Cranial growth in normal and low-protein-fed Saimiri. An environmental heterochrony

Fernando V Ramirez Rozzi, Rolando González-José, Héctor M Pucciarelli

Cranial growth in normal and low protein fed *Saimiri*. An environmental heterochrony

Fernando V. Ramírez Rozzi
UPR 2147 Dynamique de l'évolution humaine (CNRS)
44, rue de l'Amiral Mouchez
75014 - Paris, France
&
Associated Researcher
Dept. Human Evolution
Max Planck Institut
Leipzig, Germany
E-mail: ramrozzi@ivry.cnrs.fr

Rolando González-José
Centro Nacional Patagónico. Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET). Bvd. Brown s/n. U9120ACV. Puerto Madryn, Argentina.
E-mail: rolando@cenpat.edu.ar

Héctor M. Pucciarelli
División Antropología del Museo de La Plata. Fac. de Cs. Nat. y Museo. U. N. La Plata. Paseo del Bosque s/n, 1900 La Plata, Argentina & Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET). Buenos Aires, Argentina.
E-mail: hmpucci@fcv.unlp.edu.ar

Text: 19
Bibliography: 6
Tables: 7
Figures: 11

Running title: Heterochronic cranial growth in undernourished *Saimiri*

Key words: *Saimiri sciureus*, skull growth, functional components, malnutrition, proportioned dwarfism

Send comments to:
Fernando V. Ramírez Rozzi
UPR 2147 Dynamique de l'évolution humaine (CNRS)
44, rue de l'Amiral Mouchez
75014 - Paris, France
E-mail: ramrozzi@ivry.cnrs.fr

Abstract

Protein malnutrition has a significant and measurable effect on the rate and timing of growth. Heterochrony is generally viewed as the study of evolutionary changes in the relative rates and timing of growth and development. Although changes in growth commonly result from experimental manipulations of diet, nobody has previously attempted to explain such changes from a heterochronic perspective. We use a heterochronic perspective to compare a group of squirrel monkeys fed a low protein diet to individuals on a high protein diet, but, in contrast to previous works, we focus particularly on the effects of environmental and not genetic factors. In the present study, Gould's (1977) and Godfrey and Sutherland's (1996) methodologies for studying heterochrony as well as geometric morphometrics are used to compare two groups of *Saimiri sciureus boliviensis.* Two groups of *Saimiri* were constructed on the basis of the protein content in their diets: a high protein group (HP) (N=12) and a low protein group (LP) (N=12). All individuals are males born in captivity. Two major functional components of the skull, the neurocranium and the face, were analyzed. Four minor components were studied in each major component. Comparison of craniofacial ontogeny patterns based on major and minor components suggest that changes in the skull of LP animals can be explained by heterochrony. The skull of LP animals exhibits isomorphism produced by proportioned dwarfism. Our results suggest that heterochrony can be environmentally, rather than exclusively genetically induced. The study of genetic assimilation (Waddington, 1953, 1956; see Scharloo, 1991; Hallgrimsson et al. 2002) has demonstrated that environmentally induced phenotypes often have a genetic basis, and thus parallel changes can be easily induced genetically. It is possible that proportioned dwarfism is far more common than currently appreciated.

Environmental stresses during development result in transitional growth perturbations. A catch-up process occurs immediately after the perturbation to recuperate normal growth (Prader et al., 1963; Farnum et al., 2003). Farnum et al.'s (2003) studies on cellular processes underlying catch-up suggest that the perturbation is an interruption of "a prepatterned growth program" (2003:39). The catch-up is manifested in a "sense of size". Individuals suffering the effects of a continual environmental stressing agent, such as malnutrition, may experience dramatic changes in size (Prader et al.; 1963; Dammrich, 1991; Loveridge & Noble 1994). Reichling & German (2000) nevertheless observed little or no difference in size between low protein and high protein fed rats, suggesting that the normal adult size may be attained in rats on a low protein diet via a longer period of growth. Protein malnutrition is effectively known to have a significant and measurable effect on the rate and timing of growth (Malcom, 1979; Pucciarelli, 1981; Golden, 1994). Miller & German (1999) indicate that the significant effect of malnutrition is the variation in the growth trajectories rather than the endpoints of growth.

Changes in rate and timing of growth are the focus of heterochronic studies, but the effects of malnutrition have not been assessed from a heterochronic point of view. Heterochrony is the study of evolutionary changes in the relative rates and timing of growth and development (Godfrey and Sutherland, 1995, 1996; Ramirez Rozzi, 2001). Needham (1933) and Gould (1977) identified growth (changes in size), development (changes in shape), and age at sexual or germinal maturation as three "fundamental" aspects of ontogeny. Studies of heterochrony focus on how these three fundamental aspects interact and evolve. Their products (paedomorphosis, isomorphosis, or peramorphosis) are identified only on the basis of comparisons of adult shape. Paedomorphosis is defined as the retention in mature descendants of ancestral juvenile traits, isomorphosis implies acquisition in mature descendants of ancestral adult shape, and peramorphosis is recognized as the acquisition in mature descendants of features that transcend ancestral adult characteristics (Godfrey and Sutherland, 1995).

The pioneering works by Gould (1977) and Alberch et al. (1979) on heterochronic processes and their outcomes were followed by other studies, some of which deliberately or unintentionally altered the meaning of heterochronic terms. Through such alterations, Gould's original search for changes in the relative rates of growth and development became a comparison of the relative changes in two metric

traits (e.g., McKinney, 1986; McNamara, 1986; Shea, 1989). Thus, a heterochronic understanding of ontogeny was replaced by an allometric one, which uses, however, the same terminology. Reviews written from differing perspectives have attempted to clarify the meaning of the processes and results of heterochrony (McKinney, 1986; McKinney and McNamara, 1991; McNamara, 1986, 1988; Godfrey and Sutherland, 1995, 1996; Reilly et al. 1997; Ramirez Rozzi, 2001). We believe that 1) heterochrony should not to be confused with allometry, 2) heterochrony can be understood via the analysis of three fundamental aspects of ontogeny, namely size, shape and time, and 3) Godfrey and Sutherland's works (1995; 1996) stand alone in proposing a useful methodology to test it. Here, we adopt their theoretical framework (Godfrey and Sutherland, 1995; Ramirez Rozzi, 2001).

Although heterochrony was first interpreted as an evolutionary process, it was later observed that many aspects of intraspecific variation could be explained by heterochrony (i.e. Shea, 1986; 1988; 1989; Berge and Penin, 2000; Berge, 2002; Williams et al., 2002). The comparison between a low protein fed group and a high protein fed individuals is a study of intraspecific heterochrony, but differently to previous works, it has a particular focus on the effect of environmental and not genetic factors.

The first works on heterochrony attempted to explain between-group changes by means of a unique heterochronic process. It is now more widely accepted that different parts of the organism seem to have followed a different heterochronic process, i.e. skull shape in modern humans may be attained by neoteny (Godfrey and Sutherland, 1995) whereas bipedalism would be a peramorphic character (Tardieu, 1998; Berge, 1998, 2002). Heterochronic studies should begin with a consideration of the distinct growth fields affecting each part, perhaps independently. Several factors such as morphological integration (Olson and Miller, 1958; Marroig and Cheverud 2001; Bookstein *et al.* 2003), developmental and functional constrains (Lieberman 1997; Lieberman *et al.* 2000; Pucciarelli *et al.* 2000), as well as different levels of plasticity (Kiliaridis 1995; Wood & Lieberman 2001; Giesen *et al.* 2003), are thought to interact through ontogeny until the expression of adult morphology is achieved. As a result of morphological integration, it is expected that functionally and developmentally related characters will be inherited together (Cheverud, 1995; Marroig and Cheverud, 2001; González-José et al. 2004). Environment also plays an important integrative role, since selection favours functionally related traits, which

evolve as a single coordinated unit (Cheverud 1982, 1995). To sum up, studies on heterochrony must analyse both growth and development of potentially independent growth fields.

Functional cranial theory (Klaauw, 1948-1952; Moss and Young, 1960; Moss, 1973) states that craniofacial development needs to be interpreted in terms of changes in functional cranial components (FCC). This theory essentially assumes that skeletal growth may vary in response to mechanical stresses and the demands of the functionally associated soft tissues and cavities, which also grow (e.g. Henderson & Carter 2002). The FCCs behave relatively independently during growth. They are integrated by a functional matrix (FM) and by a skeletogen unit (SKU) that gives biomechanical support and protection to the FM demands (Moss, 1979). The theoretical conception of functional craniology can be easily integrated into the framework of heterochrony (FCC – growth field).

