

# Effectiveness of mRNA-BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccines against COVID-19 in healthcare workers: an observational study using surveillance data

Christophe Paris, Sophie Perrin, Stephanie Hamonic, Baptiste Bourget, Clémence Roué, Olivier Brassard, Emilie Tadié, Vincent Gicquel, François Bénézit, V. Thibault, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Christophe Paris, Sophie Perrin, Stephanie Hamonic, Baptiste Bourget, Clémence Roué, et al.. Effectiveness of mRNA-BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccines against COVID-19 in healthcare workers: an observational study using surveillance data. Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 2021, 27 (11), pp.1699.e5-1699.e8.  $10.1016/\mathrm{j.cmi.2021.06.043}$ . hal-03355279

HAL Id: hal-03355279

https://hal.science/hal-03355279

Submitted on 30 Sep 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Research Note
- 2 Effectiveness of mRNA-BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOx1
- 3 nCoV-19 vaccines against COVID-19 in health care workers: an
- 4 observational study using surveillance data

5

- 6 Christophe Paris, <sup>1</sup> Sophie Perrin<sup>1</sup>, Stephanie Hamonic<sup>2</sup>, Baptiste Bourget<sup>1</sup>, Clémence Roué<sup>1</sup>,
- 7 Olivier Brassard<sup>1</sup>, Emilie Tadié<sup>1</sup>, Vincent Gicquel<sup>3</sup>, François Bénézit<sup>4</sup>, Vincent Thibault<sup>5</sup>,
- 8 Ronan Garlantézec<sup>2</sup>, Pierre Tattevin,<sup>4</sup>

9

- 10 I Service de Santé au Travail, Hôpital Pontchaillou, Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire, France,
- 11 INSERM U1085 IRSET, 35033 Rennes,
- 12 2 Service d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique, Hôpital Pontchaillou, Centre Hospitalo-
- 13 Universitaire, 35033 Rennes, France
- 14 3 Pharmacie centrale, Hôpital Pontchaillou, Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire, 35033 Rennes,
- 15 France
- 16 4 Maladies Infectieuses et Réanimation Médicale, Hôpital Pontchaillou, Centre Hospitalo-
- 17 Universitaire, 35033 Rennes, France
- 18 5 Laboratoire de virologie, Hôpital Pontchaillou, Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire, 35033
- 19 Rennes, France

20

- \* Corresponding author: Christophe Paris, MD, PhD; Occupational Diseases Department,
- 22 CHU PONTCHAILLOU, F-33033 Rennes, France Christophe.paris@inserm.fr

23

# 24 **Abstract**

25 Objectives: Health care workers (HCWs), at increased risk of COVID-19, were among the primary targets for vaccine campaigns. We aimed to estimate the effectiveness of the 3 first 26 27 COVID-19 vaccines available in Western Europe for their protection. Methods: We merged two prospective databases that systematically recorded, in our 28 29 institution: i) HCWs positive for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR on nasopharyngeal sample; ii) 30 HCWs who received at least one dose of COVID-19 vaccine. We excluded HCWs with 31 SARS-CoV-2 infection during the 6 months prior to the study. HCWs were categorized as 32 non-vaccinated if they received no vaccine and until first injection +13 days, partially 33 vaccinated from first injection +14 days to second injection +13 days, and fully vaccinated thereafter. 34 Results: Of the 8,165 HCWs employed in our institution, 360 (4.4%) tested positive for 35 SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR during the study period (January 4<sup>th</sup> to May 17<sup>th</sup> 2021): Incidence 36 37 was 9.1% (8.2-10.0) in non-vaccinated HCWs; 1.2% (0.7-1.9) after one dose of ChAdOx1 38 nCoV-19; 1.4% (0.6-2.3), and 0.5% (0.1-1.0), after one, and two doses, of mRNA BNT162b2; 39 0.7% (0.1-1.9), and 0%, after one, and two doses, of mRNA-1273 (P<0.0001). Vaccine 40 effectiveness (Cox Model), was estimated at, respectively, 86.2% (76.5-91.0), 38.2% (6.3-59.2), and 49.2% (19.1-68.1) 14 days after the first dose for ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, mRNA-41 42 1273, and mRNA-BNT162b2; 100% (ND), and 94.6% (61.0-99.2) 14 days after the second 43 dose for mRNA-1273, and mRNA-BNT162b2. Conclusions: In this real-world study, the observed effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines in 44 45 HCWs was in line with the efficacy reported in pivotal randomized trials.

