

Single-chain variable fragment antibody constructs neutralize measles virus infection in vitro and in vivo

Cyrille Mathieu, Marion Ferren, Olivia Harder, Francesca Bovier, Tara Marcink, Camilla Predella, Fabrizio Angius, Jennifer Drew-Bear, N. Valerio Dorrello, Alex Greninger, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Cyrille Mathieu, Marion Ferren, Olivia Harder, Francesca Bovier, Tara Marcink, et al.. Single-chain variable fragment antibody constructs neutralize measles virus infection in vitro and in vivo. Cellular and molecular immunology, 2021, 18 (7), pp.1835-1837. 10.1038/s41423-021-00691-y. hal-03357620

HAL Id: hal-03357620 https://hal.science/hal-03357620

Submitted on 17 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 Single-chain variable fragment antibody constructs neutralize measles virus infection *in vitro* and *in*

2 *vivo*

Cyrille Mathieu^{1,2,3*}, Marion Ferren^{1,2,3}, Olivia Harder⁴, Francesca T. Bovier^{1,2,5}, Tara C. Marcink^{1,2}, 3 Camilla Predella², Fabrizio Angius^{1,2}, Jennifer Drew-Bear^{1,2}, Valerio N. Dorrello², Alex L. Greninger^{6,7}, 4 Anne Moscona^{1,2,8,9}, Stefan Niewiesk⁴, Branka Horvat³ and Matteo Porotto^{1,2,5*} 5 6 ¹Center for Host-Pathogen Interaction, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and 7 Surgeons, New York, New York, USA. 8 ²Department of Pediatrics, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, New 9 York, New York, USA. 10 ³CIRI, International Center for Infectiology Research, Inserm, U1111, University Claude Bernard Lyon 11 1, CNRS, UMR5308, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, France. 12 ⁴Department of Veterinary Biosciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, The Ohio State University, 13 Columbus, Ohio, United States. 14 ⁵Department of Experimental Medicine, University of Campania, 81100 Caserta, Caserta, Italy. ⁶Department of Laboratory Medicine, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, 15 16 USA. ⁷Vaccine and Infectious Disease Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA. 17 18 ⁸Department of Microbiology & Immunology, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and 19 Surgeons, New York, New York, USA. 20 ⁹Department of Physiology & Cellular Biophysics, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians 21 and Surgeons, New York, New York, United States.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Cyrille Mathieu (cyrille.mathieu@inserm.fr) and
 Matteo Porotto (mp3509@cumc.columbia.edu).

One-Sentence Summary: Targeting the measles F protein in its prefusion state neutralizes *in vitro* and *in vivo* infection.

26 **Key words:** Viral fusion; neutralizing epitopes; antiviral activity.

27 Despite the availability of an effective measles virus (MeV) vaccine and efforts to increase 28 vaccine coverage by the WHO, UNICEF, and their partners, MeV has not been eradicated, and the 29 estimated global measles death rose from 89,780 in 2016 to 207,500 in 2019¹. Because there is an 30 effective measles vaccine, antiviral development for measles has not been prioritized, but recent 31 outbreaks have highlighted the need for drugs to prevent transmission in unvaccinated populations 32 and to protect and treat immunocompromised individuals. We identified several neutralizing mouse 33 monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) that target the MeV fusion (F) protein in its prefusion state² and 34 inhibit fusion and viral infection. We engineered a single-chain variable fragment (scFv) from the 35 most potent anti-MeV F mAb. The scFv retains the ability to inhibit fusion and prevents infection in 36 vitro, and intranasal administration of the scFv antibody construct prevents infection in vivo.

