

Damage Quantification via Digital Volume Correlation with Heterogeneous Mechanical Regularization: Application to an In Situ Meso-Flexural Test on Mortar

Aliaksandra Tsitova, Fabien Bernachy-Barbe, Benoît Bary, Sirine Al Dandachli, Christophe Bourcier, Benjamin Smaniotto, François Hild

▶ To cite this version:

Aliaksandra Tsitova, Fabien Bernachy-Barbe, Benoît Bary, Sirine Al Dandachli, Christophe Bourcier, et al.. Damage Quantification via Digital Volume Correlation with Heterogeneous Mechanical Regularization: Application to an In Situ Meso-Flexural Test on Mortar. Experimental Mechanics, 2022, 62, pp.333-349. 10.1007/s11340-021-00778-7. hal-03358134

HAL Id: hal-03358134 https://hal.science/hal-03358134v1

Submitted on 29 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Damage Quantification via Digital Volume Correlation with Heterogeneous Mechanical Regularization: Application to an In Situ Meso-Flexural Test on Mortar

Aliaksandra Tsitova \cdot Fabien

Bernachy-Barbe $\,\cdot\,$ Benoît Bary $\,\cdot\,$ Sirine Al

Dandachli \cdot Christophe Bourcier \cdot Benjamin

Smaniotto · François Hild

Received: date / Accepted: date

- ¹ Abstract Background. The mechanical response and damage mechanisms of het-
- erogeneous cementitious materials result from the mechanical properties of their
 A. Tsitova, F. Bernachy-Barbe (formerly), B. Bary, S. Al Dandachli
 Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, Service d'Etude du Comportement des Radionucléides, 91191,
 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
 C. Bourcier
 Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, Service d'Etudes Mécaniques et Thermiques, 91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
 A. Tsitova, B. Smaniotto, F. Hild
 Université Paris-Saclay, ENS Paris-Saclay, CNRS
 LMT Laboratoire de Mécanique et Technologie, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
 Corresponding author. E-mail: francois.hild@ens-paris-saclay.fr
 F. Bernachy-Barbe

CEA, DES, IRESNE, DEC, Cadarache, 13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France

constituents at the mesoscale. Objective. In this study, a finite element based Digi-3 tal Volume Correlation (FE-DVC) method with mechanical regularization tailored for heterogeneous materials was applied to study crack propagation in mortar. 5 Method. A realistic 3D mesh was built consistently with the actual microstructure 6 based on high resolution X-ray tomography. A notched mesobeam was subjected 7 to in situ three-point flexure. Results. The introduction of mechanical contrast 8 in DVC analyses allowed the strain heterogeneities related to the underlying mi-9 crostructure to be described and inelastic strain localization due to cracks to be 10 captured. Furthermore, the relaxation of mechanical regularization in damaged 11 elements improved the trustworthiness of displacement measurements. Conclu-12 sion. Estimations of crack opening displacements and characterization of the crack 13 morphology were carried out, providing insights into the damage processes at the 14 mesoscale. 15

Keywords Digital Volume Correlation (DVC) · X-Ray tomography · Three-point
 flexural test · Microscale · Mechanical regularization

18 1 Introduction

X-ray Computed Tomography (XCT) has become a powerful tool for the acquisition of 3D images and is widely used in material science [1]. Static 3D images are being utilized for morphological and quantitative microstructure characterization that can be considered for image-based numerical modeling [2,3]. Tomographic studies have found numerous applications for cementitious materials due to their high heterogeneity and complex compositions. One example among various applications is the microstructure characterization based on X-ray imaging. Studies

were carried out on aggregate and cement particle shapes [4–9], pore and crack networks [5,10–15], and cement paste morphology [16,12]. Such studies allowed for example estimations of concrete permeability and hydration processes. Moreover, X-Ray based microstructures were used for mechanical and thermal property estimations [17], as input to finite element (FE) models [18–20] and FFT-based simulations [21].

Beyond static imaging, the continuation of these studies was the observation 32 of microstructural changes due to exposure to aggressive environments or thermo-33 hydro-mechanical loadings. Taking sequences of 3D images under different environ-34 mental conditions allowed the microstructure changes to be tracked. Tomographic 35 studies were reported on cementitious materials subjected to leaching [22,23], sul-36 fate attacks [24], corrosion [25], and water migration [26]. One of the extensions 37 to this technique is *in situ* testing when experiments are carried out inside CT 38 scanners [27]. Registering 3D scans allows different deformation mechanisms such 39 as damage growth, crack opening, or shear banding to be quantified as a function 40 of time or mechanical loading. In particular, it allowed complex damage processes 41 to be visualized in concrete. The characterization of damage growth with X-CT 42 scanning was carried out under mechanical [20, 28-31] and hydrostatic in situ load-43 ings [32], subjected to freezing-thawing cycles [33] or autogeneous shrinkage [34]. 44 Apart from image analyses and processing, Digital Volume Correlation (DVC) 45 became a popular technique to measure three-dimensional displacement and strain 46 fields with subvoxel resolution [35,36]. DVC appeared as an extension of Digital 47 Image Correlation (DIC) that was developed for 2D images [37]. The principle of these methods first consisted in measuring displacements of small subvolumes 49 registered between reference and deformed volumes. Later on, finite element ap-50

proaches were introduced [38]; the registrations are performed at the level of the 51 whole region of interest. DVC analyses were performed for concrete subjected to 52 in situ mechanical loadings [43,42,39–41], hydrothermal loadings [44], carbona-53 tion [45] and reinforcement corrosion [46]. The study of damage development was 54 possible by analyzing displacement or strain fields as they normally indicate crack 55 locations [47] respectively as discontinuities or high values. Another way of dis-56 playing crack networks was via residual fields, which are the difference images 57 between the reference volume and the deformed volume corrected with the mea-58 sured displacement field [48,49,47,50]. 59

In the present study, an FE-DVC method with mechanical regularization tai-60 lored for heterogeneous materials was used to quantify damage in mortar. Me-61 chanical regularization was initially introduced in a homogeneous setting for DVC 62 analyses [51,52]. Then, it was adapted to heterogeneous materials in a DIC frame-63 work [53] by taking into account the contrast in elastic properties of different 64 phases. Damage was also added to allow localized solutions to be retrieved [47]. In 65 the present case, a mesh was built consistently with the underlying microstructure, 66 based on an X-ray microtomography scan acquired prior to the in situ test. Then, a 67 notched microbeam was subjected to in situ three-point flexure. In order to image 68 a larger part of the beam, a lower resolution was selected. The realistic volume 69 mesh was backtracked via DVC from the high resolution scan to the *in situ* scan. 70 The influence of mechanical contrast on the trustworthiness of 3D displacement 71 and strain fields was studied. Furthermore, the damaged elements were detected 72 in order to take into account their reduced stiffness in mechanical regularization. 73 This technique allowed the displacement measurements to be consistent in the 74

- ⁷⁵ damaged areas. The final DVC results were used for crack opening estimations
- ⁷⁶ and a study of the crack morphology at the mesoscale.
- ⁷⁷ The study workflow (Figure 1) summarizes the different steps of the present
- rs study, whose aim is to demonstrate the potential of mechanically regularized DVC
- ⁷⁹ based on the underlying microstructure for the study of damage at low scales.



Fig. 1 Experimental and numerical workflow

80 2 Material and Methods

This section describes the specimen fabrication process and provides a description of the microstructure characterization procedure that was used to reconstruct a realistic 3D mesh. The experimental setup and protocol are then detailed. The section ends with a description of heterogeneous regularization within an FE-DVC framework.

