



**HAL**  
open science

## **A massive rock and ice avalanche caused the 2021 disaster at Chamoli, Indian Himalaya**

D. H Shugar, M. Jacquemart, D. Shean, S. Bhushan, K. Upadhyay, A. Sattar, W. Schwanghart, S. McBride, M. van Wyk de Vries, M. Mergili, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

D. H Shugar, M. Jacquemart, D. Shean, S. Bhushan, K. Upadhyay, et al.. A massive rock and ice avalanche caused the 2021 disaster at Chamoli, Indian Himalaya. *Science*, 2021, 373 (6552), pp.300-306. 10.1126/science.abh4455 . hal-03361063

**HAL Id: hal-03361063**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03361063>**

Submitted on 22 Apr 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS**

This is a repository copy of *A massive rock and ice avalanche caused the 2021 disaster at Chamoli, Indian Himalaya*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/175202/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Shugar, DH, Jacquemart, M, Shean, D et al. (50 more authors) (2021) A massive rock and ice avalanche caused the 2021 disaster at Chamoli, Indian Himalaya. *Science*. ISSN 0036-8075

<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abh4455>

---

© 2021, American Association for the Advancement of Science. This is an author produced version of an article published in *Science*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

# A massive rock and ice avalanche caused the 2021 disaster at Chamoli, Indian Himalaya

**Authors:** Shugar, D.H.<sup>1\*</sup>, Jacquemart, M.<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Shean, D.<sup>5</sup>, Bhushan, S.<sup>5</sup>, Upadhyay, K.<sup>6</sup>, Sattar, A.<sup>7</sup>, Schwanghart, W.<sup>8</sup>, McBride, S.<sup>9</sup>, Van Wyk de Vries, M.<sup>10,11</sup>, Mergili, M.<sup>12,13</sup>, Emmer, A.<sup>12</sup>, Deschamps-Berger, C.<sup>14</sup>, McDonnell, M.<sup>15</sup>, Bhambri, R.<sup>16</sup>, Allen, S.<sup>7,17</sup>, Berthier, E.<sup>18</sup>, Carrivick, J.L.<sup>19</sup>, Clague, J.J.<sup>20</sup>, Dokukin, M.<sup>21</sup>, Dunning, S.A.<sup>22</sup>, Frey, H.<sup>7</sup>, Gascoïn, S.<sup>14</sup>, Haritashya, U.K.<sup>23</sup>, Huggel, C.<sup>7</sup>, Kääb, A.<sup>24</sup>, Kargel, J.S.<sup>25</sup>, Kavanaugh, J.L.<sup>26</sup>, Lacroix, P.<sup>27</sup>, Petley, D.<sup>28</sup>, Rupper, S.<sup>15</sup>, Azam, M.F.<sup>29</sup>, Cook, S.J.<sup>30,31</sup>, Dimri, A.P.<sup>32</sup>, Eriksson, M.<sup>33</sup>, Farinotti, D.<sup>3,4</sup>, Fiddes, J.<sup>34</sup>, Gnyawali, K.R.<sup>35</sup>, Harrison, S.<sup>36</sup>, Jha, M.<sup>37</sup>, Koppes, M.<sup>38</sup>, Kumar, A.<sup>39</sup>, Leinss, S.<sup>40,41</sup>, Majeed, U.<sup>42</sup>, Mal, S.<sup>43</sup>, Muhuri, A.<sup>14,44</sup>, Noetzli, J.<sup>34</sup>, Paul, F.<sup>7</sup>, Rashid, I.<sup>42</sup>, Sain, K.<sup>39</sup>, Steiner, J.<sup>45,46</sup>, Ugalde, F.<sup>47,48</sup>, Watson, C.S.<sup>49</sup>, Westoby, M.J.<sup>50</sup>

## Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup>Water, Sediment, Hazards, and Earth-surface Dynamics (waterSHED) Lab, Department of Geoscience; University of Calgary, AB, Canada.

<sup>2</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado; Boulder, CO, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Hydraulics, Hydrology and Glaciology (VAW), ETH Zurich; Zurich, Switzerland.

<sup>4</sup>Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL; Birmensdorf, Switzerland.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Washington; Seattle, WA, USA.

<sup>6</sup>Independent journalist/water policy researcher; Nainital, Uttarakhand, India.

<sup>7</sup>Department of Geography, University of Zurich; Zurich, Switzerland.

<sup>8</sup>Institute of Environmental Science and Geography, University of Potsdam; Potsdam, Germany.

<sup>9</sup>U.S. Geological Survey, Earthquake Science Center; Moffett Field, CA, USA.

<sup>10</sup>Department of Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA.

<sup>11</sup>St. Anthony Falls Laboratory, University of Minnesota; Minneapolis, MN, USA.

<sup>12</sup>Institute of Geography and Regional Science, University of Graz; Graz, Austria.

<sup>13</sup>Institute of Applied Geology, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences (BOKU); Vienna, Austria.

<sup>14</sup>CESBIO, Université de Toulouse, CNES/CNRS/INRAE/IRD/UP; Toulouse, France.

<sup>15</sup>Department of Geography, University of Utah; Salt Lake City, Utah, USA.

<sup>16</sup>Department of Geography, South Asia Institute, Heidelberg University; Heidelberg, Germany.

<sup>17</sup>Institute for Environmental Sciences, University of Geneva; Switzerland.

<sup>18</sup>LEGOS, Université de Toulouse, CNES/CNRS/IRD/UPS; Toulouse, France.

<sup>19</sup>School of Geography and water@leeds, University of Leeds, Leeds; West Yorkshire, UK.

<sup>20</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Simon Fraser University; Burnaby, BC, Canada.

<sup>21</sup>Department of Natural Disasters, High-Mountain Geophysical Institute; Nalchik, Russia.

<sup>22</sup>Geography, Politics and Sociology, Newcastle University; Newcastle, UK.

46 <sup>23</sup>Department of Geology and Environmental Geosciences, University of Dayton; Dayton,  
47 OH, USA.  
48 <sup>24</sup>Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo; Oslo, Norway.  
49 <sup>25</sup>Planetary Science Institute; Tucson, AZ, USA.  
50 <sup>26</sup>Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta; Edmonton, AB, Canada.  
51 <sup>27</sup>ISTerre, Université Grenoble Alpes, IRD, CNRS; Grenoble, France.  
52 <sup>28</sup>Department of Geography, The University of Sheffield; Sheffield, UK.  
53 <sup>29</sup>Indian Institute of Technology Indore; India.  
54 <sup>30</sup>Geography and Environmental Science, University of Dundee; Dundee, UK.  
55 <sup>31</sup>UNESCO Centre for Water Law, Policy and Science, University of Dundee; Dundee, UK.  
56 <sup>32</sup>School of Environmental Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru University; New Delhi, India.  
57 <sup>33</sup>Stockholm International Water Institute; Stockholm, Sweden.  
58 <sup>34</sup>WSL Institute for Snow and Avalanche Research SLF; Davos, Switzerland.  
59 <sup>35</sup>School of Engineering, University of British Columbia; Kelowna, BC, Canada.  
60 <sup>36</sup>College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Penryn, UK.  
61 <sup>37</sup>Department of Mines and Geology, National Earthquake Monitoring and Research Center;  
62 Kathmandu, Nepal.  
63 <sup>38</sup>Department of Geography, University of British Columbia; Vancouver, BC, Canada.  
64 <sup>39</sup>Wadia Institute of Himalayan Geology, Dehradun; Uttarakhand, India.  
65 <sup>40</sup>Institute of Environmental Engineering (IfU), ETH Zurich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland  
66 <sup>41</sup>Current affiliation: LISTIC, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, 74940 Annecy, France  
67 <sup>42</sup>Department of Geoinformatics, University of Kashmir; Hazratbal Srinagar, Jammu and  
68 Kashmir, India.  
69 <sup>43</sup>Department of Geography, Shaheed Bhagat Singh College, University of Delhi; Delhi,  
70 India.  
71 <sup>44</sup>Institute of Geography, Heidelberg University; Germany.  
72 <sup>45</sup>International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development; Kathmandu, Nepal.  
73 <sup>46</sup>Department of Physical Geography, Utrecht University; Netherlands.  
74 <sup>47</sup>Geoestudios, San José de Maipo; Chile.  
75 <sup>48</sup>Department of Geology, University of Chile; Santiago, Chile.  
76 <sup>49</sup>COMET, School of Earth and Environment. University of Leeds; Leeds, UK.  
77 <sup>50</sup>Department of Geography and Environmental Sciences, Northumbria University;  
78 Newcastle upon Tyne, UK.  
79  
80 \*Corresponding author. Email: daniel.shugar@ucalgary.ca

81 **Abstract:** On 7 Feb 2021, a catastrophic mass flow descended the Ronti Gad, Rishiganga, and  
82 Dhauliganga valleys in Chamoli, Uttarakhand, India, causing widespread devastation and  
83 severely damaging two hydropower projects. Over 200 people were killed or are missing. Our  
84 analysis of satellite imagery, seismic records, numerical model results, and eyewitness videos  
85 reveals that  $\sim 27 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  of rock and glacier ice collapsed from the steep north face of Ronti  
86 Peak. The rock and ice avalanche rapidly transformed into an extraordinarily large and mobile  
87 debris flow that transported boulders  $>20 \text{ m}$  in diameter, and scoured the valley walls up to 220  
88 m above the valley floor. The intersection of the hazard cascade with downvalley infrastructure  
89 resulted in a disaster, which highlights key questions about adequate monitoring and sustainable  
90 development in the Himalaya as well as other remote, high-mountain environments.

91  
92 **One-Sentence Summary:** The Chamoli disaster was triggered by an extraordinary rock and ice  
93 avalanche and debris flow, that destroyed infrastructure and left 204 people dead or missing.  
94

95 **Main Text:** Steep slopes, high topographic relief, and seismic activity make mountain regions  
96 prone to extremely destructive mass movements (e.g. 1). The sensitivity of glaciers and  
97 permafrost to climate changes is exacerbating these hazards (e.g. 2–7). Hazard cascades, where  
98 an initial event causes a downstream chain reaction (e.g. 8), can be particularly far-reaching,  
99 especially when they involve large amounts of water (7, 9, 10). An example is the 1970  
100 Huascarán avalanche, Peru, that was one of the largest, farthest-reaching, and deadliest ( $\sim 6000$   
101 lives lost) mass flows (11). Similarly, in 2013, over 4,000 people died at Kedarnath,  
102 Uttarakhand, India, when a moraine-dammed lake breached following heavy rainfall and  
103 snowmelt (12–14). Between 1894 and 2021, the Uttarakhand Himalaya has witnessed at least 16  
104 major disasters from flash floods, landslides, and earthquakes (14, 15).


