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# NAKAI-MOISHEZON CRITERION FOR ADELIC $\mathbb{R}$-CARTIER DIVISORS 

FRANÇOIS BALLAŸ


#### Abstract

We prove a Nakai-Moishezon criterion for adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors, which is an arithmetic analogue of a theorem of Campana and Peternell. Our main result answers a question of Burgos Gil, Philippon, Moriwaki and Sombra. We deduce it from the case of adelic Cartier divisors (due to Zhang) by continuity arguments and reductions involving a generalization of Zhang's theorem on successive minima.


## 1. Introduction

In algebraic geometry, the Nakai-Moishezon criterion asserts that a Cartier divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ on a projective variety $X$ over an algebraically closed field is ample if and only if $D^{\operatorname{dim} Y} \cdot Y>0$ for every subvariety $Y \subseteq X$. By a theorem of Campana and Peternell [CP90], this statement remains valid when $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}=\operatorname{Div}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor. In [Zha95a], Zhang started the study of arithmetic ampleness in the context of Arakelov geometry, and proved an arithmetic Nakai-Moishezon criterion for adelic metrized line bundles ([Zha95a, Theorem 4.2]). Our purpose is to extend this result to adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors (in the sense of Moriwaki [Mor16]), thus proving an arithmetic analogue of Campana and Peternell's theorem.

Let $X$ be a normal and geometrically integral projective scheme over a number field $K$. An adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v}\right)$ on $X$ is a pair consisting of a $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a suitable collection of Green functions $\left(g_{v}\right)_{v}$ on the analytifications $X_{v}^{\text {an }}$ of $X$, where $v$ runs over the set of places of $K$ (see Definition 3.1). The set $\widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ of adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors is a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space; it contains the set of adelic Cartier divisors $\widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)$, defined by

$$
\widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)=\left\{\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}} \mid D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)\right\} \subseteq \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}
$$

To any adelic Cartier divisor $\bar{D} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)$ we can associate an adelic metrized line bundle $\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(D),\left(\|\cdot\|_{v}^{\bar{D}}\right)_{v}\right)$ in the sense of Zhang [Zha95b], and a global section $s \in H^{0}(X, D)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ is called strictly small if $\sup _{x \in X_{v}^{\text {an }}}\|s\|_{v}^{\bar{D}}(x) \leq 1$ for every place $v$, with strict inequality at archimedean places. We say that an adelic $\mathbb{R}$ Cartier divisor $\bar{D}$ is ample if it is semi-positive (see Definition 3.4) and if it can be written as a finite sum

$$
\bar{D}=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i} \bar{A}_{i}
$$

where for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\bar{A}_{i}=\left(A_{i},\left(g_{i, v}\right)_{v}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)$ is an adelic Cartier divisor such that $A_{i} \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ is ample and $H^{0}\left(X, m A_{i}\right)$ has a $K$-basis consisting of strictly small sections for every $m \gg 1$. This definition of ampleness for adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors coincides with the one used in [BGMPS16] (see Remark 6.5). For any semi-positive $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and for any
subvariety $Y \subseteq X$, we denote by $h_{\bar{D}}(Y)$ the height of $Y$ with respect to $\bar{D}$ (see section 3.2). The main result in this paper is the following (see Corollary 6.4).
Theorem 1.1. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v}\right)$ be a semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$. Then $\bar{D}$ is ample if and only if $h_{\bar{D}}(Y)>0$ for every subvariety $Y \subseteq X$.

This theorem gives an affirmative answer to a question of Burgos Gil, Moriwaki, Philippon and Sombra [BGMPS16, Remark 3.21]. To our knowledge, it was known only under one of the following additional assumptions up to now:

- $\bar{D}$ is an adelic Cartier divisor (Zhang's arithmetic Nakai-Moishezon criterion [Zha95a, Theorem 4.2], [Mor15, Corollary 5.1], [CM18, Theorem 1.2]);
- $\bar{D}$ is a toric metrized $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor ([BGMPS16, Corollary 6.3]);
- $X$ has dimension one ([Iko21, Corollary A.4]).

Given a semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v}\right)$ on $X$ and a subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{D}(Y):=D^{\operatorname{dim} Y} \cdot Y \neq 0$, the normalized height of $Y$ with respect to $\bar{D}$ is defined by

$$
\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)=\frac{h_{\bar{D}}(Y)}{(\operatorname{dim} Y+1) \operatorname{deg}_{D}(Y)} .
$$

We also let $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})=\inf _{x \in X(\bar{K})} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x)$. The following theorem is our second main result, which plays an important role in this paper and might be of independent interest.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v}\right)$ be a semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$. If $D$ is ample, there exists a subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ such that

$$
\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})=\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)=\min _{Z \subseteq X} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Z)
$$

where the minimum is over the subvarieties $Z \subseteq X$.
In other words, the infimum of the normalized heights of subvarieties $Z \subseteq X$ is attained at a subvariety $Y$, which moreover satisfies $\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)=\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})$. Our proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on Zhang's theorem on successive minima [Zha95a, Theorem 5.2]. Although the latter does not appear in the literature for adelic $\mathbb{R}$ Cartier divisors, we shall prove that it remains valid in this context thanks to a continuity property for successive minima (see Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.3). This approach also provides additional information on the subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ of Theorem 1.2 (see Theorem 5.1). Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is very direct, and goes roughly as follows. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be semi-positive, with $D$ ample. Given a real number $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we define an adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\bar{D}(t)$ by rescaling the metrics at archimedean places to have $\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}(t)}(Y)=\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)-t$ for every subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ (see Definition 3.3 and Lemma 3.7). In view of Theorem 1.2, it suffices to prove that

$$
\sup \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \bar{D}(t) \text { is ample }\}=\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})
$$

We denote by $\theta(\bar{D})$ the supremum on the left hand side. We first observe that Zhang's arithmetic Nakai-Moishezon criterion [Zha95a, Theorem 4.2] implies that $\theta(\bar{D})=\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})$ provided that $\bar{D}$ is an adelic Cartier divisor. We simply deduce the general case (Theorem 6.1) by slightly perturbing $\bar{D}$ and by applying a continuity property for the invariants $\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})$ and $\theta(\bar{D})$ (see Lemmas 4.1 and 6.2).

Organization of the paper. We fix some notation in section 2. In section 3 we recall the definition of adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors and of height of subvarieties. We also study some basic properties of ample adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors. We define successive minima in section 4 , and we establish a continuity property allowing us to extend Zhang's theorem on minima to adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors (Lemma 4.1 and

Theorem 4.3). We prove Theorem 1.2 in section 5 (Theorem 5.1) and Theorem 1.1 in section 6 (Corollary 6.4).