⁸⁶ 2.1 Material and Specimen

A mortar batch was mixed using a CEM I Portland cement with a water-to-cement 87 ratio of 0.525. A low sand-to-binder ratio was used (0.5). Sand was sieved to obtain 88 a granulometric distribution within the range 200 µm to 2 mm in diameter. The 89 reduction of the sand volume fraction to 18%, and elimination of fine particles, 90 made the resulting microstructure more suitable for efficient image processing as 91 less geometric details were distorted or lost. A thin mortar plate with dimensions 92 $65 \times 35 \times 8$ mm was cast in a silicon mold and unmolded after 1 day. By means of 93 X-ray radiography, the mortar plate was checked for the absence of mesocracking 94 and defects. After control, the plate was selected for further fabrication. 95

First, the top surface was ground and polished until a 5 mm thickness was 96 reached with simultaneous control of parallelism of the top and bottom faces. 97 The thickness deviation was of the order 0.1 mm, which corresponds to a $\angle 1.5\%$ 98 inclination between the top and bottom surfaces. Second, the plate width was 99 adjusted to 20 mm with a wire saw. Third, $5 \times 5 \times 20$ mm microbeams (Figure 2) 100 were cut from the plate with a diamond disk saw to ensure planar parallel sides. 101 Fourth, the notch was cut with a saw equipped with a fine (0.1 mm) wire and low 102 tension to avoid sample degradation. The notch width was approximately 0.15 mm 103 and its height was 1.5 mm. The specimen were stored at 100% air humidity. During 104 preparation, the specimen surfaces were re-wetted to prevent them from drying 105 cracking. 106



Fig. 2 Notched mortar microbeam

¹⁰⁷ 2.2 Microstructure Segmentation and Microstructure-Based Mesh

- ¹⁰⁸ Before the *in situ* test, a High Quality (HQ) scan of the central part of the mi-
- $_{109}$ $\,$ crobeam was acquired with a 5.3 μm resolution using a GE Phoenix v|tome|x m $\,$
- ¹¹⁰ CT system. The acquisition parameters are detailed in Table 1.

Table 1	DVC	hardware	parameters
---------	-----	----------	------------

Parameters	High Quality Scan	In situ Scan		
CT device	GE Phoenix v tome x M			
Target	high-flux target			
Filter	0.1 mm Cu			
Voltage	180 kV	200 kV		
Current	57 µA	90 µA		
Tube to detector	807.3 mm			
Tube to object	21.5 mm	$35.5 \mathrm{~mm}$		
Detector	GE Dynamic 41 100			
Definition	$1992 \times 2000 \ (2 \times 2 \text{ binning})$			
Number of projections	2500	1600		
Angular amplitude	360°			
Frame average	5 per projection	3 per projection		
Frame rate	2 fps 3 fps			
Acquisition duration	2.5 h 45 min			
Gray Level amplitude	14 bit (16 bit format)			
Pixel size	5.3 µm	8.8 µm		

Avizo[®] data visualization and analysis software was used for further image processing. After mechanical testing (Section 2.3), a Region Of Interest (ROI) containing the notch with dimensions $2.6 \times 5 \times 5$ mm was selected for further segmentation (Figure 6). First, a median filter ($3 \times 3 \times 3$ voxels) was applied to mitigate noise (Figure 3(a)).



Fig. 3 Inclusion segmentation. (a) Filtered image (light inclusions - limestone aggregates, gray inclusions - siliceous aggregates). (b) Segmentation of limestone aggregates. (c) Contrast (norm of image gradient). (d) Segmentation of siliceous particles

Second, the segmentation of aggregates was carried out as follows. Two types 116 of inclusions (limestone - CaCO₃, silica - SiO₂) were present in mortar with 117 different morphology and levels of attenuation that define their representation on 118 images. Limestone inclusions were brighter (higher X-ray absorption) than the 119 cementitious matrix, and were separated by simple thresholding (Figure 3(b)). 120 Silica inclusions had similar gray levels as the cement paste matrix, but showed less 121 internal contrast and appeared as very homogeneous regions. The calculation of the 122 image gradient norm gave a field with low values in homogeneous zones, and high 123

levels in heterogeneous regions (Figure 3(c)). This tool allowed the homogeneous 124 inclusions to be distinguished from the heterogeneous matrix (Figure 3(d)). These 125 two families of inclusions were considered as a unique "aggregate" phase with 126 identical elastic properties. Mesoporosity was present in the specimen in a small 127 amount, and did not seem to have a major effect on the crack path during the 128 flexural test. For these reasons, mesopores were not segmented as a separate phase 129 and were incorporated in the matrix. Last, the notch surfaces were segmented from 130 the volume (Figure 4(a)). 131

Based on the segmentation results, the Avizo[®] tools allowed surface triangular 132 meshes to be generated and exported in .stl format. The size of surface triangles 133 was controlled to be at least several voxels for consistency with DVC, and with si-134 multaneous check of excessive distortion of triangles. The surface meshes were then 135 processed with the tools available in the Salome[®] platform (https://www.salome-136 platform.org/) to obtain 4-noded tetrahedral elements (Figure 4(b,c)). The mesh 137 consisted of 346,472 tetrahedra and 63,465 nodes. The distribution of mesh ele-138 ments and volume between the different phases is given in Table 2. 139

	Aggregates	Matrix	Interphase	Bulk matrix
Elements	74,120	272,352	134,474	137,878
Nodes	7,863	-	16,232	39,279
Volume, vx ³	$1.57\cdot 10^7$	$5.50\cdot 10^7$	$2.61 \cdot 10^7$	$2.89\cdot 10^7$
Volume fraction	22%	78%	37%	41%
Element size, vx	6	6	6	6
Young's modulus, GPa	70.0	13.3	13.3	13.3
Poisson's ratio	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.26

Table 2 Characteristics of the phases in the mesh. The physical size of one voxel is 8.8 µm

In the matrix, elements adjacent to aggregates are denoted as interphase elements, and the remainder of the matrix are bulk elements. The equivalent element size, which is defined as the cubic root of the volume of each element, was equal on average to 10 vx (or 53 µm) in the HQ configuration and 6 vx in the LQ setting (Table 2). The mesh fineness was identical in the matrix and the aggregates.



Fig. 4 Surface and volume meshes (red: siliceous aggregates, gray: limestone aggregates, yellow: matrix, green: notch). (a) Surface meshes. (b) Aggregate and (c) matrix meshes

¹⁴⁵ 2.3 Experimental Analysis

The in situ flexural test was performed with the LMT in situ Tension-Compression 146 (TC) testing machine designed at the MATEIS laboratory [27]. The load capacity 147 is ± 1 kN. Tensile or compressive loads can be applied by axial displacement of 148 the bottom actuator. The adapted setup for three-point flexural tests is shown 149 in Figure 5. The vertical motion of the bottom actuator with part A1 causes a 150 horizontal motion of support parts B and C thanks to 45° contact surfaces. The 151 shortening of the distance between B and C results in point load application to 152 the sample that is equivalent to three-point flexure. The parts of the flexural setup 153

were manufactured in an aluminium alloy as it has a high stiffness and reasonable X-ray attenuation compared to mortar, which allowed additional artifacts to be avoided. Thin adhesive tape was attached to the top and bottom faces of the beam for maintaining the sample and improving its stability during testing. The adhesive tape was also used for fastening the parts of the setup to ensure better control over sample positioning inside it, and for safe insertion of the setup into the tube of the TC machine.