105 Human activities that intersect with the mountain cryosphere can increase risk (16) and are  
106 common in Himalayan valleys where hydropower development is proliferating due to growing  
107 energy demands, the need for economic development, and efforts to transition into a low-carbon  
108 society (17, 18). Hydropower projects in Uttarakhand and elsewhere in the region have been  
109 opposed over their environmental effects, public safety, and issues associated with justice and  
110 rehabilitation (19, 20).

111 On 7 Feb 2021, a massive rock and ice avalanche from the 6063 m-high Ronti Peak generated a  
112 cascade of events that caused more than 200 deaths or missing persons, as well as damage or  
113 destruction of infrastructure that most notably included two hydropower projects in the  
114 Rishiganga and Dhauliganga valleys (Fig. 1, table S1) (21). Here, we present a rapid and  
115 comprehensive reconstruction of the hazard cascade. We leveraged multiple types of remote  
116 sensing data, eyewitness videos, numerical modeling, seismic data, and reconnaissance field  
117 observations in a collaborative, global effort to understand this event. We also describe the  
118 antecedent conditions and the immediate societal response, allowing us to consider some wider  
119 implications for sustainable development in high-mountain environments.

## 120 **February 7 2021 hazard cascade**

121 At 4:51 UTC (10:21 Indian Standard Time [IST]), about  $26.9 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  (95% confidence interval:  
122  $26.5\text{--}27.3 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ ) of rock and ice (Fig. 1, 2) detached from the steep north face of Ronti Peak at  
123 an elevation of about 5,500 m asl, and impacted the Ronti Gad ('gad' means rivulet) valley floor




124 about 1,800 m below. We estimated the onset of this avalanche and its velocity by analyzing  
 125 seismic data from two distant stations, 160 and 174 km southeast of the source (Fig. S6) (22,  
 126 §5.1). The initial failure happened between 4:51:13 and 4:51:21 UTC, based on a source-sensor  
 127 wave travel-time correction. We attributed a high-frequency signal 55 to 58 seconds later to the  
 128 impact of the avalanche on the valley bottom, indicating a mean speed of the rock and ice  
 129 avalanche of between 57 and 60 ms<sup>-1</sup> (205 to 216 km h<sup>-1</sup>) down the ~35° steep mountain face.  
 130  
 131



132  
 133 **Fig. 1. Overview of the Chamoli disaster, Uttarakhand, India.** (A) 3D rendering of the local  
 134 geography, with labels for main place names mentioned in the text. HPP stands for hydropower  
 135 project. (B-D) Pre- and post-event satellite imagery of the site of the collapsed rock and glacier  
 136 block, and the resulting scar. Note snow cover in the region just before the event (C). The red  
 137 arrows in (C) mark the fracture that became the headscarp of the landslide (22, §3.2 and fig. S4).

138 The arrow in D points to a remaining part of the lower eastern glacier. (E) 3D rendering of the  
139 scar. (F) Schematic of failed mass of rock and ice. Satellite imagery in (A–D) and (E) is from  
140 Sentinel-2 (Copernicus Sentinel Data 02-10-2021) and Pléiades-HR (© CNES 02-10-2021,  
141 Distribution AIRBUS DS), respectively.







143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188

**Fig. 2. Satellite-derived elevation data of the Chamoli hazard cascade.** (A) Perspective view of the area, from the landslide source at Ronti Peak to the Rishiganga and Tapovan Vishnugad hydropower projects (black stars). (B) Elevation change over the landslide scar based on DEM-differencing between September 2015 and February 10-11, 2021. (C) The proximal valley floor, with geomorphic interpretations of the flow path. (D) Confluence of Ronti Gad and Rishiganga River. (E-J) Topographic profiles showing elevation change due to rock/icefall and sediment deposition for locations shown in (B-D). Elevation loss on the inner bank in (J) is primarily due to the destruction of forest.

Differencing of high-resolution digital elevation models (DEMs) revealed a failure scar that has a vertical difference of up to 180 m and a slope-normal thickness of ~80 m on average, and a slab width up to ~550 m, including both bedrock and overlying glacier ice (Fig. 2). The lowermost part of the larger eastern glacier is still in place and was not eroded by the rock and ice avalanche moving over it (Fig. 1D), suggesting that the avalanche may have become airborne for a short period during its initial descent. Optical feature tracking detected movement of the failed rock block as early as 2016, with the largest displacement in the summer months of 2017 and 2018 (fig. S4). This movement opened a fracture up to 80 m wide in the glacier and into the underlying bedrock (Fig. 1, fig. S5). Geodetic analysis and glacier thickness inversions indicate that the collapsed mass comprised ~80% rock and ~20% glacier ice by volume (22, §5.2, fig. S10). Melt of this ice was essential to the downstream evolution of the flow, as water transformed the rock and ice avalanche into a highly mobile debris flow (cf. 23, 24). Media reports (25) suggest that some ice blocks (diameter <1 m) were found in tunnels at the Tapovan Vishnugad hydropower site (hereafter referred to as the Tapovan project), and some videos of the debris flow (22, §5.3) show floating blocks that we interpret as ice, indicating that some of the ice survived at considerable distance downstream. Notably, and in contrast to most previously documented rock avalanches, very little debris is preserved at the base of the failed slope. This is likely due to the large volumes of water (22, §5.5) that resulted in a high mobility of the flow.

Geomorphic mapping based on very high-resolution satellite images (Table S2) acquired during and immediately after the event, provides evidence of the flow evolution. We detected four components of the catastrophic mass flow, beginning with the main rock and ice avalanche from Ronti Peak described above (component one).

The second component is “splash deposits” (cf. 26–28), which are relatively fine-grained, wet sediments that became airborne as the mass flow ran up adjacent slopes. For example, the rock and ice avalanche traveled up a steep slope on the east side of the valley opposite the source zone, and some material became airborne, being deposited at a height of about 120 m above the valley floor. These deposits include boulders up to ~8 m (a-axis length). The bulk of the flow then traveled back to the proximal (west) side of the valley and rode up a ridge ~220 m above the valley floor, before becoming airborne and splashing into a smaller valley to the west (Fig. 2C, figs. S15, S18). Boulders up to 13 m (a-axis length) were deposited near the top of the ridge. Vegetation remained intact on the lee side of some ridges that were overrun by the splashing mass.

189 A third component of the mass flow is reflected in airborne dust deposition. A dust cloud is  
190 visible in PlanetScope imagery from 5:01 UTC and 5:28 UTC February 7 (10:31 and 10:58  
191 IST). A smooth layer of debris, estimated from satellite imagery to be only a few cm in  
192 thickness, was deposited higher than the splash deposits, up to ~500 m above the valley floor,  
193 although the boundary between the airborne dust deposition and other mass flow deposits is  
194 indistinct in places. Signs of the largely airborne splash and dust components can be observed  
195 over ~3.5 km downstream of the valley impact site. The avalanche also generated a powerful  
196 air blast (cf. *1*) that flattened about 0.2 km<sup>2</sup> of forest on the west side of the Ronti Gad valley  
197 (Fig. 2C).

198  
199 After the rock and ice avalanche impacted the valley floor, most of it moved downvalley in a  
200 northwesterly direction. Frictional heating of the ice in the avalanche generated liquid water  
201 that allowed the transition in flow characteristics, becoming more fluid downvalley, creating a  
202 flow consisting of sediment, water, and blocks of ice. The uppermost part of the valley floor  
203 deposits is around 0.75 x10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>3</sup>, with remarkably few large boulders that typically form the  
204 upper surface of rock avalanches (e.g. *29, 30*) (Fig. 2G, fig. S16). The mass flow traveled  
205 downvalley and superelevated (runup elevation) up to ~130 m above the valley floor around  
206 bends (fig. S17). Clear trimlines, at some places at multiple levels, are evident along much of  
207 the flow path (e.g. Fig. 2C, D).

208  
209 At the confluence of the Ronti Gad and Rishiganga River, a ~40 m thick deposit of debris  
210 blocked the Rishiganga valley (Fig. 2H, I). Deposition in this area probably resulted from  
211 deceleration of the mass flow at a sharp turn to the west. During the days following the event,  
212 a lake ~700 m long formed behind these deposits in the Rishiganga valley upstream of its  
213 confluence with Ronti Gad. The lake was still present two months later and had grown since  
214 the initial formation. Substantial deposition occurred about 1 km downstream of the  
215 confluence, where material up to ~100 m thick was deposited on the valley floor (Fig. 2J).  
216 DEM differencing shows that the total deposit volume at the Ronti Gad-Rishiganga River  
217 confluence and just downstream was ~8x10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>3</sup>. These large sediment deposits likely indicate  
218 the location where the flow transitioned to a debris flow (*31*) - the fourth component.

219  
220 A field reconnaissance by co-authors from the Wadia Institute of Himalayan Geology  
221 indicates that the impact of debris flow material (sediment, water, ice, woody debris) at the  
222 confluence of Rishiganga River with Dhauliganga River created a bottleneck and forced some  
223 material 150-200 m up the Dhauliganga (fig. S15). The release of the water a few minutes  
224 later led to the destruction of a temple on the north bank of the Dhauliganga.


225  
226 A substantial fraction of the fine-grained material involved in the event was transported far  
227 downstream. This more dilute flow could be considered a fifth component. Approximately 24  
228 hours after the initial landslide, the sediment plume was visible in PlanetScope and Sentinel-2  
229 imagery in the hydropower project's reservoir on the Alaknanda River at Srinagar, about 150  
230 km downstream from the source. About 2½ weeks later, increased turbidity was observed at  
231 Kanpur on the Ganges River, ~900 km from the source. An official of the Delhi water quality  
232 board reported that 8 days after the Chamoli disaster, a chief water source for the city - a canal  
233 drawing directly from the Ganga River - had an unprecedented spike in suspended sediment  
234 (turbidity) 80 times the permissible level (*32*). The amount of corresponding sedimentation in

235 hydropower reservoirs and rivers is unknown, but possibly substantial, and may contribute to  
 236 increased erosion on turbine blades, and infilling of reservoirs in the years to come.