Several studies have been conducted on the craniofacial anatomy of the genus *Saimiri* (Delattre and Anthony, 1951; Hill, 1960; Thom, 1965; Hershkovitz, 1977; Kaack et al., 1979; Ayres, 1985; Thorington, 1985; Corner and Richsmeier, 1992; Hartwig, 1995). However, little effort has been made to study the craniofacial anatomy of *Saimiri* from the point of view of functional craniology (Pucciarelli et al., 1990; Dressino, 1991; Dressino and Pucciarelli, 1997). Previous studies on squirrel monkeys growing in controlled conditions have suggested that individuals fed with a low-protein diet undergo changes in the neurocranium and face (Pucciarelli et al., 1990; Dressino and Pucciarelli, 1997). Thus, it is possible to compare groups of *Saimiri* fed different amounts of protein to assess whether heterochrony is responsible for their resulting craniofacial differences.

The aim of the present study is to assess whether heterochrony can account for intraspecific differences due to variation in environmental factors that are experimentally induced. In order to do this, a) craniofacial ontogeny patterns of the male squirrel monkey were characterised on the basis of its SKUs; b) the effect of a low-protein diet on craniofacial adult individuals was established, and c) appropriate methodologies to tackle heterochrony (Godfrey and Sutherland 1995, 1996; Ramirez Rozzi 2001), as well as geometric morphometrics, were applied to compare low protein and high protein fed *Saimiri*.

Material and Methods

The sample

Twenty-four weanling squirrel monkey males (*Saimiri sciureus boliviensis*), born in captivity at the CAPRIM (Argentine Primate Center) were used to carry out this study. They were assigned to two groups: 1) high protein-content group (HP): twelve animals fed ad libitum on a 20% protein-content diet; 2) low protein-content group (LP): twelve individuals fed ad libitum on a 10% protein-content diet. Both diets were prepared daily in our laboratory (table 1) (for details see Pucciarelli et al., 1990, 2000; Dressino and Pucciarelli, 1997; Console et al., 2001a, b). HP individuals were sacrificed at 9 and 24 months of age, whereas LP specimens were sacrificed between 15 and 26 months of age. Table 2 gives the age in months at which each individual was sacrificed. Craniofacial data were collected directly from the sacrificed individuals.

Age estimation

The eruption of M3 is taken as the beginning of the adult stage in Primates (Smith, 1989; Smith et al., 1994). In *Saimiri sciureus*, M3 erupts between the 15th month (lower molar) and the 19th month (upper molar) (Galliari and Colillas, 1985). Since the youngest LP individual died at 15 months, all undernourished animals can be considered adults. In others words, following Reilly et al.'s (1997) suggestions, we assume that adult craniofacial shape is the shape at the time of M3 eruption. However, to confirm the inclusion of all LP animals in the adult stage, independent-sample t-tests of differences between individuals who died at 15-19 months and those sacrificed at 24 months old were conducted. T-test and the geometric morphometric analysis (see below) show that there are no differences between them. Therefore, all LP individuals were considered to have already attained their adult size and shape. Volumetric and morphometric indices were employed to compare, by means of independent-sample t-tests, LP animals with adult HP specimens in order to establish the nutritional effect of the low-protein diet on craniofacial size and shape.

Craniofunctional analysis

Using functional cranial theory, postulated by van der Klaauw for mammals (Klaauw, 1948-52) and by Moss and Young (1960) for humans, the skull was divided

into two major SKUs: the neurocranium and the face. Each major SKU was divided into four minor SKUs (or components). The neurocranium comprises the anterior, middle, posterior, and the otic components. The face includes the optic, respiratory, masticatory, and the alveolar components. Skulls were oriented in the Frankfurt plane. Raw data consist of length (L), width (W), and height (H) of the major components (neurocranium and face), as well as those of minor components. Further details on measurements are described in table 3. Cranial landmarks were recorded using a Microscribe 3-DX digitizer and InScribe-32 software (Immersion Corp., San Jose, CA). Length, width, and height were obtained from the same view in order to avoid errors in integrating these within a common system of landmarks. Volumetric and morphometric indices were obtained according the formulae shown in table 4. The volumetric index is the geometric mean and corresponds to the size of the component (Jungers et al., 1995). Volumetric indices were obtained for the two major components. The morphometric index is the relative contribution of a component. The morphometric index of the neurocranium is the relative contribution of the neurocranium to skull size; the morphometric indices of minor components correspond to their relative contribution to the size of major components. Since shape can be defined as a ratio, i.e., the relative size of a part to the whole (Gould, 1966; 1977), the morphometric index gives an estimation of the shape. The morphometric index for the neurocranium corresponds to the skull shape. Morphometric indices for the four minor components of the neurocranium define the shape of the neurocranium. In a similar way, the shape of the face is given by the morphometric indices of the four minor components. Because morphometric indices were calculated independently for the four minor components of the face and for the four components of the neurocranium (table 4), their sums for each major component, by definition, equal 100.

Given the normality of the distribution frequencies, the volumetric and morphometric indices were used to document changes in size and shape from juvenile (9 months old) to adult (24 months old) HP animals (independent samples t-tests). We could thus characterise the craniofacial ontogeny of squirrel monkeys.

Geometric Morphometric analysis

As an alternative to the pure craniofunctional analysis, size and shape changes were studied following geometric morphometric methods – a useful approach for

quantitative characterization, analysis, and comparison of biological forms (Bookstein 1991; Marcus *et al.* 1996; Dryden & Mardia 1998; Lele & Richtsmeier 2001, Rohlf, 1993). Our raw data consists of 21 three-dimensional landmarks registered in lateral view (table 3). Each individual is described by a landmark configuration. Once the landmark configurations are collected for each specimen, a series of algorithms are applied. The first step involves the superimposition of all landmark configurations via translation and rotation using the Procrustes method (Goodall, 1991). From the superimposed configurations, a consensus mean configuration is obtained and used as a reference. All configurations are scaled according to a linear size measure known as the centroid size (the square root of the sum of squared distances from each landmark to the specimen's centroid). To analyse shape, centroid size is set equal to 1. Residuals from the consensus configuration were modelled with the Thin-plate Spline interpolating function (Bookstein, 1991). Parameters of the fitted function represent the new set of variables defining a new matrix, namely the Weight Matrix. Each row of the weight matrix represents an individual and each column represents the new variables. Due to the properties of the Thin-plate Spline function (Bookstein, 1991), the new variables can be analysed using traditional multivariate techniques and shape change or shape differences can be visualised as deformation grid splines. The weight matrix was used in a Relative Warp analysis, which is the analogue of a principal component analysis for this kind of data (Rohlf 1993), and which separates shape variability into statistically independent factors. Relative warps are statistically independent factors of shape variation that account for the largest, second largest and successively smaller proportions of the total sample variance in shape.

Finally, landmark coordinate data were studied using a coordinate-system-free approach: Euclidean Distance Matrix Analysis, EDMA (Lele and Richtsmeier, 1995, 2001; Richtsmeier et al. 2002). EDMA is a coordinate-system invariant method (Lele and Richtsmeier, 2001) for comparing form, shape, or growth differences between two samples. It uses landmark coordinates as raw data and describes a three-dimensional object by the matrix of Euclidean distances between all possible unique landmark pairs (Ackermann and Krovitz, 2002). This matrix of distances is called the form matrix (FM). The form matrix (or FM (A) for object A) is an equivalent representation of the landmark coordinate data that is invariant to the nuisance parameters of translation, rotation, and reflection (Lele and Richtsmeier, 2001).

Shape matrices, including all the possible interlandmark distances within each shape, were obtained after standardizing the mean form matrices by a scaling factor (the geometric mean). Interlandmark differences in size (scaling factor) and shape were used to explore skull size and shape changes (Lele and Richtsmeier, 1995, 2001; Richtsmeier et al. 2002). Lele and Cole (1996) describe a procedure for testing the significance of differences in shape and size, based on the computation of the z-statistic. Under the null hypothesis of equality of shapes, this value should be close to zero. A parametric bootstrap (Monte Carlo) procedure is usually used to calculate the 100(1-α)% confidence interval. If this interval contains the value zero, the null hypothesis is not rejected. Lele and Cole (1996) suggest using α=0.1. As a simple extension, one can also test whether or not the ‘‘size’’ measures of two populations are different. If one is interested in whether or not the scaling measure (C) differs between populations, one can also test whether or not the 90% Monte Carlo confidence interval for the quantity C1-C2 includes zero. This enables the researcher to decompose a significant difference in “form” into tests of differences in shape and scale (Lele and Cole, 1996).