Fig. 5 Schematic and actual views of the *in situ* three-point flexural setup

The in situ test consisted of several loading steps, and CT scans were per-161 formed at sustained and constant load. The experimental protocol and load levels 162 of CT scans are shown in Figure 6(a). The scans were acquired with the Phoenix 163 v|tome|x m scanner (Table 1). First, two successive scans were performed on the 164 undeformed specimen for uncertainty quantification. A small preload of 1 N was 165 applied to keep the specimen stable. Second, axial displacements were applied with 166 $0.5 \ \mu m/s$ rate until sample failure. The experimental configuration along with the 167 specimen geometry did not allow for a fine control of crack initiation and propa-168

gation. Failure was brittle, followed by substantial force drop, and very fast crack propagation on the major part of the ligament (Figure 6(b)). The second scan was carried out immediately after fracture. Third, an increment of axial displacement of 20 textmum was applied to further open the crack. After each loading, a 10 min dwell was applied before the next scan (*i.e.*, force relaxation was stabilized).

In the reported test, the loading point was not fully aligned with the notch. This off-axis loading resulted in a bifurcation of the fractured surface into two main cracks (Figure 6(b)). The Region of Interest (ROI) was chosen to encompass all fractured surfaces while maintaining a small gap with an irregular external surface. They were observed to cross the cement paste matrix, aggregate-matrix interfaces and limestone aggregates.



Fig. 6 (a) CT scans performed during *in situ* flexure. (b) 2D section of fractured specimen and DVC ROI

180 2.4 Regularized DVC for Heterogeneous Materials

1

¹⁸¹ DVC measures displacement fields in the selected ROI by registering the deformed ¹⁸² volumes g and the reference volume f assuming gray level conservation

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})) \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x})$ is the sought displacement field, and \mathbf{x} any voxel position within the ROI. The sought displacement field has to minimize the gap to gray level conservation

$$\min_{\{\mathbf{u}\}} \Phi_c^2 = \sum_{\text{ROI}} [f(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}))]^2$$
(2)

186 with

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n} u_n \boldsymbol{\phi}_n(\mathbf{x}) \tag{3}$$

where $\phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ are trial displacement fields, and u_n the associated Degrees Of Freedom (DOFs) gathered in the column vector {**u**}. In FE-DVC, the sought DOFs are the nodal displacements of a finite element discretization, and $\phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ the corresponding shape functions.

The minimization of Equation (2) is equivalent to iteratively solving a sequence of linear systems [54]

$$[\mathbf{M}]\{\delta\mathbf{u}\} = \{\mathbf{b}\}\tag{4}$$

where $[\mathbf{M}]$ is the DVC Hessian matrix, whose terms are products of the shape functions by the gradient of the reference volume, $\{\mathbf{b}\}$ the residual vector, which is a function of the difference between the reference and the corrected deformed volumes, and $\{\delta \mathbf{u}\}$ the corrections to the current estimate of the nodal displacement vector $\{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\}$.

The gray level residual field $\varphi_c = f(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}))$ represents the difference 198 between the volume in the reference configuration and that in the deformed con-199 figuration corrected by the measured displacement field. The global residual Φ_c , 200 which is to be minimized, is the root mean square (RMS) of the residual field φ_c 201 and serves as indicator of the consistency of the solution, namely, a smaller RMS 202 residual means a more trustworthy solution. Local high residual values may mark, 203 for example, discontinuities in displacements, or in other words, cracks [48,49, 204 47]. Last, it is worth noting that the residual fields are, by definition, Lagrangian 205 (*i.e.*, constructed in the reference configuration). 206

The correlation problem is ill-posed and the introduction of mechanical regularization is one of the ways to make it well-posed [51,55,52]. In regularized DVC, elasticity is enforced at a local level by the introduction of a penalty term based on the equilibrium gap

$$[\mathbf{K}_1]\{\mathbf{u}\} = \{\mathbf{f}_r\}\tag{5}$$

where $[\mathbf{K}_1]$ is the rectangular stiffness matrix restricted to inner nodes and tractionfree surfaces, and $\{\mathbf{f}_r\}$ the nodal force vector that must vanish in the absence of body forces. The mechanical penalty term is formulated as the *L*2-norm of the force residuals

$$\Phi_m^2 = \{\mathbf{u}\}^\top [\mathbf{K}_1]^\top [\mathbf{K}_1] \{\mathbf{u}\}$$
(6)

For other boundary (*i.e.*, Dirichlet) nodes, the fluctuations of tractions are regularized

$$\Phi_b^2 = \{\mathbf{u}\}^\top [\mathbf{K}_2]^\top [\mathbf{L}] [\mathbf{K}_2] \{\mathbf{u}\}$$
(7)

where $[\mathbf{L}]$ is based upon the Laplace-Beltrami operator [56], and $[\mathbf{K}_2]$ the rectangular stiffness matrix restricted to Dirichlet nodes. These additional cost functions do not have the same physical units as Φ_c^2 . Therefore, to minimize their weighted sum, they are normalized

$$\tilde{\varPhi}_m^2 = \frac{\varPhi_m^2}{\{\mathbf{v}\}^\top [\mathbf{K}]^\top [\mathbf{K}] \{\mathbf{v}\}}, \tilde{\varPhi}_b^2 = \frac{\varPhi_b^2}{\{\mathbf{v}\}^\top [\mathbf{L}]^T [\mathbf{L}] \{\mathbf{v}\}}, \tilde{\varPhi}_c^2 = \frac{\varPhi_c^2}{\{\mathbf{v}\}^\top [\mathbf{M}] \{\mathbf{v}\}}$$
(8)

where $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_0 \exp(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x})$ is the trial displacement field selected in the form of a plane wave, \mathbf{v}_0 the amplitude, and \mathbf{k} the wave vector [51,55]. The global minimization is performed on the weighted sum of the normalized cost functions

$$(1 + w_m + w_b)\Phi_t^2 = \tilde{\Phi}_c^2 + w_m \tilde{\Phi}_m^2 + w_b \tilde{\Phi}_b^2$$
(9)

where Φ_t is the total cost function. The weights w_m and w_b are defined as

$$w = w_m = w_b = (2\pi k \ell_{reg})^4$$
 (10)

where ℓ_{reg} is the regularization length, and $k = \|\mathbf{k}\|$ the wave number. Up to now, 225 mechanical regularization was based upon homogeneous, isotropic and linear elas-226 ticity [51,52]. If ℓ_{reg} is greater than the element size, mechanically inadmissible 227 displacement fluctuations are filtered out over a spatial domain of size propor-228 tional to ℓ_{reg} . In the case of damage or localized plastic strains, displacement 229 discontinuities are smeared over a domain whose size that depends on ℓ_{reg} [52,47]. 230 As the microstructure-based mesh differentiates matrix and aggregate elements 231 (Figure 4(c)), the proposed regularization can take into account differences in 232 elastic properties, as already performed in 2D DIC applied to a composite mate-233 rial [53]. For a two-phase material, the contrast C is introduced to denote the ratio 234 of Young's moduli of the matrix E_m and the inclusions E_i 235

$$C = \frac{E_i}{E_m} = \sqrt{\frac{w^i}{w^m}} = \left(\frac{\ell_{reg}^i}{\ell_{reg}^m}\right)^2 \tag{11}$$

where w^i and w^m denote the weight in the inclusions and in the matrix, respectively. In such formulation, C > 1 implies that inclusions are more rigid than the matrix, and more weight is put on the inclusion phase. Conversely, C < 1 corresponds to inclusions being more compliant and a larger weight is put on the matrix phase. The case C = 1 is equivalent to homogeneous elastic regularization. Mechanically regularized DVC was implemented within the Correli 3.0 frame-

work developed at LMT [57]. Table 3 gathers the DVC parameters utilized in the following analyses. For the sake of simplicity, ℓ_{reg} also denotes the regularization length in the matrix phase (*i.e.*, ℓ_{reg}^m), if heterogeneous regularization is performed since in the present case, C < 1 is very unlikely.

