

Pathological Traits Associated to Facebook and Twitter among French Users

Élodie Verseillié, Stéphanie Laconi, Henri Chabrol

▶ To cite this version:

Élodie Verseillié, Stéphanie Laconi, Henri Chabrol. Pathological Traits Associated to Facebook and Twitter among French Users. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2020, 17 (7), pp.2242. 10.3390/ijerph17072242. hal-03356655

HAL Id: hal-03356655 https://hal.science/hal-03356655v1

Submitted on 28 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Article

Pathological Traits Associated to Facebook and Twitter among French Users

Élodie Verseillié, Stéphanie Laconi * and Henri Chabrol

Centre d'Etudes et de Recherches en Psychopathologie et Psychologie de la Santé (CERPPS) EA7411, Université de Toulouse, 5, Allées Antonio Machado, 31058 Toulouse Cedex, France; elodie.verseillie@univ-tlse2.fr (É.V.); henri.chabrol@univ-tlse2.fr (H.C.)

* Correspondence: stephanielaconi.b@gmail.com

Received: 23 February 2020; Accepted: 24 March 2020; Published: 26 March 2020



Abstract: Background: With a growing number of users, social networking sites have been the subject of numerous recent studies, but little investigation has been given to their problematic use. Objectives: Our main objective was to study the relationship between psychopathological variables (i.e., personality traits, depressive and anxiety symptoms, and stress) and problematic Facebook and Twitter use. Participants and method: A sample of 1068 Internet users (Mage = 26.64; SD = 9.5) has been recruited online. Participants completed scales exploring problematic Facebook and Twitter use, and psychopathological variables. Results: Problematic Facebook and Twitter use were predicted by different pathological personality traits, regrouped in clusters in our study. Depressive and anxiety symptoms were also predictive of problematic Facebook and Twitter use but only stress explained problematic Facebook use. Gender differences have been observed. Discussion: This study highlights the relationship between depression, anxiety, stress, pathological personality traits, and problematic Facebook and Twitter use. Significant differences have been retrieved between these two uses and their relationship to psychopathology. Future research should also explore the causal relationship between social networking sites use and psychopathology and consider gender.

Keywords: problematic use; addiction; Twitter; Facebook; psychopathology; personality

1. Introduction

Facebook and Twitter are among the most popular Social Networking Sites (SNS) worldwide [1]. All SNS facilitate social interactions, even if they do not have the same finalities. Twitter is more about sharing information and opinions [2] than about developing social interactions like Facebook [3]. Besides, Twitter restores anonymity sought initially through SNS [3] and seems more focused on what users say than on what they are or look like.

Several researches highlight the existence of addictive-like symptoms related to SNS use [4,5]. In recent years, the use of some SNS has been described as pathological, problematic, or even addictive. In this paper, the term of problematic use will be preferred because of the lack of consensual definition and designation [6]. Moreover, this term allows us to avoid the unreasonable pathologization of every SNS use. Problematic Facebook Use (PFU) has been defined as a "problematic behavior that is likely to be characterized by addictive-like symptoms and/or self-regulation difficulties leading to negative consequences in personal and social life" [5] (p. 263). Given the risks associated to SNS use, a growing interest has been put on PFU [7,8] while few studies focused on Problematic Twitter Use (PTU) [9–11]. Some authors [10] found patterns of addiction in PTU through withdrawal symptoms, salience, and desire to control the use.

As for Problematic Internet Use (PIU) or Internet addiction, problematic SNS uses seem to be more frequent among adolescents and young adults. However, a prevalence of these problematic use is complicated to establish given methodological differences which are partially related to the lack of standardized definitions and assessment tools [12]. In occidental Europe, prevalence estimates of PFU are approximately ranged from 4.5% to 10% [13–15]. Regarding Twitter use, one French study revealed that 3.1% of participants (n = 822; Mage = 21.6; SD = 2.8; Sex Ratio = 0.59) have PTU [14].