237

238 Analysis of eyewitness videos permitted estimation of the propagation of the flow front below  
 239 the Ronti Gad-Rishiganga River confluence (Fig. 3, 22, §5.3). The maximum frontal velocity  
 240 reconstructed from these videos is  $\sim 25 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  near the Rishiganga hydropower project (fig.  
 241 S11, table S5), which is about 15 km downstream of the rock and ice avalanche source. Just  
 242 upstream of the Tapovan project (another  $\sim 10 \text{ km}$  downriver), the velocity decreased to  $\sim 16 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ,  
 243 and just downstream of Tapovan (26 km from source), the velocity was  $\sim 12 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . The  
 244 large reduction in frontal velocity is likely related to impoundment behind the Tapovan  
 245 project dam. Analysis of PlanetScope images (at 5:01 UTC and 5:28 UTC) suggests that the  
 246 average frontal velocity between Raini (at Rishiganga hydropower project) and Joshimath (16  
 247 km downstream) was  $\sim 10 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ . We also estimated mean discharge from the videos to be  
 248 between  $\sim 8,200$  and  $\sim 14,200 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  at the Rishiganga hydropower project and between  $\sim 2,900$   
 249 and  $\sim 4,900 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  downstream of the Tapovan project. Estimates for the debris flow duration  
 250 are complicated by uncertain volumes, water contents, discharge amounts, and shapes of  
 251 discharge curves at specific locations. For Rishiganga, for example, we estimate a duration  
 252 of 10-20 minutes, a number that appears realistic from the information available.

253



Parts a-b: Electricity Market in India: Dhauliganga 4\*70 MW Cofferdam collapse in Uttarakhand Chamoli... Prayers for Uttarakhand, URL: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=96nopxNn-Qp4&t=1s>; accessed: 28th February 2021  
 Parts c-d: Kamlesh Maikhuri (<https://www.facebook.com/100005762340793/videos/1678161685719227/> (since removed)); Permission: verbal permission of the author given to Kavita Upadhyay  
 Parts e-f: Manvar Rawat (<https://www.facebook.com/100007108448247/videos/2796749477238640/>); Permission: verbal permission of the author given to Kavita Upadhyay  
 Parts g-j: RW • Rishikeshwritings: Uttarakhand Flood 2021|| Rishikesh , Srinagar , Devprayag , Haridwar, URL: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QE0iPLq8gbY>; accessed: 28th February

254

255

256

257

258



**Fig. 3. Sample video frames used to analyse flood velocity and discharge. (A,B)** Flow front arrives and rushes through the valley upstream of the Rishiganga project (location P1 in Fig. 4). **(C)** Flow front arrives at Tapovan project's dam (location P3). **(D)** The reservoir is being filled quickly; spillways are damaged. **(E)** The dam is overtopped. **(F)** Collapse of

259 remaining structures. (G-J) Flow front proceeds down the valley below the Tapovan dam  
260 (location P4); spreading into the village in (J).

261

262 We conducted numerical simulations with r.avaflow (22, §5.4), which indicate that the rock  
263 and ice avalanche could not have transitioned to the debris flow seen farther downstream  
264 without an accompanying reduction in the debris volume. If such a direct transition had  
265 occurred, the modeling suggests that the flow discharge would be approximately one order of  
266 magnitude higher than the estimates derived from video recordings (22, §5.4). The deposition  
267 patterns we observed in satellite imagery support the hypothesis that the vicinity of the Ronti  
268 Gad-Rishiganga River confluence played a key role in flow transition. Our numerical  
269 simulations are consistent with the escape of a fluid-rich front from the rock and ice avalanche  
270 mass near this confluence (Fig. 4A), reproducing mapped trimlines and estimated flow  
271 velocities and discharges down to Tapovan (Fig. 4B, C). Our simulated discharge estimates at  
272 P1–P4 are within the ranges derived from the video analysis (Fig. 4D, 22, §5.3), and  
273 simulated travel times between P0–P3 (Fig. 4D) show excellent agreement (<5% difference)  
274 with travel times inferred from seismic data, videos, and satellite imagery. We found less  
275 agreement between the numerical model results and the reconstructions from videos farther  
276 downstream due to the complex effects of the Tapovan project in slowing the flow, which are  
277 at a finer scale than is represented by our model.

278



279  
 280 **Fig. 4. Flow evolution scenarios and simulation.** (A) Schematic of the evolution of the flow  
 281 from the source to Tapovan. (B) Maximum flow height simulated with r.avaflow, showing the  
 282 observed trim lines for comparison. P0 is the location of the velocity estimate derived from  
 283 seismic data, P1-P4 are locations of velocity estimates based on videos and satellite images.  
 284 (C) Along-profile evolution of flow velocity and fractions of rock/debris, ice, and water  
 285 simulated with r.avaflow. (D) Simulated and estimated peak discharges and travel times at  
 286 above locations. In the legend labels, (front) refers to the flow front whereas (main) refers to  
 287 the point of maximum flow momentum.



288 **Causes and implications**

289 The February 7 rock and ice avalanche was a very large event with an extraordinarily high fall  
290 height that resulted in a disaster due to its extreme mobility and the presence of downstream  
291 infrastructure. The ~3700 m vertical drop to the Tapovan HPP is surpassed clearly by only  
292 two known events in the historic record, namely the 1962 and 1970 Huascarán avalanches  
293 (11), while its mobility ( $H/L = 0.16$  at Tapovan, where  $H$  is fall height and  $L$  is flow length) is  
294 exceeded only by a few recent glacier detachments (10). The location of the failure was due to  
295 the extremely steep and high relief of Ronti Peak. The sheared nature of the source rocks and  
296 contrasting interbedded rock types likely conditioned the failure (22, §1). The large and  
297 expanding fracture (Fig 1B, C) at the head scarp may have allowed liquid water to penetrate  
298 into the bedrock, increasing pore-water pressures or enhancing freeze-thaw weathering.

299  
300 Nearly all (190) of the 204 people either killed or missing in the disaster (22, §2, Table S1)  
301 were workers at the Rishiganga (13.2 MW) and Tapovan (520 MW) project sites (33). Direct  
302 economic losses from damage to the two hydropower structures alone are over 223 million  
303 USD (34, 35). The high loss of human life and infrastructure damage was due to the debris  
304 flow, and not the initial rock and ice avalanche. However, not all large, high-mountain rock  
305 and ice avalanches transform into highly mobile debris flows that cause destruction far from  
306 their source (9).

307  
308 Our energy balance estimates indicate that most of the  $\sim 5\text{-}6 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  volume of glacier ice first  
309 warmed (along with a portion of the rock mass) from approximately  $-8^\circ\text{C}$  to  $0^\circ\text{C}$  and then  
310 melted through frictional heating during the avalanche as it descended to the Rishiganga  
311 valley, involving a drop of approximately 3400 m (22, §5.5). Potential other sources of water  
312 were considered, including glacier lake outburst floods, catastrophic drainage of water from  
313 reservoirs such as surface lakes, ice deposited by earlier avalanches, and englacial reservoirs.  
314 No evidence for such sources was observed in available remote sensing data. A slow-moving  
315 storm system moved through the area in the days before Feb 7. We estimate that a  $\sim 220,000\text{--}$   
316  $360,000 \text{ m}^3$  contribution from precipitation over the Ronti Gad basin was a minor component  
317 of the flow, representing only 4-7% of the water equivalent contained in the initial glacier ice  
318 detachment. Similarly, while water already present in the river, water ejected from  
319 groundwater, melting snow, wet sediment, and water released from the run-of-the-river  
320 hydroelectric project may have all contributed to the debris flow, even when taken together  
321 (with generous error margins), these sum to a small amount compared to the probable range of  
322 water volumes in the mass movement. The major effect of ice melt on the mobility of rock  
323 and ice avalanches is documented (9, 10), but it appears that the combination of the specific  
324 rock/ice fraction ( $\sim 80/20\%$  by volume) and large fall height of the rock and ice avalanche led  
325 to a rare, severe event during which nearly all of the ice melted.

326  
327 Soon after the disaster, media reports and expert opinions started to circulate, postulating links  
328 of the event to climate change. Recent attribution studies demonstrated that glacier mass  
329 loss on global, regional and local scales is to a large extent attributable to anthropogenic  
330 greenhouse gas forcing (36, 37). High-mountain slope failures in rock and ice, however,  
331 pose additional challenges to attribution due to multiple factors and processes involved in  
332 such events. While long-term trends of increasing slope failure occurrence in some regions



333 could be attributed to climate change (16, 38, 39), attribution of single events such as the  
334 Chamoli event remains largely elusive. Nevertheless, certain elements of the Chamoli event  
335 have potential links to climate, and weather, as described below. Furthermore, the Chamoli  
336 event may be seen in the context of a change in geomorphological sensitivity (40) and  
337 might therefore be seen as a precursor for an increase in such events as climate warming  
338 proceeds.

339

340 The stability of glacierized and perennially frozen high-mountain slopes is indeed particularly  
341 sensitive to climate change (16). Our analysis suggests regional climate and related  
342 cryospheric change could have interacted in a complex way with the geologic and topographic  
343 setting to produce this massive slope failure. Air and surface temperatures have been  
344 increasing across the Himalayan region, with greater rates of warming during the second half  
345 of the 20th Century and at higher elevations (41, 42). Most glaciers in the Himalaya are  
346 shrinking and mass loss rates are accelerating across the region (22, §1, 43–46). Glacier  
347 shrinkage uncovers and destabilizes mountain flanks and strongly alters the hydrological and  
348 thermal regimes of the underlying rock.

349

350 The detachment zone at Ronti Peak is about 1 km higher than the regional lower limit of  
351 permafrost at around 4,000 to 4,500 m asl., as indicated by rock glaciers in the region and  
352 global permafrost maps (47, 48). Exposed rock on the north face of Ronti Peak likely contains  
353 cold permafrost with rock temperatures several degrees below 0°C. In connection with  
354 glaciers, however, ground temperatures can be locally higher. The ice-free south face of Ronti  
355 Peak is certainly substantially warmer with rock temperatures perhaps around or above 0°C,  
356 causing strong south-to-north lateral heat fluxes (49). Permafrost temperatures are increasing  
357 worldwide, in particular in cold permafrost (16, 50, 51), leading to long-term and deep-seated  
358 thermal anomalies, and even permafrost degradation (49). Increasing ground temperatures at  
359 the failure site of the Chamoli avalanche could have resulted in reduced strength of the frozen  
360 rock mass by altering the rock hydrology and the mechanical properties of discontinuities and  
361 the failed rock mass (52).

362

363 The geology of the failed rocks includes several observed or inferred critical attributes (22,  
364 §1): (i) The rocks are cut by multiple directions of planar weaknesses; the failed mass  
365 detached along four of these. (ii) The rock mass is close to a major thrust fault, with many  
366 local shear fractures, which - along with other discontinuities - would have facilitated aqueous  
367 chemical weathering. (iii) The rock types (schist and gneiss), even when nominally  
368 unweathered, contain abundant soft, platy, oriented, and geomechanically anisotropic minerals  
369 (phyllosilicates and kyanite especially); Weathering will further weaken these rocks, and they  
370 will be more likely to disintegrate into fine material upon impact, which would influence the  
371 rheology and likely enhance the mobility of the mass flow.