# Introduction

Phase 3 clinical trials of COVID-19 vaccines evaluated the efficacy of two doses of mRNA BNT162b2 (Pfizer), and mRNA-1273 vaccines (Moderna) at, respectively, 95% (90.3%-97.6%), and 94.1% (89.3%-96.8%), for the prevention of COVID-19 [1, 2]. The pivotal study of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (Astra-Zeneca) reported an efficacy of 62.1% (41.0%-75.7%) [3]. This should not be interpreted as a superiority of the mRNA vaccines to the latter, as these were not comparative studies: Indeed, study design, characteristics of the population included, and profile of SARS-CoV-2 strains circulating during the study period may impact the evaluation of vaccine efficacy. Hence, post-marketing observational studies are complementary to randomized trials, as they document the effectiveness of vaccines in the real life, and allow head-to-head comparisons. We aimed to estimate the vaccine effectiveness of the three COVID-19 vaccines available for health care workers (HCWs) in France from January to May 2021.

# Methods

Rennes University Hospital is a 1500-bed hospital which serves as a referral centre for Western France (population catchment area, 1.5 million inhabitants). All HCWs are registered and followed-up by the department of occupational medicine, with two main objectives: i) to protect them from occupational hazards; ii) to protect their patients. Since March 2020, a database has been implemented to collect data on HCWs who test positive for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR on nasopharyngeal samples, from any laboratory which performs these tests, through the national system of health insurance. HCWs were tested in case of any symptom suggestive of COVID-19, or for the purpose of contact tracing, when they were identified as close contact of someone with SARS-CoV-2 infection. All HCWs with positive RT-PCR

71 were interviewed by phone within 48 hours of diagnosis, and data were collected on a standardized questionnaire. 72 In January 4<sup>th</sup> 2021, we opened a COVID-19 vaccine centre in our hospital, to provide 73 free vaccine to HCWs, initially restricted to those aged 50 years and older (January-February). 74 75 then open to any HCWs willing to be vaccinated, following the national strategy. All vaccines 76 administered to HCWs were recorded in a database. Three COVID-19 vaccines have been 77 used in our hospital during the study period, according to authorizations of French drug agency, and to their availability: mRNA BNT162b2 was available starting from January 4<sup>th</sup>, 78 ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 from February 8<sup>th</sup>, and mRNA-1273 from February, 23<sup>rd</sup>. The second 79 injection was scheduled 3-4 weeks after the first dose for the mRNA vaccines, and 12 weeks 80 after the first dose for ChAdOx1 nCoV-19. Following reports of severe thrombotic events 81 related to the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine, its administration was interrupted on March 15<sup>th</sup> in 82 France, and restarted on March 20<sup>th</sup>, thereafter restricted to people aged 55 years and older. 83 The two databases (i.e., SARS-CoV-2 infected HCWs, and those who received COVID-84 19 vaccines), were merged with the human resources database that includes all HCWs who 85 worked in the institution during the study period, from January 4<sup>th</sup> to May 17<sup>th</sup> 2021. HCWs 86 87 who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR within the 6 months before the COVID-19 88 vaccine campaign were excluded, as they were not immediately eligible to vaccination, and 89 were at low risk of COVID-19 during the survey. Data collected were anonymized before 90 analysis, and HCWs were informed of the study and its results through our institution website. 91 In accordance with French law, they did not have to provide written consent. 92 HCWs were categorized as non-vaccinated if they received no vaccine, or until the first injection +13 days, partially vaccinated from the first injection +14 days to the second 93 94 injection +13 days, and fully vaccinated thereafter [4]. As only 10 HCWs had received their

second injection of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 during the study period due to the 12-week interval, we could not analyse the effectiveness of complete immunization with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19.

