkmann, F., Hafner, T., Metz, A. J., Wolf, M., & Wolf, U. (2017). Effect of
- 1049 short-term colored-light exposure on cerebral hemodynamics and oxygenation,
- and systemic physiological activity. *Neurophotonics*, 4(4), Article e045005.
- 1051 https://doi.org/10.1117/1.NPh.4.4.045005
- 1052 Scholkmann, F., Kleiser, S., Metz, A. J., Zimmermann, R., Pavia, J. M., Wolf, U., &
- 1053 Wolf, M. (2014). A review on continuous wave functional near-infrared

spectroscopy and imaging instrumentation and methodology. NeuroImage, 85,
6-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.004

Schubotz, R. I., Friederici, A. D., & von Cramon, D. Y. (2000). Time perception and
motor timing: A common cortical and subcortical basis revealed by *f*MRI.

1058 NeuroImage, 11(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.1999.0514

- 1059 Shadgan, B., Molavi, B., Reid, W. D., Dumont, G., & Macnab, A. J. (2010). Do radio
- 1060 frequencies of medical instruments common in the operating room interfere with
- 1061 near-infrared spectroscopy signals? In T. Vo-Dinh, W. S. Grundfest, &
- 1062 A. Mahadevan-Jansen (Eds.), Advanced biomedical and clinical diagnostic
- 1063 systems VIII (pp. 1–6). https://doi.org/10.1117/12.842712
- Simonsohn, U. (2015). Small telescopes: Detectability and the evaluation of replication
 results. *Psychological Science*, 26(5), 559–569.
- 1066 https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797614567341
- Strangman, G., Boas, D. A., & Sutton, J. P. (2002). Non-invasive neuroimaging using
 near-infrared light. *Biological Psychiatry*, 52(7), 679–693.
- 1069 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(02)01550-0
- 1070 Tachtsidis, I., Elwell, C. E., Leung, T. S., Lee, C.-W., Smith, M., & Delpy, D. T. (2004).
- 1071 Investigation of cerebral haemodynamics by near-infrared spectroscopy in young
- 1072 healthy volunteers reveals posture-dependent spontaneous oscillations.
- 1073 Physiological Measurement, 25(2), 437-445.
- 1074 https://doi.org/10.1088/0967-3334/25/2/003

- 1075 Tachtsidis, I., & Scholkmann, F. (2016). False positives and false negatives in functional
- 1076 near-infrared spectroscopy: Issues, challenges, and the way forward.
- 1077 Neurophotonics, 3(3), Article e031405.
- 1078 https://doi.org/10.1117/1.NPh.3.3.031405
- 1079 Treisman, M. (1963). Temporal discrimination and the indifference interval:
- 1080 Implications for a model of the "internal clock". *Psychological Monographs:*
- 1081 General and Applied, 77(13), 1–31. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0093864
- 1082 Vergotte, G., Perrey, S., Muthuraman, M., Janaqi, S., & Torre, K. (2018). Concurrent
 1083 changes of brain functional connectivity and motor variability when adapting to
- 1084 task constraints. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 9, Article e909.
- 1085 https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00909
- 1086 Vergotte, G., Torre, K., Chirumamilla, V. C., Anwar, A. R., Groppa, S., Perrey, S., &
- 1087 Muthuraman, M. (2017). Dynamics of the human brain network revealed by
- 1088 time-frequency effective connectivity in *f*NIRS. *Biomedical Optics Express*,
- 1089 8(11), 5326–5341. https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.8.005326
- 1090 Williams, B. R., Ponesse, J. S., Schachar, R. J., Logan, G. D., & Tannock, R. (1999).

1091 Development of inhibitory control across the life span. Developmental

- 1092 Psychology, 35(1), 205–213. http://psycnet.apa.org/buy/1998-03083-016
- 1093 Wilson, T. W., Kurz, M. J., & Arpin, D. J. (2014). Functional specialization within the 1094 supplementary motor area: A *f*NIRS study of bimanual coordination.
- 1095 NeuroImage, 85(1), 445–450. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.112
- 1096 Zelaznik, H. N., Spencer, R., & Ivry, R. B. (2002). Dissociation of explicit and implicit
- 1097 timing in repetitive tapping and drawing movements. Journal of Experimental
- 1098 Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 28(3), 575.
- 1099 https://doi.org/10.1037/0096-1523.28.3.575

