

# Nitrous acid production and uptake by Zea mays plants in growth chambers in the presence of nitrogen dioxide

Aurélie Marion, Julien Morin, Elena Ormeño, Sylvie Dupouyet, Barbara d'Anna, Séverine Boiry, Henri Wortham

## ▶ To cite this version:

Aurélie Marion, Julien Morin, Elena Ormeño, Sylvie Dupouyet, Barbara d'Anna, et al.. Nitrous acid production and uptake by Zea mays plants in growth chambers in the presence of nitrogen dioxide. Science of the Total Environment, 2022, 806 (2), pp.150696. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150696 . hal-03358833

# HAL Id: hal-03358833 https://hal.science/hal-03358833v1

Submitted on 29 Sep 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Nitrous acid production and uptake by Zea mays plants in growth chambers in the presence of nitrogen dioxide



Aurélie Marion, Julien Morin, Elena Ormeno, Sylvie Dupouyet, Barbara D'Anna, Séverine Boiry, Henri Wortham

PII:	S0048-9697(21)05774-0
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150696
Reference:	STOTEN 150696
To appear in:	Science of the Total Environment
Received date:	5 July 2021
Revised date:	23 September 2021
Accepted date:	26 September 2021

Please cite this article as: A. Marion, J. Morin, E. Ormeno, et al., Nitrous acid production and uptake by Zea mays plants in growth chambers in the presence of nitrogen dioxide, *Science of the Total Environment* (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150696

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier B.V.

# Nitrous acid production and uptake by Zea mays plants in

# growth chambers in the presence of nitrogen dioxide

Aurélie Marion<sup>1\*</sup>, Julien Morin<sup>1</sup>, Elena Ormeno<sup>2</sup>, Sylvie Dupouyet<sup>2</sup>, Barbara D'Anna<sup>1</sup>,

Séverine Boiry<sup>3</sup>, Henri Wortham<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, LCE, Marseille, France

<sup>2</sup>Aix Marseille Univ, Université d'Avignon, IRD, CNRS, IMBE, Marcu<sup>;</sup>Ile, France

<sup>3</sup>Aix Marseille Univ, CEA, CNRS, BIAM, Plateforme PHYTOTEC Saint Paul-Lez-Durance, France F-13108

\*Corresponding author: aurelie.marion@etu.univ-amu.fr

Sund

#### Abstract

Nitrous acid (HONO) photolysis is an important atmospheric reaction that leads to the formation of hydroxyl radicals (OH), the main diurnal atmospheric oxidants. The process of HONO formation remains unclear, and comparisons between field measurements and model results have highlighted the presence of unknown HONO sources. HONO production on plant surfaces was recently suggested to contribute to atmospheric HONO formation, but there is limited information on the quantification of HONO production and uptake by plants. To address this gap in the existing knowledge, the current study investigated HONO exchange on living Zea mays plants. Experiments were conducted in growth chambers under controlled experimental conditions (temperature, relative humian) NO2 mixing ratio, light intensity, CO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio) at temperatures ranging between 283 and 299 K. To investigate the effect of drought on HONO plant-atmosphere exchanges, experiments were carried out on two sets of Zea mays plants exposed to two diffe. int water supply conditions during their growth: optimal watering (70% of the field capacity) and water stress (30% of the field capacity). Results indicated that the uptake of TONO by control Zea mays plants increased linearly with ambient temperature, and was conrelated with CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation for temperatures ranging from 283 to 299 K. At 299 K, LONO production on the leaves offset this uptake and Zea mays plants were a source of HONO, with a net production rate of  $27 \pm 7$  ppt h<sup>-1</sup>. Deposition velocities were higher for HONO than CO<sub>2</sub>, suggesting a higher mesophyll resistance for CO<sub>2</sub> than HONO. As water stress reduced the stomatal opening, it also decreased plant-atmosphere gas exchange. Thus, climate change, which may limit the availability of water, will have an impact on HONO exchange between plants and the atmosphere.

Keywords: HONO, sources, sinks, water-stress, plant-atmosphere exchanges

#### 1. Introduction

Nitrous acid is an atmospheric compound of interest, as its photolysis (Eq. 1) is an important daytime source of hydroxyl radicals:

$$HONO + h\nu \rightarrow OH + NO$$
 (Eq.

1)

Hydroxyl radicals are the main atmospheric oxidants during the day and are key species in photo-oxidative pollution episodes. To predict atmospheric oxidizing capacity, models attempt to simulate HONO sources and sinks. Intercomparison Gudies between model results and field measurements revealed an underestimation of HON 2 concentrations, suggesting the existence of an additional daytime source of atmospheric TCNO (Acker et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2017; Kleffmann et al., 2005; Michoud et ., 2014; Oswald et al., 2015). Among HONO sources (Spataro and Ianniello, 2014) heterogeneous nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) gas reduction in the presence of water is partiau any difficult to quantify, due to the vast range of surfaces found in the environment. This neterogeneous reaction was studied on various organic surfaces, such as humic acids , "thrarobin films and diesel soot (Arens et al., 2002, 2001; Bartels-Rausch et al., 2010; Brigante et al., 2008; Cazoir et al., 2014; George et al., 2005; Han et al., 2017; Stennyler et al., 2007, 2006), while soil surfaces were recently suggested as a HONO source through biotic and abiotic processes (Bhattarai et al., 2018, 2021; Donaldson et al. 2014b; Oswald et al., 2013; Scharko et al., 2015; Stemmler et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2019). The contribution of these processes to the missing HONO source is still under discussion.

Vegetation, due to its large surface area, could represent an important reaction surface on which the heterogeneous conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> to HONO might occur. A field study performed by Oswald *et al.* (2015) reported an unidentified source of atmospheric HONO above the canopy in a forested region with a maximum noontime HONO production rate of 160 ppt  $h^{-1}$ . Furthermore, the same study demonstrated that the contribution of the unknown HONO

source above the canopy was 2.3 times greater than that of the source close to the ground. These results suggest that vegetation surfaces may play a significant role in atmospheric HONO formation. Additional studies indicated that vegetation could either be a source or a sink of HONO, depending on the NO<sub>2</sub> concentration or HONO/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio (Harrison and Kitto, 1994; Stutz, 2002). A very recent laboratory study (Marion et al., 2021) quantified the heterogeneous conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> to HONO on Zea mays leaf surfaces, suggesting that plant surface reactions have to be considered as a potential HONO source. However, these findings were observed on cut leaves, and thus did not provide any incient into biological processes, such as HONO uptake, by stomatal or cuticular deposition incleed, numerous previous studies have shown that plants can absorb trace gases such is ozone, NO<sub>2</sub> and NO (nitrogen monoxide), but also HONO (Ammann et al., 1995; C'hap pro-Suarez et al., 2011; Okano et al., 1988; Schimang et al., 2006; Teklemariam and Cn2.ks, 2005). The phenomenon of trace gas uptake by plants can be described as a erist of resistances reflecting transport through the boundary layer of the leaf surface, the stomata, the mesophyll, and the cuticle (Gut, 2002; Wesely and Hicks, 2000). The mesophyll resistance is the sum of gaseous diffusion resistances in the intercellular vir space and the liquid diffusion from cell walls to the final sites of assimilation (Evan, t al., 2009). In general, the most important parameters influencing gas assimin. ion are the stomatal and mesophyll resistances when the stomata are open, as the cuticle resistance is considered to be negligible (Gessler et al., 2000; Gut, 2002; Thoene et al., 1991). As indicated by Giorgi and Lionello (2008), models on climate change predict future water stress, which will affect plant-atmosphere interactions. Moreover, the presence of a water film on the leaf surface is expected at a RH>70% and may affect leaf uptake, since adsorption will then take place through the film, as previously described in the literature (Burkhardt, 1994; Thoene et al., 1996; Weber and Rennenberg, 1996).