Few studies focused on the relationship between PFU and psychological disorders. A French researcher [16] showed that among French Internet users with a PFU, 69% had anxiety symptoms and 48% had depressive symptoms. Depression has been frequently linked to PFU [16-19]. As for anxiety, mood disorders would have a causal relationship with PIU, as risk factors and consequences [20]. Besides, some studies highlighted the significant relationships between several pathological personality traits and PIU [21–25], yet no study focused on their relationships with SNS addictions, except for particular pathological traits. For example, borderline personality traits have been shown to be a risk factor for PFU [17], such as narcissistic personality traits, which also appeared to be related to Facebook and Twitter use [26,27].

In order to complete a lack of empirical data on PFU and PTU, particularly on French samples, our main objective was to explore the relationship between psychopathological variables (i.e., personality traits, depressive and anxiety symptoms, and stress) and problematic Facebook and Twitter use. Another aim of this research was to look at gender differences.

2. Materials and Methods

More than 10 times a day

Between 10 and 30 min

Between 31 and 60 min

More than an hour

Time per connection Less than 10 min

2.1. Participants

The sample consisted of 1068 participants aged from 18 to 64 years old (M = 26.64; SD = 9.5). There were 37% (n = 398) of men for 63% (n = 670) of women. The majority of participants were students (56%; n = 598). More detailed results are presented in Table 1.

Facebook Users Twitter Users Samples Total (n = 679)(n = 389)Variables % % n n Gender 670 62.7 208 Women 462 68 53.5 398 37.3 32 181 46.5 Men 217 Working status Students 598 56 383 56.4 215 55.3 37.2 Workers 397 255 37.6 142 36.5 Unemployed 73 6.8 41 32 8.2 6 Marital status 227 Single 608 57 381 56 58.4 In relationship 43 162 460 298 44 41.6 Academic level 47 27 4 20 School certificate 4.4 5.1 High school degree 164 15.4 92 13.5 72 18.5 Bachelor degree 496 46.4 327 48.2 169 43.4 309 28.9 198 29.2 111 28.5 Master Doctoral degree 52 4.9 35 5.2 17 4.4 Number of visits per day Less than once a day 34 5 27 6.9 Between 1 and 5 times a day 289 42.6 148 38 Between 6 and 10 times a day 24.2 106 27.2

164

192

329

236

58

56

28.3

48.5

34.8

8.5

8.2

108

218

125

22

24

27.8

56

32.1

5.7

6.2

Table 1. General characteristics of the study subjects.

Table 1. Cont.

Samples	Total		Facebook Users (n = 679)		Twitter Users (n = 389)	
Hours per day						
One hour or less	-	-	346	51	216	55.5
Two hours	-	-	180	26.5	93	23.9
Three hours	-	-	68	10	44	11.3
Four hours	-	-	46	6.8	17	4.4
Five hours or more	-	-	39	5.6	19	5

We spread our survey online with Survey Monkey through Facebook groups. These groups were randomly selected and covered a variety of topics (education, online games, sports, etc.) to reach the widest possible range of French users. For Twitter, the link of our survey has been shared with the most popular hashtags at different time periods. Participants were informed of the anonymity and confidentiality of their responses and gave their free and informed consent. Our study follows the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Inclusion criteria were being at least 18 years old, understanding and speaking French, and having an account on Facebook and/or Twitter.

2.2. Measures

The Bergen Facebook Addiction Scale (BFAS) [28] has been used to assess PFU. Given there was no French validation, we used a previous translated version [14]. The BFAS had six items rated on a 5-point scale ranged from 1 "Very rarely" to 5 "Very often" (e.g., How often have you used Facebook in order to forget about personal problems?). Scores varied from 5 to 30, and high scores suggest a plausible PFU. The internal consistency was $\alpha = 0.83$ [28], $\alpha = 0.81$ [14], and $\alpha = 0.78$ in our study.

Given the lack of scale to measure PTU, we chose to use an adapted version of the BFAS [28] by replacing the term "Facebook" by "Twitter" (BFAS-T), similar to a previous study [14]. The Cronbach coefficient for the BFAS-T was $\alpha = 0.90$ [14]. In this study, it was $\alpha = 0.87$.

The Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-12) [29] has been used to assess depressive and anxiety symptoms, and stress. This scale is composed of 12 items rated on a 4-point scale from 0 "Rarely or never" to 3 "Most of the time or always". Scores are ranged from 0 to 36. Cut off scores suggesting a severe symptomatology were respectively of 4, 4, and 6. Cronbach' alphas were ranged between $\alpha = 0.72$ and $\alpha = 0.76$ [29], and between $\alpha = 0.67$ and $\alpha = 0.78$ in the present study.