\mathbf{Ta}	ble	3	DVC	analysis	parameters
---------------	-----	---	-----	----------	------------

DVC software	Correli 3.0 [57]
Image filtering	none
Element length ℓ (mean)	$6 \text{ vx} (53 \mu\text{m}) \text{ in } in situ \text{ configuration}$
Shape functions	linear (4-noded tetrahedra)
Mesh	see Figure 4(b,c)
Matching criterion	Penalized sum of squared differences (Equation (9))
Interpolant	cubic
Displacement noise floor	see Figure 8(a)
Strain noise floor	see Figure 8(b)

246 3 DVC Results and Discussion

- ²⁴⁷ This section describes the DVC analysis workflow that begins with the mesh back-
- ²⁴⁸ tracking procedure [58]. Then, a quantification of uncertainties for different values

251 3.1 Mesh Backtracking

The microstructure-based mesh was reconstructed on the HQ scan with a $5.3 \,\mu m/vx$ 252 resolution acquired prior to the *in situ* test (see blue mesh in Figure 7). As men-253 tioned above, the HQ and in situ (LQ) scans were acquired with different reso-254 lutions and acquisition parameters (Table 1). Before performing DVC analyses, 255 the mesh had to be backtracked to take into account scale differences and rigid 256 body motions between the two scans (*i.e.*, initially mispositioned blue mesh in the 257 LQ configuration in Figure 7(left)). This step was important as if the mesh did 258 not match accurately the underlying microstructure, heterogeneous regularization 259 would be meaningless. 260

Backtracking consists in running a DVC analysis between the HQ scan (volume 261 f in Equation (1)) and the *in situ* scan (volume g) of the undeformed specimen to 262 find the displacement field \mathbf{u}_{b} linking the two configurations [58]. In the present 263 case, the HQ scan was binned to a resolution of $10.6 \ \mu m/vx$, and both scans 264 were converted into 8-bit volumes. An auxiliary mesh was used, consisting of a 265 rectangular hexahedral mesh composed of large T4 elements (their size was 25 vx 266 or 250 µm in the reference HQ configuration) that encompassed the volume of 267 the microstructure-based mesh (*i.e.*, $4.5 \times 4.75 \times 2.3$ mm, see the magenta mesh 268 depicted in Figure 7). 269



Fig. 7 Schematic view of the backtracking procedure enabling the (blue) mesh based on the HQ configuration (top) to be fitted to the *in situ* configuration (bottom) via DVC using an auxiliary (magenta)

 mesh

The calculation was initialized with a displacement field containing scaling and rigid body degrees of freedom. After DVC convergence, the displacement field \mathbf{u}_{aux} enabled the auxiliary mesh to be positioned in the LQ configuration. The interpolation of the displacement field \mathbf{u}_{aux} at the nodes of the meshed microstructure yielded \mathbf{u}_b , which gave the nodal coordinate corrections to fit it back to the *in situ* (LQ) configuration (*i.e.*, repositioned blue mesh in the LQ configuration shown in Figure 7(right)).

277 3.2 Uncertainty Quantification

Uncertainty quantifications were performed by running DVC analyses on the two scans performed on the undeformed specimen. Four different contrasts were considered to investigate the effects of this parameter on the results. First, the contrast C

was set to 1, which implied that the elastic properties of the different phases were 281 identical. This choice was equivalent to homogeneous mechanical regularization. 282 Second, the contrast C was set to $E_i/E_m = 70.0/13.3 = 5.26$, which corresponds 283 to realistic properties of mortar constituents when inclusions were more rigid that 284 the cementitious matrix [59]. Third, the case C = 0.5 was considered, which im-285 plied that inclusions were more compliant than the matrix. Last, the contrast was 286 set to a rather high value (C = 20), which was the case of highly rigid inclusions 287 and very compliant matrix. 288

DVC analyses were run with different regularization lengths. For the first step, ℓ_{reg} was set to a high value (*i.e.*, 150 vx). Then, the displacement field at convergence was used to initialize the next step where the regularization length was decreased. This step-by-step (relaxation) process was continued until ℓ_{reg} reached 15 vx. In this study, too small ℓ_{reg} values (*i.e.*, less than twice the element size) were observed to be ineffective for mechanical regularization. When C = 20, DVC calculations with $\ell_{reg} < 30$ vx could not converge.

Figure 8(a) shows the displacement uncertainties and Figure 8(b) the maxi-296 mum principal strain ϵ_1 uncertainties as functions of the regularization ℓ_{reg} . A 297 decrease of ℓ_{reg} leads to an increase in displacement and strains fluctuations. This 298 trend is consistent with the trade-off between spatial resolution (here controlled 299 by the regularization length) and measurement uncertainty. Further, the more 300 heterogeneous the regularization (i.e., C departs from one), the higher the fluc-301 tuations since the regularization contrast increased (Equation (11)). On a more 302 quantitative level, the strain uncertainty decay with ℓ_{reg} follows power laws that 303 are not too far from -2.5, which are expected when the dominant source of uncer-304

tainty corresponds to acquisition noise on the radiographs utilized to reconstruct
3D images [36].

Figure 8(c) shows the change of RMS residuals as functions of ℓ_{reg} . Their variation remained very limited since for any regularization length, the kinematics was very simple (essentially rigid body motions). These levels are baselines to which further analyses will be compared.



Fig. 8 (a) Displacement and (b) maximum principal strain uncertainties as functions of the regularization length for different contrasts. (c) Corresponding RMS residuals (note their small dynamic range)

311 3.3 DVC Analysis of Crack Propagation

The scan acquired right after specimen failure revealed that the crack had prop-312 agated on most of the ligament height. Therefore, DVC was run between the 313 undeformed scan and this first scan. For the first DVC step, the regularization 314 length was set to 100 vx, and gradually decreased down to 15 vx. The converged 315 solution for higher ℓ_{reg} was used as initialization for the next step with less weight 316 put on the mechanical part. The gradual relaxation of mechanical regularization 317 allowed most of the long wave displacement components to be captured, and then 318 iteratively converged to a better solution with short wave length fluctuations that 319 were gradually restored. This iterative process was carried out for C = 5.26. 320

3D renderings of thresholded Lagrangian residual fields are shown in Figure 9. 321 The maximum RMS level for $\ell_{reg} = 100$ vx was less than 1.4 times (287 gray level) 322 the baseline RMS residual (215 gray level). The highest absolute levels correspond 323 to the fractured surfaces, which were continuous and well defined. The absence of 324 high residuals in the intact zones proves a good overall registration quality and 325 efficient mechanical regularization of DVC. It is worth noting that when using the 326 gray level residuals, the detection of the cracks was straightforward as all other 327 heterogeneities, some of which had similar gray levels as the cracks, were no longer 328 visible. 329



Fig. 9 Thresholded Lagrangian residual field showing the fractured surfaces ($\ell_{reg} = 15 \text{ vx}$ and C = 5.26). (a) Face and (b) side views

In terms of displacements, cracks induce discontinuities that mechanical regularization tends to spread over a zone whose size depends on ℓ_{reg} [47]. The followed relaxation procedure led to displacement jumps localized in a smaller domain. Consequently, the corresponding strains were higher and more concentrated (Figure 10).