Statistical analyses included descriptive variables of HCWs who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, and those who did not. The 95% confidence intervals (CI) for proportions were based on a binomial distribution. Multiple analyses were based on Cox models, with time-varying vaccine status as the explanatory main variable, adjusted for age and occupation. Results are presented as hazard risks (HR) with their 95%CI. Vaccine efficacy estimates were based on the 100x(1–HR) formula, and CI extrapolated from HR 95CI%. Statistical analyses were performed using the SAS® package, v9.4. A P value <0.05 was considered as significant.

# Results

We enrolled 8,165 HCWs, of whom 3,540 (43.4%) underwent at least one test for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR on nasopharyngeal samples (supplementary figure). Of the 8,165 HCWs, 360 (4.4%) tested positive during the study period, including 124 (34.4%) SARS-CoV-2 variant alpha (B.1.1.7), and 1 (0.3%) variant beta (B.1.351) or gamma (P.1). HCWs with positive RT-PCR were younger (P<0.001), and more likely to be nurses, auxiliary nurses, and household staff (P<0.0001, Table 1). The incidence of positive RT-PCR was 9.1% (8.2-10.0) in non-vaccinated HCWs, 1.2% (0.7-1.9) in those who received one dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, 1.4% (0.6-2.3), and 0.5% (0.1-1.0), respectively, for those who received one dose, and two doses, of mRNA BNT162b2, and respectively 0.7% (0.1-1.9), and 0%, for those who received one dose, and two doses, of mRNA-1273 (P<0.0001). The vaccine effectiveness, based on Cox Model (Table 2) was estimated at, respectively, 86.2% (76.5-91.0), 38.2% (6.3-59.2), and 49.2% (19.1-68.1) 14 days after the first dose for ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, mRNA-1273, and mRNA-BNT162b2. It increased to 100% (ND), and 94.6% (61.0-99.2) 14 days after the second dose for mRNA-1273, and mRNA-BNT162b2. We

performed sensitivity analyses based on Cox models restricted to HCWs who were tested at least once by RT-PCR: the findings were very similar to the primary analyses. We performed a subgroup analyses of vaccine efficacy restricted to the main variant during the study period (alpha, B.1.1.7): HR were 0.44 [0.17-1.15] after one dose of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, 0.96 [0.52-1.78] after one dose of mRNA-1273, 0.45 [0.18-1.14] after one dose of mRNA-BNT162b2, and 0.0 after two doses of mRNA-BNT162b2 (supplementary table).

# **Discussion**

We found that the effectiveness of mRNA-BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccines against COVID-19 in HCWs was at least as good as reported by pivotal randomized trials that led to their approval. Although these findings are merely confirmatory, they are of value for the following reasons: First, inclusion criteria for randomized trials tend to select the population most likely to respond, and this may especially apply for trials funded by pharmaceutical companies. Hence, post-marketing studies performed in one of the main target population (i.e. HCWs), with no restriction except previous severe allergy, are welcome. Second, our study was performed while the variant B.1.1.7, referred to as 'UK variant', was rapidly emerging (35.4% of SARS-CoV-2 infections during the study period). Our findings that effectiveness of mRNA-BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccines was maintained in this context partly address the concerns that vaccine efficacy may be lower against this variant, as compared to SARS-CoV-2 strains circulating by the time randomized trials were conducted.

Previous studies on vaccine effectiveness found similar findings for mRNA BNT162b2: In Israel, the vaccine effectiveness was 29% (17%-39%) 14 days after one dose of mRNA BNT162b2 vaccine in the general population, increasing to 90% (83%-94%) 7 days after the second dose [5]. Jones et al. reported an incidence of 0.8% among non-vaccinated HCWs as compared to 0.2% (P=0.004) in HCWs who had received mRNA BNT162b2