Heterochronic tests

The first step in the analysis of heterochrony was to observe whether LP animals showed the same relationship of size to shape as HP individuals (i.e., size/shape association, or dissociation). We used Gould’s (1977) metric for testing the dissociation of size and shape. In a plot of logarithmically transformed trait data and size scales, LP adult shape (i.e., the trait/size ratio) was compared to that of HP adults to ascertain whether the LP adult ratio is identical to that of larger, smaller or similarly sized HP specimens (figure 1). Since age is known for all individuals, Gould’s clocks (1977) were also employed to detect heterochrony. Gould's method is useful in assessing modifications in size and the shape of single traits (measured on the y axis). However, the interpretation may become ambiguous when more than two traits contribute to size variation, because traits must be analysed independently (each with its own metric of dissociation and clock). Gould’s methods were therefore applied only to the analysis of the major components (neurocranium and face). For this analysis, “size” is measured by the neurocranial (NVI) and the facial (FVI) volumetric indices, and “shape” by the neural-cranial morphometric index (NMI) (table 4).

Godfrey and Sutherland (1996) suggested a “multivariate perspective” method for analysing heterochrony. This method has two advantages: 1) many traits can be analysed altogether, and 2) the comparison enables researchers to assess whether groups follow similar developmental pathways. Sizes of each trait, total size (corresponding to the sum of trait sizes), and shape (i.e., the relative sizes of traits) are represented by bars (figure 2). Changes in shape through ontogeny are given by modifications of the distribution of ratios from one growth class to another. Two groups show similar developmental pathways if their trait-ratio distributions change in the same manner through ontogeny, regardless of the correspondence (or lack of correspondence) of trait-ratio distributions at comparable ages or sizes (figure 2). In the present work, this multivariate perspective was employed to complete the analysis of heterochrony. Confidence about the occurrence of heterochrony should only be manifested if different methods reveal the same patterns (Godfrey and Sutherland, 1995; 1996; Ramirez Rozzi, 2001). We used StatView software to conduct these statistical analyses.

Heterochronic processes were also studied using landmark coordinate data by means of two geometric morphometric methods: relative warp analysis and EDMA. Relative warps capture independent aspects of shape variation that can be plotted as age-related patterns of morphological change. The combined observation of the first relative warps, explaining pure shape changes, and a shape-independent representation of size, the centroid size, provides an alternative method to test heterochronic hypotheses (for a previous application of this analysis see Ponce de Leon and Zollikofer, 2001). To evaluate heterochronic processes using EDMA, we tested the statistical significance of shape difference matrices among the three groups, as well as differences in the scaling factor (size). Due to its explicit dissociation of size and shape, this method provides yet another useful tool to study heterochrony.

RESULTS

General Morphological patterns

Table 5 shows volumetric and morphometric indices values for juvenile and adult HP animals, as well as tests of the significance of their differences. Size (volumetric indices, VI) and shape (morphometric indices, MI) changed from juvenile

to adult *Saimiri*. The size of the neurocranium (NVI) and the face (FVI) increased, while the relative size of the neurocranium (NMI) in skull decreased significantly, suggesting that the face grew more than the neurocranium (figure 3). It is useful to compare these results with those obtained by the geometric morphometric methods (figure 4). Visualization of the results of a Thin Plate Spline analysis also demonstrates a reduction in the relative size of the whole neurocranium (figure 4a). In particular, lambda, opisthocranion, and bregma shift relatively forward in the adult specimens, thus indicating a reduction of the relative size of the vault.

Despite the change in neurocranial size, there was no change in the relative sizes of minor components (ANMI, MNMI, PNMI, OTMI), which suggests that neurocranial shape remained constant during growth. In the face, the optic component (OMI) changed significantly from the juvenile to the adult stages in *Saimiri*, contributing less to the latter than the former age stage. The masticatory (MMI) and the alveolar (AMI) morphometric indices were, in contrast, proportionally higher in adults than in juveniles; thus, facial shape did change during growth. This result is also evident in the infero-posterior displacement of landmarks situated in the zygomatic arch, the upward displacement of the upper temporal line at the coronal intersection, and the anterior expansion of prosthion and nasospinal (figure 4a, b). The respiratory (RMI) was the only facial component whose relative size did not change during growth.

Adult LP animals are smaller than adult HP specimens; the FVI and the NVI were significantly reduced by the dietary stress (table 6). NMI was not significantly altered indicating that the relative size of the neurocranium in the skull is not affected by dietary stress. The relative sizes of the neurocranial minor components showed slightly significant (MNMI) or insignificant (ANMI, PNMI, OTMI) differences between HP and LP animals. Figure 4c similarly shows that adult LP and HP animals differ little in the ontogenetic displacement of neurocranial and facial landmarks. This suggests that adult neurocranial shape is quite similar in both groups. Facial shape, however, did differ in LP and HP animals. LP animals were characterised by a relatively larger optic (OMI) component and a relatively smaller masticatory (MMI) component than in HP specimens (table 6).

An alternative comparison of the three groups is provided by the analysis of relative warps and centroid sizes (figure 5). These results corroborate the craniofunctional analysis: both LP and HP adults present near zero or positive values

along relative warp 1, thus demonstrating a common shape for both adult samples. This result is also evident in the thin plate spline analysis, which suggests small shape differences between LP and HP adult specimens (figure 4c) and similar ontogenetic changes from the juvenile HP condition to either adult LP individuals (figure 4b) or adult HP individuals (figure 4a). In terms of size, HP animals are larger than are LP individuals, which tend to overlap with HP juveniles (figure 5). Positive values of the relative warp 1 mainly reflect the differences explained above, that is, a relative decrease in the neurocranial size of the adults. Remaining relative warps were not significantly correlated with size, nor did they discriminate the three groups. They thus provided no information of utility to studying heterochrony.

Heterochrony

Metric of dissociation and clocks

In a bivariate graph (figure 6), log neurocranial size (NVI) was plotted against the logged size of the entire skull (ES, i.e., the sum the two major volumetric indices, NVI+FVI). Both ES and neurocranium size differ in juvenile vs. adult HP individuals. Plotting these points allows us to depict Gould's (1977) line of ontogeny for juvenile and adult HP *Saimiri*. Gould used "lines of isometry" to depict individuals who differ in size but not shape from individuals at various points along the line of ontogeny. In the case of *Saimiri*, both ES and neurocranial size are smaller in LP adults than in adult HP specimens. However, the skull shape (or relative size of the neurocranium) of the former matches that of adult HP individuals. Note that Gould's line of isometry (IL) passing through the mean (ACP) for adult LP animals crosses the HP line of ontogeny at exactly the point corresponding to the adult HP value (ratio=0.882). This indicates that the shape of the skulls of LP adults resembles that of HP adult *Saimiri*, despite their differences in size (figure 6). Size and shape are thus dissociated. Gould's clock for NVI shows the same phenomenon (figure 7): the age bar (grey) is the same in HP and LP animals because both samples comprised 24 month-old specimens. The shape arrow for LP animals points to the shape of HP adults, since both have the same ratio (0.882). The size arrow of LP adults (1.804) is directed between those of juvenile (1.797) and adult (1.831) HP animals. Size and shape are dissociated. LP animals attain the same shape at the same age as do HP specimens, but at a smaller size. Gould's metric for size/shape dissociation and

Gould's clock model both suggest that a low-protein diet can produce heterochronic changes. Since shape has not changed but there was a reduction in size, that heterochronic shift must be interpreted as isomorphosis by dwarfism.

Multivariate perspective

The heterochronic process apparently revealed using the methods described above should be tested by an analysis of size (VI) and shape (MI) changes of the minor components, using the multivariate perspective method. If heterochrony holds at this finer level of analysis, then it is expected that a) components that differ between LP and HP adults will be those that differ between juvenile and adult HP animals, and b) similar directional changes in components will apply across both comparisons. In the present case, if isomorphosis via dwarfism applies across minor components, then we should expect adult LP individuals to differ from HP individuals in having components that are smaller in size but similar in shape. If the similarity in the shape of major components (observed above) is achieved through different ontogenetic pathways (resulting in a different distribution of relative sizes of minor components in LP and HP adults), then heterochrony is not possible. Instead, we would conclude that the similar shapes of major components were obtained coincidentally, with minor components affected by different processes. Alternatively, if the relative size distributions of minor components are similar, heterochrony may be considered plausible.

The multivariate perspective method for the minor neurocranial components (figure 8) showed that the minor components increased in size from the juvenile to the adult HP animals. In LP animals, each of the minor components, except in ANVI, was similar to or smaller in size than in juvenile HP individuals. Size in LP specimens, as expected, was also smaller than in juvenile HP *Saimiri*. The relative size of neurocranial minor components did not show significant differences between juvenile and adult HP (see above), suggesting that the shape of the neurocranium remained stable during postnatal growth. If heterochrony has occurred, we would expect the same to apply to our comparison of HP and LP adults.] This was confirmed by our experiment, in which the only exception, the midneural component, differed only at the .05 level of significance (table 6, figure 9). Therefore, the neurocranial size was smaller in LP animals than in HP individuals, but the neurocranial shape did not change.