The Personality Diagnostic Questionnaire 4+ (PDQ4+) [30,31] measures the ten pathological personality traits of the DSM-IV with 99 items on a dichotomous scale. For this study, we used a Likert scale from 1 "Not typical to me" to 5 "very typical to me" as in previous studies [32,33]. Cronbach' alphas for each subscale were ranged between $\alpha=0.47$ and $\alpha=0.83$ [32,33]. In our study, it varied from $\alpha=0.38$ to $\alpha=0.94$. Given this, we decided to use clusters of pathological personalities as classified in the DSM for statistical analyses. According to the DSM, Cluster A is composed of eccentric personalities (paranoid, schizoid, schizotypal), Cluster B regroups dramatic personalities (antisocial, borderline, histrionic, narcissistic), and Cluster C anxious personalities (avoidant, dependent, obsessive-compulsive). Cronbach' alphas were $\alpha=0.85$ for Cluster A and $\alpha=0.87$ for Clusters B and C [28]. In our study, it was $\alpha=0.78$ for Cluster A, $\alpha=0.79$ for Cluster B, and $\alpha=0.84$ for Cluster C.

2.3. Statistical Analyses

Descriptive analyses were conducted in the entire sample, and among Facebook and Twitter users. Pearson correlation analyses have been performed to assess the relationship between Facebook and Twitter use (including problematic use) and psychopathological variables (i.e., personality traits, depression, anxiety, stress). Multiple linear regressions helped to assess the influence of these last on Facebook and Twitter use. These analyses have also been performed separately for men and women.

3. Results

Among participants, 679 (64%) had a Facebook account only and 389 (36%) also had a Twitter account. No participant had only a Twitter account. As show in Table 1, the majority of participants (41%; n = 437) go to Facebook between one and five time a day and do not stay connected for long: Less than 10 min by connection (51%; n = 547). For Twitter, people connect once a day (50%; n = 193) and stay connected also less than 10 min by connection (67%; n = 262).

Results of correlation analyses between independent variables (depression, anxiety, stress, and cluster A, B, and C of personality) are presented in Table 2 for Facebook users only and in Table 3 for Facebook and Twitter users. There is a poor but significant correlation between dependent variables PFU and PTU (r = 0.11; p < 0.05).

Table 2. Bivariate Pearson correlations between variables among users with an account on Facebook (n = 679).

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6
Depression (1)	-	0.61	0.51	0.40	0.34	0.50
Anxiety (2)		-	0.59	0.36	0.32	0.47
Stress (3)			-	0.29	0.35	0.39
Cluster A (4)				-	0.59	0.60
Cluster B (5)					-	0.53
Cluster C (6)						-

Note. All correlations were significant at p < 0.01.

Table 3. Bivariate Pearson correlations between variables among users of an account on Facebook and on Twitter (n = 389).

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6
Depression (1)	-	0.63 **	0.56 **	0.54 **	0.41 **	0.67 **
Anxiety (2)		-	0.65 **	0.43 **	0.43 **	0.59 **
Stress (3)			-	0.43 **	0.46 **	0.51 **
Cluster A (4)				-	0.60 **	0.69 **
Cluster B (5)					-	0.57 **
Cluster C (6)						-

Note. * = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01.

Regression model assumptions were checked by examining the plot of predicted values of the dependent variable against residuals. It showed that assumptions were sufficiently met. Thus, among Facebook users only (n = 679), PFU has been explained by depressive symptoms (β = 0.14; p < 0.01), anxiety (β = 0.12; p < 0.05) and stress (β = 0.14; p < 0.01). Among Twitter users (n = 389), PTU has been explained by depressive symptoms (β = 0.17; p < 0.01) and anxiety (β = 0.19; p < 0.01).

For personality, PFU has been explained by cluster B personality traits ($\beta = 0.17$; p < 0.001) and cluster C personality traits ($\beta = 0.26$; p < 0.001) contrary to Twitter, which has been explained by cluster A personality traits ($\beta = 0.16$; p < 0.05).