## 2. Conventions and terminology

2.1. We say that a scheme is integral if it is reduced and irreducible. Given a Noetherian integral scheme $X$, we denote by $\operatorname{Div}(X)$ the group of Cartier divisors on $X$ and by $\operatorname{Rat}(X)$ the field of rational functions on $X$. If $\mathbb{K}$ denotes $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{R}$, we let $\operatorname{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{K}}=\operatorname{Div}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{K}$. The elements of $\operatorname{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{K}}$ are called $\mathbb{K}$-Cartier divisors on $X$. If $X$ is normal, we denote by $\operatorname{Supp} D$ the support of a $\mathbb{K}$-Cartier divisor $D$ (see [Mor16, section 1.2] for details). It is a Zariski-closed subset of $X$. We let $(\phi)$ be the Cartier divisor associated to a rational function $\phi \in \operatorname{Rat}(X)^{\times}$.
2.2. Let $X$ be a projective variety over a field $K$, i.e. $X$ is an integral projective scheme on Spec $K$. A subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ is an integral closed subscheme of $X$. Given an integer $r \in\{0, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} X\}$, a $r$-cycle is a formal linear combination with integer coefficients of $r$-dimensional subvarieties in $X$. Given a $\mathbb{K}$-Cartier divisor $D$ on $X$, we define the degree of a $r$-cycle $Z$ with respect to $D$ by $\operatorname{deg}_{D}(Z)=D^{\operatorname{dim} Z} \cdot Z$. In particular, if $x \in X(\bar{K})$ is a closed point (considered as a subvariety of $X$ ), then $\operatorname{deg}_{D}(\{x\})=[K(x): K]$ is the degree over $K$ of the residue field $K(x)$ of $x \in X$.
2.3. Throughout this text, we fix a number field $K$ and an algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of $K$. We denote by $\Sigma_{K}$ the set of places of $K$ and by $\Sigma_{K, \infty} \subset \Sigma_{K}$ the set of archimedean places. For each $v \in \Sigma_{K}$, we let $K_{v}$ be the completion of $K$ with respect to $v$ and we denote by $|\cdot|_{v}$ the unique absolute value on $K_{v}$ extending the usual absolute value $|\cdot|_{v}$ on $\mathbb{Q}_{v}:|p|_{v}=p^{-1}$ if $v$ is a non-archimedean place over a prime number $p$, and $\left|.\left.\right|_{v}=|\right.$.$| is the usual absolute value on \mathbb{R}$ if $v$ is archimedean.
2.4. Let $X$ be a scheme on $\operatorname{Spec} K$. For each $v \in \Sigma_{K}$, we let $X_{v}=X \times_{K} \operatorname{Spec} K_{v}$ be the base change of $X$ to $K_{v}$, and we denote by $X_{v}^{\text {an }}$ the anylitification of $X_{v}$ in the sense of Berkovich (see [Mor16, section 1.3] for a short introduction). Given a closed point $x \in X_{v}$, we let $x^{\text {an }} \in X_{v}^{\text {an }}$ be the point corresponding to the unique absolute value on $K_{v}(x)$ extending $|\cdot|_{v}$.
2.5. Let $X$ be a normal projective variety on $\operatorname{Spec} K$. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}, v \in \Sigma_{K}$ and let $D_{v} \in \operatorname{Div}\left(X_{v}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the pullback of $D$ to $X_{v}$. We consider an open covering $X_{v}=\cup_{i=1}^{\ell} U_{i}$ such that $D_{v}$ is defined by $f_{i} \in \operatorname{Rat}\left(X_{v}\right)^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ on $U_{i}$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. A continuous $D$-Green function on $X_{v}^{\text {an }}$ is a function

$$
g_{v}: X_{v}^{\mathrm{an}} \backslash\left(\operatorname{Supp} D_{v}\right)^{\mathrm{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

such that $g_{v}+\ln \left|f_{i}\right|_{v}^{2}$ extends to a continuous function on the analytification $U_{i}^{\text {an }}$ of $U_{i}$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. When $v$ is archimedean, we say that $g_{v}$ is smooth (respectively plurisubharmonic) if the extension of $g_{v}+\ln \left|f_{i}\right|_{v}^{2}$ to $U_{i}^{\text {an }}$ is smooth (respectively plurisubharmonic) for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$. We refer the reader to [Mor16, sections 1.4 and 2.1] for more details on Green functions.
2.6. Let $X$ be a normal projective variety on $\operatorname{Spec} K$. Let $D \in \operatorname{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{K}}$ and let $U \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{K}$ be a non-empty open subset, where $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ is the ring of integers of $K$. A normal model $\mathcal{X}$ of $X$ over $U$ is an integral normal scheme $\mathcal{X}$ together with a projective dominant morphism $\pi_{\mathcal{X}}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$ with generic fiber $X$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-Cartier divisor on $\mathcal{X}$ such that the restriction of $\mathcal{D}$ to $X$ is equal to $D$, we say that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is a normal model of $(X, D)$ over $U$. For each non-archimedean place $v \in U$, we denote by $g_{\mathcal{D}, v}$ the $D$-Green function on $X_{v}^{\text {an }}$ induced by $\mathcal{D}$ (see [Mor16, section 0.2 ] for details on this construction).

## 3. Adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors

In the rest of the text, we consider a normal and geometrically integral projective variety $X$ over the number field $K$. We define adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors in subsection 3.1. We then recall the notion of semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors and we define heights of subvarieties in subsection 3.2. Subsection 3.3 contains basic facts concerning ample adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors.
3.1. Definitions. In this paragraph, $\mathbb{K}$ denotes either $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.1. An adelic $\mathbb{K}$-Cartier divisor on $X$ is a pair $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ consisting of a $\mathbb{K}$-Cartier divisor $D$ on $X$ and of a continuous $D$-Green function $g_{v}$ on $X_{v}^{\text {an }}$ for each $v \in \Sigma_{K}$, satisfying the following condition: there exist a dense open subset $U$ of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{K}$ and a normal model $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ of $(X, D)$ over $U$ such that $g_{v}=g_{\mathcal{D}, v}$ for all $v \in U$.

The set of adelic $\mathbb{K}$-Cartier divisors on $X$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-module, denoted by $\widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{K}}$. Since $X$ is normal, the natural map $\operatorname{Div}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{K}}$ is injective. It follows that $\widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. In the sequel, the elements of $\widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X):=$ $\widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ will be called adelic Cartier divisors for simplicity.

Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ be an adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$. We consider the $K$-vector space

$$
H^{0}(X, D):=\left\{\phi \in \operatorname{Rat}(X)^{\times} \mid D+(\phi) \geq 0\right\} \cup\{0\}
$$

For any $\phi \in\left(\operatorname{Rat}(X)^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}\right) \cup\{0\}$ and any $v \in \Sigma_{K}$, we let $\phi_{v}$ be the pullback of $\phi$ on $X_{v}^{\text {an }}$ and we consider the function $\|\phi\|_{v}^{\bar{D}}:=\left|\phi_{v}\right|_{v} \exp \left(-g_{v} / 2\right)$, defined on an open subset of $X_{v}^{\text {an }}$. If $\phi \in H^{0}(X, D)$, the function $\|\phi\|_{v}^{\bar{D}}$ extends to a continuous function on $X_{v}^{\text {an }}$ (see [Mor16, Propositions 1.4.2 and 2.1.3]). In that case, we let $\|\phi\|_{v, \text { sup }}^{\bar{D}}:=\sup _{x \in X_{v}^{\text {an }}}\|\phi\|_{v}^{\bar{D}}(x)$. We also define the set of strictly small sections of $\bar{D}$ by

$$
\widehat{H}^{0}(X, \bar{D}):=\left\{\phi \in H^{0}(X, D) \mid\|\phi\|_{v, \text { sup }}^{\bar{D}} \leq 1 \forall v \in \Sigma_{K}, \quad\|\phi\|_{v, \text { sup }}^{\bar{D}}<1 \forall v \in \Sigma_{K, \infty}\right\}
$$

Remark 3.2. Let $\bar{D} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)$ be an adelic Cartier divisor. With the above notation, the pair $\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(D),\left(\|\cdot\|_{v}^{\bar{D}}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ is an adelic metrized line bundle in the sense of Zhang [Zha95b, (1.2)]. One can see that every adelic metrized line bundle $\bar{L}=\left(L,\left(\|\cdot\|_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ on $X$ can be obtained in this way by considering the Cartier divisor $D=\operatorname{div}(s)$ associated to a trivialization $s$ of $L$ and the $D$-Green functions $g_{v}=-\ln \left\|s_{v}\right\|_{v}^{2}$ for every $v \in \Sigma_{K}$, where $s_{v}$ is the pullback of $s$ to $X_{v}^{\text {an }}$.