The multivariate perspective method for the facial minor components (figure 10) showed that the four minor components (OVI, RVI, MVI, AVI) increased in size from the juvenile to the adult HP animals. In LP animals, the size of minor components was intermediate between those of juvenile and adult HP specimens. An exception was the optic component (OVI), which was similar to that of adult HP. Also, total size in LP animals was intermediate between those of juvenile and adult HP specimens. The relative size significantly changed in three out of the four facial minor components from juvenile to adult HP animals. It decreased in optic component (OMI), and increased in masticatory (MMI), and alveolar (AMI) components. The respiratory component (RMI) did not change. In LP animals, the relative size of these three minor components showed an intermediate value between those of juvenile and adult HP individuals (figure 11). The relative size of the respiratory component (RMI) was not affected by the low-protein diet. Thus, the facial size of the stressed animals was smaller than that in adult HP individuals and the facial shape in LP animals resulted from a developmental arrest. The multivariate perspective analysis of minor cranial components suggests that differences observed between HP and LP animals were due to changes occurring through the same developmental pathway. This can be interpreted as resulting from heterochrony. The face followed a different process (developmental arrest) to that observed at skull level (isomorphosis via dwarfism) (*see* Discussion).

Geometric Morphometrics

Figure 5 provides a useful tool to test heterochrony since it combines in a simple bivariate plot a representation of pure shape changes (the relative warps) and a shape-independent linear estimation of size (the centroid size). Furthermore, shape changes corresponding to extreme values along the shape axis can be visualized in the form of thin plate splines. This analysis corroborates the results of the craniofunctional clocks and the dissociation graphics depicted above: LP animals tend to have smaller skulls than adult HP specimens but the shape observed in LP animals is similar to that of HP *Saimiri.* Geometric morphometrics thus reveals a dissociation of size and shape.

EDMA was used here as an alternative method to study the dissociation of size and shape. Table 7 summarizes differences in shape and size for all the possible group comparisons. Results are congruent with previous tests in the sense that

differences between LP and HP adult animals are restricted to size changes, whereas shape in both groups tends not to be significantly different.

In summary, Gould's methods for testing heterochrony (i.e., his metric of size/shape dissociation and his clock model), as well as geometric morphometrics, support the view that changes in diet can produce heterochrony. For *Saimiri* on low-protein diets, a reduction in skull size was not accompanied by a concomitant change in skull shape. The differences in skull size and shape of LP and HP *Saimiri* adults conform to the expectations of the heterochronic process of isomorphosis by dwarfism.

DISCUSSION

Growth, development, and maturation are the three fundamental aspects of ontogeny (Gould 1977). Growth is defined as changes in size over time and development as changes in shape over time (Gould 1977, Godfrey and Sutherland 1995). Growth and development in *Saimiri's* craniofacial ontogeny is characterised by a) an increase in size in major components, b) a change in skull shape shown by a decrease in the relative size of the neurocranium as a consequence of an important growth in the face, c) no change in neurocranial shape, or the relative contribution of minor components in neurocranium, and d) a change in facial shape, mainly due to the optic, masticatory, and alveolar components. These aspects of *Saimiri* craniofacial ontogeny agree with those found in previous studies (Pucciarelli et al., 1990; Dressino and Pucciarelli, 1997).

Our results are in accord with those of previous studies of postnatal craniofacial growth in *Saimiri* (Corner and Richtsmeier, 1992). Craniofacial growth follows the same pattern observed in mammals where postnatal growth of the face contributes more than growth of the neurocranium in changes observed postnatally (Enlow, 1966; Michejda et al., 1979; Pucciarelli, 1981; Sirianni et al., 1982; Nanda et al., 1987; Oyhenart, 1988; Miller and German, 1999). In fact, the lower postnatal neurocranial growth in mammals results from the development of the brain earlier than any other structure in mammals (i.e. Topinard, 1891; Delattre, 1951; Moss and Young, 1960; Moss, 1973; Michejda, 1975; Sirianni and Swindler, 1979; Sirianni, 1985; Hartwig, 1995). Corner and Richtsmeier (1992) showed that the growth of the neurocranium in *Saimiri* is completed rather early in ontogeny. The absence of

neurocranial changes in shape in our study would suggest that brain development was achieved in *Saimiri* by the 9th month of age.

Moss (1973) suggested that facial growth is explained by the volumetric expansion of the oro-naso-pharyngeal cavity. Corner and Richtsmeier (1991) found in *Cebus apella* that the muzzle grows far more than the orbital cavity (which showed the smallest amount of growth). In *Saimiri,* we showed that three out of four minor components increased from the juvenile to the adult HP stage, with growth in the optic one being the least. The explanation for the relatively high post-lactational increase of the masticatory component is linked to the development of the permanent dentition that occurs after the 9th month of age. Clearly, after infancy, the masticatory apparatus follows a common growth pattern in several primate species (Schultz, 1962). The low growth of the optic component is linked to its association with the soft tissues of and surrounding the eye, the eye being an outgrowth of the brain and following a neural growth pattern (Cheverud 1995). To sum up, differences in growth rates of the neurocranium and face in *Saimiri* result from a general pattern of morphological integration across mammals responding to the disjunction of neural and somatic growth systems that have different embryological origins (Cheverud 1995).

Previous studies of malnutrition in *Saimiri* (Pucciarelli et al., 1990; Dressino and Pucciarelli, 1997) suggested that a low-protein diet affects the growth of both major craniofacial components, the face and neurocranium. De Rousseau and Reichs (1987) found that size and shape were affected in *Macaca* from Cayo Santiago when they were fed on an improved. In *Saimiri*, skull size was affected by low-protein diet; however, skull shape was not. This indicates that size and shape were dissociated in skull. Malnutrition affected facial size, facial shape and neurocranial size. Malnutrition 1) slowed facial growth and development as well as neurocranial growth, and 2) affected facial growth more than neurocranial growth, resulting in a smaller skull but with a similarly shaped neurocranium.

Miller and German (1999) observed that malnutrition in rats produces changes mainly in the timing of skull growth but not in adult size (*contra* i.e. Elias and Samonds, 1977; Pucciarelli, 1980, 1981; Yayha and Millward, 1994). The offset of growth is delayed in low protein fed animals in order to attain a size similar to that of high protein fed individuals; in other words, they found catch-up growth in animals under prolonged environmental stress. In contrast, our experience shows that skull

size is affected in *Saimiri* on a low protein diet, but shape is not. Probably, the conflict between results may result from comparing groups before complete catch-up is expected. Exploring morphological integration, Cheverud (1982, 1995) suggests that environmental integration is strong in functional or developmentally integrated traits when the neurocranium and face are treated as two different units whereas genetic integration is stronger than environmental and phenotypic integration when the skull is considered as a whole. Cheverud and colleagues (Cheverud and Midkiff, 1992; Cheverud et al. 1992) observed that facial growth may be adjusted in a compensatory manner to altered neurocranial growth in order to maintain the structural integrity of the skull. They suggest that changes in neurocranial morphology can lead to specific complementary changes in facial morphology. The integration between the neurocranium and the face is 'a matter of degree' (Cheverud, 1995:70). Perhaps the different morphologies observed in protein-deprived rats and squirrel monkeys result from different degrees of morphological integration of functional or developmentally integrated traits in the skull. Genetic integration is apparently stronger in *Saimiri* producing changes in the face and in the neurocranium that preserve their normal adult proportions in individuals subjected to environmental stress. In contrast, among rats, the functional/developmentally related traits are influenced more by environmental integration than by genetic integration, and environmental changes differentially affect facial and neurocranial growth.

The similar shapes but different sizes of the skulls of HP and LP individuals demonstrate that these groups of *Saimiri* attained their adult morphology via two different growth trajectories. The paths by which similar skull size is achieved in rats on high and low protein diets were also different (Miller and German, 1999). This indicates that intraspecific variability of development can explain a considerable amount of intraspecific differences in size and/or shape among adults.

Heterochrony *sensu* Gould (1977) occurs when changes in ontogenetic pathways affect the final shape, resulting in paedomorphosis or peramorphosis. However, Gould also recognized two processes through which descendant adults can preserve ancestral adult shape: gigantism (when the adult descendant is bigger than the adult ancestor) and dwarfism (when the adult descendant is smaller than the adult ancestor). Gigantism and dwarfism are not fundamentally different from other processes that are commonly recognized as heterochronic (Ramirez Rozzi, 2001). They are characterised by a dissociation of size and shape; they can be recognised

when comparing the size and shape of ancestors and descendants at given ages. We consider these two processes as heterochronic, despite the fact that they involve neither paedomorphosis nor peramorphosis. Gigantism and dwarfism are heterochronic processes that result in isomorphosis (Reilly et al. 1997; Godinot, 2000), since adult shape has not changed.