Correlation performed separately for men and women revealed few significant differences (see Table 4). Among women there was no significant correlation between PFU and PTU (r = 0.05; p = 0.50) compared to men (r = 0.22; p < 0.01).

Regression analysis performed separately for men and women revealed significant differences for psychopathological variables. Among women, PFU was explained by depressive symptoms (β = 0.15; p < 0.05) whereas PTU was explained by anxiety (β = 0.24; p < 0.05). However, among men, no significant result has been retrieved for PFU and PTU, and psychopathological variables. Regarding personality, PFU was explained by cluster B and cluster C personality traits among women (β = 0.11; p < 0.05 and β = 0.15; p < 0.05) and men (β = 0.22; p < 0.01 and β = 0.21; p < 0.05), whereas PTU has

been explained by cluster A personality traits (β = 0.23; p < 0.05) for women. PTU have not been explained by personality variables among men.

Table 4. Bivariate Pearson correlations between variables among users of an account on Facebook and on Twitter (n = 389) regarding gender.

Variables	1	2	3	4	5	6
Depression (1)	-	0.67 **	0.56 **	0.55 **	0.51 **	0.70 **
Anxiety (2)	0.58 **	-	0.64 **	0.46 **	0.48 **	0.60 **
Stress (3)	0.55 **	0.66*	-	0.39 **	0.47 **	0.48 **
Cluster A (4)	0.52 **	0.39 **	0.47 **	-	0.65 **	0.73 **
Cluster B (5)	0.32 **	0.40 **	0.47 **	0.55 **	-	0.65 **
Cluster C (6)	0.64 **	0.55 **	0.52 **	0.64 **	0.52 **	-

Note. Grey = Men; * = p < 0.05; ** = p < 0.01.

4. Discussion

4.1. Relationships with Depressive and Anxiety Symptoms

Our results are similar to those retrieved in previous studies in PFU regarding the relationship with depressive and anxiety symptoms [16–19,34,35] and PIU [36,37]. These results are not surprising and it is still necessary to fill the lack of studies on the causal relationship between these variables. The cognitive and behavioral model of problematic Internet use [20] suggests that depressive or socially anxious individuals are more prone to use Internet in order to relieve negative feelings. Young adults also experience a lot of stress in their lives due to their academic responsibilities, uncertainty about professional life or financial difficulty, which can lead to anxiety and depressive symptoms increasing the risk of PFU [34]. Using SNS in particular could induce more depressive and anxiety symptoms [38].

As expected, PTU was also significantly correlated to psychopathology in our study, but with a lower coefficient with stress than with PFU, among men and women. This should be explored and confirmed in other studies.

4.2. Relationships with Pathological Personality Traits

Our results showed that pathological traits of Clusters B and C predicted PFU, with no significant differences among gender, as it has already been shown [34,39]. Previous works highlighted the relationship between PFU and Cluster B symptomatology such as borderline [35,40] and narcissism traits [41–43]. This is similar with results found with PIU for Cluster B [21,23,25,28] and Cluster C [21,25]. Borderline and antisocial traits have been frequently associated to addictive disorders, with impulsivity in common, which could be a supplementary evidence of the high similarity between PFU and other addictive disorders [44]. Besides, we can assume that borderline personalities spend a lot of time on Facebook, especially adding/searching new friends to avoid true or imagined abandonment, while narcissistic and histrionic personalities used their time on posting content hoping to receive the expected attention and compliments. Antisocial personalities might use SNS to fulfill a lack of harmonious relationships in real life with less close individuals, but also to find a satisfaction by watching others' profiles. Cluster C traits, such as the social anxiety and the fear of being criticized or rejected in social situations, may lead to a preference for SNS with a strong control on one's public image. The relationship between PFU and social anxiety has already been shown [45]. PFU has an effect on social anxiety and depression that decrease the level of life satisfaction [46]. Other studies showed links between PFU and obsessive-compulsive traits [38,40]. Users seems to experience craving—the urge desire to check Facebook (assimilated to compulsions), which can be explain by the fear of missing out [38]. We can suppose that dependent personality spent a lot of time on Facebook with an important Facebook involvement to maintain social relationships because of their fear of the separation and their need to be reassured. Avoidant personality traits can be easily related to PFU; avoidant individuals

might feel more prone to look for online communication rather than face-to-face interaction [47]. However, similarly to what we have found, other studies found a significant relationship between PIU and Clusters B and C personalities [25,47]. Facebook appears as more similar to Internet than Twitter, which can explain some similarities.