372

373 Importantly, the 7 Feb failure considerably changed the stress regime and thermal conditions  
374 in the area of the detachment zone. Only detailed investigations and monitoring will  
375 determine whether rock or ice adjacent to the failed block (including a large hanging rock  
376 block above the scarp) were destabilized due to these changes and present an ongoing hazard.  
377 Similarly, the impoundment at the Ronti Gad-Rishiganga River confluence requires careful  
378 monitoring as embedded ice in the dam deposits may melt with warmer temperatures,

379 increasing the risk of an outburst flood by reducing lake freeboard of the dam, and/or reducing  
380 structural coherence of the dam.

381

382 Videos of the event, including the ones broadcast on social media in real time (22, §5.3),  
383 showed that the people directly at risk had little to no warning. This leads us to question what  
384 could have happened if a warning system had been installed. We estimate that a suitably  
385 designed early warning system might have allowed for 6 to 10 minutes of warning before the  
386 arrival of the debris flow at the Tapovan project (perhaps up to 20 minutes if situated near the  
387 landslide source, or if a dense seismic network was leveraged (53)), which may have provided  
388 enough time to evacuate at least some workers from the power project. After the event, a new  
389 flood warning system was installed near Raini (22, §2.1, fig. S15D). Studies show that early  
390 warning system design and installation is technically feasible but rapid communication of  
391 reliable warnings and appropriate responses by individuals to alerts, are complex (54).  
392 Previous research indicates that effective early warning requires public education, including  
393 drills, which would increase awareness of potential hazards and improve ability to take action  
394 when disaster strikes (55, 56). Considering the repeated failures from the same slope in the  
395 past two decades (22, §1), public education and drills in the Chamoli region would be very  
396 beneficial.

## 397 **Conclusions**

398 On the morning of 7 Feb 2021, a large rock and ice avalanche descended the Ronti Gad  
399 valley, rapidly transforming into a highly mobile debris flow that destroyed two hydropower  
400 plants and left more than 200 people dead or missing. We identified three primary drivers for  
401 the severity of the Chamoli disaster: (1) the extraordinary fall height, providing ample  
402 gravitational potential energy; (2) the worst-case rock:ice ratio, which resulted in almost  
403 complete melting of the glacier ice, enhancing the mobility of the debris flow; and (3) the  
404 unfortunate location of multiple hydropower plants in the direct path of the flow.

405 The debris flow disaster started as a wedge failure sourced in bedrock near the crest of Ronti  
406 Peak, and included an overlying hanging glacier. The rock almost completely disintegrated in  
407 the ~1 minute that the wedge took to fall (~5500 – 3,700 m asl), and the rock:ice ratio of the  
408 detached mass was almost exactly the critical value required for near-complete melting of the  
409 ice. As well as having a previous history of large mass movements, the mountain is riven with  
410 planes and points of structural weakness, and further bedrock failures as well as large ice and  
411 snow avalanches are inevitable.

412 Videos of the disaster were rapidly distributed through social media, attracting widespread  
413 international media coverage and catalyzing an immediate response from the international  
414 scientific community. This response effort quickly leveraged images from modern  
415 commercial and civilian government satellite constellations that offer exceptional resolution,  
416 "always-on" cadence, rapid tasking, and global coverage. This event demonstrated that if  
417 appropriate human resources and technologies are in place, post-disaster analysis can be  
418 reduced to days or hours. Nevertheless, ground-based evidence remains crucial for clarifying  
419 the nature of such disasters.

420 Although we cannot attribute this individual disaster specifically to climate change, the  
421 possibly increasing frequency of high-mountain slope instabilities can likely be related to  
422 observed atmospheric warming and corresponding long-term changes in cryospheric  
423 conditions (glaciers, permafrost). Multiple factors beyond those listed above contributed to the  
424 Chamoli rock and ice avalanche, including the geologic structure and steep topography,  
425 possible long-term thermal disturbances in permafrost bedrock induced by atmospheric  
426 warming, stress changes due to the decline and collapse of adjacent and overlying glaciers,  
427 and enhanced melt water infiltration during warm periods.

428 The Chamoli event also raises important questions about clean energy development, climate  
429 change adaptation, disaster governance, conservation, environmental justice, and sustainable  
430 development in the Himalaya and other high-mountain environments. This stresses the  
431 importance of a better understanding of the cause and impact of mountain hazards, leading to  
432 disasters. While the scientific aspects of this event are the focus of our study, we cannot  
433 ignore the human suffering and emerging socio-economic impacts that it caused. It was the  
434 human tragedy that motivated the authors to examine available data and explore how these  
435 data, analyses, and interpretations can be used to help inform decision-making at the ground  
436 level.

437 The disaster tragically revealed the risks associated with the rapid expansion of hydropower  
438 infrastructure into increasingly unstable territory. Enhancing inclusive dialogues among  
439 governments, local stakeholders and communities, private sector, and the scientific  
440 community could help assess, minimize, and prepare for existing risks. The disaster indicates  
441 that the long-term sustainability of planned hydroelectric power projects must account for  
442 both current and future social and environmental conditions, while mitigating risks to  
443 infrastructure, personnel, and downstream communities. Conservation values carry elevated  
444 weight in development policies and infrastructure investments where the needs for social and  
445 economic development interfere with areas prone to natural hazards, putting communities at  
446 risk.

447

## 448 **References**

- 449 1. J. S. Kargel, G. J. Leonard, D. H. Shugar, U. K. Haritashya, A. Bevington, E. J. Fielding,  
450 K. Fujita, M. Geertsema, E. S. Miles, J. Steiner, E. Anderson, S. Bajracharya, G. W.  
451 Bawden, D. F. Breashears, A. Byers, B. Collins, M. R. Dhital, A. Donnellan, T. L.  
452 Evans, M. L. Geai, M. T. Glasscoe, D. Green, D. R. Gurung, R. Heijenk, A. Hilborn, K.  
453 Hudnut, C. Huyck, W. W. Immerzeel, J. Liming, R. Jibson, A. Käab, N. R. Khanal, D.  
454 Kirschbaum, P. D. A. Kraaijenbrink, D. Lamsal, L. Shiyin, L. Mingyang, D. McKinney,  
455 N. K. Nahirnick, N. Zhuotong, S. Ojha, J. Olsenholler, T. H. Painter, M. Pleasants, K. C.  
456 Pratima, Q. I. Yuan, B. H. Raup, D. Regmi, D. R. Rounce, A. Sakai, S. Donghui, J. M.  
457 Shea, A. B. Shrestha, A. Shukla, D. Stumm, M. van der Kooij, K. Voss, W. Xin, B.  
458 Weihs, D. Wolfe, W. Lizong, Y. Xiaojun, M. R. Yoder, N. Young, Geomorphic and  
459 geologic controls of geohazards induced by Nepal's 2015 Gorkha earthquake. *Science*.  
460 **351**, 140 (2016).

- 461 2. S. Allen, S. Cox, I. Owens, Rock avalanches and other landslides in the central Southern  
462 Alps of New Zealand: a regional study considering possible climate change impacts.  
463 *Landslides*. **8**, 33–48 (2011).
- 464 3. L. Fischer, R. S. Purves, C. Huggel, J. Noetzli, W. Haeberli, On the influence of  
465 topographic, geological and cryospheric factors on rock avalanches and rockfalls in high-  
466 mountain areas. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*. **12**, 241–254 (2012).
- 467 4. S. Gruber, R. Fleiner, E. Guegan, P. Panday, M.-O. Schmid, D. Stumm, P. Wester, Y.  
468 Zhang, L. Zhao, Review article: Inferring permafrost and permafrost thaw in the  
469 mountains of the Hindu Kush Himalaya region. *The Cryosphere*. **11**, 81–99 (2017).
- 470 5. A. Kääb, S. Leinss, A. Gilbert, Y. Bühler, S. Gascoin, S. G. Evans, P. Bartelt, E.  
471 Berthier, F. Brun, W.-A. Chao, D. Farinotti, F. Gimbert, W. Guo, C. Huggel, J. S.  
472 Kargel, G. J. Leonard, L. Tian, D. Treichler, T. Yao, Massive collapse of two glaciers in  
473 western Tibet in 2016 after surge-like instability. *Nature Geoscience*. **11**, 114–120  
474 (2018).
- 475 6. M. Jacquemart, M. Loso, M. Leopold, E. Welty, E. Berthier, J. S. S. Hansen, J. Sykes, K.  
476 Tiampo, What drives large-scale glacier detachments? Insights from Flat Creek glacier,  
477 St. Elias Mountains, Alaska. *Geology*. **48**, 703–707 (2020).
- 478 7. S. G. Evans, K. B. Delaney, N. M. Rana, in *Snow and Ice-Related Hazards, Risks, and*  
479 *Disasters (Second Edition)*, W. Haeberli, C. Whiteman, Eds. (Elsevier, 2021;  
480 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780128171295000044>), pp. 541–  
481 596.
- 482 8. D. Kirschbaum, C. S. Watson, D. R. Rounce, D. H. Shugar, J. S. Kargel, U. K.  
483 Haritashya, P. Amatya, D. E. Shean, E. R. Anderson, M. Jo, The state of remote sensing  
484 capabilities of cascading hazards over High Mountain Asia. *Frontiers in Earth Science*.  
485 **7** (2019), doi:10.3389/feart.2019.00197.
- 486 9. D. Schneider, C. Huggel, W. Haeberli, R. Kaitna, Unraveling driving factors for large  
487 rock-ice avalanche mobility. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. **36**, 1948–1966  
488 (2011).
- 489 10. A. Kääb, M. Jacquemart, A. Gilbert, S. Leinss, L. Girod, C. Huggel, D. Falaschi, F.  
490 Ugalde, D. Petrakov, S. Chernomorets, M. Dokukin, F. Paul, S. Gascoin, E. Berthier, J.  
491 Kargel, Sudden large-volume detachments of low-angle mountain glaciers - more  
492 frequent than thought. *The Cryosphere*. **15**, 1751–1785 (2021).
- 493 11. S. G. Evans, N. F. Bishop, L. Fidel Smoll, P. Valderrama Murillo, K. B. Delaney, A.  
494 Oliver-Smith, A re-examination of the mechanism and human impact of catastrophic  
495 mass flows originating on Nevado Huascarán, Cordillera Blanca, Peru in 1962 and 1970.  
496 *Engineering Geology*. **108**, 96–118 (2009).