We end this paragraph with the definition of twists of adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors, which we shall use frequently in the rest of the text.
Definition 3.3. Let $\bar{D} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. For any real number $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the $t$-twist of $\bar{D}$ by

$$
\bar{D}(t)=\bar{D}-t \bar{\xi}_{\infty} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}
$$

where $\bar{\xi}_{\infty}=\left(0,\left(\xi_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ is the adelic Cartier divisor on $X$ given by $\xi_{v}=2$ if $v$ is archimedean, and $\xi_{v}=0$ otherwise.

It follows from the definitions that for any $\phi \in H^{0}(X, D)$, we have $\|\phi\|_{v}^{\bar{D}(t)}=$ $e^{t}\|\phi\|_{v}^{\bar{D}}$ for every $v \in \Sigma_{K, \infty}$ and $\|\phi\|_{v}^{\bar{D}(t)}=\|\phi\|_{v}^{\bar{D}}$ for every $v \in \Sigma_{K} \backslash \Sigma_{K, \infty}$.
3.2. Semi-positivity and heights of subvarieties. Let us first define the height of a point $x \in X(\bar{K})$ with respect to an adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\bar{D}$ on $X$. Let $\phi \in$ $\operatorname{Rat}(X)^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ be a function with $x \notin \operatorname{Supp}(D+(\phi))$ and let $K(x)$ be the function field of $x \in X$. For each place $w \in \Sigma_{K(x)}$, we fix a $K$-embedding $\sigma_{w}: K(x) \hookrightarrow \bar{K}_{v}$, where $v$ denotes the restriction of $w$ to $K$ (note that there are exactly $\left[K(x)_{w}: K_{v}\right.$ ] such embeddings). The pair $\left(x, \sigma_{w}\right)$ determines uniquely a point $x_{w} \in X_{v}$, and the quantity $\|\phi\|_{w}^{\bar{D}}(x):=\|\phi\|_{v}^{\bar{D}}\left(x_{w}^{\text {an }}\right)$ does not depend on the choice of $\sigma_{w}$. The normalized height of $x$ with respect to $\bar{D}$ is the real number

$$
\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x)=-\sum_{w \in \Sigma_{K(x)}} \frac{\left[K(x)_{w}: \mathbb{Q}_{w}\right]}{[K(x): \mathbb{Q}]} \ln \|\phi\|_{w}^{\bar{D}}(x) .
$$

This definition does not depend on the choice of $\phi$ by [Mor16, (4.2.1)]. Moreover, if $\phi \in H^{0}(X, D) \backslash\{0\}$ then it follows from the definitions that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x) \geq-\sum_{v \in \Sigma_{K}} \frac{\left[K_{v}: \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right]}{[K: \mathbb{Q}]} \ln \|\phi\|_{v, \text { sup }}^{\bar{D}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to define the height of higher dimensional subvarieties, we need the notion of semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors which we recall below.

Definition 3.4. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. We say that $\bar{D}$ is semi-positive if there exists a sequence $\left(\mathcal{X}_{n}, \mathcal{D}_{n},\left(g_{n, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that :

- for all $n \in \mathbb{N},\left(\mathcal{X}_{n}, \mathcal{D}_{n}\right)$ is a normal $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{K}$-model for $(X, D)$ with $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ relatively nef,
- for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, g_{n, v}$ is a smooth plurisubharmonic $D$-Green function if $v \in$ $\Sigma_{K, \infty}$ and $g_{n, v}=g_{\mathcal{D}_{n}, v}$ for every non-archimedean $v \in \Sigma_{K}$,
- for every $v \in \Sigma_{K},\left(g_{n, v}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to $g_{v}$.


## Remark 3.5.

(1) It follows from the definition that the sum of semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors is semi-positive. Moreover, if $\bar{D} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is semi-positive then $\bar{D}(t)$ is semi-positive for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
(2) An adelic Cartier divisor $\bar{D} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)$ is semi-positive if and only if the associated line bundle $\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(D),\left(\|\cdot\|_{v}^{\bar{D}}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ of Remark 3.2 is semi-positive in the sense of Zhang [Zha95b, (1.3)] (see [BGMPS16], (1) page 229).

Following [BGMPS16], we say that an adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\bar{D}$ on $X$ is DSP if $\bar{D}=\bar{D}_{1}-\bar{D}_{2}$ is the difference of two semi-positive $\bar{D}_{1}, \bar{D}_{2} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\bar{D}$ be a DSP adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$ and let $Y \subseteq X$ be a $r$-dimensional subvariety, where $0 \leq r \leq \operatorname{dim} X$ is an integer. For any place $v \in \Sigma_{K}$, we define a measure $c_{1}(\bar{D})^{\wedge \operatorname{dim} Y} \wedge \delta_{Y_{v}^{\text {an }}}$ on $X_{v}^{\text {an }}$ as in [BGMPS16, page 225]. It is obtained by multilinearity from the corresponding measures associated to semi-positive adelic Cartier divisors defined in [BGPS14, Definition 1.4.6]. The measure $c_{1}(\bar{D})^{\wedge \operatorname{dim} Y} \wedge \delta_{Y_{v}^{\text {an }}}$ is supported on $Y_{v}^{\text {an }} \subseteq X_{v}^{\text {an }}$ and has total mass $\operatorname{deg}_{D}(Y)$.

Let $\Phi=\left(\phi_{0}, \ldots, \phi_{r}\right) \in\left(\operatorname{Rat}(X)^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}\right)^{\oplus r}$ be a family intersecting $Y$ properly in the following sense: for every $I \subseteq\{0, \ldots, r\}$,

$$
Y \cap\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Supp}\left(\left(\phi_{i}\right)+D\right)\right)
$$

is of pure dimension $r-\# I$. The local height $h_{\bar{D}, \Phi, v}(Y)$ of $Z$ at $v$ with respect to $(\bar{D}, \Phi)$ is defined inductively as follows. We put $h_{\bar{D}, \Phi, v}(\emptyset)=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\bar{D}, \Phi, v}(Z)=h_{\bar{D},\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r}\right), v}\left(Y \cdot\left(D+\left(\phi_{0}\right)\right)\right)-\int_{X_{v}^{\text {an }}} \ln \left\|\phi_{0}\right\|_{v}^{\bar{D}} c_{1}(\bar{D})^{\wedge} \operatorname{dim} Y \wedge \delta_{Y_{v}^{\text {an }}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from [BGPS14, Proposition 1.5.14] that $h_{\bar{D}, \Phi, v}(Y)=0$ for all except finitely many places $v \in \Sigma_{K}$. The height of $Y$ with respect to $\bar{D}$ is the real number

$$
h_{\bar{D}}(Y)=\sum_{v \in \Sigma_{K}} \frac{\left[K_{v}: \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right]}{[K: \mathbb{Q}]} h_{\bar{D}, \Phi, v}(Y)
$$

it does not depend on the choice of $\Phi$. If $Y \subseteq X$ is a subvariety with $\operatorname{deg}_{D}(Y) \neq 0$, the normalized height of $Y$ with respect to $\bar{D}$ is the real number

$$
\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)=\frac{h_{\bar{D}}(Y)}{(\operatorname{dim} Y+1) \operatorname{deg}_{D}(Y)} .
$$