Contrarily to PFU, PTU was predicted by Cluster A traits. This is not surprising given that Twitter restores anonymity, compared to Facebook [48]. People with Cluster A' traits are more detached from social relationships and intimacy, and present more social anxiety and suspiciousness. Anonymity appears as initially looked for in SNS use [3] but the reduction of the social pressure and paranoid fears induced by anonymity can be the reason why Twitter use is preferred by individuals with Cluster A personality traits. Future researches should continue to study these relationships to try to confirm these results.

4.3. Gender Differences

An interesting result is the lack of significant relationship between PTU and PFU among women, which highlights the specificity of these problematic SNS use as those found in gender. It could be interesting to explore if women are indeed more prone to have PFU or PTU than both as men. This result should also be interpreted given the association between other variables, such as personality traits.

Correlation analyses revealed small differences between men and women. Among men, the correlation between Cluster B and PTU was lower than among women. PFU was also more highly related to Cluster B and C in women than men. This could suggest that problematic SNS use, in women, was related to a higher level of pathological personality traits. This is confirmed by the results of regression where no psychopathological variables explained PFU or PTU among men. Their use of SNS seems to be less related to psychopathology than for women.

Our regression analyses suggested that the relationship between PTU and cluster A is only significant among women, and that among them, PTU was explained by anxiety (and PFU by depressive symptoms). Cluster A personalities experienced more stress than other personality and it is known that women are also more at risk for anxiety symptoms [49]. Therefore, this could explain the significant relationship between PTU and cluster A, particularly among women.

4.4. Implications

Even if SNS received increasing attention worldwide, the lack of data on problematic SNS use or their relationship with psychopathological variables makes this research particularly relevant. Given the increasing number of SNS users, it seems necessary to better understand SNS use and the characteristics of their users. Prevention campaign adapted for specific audience (different for Twitter and Facebook users, and probably for users of other SNS) as early detection would have a positive impact on the health of youth and could prevent people to develop a problematic use but also depressive and anxiety symptoms, as a constant pathological personality even if there is no study on the causality of these relationships. This could improve the care of these specific problematic users, with a different orientation and different therapeutic objectives according to the SNS use, its characteristics, and the presence of psychopathological symptoms and traits.

4.5. Limits

Some methodological bias might have an influence on our results, such as sampling method and online recruitment. However, it seems that the use of Internet recruitment compared to the paper-and-pencil methodology has little influence on the consistency of the results [50]. Given the significant number of Facebook users, it was impossible to create a sample with only Twitter users which can make it difficult to read our results and can have an influence on them. The BFAS, which evaluates the PFU, does not have a cut off score. Thus, we have been unable to distinguish those with PFU and/or PTU from those without which did not give us the opportunity to make more precise statistics. Therefore, our assessment tools seem to be a limitation for the interpretation of our results,

especially in the absence of diagnostic value. Besides, there are no consensual diagnostic criteria or definition of problematic SNS use, therefore our study lacks of a validated and diagnostic assessment tool to confirm the diagnosis of PFU and PTU such as in any study focused on this topic. Consistent in most studies, the criteria used to assess problematic SNS use are based on those of PIU even if many differences have been observed between specific and generalized Internet use [33]. This lack of specific evaluation increases the need for a unified definition and well-established and validated tools in the field of problematic SNS use. Besides, the lack of similar studies impedes our interpretation.