37 MeV infects activated SLAM/CD150-expressing immune cells in the respiratory tract, from which the virus invades immune tissues³. Upon attachment of MeV to cell surface receptors, entry is 38 39 mediated by the MeV receptor-binding (H) and F proteins, which comprise the H/F viral fusion complex on the surface of the virus³⁻⁵ (Fig. 1A). Viral infection can be blocked at several steps during 40 41 entry. MAbs that block H-receptor interaction and thereby interfere with entry have been described⁶. 42 Entry can also be inhibited by interfering with the refolding step whereby the F protein attains a 43 stable postfusion state – the process that drives membrane fusion during viral entry. This step relies 44 on interaction between the complementary heptad repeat (HR) regions at the N- and C-termini of the 45 protein (HRN and HRC). In previous studies, we demonstrated the potential of HR-targeting peptides as antiviral agents in vivo⁶. Several mAbs with neutralizing activity against MeV F have been 46

identified^{7,8}, and for one of these mAbs (mAb 186), neutralization potency correlated with
recognition of the prefusion state of F⁹. However, mutation at F position 70 resulted in a naturally
occurring mAb 186 escape mutant^{7,8} (Fig. 1A and SFigs. 1-2); the IC323 strain has a K at F position 70,
while the F of the circulating strain G954 has an R at this position and is not recognized by mAb 186
(see SFigs. 1-3).

52 We assessed viral neutralization by two mouse mAbs that target the prefusion F protein (77.4 53 and Y503, SFig. 3H-K). From the most potent antibody – 77.4 – we engineered an scFv by fusing the 54 variable regions of the heavy (VH) and light chains (VL) of mAb 77.4 (SFig. 4A). The scFv construct was 55 expressed in eukaryotic cells and affinity purified using a 6x histidine tag (see SI). The neutralizing 56 activity of the scFv is shown in Fig. 1B-C (62.5 µg/ml or 2280 nM scFv is shown in 1C; additional data 57 are shown in SFig. 4). The scFv inhibited viral infection (Fig. 1B-C) and specifically bound to MeV F (SFig. 4E-F). Finally, we assessed whether the scFv blocked cell-cell fusion mediated by either MeV 58 IC323 or G954 H/F fusion complexes using a beta-galactosidase (β -Gal) complementation assay⁶ that 59 60 measures the fusion of cells that express viral envelope glycoproteins (MeV IC323 or G954 H/F) with 61 cells that express SLAM (Fig. 1D). The scFv inhibited cell fusion mediated by both the IC323 and G954 62 H/F fusion complexes (Fig. 1D).

To assess anti-MeV prophylaxis by the scFv *in vivo*, we used cotton rats as an infection model. The scFv antibody construct was administered intranasally 24 and 12 h before infection. Four days after infection, the animals were euthanized, and lung viral titers were measured. Treatment with scFv significantly reduced lung viral titers compared with those of untreated controls (Fig. 1E). We assessed three doses (0.25, 0.5 and 1 mg/ml) and observed that the two highest doses of scFv completely inhibited lung infection. The inhibition of infection *in vivo* after intranasal administration of scFv suggests that targeting the prefusion state of MeV F protein is a feasible anti-MeV strategy.

Next, we investigated the possibility of synergy between the scFv construct, which targets the
early prefusion state of F, and HR-targeting antiviral peptides (HRC4) that target a later step, the

refolding of the F protein after activation (Fig. 1F-G). Fusion of cells that express viral envelope glycoproteins with cells that express Nectin-4, a second MeV receptor, was quantified as shown in Fig. 1D. The results were analyzed with Combenefit software to obtain the additive curve based on the curves of the two inhibitors alone and to compare this with the result of the inhibitors added together (see also SFig. 5), revealing significant synergy between scFv and HRC4 for inhibiting viral fusion. Similar results were obtained when we assessed the combined effects of mAb 77.4 and HRC4 on viral fusion (see SFig. 6).

Grafting the variable region to a single-chain antibody would reduce the size of the inhibitor and may permit the construct to cross the blood-brain barrier to address central nervous system manifestations of disease. Intranasal administration of the scFv construct was sufficient to neutralize MeV infection, suggesting that these modifications may be tolerated without loss of efficacy. Targeting viral entry is an ideal approach to prevent transmission of respiratory viruses¹⁰. Future strategies will entail either combination of the two entry inhibitors or conjugation of HRC4 and scFv (or a single-chain antibody) into a single bifunctional inhibitor.