- 497 12. K. Upadhyay, A year later, no lessons learnt. *The Hindu* (2014), (available at  
498 [https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/op-ed/a-year-later-no-lessons-](https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/op-ed/a-year-later-no-lessons-learnt/article6120397.ece)  
499 [learnt/article6120397.ece](https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/op-ed/a-year-later-no-lessons-learnt/article6120397.ece)).
- 500 13. S. K. Allen, P. Rastner, M. Arora, C. Huggel, M. Stoffel, Lake outburst and debris flow  
501 disaster at Kedarnath, June 2013: hydrometeorological triggering and topographic  
502 predisposition. *Landslides*. **13**, 1479–1491 (2016).
- 503 14. R. Bhambri, M. Mehta, D. P. Dobhal, A. K. Gupta, B. Pratap, K. Kesarwani, A. Verma,  
504 Devastation in the Kedarnath (Mandakini) Valley, Garhwal Himalaya, during 16–17  
505 June 2013: a remote sensing and ground-based assessment. *Natural Hazards*. **80**, 1801–  
506 1822 (2016).
- 507 15. PIB, Statement in Parliament by Union Home Minister Shri Amit Shah regarding  
508 avalanche in the upper catchment of Rishiganga River in Chamoli District of  
509 Uttarakhand. *Press Information Bureau (PIB)* (2021), (available at  
510 <https://pib.gov.in/PressReleaseIframePage.aspx?PRID=1696552>).
- 511 16. R. Hock, G. Rasul, C. Adler, S. Caceres, S. Gruber, Y. Hirabayashi, M. Jackson, A.  
512 Kääh, S. Kang, S. Kutuzov, A. Milner, U. Molau, S. Morin, B. Orlove, H. Steltzer,  
513 “Chapter 2: High Mountain Areas — Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a  
514 Changing Climate,” *IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing*  
515 *Climate* (2019), (available at <https://www.ipcc.ch/srocc/chapter/chapter-2/>).
- 516 17. K. S. Valdiya, Damming rivers in the tectonically resurgent Uttarakhand Himalaya.  
517 *Current Science*. **106**, 1658–1668 (2014).
- 518 18. R. A. Vaidya, D. J. Molden, A. B. Shrestha, N. Wagle, C. Tortajada, The role of  
519 hydropower in South Asia’s energy future. *International Journal of Water Resources*  
520 *Development*. **37**, 367–391 (2021).
- 521 19. A. Diduck, J. Sinclair, D. Pratap, G. Hostetler, Achieving meaningful public  
522 participation in the environmental assessment of hydro development: case studies from  
523 Chamoli District, Uttarakhand, India. *Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal*. **25**,  
524 219–231 (2007).
- 525 20. Kundan Singh v State of Uttarakhand, *High Court of Uttarakhand, India* (2019), vol.  
526 Writ Petition (P.I.L) No. 48 of 2019.
- 527 21. A. Shrestha, J. Steiner, S. Nepal, S. B. Maharjan, M. Jackson, G. Rasul, B. Bajracharya,  
528 Understanding the Chamoli flood: Cause, process, impacts, and context of rapid  
529 infrastructure development, (available at [https://www.icimod.org/article/understanding-](https://www.icimod.org/article/understanding-the-chamoli-flood-cause-process-impacts-and-context-of-rapid-infrastructure-development/)  
530 [the-chamoli-flood-cause-process-impacts-and-context-of-rapid-infrastructure-](https://www.icimod.org/article/understanding-the-chamoli-flood-cause-process-impacts-and-context-of-rapid-infrastructure-development/)  
531 [development/](https://www.icimod.org/article/understanding-the-chamoli-flood-cause-process-impacts-and-context-of-rapid-infrastructure-development/)).
- 532 22. Materials and methods are available as supplementary materials on Science Online.

- 533 23. W. Haeberli, C. Huggel, A. Kääh, S. Zraggen-Oswald, A. Polkvoj, I. Galushkin, I.  
534 Zotikov, N. Osokin, The Kolka-Karmadon rock/ice slide of 20 September 2002: an  
535 extraordinary event of historical dimensions in North Ossetia, Russian Caucasus.  
536 *Journal of Glaciology*. **50**, 533–546 (2004).
- 537 24. F. Walter, F. Amann, A. Kos, R. Kenner, M. Phillips, A. de Preux, M. Huss, C.  
538 Tognacca, J. Clinton, T. Diehl, Y. Bonanomi, Direct observations of a three million  
539 cubic meter rock-slope collapse with almost immediate initiation of ensuing debris  
540 flows. *Geomorphology*. **351**, 106933 (2020).
- 541 25. J. Koshy, Scientists studying samples to know roots of Uttarakhand glacier disaster. *The*  
542 *Hindu* (2021), (available at [https://www.thehindu.com/sci-tech/science/scientists-](https://www.thehindu.com/sci-tech/science/scientists-studying-samples-to-know-roots-of-uttarakhand-glacier-disaster/article33851727.ece)  
543 [studying-samples-to-know-roots-of-uttarakhand-glacier-disaster/article33851727.ece](https://www.thehindu.com/sci-tech/science/scientists-studying-samples-to-know-roots-of-uttarakhand-glacier-disaster/article33851727.ece)).
- 544 26. R. G. McConnell, R. W. Brock, “Report on the Great Landslide at Frank, Alta. 1903,”  
545 *Annual Report, Part VIII* (Department of the Interior Dominion of Canada, Ottawa,  
546 1904), p. 17.
- 547 27. J. F. Orwin, J. J. Clague, R. F. Gerath, The Cheam rock avalanche, Fraser Valley, British  
548 Columbia, Canada. *Landslides*. **1**, 289–298 (2004).
- 549 28. A. Mitchell, S. McDougall, J. Aaron, M.-A. Brideau, Rock avalanche-generated  
550 sediment mass flows: definitions and hazard. *Front. Earth Sci.* **8**, 543937 (2020).
- 551 29. S. A. Dunning, The grain-size distribution of rock-avalanche deposits in valley confined  
552 settings. *Italian Journal of Engineering Geology and Environment*. **1**, 117–121 (2006).
- 553 30. D. H. Shugar, J. J. Clague, The sedimentology and geomorphology of rock avalanche  
554 deposits on glaciers. *Sedimentology*. **58**, 1762–1783 (2011).
- 555 31. M. Church, M. Jakob, What Is a Debris Flood? *Water Resources Research*. **56** (2020),  
556 doi:10.1029/2020WR027144.
- 557 32. Hindustan Times, Water supply back to normal, says Delhi Jal Board after Chamoli  
558 impact. *Hindustan Times* (2021), (available at  
559 [https://www.hindustantimes.com/cities/others/normal-water-supply-to-resume-today-](https://www.hindustantimes.com/cities/others/normal-water-supply-to-resume-today-says-delhi-jal-board-101613412411000.html)  
560 [says-delhi-jal-board-101613412411000.html](https://www.hindustantimes.com/cities/others/normal-water-supply-to-resume-today-says-delhi-jal-board-101613412411000.html)).
- 561 33. Uttarakhand Emergency Operations Centre, “Daily Report (A.T.R.:39)” (Dehradun,  
562 2021).
- 563 34. S. Dutta, Fate of NTPC’s Tapovan project hangs in balance after Rs 1,500 crore loss.  
564 *The Economic Times* (2021), (available at  
565 [https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/industry/energy/power/fate-of-ntpcs-tapovan-](https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/industry/energy/power/fate-of-ntpcs-tapovan-project-hangs-in-balance-after-rs-1500-crore-loss/articleshow/80760066.cms)  
566 [project-hangs-in-balance-after-rs-1500-crore-loss/articleshow/80760066.cms](https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/industry/energy/power/fate-of-ntpcs-tapovan-project-hangs-in-balance-after-rs-1500-crore-loss/articleshow/80760066.cms)).



- 567 35. J. Mazoomdaar, Behind hydel project washed away, a troubled trail to accident in 2011.  
568 *The Indian Express* (2021), (available at [https://indianexpress.com/article/india/hydel-  
power-project-uttarakhand-flash-flood-glacier-burst-chamoli-district-7183561/](https://indianexpress.com/article/india/hydel-<br/>569 power-project-uttarakhand-flash-flood-glacier-burst-chamoli-district-7183561/)).
- 570 36. R. F. Stuart-Smith, G. H. Roe, S. Li, M. R. Allen, Increased outburst flood hazard from  
571 Lake Palcacocha due to human-induced glacier retreat. *Nature Geoscience*. **14**, 85–90  
572 (2021).
- 573 37. G. H. Roe, J. E. Christian, B. Marzeion, On the attribution of industrial-era glacier mass  
574 loss to anthropogenic climate change. *The Cryosphere*. **15**, 1889–1905 (2021).
- 575 38. W. Cramer, M. Auffhammer, C. Huguel, U. Molau, M. A. F. S. Dias, A. Solow, D. A.  
576 Stone, L. Tibig, in *Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part  
577 A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth  
578 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, C. B. Field, V.  
579 R. Barros, D. J. Dokken, K. J. Mach, M. D. Mastrandrea, T. E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K. L.  
580 Ebi, Y. O. Estrada, R. C. Genova, B. Girma, E. S. Kissel, A. N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P.  
581 R. Mastrandrea, L. L. White, Eds. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2014),  
582 pp. 979–1337.
- 583 39. E. K. Bessette-Kirton, J. A. Coe, A 36-year record of rock avalanches in the Saint Elias  
584 Mountains of Alaska, with implications for future hazards. *Frontiers in Earth Science*. **8**,  
585 293 (2020).
- 586 40. J. Knight, S. Harrison, The impacts of climate change on terrestrial Earth surface  
587 systems. *Nature Climate Change*. **3**, 24–29 (2013).
- 588 41. N. Pepin, R. S. Bradley, H. F. Diaz, M. Baraer, E. B. Caceres, N. Forsythe, H. Fowler,  
589 G. Greenwood, M. Z. Hashmi, X. D. Liu, J. R. Miller, L. Ning, A. Ohmura, E. Palazzi, I.  
590 Rangwala, W. Schöner, I. Severskiy, M. Shahgedanova, M. B. Wang, S. N. Williamson,  
591 D. Q. Yang, Mountain Research Initiative EDW Working Group, Elevation-dependent  
592 warming in mountain regions of the world. *Nature Climate Change*. **5**, 424–430 (2015).
- 593 42. T. P. Sabin, R. Krishnan, R. Vellore, P. Priya, H. P. Borgaonkar, B. B. Singh, A. Sagar,  
594 in *Assessment of Climate Change over the Indian Region: A Report of the Ministry of  
595 Earth Sciences (MoES), Government of India*, R. Krishnan, J. Sanjay, C. Gnanaseelan,  
596 M. Mujumdar, A. Kulkarni, S. Chakraborty, Eds. (Springer, Singapore, 2020;  
597 [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-4327-2\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-4327-2_11)), pp. 207–222.
- 598 43. M. F. Azam, P. Wagnon, E. Berthier, C. Vincent, K. Fujita, J. S. Kargel, Review of the  
599 status and mass changes of Himalayan-Karakoram glaciers. *Journal of Glaciology*. **64**,  
600 61–74 (2018).
- 601 44. J. M. Maurer, J. M. Schaefer, S. Rupper, A. Corley, Acceleration of ice loss across the  
602 Himalayas over the past 40 years. *Science Advances*. **5**, eaav7266 (2019).