Fig. 10 Front face views of maximum principal strain ϵ_1 fields for different regularization lengths expressed in voxels (C = 5.26)

Figure 11(a) shows that the gray level residuals were essentially concentrated along the crack path. Very high maximum principal strains are observed in the zones where cracks were located. The differences in mechanical regularization performance in matrix and inclusions is visible in Figure 11(b). The crack crossed limestone aggregates (white in Figure 11(c)) where ℓ_{reg} was $\sqrt{C} = \sqrt{5.26} \approx 2.3$ times greater than in the matrix, which led to more diffuse strains with lower values.



Fig. 11 Midsections of (a) gray level residuals ($\ell_{reg} = 15 \text{ vx}$), (b) maximum principal strain ϵ_1 , and (c) deformed volume

342 3.4 Contrast Influence

The relaxation process was also carried out for C = 1.0 (*i.e.*, homogeneous regularization), and the results are compared with the previous calculations. Figure 12(a) shows the RMS residual history during the relaxation process for the two considered contrasts. For C > 1, the RMS residuals were systematically lower, and dropped to 273 gray levels, while for C = 1.0 the minimum level was 279 gray levels. These levels are rather close to the baselines (*i.e.*, 214-5 gray levels). This

349 observation shows that the regularization used herein was not too strong and did

³⁵⁰ not induce significant deviations.



Fig. 12 (a) RMS gray level residuals, and (b) maximum principal strain ϵ_1 fluctuations as functions of the regularization length ℓ_{reg} and contrast C

For the maximum principal strains (Figure 12(b)), their standard deviation was systematically larger for higher contrast, which allowed for more fluctuations especially at the interfaces between aggregates and the matrix to be captured. Moreover, the growth of strain fluctuations was more progressive for C = 5.26along with the relaxation of mechanical regularization.

To further investigate the influence of contrast, the regularization length ℓ_{reg} was set to 20 vx, and the contrast C was varied between 0.5 and 20. The maximum principal strain fields (Figure 13) demonstrate how elastic contrast contributed to crack localization. The higher C, the less regularization was put on the matrix and the crack localized in fewer elements. In heterogeneous cementitious materials, the difference in mechanical properties of phases causes matrix-aggregate interfaces to





³⁶³ heterogeneous regularization.

Fig. 13 Front face views of the maximum principal strain fields ϵ_1 for different contrasts $(\ell_{reg} = 20 \text{ vx})$

Figure 14(a) shows that higher contrasts resulted in lower residuals since they 364 allowed for more localized strains due to the presence of cracks. It is also reflected 365 in the increase of standard deviation of maximum principal strains (Figure 14(b)). 366 Although it may seem that taking a high value for C is a good option, the mean 367 of maximum principal strains grows as well with an increase of C. It is a signal 368 that too high contrasts result in "undeformed" aggregates and unphysical large 369 strains in the totality of the matrix. Therefore, taking a contrast value greater than 370 that based on physical properties of the constituents may result in displacement 371

³⁷² fields that are no longer physically correct. Therefore, in further calculations the





Fig. 14 (a) Change of RMS residual with contrast and regularization length. (b) Mean and standard deviation of the maximum principal strains ϵ_1 as functions of contrast ($\ell_{reg} = 20 \text{ vx}$)

374 4 Damage Quantification

After adding elastic contrast for heterogeneous mechanical regularization, the analysis was continued with the introduction of damage into mechanical regularization.
A study of crack opening displacements and crack morphology at the mesoscale is
finally proposed.

379 4.1 Damage Introduction into Mechanical Regularization

In the first stage, the heterogeneous regularization was taking into account the difference in mechanical behavior of different phases. In the present stage, damage can be considered in a similar manner [47]. The weight of mechanical regularization can be significantly reduced in the fractured zone, thereby allowing for large local strains. The damaged elements were selected based on two criteria, namely, the average residual per element and Crack Opening Displacement (COD) $\llbracket u_I \rrbracket$, which is estimated as

$$\llbracket u_I \rrbracket = \epsilon_1 \ \ell \tag{12}$$

by neglecting the regular contribution to mean strains [60]. To study the effect 387 of damage in mechanical regularization, two contrasts C were considered, namely, 388 1.0 and 5.26. The results of previous analyses with ℓ_{reg} = 20 vx were chosen to 389 initialize calculations and select damaged elements. The thresholds and number of 390 selected damaged elements for both cases are listed in Table 4. For the gray level 391 residuals, the baseline levels were observed to be equal to 214-5 (Figure 8(a)). 392 Consequently, the thresholds were selected as about two times this level to avoid 393 false cracks. For the COD, their uncertainties were observed to be less than 0.1 vx394 with the evaluated strain uncertainties (Figure 8(b)). Consequently, the threshold 395 COD for detecting damaged elements was set to 0.1 vx. The number of selected 396 damaged elements for the two contrasts were very close. It is concluded that the 397 selected criteria allowed for a reproducible description of the damaged zones. For 398 a higher contrast (*i.e.*, C = 5.26), the maximum principal strains in the damaged 399 zones were higher (Figure 13), and consequently the crack opening displacement. 400 The number of detected damaged elements was also slightly higher. 401

Thresholds	C = 1	C = 5.26
RMS residual, gray level	4	400
COD, vx		0.1
Number of damaged elements	18,076	18,206

 Table 4
 Damaged element selection

The detected damaged elements for C = 5.26 are presented in Figure 15. The damaged zone is continuous and replicates well the residual fields corresponding to fractured surfaces (Figure 9), thereby validating the choice of residual and COD criteria. The thickness of damaged zone consists of several elements since the mesh does not describe explicitly the fracture surface and, as a consequence, high residual values corresponding to the crack span over several elements in its vicinity.



Fig. 15 3D renderings of damaged elements (corresponding to cracks) in the mechanical regularization ($\ell_{reg} = 20$ vx, C = 5.26). (a) Side and (b) face views

Introducing a lower stiffness in damaged elements will help localize the inelastic strains due to cracks. Thus the weight of mechanical regularization in the remainder of the volume could be increased. In the following calculations, the regularization length was set to $\ell_{reg} = 60$ vx in order to increase the weight put on mechanical regularization in undamaged elements for which heterogeneous elastic⁴¹⁴ ity is a very good approximation. Three different values were considered for the ⁴¹⁵ Young's modulus of the damaged elements E_d , namely, $0.2E_m$, $0.1E_m$ and $0.05E_m$. ⁴¹⁶ Figure 16 shows the maximum principal strain fields for different Young's moduli ⁴¹⁷ of damaged elements. Lower mechanical weight put in the damaged zones does ⁴¹⁸ allow for a better localization of strains in the cracked zones.



Fig. 16 Front face views of the maximum principal strain fields ϵ_1 for different elastic moduli of damaged elements ($\ell_{reg} = 60 \text{ vx}, C = 5.26$)

Higher damage levels also led to lower global residuals (Figure 17), meaning 419 convergence to a better solution, as well as higher strain fluctuations. Last, setting 420 the damaged stiffness to values closer to zero (*i.e.*, $E_d < 0.05E_m$ in this study) 421 resulted in the divergence of the DVC calculations. The elastic modulus of the 422 damaged area E_d should be therefore set to the lowest possible value to allow for 423 localized strains to occur, while retaining proper convergence of the DVC compu-424 tations. Based on these results, in further calculations the Young's modulus of the 425 damaged elements was set to 5% of the matrix modulus (*i.e.*, $E_d = 0.05 E_m$). 426



Fig. 17 Standard deviation of the maximum principal strain ϵ_1 compared to the RMS residual for different elastic moduli of damaged elements ($\ell_{reg} = 60 \text{ vx}, C = 5.26$)

Figure 18 shows the maximum principal strain field for different contrasts and damage configurations. The introduction of damage into mechanical regularization was more effective for crack localization than the consideration of mechanical contrast between different phases (Figure 13). With the highest contrast, damage could also be better described at interfaces between the matrix and the aggregates.