To our knowledge, the only previous study on HONO uptake by plants was conducted in an atmospheric simulation chamber on sunflower, tobacco, castor and birch plants, revealing a significant uptake through stomata (Schimang et al., 2006). Therefore, further investigation in actively growing intact plants is essential to better understand their impact on HONO fluxes in the atmosphere.

The objective of the present study was to determine if *Zea mays* plants were a source of HONO and could contribute to the missing HONO source in the atmosphere. Consequently, HONO production and uptake by living *Zea mays* plants was n vestigated under controlled conditions in growth chambers. The impact of temperature was studied in the presence of NO<sub>2</sub>. During the growth of *Zea mays* plants, two different water treatments were applied to evaluate the impact of water supply on HONO production and uptake.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Chemicals

Information regarding chemicals is av il ible in the supplementary data.

### 2.2. Zea mays plants

## 2.2.1. Preparatic.

Experiments were carried out on hybrid *Zea mays L*. plants (MB866) that were grown for approximately 10 weeks in a greenhouse prior to the start of growth chamber experiments (stage V6 - appearance of a  $6^{th}$  collared leaf). This 10-week period was empirically determined in order to have the highest leaf surface area possible but still allowing the plants to fit in the growth chamber. The substrates used in this study are described in detail in the supplementary information.

To study the impact of drought on HONO production, two sets of *Zea mays* plants were subjected to two different water supply treatments during their growth period. The first set of

plants hereafter referred to as "control plants" received optimal watering (70% of the field capacity, i.e., the maximum amount of water that the substrate can retain), while the second set of plants (the water-stressed plants) corresponded to 30% of the field capacity.

#### 2.2.2. Plant surface areas

Each week, the length and width of both the control and water-stressed *Zea mays* plants was measured in order to determine the leaf surface areas in each of the chambers as per the following equation (Ruget et al., 1996):

$$\mathbf{S}_{1} = 0.75 \times 1 \times \mathbf{w}, \tag{Eq.}$$

2)

where 0.75 is a constant proposed by Ruget et al. (1996),  $S_1$  is the leaf surface area (m<sup>2</sup>), 1 is the maximal leaf length (m), and w is the maximal leaf width (m). The uncertainty was estimated to be approximately 5%.

#### 2.2.3. Storage

As the exposure to various conditions influences the stomatal opening of plants (Raschke, 1970), during the blank measurement, the plants were stored in a specific growth chamber with regulated parameter, as follows: 291 K during the day and 288 K during the night, with a RH of 50% and 60% for the control and water-stressed plants, respectively. During the experiments in the presence of plants, the substrates used for blank experiments were stored in the same conditions as control and water-stressed plants.

#### 2.3. Growth chambers

#### 2.3.1. Experimental setup

The experimental setup is depicted in Figure 1. Experiments were performed in two hermetic growth chambers (air exchange rate < 0.2% per hour) of 0.77 m<sup>3</sup>, hosted by the PHYTOTEC

platform in the CEA Cadarache. The first was dedicated to control plant experiments, while the second was used for water-stressed experiments. Three sides and the floor were made of polished stainless steel, while the front face was made of Plexiglass, and the ceiling was made of glass to allow for irradiation from above. The ceiling was equipped with 6 HQI lamps (OSRAM POWERSTAR HQI-BT 400W/D PRO) which were isolated from the growth chamber's atmosphere by a glass surface.

### 2.3.2. Parameters

The combination of the lamps and the glass provided irradiation from 350 nm. The spectrally resolved actinic flux was recorded for both treatments at 3 different heights (above the plants, at mid-height and at ground level) using a Metcon  $(\tau x)$  ectral radiometer equipped with an electronically-cooled charge-coupled device (CCV) (Figure 2). Control species were large enough to block light at mid-height, where we extra species were smaller, allowing almost the entire light spectrum to reach the ground.

RH in growth chambers was set at  $54^{\circ}$  ( $\pm 2\%$  accuracy), regardless of the temperature. Water supply was ensured by plant e<sup>\*</sup> apol apol and/or humidification of the inflow air, while possible excess water was provented by condensation of water on a double-wall surface where cold water was circul. ed. This cooled surface connected to a 1500 W heating resistance installed at the bottom of the chamber, allowing us to set the temperature between 283 K and 299 K. A larger temperature range was not explored, as 283 K is the lowest temperature required to keep plants alive, while water condensation was observed on both tubes and chamber walls above 299 K. Finally, a ventilation system, consisting of two fans fixed to the top of the chambers, allowed for fast gas homogenization.

#### 2.3.3. HONO background measurement

Previous studies have shown that soils are a complex media which can act as a HONO source through abiotic (e.g.  $NO_2$  reaction with humic acids) and biological processes (especially denitrification) (Bhattarai et al., 2021; Donaldson et al., 2014b; Meusel et al., 2018; Oswald et al., 2013; Scharko et al., 2015; Stemmler et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2019), but also as a HONO sink, depending on mineral soil surface acidity, RH and soil pH, among other factors (Donaldson et al., 2014a). As a result, before each measurement of the plants, a blank experiment was performed in the presence of potted substrate but without Zea mays plants in the chamber in order to determine the background level associated with the experimental conditions. The same substrates and watering treatments v erc used for the blank experiments and the experiments in the presence of plants. A second coperiment was then performed with 16 potted Zea mays plants in the same chamber using up same experimental conditions. Due to the experiment's duration (2 measurement, rer day), replicates were not routinely performed. Nevertheless, four replicates vere carried out in the same chamber at 295 K, 55% RH, and 46 ppb of NO<sub>2</sub> in order to verify that HONO concentration levels were stable throughout the duration of the experiment. The measured error was 2.5%. Further, HONO background concentrations we e similar with and without light (3% difference), indicating that HONO production on the chamber's surfaces was not photolytic. As a result, the background HONO provincion was not significantly affected by the shade of Zea mays plants. Finally, knowing the actinic flux in the chamber and the HONO photolysis rate constant (J<sub>HONO</sub>), the percentage error of the HONO background concentration induced by the shade of the plant was calculated to be less than 5% during one hour of measurement and was therefore negligible.

#### 2.4. Gas fluxes

Gas flows, hereafter referred to as  $F_i$ , were controlled using mass flow controllers ( $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , using Brooks SLA Series, accuracy  $\pm 1\%$ , and  $F_3$  using Bronkhorst, accuracy  $\pm 0.1$  mL).

Synthetic air was continuously injected into the growth chambers through an Alliance air compressor from F-DGSi, coupled with a downstream NOx filter for purification ( $F_2 = 0.9 \text{ Lmin}^{-1}$ ) to offset losses caused by the measuring devices.

In order to simultaneously supply the three analysers positioned downstream of the chambers without disturbing the experiments by increasing the growth chamber air exchange rate, a 1 L min<sup>-1</sup> clean air flow was added to the outgoing air fluxes. The resulting dilution ratio was then considered for the calculation of gaseous concentrations in the growth chambers.

### 2.4.1. CO<sub>2</sub> gas

Despite CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation by plants, concentrations in 'he growth chamber had to remain constant throughout the experiments. Consequently :' was measured online using a CO<sub>2</sub> analyser (Licor LI 840 A), and the concentration wis adjusted via the controlled injection of CO<sub>2</sub> diluted with nitrogen to avoid O<sub>2</sub> errichment of the chamber's atmosphere ([O<sub>2</sub>] ~20%). The injection was carried out with a solenoid value and a gas pressure of 4 bar, allowing for an injection dose of 420  $\mu$ L when the value opens. Its opening frequency was controlled by a regulator, depending on the set value (400 ppm in our case), and this was recorded to quantify CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation by plants. The ratio of CO<sub>2</sub> consumption to the total leaf surface in the chamber was calculated for each experimental condition and plant treatment. If CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations exceeded the set value, the air of the chamber was sent through a CO<sub>2</sub> trap composed of lime before being sent back to the chamber.