Table 1

Estimated Required Sample Size and Critical Statistical Tests

ample size SESOI	ĊĊ	77.	5		5		alloct officet size of intermet (s
Required s	1						GEGOI
Critical statistical tests	Contrast 1: 500 ms vs . 300 ms	Contrast 2: 500 ms vs. 1200 ms	300 ms vs. 1200 ms	Simple vs. moderate task	Simple vs. complex task	Moderate vs. complex task	I total for and managed breaching
Planned analysis	RM MANOVA		RM MANOVA		TOST		and anti-of-
Measurements	ç	Ŋ	7		2		
Groups	C	מ	6		6		
Hypotheses	H_1		H_2		H_3		Moto Ctation

Note. Statistical power, planned analyses, and critical statistical tests for each research hypothesis. SESOI = smallest effect size of interest (d_z) ; TOSTs = two one-sided t tests.

Table 2

Oxyhemoglobin and Deoxyhemoglobin Change Scores Over the Motor and Prefrontal Regions of Interest

Regions of Interest	Oxyhem	oglobin	Deoxyhemoglobin		
	М	SD	M	SD	
Motor					
Simple	0.97	8.28	-0.15	1.99	
Moderate	2.22	9.33	-0.36	2.15	
Complex	5.54	7.65	0.46	3.09	
Prefrontal					
Simple	0.51	6.61	-0.29	2.81	
Moderate	0.75	8.06	0.04	1.50	
Complex	2.45	8.24	0.40	3.45	

Note. Means and standard deviations for oxyhemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin change scores (i.e., $\bar{\Delta}_{\text{HbO}_2}$ 300 ms - $\bar{\Delta}_{\text{HbO}_2}$ 1200 ms) for each motor task. A positive value indicates higher activations for 300 ms vs. 1200 ms.

Raw fNIRS Data from a Participant With Hair vs. a Participant Without Hair



Note. Raw data for HbO₂, HHb and HbT. Panel A: A typical participant with hair. Pannel B: A typical participant without hair. These data were obtained from a pretest using two participants who performed the finger-tapping task synchronized to an auditory metronome at an interstimuli interval of 500 ms. HbO₂ = oxygenated hemoglobin; HHb = deoxygenated hemoglobin; HbT = total hemoglobin.

Diagrammatic Representation of the Experimental Design for the Sensorimotor Synchronization Conditions



Note. SMT = spontaneous motor tempo; * = randomization.

Diagrammatic Representation of the Sources, Detectors, and Channel Layout



Note. Adjacent sources and detectors were 3 cm apart.

Behavioral Results for Each Experimental Condition



Note. Panel A: Mean IRI_{error} . Box plots and density distributions are displayed for each designated motor tempo. Each dot represents an individual participant. IRI = inter-response interval. Panel B: Mean spatial errors. Box plots and density distributions are displayed for each designated motor tempo and level of task complexity. Each dot represents an individual participant.

Preprocessing Pipeline of fNIRS Data



Note. $HbO_2 = oxygenated-hemoglobin; HHb = deoxygenated-hemoglobin; iqr = interquartile range; BW = Butterworth filter.$



Raw Data and Power-Spectrum Density of Two Levels of Signal-to-Noise Ratio



Note. Samples of Δ_{HbO_2} recorded on channel 17 for two participants (left), and their respective power spectrum (right). Heart rate frequency was not identified in the power-spectrum density for a time-series with a poor signal-to-noise ratio (SNR; top right), but present with high SNR (bottom right).

Filtering of the fNIRS Signal



Note. Example of the filtering of motion artefact (wavelet based, brown) and physiological noise (bandpass, red) from Δ_{HbO_2} data (channel 33, blue). Areas highlighted in gray represent motion-kind and baseline shift artefacts.

fNIRS Data for Each Experimental Condition



Note. Panel A: Mean $\bar{\Delta}_{\text{HbO}_2}$ and $\bar{\Delta}_{\text{HHb}}$ for each motor tempo in the prefrontal channels. 95% confidence intervals are represented by the shaded area that surrounds each trace. Panel B: Mean $\bar{\Delta}_{\text{HbO}_2}$ and $\bar{\Delta}_{\text{HHb}}$ for each motor tempo in the motor channels. 95% confidence intervals are represented by the shaded area that surrounds each trace.