- 603 45. D. E. Shean, S. Bhushan, P. Montesano, D. R. Rounce, A. Arendt, B. Osmanoglu, A  
604 systematic, regional assessment of High Mountain Asia glacier mass balance. *Front.*  
605 *Earth Sci.* **7** (2020), doi:10.3389/feart.2019.00363.
- 606 46. R. Hugonnet, R. McNabb, E. Berthier, B. Menounos, C. Nuth, L. Girod, D. Farinotti, M.  
607 Huss, I. Dussaillant, F. Brun, A. Kääh, Accelerated global glacier mass loss in the early  
608 twenty-first century. *Nature*. **592**, 726–731 (2021).
- 609 47. S. Gruber, Derivation and analysis of a high-resolution estimate of global permafrost  
610 zonation. *The Cryosphere*. **6**, 221–233 (2012).
- 611 48. S. K. Allen, J. Fiddes, A. Linsbauer, S. S. Randhawa, B. Saklani, N. Salzmann,  
612 Permafrost Studies in Kullu District, Himachal Pradesh. *Current Science*. **111**, 550  
613 (2016).
- 614 49. J. Noetzli, S. Gruber, Transient thermal effects in Alpine permafrost. *The Cryosphere*. **3**,  
615 85–99 (2009).
- 616 50. B. K. Biskaborn, S. L. Smith, J. Noetzli, H. Matthes, G. Vieira, D. A. Streletskiy, P.  
617 Schoeneich, V. E. Romanovsky, A. G. Lewkowicz, A. Abramov, M. Allard, J. Boike, W.  
618 L. Cable, H. H. Christiansen, R. Delaloye, B. Diekmann, D. Drozdov, B. Etzelmüller, G.  
619 Grosse, M. Guglielmin, T. Ingeman-Nielsen, K. Isaksen, M. Ishikawa, M. Johansson, H.  
620 Johansson, A. Joo, D. Kaverin, A. Kholodov, P. Konstantinov, T. Kröger, C. Lambiel,  
621 J.-P. Lanckman, D. Luo, G. Malkova, I. Meiklejohn, N. Moskalenko, M. Oliva, M.  
622 Phillips, M. Ramos, A. B. K. Sannel, D. Sergeev, C. Seybold, P. Skryabin, A. Vasiliev,  
623 Q. Wu, K. Yoshikawa, M. Zheleznyak, H. Lantuit, Permafrost is warming at a global  
624 scale. *Nature Communications*. **10**, 264 (2019).
- 625 51. J. Noetzli, H. H. Christiansen, K. Isaksen, S. Smith, L. Zhao, D. A. Streletskiy,  
626 Permafrost thermal state. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. **101**, S34–S36  
627 (2020).
- 628 52. S. Gruber, W. Haeberli, Permafrost in steep bedrock slopes and its temperature-related  
629 destabilization following climate change. *Journal of Geophysical Research - Earth*  
630 *Surface*. **112** (2007), doi:10.1029/2006JF000547.
- 631 53. N. P. Rao, R. Rekapalli, D. Srinagesh, V. M. Tiwari, N. Hovius, K. L. Cook, M. Dietze,  
632 Seismological rockslide warnings in the Himalaya. *Science*. **372**, 247–247 (2021).
- 633 54. I. Kelman, M. H. Glantz, in *Reducing Disaster: Early Warning Systems For Climate*  
634 *Change* (Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 2014; [http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-94-017-8598-3_5)  
635 [94-017-8598-3\\_5](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-94-017-8598-3_5)), pp. 89–108.
- 636 55. S. K. McBride, A. Bostrom, J. Sutton, R. M. de Groot, A. S. Baltay, B. Terbush, P.  
637 Bodin, M. Dixon, E. Holland, R. Arba, P. Laustsen, S. Liu, M. Vinci, Developing post-  
638 alert messaging for ShakeAlert, the earthquake early warning system for the West Coast  
639 of the United States of America. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*. **50**,  
640 101713–101713 (2020).

- 641 56. W. Pollock, J. Wartman, Human vulnerability to landslides. *GeoHealth*. **4** (2020),  
642 doi:10.1029/2020GH000287.
- 643 57. Sentinel Hub, (available at <https://www.sentinel-hub.com/>).
- 644 58. PlanetLabs, Education and Research - Satellite Imagery Solutions. *Planet* (2021),  
645 (available at <https://planet.com/markets/education-and-research/>).
- 646 59. Maxar, Uttarakhand Flooding, (available at [https://www.maxar.com/open-](https://www.maxar.com/open-data/uttarakhand-flooding)  
647 [data/uttarakhand-flooding](https://www.maxar.com/open-data/uttarakhand-flooding)).
- 648 60. S. Bhushan, D. Shean, Chamoli Disaster Pre-event 2-m DEM Composite: September  
649 2015 (Version 1.0) [Data set] (2021), (available at <https://zenodo.org/record/4554647>).
- 650 61. D. Shean, S. Bhushan, E. Berthier, C. Deschamps-Berger, S. Gascoin, F. Knuth, Chamoli  
651 Disaster Post-event 2-m DEM Composite (February 10-11, 2021) and Difference Map  
652 (v1.0) [Data set] (2021), (available at <https://zenodo.org/record/4558692>).
- 653 62. r.avaflow | The mass flow simulation tool, (available at <https://www.avaflow.org/>).
- 654 63. R. K. Maikhuri, U. Rana, K. S. Rao, S. Nautiyal, K. G. Saxena, Promoting ecotourism in  
655 the buffer zone areas of Nanda Devi Biosphere Reserve: An option to resolve people—  
656 policy conflict. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*. **7**,  
657 333–342 (2000).
- 658 64. P. K. Mukherjee, A. K. Jain, S. Singhal, N. B. Singha, S. Singh, K. Kumud, P. Seth, R.  
659 C. Patel, U-Pb zircon ages and Sm-Nd isotopic characteristics of the Lesser and Great  
660 Himalayan sequences, Uttarakhand Himalaya, and their regional tectonic implications.  
661 *Gondwana Research*. **75**, 282–297 (2019).
- 662 65. C. Montemagni, C. Montomoli, S. Iaccarino, R. Carosi, A. K. Jain, H.-J. Massonne, I. M.  
663 Villa, Dating protracted fault activities: microstructures, microchemistry and  
664 geochronology of the Vaikrita Thrust, Main Central Thrust zone, Garhwal Himalaya,  
665 NW India. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*. **481**, 127–146 (2019).
- 666 66. K. S. Valdiya, O. P. Goel, Lithological subdivision and petrology of the Great  
667 Himalayan Vaikrita Group in Kumaun, India. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Earth Planet*  
668 *Sci.)*. **92**, 141–163 (1983).
- 669 67. N. I. Norrish, D. C. Wyllie, in *Landslides: Investigation and Mitigation* (Transportation  
670 Research Board Special Report, 1996; <https://trid.trb.org/view/462513>), pp. 391–425.
- 671 68. T. K. Raghuvanshi, Plane failure in rock slopes – A review on stability analysis  
672 techniques. *Journal of King Saud University - Science*. **31**, 101–109 (2019).
- 673 69. R. C. Patel, V. Adlakha, P. Singh, Y. Kumar, N. Lal, Geology, structural and exhumation  
674 history of the Higher Himalayan Crystallines in Kumaon Himalaya, India. *Journal of the*  
675 *Geological Society of India*. **77**, 47–72 (2011).

- 676 70. J. Célérier, T. M. Harrison, A. A. G. Webb, A. Yin, The Kumaun and Garwhal Lesser  
677 Himalaya, India: Part 1. structure and stratigraphy. *GSA Bulletin*. **121**, 1262–1280  
678 (2009).
- 679 71. W. Xu, R. Bürgmann, Z. Li, An improved geodetic source model for the 1999 Mw 6.3  
680 Chamoli earthquake, India. *Geophysical Journal International*. **205**, 236–242 (2016).
- 681 72. S. G. Evans, G. Scarascia-Mugnozza, A. L. Strom, R. L. Hermanns, A. Ischuk, S.  
682 Vinnichenko, in *Landslides from Massive Rock Slope Failure; NATO Science Series: IV,*  
683 *Earth and Environmental Sciences*, S. G. Evans, G. Scarascia-Mugnozza, A. L. Strom,  
684 R. L. Hermanns, Eds. (Springer, Dordrecht, 2006), pp. 3–52.
- 685 73. J. Fiddes, S. Gruber, TopoSCALE v.1.0: downscaling gridded climate data in complex  
686 terrain. *Geoscientific Model Development*. **7**, 387–405 (2014).
- 687 74. R. Bhambri, T. Bolch, R. K. Chaujar, S. C. Kulshreshtha, Glacier changes in the  
688 Garhwal Himalaya, India, from 1968 to 2006 based on remote sensing. *Journal of*  
689 *Glaciology*. **57**, 543–556 (2011).
- 690 75. V. Kumar, T. Shukla, M. Mehta, D. P. Dobhal, M. P. Singh Bisht, S. Nautiyal, Glacier  
691 changes and associated climate drivers for the last three decades, Nanda Devi region,  
692 Central Himalaya, India. *Quaternary International*. **575–576**, 213–226 (2021).
- 693 76. A. Banerjee, A. P. Dimri, K. Kumar, Temperature over the Himalayan foothill state of  
694 Uttarakhand: Present and future. *Journal of Earth System Science*. **130**, 33 (2021).
- 695 77. F. Brun, E. Berthier, P. Wagnon, A. Kaab, D. Treichler, A spatially resolved estimate of  
696 High Mountain Asia glacier mass balances from 2000 to 2016. *Nature Geoscience*. **10**,  
697 668–673 (2017).
- 698 78. J. Obu, S. Westermann, A. Bartsch, N. Berdnikov, H. H. Christiansen, A. Dashtseren, R.  
699 Delaloye, B. Elberling, B. Eitzelmüller, A. Kholodov, A. Khomutov, A. Kääh, M. O.  
700 Leibman, A. G. Lewkowicz, S. K. Panda, V. Romanovsky, R. G. Way, A. Westergaard-  
701 Nielsen, T. Wu, J. Yamkhin, D. Zou, Northern Hemisphere permafrost map based on  
702 TTOP modelling for 2000–2016 at 1 km<sup>2</sup> scale. *Earth-Science Reviews*. **193**, 299–316  
703 (2019).
- 704 79. NTPC Limited, NTPC works on modalities for release of compensation; Rescue  
705 operation continues in full swing. *NTPC Limited* (2021), (available at  
706 [https://www.ntpc.co.in/en/media/press-releases/details/ntpc-works-modalities-release-](https://www.ntpc.co.in/en/media/press-releases/details/ntpc-works-modalities-release-compensation-rescue-operation-continues-full-swing)  
707 [compensation-rescue-operation-continues-full-swing](https://www.ntpc.co.in/en/media/press-releases/details/ntpc-works-modalities-release-compensation-rescue-operation-continues-full-swing)).
- 708 80. N. Santoshi, Uttarakhand disaster: Reni gets 1st warning system in case of sudden water  
709 surge. *Hindustan Times* (2021), (available at [https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-](https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-news/uttarakhand-disaster-reni-gets-1st-warning-system-in-case-of-sudden-water-surge-101613557801245.html#:~:text=Reni%2C%20the%20most-affected%20village,the%20village%20to%20recover%20bodies)  
710 [news/uttarakhand-disaster-reni-gets-1st-warning-system-in-case-of-sudden-water-surge-](https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-news/uttarakhand-disaster-reni-gets-1st-warning-system-in-case-of-sudden-water-surge-101613557801245.html#:~:text=Reni%2C%20the%20most-affected%20village,the%20village%20to%20recover%20bodies)  
711 [101613557801245.html#:~:text=Reni%2C the most-affected village,the village to](https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-news/uttarakhand-disaster-reni-gets-1st-warning-system-in-case-of-sudden-water-surge-101613557801245.html#:~:text=Reni%2C%20the%20most-affected%20village,the%20village%20to%20recover%20bodies)  
712 [recover bodies](https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-news/uttarakhand-disaster-reni-gets-1st-warning-system-in-case-of-sudden-water-surge-101613557801245.html#:~:text=Reni%2C the most-affected village,the village to recover bodies)).