## Remark 3.6.

(1) If $Y=\{x\}$ is a closed point in $X$, then $\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)$ coincides with the normalized height $\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x)$ of $x$.
(2) The height function is continuous in the following sense: for any DSP adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\bar{D}^{\prime}$ on $X$, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} h_{\bar{D}+t \bar{D}^{\prime}}(Y)=h_{\bar{D}}(Y)
$$

If moreover $\operatorname{deg}_{D}(Y) \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{deg}_{D+t D^{\prime}}(Y) \neq 0$ for any sufficiently small $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and we have $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}+t \bar{D}^{\prime}}(Y)=\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)$.
(3) Assume that $\bar{D} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is semi-positive, and let

$$
\left(\mathcal{X}_{n}, \mathcal{D}_{n},\left(g_{n, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}
$$

be a sequence as in Definition 3.4. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\bar{D}_{n}=\left(D,\left(g_{n, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$. Then we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{\bar{D}_{n}}(Y)=h_{\bar{D}}(Y)$, and moreover $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}_{n}}(Y)=$ $\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)$ if $\operatorname{deg}_{D}(Y) \neq 0$.
(4) Assume that $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)$ is a semi-positive adelic Cartier divisor such that there exists a $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{K}$-model $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ of $(X, D)$ with $g_{v}=$ $g_{\mathcal{D}, v}$ for every non-archimedean place $v \in \Sigma_{K}$. Then

$$
\overline{\mathcal{L}}=\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{D}),\left(\|\cdot\|_{v}^{\bar{D}}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K, \infty}}\right)
$$

is a semi-positive hermitian line bundle in the sense of [Zha95a] and we have $h_{\bar{D}}(Y)=c_{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\mid \mathcal{Y}}\right)^{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{Y}}$, where $\mathcal{Y}$ is the Zariski-closure of $Y$ in $\mathcal{X}$ (see [Zha95a, (1.2)] for the definition of $\left.c_{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\mid \mathcal{Y}}\right)^{\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{Y}}\right)$.
We have the following lemma concerning the behaviour of heights with respect to twists of adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors (see Definition 3.3).

Lemma 3.7. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ be a DSP adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$ and let $Y \subseteq X$ be a subvariety. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
h_{\bar{D}(t)}(Y)=h_{\bar{D}}(Y)-t(\operatorname{dim} Y+1) \operatorname{deg}_{D}(Y)
$$

In particular, if $\operatorname{deg}_{D}(Y) \neq 0$ then $\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}(t)}(Y)=\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)-t$.
Proof. The result follows from (3.2) by induction on $\operatorname{dim} Y$.
Let $r \in\{0, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} X\}$ and let $Z$ be a $r$-cycle in $X_{\bar{K}}=X \times_{K} \operatorname{Spec} \bar{K}$. There exists a finite extension $K^{\prime}$ of $K$ such that $Z$ is defined over $K^{\prime}$, i.e. $Z$ is a $r$-cycle in $X_{K^{\prime}}=X \times_{K}$ Spec $K^{\prime}$ : there exists integers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}$ and subvarieties $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{\ell}$ of $X_{K^{\prime}}$ such that $Z=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_{i} Y_{i, \bar{K}}$. Given a $\operatorname{DSP} \bar{D} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we define a DSP adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\bar{D}_{K^{\prime}}$ by pulling back $\bar{D}$ to $X_{K^{\prime}}$. The height of $Z$ with respect to $\bar{D}$ is then defined by $h_{\bar{D}}(Z)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_{i} h_{\bar{D}_{K^{\prime}}}\left(Y_{i}\right)$. This definition does not depend on the choice of $K^{\prime}$ by [BGPS14, Proposition 1.5.10].

Lemma 3.8. Let $\bar{D}$ be a DSP adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $h_{\bar{D}}(Y)>0$ for every subvariety $Y \subseteq X$;
(2) $h_{\bar{D}}(Y)>0$ for every subvariety $Y \subseteq X_{\bar{K}}$.

Proof. The implication (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (1) is clear. Assume that (1) holds and let $Y \subseteq X_{\bar{K}}$ be a subvariety. Let $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$ be the set of $K$-automorphisms $\sigma: \bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{K}$. For any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$, we denote by $Y^{\sigma}$ the pullback of $Y$ by the automorphism of $X_{\bar{K}}$ induced by $\sigma$; it is a subvariety of $X_{\bar{K}}$. We consider the set

$$
O(Y)=\left\{Y^{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)\right\}
$$

It follows easily from the definitions that $h_{\bar{D}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=h_{\bar{D}}(Y)$ for any $Y^{\prime} \in O(Y)$ (alternatively, this fact is a direct consequence of [BGPS14, Theorem 1.5.11]). By [BG06, A.4.13],

$$
Z_{Y}=\bigcup_{Y^{\prime} \in O(Y)} Y^{\prime}
$$

is a subvariety of $X$ (i.e. its image in $X$ is an irreducible Zariski closed subset of $X$, which we still denote by $\left.Z_{Y}\right)$. Therefore $h_{\bar{D}}\left(Z_{Y}\right)>0$ by assumption. Let $K^{\prime}$ be a finite extension such that every $Y^{\prime} \in O(Y)$ is a subvariety of $X_{K^{\prime}}$. Let $\left(Z_{Y}\right)_{K^{\prime}}$ be the cycle in $X_{K^{\prime}}$ associated to $Z_{Y}$ : we have

$$
\left(Z_{Y}\right)_{K^{\prime}}=\sum_{Y^{\prime} \in O(Y)} n_{Y^{\prime}} Y^{\prime}
$$

where $n_{Y^{\prime}}$ is a positive integer for every $Y^{\prime} \in O(Y)$. By [BGPS14, Proposition 1.5.10], we have $h_{\bar{D}}\left(\left(Z_{Y}\right)_{K^{\prime}}\right)=h_{\bar{D}}\left(Z_{Y}\right)>0$. On the other hand, we have

$$
h_{\bar{D}}\left(\left(Z_{Y}\right)_{K^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{Y^{\prime} \in O(Y)} n_{Y^{\prime}} h_{\bar{D}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=h_{\bar{D}}(Y) \times \sum_{Y^{\prime} \in O(Y)} n_{Y^{\prime}}
$$

and therefore $h_{\bar{D}}(Y)>0$.
We end this paragraph with a sufficient condition for the ampleness of the underlying divisor of an adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor.
Lemma 3.9. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be semi-positive. Assume that $h_{\bar{D}}(Y)>0$ for every subvariety $Y \subseteq X$. Then $D$ is ample.

We want to combine Campana and Peternell's Nakai-Moishezon criterion for $\mathbb{R}$ Cartier divisors [CP90] with Moriwaki's generalized Hodge index theorem [Mor16, Theorem 5.3.2] applied to subvarieties of $X$. We must pay attention to the fact that [Mor16, Theorem 5.3.2] applies only to normal and geometrically integral subvarieties.