145	vaccine at least 12 days before enrolment [6]. Surprisingly, efficacy of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 in
146	our study appears higher than previously [3]. Among HCWs, Shah et al estimated ChAdOx1
147	nCoV-19 effectiveness at 30% (22-37) 14 days after first dose, and 54% (30-70) 14 days after
148	the second dose [7].
149	Our study has limitations. First, as it was monocentric, its findings may not be
150	generalizable to other settings, given the variability of SARS-CoV-2 variants epidemiology.
151	Second, we could only evaluate vaccine effectiveness during the first months, as the study
152	ends 5 months after the COVID-19 vaccine campaign was started. With 35,217
153	persons.months, our study was not powered to evaluate vaccine effectiveness more than 3
154	months after the first dose. Thirdly, our study was based on passive surveillance, so that we
155	probably underestimated asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection.
156	In conclusion, we found that the effectiveness of the 3 first COVID vaccines available
157	in western Europe, i.e. mRNA-BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, was in
158	line with the efficacy reported in the pivotal randomized trials, in a large cohort of HCWs.
150	
159	
160	Funding
161	No funding was received for this study
162 163	Conflict of interest
164	None
165	None
166	Acknowledgments
167	None
168	<b>Authors contribution</b>
189	Writing – Original draft: C.P.; Writing – Review & Editing: C.P., R.G., and P.T.
190	Conceptualization: C.P., R.G., E.T., F.B., S.P., S.H., and P.T Investigation: C.P., E.T., F.B.,

- 191 S.P., S.H., V.T., O.B., B.B., V.G., and C.R. Methodology: C.P., R.G., F.B., S.P., and S.H. –
- 192 Formal analysis: C.P., R.G., and P.T.
- 193 **References**

194

- 195 [1] F.P. Polack, S.J. Thomas, N. Kitchin, J. Absalon, A. Gurtman, S. Lockhart, et al. J.L.
- 196 Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine, N Engl J Med 383(27)
- 197 (2020) 2603-2615.
- 198 [2] L.R. Baden, H.M. El Sahly, B. Essink, K. Kotloff, S. Frey, R. Novak, et al. Efficacy and
- 199 Safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine, N Engl J Med 384(5) (2021) 403-416.
- 200 [3] M. Voysey, S.A.C. Clemens, S.A. Madhi, L.Y. Weckx, P.M. Folegatti, P.K. Aley, et al.
- 201 Safety and efficacy of the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (AZD1222) against SARS-CoV-2: an
- 202 interim analysis of four randomised controlled trials in Brazil, South Africa, and the UK,
- 203 Lancet 397(10269) (2021) 99-111.
- 204 [4] M.G. Thompson, J.L. Burgess, A.L. Naleway, H.L. Tyner, S.K. Yoon, J. Meece, et al.
- 205 Interim Estimates of Vaccine Effectiveness of BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 COVID-19
- 206 Vaccines in Preventing SARS-CoV-2 Infection Among Health Care Personnel, First
- 207 Responders, and Other Essential and Frontline Workers Eight U.S. Locations, December
- 208 2020-March 2021, MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 70(13) (2021) 495-500.
- 209 [5] N. Dagan, N. Barda, E. Kepten, O. Miron, S. Perchik, M.A. Katz, et al. BNT162b2 mRNA
- 210 Covid-19 Vaccine in a Nationwide Mass Vaccination Setting, N Engl J Med 384(15) (2021)
- 211 1412-1423.
- [6] N.K. Jones, L. Rivett, S. Seaman, R.J. Samworth, B. Warne, C. Workman, et al. Single-
- 213 dose BNT162b2 vaccine protects against asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection, Elife 10
- 214 (2021).
- 215 [7] Shah AS, Gribben C, Bishop J, Hanlon P, Caldwell D, W. R, Effect of vaccination on
- 216 transmission of COVID-19: an observationnal study in healthcare workers and their
- 217 households, 2021 medRxiv. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.11.21253275.