86

87 Figure 1 – A single-chain variable fragment (scFv) derived from mAb 77.4 inhibits MeV infection in 88 vitro and in vivo. (A) Measles virus (MeV) fusion (F) protein in PyMOL (Schrödinger) using the crystal 89 structure of MeV prefusion F ectodomain² (PDBID: 5YXW). (B-C) scFv inhibits MeV infection: Vero-90 SLAM cells treated with the indicated concentration of scFv (or not treated) were infected with MeV 91 IC323-EGFP at a multiplicity of infection of 0.001. Images were obtained using epifluorescence 92 microscopy 48 h after infection (scale bar= 200 µm). (D) scFv inhibits MeV H/F-mediated fusion: 93 Fusion of MeV G954 or IC323 H/F-coexpressing cells with SLAM-bearing cells in the presence of the 94 scFv at the indicated concentrations was quantified at 6 h. The results are presented as the percent 95 reduction in luminescence (Y-axis) compared to no treatment. Each bar represents the mean (± 96 standard error) of results from at least 3 separate experiments. (E) scFv blocks MeV in vivo: Cotton 97 rats were infected intranasally with 10^5 TCID₅₀/mL MeV WTFb. Animals received either the indicated 98 amount of the mAb 77.4-derived scFv construct (n=4) or vehicle (n=8) 24 h and 12 h prior to 99 infection. Animals were euthanized 5 days after infection. The results are presented as TCID₅₀/g of 100 lung tissue. Statistical analyses were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test. (F-G) The mAb 77.4derived scFv and HRC4 peptide inhibitor were synergistic in fusion assays. The effects of mAb 77.4-101 102 derived scFv and the HRC4 inhibitor on MeV H/F-mediated cell fusion were assessed and analyzed 103 using Combenefit software. (F) Loewe synergy and antagonism response surface for scFv and HRC4 at 104 the indicated concentrations. (G) Multicolor synergism response surface in comparison to the 105 additive outcome. Data are from three independent experiments. 106

107

108 References

- Durrheim, D.N., *et al.* A dangerous measles future looms beyond the COVID-19 pandemic.
 Nat Med (2021).
- 111 2. Hashiguchi, T., *et al.* Structures of the prefusion form of measles virus fusion protein in 112 complex with inhibitors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, 2496-2501 (2018).
- 1133.Tatsuo, H., Ono, N., Tanaka, K. & Yanagi, Y. SLAM (CDw150) is a cellular receptor for measles114virus. Nature 406, 893-897 (2000).
- Chang, A. & Dutch, R.E. Paramyxovirus fusion and entry: multiple paths to a common end.
 Viruses 4, 613-636 (2012).
- 1175.Harrison, S.C. Viral membrane fusion. Nature structural & molecular biology 15, 690-698118(2008).
- 119 6. Welsch, J.C., *et al.* Fatal measles virus infection prevented by brain-penetrant fusion 120 inhibitors. *Journal of virology* **87**, 13785-13794 (2013).
- Malvoisin, E. & Wild, F. Contribution of measles virus fusion protein in protective immunity:
 anti-F monoclonal antibodies neutralize virus infectivity and protect mice against challenge. J
 Virol 64, 5160-5162 (1990).
- Fayolle, J., Verrier, B., Buckland, R. & Wild, T.F. Characterization of a natural mutation in an antigenic site on the fusion protein of measles virus that is involved in neutralization. *J Virol* 73, 787-790 (1999).
- Avila, M., *et al.* Molecular determinants defining the triggering range of prefusion F
 complexes of canine distemper virus. *Journal of virology* 88, 2951-2966 (2014).
- Czuppon, P., et al. Success of prophylactic antiviral therapy for SARS-CoV-2: Predicted critical
 efficacies and impact of different drug-specific mechanisms of action. *PLoS Comput Biol* 17,
 e1008752 (2021).

132