Proof. Let $Y \subseteq X_{\bar{K}}$ be a subvariety and let $K^{\prime}$ be a finite extension of $K$ such that $Y$ is defined over $K^{\prime}$. We consider the adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\bar{D}_{K^{\prime}}=$ $\left(D_{K^{\prime}},\left(g_{w}\right)_{w \in \Sigma_{K^{\prime}}}\right)$ defined by pulling back $\bar{D}$ to $X_{K^{\prime}}$. Let $f: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ be the normalization of $Y$ and let $\phi \in \operatorname{Rat}\left(X_{K^{\prime}}\right)^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ be such that $Y \nsubseteq \operatorname{Supp}\left(D_{K^{\prime}}+(\phi)\right)$. Note that $Y^{\prime}$ is normal and geometrically integral. We define a semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\bar{D}_{Y^{\prime}}=\left(D_{Y^{\prime}},\left(g_{Y^{\prime}, w}\right)_{w \in \Sigma_{K^{\prime}}}\right)$ on $Y^{\prime}$ as follows: $D_{Y^{\prime}}=$ $f^{*}\left(D_{K^{\prime}}+(\phi)\right)_{\mid Y}$ and for each $w \in \Sigma_{K^{\prime}}$, the $D_{Y^{\prime}}$-Green function $g_{Y^{\prime}, w}$ is the pullback of $\left(g_{w}-2 \ln |\phi|_{w}\right)_{\mid Y_{w}^{\text {an }}}$ to $\left(Y_{w}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {an }}$. By [BGPS14, Theorem 1.5.11 (2)], we have $h_{\bar{D}_{Y^{\prime}}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=h_{\bar{D}_{K^{\prime}}}(Y)$. Therefore our assumption together with Lemma 3.8 implies that $h_{\bar{D}_{Y^{\prime}}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=h_{\bar{D}_{K^{\prime}}}(Y)>0$. It follows from [Mor16, Theorem 5.3.2] that $\bar{D}_{Y^{\prime}}$ is big in the sense of [Mor16, Definition 4.4.1]. In particular, $D_{Y^{\prime}}$ is big. Since $D_{Y^{\prime}}$
is also nef by semi-positivity, we have $D_{K^{\prime}}^{\operatorname{dim}} Y \cdot Y=D_{Y^{\prime}}^{\operatorname{dim}} Y^{\prime} \cdot Y^{\prime}>0$. Therefore $D$ is ample by [CP90, Theorem 1.3].
3.3. Ample adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors. We now define ample adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors and study some of their properties.
Definition 3.10. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ be an adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor. We say that $\bar{D}$ is

- weakly ample (w-ample for short) if $\bar{D}=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i} \bar{A}_{i}$ is a $\mathbb{R}$-linear combination of adelic Cartier divisors $\bar{A}_{i} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)$ such that for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, $\lambda_{i}>0, A_{i}$ is ample and for every $m \gg 1, H^{0}\left(X, m A_{i}\right)$ has a $K$-basis consisting of strictly small sections;
- ample if it is w -ample and semi-positive.

The terminology of weakly ample adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors is due to Ikoma [Iko21]. We end this section with three lemmas concerning basic properties of wample adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors.
Lemma 3.11. Let $\bar{D}, \bar{D}^{\prime} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $\bar{D}$ is w-ample, there exists a real number $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\bar{D}+t \bar{D}^{\prime}$ is ample for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|t| \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we only consider the case where $\bar{D}^{\prime} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)$ and $t \geq 0$. If $\bar{D}$ is w-ample, $\bar{D}=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i} \bar{A}_{i}$ is a $\mathbb{R}$-linear combination with positive coefficients of adelic Cartier divisors $\bar{A}_{i} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)$ such that for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, $A_{i}$ is ample and $H^{0}\left(X, m A_{i}\right)$ has a $K$-basis consisting of strictly small sections for $m \gg 1$. By [Iko16, Proposition 5.4 (5)], there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $\bar{A}_{1}+\delta \bar{D}^{\prime}$ is w -ample. Let $\varepsilon=\delta \lambda_{1}$. Then for every real number $t \in[0, \varepsilon]$,

$$
\bar{D}+t \bar{D}^{\prime}=\frac{t}{\delta}\left(\bar{A}_{1}+\delta \bar{D}^{\prime}\right)+\left(\lambda_{1}-\frac{t}{\delta}\right) \bar{A}_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{\ell} \lambda_{i} \bar{A}_{i}
$$

is w-ample.
Remark 3.12. By Lemma 3.11 and [Mor16, Lemma 1.1.1], an adelic Cartier divisor $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)$ on $X$ is w-ample if and only if $D$ is ample and $H^{0}(X, m D)$ has a $K$-basis consisting of strictly small sections for every $m \gg 1$.

Lemma 3.13. Let $\bar{D}$ be a w-ample adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$. Then

$$
\inf _{x \in X(\bar{K})} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x)>0
$$

Proof. By definition, we can write $\bar{D}=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i} \bar{A}_{i}$ where for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}, \lambda_{i}$ is a positive real number, $\bar{A}_{i}$ is an adelic Cartier divisor such that $A_{i}$ is ample, and $H^{0}\left(X, m A_{i}\right)$ has a $K$-basis consisting of strictly small sections for every $m \gg 1$. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer such that for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, there exists a set of functions $\phi_{i, 1}, \ldots, \phi_{i, k_{i}} \in \widehat{H}^{0}\left(X, m A_{i}\right)$ with

$$
\bigcap_{j=1}^{k_{i}} \operatorname{Supp}\left(m A_{i}+\left(\phi_{i, j}\right)\right)=\emptyset
$$

Letting

$$
\Lambda_{i}:=-\max _{1 \leq j \leq k_{i}} \sum_{v \in \Sigma_{K}} \frac{\left[K_{v}: \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right]}{[K: \mathbb{Q}]} \ln \left\|\phi_{i, j}\right\|_{v, \text { sup }}^{m \bar{A}_{i}}>0
$$

we have $\widehat{h}_{\bar{A}_{i}}(x) \geq \Lambda_{i} / m$ for every $x \in X(\bar{K})$ (see (3.1)). Therefore we have

$$
\inf _{x \in X(\bar{K})} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i} \inf _{x \in X(\bar{K})} \widehat{h}_{\bar{A}_{i}}(x) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i} \Lambda_{i} / m>0 .
$$

Lemma 3.14. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ be an adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor. If $D$ is ample, there exists a real number $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bar{D}(t)$ is w-ample.

Proof. Since $D$ is ample, there exists an ample $\bar{A} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\bar{D}-\bar{A} \in$ $\widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $D-A$ is ample. For a sufficiently large and divisible integer $m$, $m(D-A)$ is a very ample Cartier divisor on $X$. Let $\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{\ell}\right)$ be basis of $H^{0}(X, m(D-A))$ such that $\left\|\phi_{i}\right\|_{v, \text { sup }}^{m(\bar{D}-\bar{A})} \leq 1$ for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$ and every non-archimedean place $v \in \Sigma_{K}$. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be a real number such that

$$
t<-\max _{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \max _{v \in \Sigma_{K, \infty}} \ln \left\|\phi_{i}\right\|_{v, \text { sup }}^{m(\bar{D}-\bar{A})}
$$

Then $\phi_{i} \in \widehat{H}^{0}(X, m(\bar{D}-\bar{A})(t))$ for every $i$, and it follows that $\bar{A}_{t}^{\prime}:=(\bar{D}-\bar{A})(t)=$ $\bar{D}(t)-\bar{A}$ is ample. Therefore $\bar{D}(t)=\bar{A}+\bar{A}_{t}^{\prime}$ is ample.

## 4. ZHANG'S THEOREM ON SUCCESSIVE MINIMA

In this section we recall the notion of successive minima for adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors, which was first introduced by Zhang for hermitian line bundles [Zha95a, section 5]. We then prove a continuity property which allows to extend Zhang's theorem on minima [Zha95a, Theorem 5.2] to the case of adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors (see Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.3 below).