- 713 81. W. Schwanghart, R. Worni, C. Huggel, M. Stoffel, O. Korup, Uncertainty in the  
714 Himalayan energy–water nexus: estimating regional exposure to glacial lake outburst  
715 floods. *Environmental Research Letters*. **11**, 074005 (2016).
- 716 82. W. Schwanghart, M. Ryan, O. Korup, Topographic and seismic constraints on the  
717 vulnerability of Himalayan hydropower. *Geophysical Research Letters*. **45**, 8985–8992  
718 (2018).
- 719 83. H. Regan, S. Gupta, Famous for its tree huggers, village at center of India glacier  
720 collapse warned of impending disaster for decades. No one listened. *CNN* (2021),  
721 (available at [https://edition.cnn.com/2021/02/12/asia/india-glacier-raini-village-chipko-](https://edition.cnn.com/2021/02/12/asia/india-glacier-raini-village-chipko-intl-hnk/index.html)  
722 [intl-hnk/index.html](https://edition.cnn.com/2021/02/12/asia/india-glacier-raini-village-chipko-intl-hnk/index.html)).
- 723 84. R. Guha, *The unquiet woods: ecological change and peasant resistance in the Himalaya*  
724 (Oxford University Press, New Delhi, 1989).
- 725 85. S. Pathak, *The Chipko Movement: A People's History* (Permanent Black, New Delhi,  
726 2021).
- 727 86. R. J. Wasson, N. Juyal, M. Jaiswal, M. McCulloch, M. M. Sarin, V. Jain, P. Srivastava,  
728 A. K. Singhvi, The mountain-lowland debate: Deforestation and sediment transport in  
729 the upper Ganga catchment. *Journal of Environmental Management*. **88**, 53–61 (2008).
- 730 87. M. Mashal, H. Kumar, Before Himalayan Flood, India Ignored Warnings of  
731 Development Risks. *The New York Times* (2021), (available at  
732 <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/02/08/world/asia/india-flood-ignored-warnings.html>).
- 733 88. K. Upadhyay, Dams and damages. *The Hindu* (2021), (available at  
734 <https://www.thehindu.com/opinion/op-ed/dams-and-damages/article33795426.ece>).
- 735 89. Expert Body Report, “Assessment of Environmental Degradation and Impact of  
736 Hydroelectric projects during the June 2013 Disaster in Uttarakhand.” (New Delhi,  
737 2014), (available at <http://gbpihedenviis.nic.in/PDFs/Disaster>  
738 [Data/Reports/Assessment\\_of\\_Environmental\\_Degradation.pdf](http://gbpihedenviis.nic.in/PDFs/Disaster)).
- 739 90. M. P. S. Bisht, P. Rautela, Disaster looms large over Joshimath. *Current Science*. **98**,  
740 1271–1271 (2010).
- 741 91. Standing Committee on Energy, “43rd Report” (New Delhi, 2019), (available at  
742 [http://164.100.47.193/lssccommittee/Energy/16\\_Energy\\_43.pdf](http://164.100.47.193/lssccommittee/Energy/16_Energy_43.pdf)).
- 743 92. SANDRP, Tapovan Vishnugad HPP: delays, damages and destructions. *South Asia*  
744 *Network on Dams, Rivers and People (SANDRP)* (2021), (available at  
745 <https://sandrp.in/2021/02/20/tapovan-vishnugad-hpp-delays-damages-and-destructions/>).
- 746 93. J. Grönwall, “Large dams and human rights obligations: The case of the Pancheshwar  
747 Multipurpose Project on the border between India and Nepal” (9789188495181,

- 748 Stockholm, 2020), (available at [https://www.siwi.org/wp-](https://www.siwi.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Report_ICWC_HRBA_2020_WEB.pdf)  
749 [content/uploads/2020/07/Report\\_ICWC\\_HRBA\\_2020\\_WEB.pdf](https://www.siwi.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Report_ICWC_HRBA_2020_WEB.pdf)).
- 750 94. K. D. Morell, M. Sandiford, C. P. Rajendran, K. Rajendran, A. Alimanovic, D. Fink, J.  
751 Sanwal, Geomorphology reveals active décollement geometry in the central Himalayan  
752 seismic gap. *Lithosphere*. **7**, 247–256 (2015).
- 753 95. R. E. S. Moss, E. M. Thompson, D. Scott Kieffer, B. Tiwari, Y. M. A. Hashash, I.  
754 Acharya, B. R. Adhikari, D. Asimaki, K. B. Clahan, B. D. Collins, S. Dahal, R. W.  
755 Jibson, D. Khadka, A. Macdonald, C. L. M. Madugo, H. Benjamin Mason, M. Pehlivan,  
756 D. Rayamajhi, S. Uprety, Geotechnical effects of the 2015 Magnitude 7.8 Gorkha,  
757 Nepal, earthquake and aftershocks. *Seismological Research Letters*. **86**, 1514–1523  
758 (2015).
- 759 96. S. P. Sati, S. Sharma, N. Rana, H. Dobhal, N. Juyal, Environmental implications of  
760 Pancheshwar dam in Uttarakhand (Central Himalaya), India. *Current Science*. **116**,  
761 1483–1489 (2019).
- 762 97. Alaknanda Hydro Power Co. Ltd. v Anuj Joshi & Others, *Supreme Court of India. Civil*  
763 *Appeal No. 6736 of 2013*. (2013).
- 764 98. Alaknanda Hydro Power Co. Ltd. v Anuj Joshi & Others, *Supreme Court of India. Reply*  
765 *Affidavit on Behalf of Respondent/State of Uttarakhand to I.A. No. 28979 of 2020* (2020).
- 766 99. D. Mishra, Power Ministry Wanted to Dilute Rules So Hydro Projects Can Release Even  
767 Less Water. *The Wire* (2021), (available at [https://thewire.in/government/power-](https://thewire.in/government/power-ministry-dilute-environmental-flow-rules-so-hydro-projects)  
768 [ministry-dilute-environmental-flow-rules-so-hydro-projects](https://thewire.in/government/power-ministry-dilute-environmental-flow-rules-so-hydro-projects)).
- 769 100. M. J. Froude, D. N. Petley, Global fatal landslide occurrence from 2004 to 2016. *Natural*  
770 *Hazards and Earth System Sciences*. **18**, 2161–2181 (2018).
- 771 101. A. Stäubli, S. U. Nussbaumer, S. K. Allen, C. Huggel, M. Arguello, F. Costa, C.  
772 Hergarten, R. Martínez, J. Soto, R. Vargas, E. Zambrano, M. Zimmermann, in *Climate*  
773 *Change, Extreme Events and Disaster Risk Reduction: Towards Sustainable*  
774 *Development Goals*, S. Mal, R. B. Singh, C. Huggel, Eds. (Springer International  
775 Publishing, Cham, 2018; [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56469-2\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56469-2_2)), *Sustainable*  
776 *Development Goals Series*, pp. 17–41.
- 777 102. A. Pralong, M. Funk, On the instability of avalanching glaciers. *Journal of Glaciology*.  
778 **52**, 31–48 (2006).
- 779 103. M. Van Wyk de Vries, *MaxVWDV/glacier-image-velocimetry: Glacier Image*  
780 *Velocimetry (GIV)* (2021);  
781 [https://zenodo.org/record/4548848/preview/MaxVWDV/glacier-image-velocimetry-](https://zenodo.org/record/4548848/preview/MaxVWDV/glacier-image-velocimetry-v0.8.0.zip)  
782 [v0.8.0.zip](https://zenodo.org/record/4548848/preview/MaxVWDV/glacier-image-velocimetry-v0.8.0.zip)).