5. Conclusions

Our objectives were to explore the relationship between PFU, PTU, and psychopathological variables. This study confirmed the relationship between PFU and psychopathological variables but also brings new data about PTU. More importantly, pathological personality traits of cluster A were related to Twitter use and PTU whereas those of cluster B and C were related to Facebook use and PFU. In light of a lack of data in this area, another aim of this research was to look at gender differences in the context of PFU and PTU. Depressive and anxiety symptoms were related to PFU, whereas stress was related to PTU in both gender but with a lower intensity. Women have more anxiety symptoms and PTU than men. As a result, women seem now at a higher risk of developing a problematic SNS use. This research is one of the first to explore problematic Facebook and Twitter use and pathological personality traits. It would deserve to be replicated and deepened.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, É.V. and S.L.; methodology, É.V. and S.L.; formal analysis, É.V. and S.L.; investigation, É.V.; * writing—original draft preparation, É.V.; writing—review and editing, É.V., S.L. and H.C.; supervision, H.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Blog du Modérateur. Available online: http://www.blogdumoderateur.com/50-chiffres-medias-sociaux-2020/ (accessed on 23 February 2020).
- 2. Kwak, H.; Lee, C.; Park, H.; Moon, S. What is Twitter, a social network or a news media? In Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on World Wide Web, Raleigh, NC, USA, 26-30 April 2010.
- 3. Huberman, B.A.; Romero, D.M.; Wu, F. Social networks that matter: Twitter under the micro-scope. *First Monday* **2009**, *14*, 1–9.
- 4. Kuss, D.J.; Griffiths, M.D. Online social networking and addiction—A review of the psychological literature. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2011**, *8*, 3528–3552. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Marino, C.; Gini, G.; Vieno, A.; Spada, M.M. A comprehensive meta-analysis on Problematic Facebook Use. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **2018**, *83*, 262–277. [CrossRef]
- 6. Caci, B.; Cardaci, M.; Scrima, F.; Tabacchi, M.E. The Dimensions of Facebook Addiction as Measured by Facebook Addiction Italian Questionnaire and Their Relationships with Individual Differences. *Cyberpsychol. Behav. Soc. Netw.* **2017**, *20*, 251–258. [CrossRef]
- 7. Marino, C.; Finos, L.; Vieno, A.; Lenzi, M.; Spada, M.M. Objective Facebook behaviour: Differences between problematic and non-problematic users. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **2017**, *73*, 541–546. [CrossRef]
- 8. Moretta, T.; Buodo, G. Modeling Problematic Facebook Use: Highlighting the role of mood regulation and preference for online social interaction. *Addict. Behav.* **2018**, *87*, 214–221. [CrossRef]
- 9. Kircaburun, K. Self-Esteem, Daily Internet Use and Social Media Addiction as Predictors of Depression among Turkish Adolescents. *J. Educ. Pract.* **2016**, *7*, 64–72.
- 10. Miles, P.C.; Zhang, L.G. China turns to Tweeting: Exploring the problematic use of Tweeting in China. *Int. J. Bus. Soc. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 91–94.
- 11. Saaid, S.A.; Al-Rashid, N.A.A.; Abdullah, Z. The impact of addiction to Twitter among university students. In *Future Information Technology*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2014; pp. 231–236.