Fig. 18 Front face views of the maximum principal strain fields ϵ_1 for different contrasts and damage ($\ell_{reg} = 60 \text{ vx}$)

Figure 19 displays the change of RMS residual and fluctuations of ϵ_1 fields characterized by their standard deviation. In terms of residual, the introduction of damage lowered their overall levels. The combination of both methods (*i.e.*, contrast and damage) gives a better solution in terms of residual levels and strain localization, which indicates a more correct description of the physical mechanisms. These results were kept for further damage quantification.



Fig. 19 Standard deviation of the maximum principal strain ϵ_1 compared to the RMS residual for different contrasts and damage implementations ($\ell_{reg} = 60 \text{ vx}$)

438 4.2 Characterization of CODs

The dominant crack was observed to cross the cement paste matrix, aggregate-439 matrix interfaces and limestone aggregates. Once the DVC analysis was performed 440 and the damaged elements detected, the damaged elements belonging to the ma-441 trix, interphases and aggregates were quantified (Figure 20). In cementitious mate-442 rials, matrix-aggregate interfaces have different chemical compositions along with 443 higher porosity. It is considered that due to the lower properties and mismatch of 444 mechanical properties, damage initiates preferably in such interfacial zones, which 445 lead to debonding [61]. Experimental evidence shows that the interfacial zone 446 width varies in the 10-50 μm range [62,63]. Since the average element size was 447 \approx 50 µm, the matrix elements adjacent to aggregates were considered as interphase 448 elements for further analysis (Table 2). 449



Fig. 20 Damaged elements belonging to (a) the matrix, (b) interphases, and (c) aggregates

The distribution of damaged zones between the three phases is reported in Table 5. The volume fraction of damaged elements was mostly in the matrix (>90%) with almost equal partitioning between interphases and matrix bulk. The maximum average COD is in interphases (7.3 μ m), and the minimum is in the matrix bulk (6.2 μ m). The highest COD fluctuations, which were characterized by their standard deviation, was in the aggregates (5.6 μ m). For the other phases, the COD fluctuations were similar.

Parameters	Total	Bulk matrix	Interphases	Aggregates
Number of elements in damaged zone	18,206	8,340	8,329	1,537
Percentage of elements in damage zone	100%	46%	46%	8%
Damaged volume, mm ³	2.42	1.10	1.09	0.23
Average COD, µm	6.8	6.2	7.3	7.2
COD standard deviation, µm	4.6	4.2	4.7	5.6

 Table 5
 Damaged zone characteristics

- ⁴⁵⁷ The crack opening displacement was averaged over the total width of the sam-
- ⁴⁵⁸ ple and over its height with a 0.132 mm step. Figure 21(a) shows the COD field in

(a)

459

460



the specimen. Figure 21(b) reports the averaged crack opening displacement along

the specimen height for the entire crack, and Figure 21(c) for each individual phase.

Fig. 21 (a) Cross-section (at x = 2.5 mm) of the COD field. (b) Average COD and (c) average COD per phase along the specimen height

(b)

(c)

The crack started below the notch root at 3.0 µm width and its opening in-461 creased significantly up to 7.1 µm when it reached the notch root. Then the COD 462 grew slowly until it reached its maximum level of 8.5 µm at the mid-ligament 463 height, where a large aggregate with a vertical interface was located. Beyond the 464 mid-ligament, the COD decreased down to 1.5 µm at 3.9 mm specimen height. The 465 COD in the matrix bulk peaked at the notch root $(7.4 \ \mu m)$ and then gradually 466 decreased as it propagated upward. The COD at interphases was higher than in 467 the matrix bulk over a significant part of the ligament with a maximum opening of 468 9.6 µm. The COD in aggregates was fluctuating considerably along the specimen 469 height. This effect was due to the relatively small number of cracked aggregates 470

and their sparse distribution in the volume (Figure 20(c)). However, locally the
COD could reach 9.6 µm.

473 **5** Conclusion and Perspectives

In the present study, an in situ three-point flexural test was carried out in an 474 X-ray CT scanner on a small-scale mortar microbeam. A realistic 3D mesh was 475 built consistently with the imaged underlying microstructure (based on a 5.3 μ m 476 resolution tomographic scan acquired prior to the *in situ* test). Mechanically reg-477 ularized FE-DVC was adapted to heterogeneous materials by taking into account 478 the difference between the elastic properties of the different phases of mortar. The 479 introduction of elastic contrast C allowed the strain heterogeneities related to the 480 underlying microstructure to be better described, and the inelastic strains to be 481 better captured in the damaged zones. The contrast study showed that prescribing 482 contrast levels above the expected properties of phases could result in unrealistic 483 strains in the matrix. It is worth emphasizing that realistic Young's moduli of 484 the various phases are needed to obtain trustworthy strain fields, especially when 485 stronger mechanical regularization is enforced. 486

Furthermore, the damaged elements were selected based on previous computations for the introduction of damage in the regularization scheme. The Young's modulus in damaged elements was set to 5% of that in the undamaged matrix, which allowed displacement measurements to be more localized in the fractured zone. The estimation of crack opening displacements and crack morphology was carried out and gave more insight into the damage mechanisms at the mesoscale. The study thus demonstrated the potential of mechanically regularized FE-DVC ⁴⁹⁴ enriched with microstructure-based features for the quantification of damage at⁴⁹⁵ lower scales.

In future studies, the construction of 3D meshes consistent with fractured surfaces may improve the estimation of crack opening displacements [64,65]. The test reported herein may also be used to calibrate numerical models accounting for the various damage mechanisms discussed herein.

Acknowledgements The work reported herein was carried out within the framework of the
 CEA-EDF-Framatome agreement.

502 Conflict of interest

⁵⁰³ The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

504 References

- E. Maire and P. J. Withers. Quantitative x-ray tomography. International Materials
 Reviews, 59(1):1–43, 2014.
- W. Trawiński, J. Tejchman, and J. Bobiński. A three-dimensional meso-scale modelling of
 concrete fracture, based on cohesive elements and x-ray µCT images. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 189:27–50, 2018.
- Z.-J. Yang, B.-B. Li, and J.-Y. Wu. X-ray computed tomography images based phase-field
 modeling of mesoscopic failure in concrete. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 208:151–170,
 2019.
- S. T. Erdogan, P. N. Quiroga, D. W. Fowler, H. A. Saleh, R. A. Livingston, E. J. Garboczi,
 P. M. Ketcham, J. G. Hagedorn, and S. G. Satterfield. Three-dimensional shape analysis
 of coarse aggregates: New techniques for and preliminary results on several different coarse
- aggregates and reference rocks. Cement and Concrete Research, 36(9):1619–1627, 2006.