- 783 104. M. Van Wyk de Vries, A. D. Wickert, Glacier Image Velocimetry: an open-source  
784 toolbox for easy and rapid calculation of high-resolution glacier velocity fields. *The*  
785 *Cryosphere*, 1–31 (2021).
- 786 105. S. van der Walt, J. L. Schönberger, J. Nunez-Iglesias, F. Boulogne, J. D. Warner, N.  
787 Yager, E. Gouillart, T. Yu, scikit-image: image processing in Python. *PeerJ.* **2**, e453  
788 (2014).
- 789 106. D. E. Shean, O. Alexandrov, Z. M. Moratto, B. E. Smith, I. R. Joughin, C. Porter, P.  
790 Morin, An automated, open-source pipeline for mass production of digital elevation  
791 models (DEMs) from very-high-resolution commercial stereo satellite imagery. *ISPRS*  
792 *Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing.* **116**, 101–117 (2016).
- 793 107. R. A. Beyer, O. Alexandrov, S. McMichael, The Ames Stereo Pipeline: NASA’s open  
794 source software for deriving and processing terrain data. *Earth and Space Science.* **5**,  
795 537–548 (2018).
- 796 108. P. Lacroix, Landslides triggered by the Gorkha earthquake in the Langtang valley,  
797 volumes and initiation processes. *Earth, Planets and Space.* **68** (2016),  
798 doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0423-3.
- 799 109. R. Hoste-Colomer, L. Bollinger, H. Lyon-Caen, L. B. Adhikari, C. Baillard, A. Benoit,  
800 M. Bhattarai, R. M. Gupta, E. Jacques, T. Kandel, B. P. Koirala, J. Letort, K. Maharjan,  
801 R. Matrau, R. Pandey, C. Timsina, Lateral variations of the midcrustal seismicity in  
802 western Nepal: Seismotectonic implications. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters.* **504**,  
803 115–125 (2018).
- 804 110. F. Dammeier, J. R. Moore, F. Haslinger, S. Loew, Characterization of alpine rockslides  
805 using statistical analysis of seismic signals. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth*  
806 *Surface.* **116**, F04024 (2011).
- 807 111. F. Fuchs, W. Lenhardt, G. Bokelmann, the AlpArray Working Group, Seismic detection  
808 of rockslides at regional scale: examples from the Eastern Alps and feasibility of  
809 kurtosis-based event location. *Earth Surface Dynamics.* **6**, 955–970 (2018).
- 810 112. J. Deparis, D. Jongmans, F. Cotton, L. Baillet, F. Thouvenot, D. Hantz, Analysis of rock-  
811 fall and rock-fall avalanche seismograms in the French Alps. *Bulletin of the*  
812 *Seismological Society of America.* **98**, 1781–1796 (2008).
- 813 113. C. Hibert, A. Mangeney, G. Grandjean, N. M. Shapiro, Slope instabilities in Dolomieu  
814 crater, Réunion Island: From seismic signals to rockfall characteristics. *Journal of*  
815 *Geophysical Research: Earth Surface.* **116**, F04032 (2011).
- 816 114. G. Le Roy, A. Helmstetter, D. Amitrano, F. Guyoton, R. L. Roux-Mallouf, Seismic  
817 analysis of the detachment and impact phases of a rockfall and application for estimating  
818 rockfall volume and free-fall height. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface.*  
819 **124**, 2602–2622 (2019).

- 820 115. A. Burtin, L. Bollinger, R. Cattin, J. Vergne, J. L. Nábělek, Spatiotemporal sequence of  
821 Himalayan debris flow from analysis of high-frequency seismic noise. *Journal of*  
822 *Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*. **114** (2009),  
823 doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JF001198.
- 824 116. G. Monsalve, A. Sheehan, V. Schulte-Pelkum, S. Rajaure, M. R. Pandey, F. Wu,  
825 Seismicity and one-dimensional velocity structure of the Himalayan collision zone:  
826 Earthquakes in the crust and upper mantle. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid*  
827 *Earth*. **111** (2006), doi:https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JB004062.
- 828 117. Z. Zhang, S. Klemperer, Crustal structure of the Tethyan Himalaya, southern Tibet: new  
829 constraints from old wide-angle seismic data. *Geophysical Journal International*. **181**,  
830 1247–1260 (2010).
- 831 118. D. Farinotti, M. Huss, J. J. Fürst, J. Landmann, H. Machguth, F. Maussion, A. Pandit, A  
832 consensus estimate for the ice thickness distribution of all glaciers on Earth. *Nature*  
833 *Geoscience*. **12**, 168–173 (2019).
- 834 119. T. H. Assumpção, I. Popescu, A. Jonoski, D. P. Solomatine, Citizen observations  
835 contributing to flood modelling: opportunities and challenges. *Hydrology and Earth*  
836 *System Sciences*. **22**, 1473–1489 (2018).
- 837 120. M. Mergili, J.-T. Fischer, J. Krenn, S. P. Pudasaini, r.avaflow v1, an advanced open-  
838 source computational framework for the propagation and interaction of two-phase mass  
839 flows. *Geoscientific Model Development*. **10**, 553–569 (2017).
- 840 121. M. Mergili, S. P. Pudasaini, *r.avaflow - The mass flow simulation tool* (2020;  
841 https://www.avaflow.org/).
- 842 122. S. P. Pudasaini, M. Mergili, A multi-phase mass flow model. *Journal of Geophysical*  
843 *Research: Earth Surface*. **124**, 2920–2942 (2019).
- 844 123. S. Gascoïn, M. Grizonnet, M. Bouchet, G. Salgues, O. Hagolle, Theia Snow collection:  
845 high-resolution operational snow cover maps from Sentinel-2 and Landsat-8 data. *Earth*  
846 *System Science Data*. **11**, 493–514 (2019).
- 847 124. Uttarakhand DMMC, “List of Missing Persons” (Uttarakhand Disaster Mitigation and  
848 Management Centre (DMMC), Dehradun, 2021).

849  
850 **Acknowledgements:** We acknowledge all the individuals who shared videos, images, and  
851 other ‘on-the-ground’ observations in real-time and soon after the event. These eyewitness  
852 accounts greatly aided our interpretations. This study was coordinated with the IACS and IPA  
853 Standing Group on Glacier and Permafrost Hazards in Mountains (<http://www.gaphaz.org>).  
854 PlanetLabs, Maxar, and CNES provided prioritized satellite tasking and rapid data access and  
855 for that, we are grateful. We thank the NGA EnhancedView Program Management Office for  
856 supporting Level-1B image access under the NextView License and composite DEM release.  
857 Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only does not imply

858 endorsement by the U.S. Government. The views and interpretations in this publication are  
859 those of the authors and are not necessarily attributable to their organizations. We thank three  
860 anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments, which strengthened this paper. Finally,  
861 this paper is dedicated to those who lost their lives in the Chamoli disaster, and those who  
862 remain missing.  
863  
864

865 **Funding:**

- 866 • Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, Government of the Federal Republic of  
867 Germany (AM)
- 868 • Centre National d'Études Spatiales internal funding (EB)
- 869 • Centre National d'Études Spatiales, Programme National de Télédétection Spatiale  
870 PNTS-2018-4 (SG)
- 871 • CIRES Graduate Research Fellowship (MJ)
- 872 • Department of Science and Technology, Government of India (AKumar, KS)
- 873 • European Space Agency CCI programme and EarthExplorer10 4000123681/18/I-  
874 NB, 4000109873/14/I-NB, 4000127593/19/I-NS, 4000127656/19/NL/FF/gp  
875 (AKääb)
- 876 • European Space Agency Glaciers CCI+ 4000127593/19/I-NB (FP)
- 877 • Future Investigators in NASA Earth and Space Science and Technology  
878 80NSSC19K1338 (SB)
- 879 • ICIMOD core funds (JS)
- 880 • Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) 04207-2020 (DHS)
- 881 • NASA Cryosphere 80NSSC20K1442 (UKH, JSK)
- 882 • NASA High Mountain Asia Team (HiMAT-1) 80NSSC19K0653 (UKH, JSK,  
883 DHS)
- 884 • NASA High Mountain Asia Team (HiMAT-2) 80NSSC20K1594 (SR)
- 885 • NASA High Mountain Asia Team (HiMAT-2) 80NSSC20K1595 (DES)
- 886 • NASA Interdisciplinary Research in Earth Science 80NSSC18K0432 (UKH, JSK)
- 887 • Roshydromet R&D Plan, Theme 6.3.2 AAAA-A20-120031990040-7 (MD)
- 888 • Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC) 7F-08954.01.03 (SA, HF,  
889 CH)
- 890 • Swiss National Science Foundation 200020\_179130 (JF)
- 891 • Swiss National Science Foundation, project "Process-based modelling of global  
892 glacier changes (PROGGRES)", Grant Nr. 200021\_184634 (DF)
- 893 • Swiss Federal Excellence Postdoc Award (AS)

894  
895  
896 **Author contributions:** (main author list order preserved for each section): **(1) Writing –**  
897 **original draft:** DHS, MJ, DS, SB, KU, SM, MVWdV, MMergili, AE, EB, JLC, JJC, SAD,  
898 HF, SG, UKH, CH, AKääb, JSK, JLK, PL, DP, SR, ME, DF, JN; **(2) Writing – review &**  
899 **editing:** all authors; **(3) Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis –** satellite-based  
900 geomorphological mapping: DHS, WS, JLC, JJC, MD, SAD, UKH, CH, AKääb, SJC, FP,  
901 MJW; flow modeling: AS, MM, UKH; energy-balance modeling: AKääb, JSK, JLK; DEM  
902 production: DS, SB, CDB, EB, SG; climate, weather, and geology analysis: MJ, DS,

903 MMcDonnell, RB, SA, HF, UKH, JSK, SG, SR, APD, JF, MK, SL, SM, JN, UM, AM, IR,  
904 JS; social and economic impacts: KU, SM, SAD, JSK, MFA, ME; video analysis: AE, FP;  
905 precursory motion: MVWdV, SG, AKääb, MD; seismology: PL, MJ; field mapping: MFA,  
906 AKumar, IR, KS; **(4) Data curation** – DHS, DS, SB, WS, MVWdV, MMergili, CDB,  
907 MMcDonnell, EB, SG, JLK, PL, SR, MJ; **(5) Visualization** – DHS, MJ, DS, SB, WS,  
908 MVWdV, MM, AE, CDB, EB, SG, AKääb, JLK, PL, DF; **(6) Project administration** –  
909 DHS.

910

911 **Competing interests:** Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

912

913 **Data availability:** We used publicly available data sources whenever possible. The  
914 Sentinel-2 data are available from (57). PlanetScope satellite image data are available  
915 through Planet’s Education and Research Program (58). Pre- and post-event very-high  
916 resolution satellite images are available through Maxar’s Open Data Program (59), with  
917 others available via the NGA NextView License. Airbus/CNES (Pléiades) images were  
918 made publicly available through the International Charter: Space and Major Disasters. The  
919 derived DEM Composite data are available from (60, 61). ERA5 data are available from  
920 the Copernicus climate Data Store.

921

922 **Code availability:** The r.avaflow model is available at (62). The r.avaflow code used for  
923 the simulation, the start script, and all of the input data are available at [[insert link when](#)  
924 [available](#)] along with a brief tutorial on how to reproduce the results presented in the paper.

925

## 926 **Supplementary Materials**

927 Supplementary Text

928 Materials and Methods

929 Figs. S1 to S17

930 Tables S1 to S5

931 References (62-124)

932