Differences between HP and LP *Saimiri* can be explained by heterochrony. A variety of methods appropriate for testing heterochrony (Gould 1977, Alberch et al 1979, Godfrey and Sutherland 1995, 1996) were applied in this study. They show that LP individuals are proportioned dwarfs in relation to HP specimens. Their similar skull shape but different size is confirmed by geometric morphometrics. Intraspecific heterochrony has been observed in previous studies (i.e. Shea, 1986; 1988; 1989; Berge and Penin, 2000; Berge, 2002; Williams et al., 2002). Environmentally-induced intraspecific heterochrony is known in insects and amphibians (see Reilly et al. 1997). The present study has shown that intraspecific heterochrony can also be produced by environmental stimuli in mammals, more specifically, that perturbation in diet can result in proportioned dwarfism. From the perspective of genetic assimilation (Waddington, 1953, 1956; see Scharloo, 1991; Hallgrimsson et al. 2002), the environmentally-induced phenotype probably has a genetic basis and thus parallel changes can be induced genetically. Proportioned dwarfism may be far more common than is currently appreciated.

Functional cranial theory (Klaauw, 1948-1952; Moss and Young, 1960; Moss, 1973) suggests that the skull can be divided into functional cranial components. Each FCC reflects a certain degree of developmental integration. As mentionned before, Cheverud (1982, 1995) suggests that the kind of integration prevailing (environmental or genetic) is depending on if the neurocranium and face are treated as two different units or if the skull is considered as a whole. It is similar for heterochrony. Proportioned dwarfism is observed when the skull of LP individuals is compared with the skull of HP specimens. Similar shape in LP and HP skulls is attained by a developmental arrest of the face in LP animals. When the face and neurocranium are considered separately, heterochronic processes affecting these two components are different. The neurocranium of LP individuals conforms to proportioned dwarfism because it has the same shape as but is smaller in size than that of HP animals. In contrast, the analysis of the FCC of the face suggests an arrest (or truncation) of development. Skull growth trajectories are different in LP and

HP individuals, whereas face growth trajectories are similar in these two groups. In fact, the heterochronic process observed at a higher level of analysis depends on the interaction of processes at lower levels. In other words, it is an emergent process that does not reproduce at a high level the processes that occur at lower levels. In our case, proportioned dwarfism in the skull of LP *Saimiri* is obtained by proportioned dwarfism in the neurocranium and a paedomorphic face[1].

In summary, malnutrition produced a change in size but not shape of the skull of LP *Saimiri*. This difference is the result of a heterochronic process; undernourished animals are proportioned dwarfs. Intraspecific heterochrony has been reported previously, but we report here, in contrast to other heterochronic studies of mammals, a case of intraspecific heterochrony produced by an environmental stress.

Acknowledgements

We thank B. Ackermann, two anonymous referees and the associated editor for their very good suggestions, which have improved the original version of this manuscript. We want to thank also R. Lacruz for his help with English. This research was funded by the French-Argentine cooperation CNRS-CONICET: “La place des Amérindiens face au contexte morphologique et géographique de l’Eurasie”.

[1] It is very likely that if proportioned dwarfism occurs in the face of LP animals, the relationship between the neurocranium and face would change and therefore that LP and HP individuals would not show similar skull shapes.

Literature Cited

Ackermann, R. R. & Krovitz G. E. (2002). Common patterns of facial ontogeny in the hominid lineage. The Anatomical Record 269:142-147.

Alberch, P., Gould, SJ., Oster, GF. & Wake, DB. (1979): Size and shape in ontogeny and phylogeny. Paleobiology. 5:296-317.

Ayres, JM. (1985): On a new species of squirrel monkey, genus *Saimiri* from Brazilian amazonia (Primates, Cebidae). Papéis Avulsos Zool. S. Paulo. 36:147-164.

Berge, C. (1998): Heterochronic processes in human evolution: an ontogenetic analysis of the hominid pelvis. Am J Phys Anthropol. 105:441-459.

Berge, C. (2002): Peramorphic processes in the evolution of the hominid pelvis and femur. Pp. 381-404 in: Minugh-Purvis, N., McNamara, K. (ed.) Human evolution through developmental change. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.

Berge, C., & Penin, X. (2000): Exemples d'hétérochronies chez les primates : de la théorie à la pratique. Primatologie. 3:513-534.

Bookstein, F.L. (1991) Morphometric tools for landmark data. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Bookstein, F. L.; Gunz, P.; Mitteroecker, P.; Prossinger, H.; Schaefer, K. and Seidler, H. (2003) Cranial integration in Homo: singular warps analysis of the midsagittal plane in ontogeny and evolution. J.Hum.Evol 44:167-187.

Cheverud, J.M. (1982) Phenotypic, genetic, and environmental morphological integration in the cranium. Evolution 36: 499-516.

Cheverud, J.M. (1995) Morphological integration in the saddle-back tamarin (*Saguinus fuscicollis*) cranium. *Am. Nat*. 145:63-89.

Cheverud, J.M. & Midkiff, J. (1992). The effects of fronto-occipital cranial reshaping on mandibular form. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 87: 167-172.

Cheverud, J. M.; Kohn, L.A.P.; Konigsberg, L. & Leigh, S. (1992). The effects of fronto-occipital artificial cranial vault modification on the cranial base and face. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 88: 323-345.

Console, G.M., Jurado, S.B., Oyhenart, E., Ferese, C., Pucciarelli, H., Gomez Dumm, C.L.A. (2001a): Morphometric and ultrastructural analysis of different pituitary cell populations in undernourished monkeys. Braz J Med Biol Res. 34:65-74.

Console, G.M., Oyhenart, E.E., Jurado, S.B., Riccillo, J., Pucciarelli, H.M., Gómez Dumm, C.L.A. (2001b) : Effect of malnutrition on cranial components and somatotroph-lactotroph pituitary populations in the Squirrel Monkey (*Saimiri sciureus boliviensis).* Cells Tissues Organs 168:272-284.

Corner, B.D. & Richtsmeier, J.T. (1991): Morphometric analysis of craniofacial growth in Cebus apella. Am J Phys Anthropol. 84:323-342.

Corner, B.D. & Richtsmeier, J.T. (1992): Cranial growth in the squirrel monkey (*Saimiri sciureus*): A

quantitative analysis using three dimensional coordinate data. Am J Phys Anthropol. 87:67-81.

Dammrich, K. (1991) Relationship between nutrition and bone growth in large and giant dogs. J. Nutr. 121: S114-S121.

Delattre, A. (1951): Du Crâne Animal au Crâne Humain. Paris: Masson.

Delattre, A. & Anthony, J. (1951): Les particularités cranio-encéphalique du Saimiri. Bull et Mém Soc d'Anthropol Paris. 2:166-176.

DeRousseau, C.J. & Reichs, K.J. (1987): Ontogenetic plasticity in honhuman primates: I. Secular trends in the Cayo Santiago macaques. Am J Phys Anthropol. 73:279-287.

Dressino, V. (1991) : Desarrollo craneofacial en Saimiri sciureus (CEBIDAE) y su alteracion por factores nutricionales. PhD Thesis. Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata.

Dressino, V. & Pucciarelli, H.M. (1997): Cranial growth in Saimiri sciureus (Cebidae) and its alteration by nutritional factors: A longitudinal study. Am J Phys Anthropol. 102:545-554.

Dryden, I.L. & Mardia, K.V. (1998) Statistical shape analysis. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.

Elias, M. F. & Samonds, K. W. (1977). Protein and calorie malnutrition in infant cebus monkeys: growth and behavioural development during deprivation and rehabilitation. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 30: 355-366.

Enlow, D.H. (1966): A comparative study of facial growth in Homo and Macaca. Am J Phys Anthropol. 24:293-308.

Farnum, C. E.; Lee, A.O.; O'hara, K. & Wilsman, N. J. (2003) Effect of short-term fasting on bone elongation rates: an analysis of catch-up growth in young male rats. Pediatric Res. 53: 33-41.

Galliari, C.A. & Colillas, O.J. (1985): Sequences and timing of dental eruption in Bolivian captive-born squirrel monkeys (Saimiri sciureus). Am J Primatology. 8:195-204.

Giesen, E.B.; Ding, M.; Dalstra, M.; van Eijden, T.M. (2003) Reduced mechanical load decreases the density, stiffness, strength of cancellous bone of the mandibular condyle. Clin Biomech 18: 358-363.

Godfrey, L.R. & Sutherland, M.R. (1995): What's growth got to do with it ? Process and product in the evolution of ontogeny. J Hum Evol. 29:405-431.