- 5. D. P. Bentz, Nicos S. Martys, P. Stutzman, M. S. Levenson, E. J. Garboczi, J. Dunsmuir,
 and L. M. Schwartz. X-ray microtomography of an astm c109 mortar exposed to sulfate
 attack. MRS Online Proceedings Library (OPL), 370, 1994.
- 6. E. J. Garboczi and J. W. Bullard. Shape analysis of a reference cement. Cement and
 Concrete Research, 34(10):1933–1937, 2004.
- F. J. Garboczi. Three-dimensional mathematical analysis of particle shape using x-ray
 tomography and spherical harmonics: Application to aggregates used in concrete. Cement
 and Concrete Research, 32(10):1621–1638, 2002.
- 8. H. He, Z. Guo, P. Stroeven, M. Stroeven, and L. J. Sluys. Strategy on simulation of
 arbitrary-shaped cement grains in concrete. *Image Analysis & Stereology*, 29(2):79–84,
 2010.
- E. Roubin, E. Andò, and S. Roux. The colours of concrete as seen by x-rays and neutrons.
 Cement and Concrete Composites, 104:103336, 2019.
- 530 10. D. P. Bentz, D. A. Quenard, H. M. Kunzel, J. Baruchel, F. Peyrin, N. S. Martys, and
- E. J. Garboczi. Microstructure and transport properties of porous building materials. II:
- Three-dimensional x-ray tomographic studies. *Materials and Structures*, 33(3):147–153,
 2000.
- K. Xu, A.S. Tremsin, J. Li, D.M. Ushizima, C.A. Davy, A. Bouterf, Y.T. Su, M. Marroccoli,
 A.M. Mauro, M. Osanna, A. Telesca, and P. J. M. Monteiro. Microstructure and water
 absorption of ancient concrete from pompeii: An integrated synchrotron microtomography
 and neutron radiography characterization. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 139:106282,
 2021.
- E. Gallucci, K. Scrivener, A. Groso, M. Stampanoni, and G. Margaritondo. 3d experimental investigation of the microstructure of cement pastes using synchrotron x-ray microtomography (μCT). Cement and Concrete Research, 37(3):360-368, 2007.
- M. A. B. Promentilla, T. Sugiyama, T. Hitomi, and N. Takeda. Quantification of tortuosity
 in hardened cement pastes using synchrotron-based x-ray computed microtomography.
 Cement and Concrete Research, 39(6):548–557, 2009.
- I4. J. L. Provis, R. J. Myers, C. E. White, V. Rose, and J. S. J. van Deventer. X-ray microtomography shows pore structure and tortuosity in alkali-activated binders. *Cement and*
- 547 Concrete Research, 42(6):855–864, 2012.

553

555

- 15. S. Lu, E. N. Landis, and D. T. Keane. X-ray microtomographic studies of pore structure 548 and permeability in portland cement concrete. Materials and Structures, 39(6):611-620, 549 2006.550
- 16. D. P. Bentz. Three-dimensional computer simulation of portland cement hydration and 551 microstructure development. Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 80(1):3-21, 1997. 552
- 17. D. Bouvard, J. M. Chaix, R. Dendievel, A. Fazekas, J. M. Létang, G. Peix, and D. Que-
- nard. Characterization and simulation of microstructure and properties of EPS lightweight 554 concrete. Cement and Concrete Research, 37(12):1666-1673, 2007.
- 18. O. Stamati, E. Roubin, E. Andò, and Y. Malecot. Tensile failure of micro-concrete: 556 557 from mechanical tests to FE meso-model with the help of x-ray tomography. Meccanica, 54(4):707-722, 2019. 558
- 19. T. T. Nguyen, J. Yvonnet, M. Bornert, and C. Chateau. Initiation and propagation of 559 complex 3d networks of cracks in heterogeneous quasi-brittle materials: direct comparison 560 561 between in situ testing-microCT experiments and phase field simulations. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 95:320, 2016. 562
- 20. W. Ren, Z. Yang, R. Sharma, S. A. McDonald, and P. M. Mummery. Three-dimensional 563 in situ XCT characterisation and FE modelling of cracking in concrete. Complexity, 564 2018:e3856584, 2018. 565
- 21. F. Bernachy-Barbe and B. Bary. Effect of aggregate shapes on local fields in 3d mesoscale 566 simulations of the concrete creep behavior. Finite Elements in Analysis and Design, 567 156:13-23, 2019. 568
- 22. N. Burlion, D. Bernard, and D. Chen. X-ray microtomography: Application to microstruc-569 570 ture analysis of a cementitious material during leaching process. Cement and Concrete Research, 36(2):346-357, 2006. 571
- 23. T. Rougelot, N. Burlion, D. Bernard, and F. Skoczylas. About microcracking due to 572 leaching in cementitious composites: X-ray microtomography description and numerical 573 approach. Cement and Concrete Research, 40(2):271-283, 2010. 574
- 24. S. R Stock, N. K Naik, A. P Wilkinson, and K. E Kurtis. X-ray microtomography (mi-575 croCT) of the progression of sulfate attack of cement paste. Cement and Concrete Re-576 search, 32(10):1673-1675, 2002. 577

- 25. F. Bernachy-Barbe, T. Sayari, V. Dewynter-Marty, and V. L'Hostis. Using x-ray micro-578 tomography to study the initiation of chloride-induced reinforcement corrosion in cracked 579 concrete. Construction and Building Materials, 259:119574, 2020. 580 26. B. Powierza, L. Stelzner, T. Oesch, C. Gollwitzer, F. Weise, and G. Bruno. Water migration 581 in one-side heated concrete: 4d in-situ CT monitoring of the moisture-clog-effect. Journal 582 of Nondestructive Evaluation, 38(1):15, 2018. 583 27. J. Y. Buffiere, E. Maire, J. Adrien, J. P. Masse, and E. Boller. In situ experiments with x 584 ray tomography: an attractive tool for experimental mechanics. Experimental Mechanics, 585 50(3):289-305, 2010. 586 28. E. N Landis, E. N Nagy, and D. T Keane. Microstructure and fracture in three dimensions. 587 Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 70(7):911-925, 2003. 588 29. E. Landis. X-ray tomography as a tool for micromechanical investigations of cement and 589 mortar. Advances in X-ray Tomography for Geomaterials, pages 79-93, 2010. 590 30. H. Elaqra, N. Godin, G. Peix, M. R'Mili, and G. Fantozzi. Damage evolution analysis in 591 mortar, during compressive loading using acoustic emission and x-ray tomography: Effects 592 of the sand/cement ratio. Cement and Concrete Research, 37(5):703-713, 2007. 593 31. R. C. K. Wong and K. T. Chau. Estimation of air void and aggregate spatial distributions 594 in concrete under uniaxial compression using computer tomography scanning. Cement 595 and Concrete Research, 35(8):1566-1576, 2005. 596 32. C. Poinard, E. Piotrowska, Y. Malecot, L. Daudeville, and E. N. Landis. Compression 597 triaxial behavior of concrete: the role of the mesostructure by analysis of x-ray tomographic 598 images. European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering, 16:s115-s136, 2012. 599 33. K. Wakimoto, J. Blunt, C. Carlos, P. J. M. Monteiro, C. P. Ostertag, and R. Albert. Digital 600 laminography assessment of the damage in concrete exposed to freezing temperatures. 601 Cement and Concrete Research, 38(10):1232-1245, 2008. 602 34. M. J. Mac, M. H. N. Yio, H. S. Wong, and N. R. Buenfeld. Analysis of autogenous 603 shrinkage-induced microcracks in concrete from 3d images. Cement and Concrete Re-604 search, 144:106416, 2021. 605
- 35. B K Bay. Methods and applications of digital volume correlation. The Journal of Strain
- 607 Analysis for Engineering Design, 43(8):745–760, 2008.