#### 2.4.2. N gases

A specific line was dedicated to  $NO_2$  injection, controlled by a mass flow controller (F<sub>1</sub>), which was humidity-free to avoid heterogeneous HONO formation on Teflon tube surfaces (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003; Svensson et al., 1987). At the beginning of the experiments,  $NO_2$ was injected carefully until it reached about 37 ppby, and this concentration was kept constant

throughout the experiment by adjusting the entrance flow. The uncertainty associated with the NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was about 1 ppb.

NO and NOx concentrations were monitored online using a NOx analyser (Eco Physics model CLD88p) associated with a photolytic (metal-halide lamp, 180W) converter (Eco Physics, model PCL 860). This instrument allowed for calculation of NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations by subtracting the NO from NOx concentrations. The detection limit was approximately 50 pptv, with a relative uncertainty of  $\pm$  1%, and an analysis frequency of 1 Hz.

HONO was analyzed online using a Long Path Absorption. Phytometer (LOPAP-QUMA). This instrument has been described in detail by Heland et al. (2001). The detection limit was approximately 2 pptv with an accuracy of  $\pm$  10% and an analysis frequency of 0.1 Hz. Throughout the experiments, HONO concentrations were measured until stable HONO levels were observed for at least 35 min.

## 2.5. Flux calculation and assimil. tion kinetics

### 2.5.1. Air exchange rate

Acetonitrile was used as an next gas tracer to monitor the growth chamber volume air exchange rate. A septum was installed on the inlet air tube to allow for injection into the chambers at the beginning of the experiments. The concentration was monitored online using a high-sensitivity proton-transfer-reaction-mass spectrometer (HS-PTR-MS) (IONICON Analytik) at a mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of 42 amu (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007). The drift temperature, drift voltage, and pressure were set at  $333 \pm 1$  K,  $600 \pm 1$  V and  $2.19 \pm 0.01$  mbar, respectively. These analytical conditions corresponded to an E/N value of 136 Townsend (1 Townsend =  $10^{-17}$  V cm<sup>2</sup>), where E is the electric field (V cm<sup>-1</sup>), and N is the ambient air number density within the drift tube (cm<sup>-3</sup>). The associated detection limit was about 10 pptv ( $\pm$  15% accuracy).

The air renewal constant was calculated as per the following equation:

$$\frac{d[acetonitrile]}{dt} = -k_{AER} \text{ [acetonitrile]}$$
(Eq. 5)

Therefore, 
$$-ln \frac{[acetonitrile]}{[acetonitrile]_0} = k_{AER} t$$
 (Eq. 6)

Where [acetonitrile] is the acetonitrile concentration, [acetonitrile]<sub>0</sub> its initial concentration, and  $k_{AER}$  is the air renewal constant.

#### 2.5.2. HONO concentrations

The variation in HONO concentration due to the presence of plants in the chambers was determined by subtracting the stable HONO concentration measured during the blank experiments, from the stable HONO concentration reasured in the presence of plants. Since the *Zea mays* plants grew during the experiments, and leaf surfaces were very different between the control and water-stressond plants, HONO concentration variations were normalised to the mean leaf surface of control plants (2.18 m<sup>2</sup>) as per the following equations:

$$[HONO]_{plant} = [HONO]_{tot} - [HONO]_{blank}$$
(Eq. 3)

$$[HONO]_{norm.} = [HONO]_{r,ant} \times \frac{S_m}{S_d}$$
(Eq. 4)

where  $[HONO]_{plant}$  is the calculated HONO concentration variation,  $[HONO]_{tot}$  is the concentration of HONO in the presence of plants,  $[HONO]_{blank}$  is the concentration of HONO during blank experiments (in the presence of potted substrate),  $[HONO]_{norm.}$  is the concentration of HONO normalised to the mean leaf surface,  $S_m$  (m<sup>2</sup>) is the mean surface of control plants, and  $S_d$  (m<sup>2</sup>) is the surface of plants (control or water-stressed) during the experiment.

#### 2.5.3. Rate constant of HONO uptake by plants (k<sub>plant</sub>)

The HONO concentration variations can be expressed in terms of HONO sources and sinks in the growth chamber, as previously proposed by Vesin *et al.* (2013) and described in equation 7. HONO consumption by the plant is represented by an apparent rate constant (k<sub>plant</sub>):

$$\frac{d[HONO]_t}{dt} = E_R(leaf) + E_R(soil) + E_R(walls) - (k_{AER} + k_{walls} + J_{HONO} + k_{soil} + k_{plant}) [HONO]_{tot,}$$
(Eq. 7)

where  $E_{R (leaf)}$  is the HONO emission rate of the NO<sub>2</sub> hete ogeneous reaction on the leaf surface (ppb h<sup>-1</sup>),  $E_{R (soil)}$  is the HONO emission rate from the soil (ppb h<sup>-1</sup>),  $E_{R (walls)}$  is the HONO emission rate from the wall surfaces of the chamber (ppb h<sup>-1</sup>),  $k_{AER}$  is the air renewal rate constant (h<sup>-1</sup>),  $k_{walls}$  is the HONO uptobe constant on the wall surfaces (h<sup>-1</sup>),  $J_{HONO}$  is the HONO photolysis rate constant (h<sup>-1</sup>),  $k_{soil}$  is the HONO uptake rate constant on soil (h<sup>-1</sup>),  $k_{plant}$  is the apparent rate constant on 40NO uptake by plants (h<sup>-1</sup>), and [HONO]<sub>tot</sub> is the HONO concentration in the chat. ber (ppb).

Under steady-state conditions, there were no variations in HONO. Moreover, blank experiments allowed  $(s_{1}, s_{1})$  unatify the sum of HONO emission rates from soil and walls  $(E_{R}(soil) + E_{R}(walls))$  as well as the HONO consumption rates via uptake by the soil and walls  $(k_{soil}[HONO]_{tot} + k_{walls}[HONO]_{tot})$ , photolysis  $(J_{HONO}[HONO]_{tot})$  and air renewal  $(k_{AER}[HONO]_{tot})$ . By subtracting HONO concentrations measured during blank experiments from HONO concentrations measured during experiments with plants, and assuming that HONO uptake by walls and soil was not influenced by the presence of plants, equation 7 can be re-formulated as follows:

$$k_{plant} = \frac{E_{R (leaf)} - (k_{AER} + J_{HONO}) [HONO]_{var}}{[HONO]_{tot}}$$

(Eq.

8)

In this equation,  $k_{AER}$  (~0.6 h<sup>-1</sup>) and  $J_{HONO}$  (0.2 h<sup>-1</sup>) are multiplied by [HONO]<sub>var</sub> in order to correct these two parameters, based on the concentration difference between the blank measurement and HONO concentration in the presence of plants. Emission rates of HONO from heterogeneous reactions of NO<sub>2</sub> on leaf surfaces ( $E_R$  (*leaf*)) were calculated from previously conducted laboratory work (Marion et al., 20...) and extrapolated to the normalised leaf surfaces of plants in the chamber. The air renew all rate constant ( $k_{AER}$ ) was determined from the measurement of acetonitrile concentrations over time, while the average  $J_{HONO}$  in the chamber was determined from the three different heights in the chamber.

The uptake rate constant of HONO by  $r^{1}e_{.i}$ ts ( $k_{plant}$ ) was then divided by the leaf surfaces present in the chamber to calculate the constant K2<sub>plant</sub>, normalised by the leaf surfaces.