Godfrey, L.R. & Sutherland, M.R. (1996): The paradox of peramorphic paedomorphosis: heterochrony and human evolution. Am J Phys Anthropol. 99:17-42.

Godinot, M. (2000): Enjeux des hétérochronies pour les primates. Primatologie. 3:463-478.

Golden, M. H. N. (1994) Is complete catch-up possible for stunted malnourished children? Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 48: S58-S71.

González-José, R.; Van der Molen, S.; González-Pérez, E.; Hernández, M. (2004) Patterns of Phenotypic Covariation and Correlation in Modern Humans as Viewed from Morphological Integration. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 123:69-77.

Goodall, C.R. (1991) Procrustes methods in the statistical analysis of shape. J. R. Stat. Soc. B. 53,285-339.

Gould, S.J. (1966): Allometry and size in ontogeny and phylogeny. Biological Reviews. 41:587-640.

Gould, S.J. (1977): Ontogeny and phylogeny. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.

Hallgrimsson, B.; Willmore, K. & Hall, B. K. (2002). Canalization, developmental stability, and morphological integration in primates limbs. Yrbook Phys. Anthropol. 45: 131-158.

Hartwig, N.C. (1995): Effect of life history on the squirrel monkey (Platyrrhini, Saimiri) cranium. Am J Phys Anthropol. 97:435-449.

Henderson, J. H. & Carter, D. R. (2002) Mechanical induction in limb morphogenesis: the role of growth-generated strains and pressures. Bone 31: 645-653.

Hershkovitz, P. (1977): Living New World Monkeys (Platyrrhini) with an introduction to primates. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Hill, W.C.O. (1960): Primates. Comparative Anatomy and Taxonomy. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh.

Jungers, W.L., Falsetti, A.B. & Wall, C.E. (1995): Shape, relative size, and size-ajustements in morphometrics. Yrbk Phys Anthropol. 38:137-161.

Kaack, B., Walker, L. & Brizzee, K.R. (1979): The growth and development of the squirrel monkey (Saimiri sciureus). Growth. 43:116-135.

Kiliaridis, S. (1995) Masticatory muscle influence on craniofacial growth. Acta Odontol Scand 53:196-202.

Klaauw, C.J. van der. (1948-52): Size and position of the functional components of the skull. Arch Neerl Zool. 9:1-559.

Lele, S. R. & Cole, T. M. III. (1996) A new test for shape differences when variance-covariance matrices are unequal. J. Hum. Evol. 31:193-212.

Lele, S. & Richtsmeier, J.T.. (1995) Euclidean distance matrix analysis: confidence intervals for form and growth differences. Am.J.Phys.Anthropol. 98:73-86.

Lele, S. R. & Richtsmeier, J. T. (2001) An invariant approach to statistical analysis of shapes. Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall.

Lieberman, D.E. (1997) Making behavioural and phylogenetic inferences from fossils: considering the developmental influence of mechanical forces. Annu Rev Anthropol 26:185-210.

Lieberman, D.E.; Ross, C.R.; Ravosa. M. (2000) The primate cranial base: ontogeny, function and integration. Yrbk Phys Anthropol 43:117-169.

Loveridge, N. & Noble, B. S. (1994) Control of longitudinal growth: the role of nutrition. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 48: 75-84.

Malcom, L. (1979) Protein-energy malnutrition and growth. In Human Growth (Faulkner, F. & Tanner, J. eds.). Plenum Press, New York, NY.

Marcus, L. F., Corti, M., Loy, A., Naylor, G. J. P. & Slice, D. E. (1996) Advances in morphometricsPlenum Press, New York.

Marroig, G.; Cheverud, J.M. (2001) A comparison of phenotypic variation and covariation patterns and the role of phylogeny, ecology, and ontogeny during cranial evolution of New World monkeys. Evolution. 55:2576-2600.

Mckinney, M.L. (1986): Ecological causation of heterochrony : a test and implications for evolutionary theory. Paleobiology. 12:282-289.

Mckinney, M.L. & Mcnamara, K.J. (1991): Heterochrony: the evolution of ontogeny. Plenum Press, New York.

Mcnamara, K.J. (1986): A guide to the nomenclature of heterochrony. J Paleontol. 60:4-13.

Mcnamara, K.J. (1988) The abundance of heterochrony in the fossil record. In McKinney, M. L. (ed.) Heterochrony in evolution: a multidisciplinary approach, 287-325. Plenum Press, New York, NY.

Mcnamara, K.J. (1995): Evolutionary change and heterochrony. Wiley-Liss, Inc, New York.

Mcnamara, K.J. (1997): Shapes of time : the evolution of growth and development. The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.

Michejda, M. (1975): Ontogenetic growth changes of the skull base in four genera of nonhuman primates. Acta Anat. 91:110-117.

Michejda, M., Bacher, J., Hayes, N., Johnson, D., Killens, R. & Watson, W. (1979): Estimation of gestational and skeletal age in Macaca mulatta. J Med Primatol. 8:143-154.

Miller, J. P. & German, R. Z. (1999) Protein malnutrition affects the growth trajectories of the craniofacial skeleton in rats. J. Nutr. 129: 2061-2069.

Moss, M.L. (1973): A functional cranial analysis of primate craniofacial growth. Symposium IVth. International Congress of Primatology. 3:191-208.

Moss, M.L. (1979): Functional cranial analysis and the functional matrix. Int J Orthodont. 17:21-31.

Moss, M.L. & Young, R.W. (1960): A functional approach to craniology. Am J Phys Anthropol. 18:281-292.

Nanda, R., Baume, R.M., Tanne, K. & Sugawara, J. (1987): Longitudinal study of craniofacial growth in Macaca fascicularis. Am J Phys Anthropol. 73:215-225.

Needham, J. (1933) On the dissociability of the fundamental processes in ontogenesis. Biol Rev. 8:180-223.

Olson, E.C.; Miller, R.L. (1958) Morphological Integration. Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago.

Oyhenart, E.E. (1988): Estudio experimental del dimorfismo craneano racial y sexual y su alteracion por efecto del mestizaje. PhD thesis. Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, La Plata.

Ponce de León, M. S. & Zollikofer, C.P. (2001) Neanderthal cranial ontogeny and its implications for late hominid diversity. Nature 412:534-538.

Prader, A.; Tanner, J. M. & von Harnak, G. A. (1963) Catch-up growth following illness or starvation. J. Pediatr 62: 646-659.

Pucciarelli, H.M. (1978): Craniofacial development of the rat with respect to vestibular orientation. Acta Anat. 100:101-110.

Pucciarelli, H.M. (1981): Growth of the functional components of the rat skull and its alteration by nutritional effects. A multivariate analysis. Am J Phys Anthropol. 56:33-41.

Pucciarelli, H.M., Dressino, V. & Niveiro, M.H. (1990): Changes in skull components of the squirrel monkey evoked by growth and nutrition: an experimental study. Am J Phys Anthropol. 81:535-543.

Pucciarelli, H.M., Muñe, M.C., Oyhenart, E.E., Orden, A.B., Villanueva, M.E., Rodriguez, R.R. & Pons, E.E. (2000): Growth of skeletal components in the young squirrel monkey (*Saimiri sciureus boliviensis*): A longitudinal experiment. Am J Phys Anthropol. 112:57:68.

Ramirez Rozzi, F. (2000): Hétérochronies: états des lieux. Primatologie. 3:479-512.

Reichling, T. D. & German, R. Z. (2000). Bones, muscles and visceral organs of protein-malnourished rats (Rattus norvegicus) grow more slowly but for longer durations to reach normal final size. J. Nutr. 130: 2326-2332.

Reilly, S. M.; Wiley, E. O. & Meinhardt, D. J. (1997) An integrative approach to heterochrony: the distinction between interspecific and intraspecific phenomena. Biol. J. Linnean Soc. 60: 119-143.

Richtsmeier, J. T.; Deleon, V. B., Lele, S. (2002) The promise of geometric morphometrics. Yearb.Phys.Anthropol. 45:63-91, 2002.

Rohlf, F.J. (1993) Relative warp analysis and an example of its application to mosquito wings. In: Marcus LF, Bello E, García-Valdecasa A (eds.). Contributions to morphometrics. Monografías del Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Madrid. Pp. 131-190.

Scharloo, W. (1991). Canalization: genetic and developmental aspects. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 22: 65-93.

Schultz, A.H. (1962): Metric age changes and sex differences in primate skulls. Z für Morph Anthropol. 52:239-255.

Semendeferi, K. & Damasio, H. (2000): The brain and its main anatomical subdivisions in living hominoids using magnetic resonance imaging. J Hum Evol. 38:317-32.

Shea, B.T. (1986): Ontogenetic approaches to sexual dimorphism in anthropoids. Hum Evol. 1:97-110.