- 36. A. Buljac, C. Jailin, A. Mendoza, J. Neggers, T. Taillandier-Thomas, A. Bouterf, B. Smaniotto, F. Hild, and S. Roux. Digital volume correlation: Review of progress and challenges. *Experimental Mechanics*, 58(5):661–708, 2018.
- 37. B. K. Bay, T. S. Smith, D. P. Fyhrie, and M. Saad. Digital volume correlation: Threedimensional strain mapping using x-ray tomography. *Experimental Mechanics*, 39(3):217–
 226, 1999.
- 614 38. S. Roux, F. Hild, P. Viot, and D. Bernard. Three dimensional image correlation from
- x-ray computed tomography of solid foam. Composites Part A: Applied Science and
 Manufacturing, 39(8):1253–1265, 2008.
- 39. N. Ducoulombier, C. Chateau, M. Bornert, J.-F. Caron, P. Aimedieu, T. Weitkamp, J. Perrin, A. King, and M. Scheel. X-ray tomographic observations of microcracking patterns
 in fibre-reinforced mortar during tension stiffening tests. *Strain*, 56(6):e12347, 2020.
- 40. S. Hong, P. Liu, J. Zhang, C. Kuang, B. Dong, Q. Luo, and W. Liu. Interior fracture
 analysis of rubber-cement composites based on x-ray computed tomography and digital
 volume correlation. *Construction and Building Materials*, 259:119833, 2020.
- 41. R. Lorenzoni, I. Curosu, F. Léonard, S. Paciornik, V. Mechtcherine, F. A. Silva, and
 G. Bruno. Combined mechanical and 3d-microstructural analysis of strain-hardening
 cement-based composites (SHCC) by in-situ x-ray microtomography. *Cement and Con-*
- 626 crete Research, 136:106139, 2020.
- 42. L. Mao, Z. Yuan, M. Yang, H. Liu, and Fu-pen Chiang. 3d strain evolution in concrete using
 in situ x-ray computed tomography testing and digital volumetric speckle photography.
 Measurement, 133:456-467, 2019.
- 43. M. Mostafavi, N. Baimpas, E. Tarleton, R. C. Atwood, S. A. McDonald, A. M. Korsunsky,
 and T. J. Marrow. Three-dimensional crack observation, quantification and simulation in
 a quasi-brittle material. *Acta Materialia*, 61(16):6276–6289, 2013.
- 44. F. Bennai, C. El Hachem, K. Abahri, and R. Belarbi. Microscopic hydric characterization
 of hemp concrete by x-ray microtomography and digital volume correlation. *Construction and Building Materials*, 188:983–994, 2018.
- 45. E. A. Chavez Panduro, B. Cordonnier, K. Gawel, I. Børve, J. Iyer, S. A. Carroll, L. Michels,
- 637 M. Rogowska, J. A. McBeck, H. O. Sørensen, S. D. C. Walsh, F. Renard, A. Gibaud,

- M. Torsæter, and D. W. Breiby. Real time 3d observations of portland cement carbonation 638 at CO2 storage conditions. Environmental Science & Technology, 54(13):8323-8332, 2020. 639 46. H. Jiang, H. Ji, N. Jin, Y. Tian, X. Jin, H. Ye, D. Yan, and Z. Tian. Simulation and ex-640 perimental verification of the non-uniform corrosion cracking process of reinforced mortar 641 specimen. Construction and Building Materials, 265:120522, 2020. 642 47. F. Hild, A. Bouterf, and S. Roux. Damage measurements via DIC. International Journal 643 of Fracture, 191(1):77-105, 2015. 644 48. F. Hild, A. Fanget, J. Adrien, E. Maire, and S. Roux. Three-dimensional analysis of 645 a tensile test on a propellant with digital volume correlation. Archives of Mechanics, 646 63(5):1-20, 2011.647 49. F. Hild, S. Roux, D. Bernard, G. Hauss, and M. Rebai. On the use of 3d images and 648 3d displacement measurements for the analysis of damage mechanisms in concrete-like 649 650 materials. In VIII International Conference on Fracture Mechanics of Concrete and Concrete Structures FraMCoS-8, 2013. 651 50. C. Chateau, T. T. Nguyen, M. Bornert, and J. Yvonnet. DVC-based image subtraction 652 to detect microcracking in lightweight concrete. Strain, 54(5):e12276, 2018. 653 51. H. Leclerc, J.-N. Périé, S. Roux, and F. Hild. Voxel-scale digital volume correlation. 654 Experimental Mechanics, 51(4):479-490, 2011. 655 52. T. Taillandier-Thomas, S. Roux, T. F. Morgeneyer, and F. Hild. Localized strain field 656 measurement on laminography data with mechanical regularization. Nuclear Instruments 657 and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 658 324:70-79, 2014.659 53. R. Naylor, F. Hild, C. Fagiano, M. Hirsekorn, Y. Renollet, B. Tranquart, and E. Baranger. 660 Mechanically regularized FE DIC for heterogeneous materials. Experimental Mechanics, 661 59(8):1159-1170, 2019. 662 54. F. Hild and S. Roux. Digital image correlation. In K. Rastogi Pramod and Hack Er-663 win, editors, Optical Methods for Solid Mechanics. A Full-Field Approach, pages 183-228. 664 Wiley-VCH. 665
 - 55. H. Leclerc, J.N. Périé, F. Hild, and S. Roux. Digital volume correlation: What are the
 limits to the spatial resolution? *Mechanics & Industry*, 13:361–371, 2012.

- 56. A. Mendoza, J. Neggers, F. Hild, and S. Roux. Complete mechanical regularization applied
 to digital image and volume correlation. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 355:27–43, 2019.
- 57. H. Leclerc, J. Neggers, F. Mathieu, F. Hild, and S. Roux. Correli 3.0. Agence pour la
 Protection des Programmes, Paris (France). IDDN.FR.001.520008.000.S.P.2015.000.31500,
 2015.
- 58. P. Auger, T. Lavigne, B. Smaniotto, M. Spagnuolo, F. dell'isola, and F. Hild. Poynting effects in pantographic metamaterial captured via multiscale DVC. *Journal of Strain*
- 676 Analysis for Engineering Design, 56(7):462–477, 2021.
- 59. A. Tsitova, F. Bernachy-Barbe, B. Bary, and F. Hild. In-situ x-CT test on mortar microspecimen coupled with mesoscale numerical simulations of fracture. In F. Kanavaris,
 F. Benboudjema, and M. Azenha, editors, *International RILEM Conference on Early-Age and Long-Term Cracking in RC Structures*, RILEM Bookseries, pages 239–251. Springer
 International Publishing, 2021.
- 60. A.A. Vakulenko and M.L. Kachanov. Continuum theory of medium with cracks. *Isv. AN* SSSR, Mekh. Tverdogo Tela, 4:159–166, 1971.
- 61. J. P. Ollivier, J. C. Maso, and B. Bourdette. Interfacial transition zone in concrete.
 Advanced Cement Based Materials, 2(1):30–38, January 1995.
- 626 62. K. L. Scrivener, A. K. Crumbie, and P. Laugesen. The interfacial transition zone (ITZ)
 between cement paste and aggregate in concrete. *Interface Science*, 12(4):411–421, 2004.
- 638 63. P. Mondal, S. P. Shah, and L. D. Marks. Nanomechanical properties of interfacial transition
- zone in concrete. In Z. Bittnar, P. J. M. Bartos, J. Němeček, V. Šmilauer, and J. Zeman,
 editors, Nanotechnology in Construction 3, pages 315–320. Springer, 2009.
- 64. J. Réthoré, J.P. Tinnes, S. Roux, J.Y. Buffière, and F. Hild. Extended three-dimensional
 digital image correlation (X3D-DIC). *Comptes Rendus Mécanique*, 336:643–649, 2008.
- 653 65. J. Rannou, N. Limodin, J. Réthoré, A. Gravouil, W. Ludwig, M.C. Baïetto, J.Y. Buffière,
- A. Combescure, F. Hild, and S. Roux. Three dimensional experimental and numerical
- multiscale analysis of a fatigue crack. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and En-
- *gineering*, 199:1307–1325, 2010.