#### 2.5.4. Emission and devosition velocities of HONO on plant surfaces

For a given atmospheric HONC concentration and plant surface, the HONO deposition flux can be calculated from  $k_{p,nt}$  ecording to the following equation:

$$\Phi_{HONO} = \frac{k_{2plant} \times [HONO]_{tot} \times V \times P \times 10^3}{R \times T \times 3600},$$
(Eq. 9)

where  $\Phi_{HONO}$  is the HONO deposition flux from the atmosphere to the plant (pmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), [HONO]<sub>tot</sub> is the concentration of HONO in the growth chamber (ppb), V is the chamber volume (m<sup>3</sup>), P is the pressure (Pa), R is the universal gas constant (8.314 J K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>), and T is the temperature (K). As a result, the HONO deposition velocity ( $v_{HONO}$ ) is obtained by dividing the deposition flux  $\phi$  by [HONO]<sub>tot</sub> (pmol m<sup>-3</sup>) (Chaparro-Suarez et al., 2011). Similarly, CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation (mol s<sup>-1</sup>) is divided by the plant surface and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration to obtain the CO<sub>2</sub> deposition velocity ( $v_{CO_2}$ ).

As previously described in literature, the HONO net flux can be assimilated to a flux in the mixing layer, considering a homogeneous mixing ratio throughout the air column (Marion et al., 2021; Meusel et al., 2018; Stemmler et al., 2006; Xue et al. 2019). As a result, the HONO net flux ( $HONO_{net flux}$ ) can be expressed as per the following equation:

$$HONO_{net \, flux} \, (ppt \, h^{-1}) = \frac{E_{R(leaf)}S_p - k_{plant}[HONO]V_p N_A}{V_{AC}} \times \frac{R_I}{P_{A} V_A} \times 10^{12}, \tag{Eq.}$$

where [HONO] is the atmospheric HONO concentration (mol m<sup>-3</sup>), V<sub>p</sub> is the volume of atmosphere occupied by the plants (m<sup>3</sup>),  $K_{1}$  is Avogadro's number (6.02 ×10<sup>23</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>), and V<sub>AC</sub> is the air column volume (m<sup>3</sup>). Justice equation,  $E_{R (leaf)}$  is expressed in molecules m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> and k<sub>plant</sub> in h<sup>-1</sup>.

The HONO net fluxes were calculated assuming a HONO concentration of 0.4 ppb, which is in the same order of raginitude as concentrations reported in literature (Laufs et al., 2017), and a plant surface (one side) of 2.83 m<sup>2</sup>, occupying a soil surface of one meter square and a volume of 1 m<sup>3</sup> above ground level that corresponds to the density and developmental stage of the control maize in the growth chambers. A mixing layer height of 300 m was chosen to enable direct comparison with other HONO sources proposed in the literature (Marion et al., 2021; Meusel et al., 2018; Stemmler et al., 2006; Xue et al., 2019).

#### 2.6. Statistical analyses

To perform statistical validation, the data was processed by considering independent measurement points. In order to best meet this requirement, 3 min averages of the HONO concentration were taken every 8 min, which correspond to the estimated time required to completely renew the liquid in the detection cell. At least three successive measurements were used for each experiment after the steady state was reached. Statistical tests were performed using the R software to assess whether HONO concentrations, measured in the presence of plants, were significantly different from the blank concentrations measured in the same chamber and experimental conditions without plants. As the number of HONO measurements were lower than 25, the nonparametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Venimey test was applied. For each test, hypothesis H<sub>0</sub> (identical HONO levels) was accepted if the p-value was over 5% (the risk that the hypothesis is rejected despite being true, and vice-versa).

Linear (least square fitting) and non-linear ( $p_c$ 'vnomial) regression analysis was performed to check for any association between the different tested variables. The associated errors correspond to one standard deviation ( $^{1}\sigma$ ) (Table S1).

#### 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation

The surface area of Zec  $m_{e,r,s}$  leaves increased linearly with time for control plants (slope and correlation coefficient of 0.051 m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> and 0.98, respectively) and water-stressed plants (slope and correlation coefficient of 0.0076 m<sup>2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> and 0.80, respectively) (Figure 3). Nevertheless, control plant surface areas increased more than six times faster than water-stressed plant surface areas. These observations allowed for the accurate estimation of plant surfaces between two weekly measurements. Water-stressed plant surfaces were over six-fold smaller than control plant surfaces, consistent with studies showing that water stress may cause a decrease in plant growth rate (Gomes et al., 2004).

The CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate of control plants exhibited a linear dependence on temperature, ranging between 283 K and 299 K (from  $1.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^5$  to  $2.6 \pm 0.3 \times 10^6 \ \mu g \ C \ h^{-1} \ m^{-2}$ ), while a curvilinear relation was observed for water-stressed plants, with a maximum of  $(1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^5 \ \mu g \ C \ h^{-1} \ m^{-2}$  at 293 K (Figure 4). Plants with the C4 photosynthetic mechanism, such as maize, have an optimal temperature for photosynthesis, above which CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation drops considerably (Yamori et al., 2014). These results therefore suggest that the optimal temperature for photosynthesis is around 293 K for water-stressed plants.

Compared to the control plants, the  $CO_2$  assimilation rates of way r-stressed plants were 1.9 to 3.5 times lower (Figure 4B). In addition, the maximal value was obtained at a temperature close to 293 K. The specific behaviour observed in upse plants was probably due to the increase in temperature, which enhanced water loss, causing a stomatal closure from 293 K. This closure resulted in a decrease in the  $CO_2$  assimilation rate observed from 293 K, and the optimal temperature for photosynthesis was lower than that for control plants (which was higher than 299 K).

Previous work in Zea mays plan. (Raschke, 1970) revealed that, between 288 K and 308 K, the stomatal conductivity of  $C\Omega_2$  was proportional to  $C\Omega_2$  assimilation, indicating that the latter was mainly regulated by stomatal opening. As a result, the  $C\Omega_2$  assimilation rate can be used to estimate variations of stomatal aperture in this temperature range. Previous studies have concluded that water stress triggers stomatal closure and reduces leaf water potential. These processes induce a reduction of  $C\Omega_2$  assimilation and carboxylation, resulting in a decreased rate of photosynthesis and, consequently, growth rate (Basu et al., 2016; Chaves et al., 2003; Gomes et al., 2004; Nemeskéri et al., 2015; Osakabe et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2015).

#### 3.2. HONO assimilation by control Zea mays plants

An increase in HONO concentrations with temperature is observed in blank experiments regardless of the growth chamber used, from  $0.52 \pm 0.07$  ppbv to  $1.41 \pm 0.16$  ppbv, and from  $0.61 \pm 0.02$  ppbv to  $1.42 \pm 0.02$  ppbv (Figure 5A and 5B). In the presence of plants, the HONO concentrations varied depending on the plants (control or water-stressed) installed in the chamber. For control plants, [HONO]<sub>norm.</sub> was positive (+321  $\pm$  80 pptv) at 283 K, meaning that HONO production on leaf surfaces was greater than its assimilation by the plants (Figure 5C). In contrast, [HONO]<sub>norm</sub> was negative at 288 K and 293 K (-135  $\pm$  29 pptv and  $-235 \pm 63$  pptv, respectively), suggesting that the increase in temperature allowed plant assimilation to increase sufficiently to offset the HONO production on the leaf surfaces. The negative values of [HONO]<sub>norm</sub> could be surprising, but the v are a result of a blank subtraction according to equations 3 and 4. A previous study had shown that HONO production on Zea mays leaf surfaces was independent of temper. une from 288 K to 293 K (Marion et al., 2021). As a result, the negative value of [HC NO]<sub>norm</sub> is notably more important, as [HONO]<sub>tot</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation are high, leading to a temperature-dependent increase in the global uptake of HONO by plants. At 299 K [HONO]<sub>norm</sub> was not significantly different from zero. Therefore, HONO production on leaf surfaces is equivalent to the HONO sinks, via plant assimilation. The positive correlation between temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation discussed above (see section 3.1., suggests that stomatal aperture (and likely HONO uptake) increases with temperature. Moreover, [HONO]<sub>tot</sub> was also higher at 299 K, indicative of an increase in HONO sinks from 293 K to 299 K. However, the increase of HONO sinks at 299 K was offset by the greater HONO production on leaf surfaces from 293 K to 299 K, as explained by a previous laboratory study carried out on cut Zea mays leaves (Marion et al., 2021).