Let $\bar{D} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $Z \subseteq X$ be a subvariety. For any $i \in\{1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} Z+1\}$, we define the $i$-th successive minimum of $\bar{D}$ on $Z$ by

$$
\zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Z)=\sup _{\substack{Y \subseteq Z \\ \operatorname{dim} \bar{Y}<i-1}} \inf _{x \in Z(\bar{K}) \backslash Y} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x) \in \mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty\}
$$

where the supremum is over all the Zariski-closed subsets $Y \subseteq Z$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim} Y<i-1$. We obtain a chain of real numbers

$$
\zeta_{\operatorname{dim} Z+1}(\bar{D}, Z) \geq \zeta_{\operatorname{dim} Z}(\bar{D}, Z) \geq \cdots \geq \zeta_{1}(\bar{D}, Z)
$$

Successive minima satisfy the following properties.
Lemma 4.1. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $Z \subseteq X$ be a subvariety and let $1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{dim} Z+1$ be an integer.
(1) For any $\bar{D}^{\prime} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we have

$$
\zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}+\bar{D}^{\prime}, Z\right) \geq \zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Z)+\zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}^{\prime}, Z\right)
$$

(2) Let $\bar{D}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{D}_{\ell} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $D$ is ample, then

$$
\lim _{\max \left\{\left|t_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|t_{\ell}\right|\right\} \rightarrow 0} \zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}+t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}, Z\right)=\zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Z)
$$

Proof. (1) We may assume that $\zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Z)>-\infty$ and $\zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}^{\prime}, Z\right)>-\infty$. Let $t<$ $\zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Z)$ and $t^{\prime}<\zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}^{\prime}, Z\right)$ be real numbers. By definition, there exist two closed subsets $Y, Y^{\prime} \subseteq Z$ of dimension $<i-1$ such that for any $x \in Z(\bar{K}) \backslash\left(Y \cup Y^{\prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}+\bar{D}^{\prime}}(x)=\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x)+\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}^{\prime}}(x) \geq t+t^{\prime}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(Y \cup Y^{\prime}\right)<i-1$, we have

$$
\zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}+\bar{D}^{\prime}, Z\right) \geq \inf _{x \in Z(\bar{K}) \backslash\left(Y \cup Y^{\prime}\right)} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x) \geq t+t^{\prime}
$$

and we conclude by letting $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ tend to $\zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Z)$ and $\zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}^{\prime}, Z\right)$.
(2) If we replace $\bar{D}$ by $\bar{D}(t)$ for some real number $t$, both sides of the equality differ by $-t$. By Lemma 3.14, we may therefore assume that $\bar{D}$ is w-ample. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be a real number. For $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}$ small enough, the adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors

$$
(1+\varepsilon) \bar{D}-\left(\bar{D}+t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}\right)=\varepsilon \bar{D}-\left(t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\bar{D}+t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}-(1-\varepsilon) \bar{D}=\varepsilon \bar{D}+\left(t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}\right)
$$

are w-ample by Lemma 3.11. Combining (1) and Lemma 3.13, we have

$$
(1+\varepsilon) \zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Z) \geq \zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}+t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \bar{D}_{\ell}, Z\right) \geq(1-\varepsilon) \zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Z)
$$

and the result follows.
Remark 4.2. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be semi-positive. We consider a sequence $\left(\mathcal{X}_{n}, \mathcal{D}_{n},\left(g_{n, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ associated to $\bar{D}$ as in Definition 3.4. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\bar{D}_{n}=\left(D,\left(g_{n, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}_{n}, Z\right)=\zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Z)
$$

for any subvariety $Z \subseteq X$ and any $i \in\{1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} Z+1\}$. Indeed, the sum

$$
\varepsilon_{n}:=2 \sum_{v \in \Sigma_{K}} \frac{\left[K_{v}: \mathbb{Q}_{v}\right]}{[K: \mathbb{Q}]} \sup _{z \in X_{v}^{\mathrm{an}}}\left|g_{v}(z)-g_{n, v}(z)\right|
$$

is finite for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to zero. By construction, we have

$$
\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}_{n}}(x)-\varepsilon_{n} \leq \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x) \leq \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}_{n}}(x)+\varepsilon_{n}
$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X(\bar{K})$. It follows that

$$
\zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}_{n}, Z\right)-\varepsilon_{n} \leq \zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Z) \leq \zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}_{n}, Z\right)+\varepsilon_{n}
$$

as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 (1), and we conclude by letting $n$ tend to infinity.
The following theorem was originally proved by Zhang for adelic Cartier divisors equipped with Green functions induced by a fixed model [Zha95a, Theorem 5.2]. Thanks to the continuity property of Lemma 4.1 , it remains valid for adelic $\mathbb{R}$ Cartier divisors.
Theorem 4.3. Assume that $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is semi-positive and that $D$ is ample. For any subvariety $Z \subseteq X$, we have

$$
\zeta_{\operatorname{dim} Z+1}(\bar{D}, Z) \geq \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Z) \geq \frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} Z+1} \sum_{i=1}^{\operatorname{dim} Z+1} \zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Z)
$$

Proof. Since $D$ is ample, we can write $D=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i} A_{i}$ where for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $A_{i} \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$ is an ample Cartier divisor on $X$. Let $\left(g_{i, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}$ be a collection of $A_{i}$-Green functions such that $\bar{A}_{i}=\left(A_{i},\left(g_{i, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ is a semi-positive adelic Cartier divisor on $X$. Given a $\ell$-tuple of real numbers $\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{\ell}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell}$, we denote by $\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}}=\left(D_{\mathbf{t}},\left(g_{\mathbf{t}, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ the adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor

$$
\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}}=\bar{D}+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} t_{i} \bar{A}_{i}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\lambda_{i}+t_{i}\right) A_{i},\left(g_{v}+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} t_{i} g_{i, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) .
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be a real number. We can choose $\mathbf{t} \in[0, \varepsilon]^{\ell}$ such that $\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Note that $\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is semi-positive. We consider a sequence

$$
\left(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{t}, n}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{t}, n},\left(g_{\mathbf{t}, n, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}
$$

associated to $\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}}$ as in Definition 3.4, and we let $\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}, n}=\left(D_{\mathbf{t}},\left(g_{\mathbf{t}, n, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in$ $\widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $m$ be a positive integer such that $m D_{\mathbf{t}, n} \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$. By [Mor15, Theorem 0.2], the hermitian metrized line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{m, \mathbf{t}, n}$ associated to $m \bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}, n}$ in Remark 3.6 (4) is semiample metrized in the sense of [Zha95a, section 5]. Therefore we can apply [Zha95a, Theorem 5.2] to the restriction of $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{m, \mathbf{t}, n}$ to the closure of $Z$ in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{t}, n}$. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{\operatorname{dim} Z+1}\left(m \bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}, n}, Z\right) \geq \widehat{h}_{m \bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}, n}}(Z) \geq \frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} Z+1} \sum_{i=1}^{\operatorname{dim} Z+1} \zeta_{i}\left(m \bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}, n}, Z\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Remark 3.6 (4)). On the other hand we have $\widehat{h}_{m \bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}, n}}(Z)=$ $m \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}, n}}(Z)$ and $\zeta_{i}\left(m \bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}, n}, Z\right)=m \zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}, n}, Z\right)$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} Z+1\}$, and therefore (4.1) remains true for $m=1$. Letting $n$ tend to infinity, we obtain

$$
\zeta_{\operatorname{dim} Z+1}\left(\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}}, Z\right) \geq \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}}}(Z) \geq \frac{1}{\operatorname{dim} Z+1} \sum_{i=1}^{\operatorname{dim} Z+1} \zeta_{i}\left(\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}}, Z\right)
$$

by Remarks 3.6 (3) and 4.2. Letting $\varepsilon$ tend to zero, the result follows from the continuity of normalized heights and successive minima given by Remark 3.6 (2) and Lemma 4.1 (2).