- 12. Griffiths, M.D.; Kuss, D.J.; Demetrovics, Z. Social networking addiction: An overview of preliminary findings. In *Behavioral Addictions: Criteria, Evidence and Treatment*, 1st ed.; Rosenberg, K., Feder, L., Eds.; Elsevier: New York, NY, USA, 2014; pp. 119–141.
- 13. Brailovskaia, J.; Margraf, J. Facebook addiction disorder (FAD) among German students—A longitudinal approach. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0189719. [CrossRef]
- 14. Laconi, S.; Verseillié, E.; Chabrol, H. Exploration of the Problematic Twitter, Facebook Uses and Their Relationships with Psychopathological Symptoms among Facebook Users. *Int. J. High Risk Behav.* **2018**, 7, e61775. [CrossRef]
- 15. Walburg, V.; Mialhes, A.; Moncla, D. Does school-related burnout influence problematic Facebook use? *Child. Youth Serv. Rev.* **2016**, *61*, 327–331. [CrossRef]
- 16. Couderc, E. Search for an addiction to social networks and study of the concerned user profile [Recherche d'une addiction aux réseaux sociaux et étude du profil d'utilisateur concerné]. Ph.D. Thesis, Université de Limoge, Limoges, France, 2012.
- 17. Delfour, M.; Moreau, A.; Laconi, S.; Goutaudier, N.; Chabrol, H. Problematic Facebook use in adolescents and young adults [Utilisation problématique de Facebook à l'adolescence et au jeune âge adulte]. *Neuropsychiatrie de L'enfance de et L'adolescence* **2014**, *63*, 244–249. [CrossRef]
- 18. Herrera-Harfuch, M.F.; Pacheco Murguia, M.P.; Lever, J.P.; Andrade, D.Z. La adiccion a Facebook relacionada con la baja autoestima, la depression y la falta de habilitades sociales. *Psicol. Iberoam.* **2010**, *18*, 6–18.
- 19. Hong, F.Y.; Huang, D.H.; Lin, H.Y.; Chiu, S.L. Analysis of the psychological traits, Facebook usage, and Facebook addiction model of Taiwanese University students. *Telemat. Inform.* **2014**, *31*, 597–606. [CrossRef]
- 20. Caplan, S.E. Theory and measurement of generalized problematic Internet use: A two-step approach. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **2010**, *26*, 1089–1097. [CrossRef]
- 21. Bernardi, S.; Pallanti, S. Internet addiction: A descriptive clinical study focusing on comorbidities and dissociative symptoms. *Compr. Psychiatry* **2009**, *50*, 510–516. [CrossRef]
- 22. Laconi, S.; Andreoletti, A.; Chauchard, E.; Rodgers, R.; Chabrol, H. Problematic Internet Use, time spent online and personality traits [Utilisation problématique d'Internet, temps passé en ligne et traits de personnalité]. *Encéphale* **2016**, *42*, 214–218. [CrossRef]
- 23. Leménager, T.; Hoffmann, S.; Dieter, J.; Reinhard, I.; Mann, K.; Kiefer, F. The links between healthy, problematic, and addicted Internet use regarding comorbidities and self-concept-related characteristics. *J. Behav. Addict.* 2018, 7, 31–43. [CrossRef]
- 24. Sepehrian, F.; Lotf, J.J. Study of the relationship between Internet addiction with anxiety and personality types A and B. *Aust. J. Basic Appl. Sci.* **2011**, *5*, 928–934.
- 25. Zadra, S.; Bischof, G.; Besser, B.; Bischof, A.; Meyer, C.; John, U.; Rumpf, H.J. The association between Internet addiction and personality disorders in a general population-based sample. *J. Behav. Addict.* **2016**, *5*, 691–699. [CrossRef]
- 26. Davenport, S.W.; Bergman, S.M.; Bergman, J.Z.; Fearington, M.E. Twitter versus Facebook: Exploring the role of narcissism in the motives and usage of different social media platforms. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **2014**, 32, 212–220. [CrossRef]
- 27. Walters, N.T.; Horton, R. A diary of the influence of Facebook use on narcissism among male college students. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **2015**, *52*, 326–330. [CrossRef]
- 28. Andreassen, C.S.; Torsheim, T.; Brunborg, G.C.; Pallesen, S. Development of Facebook addiction scale. *Psychol. Rep.* **2012**, *110*, 501–517. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Yussof, M.S.B. Psychometric properties of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale in a sample of medical degree applicants. *Int. Med. J.* **2013**, *20*, 295–300.
- 30. Bouvard, M. Questionnaires et Echelles D'évaluation de la Personnalité, 3rd ed.; Masson: Paris, France, 2002.
- 31. Hyler, S.E. *Personality Diagnostic Questionnaire (PDQ-4 Plus)*; New York State Psychiatric Institute: New York, NY, USA, 1994.
- 32. Chabrol, H.; van Leeuwen, N.; Rodgers, R.; Séjourné, N. Contributions of psychopathic, narcissistic, Machiavellian, and sadistic personality traits to juvenile delinquency. *Personal. Individ. Differ.* **2009**, 47, 734–739. [CrossRef]