The uptake rate constant of HONO by plants ( $k2_{plant}$ ) increased linearly with temperature, from 0.5 ± 0.2 h<sup>-1</sup> at 283 K to 3.8 ± 0.8 h<sup>-1</sup> at 299 K (Figure 6). The comparison between Figures 4A and 6 indicates that, for temperatures between 283 K and 299 K, CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation

and HONO consumption experienced a similar change. These results confirm that HONO consumption is closely related to stomatal aperture, which is in agreement with previous studies showing that the consumption rate of nitrogen-containing species such as NO<sub>2</sub>, NO and HONO were dependent on stomatal aperture (Chaparro-Suarez et al., 2011; Gessler et al., 2000; Schimang et al., 2006; Teklemariam and Sparks, 2005).

HONO deposition velocities varied from a minimum of  $0.12 \pm 0.02$  to a maximum of  $0.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$  at 299 K (Figure 7). To the best of our knowledge, only one study on HONO deposition in plants has previously been published (Schiming et al., 2006). It focused on castor and sunflower plants, and the calculated HONO ceposition velocities were 5 and 10 times higher, respectively, than those evaluated in the present work on *Zea mays*. To extend the comparison to available literature, we investigated the deposition velocities of NO<sub>2</sub>, another gaseous nitrogen oxide, which has been studied in a greater variety of plant species (Figure 8). We observed that NO<sub>2</sub> deposition velocity by maize was slower than those of other reported species, including sunflowers siggesting that the plant-atmosphere exchanges are limited to a greater extent in mail e dean in various other plants (Chaparro-Suarez et al., 2011; Gessler et al., 2002; Schimaling et al., 2006; Teklemariam and Sparks, 2005; Thoene et al., 1996).

### 3.3. Leaf resistance to gas deposition

When the stomata are opened, plants interact with atmospheric gases entering the leaf. The resistance of leaves to trace gas penetration can be described as a series of elemental resistances, namely boundary layer resistance, stomatal resistance, mesophyll resistance and cuticle resistance (Gut, 2002; Wesely and Hicks, 2000). According to the experimental conditions used in the growth chamber, some of these resistances can be reduced and become negligible. Thus, efficient air circulation in the growth chamber reduces the thickness of the boundary layer and its associated resistance. The cuticular resistance is usually too high for

gas absorption to be significant, except in the presence of high gas concentrations (140 ppb) or a relative humidity higher than 70% (Burkhardt, 1994; Thoene et al., 1996; Wellburn, 1990). As HONO consumption by maize plants correlated well with stomatal opening in the present study, we expect the cuticle conductance of HONO to be very low. Indeed, previous studies have shown that the uptake of nitrogen-containing gases (NO<sub>2</sub> and NO) was mainly controlled by stomatal aperture and mesophyll resistance, with the latter being lower when stomatal resistance was higher (Chaparro-Suarez et al., 2011; Gut, 2002; Johansson, 1987; Teklemariam and Sparks, 2005; Thoene et al., 1991). The mechanistic understanding of the NO<sub>2</sub> assimilation process suggests that a disproportionation maction can occur in apoplastic water, leading to nitrate and nitrite formation (Park and Loe, 1988). Moreover, the reaction of nitrogen-containing species with apoplastic antioxid intersuch as ascorbate, was proposed as an alternative pathway (Eller and Sparks,  $200\epsilon$ ; There et al., 1993; Teklemariam and Sparks, 2006). Since HONO is a nitrogen-containing, gas that can form nitrite in the apoplastic water, we can expect a similar result. Thus, order our experimental conditions, both stomatal and mesophyll resistances might impact H ViO consumption by maize.

Usually, the mesophyll resistence to HONO deposition in maize is determined by comparing the measured deposition velocity of HONO with its theoretical deposition velocity through stomata, calculated from the stomatal conductivities to water vapour (assuming no mesophyll resistance). Since stomatal conductivities to water vapour could not be calculated in this study,  $CO_2$  and HONO deposition velocities were used to assess mesophyll resistance to HONO deposition. In the absence of mesophyll resistance, deposition velocities depend only on the diffusion coefficients (Schimang et al., 2006). Thus, considering only the diffusion transfer through stomata, a factor of 1.1 is expected for the deposition velocity ratio between HONO and  $CO_2$ , since the diffusion coefficients are 0.154 cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 0.139 cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Pritchard and Currie, 1982). A higher ratio would imply a lower mesophyll

resistance for HONO than CO<sub>2</sub>, and vice-versa. In the present study, we observed a deposition velocity ratio of up to 2.7 at 299 K (Figure 7), suggestive of a higher mesophyll resistance for CO<sub>2</sub> than HONO. After entering the gaseous intercellular space of the leaf, gases dissolve in the apoplastic water before being assimilated or entering mesophyll cells. Henry's constant of CO<sub>2</sub>  $(3.4 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M atm}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K})$  is lower than that of HONO (49 M atm<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K), suggesting that HONO dissolution would be faster, which might explain the observed differences in deposition velocities in Figure 7 (Park and Lee, 1988; Sander et al., 2015).

#### 3.4. Water-stressed Zea mays plant experiments

Water-stressed plants showed negative normalised HONC concentrations, [HONO]<sub>norm</sub> (-355  $\pm$  217 pptv, -614  $\pm$  231 pptv and -824  $\pm$  277 pptv at 283 K, 288 K and 293 K, respectively). A positive normalised HONC concentration (676  $\pm$  256 pptv) was only observed at an ambient temperature of 29°  $\kappa$  (Figure 5D).

A linear decrease of [HONO]<sub>norm</sub> was observed for water-stressed plants for temperatures ranging from 283 K to 293 K (Figu e 5D). At these temperatures, no variation of HONO formation on leaf surfaces was expected, indicating an increase of HONO sinks and therefore an increase of HONO uptake by plants. As shown in Figure 4B, CO<sub>2</sub> uptake increased from 283 K to 293 K, sugget ting a progressive aperture of the stomata and therefore an increase of HONO consumption. Moreover, a greater [HONO]<sub>tot</sub> also increases HONO uptake by plants. On the other hand, the uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> decreased at 299 K (Figure 4B), likely as a result of stomatal closure to prevent excessive desiccation. HONO uptake is expected to follow a similar pattern. However, at 299 K, heterogeneous HONO formation on leaf surfaces increases drastically and therefore leads to a positive [HONO]<sub>norm</sub>. (Marion et al., 2021).

To predict HONO production on leaf surfaces under climate change conditions, it is of particular interest to compare the behaviour of control and water-stressed plants. At 283 K,

288 K and 293 K, [HONO]<sub>norm</sub> was lower for water-stressed plants than for control plants, indicating an enhanced HONO sink for water-stressed plants. However, [HONO]<sub>tot</sub> in the chambers was quite similar for the two plant treatments evaluated (Figure 5A and 5B), and the CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation (and therefore stomatal opening) of water-stressed plants was lower than that of control plants (Figure 4). As a result, there cannot be more HONO sinks in water-stressed plants than in control plants, and the observed differences in [HONO]<sub>norm</sub> must be accounted for by HONO production on the leaf surfaces. While there is no clear explanation for this phenomenon, water stress might induce modifications of the wax chemical composition, which could in turn lead to lower HONO production on leaf surfaces. Such a modification of wax chemical composition has already usen described in previous literature (Bengtson and Larsson, 1978; Bondada et al., 1996; Din. ppoulos et al., 2020).