## 5. Absolute minimum and height of subvarieties

For any $\bar{D} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we call $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D}):=\zeta_{1}(\bar{D}, X)=\inf _{x \in X(\bar{K})} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x)$ the absolute minimum of $\bar{D}$. The goal of this section is to prove the following statement, which refines Theorem 1.2 in the introduction.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ be a semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$. If $D$ is ample, there exists a subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ such that

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})=\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)=\min _{Z \subseteq X} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Z)
$$

where the minimum is over the subvarieties $Z \subseteq X$. Moreover, $\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})=\zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Y)$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, \operatorname{dim} Y+1\}$.

We begin with two preliminary lemmas.
Lemma 5.2. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ be a semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$. Assume that $D$ is ample. Then for any subvariety $Z \subseteq X$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)>0$ for every subvariety $Y \subseteq Z$;
(2) $\zeta_{1}(\bar{D}, Z)>0$.

Proof. (1) $\Longrightarrow(2)$ : If $Z=\{x\}$ is a point, then $\zeta_{1}(\bar{D}, Z)=\widehat{h} \overline{\bar{D}}(x)>0$. We assume by induction that $\operatorname{dim} Z>0$ and that $\zeta_{1}(\bar{D}, Y)>0$ for every subvariety $Y \nsubseteq Z$. Since $\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Z)>0$, it follows from Theorem 4.3 that there exists a closed subset $Y \varsubsetneqq Z$ such that $\inf _{x \in Z(\bar{K}) \backslash Y} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x)>0$. On the other hand, if $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{\ell}$ are the irreducible components of $Y$ then

$$
\inf _{x \in Z(\bar{K}) \cap Y} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x)=\min _{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \zeta_{1}\left(\bar{D}, Y_{i}\right)>0
$$

by the induction hypothesis. Therefore we have

$$
\zeta_{1}(\bar{D}, Z)=\min \left\{\inf _{x \in Z(\bar{K}) \backslash Y} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x), \inf _{x \in Z(\bar{K}) \cap Y} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x)\right\}>0 .
$$

$(2) \Longrightarrow(1):$ Let $\zeta=\zeta_{1}(\bar{D}, Z)>0$. Note that $\bar{D}(\zeta)=\bar{D}-\zeta \bar{\xi}_{\infty}$ is semi-positive and $\zeta_{1}(\bar{D}(\zeta), Z)=\zeta_{1}(\bar{D}, Z)-\zeta=0$. For any subvariety $Y \subseteq Z$ we have

$$
\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}(\zeta)}(Y) \geq \zeta_{1}(\bar{D}(\zeta), Y) \geq \zeta_{1}(\bar{D}(\zeta), Z)=0
$$

where the first inequality is given by Theorem 4.3 and the second one follows from the definitions. By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$
\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)=\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}(\zeta)}(Y)+\zeta>\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}(\zeta)}(Y) \geq 0
$$

Lemma 5.3. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ be a semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$ with $D$ ample. Then

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})=\inf _{Z \subseteq X} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Z)
$$

where the infimum is over the subvarieties $Z \subseteq X$.
Proof. By Zhang's Theorem 4.3, we have

$$
\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Z) \geq \zeta_{1}(\bar{D}, Z) \geq \zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})
$$

for any subvariety $Z \subseteq X$, and we deduce one inequality of the lemma by taking the infimum on $Z$. The converse inequality follows directly from the definition of $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.1.
Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $\zeta=\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D}) \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $\bar{D}(\zeta)$ is semi-positive and $\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D}(\zeta))=\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})-\zeta=0$. By Theorem 4.3, we have

$$
\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}(\zeta)}(Y) \geq \zeta_{1}(\bar{D}(\zeta), Y) \geq \zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D}(\zeta))=0
$$

for every subvariety $Y \subseteq X$. By Lemma 5.2 applied to $Z=X$, there exists a subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ such that $\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}(\zeta)}(Y)=0$. Therefore Lemma 3.7 gives

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})=\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)-\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}(\zeta)}(Y)=\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)
$$

The fact that $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})$ coincides with the minimum in the theorem follows from Lemma 5.3. Finally, we observe that $\zeta_{1}(\bar{D}, Y) \geq \zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})=\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)$. Therefore Zhang's Theorem 4.3 implies that $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})=\zeta_{i}(\bar{D}, Y)$ for every integer $1 \leq i \leq$ $\operatorname{dim} Y+1$.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Given an adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor $\bar{D}$ on $X$, we introduce the invariant

$$
\theta(\bar{D}):=\sup \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \bar{D}(t) \text { is w-ample }\} \in \mathbb{R} \cup\{-\infty\}
$$

(with the convention that $\sup \emptyset=-\infty$ ). The main result of this section is the following theorem, from which we shall deduce Theorem 1.1 (see Corollary 6.4 below).

Theorem 6.1. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ be a semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$. If $D$ is ample, then $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})=\theta(\bar{D})$.

Before proving this theorem, we gather some basic properties satisfied by the invariant $\theta(\bar{D})$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.
(1) For any $\bar{D}^{\prime} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we have

$$
\theta\left(\bar{D}+\bar{D}^{\prime}\right) \geq \theta(\bar{D})+\theta\left(\bar{D}^{\prime}\right)
$$

(2) $D$ is ample if and only if $\theta(\bar{D})$ is finite.
(3) Let $\bar{D}_{1}, \bar{D}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{D}_{\ell} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. If $D$ is ample, then

$$
\lim _{\max \left\{\left|t_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|t_{\ell}\right|\right\} \rightarrow 0} \theta\left(\bar{D}+t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}\right)=\theta(\bar{D}) .
$$

Proof. (1) Clearly we may assume that $\theta(\bar{D})>-\infty$ and $\theta\left(\bar{D}^{\prime}\right)>-\infty$. It suffices to observe that the sum of two w-ample adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors is w-ample.
(2) If $\theta(\bar{D})$ is finite, then clearly $D$ is ample. Conversely, assume that $D$ is ample. By Lemma 3.14, there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\bar{D}(t)$ is w-ample. Therefore $\theta(\bar{D}) \geq t$ is finite.
(3) If we replace $\bar{D}$ by $\bar{D}(t)$ for some real number $t$, both sides of the equality differ by $-t$. By Lemma 3.14, we may therefore assume that $\bar{D}$ is w-ample. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be a real number. For sufficiently small real numbers $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{\ell}$, the adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors

$$
(1+\varepsilon) \bar{D}-\left(\bar{D}+t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}\right)=\varepsilon \bar{D}-\left(t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\bar{D}+t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}-(1-\varepsilon) \bar{D}=\varepsilon \bar{D}+\left(t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}\right)
$$

are w-ample by Lemma 3.11. In particular,

$$
\theta\left(\varepsilon \bar{D}-\left(t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}\right)\right) \geq 0 \text { and } \theta\left(\varepsilon \bar{D}+\left(t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}\right)\right) \geq 0
$$

by definition of $\theta$. By (1), we infer that

$$
(1+\varepsilon) \theta(\bar{D}) \geq \theta\left(\bar{D}+t_{1} \bar{D}_{1}+\cdots+t_{\ell} \overline{D_{\ell}}\right) \geq(1-\varepsilon) \theta(\bar{D})
$$

and the result follows.
Let us now prove Theorem 6.1. We shall combine Zhang's arithmetic NakaiMoishezon criterion [Zha95a, Theorem 4.2] and the continuity property given by Lemma 6.2 (3).