Shea, B.T. (1988): Heterochrony in primates. Pp. 237-266 in: McKinney, M.L. (ed.) Heterochrony in evolution. Plenum Press, New York.

Shea, B.T. (1989): Heterochrony in human evolution: the case for neoteny reconsidered. Yrbk Phys Anthropol. 32:69-101.

Smith BH. 1989. Dental development as a measure of life history in primates. Evolution 43:683-688.

Smith BH, Crummett TL, and Brandt KL. 1994. Ages of eruption of primate teeth: a compendium for aging individuals and comparing life histories. Yearbook of Physical Anthropology 37, 177-231.

Sirianni, J.E. (1985): Nonhuman primates as models for human craniofacial growth. Alan R Liss, Inc, New York.

Sirianni, J.E. & Swindler, D.R. (1979): A review of postnatal craniofacial growth in old world monkeys and apes. Ybk Phys Anthropol. 22:80-104.

Sirianni, J.E., Van Ness, A.L. & Swindler, D.R. (1982): Growth of the mandible in adolescent pigtailed macaques (Macaca nemestrina). Hum Biol. 54:31-44.

Tardieu, C. (1998): Short adolescence in early hominids: infantile and adolescent growth of the human femur. Am J Phys Anthropol. 107:163-178.

Thom, T.E. (1965): A roentgenographic cephalometry study of craniofacial variability in the squirrel monkey (*Saimiri sciureus*). PhD Thesis. University of Washington.

Thorington, R.W. (1985): The taxonomy and distribution of squirrel monkeys (*Saimiri*). LA Rosenblum.

Handbook of Squirrel Monkey research. Plenum, New York.

Topinard, P. (1891): La transformation du crâne animal en crâne humain. L'Anthropologie. 2:649-675.

Waddington, C. H. (1953). The genetic assimilation of an acquired character. Evolution 7: 118-126.

Waddington, C. H. (1956). Genetic assimilation of the bithorax phenotype. Evolution 10: 1-13.

Williams, F.L., Godfrey, L.R. & Sutherland, M.R. (2002): Heterochrony and the evolution of neandertal and modern human craniofacial form. Pp.405-441 in: Minugh-Purvis, N. & McNamara, K. (ed.) Human evolution through developmental change. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.

Wood, B.; Lieberman, D.E. (2001) Craniodental variation in *Paranthropus boisei*: a developmental and functional perspective. Am J Phys Anthropol 116:13-25.

Yayha, Z. A. H. & Millward, D. J. (1994). Dietary protein and the regulation of long bone and muscle growth in the rat. Clin. Sci. (Lond.) 87: 213-224.

Figure 1: Gould's (1977) metric for dissociation allows one to ascertain whether adult descendant shape (AD), in our case, that of adult LP animals, matches the shape of a larger, smaller or similarly-sized adult ancestor (AA), in this study adult HP animals. One need only ask where the line of isometry (IL) of the adult descendant (AD) crosses the ancestral line of ontogeny (OL). In our study, the ontogeny line of HP animals is the line connecting the HP juvenile and adult shapes. In A, the descendant adult isometry line crosses the juvenile portion of the ancestral ontogeny line; thus the descendant is paedomorphic. In B, the isometry line crosses the projection of the ontogeny line (POL); the adult descendant's shape matches what the ancestor would have acquired had its ontogeny not stopped at AA. In this case, the descendant is peramorphic. A descendant would be isomorphic if the isometry line passes through AA.

Figure 2: Multivariate perspective methodology (after Godfrey and Sutherland 1996: 32). Age, trait size, total size and shape are represented by vectors or bars that record, in a fashion similar to Gould's clock, how the "descendant" differs from its ancestor. Total size is the sum of the sizes of individual traits at any point along the ontogenetic trajectory. The shape vectors show each trait's proportion of total size. The sum of the ratios is 1. In the case presented here, the adult descendant's shape is the ratio distribution 0.54, 0.31, and 0.15. It is similar to juvenile ancestor's shape. Size in the adult descendant is the same as that of the adult ancestor, signalling dissociation of size and shape. Because descendant adult shape is similar to ancestral juvenile shape, the descendant is paedomorphic, and because size and shape are dissociated, paedomorphosis was obtained by neoteny. This method allows researchers to analyze several traits simultaneously and to test whether ontogenies have followed similar developmental pathways.

Figure 3: Changes in size (VI: volumetric indices) from juvenile to adult in *Saimiri*. Change in size (growth) is more important in the face than in the neurocranium. All minor components of the face grow from the juvenile to the adult stage of ontogeny but in the neurocranium, only the anterior and midneural components grow significantly.

Figure 4: Thin plate splines analyses based on Procrustes superimposition. of juveniles HP (reference) and adults AHP (target), A); juveniles HP (reference) and adult LP (target), B); and adult LP (reference) and adult HP (target), C). Solid circles: reference landmarks, open circles, target landmarks. Vectors depict the direction and magnitude of the change from the reference to the target. To facilitate visualization of shape changes all splines were magnified two times.

Figure 5: Scatterplot of size (centroid size) against shape (relative warp 1). Rw 1 accounts for 29.35 % of variation. Solid squares: adult HP; open squares: juvenile HP; solid circles: adult LP. Shape changes (departures from the consensus) associated with positive and negative values of the relative warp 1 are presented as splines on the left side of the graph.

Figure 6: Gould's metric of size/shape dissociation. The logged size of the skull -- i.e., the sum of the face volumetric index (FVI) and the neurocranium volumetric index (NVI) -- is plotted against logged neurocranium size (NVI). Solid points represent the ratio of neurocranium size to skull size in juvenile (JHP) and adult (AHP) HP animals. These points determine the ontogeny line (OL). The isometry line (IL) passing through the ratio corresponding to LP adults (ALP) crosses the OL at the point AHP, indicating that the shape of ALP is the same as that of AHP (ratio=0.882). ALP and AHP are isomorphic.

Figure 7: Gould's clock applied to squirrel monkeys. In this example, because shape is similar in adult HP and adult LP animals but size is smaller in the latter, this clock depicts isomorphosis by dwarfism (see text for further explanation).

Figure 8: The Multivariate Perspective Method for minor components of neurocranium of squirrel monkeys. Trait size and total size are smaller in LP animals than in adult HP individuals and even than in juvenile HP, except in ANVI. Shape does not change from juvenile to adult HP animals. LP individuals do not differ in shape from adult HP animals.

Figure 9: Neurocranial minor component proportions do not change from juvenile (JHP) to adult (AHP) HP animals. Total size in adult LP individuals (ALP) is smaller than in juvenile HP specimens, but the proportions are not different.

Figure 10: The Multivariate Perspective Method for minor components of the face (see text for explanation).

Figure 11: Changes in the proportions of the minor components of the face. Size in LP animals (ALP) is smaller than in adult HP individuals (AHP) but bigger than in juvenile (JHP) HP animals. The proportions of optic, masticatory and alveolar components change from juvenile to adult HP specimens. In LP, the proportions of these components fall between juvenile and adult HP values. Only the proportion of the respiratory component in LP animals does not follow this pattern; it is close to the adult HP value. But it is noteworthy that this minor component does not change from juvenile to adult HP animals.

Table 1: Composition of high-protein (HP, 20%) and low-protein (LP, 10%) diets.

Component	**High-protein diet** (g)	**Low-protein diet** (g)
Soybean meal	28,0	9,9
Wheat meal	14,7	8,0
Glucose	0,0	6,7
Skimmed milk	10,6	4,9
Wheat bran	5,6	5,6
Saccharose	3,5	3,5
Rice meal	3,3	6,6
Corn starch	3,0	21,4
Margarine	4,2	6,7
Egg	7,0	3,2
Vitamin mixture	1,5	1,5
Salt mixture	1,5	1,5
Water	17,1	20,5
Total	100,0	100,0

Table 2: Sex, group, and age in months at which each individual was sacrificed.

Sex	Group	Age (months)
M	Control	9
M	Control	9
M	Control	9
M	Control	9
M	Control	9
M	Control	9
M	Control	24
M	Control	24
M	Control	24
M	Control	24
M	Control	24
M	Control	24
M	Undernourished	15
M	Undernourished	16
M	Undernourished	16
M	Undernourished	16
M	Undernourished	17
M	Undernourished	18
M	Undernourished	19
M	Undernourished	19
M	Undernourished	20
M	Undernourished	24
M	Undernourished	24
M	Undernourished	26

Table 3: Length, width, and height of the major and minor components. Landmarks used in the geometric morphometric analyses are marked with asterisks.