#### 3.5. Atmospheric implications

The HONO atmospheric emission rates were calculated as per Eq. 11, assuming an atmospheric HONO concentration of x.<sup>4</sup> ppb, a mixing layer of 300 m and a plant surface (one side) of 2.83 m<sup>2</sup> of leaves per meter square of soil. Under these realistic atmospheric conditions, a low emission rate (maximum  $5 \pm 2$  ppt h<sup>-1</sup>) was evaluated for temperatures ranging between 283 K and 293 K, while greater production  $(27 \pm 7 \text{ ppt h}^{-1})$  was calculated at 299 K. In comparison, previous laboratory work on cut *Zea mays* leaves revealed HONO emission fluxes under atmospheric conditions of  $(79 \pm 17)$  ppt h<sup>-1</sup> at 303 K and  $(171 \pm 23)$  ppt h<sup>-1</sup> at 313 K (Marion et al., 2021) for a plant surface (one side) of 3 m<sup>2</sup>. Considering a plant surface of 2.83 m<sup>2</sup>, HONO emission fluxes would be 74 ppt h<sup>-1</sup> at 303 K and 161 ppt h<sup>-1</sup> at 313 K. Due to partial closure of the stomata, HONO uptake by *Zea mays* plants is expected to significantly decrease beyond the optimal temperature for photosynthesis (around 303 K), therefore leading to an increase of HONO net fluxes which should be closer to emission fluxes on cut leaves. Such HONO fluxes suggest that plants could compete with HONO

production from the soil, estimated at between 0.5 ppt  $h^{-1}$  and 400 ppt  $h^{-1}$  (Marion et al., 2021), and partially contribute to the unknown HONO source.

### 4. Conclusions

The current study revealed that plant physiology plays an important role in HONO behaviour at the leaf surface. Emission at the leaf surface and uptake through the stomata occur simultaneously. The rate constant of HONO uptake by plants ( $k_{plant}$ ) increased linearly with temperature, and was therefore correlated to stomatal opening between 288 K and 299 K. Estimation of the HONO net emission flux from *Zea mays* surfaces showed that there was significant HONO emission of 27 ± 7 ppt h<sup>-1</sup> at 299 K, orgegesting that plants might be a source of HONO in suburban areas during hot summ. r periods, when HONO formation at the leaf surface is increased, and uptake decreases signaficantly. Water stress caused a decrease in stomatal opening and, compared to control prime led to a reduction in HONO uptake through the stomata. Based on the results of this study, emission at the leaf surface and NO<sub>2</sub> heterogeneous conversion to HON *J* h ight also be influenced by drought. Therefore, we expect climate change to have au impact on HONO net emission fluxes from plants.

## Acknowledgements

We thank ADEME for funding the project HONO-CORN, included in the PRIMEQUAL program. We thank INRA (Gif sur Yvette) and the association Pro-Maïs for providing the *Zea mays* seeds. We also thank the members of the PHYTOTEC platform for their help and support with growth chamber experiments carried out at the CEA of Cadarache.

#### References

- Acker, K., Möller, D., Wieprecht, W., Meixner, F.X., Bohn, B., Gilge, S., Plass-Dülmer, C., Berresheim,
   H., 2006. Strong daytime production of OH from HNO<sub>2</sub> at a rural mountain site. Geophysical
   Research Letters 33, L02809. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL024643
- Ammann, M., Stalder, M., Suter, M., Brunold, C., Baltensperger, U., Jost, D.T., Türler, A., Gäggeler, H.W., 1995. Tracing uptake and assimilation of NO<sub>2</sub> in spruce needles with <sup>13</sup> N. Journal of Experimental Botany 46, 1685–1691. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/46.11.1685
- Arens, F., Gutzwiller, L., Baltensperger, U., Gäggeler, H.W., Ammann, M., 2001. Heterogeneous reaction of NO<sub>2</sub> on diesel soot particles. Environmental Science & Technology 35, 2191–2199. https://doi.org/10.1021/es000207s
- Arens, F., Gutzwiller, L., Gäggeler, H.W., Ammann, M., 2002. The reaction of NO<sub>2</sub> with solid anthrarobin (1,2,10-trihydroxy-anthracene). Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 4, 3684– 3690. https://doi.org/10.1039/b201713j
- Bartels-Rausch, T., Brigante, M., Elshorbany, Y.F., Ammann, M., D'Anna, B., George, C., Stemmler, K., Ndour, M., Kleffmann, J., 2010. Humic acid in ice: Photo-enhanced conversion of nitrogen dioxide into nitrous acid. Atmospheric Environment 44, 5443 - 7450. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.12.025
- Basu, S., Ramegowda, V., Kumar, A., Pereira, A., 2016. Plant adaptator. to drought stress. F1000Research 5, 1554. https://doi.org/10.12688/f10C Drest arch.7678.1
- Bengtson, C., Larsson, S., 1978. Effects of Water Stress on Cu<sup>+</sup>icular Transpiration Rate and Amount and Composition of Epicuticular Wax in Seedlings on Cix Oat Varieties. Physiologia Plantarum 319–324. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.15.78.±01630.x
- Bhattarai, H.R., Virkajärvi, P., Yli-Pirilä, P., Maljanen, M., 1018. Emissions of atmospherically important nitrous acid (HONO) gas from not there grassland soil increases in the presence of nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>). Agriculture, Ecosystems & E<sup>+</sup> vironment 256, 194–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018 J1. 117
- Bhattarai, H.R., Wanek, W., Siljanen, H.M.P., Colkainen, J.G., Liimatainen, M., Hu, Y., Nykänen, H., Biasi, C., Maljanen, M., 2021. Denitrification is the major nitrous acid production pathway in boreal agricultural soils. Commun Eurth Environ 2, 54. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00125-7
- Bondada, B.R., Oosterhuis, D.M., Murphy, J.B., Kim, K.S., 1996. Effect of water stress on the epicuticular wax composition and ultrastructure of cotton (Grossrpium hirsutum L.) leaf, bract, and boll. Environmental and Experimental Botany 36, 61–69.
- Brigante, M., Cazoir, D., D'Anna, ь. George, C., Donaldson, D.J., 2008. Photoenhanced Uptake of NO<sub>2</sub> by Pyrene Solid Films in a journal of Physical Chemistry A 112, 9503–9508. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp802324g
- Burkhardt, J., 1994. Thin water films on coniferous needles (With an Appendix "A new device for the study of water vanour condensation and gaseous deposition to plant surfaces and particle samples"). Atmospheric Environment 28, 2001–2017. https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(94)90469-3
- Cazoir, D., Brigante, M., Ammar, R., D'Anna, B., George, C., 2014. Heterogeneous photochemistry of gaseous NO₂ on solid fluoranthene films: A source of gaseous nitrous acid (HONO) in the urban environment. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry 273, 23–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2013.07.016
- Chaparro-Suarez, I.G., Meixner, F.X., Kesselmeier, J., 2011. Nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) uptake by vegetation controlled by atmospheric concentrations and plant stomatal aperture. Atmospheric Environment 45, 5742–5750. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.021
- Chaves, M.M., Maroco, J.P., Pereira, J.S., 2003. Understanding plant responses to drought from genes to the whole plant. Functional Plant Biology 30, 239. https://doi.org/10.1071/FP02076
- Dimopoulos, N., Tindjau, R., Wong, D.C.J., Matzat, T., Haslam, T., Song, C., Gambetta, G.A., Kunst, L., Castellarin, S.D., 2020. Drought stress modulates cuticular wax composition of the grape berry. Journal of Experimental Botany 71, 3126–3141. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eraa046