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Since $D$ is ample, we have $\theta(\bar{D})>-\infty$ by Lemma 6.2 (2). Let $t<\theta(\bar{D})$ be a real number. By definition, $\bar{D}(t)$ is w-ample and Lemma 3.13 gives

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})-t=\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D}(t))>0 .
$$

By letting $t$ tend to $\theta(\bar{D})$, we conclude that $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D}) \geq \theta(\bar{D})$.
For the converse inequality, let us first assume that $\bar{D} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. By homogeneity of $\theta(\bar{D})$ and $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})$, we may assume that $\bar{D}$ is an adelic Cartier divisor without loss of generality. Let $t<\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})$ be a real number. Since $\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D}(t))=$ $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})-t>0$, we have

$$
h_{\bar{D}(t)}(Y)>0
$$

for any subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ by Lemma 5.2 . By [Zha95b, Theorem 1.7] (see also [Zha95b, Proof of Theorem 1.8]), for any subvariety $Y \subseteq X$ there exists an integer $n>0$ such that $\widehat{H}^{0}\left(Y, n \bar{D}(t)_{\mid Y}\right) \neq 0$. By [CM18, Theorem 1.2], $\bar{D}(t)$ is w-ample. Therefore $\theta(\bar{D}) \geq t$, and we conclude by letting $t$ tend to $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})$.

Let us now prove the equality $\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})=\theta(\bar{D})$ in full generality. Since $D$ is ample, we can write $D=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{i} A_{i}$ where for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $A_{i}$ is an ample Cartier divisor on $X$. For each $i \in\{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, we equip $A_{i}$ with a collection
of $A_{i}$-Green functions $\left(g_{i, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}$ such that $\overline{A_{i}}=\left(A_{i},\left(g_{i, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)$ is semipositive. For any $\varepsilon>0$, we can find a $\ell$-tuple of real numbers $\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{\ell}\right) \in[0, \varepsilon]^{\ell}$ such that

$$
\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}}:=\bar{D}+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} t_{i} \bar{A}_{i}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\lambda_{i}+t_{i}\right) A_{i},\left(g_{v}+\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} t_{i} g_{i, v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}
$$

is an adelic $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier divisor. Note that $\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}}$ is semi-positive. By the above, we have $\zeta_{\text {abs }}\left(\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}}\right)=\theta\left(\bar{D}_{\mathbf{t}}\right)$. Letting $\varepsilon$ tend to zero, we find that $\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})=\theta(\bar{D})$ by continuity of $\zeta_{\text {abs }}$ and $\theta$ (Lemma 4.1 (2) and Lemma 6.2 (3)).
Remark 6.3. In the proof of Theorem 6.1, we used a particular case of a theorem of Chen and Moriwaki [CM18], which generalizes Zhang's arithmetic Nakai-Moishezon criterion [Zha95a, Theorem 4.2]. Using Zhang's original result would have required extra work since it involves stronger assumptions on the metrics.

We now deduce a refinement of Theorem 1.1 from Theorems 5.1 and 6.1.
Corollary 6.4. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right)$ be a semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor on $X$. The following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\bar{D}$ is ample;
(2) $h_{\bar{D}}(Y)>0$ for every subvariety $Y \subseteq X$;
(3) $D$ is ample and $\inf _{Y \subseteq X} \widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(Y)>0$, where the infimum is over all subvarieties $Y \subseteq X$;
(4) $D$ is ample and $\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})>0$.

Proof. The assertion $(2) \Leftrightarrow(3) \Leftrightarrow(4)$ is given by Lemma 3.9 and Theorem 5.1. The implication $(1) \Rightarrow(4)$ is Lemma 3.13 , so it only remains to prove $(4) \Rightarrow(1)$. If (4) holds, then $\theta(\bar{D})=\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})>0$ by Theorem 6.1 and therefore $\bar{D}$ is w-ample by definition of $\theta(\bar{D})$. Since $\bar{D}$ is also semi-positive, it is ample.
Remark 6.5. In [BGMPS16, Definition 3.18 (2)], the authors defined arithmetic ampleness by using the notion of metrized divisors generated by small $\mathbb{R}$-sections. It is straightforward to check that if $\bar{D} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is ample in the sense of Definition 3.10 , then it is ample in the sense of [BGMPS16]. On the other hand, if $\bar{D}$ is ample in the sense of [BGMPS16], then clearly $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D})>0$. Therefore, Corollary 6.4 implies that our definition of arithmetic ampleness coincides with the one of [BGMPS16, Definition 3.18 (2)].

We conclude this article with two direct consequences of our results.
Corollary 6.6. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be semi-positive and let $\bar{A} \in$ $\widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be w-ample. The following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $\bar{D}$ is ample;
(2) $D$ is ample and there exists a real number $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x) \geq \varepsilon \widehat{h}_{\bar{A}}(x)$ for any $x \in X(\bar{K})$.
Proof. (1) $\Longrightarrow(2)$ : By Lemma 3.11, there exists a real number $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\bar{D}-\varepsilon \bar{A}$ is w-ample. By Lemma 3.13, we have

$$
\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x)-\varepsilon \widehat{h}_{\bar{A}}(x)=\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}-\varepsilon \bar{A}}(x)>0
$$

for any $x \in X(\bar{K})$.
$(2) \Longrightarrow(1)$ : Since $\bar{A}$ is w-ample, $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{A})>0$ by Lemma 3.13. Assumption (2) therefore implies that $\zeta_{\text {abs }}\left(\bar{D}-\varepsilon^{\prime} \bar{A}\right)>0$ for any $\varepsilon^{\prime} \in(0, \varepsilon)$. By Lemma 4.1 (1), it follows that

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D}) \geq \zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}\left(\bar{D}-\varepsilon^{\prime} \bar{A}\right)+\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime} \bar{A}\right)>0
$$

and therefore $\bar{D}$ is ample by Corollary 6.4.

Corollary 6.7. Let $\bar{D}=\left(D,\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \in \Sigma_{K}}\right) \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be semi-positive. The following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D}) \geq 0$;
(2) $\bar{D}+\bar{A}$ is ample for any ample $\bar{A} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. (1) $\Longrightarrow(2)$ : Let $\bar{A} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be ample. Then the underlying divisor $A$ of $\bar{A}$ is ample. Since $D$ is nef by semi-positivity of $\bar{D}, D+A$ is ample. Moreover we have

$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D}+\bar{A}) \geq \zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{D})+\zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{A}) \geq \zeta_{\mathrm{abs}}(\bar{A})>0
$$

where the last inequality is given by Lemma 3.13. By Corollary $6.4, \bar{D}+\bar{A}$ is ample.
$(2) \Longrightarrow(1):$ Let $x \in X(\bar{K})$ be a closed point. We want to prove that $\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x) \geq 0$. Let $\bar{A} \in \widehat{\operatorname{Div}}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be ample and semi-positive and let $\varepsilon>0$ be a real number. Since $\bar{D}+\varepsilon \bar{A}$ is ample, we have

$$
\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}}(x)+\varepsilon \widehat{h}_{\bar{A}}(x)=\widehat{h}_{\bar{D}+\varepsilon \bar{A}}(x)>0
$$

and we conclude by letting $\varepsilon$ tend to zero.
A semi-positive adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor satisfying $\zeta_{\text {abs }}(\bar{D}) \geq 0$ is usually called nef in the literature [Mor16, Definition 4.4.1]. Roughly speaking, Corollary 6.7 asserts that an adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisor is nef if and only if it is the limit of a sequence of ample adelic $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier divisors.
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