- 33. Laconi, S.; Tricard, N.; Chabrol, H. Differences between specific and generalized problematic Internet uses according to gender, age, time spent online and psychopathological symptoms. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **2015**, 48, 236–244. [CrossRef]
- 34. Koc, M.; Gulyagci, S. Facebook Addiction among Turkish college students: The role of psychological health, demographic, and usage characteristics. *Cyberpsychol. Behav. Soc. Netw.* **2013**, *16*, 279–284. [CrossRef]
- 35. Moreau, A.; Laconi, S.; Delfour, M.; Chabrol, H. Psychopathological profiles of adolescent and young adult problematic Facebook users. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **2015**, *44*, 64–69. [CrossRef]
- 36. Ostovar, S.; Allahyar, N.; Aminpoor, H.; Moafian, F.; Nor, M.B.M.; Griffiths, M.D. Internet addiction and its psychosocial risks (depression, anxiety, stress and loneliness) among Iranian adolescents and young adults: A structural equation model in a cross-sectional study. *Int. J. Ment. Health Addict.* **2016**, 14, 257–267. [CrossRef]
- 37. Stavropoulos, V.; Gomez, R.; Steen, E.; Beard, C.; Liew, L.; Griffiths, M.D. The longitudinal association between anxiety and Internet addiction in adolescence: The moderating effect of classroom extraversion. *J. Behav. Addict.* **2017**, *6*, 237–247. [CrossRef]
- 38. Andreassen, C.S.; Billieux, J.; Griffiths, M.D.; Kuss, D.J.; Demetrovics, Z.; Mazzoni, E.; Pallesen, S. The relationship between addictive use of social media and video games and symptoms of psychiatric disorders: A large-scale cross-sectional study. *Psychol. Addict. Behav.* **2016**, *30*, 252–262. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Beyens, I.; Frison, E.; Eggermont, S. "I don't want to miss a thing": Adolescents' fear of missing out and its relationship to adolescents' social needs, Facebook use, and Facebook related stress. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **2016**, *64*, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 40. Chabrol, H.; Laconi, S.; Delfour, M.; Moreau, A. Contributions of psychopathological and interpersonal variables to problematic Facebook use in adolescents and young adults. *Int. J. High Risk Behav. Addict.* **2017**, *6*, e32773. [CrossRef]
- 41. Atroszko, P.A.; Balcerowska, J.M.; Bereznowski, P.; Biernatowska, A.; Pallesen, S.; Andreassen, C.S. Facebook addiction among Polish undergraduate students: Validity of measurement and relationship with personality and well-being. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* 2018, 85, 329–338. [CrossRef]
- 42. Błachnio, A.; Przepiórka, A. Facebook intrusion, fear of missing out, narcissism, and life satisfaction: A cross-sectional study. *Psychiatry Res.* **2018**, 259, 514–519. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Casale, S.; Fioravanti, G. Why narcissists are at risk for developing Facebook addiction: The need to be admired and the need to belong. *Addict. Behav.* **2018**, *76*, 312–318. [CrossRef]
- 44. Echeburua, E. Addiction to new technologies and to online social networking in young people: A new challenge. *Adicciones* **2010**, 22, 91–95.
- 45. Lee-Won, R.J.; Herzog, L.; Park, S.G. Hooked on Facebook: The role of social anxiety and need for social assurance in problematic use of Facebook. *Cyberpsychol. Behav. Soc. Netw.* **2015**, *18*, 567–574. [CrossRef]
- 46. Foroughi, B.; Iranmanesh, M.; Nikbin, D.; Hyun, S.S. Are depression and social anxiety the missing link between Facebook addiction and life satisfaction? The interactive effect of needs and self-regulation. *Telemat. Inform.* **2019**, 43, e101247. [CrossRef]
- 47. Laconi, S.; Vigouroux, M.; Lafuente, C.; Chabrol, H. Problematic Internet Use, Psychopathology, Personality, Defense and Coping. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* **2017**, *73*, 47–54. [CrossRef]
- 48. Petrocchi, N.; Asnaani, A.; Martinez, A.P.; Nadkarni, A.; Hofmann, S.G. Differences between people who use only Facebook and those who use Facebook plus Twitter. *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Interact.* **2015**, *31*, 157–165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Donner, N.C.; Lowry, C.A. Sex differences in anxiety and emotional behavior. *Pflügers Arch. Eur. J. Physiol.* **2013**, 465, 601–626. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Brock, R.L.; Barry, R.A.; Lawrence, E.; Dey, J.; Rolffs, J. Internet administration of paper-and-pencil questionnaires used in couple research: Assessing psychometric equivalence. *Assessment* **2012**, *19*, 226–242. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).