- Donaldson, M.A., Berke, A.E., Raff, J.D., 2014a. Uptake of gas phase nitrous acid onto boundary layer soil surfaces. Environmental Science & Technology 48, 375–383. https://doi.org/10.1021/es404156a
- Donaldson, M.A., Bish, D.L., Raff, J.D., 2014b. Soil surface acidity plays a determining role in the atmospheric-terrestrial exchange of nitrous acid. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 18472–18477. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1418545112
- Eller, A.S.D., Sparks, J.P., 2006. Predicting leaf-level fluxes of O<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>: the relative roles of diffusion and biochemical processes. Plant, Cell and Environment 29, 1742–1750. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2006.01546.x
- Evans, J.R., Kaldenhoff, R., Genty, B., Terashima, I., 2009. Resistances along the CO2 diffusion pathway inside leaves. Journal of Experimental Botany 60, 2235–2248. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erp117
- Finlayson-Pitts, B.J., Wingen, L.M., Sumner, A.L., Syomin, D., Ramazan, K.A., 2003. The heterogeneous hydrolysis of NO<sub>2</sub> in laboratory systems and in outdoor and indoor atmospheres: an integrated mechanism. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 5, 723–242. https://doi.org/10.1039/b208564j
- George, C., Strekowski, R.S., Kleffmann, J., Stemmler, K., Ammann, M., 2005. Photoenhanced uptake of gaseous NO 2 on solid organic compounds: a photoc. emi al source of HONO? Faraday Discussions 130, 195. https://doi.org/10.1039/b4172 <sup>3</sup>8m
- Gessler, A., Rienks, M., Rennenberg, H., 2002. Stomatal ur take and cuticular adsorption contribute to dry deposition of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> to needles of adult corrace (Picea abies) trees. New Phytologist 156, 179–194. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-81.37.2c02.00509.x
- Gessler, A., Rienks, M., Rennenberg, H., 2000. NH<sub>3</sub> and N O<sub>2</sub> fluxes between beech trees and the atmosphere correlation with climatic and physiological parameters. New Phytologist 147, 539–560. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.<sup>1</sup> +6: -8127.2000.00712.x
- Giorgi, F., Lionello, P., 2008. Climate change rejections for the Mediterranean region. Global and Planetary Change 63, 90–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2007.09.005
- Gomes, M. de M. de A., Lagôa, A.M.M.A., Mondina, C.L., Machado, E.C., Machado, M.A., 2004. Interactions between leaf wate or polantial, stomatal conductance and abscisic acid content of orange trees submitted to drange trees. Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology 16, 155–161. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1.77-04202004000300005
- Gut, A., 2002. Exchange fluxes of 1. O 2 and O 3 at soil and leaf surfaces in an Amazonian rain forest. Journal of Geophysical Re. arch 107, 8060. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000654
- Han, C., Yang, W., Yang, H., Xue, Y, 2017. Influences of O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> on the heterogeneous photochemical reaction of NO<sub>2</sub> with humic acids. Atmospheric Environment 152, 77–84. https://doi.org/101016/j.atmosenv.2016.12.027
- Harrison, R.M., Kitto, A.-M. ., 1994. Evidence for a surface source of atmospheric nitrous acid. Atmospheric Environment 28, 1089–1094. https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(94)90286-0
- Heland, J., Kleffmann, J., Kurtenbach, R., Wiesen, P., 2001. A new instrument to measure gaseous nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmosphere. Environmental Science & Technology 35, 3207–3212. https://doi.org/10.1021/es000303t
- Huang, R.-J., Yang, L., Cao, J., Wang, Q., Tie, X., Ho, K.-F., Shen, Z., Zhang, R., Li, G., Zhu, C., Zhang, N., Dai, W., Zhou, J., Liu, S., Chen, Y., Chen, J., O'Dowd, C.D., 2017. Concentration and sources of atmospheric nitrous acid (HONO) at an urban site in Western China. Science of The Total Environment 593–594, 165–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.02.166
- Johansson, C., 1987. Pine forest: a negligible sink for atmospheric NO <sub>x</sub> in rural Sweden. Tellus B 39B, 426–438. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.1987.tb00204.x
- Kleffmann, J., Gavriloaiei, T., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Koppmann, R., Rupp, L., Schlosser, E., Siese, M., Wahner, A., 2005. Daytime formation of nitrous acid: A major source of OH radicals in a forest. Geophysical Research Letters 32, L05818. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL022524

- Laufs, S., Cazaunau, M., Stella, P., Kurtenbach, R., Cellier, P., Mellouki, A., Loubet, B., Kleffmann, J., 2017. Diurnal fluxes of HONO above a crop rotation. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 17, 6907–6923. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-6907-2017
- Marion, A., Morin, J., Gandolfo, A., Ormeño, E., D'Anna, B., Wortham, H., 2021. Nitrous acid formation on Zea mays leaves by heterogeneous reaction of nitrogen dioxide in the laboratory. Environmental Research 193, 110543. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.110543
- Meusel, H., Tamm, A., Kuhn, U., Wu, D., Leifke, A.L., Fiedler, S., Ruckteschler, N., Yordanova, P., Lang-Yona, N., Pöhlker, M., Lelieveld, J., Hoffmann, T., Pöschl, U., Su, H., Weber, B., Cheng, Y., 2018. Emission of nitrous acid from soil and biological soil crusts represents an important source of HONO in the remote atmosphere in Cyprus. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 18, 799–813. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-799-2018
- Michoud, V., Colomb, A., Borbon, A., Miet, K., Beekmann, M., Camredon, M., Aumont, B., Perrier, S., Zapf, P., Siour, G., Ait-Helal, W., Afif, C., Kukui, A., Furger, M., Dupont, J.C., Haeffelin, M., Doussin, J.F., 2014. Study of the unknown HONO daytime source at a European suburban site during the MEGAPOLI summer and winter field campaigns. Att. ospheric Chemistry and Physics 14, 2805–2822. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-28ucci014
- Nemeskéri, E., Molnár, K., Vígh, R., Nagy, J., Dobos, A., 2015. Relationships between stomatal behaviour, spectral traits and water use and product. vity of green peas (Pisum sativum L.) in dry seasons. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum 37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-015-1776-0
- Okano, K., Machida, T., Totsuka, T., 1988. Absorption of a morpheric NO<sub>2</sub> by several herbaceous species: estimation by the <sup>15</sup>N dilution method. New Phytologist 109, 203–210. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1988.toC3709.x
- Osakabe, Y., Osakabe, K., Shinozaki, K., Tran, L.-S. 2014. Response of plants to water stress. Frontiers in Plant Science 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00086
- Oswald, R., Behrendt, T., Ermel, M., Wu, D., Jund, Cheng, Y., Breuninger, C., Moravek, A., Mougin, E., Delon, C., Loubet, B., Pommerening-Rosel, A., Sorgel, M., Poschl, U., Hoffmann, T., Andreae, M.O., Meixner, F.X., Trebs, I., 2013. HONO emissions from soil bacteria as a major source of atmospheric reactive nitrogen. Science 341, 1233–1235. https://doi.org/10.1126/science...242266
- Oswald, R., Ermel, M., Hens, K., Novalli, A., Ouwersloot, H.G., Paasonen, P., Petäjä, T., Sipilä, M., Keronen, P., Bäck, J., Könibsteac, R., Hosaynali Beygi, Z., Fischer, H., Bohn, B., Kubistin, D., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Miliams, J., Hoffmann, T., Trebs, I., Sörgel, M., 2015. A comparison of HONO budgets for two measurement heights at a field station within the boreal forest in Finland. Atmospheric Clemistry and Physics 15, 799–813. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-799-2015
- Park, J.Y., Lee, Y.N., 1988. Sc lubility and decomposition kinetics of nitrous acid in aqueous solution. The Journal of Physical Chemistry 92, 6294–6302. https://doi.org/10.1021/j100333a025
- Pritchard, D.T., Currie, J.A., 1982. Diffusion of coefficients of carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, ethylene and ethane in air and their measurement. Journal of Soil Science 33, 175–184. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1982.tb01757.x
- Ramge, P., Badeck, F.-W., Plochl, M., Kohlmaier, G.H., 1993. Apoplastic antioxidants as decisive elimination factors within the uptake process of nitrogen dioxide into leaf tissues. New Phytologist 125, 771–785. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1993.tb03927.x
- Raschke, K., 1970. Temperature Dependence of CO<sub>2</sub> Assimilation and Stomatal Aperture in Leaf Sections of Zea mays. Planta 91, 336–363.
- Ruget, F., Bonhomme, R., Chartier, M., 1996. Estimation simple de la surface foliaire de plantes de maïs en croissance. Agronomie 16, 553–562. https://doi.org/10.1051/agro:19960903
- Sander, S.P., Abbatt, J., Barker, J.R., Burkholder, J.B., Friedl, R.R., Golden, D.M., Huie, R.E., Kolb, C.E., Kurylo, M.J., Moortgat, G.K., Orkin, V.L., Wine, P.H., 2015. Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies. JPL Publication 10-6 1392.