Component		Code	Measurement
Major components			
neurocranium	length	NL	Nasion*-opisthocranion*
	width	NW	Eurion-eurion
	height	NH	Basion*-vertex
face	length	FL	Inner prosthion*-vomerobasilar*
	width	FW	Zygion-zygion
	height	FH	Nasion*-External prosthion*
Minor components			
anteroneural	length	ANL	Glabella*-bregma*
	width	ANW	Pterion-pterion
	height	ANH	Bregma*-vomerobasilar*
midneural	length	MNL	Bregma*-lambda*
	width	MNW	Same as NW
	height	MNH	Basion*-bregma*
posteroneural	length	PNL	Opistion*-opisthocranion*
	width	PNW	Asterion-asterion
	height	PNH	Lambda*-opistion*
otic	length	OTL	Posterior-inferior limit of tympanic bone - midpoint of sagital limit of petrous bone
	width	OTW	Most posterior* to most anterior* external points of the auditive meatus
	height	OTH	Porion*-inferior and external point of the auditive meatus*
optic	length	OL	Dacrion-intersfenoidal foramen
	width	OW	Dacrion-ectoconquium
	height	OH	Midpoint of supraorbitary – midpoint of infraorbitary
respiratory	length	RL	Nasospinal*-posterior nasal spine*
	width	RW	Alare* left-Alare right*
	height	RH	Nasion*-Nasospinal
masticatory	length	ML	Lower border zygomatic synchondrosis- posterior border of the glenoid cavity
	width	MW	Anterior sulcus of the sphenotemporal crest- lower point of the zygotemporal synchondrosis*
	height	MH	Lower border of the zygotemporal synchondrosis*- upper temporal line at the coronal intersection*
alveolar	length	AL	External Prosthion*-posterior alveolar border*
	width	AW	From left to right second-third molars width
	height	AH	Palatal deep at midsagital/second-third molars width

TABLE 4: Volumetric (VI) and Morphometric (MI) Indices

Symbol	Formula	Description
Major components		
NVI	$NVI=\sqrt[3]{NL*NW*NH}$	neurocranial volumetric index
FVI	$FVI=\sqrt[3]{FL*FW*FH}$	facial volumetric index
NMI	NMI=100*NVI/(NVI+FVI)	neurocranial morphometric index
Minor components		
ANVI	$ANVI=\sqrt[3]{ANL*ANW*ANH}$	anteroneural volumetric index
MNVI	$MNVI=\sqrt[3]{MNL*MNW*MNH}$	midneural volumetric index
PNVI	$PNVI=\sqrt[3]{PNL*PNW*PNH}$	posteroneural volumetric index
OTVI	$OTVI=\sqrt[3]{OTL*OTW*OTH}$	otic volumetric index
OVI	$OVI=\sqrt[3]{OL*OW*OH}$	optic volumetric index
RVI	$RVI=\sqrt[3]{RL*RW*RH}$	respiratory volumetric index
MVI	$MVI=\sqrt[3]{ML*MW*MH}$	masticatory volumetric index
AVI	$AVI=\sqrt[3]{AL*AW*AH}$	alveolar volumetric index
ANMI	ANMI=100*ANVI/(ANVI+MNVI+PNVI+OTVI)	anteroneural morphometric index
MNMI	MNMI=100*MNVI/(ANVI+MNVI+PNVI+OTVI)	midneural morphometric index
PNMI	PNMI=100*PNVI/(ANVI+MNVI+PNVI+OTVI)	posteroneural morphometric index
OTMI	OTMI=100*OTVI/(ANVI+MNVI+PNVI+OTVI)	otic morphometric index
OMI	OMI=100*OVI/ (OVI+RVI+MVI+AVI)	optic morphometric index
RMI	RMI=100*RVI/ (OVI+RVI+MVI+AVI)	respiratory morphometric index
MMI	MMI=100*MVI/ (OVI+RVI+MVI+AVI)	masticatory morphometric index
AMI	AMI=100*AVI/ (OVI+RVI+MVI+AVI)	alveolar morphometric index

Table 5: Volumetric (VI) and morphometric (MI) indices values for juvenile and adult HP *Saimiri*

	Juvenile			Adult			t-test
size	X	SD	SE	X	SD	SE	
NVI	39.5	0.781	0.319	41.21	0.887	0.362	**
FVI	23.24	0.809	0.330	26.49	0.595	0.243	**
shape							
NMI	62.96	1.024	0.418	60.87	0.461	0.188	**
ANMI	33.87	0.563	0.230	34.02	0.387	0.158	
MNMI	36.18	0.573	0.234	36.75	0.288	0.118	
PNMI	21.07	0.743	0.303	20.34	0.490	0.200	
OTMI	8.88	0.253	0.103	8.90	0.432	0.176	
OMI	35.10	0.933	0.381	31.02	0.912	0.372	**
RMI	26.95	1.136	0.464	25.84	0.445	0.182	
MMI	21.07	1.837	0.750	22.99	0.523	0.214	*
AMI	16.88	3.435	1.402	20.14	0.937	0.383	*

* =P<0.05, ** = P<0.01

Table 6: Volumetric (VI) and morphometric (MI) index values for *Saimiri* fed a 20% protein-content diet (HP) and a 10% protein-content diet (LP)

	HP			LP			t-test
size	X	SD	SE	X	SD	SE	
NVI	41.21	0.887	0.362	39.04	1.087	0.314	**
FVI	26.49	0.595	0.243	24.67	0.658	0.190	**
shape							
NMI	60.87	0.461	0.188	61.28	0.672	0.194	
ANMI	34.02	0.387	0.158	34.66	0.794	0.229	
MNMI	36.75	0.288	0.118	36.11	0.586	0.169	*
PNMI	20.34	0.490	0.200	20.27	0.767	0.221	
OTMI	8.90	0.432	0.176	9	0.376	0.108	
OMI	31.02	0.912	0.372	33.57	0.942	0.272	**
RMI	25.84	0.445	0.182	25.66	0.931	0.269	
MMI	22.99	0.523	0.214	21.68	1.005	0.290	**
AMI	20.14	0.937	0.383	19.09	1.360	0.393	

* = P<0.05, ** = P<0.01.

Table 7: Results of the EDMA carried out among the three groups. Size and shape differences are displayed. The scaling variable is the geometric mean of distances. The LP sample was chosen as the bootstrap referent because it is the largest sample. Probability values were obtained following Lele and Cole (1996).

Comparison	Shape Difference (z-statistic)	Size Difference (scaling variable difference)
Adult LP vs. Adult HP	0.0667 (not significant)	-1.26871 ($p<0.01$)
Adult LP vs. Juvenile HP	-0.14827 ($p<0.01$)	0.77174 ($p<0.01$)
Adult HP vs. Juvenile HP	0.21496 ($p<0.01$)	2.04045 ($p<0.01$)

A



PAEDOMORPHOSIS

B



PERAMORPHOSIS


ANCESTOR
AGE
traits
size
shape
t
Y_1
Y_2
Y_3
$X=Y_1+Y_2+Y_3$
Y_1/X
Y_2/X
Y_3/X
$[Y_1/X]$
1
2
:
p
22.5
12.6
6.3
41.4
0.54
0.31
0.15
1
n
44.9
20.0
11.0
75.9
0.59
0.26
0.15
1
DESCENDANT
t
Y_1
Y_2
Y_3
X
Y_1/X
Y_2/X
Y_3/X
$[Y_1/X]$
1
2
:
0.54
0.31
0.15
1
41.2
n
11.6
75.9
23.1


VI
(size)
45
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
Neurocranium
Midneural
Anterior
Posterior
Otic
Juvenile
Adult
27,5
25
22,5
20
17,5
15
12,5
10
7,5
5
Facial
Optic
Respiratory
Masticatory
Alveolar
Juvenile
Adult

a)



b)



c)








0,06
0,04
0,02
0,00
-0,02
-0,04
-0,06
Shape (RW 1)
84
86
88
90
92
94
96
98
100
Size (cs)


IL
log NVI
1,617
1,615
1,612
1,61
1,607
1,605
1,602
1,6
1,597
1,595
1,592
1,59
AHP
OL
JHP
ACP
1,795
1,8
1,805
1,81
1,815
1,82
1,825
1,83
1,835
log skull size
(=FVI + NVI)


1.831
0.882
1.804
1.797
size
shape
age
adults

HP *Saimiri*



LP *Saimiri*




ALP
JHP
AHP
midneural
anterior
posterior
otic
Proportion of
minor components
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
81,5
82
82,5
83
83,5
84
84,5
85
85,5
86
neurocranium size
(=ANVI+...+OTVI)

HP *Saimiri*



LP *Saimiri*




JHP
ALP
AHP
36
34
32
30
28
26
24
22
20
18
16
Proportion of
minor components
optic
respiratory
masticatory
alveolar
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
face size
(=OVI+...+AVI)