- Scharko, N.K., Schütte, U.M.E., Berke, A.E., Banina, L., Peel, H.R., Donaldson, M.A., Hemmerich, C., White, J.R., Raff, J.D., 2015. Combined flux chamber and genomics approach links nitrous acid emissions to ammonia oxidizing bacteria and archaea in urban and agricultural soil. Environmental Science & Technology 49, 13825–13834. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b00838
- Schimang, R., Folkers, A., Kleffmann, J., Kleist, E., Miebach, M., Wildt, J., 2006. Uptake of gaseous nitrous acid (HONO) by several plant species. Atmospheric Environment 40, 1324–1335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.10.028
- Spataro, F., Ianniello, A., 2014. Sources of atmospheric nitrous acid: State of the science, current research needs, and future prospects. Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association 64, 1232–1250. https://doi.org/10.1080/10962247.2014.952846
- Stemmler, K., Ammann, M., Donders, C., Kleffmann, J., George, C., 2006. Photosensitized reduction of nitrogen dioxide on humic acid as a source of nitrous acid. Nature 440, 195–198. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04603
- Stutz, J., 2002. Nitrous acid formation in the urban atmosphere: Gradient measurements of NO<sub>2</sub> and HONO over grass in Milan, Italy. J. Geophys. Res. 1.07, 8192. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000390
- Svensson, R., Ljungström, E., Lindqvist, O., 1987. Kinetics of the reaction between nitrogen dioxide and water vapour. Atmospheric Environmer t 7.1, 1529–1539. https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(87)90315-5
- Teklemariam, T., Sparks, J., 2005. Leaf fluxes Jt 1 O a. d NO<sub>2</sub> in four herbaceous plant species: The role of ascorbic acid. Atmospheric E1. ir Jnment 40, 2235–2244. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosonv.200\_.12.010
- Thoene, B., Rennenberg, H., Weber, P., 1950 Absorption of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> by spruce (Picea abies) trees. II. Parameterization of N J<sub>2</sub> Access by controlled dynamic chamber experiments. New Phytologist 134, 257–266. https://coi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1996.tb04630.x
- Thoene, B., Schroder, P., Papen, H., Teger, A., Rennenberg, H., 1991. Absorption of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> by spruce (Picea abies L. Norst.) trees. I. NO<sub>2</sub> influx and its correlation with nitrate reduction. New Phytologist 117, 575–585. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1991.tb00962.x
- Vesin, A., Quivet, E., Temime-Roursel, B., Wortham, H., 2013. Indoor transfluthrin concentration levels during and a term he application of electric vaporizers using a Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometer. Atmospheric Environment 65, 123–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.10.021
- Weber, P., Rennenberg, H., 1996. Exchange of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> between wheat canopy monoliths and the atmosphere. Plant and Soil 180, 197–208. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00015303
- Wellburn, A.R., 1990. Tansley Review No. 24 Why are atmospheric oxides of nitrogen usually phytotoxic and not alternative fertilizers? New Phytologist 115, 395–429. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1990.tb00467.x
- Wesely, M., Hicks, B.B., 2000. A review of the current status of knowledge on dry deposition. Atmospheric Environment 34, 2261–2282. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00467-7
- Wu, D., Horn, M.A., Behrendt, T., Müller, S., Li, J., Cole, J.A., Xie, B., Ju, X., Li, G., Ermel, M., Oswald, R., Fröhlich-Nowoisky, J., Hoor, P., Hu, C., Liu, M., Andreae, M.O., Pöschl, U., Cheng, Y., Su, H., Trebs, I., Weber, B., Sörgel, M., 2019. Soil HONO emissions at high moisture content are driven by microbial nitrate reduction to nitrite: tackling the HONO puzzle. The ISME Journal 13, 1688–1699. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0379-y
- Xue, C., Ye, C., Zhang, Y., Ma, Z., Liu, P., Zhang, C., Zhao, X., Liu, J., Mu, Y., 2019. Development and application of a twin open-top chambers method to measure soil HONO emission in the

North China Plain. Science of The Total Environment 659, 621–631.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.245

- Yamori, W., Hikosaka, K., Way, D.A., 2014. Temperature response of photosynthesis in C3, C4, and CAM plants: temperature acclimation and temperature adaptation. Photosynthesis Research 119, 101–117. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-013-9874-6
- Zhao, W., Sun, Y., Kjelgren, R., Liu, X., 2015. Response of stomatal density and bound gas exchange in leaves of maize to soil water deficit. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum 37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-014-1704-8



Figure 1: Experimental set up of experiments in growth chamvers



Figure 2: Average irradiance cf is min measurement at three heights in presence of control plants (A) and water-stressed plants (B)



Figure 3: Linear correlations between leaf surface **areas** of control and water stressed *Zea* mays plants vs time, where "0" is the first day plants were put in the growth chambers and 23 the last day,  $\mathbf{p}_{control} = 0.01$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_{water-stressed} = 0.1$ 



Figure 4: CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate per leaf surface versus temperature for control Zea mays plants (A,  $\mathbf{p} = 0.02$ ) and water-stressed Zea mays plants (B)



Figure 5: Differences between HONO concentrations between potted substrate alone (blank) and both, control *Zea mays* plants (A) and water-stressed plants (B) and the corresponding emission or uptake of HONO by control plants (C) and water-stressed plant (D) adjusted at the same plant surface. \* denotes statistical significant differences (p<0.05) between blank and plant-experiments



Figure 6: Rate constant of HONO uptake by the plants ( $h^{-1}$  m<sup>-2</sup>) a a function of temperature (K), **p** = 0.002



Figure 7: Measured deposition velocities for HONO (**p=0.002**), and CO<sub>2</sub> (**p=0.02**), depending on temperature



Figure 8: Deposition velocities for HONO and NO<sub>2</sub> on d fferent plant species ((1) this study; (2) Schimang et al., 2006; (3) Teklemariam and Spark, 2005; (4) Chaparro-Suarez et al., 2011; (5) Thoene et al., 1996)

Succession

## **Declaration of interests**

 $\boxtimes$  The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

### Credit author statment

Aurélie Marion: Investigation, Formal analysis, Writing - original draft

Julien Morin: Investigation, Writing-Review and Editing

Elena Ormeno: Investigation, Writing-Review and Editing

Sylvie Dupouyet: Resources

Barbara D'Anna: Writing-Review and Editing

Séverine Boiry : Resources

Henri Wortham: Conceptualization, Writing-Review and Editing

## Graphical abstract



Highlights

- HONO uptake by Zea mays increases linearly with temperature from 283 to 299 K
- Water stress reduces stomatal opening (and therefore HONO uptake) in Zea mays
- In the presence of NO<sub>2</sub>, Zea mays produced 27 ppt h<sup>-1</sup> of HONO at 299 K
- Vegetation surfaces may partially contribute to the unknown HONO source