1 Table 1. Comparison of health care workers (HCWs), according to tests for SARS-CoV-2 by

2 RT-PCR on nasopharyngeal samples during the study period<sup>1</sup> (n=8165)

Characteristics	No test	RT-PCR negative,	RT-PCR Positive,	P Value
	n=4625 (56.6%)	n=3180 (39.0%)	n=360 (4.4%)	
Age, years				
< 30	846 (45.0)	891 (47.4)	143 (7.6)	
30-39	1279 (56.7)	879 (39.0)	97 (4.3)	
40-49	1203 (59.7)	737 (36.6)	74 (3.7)	
50-59	1093 (63.4)	590 (34.2)	42 (2.4)	
≥ 60	204 (70.1)	83 (28.5)	4 (1.4)	< 0.0001
median (range)	41.4 (19.5-72.1)	37.9 (18.5-70.9)	32.7 (19.5-61.6)	< 0.0001
Occupation			Ç.	
Administrative staff	591 (71.0)	229 (27.5)	12 (1.4)	
Household Staff	174 (52.7)	132 (40.0)	24 (7.3)	
Auxiliary nurses	767 (54.1)	566 (39.9)	84 (5.9)	
Health managers	95 (60.5)	58 (36.9)	4 (2.5)	
Nurses	1111 (52.9)	867 (41.3)	122 (5.8)	
Physicians	728 (55.7)	540 (41.4)	38 (2.9)	
Midwives	57 (80.3)	13 (18.3)	1 (1.4)	
Technical staff	417 (59.4)	270 (38.5)	15 (2.1)	
Laboratory staff	458 (56.6)	540 (41.4)	16 (2.0)	
Other care staff	227 (54.1)	169 (40.2)	24 (5.7)	< 0.0001
Not available	14	15	20	
Vaccine status <sup>2</sup>				< 0.0001
Non vaccinated	2193 (61.4)	1054 (29.5)	326 (9.1)	
mRNA BNT162b2				
Partially vaccinated	246 (50.7)	232 (47.8)	7 (1.4)	
Fully vaccinated	685 (59.2)	467 (40.4)	5 (0.4)	-
mRNA-1273				
Partially vaccinated	262 (56.6)	198 (42.8)	3 (0.7)	
Fully vaccinated	462 (52.2)	423 (47.8)	0 (0.0)	-
ChAdOx1 nCoV-19				
Partially vaccinated	776 (48.5)	806 (50.3)	19 (1.2)	
Fully vaccinated	1 (-)	0	0	-
Vaccine status (overall)				
Partially vaccinated	1284 (50.4)	1236 (48.5)	29 (1.1)	
Fully vaccinated	1148 (56.2)	890 (43.6)	5 (0.2)	< 0.0001

<sup>3</sup> Study period, January, 4<sup>th</sup> - May, 17<sup>th</sup> 2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> To express the delay between vaccine and positive RT-PCR test, HCWs were categorized as

<sup>5 &#</sup>x27;partially vaccinated' between 14 days after the first dose, and 14 days after the second dose,

<sup>6</sup> and 'fully vaccinated' thereafter

<sup>7</sup> Qualitative data are presented as number (%), quantitative data as median (range)

1 **Table 2**. Hazard Ratios and vaccine efficacy according to vaccine status (Cox Models<sup>1</sup>,

2 n=8165

3

Variables <sup>2</sup>	Number	<b>Persons.months</b>	HR [95%CI]	Vaccine
	of events			Efficacy
Non-Vaccinated	326	25365	1 [ref]	
mRNA BNT162b2				
Partially vaccinated	7	1615	0.51 [0.32-0.81]	49.2 (19.1-68.1)
Fully vaccinated	5	3223	0.054 [0.008-0.39]	94.6 (61.0-99.2)
mRNA-1273			6.	
Partially vaccinated	3	1073	0.62 [0.41-0.94]	38.2 (6.3-59.2)
Fully vaccinated	0	455	0.0 [ND]	
			40	
ChAdOx1 nCoV-19				
Partially vaccinated	19	3486	0.14 [0.08-0.24]	86.2 (76.5-91.0)
Fully vaccinated	-	2	-	
		(	5)	

4

9 HR, hazard ratio; CI, Confidence interval; ND, not determined

All models were adjusted for age, and occupation, missing data = 15

11

12

<sup>5</sup> Study period, January 4<sup>th</sup> - May 17<sup>th</sup> 2021: 35,217 persons.months

<sup>6 &</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> To express the delay between vaccine and positive RT-PCR test, HCWs were categorized as

<sup>7 &#</sup>x27;partially vaccinated' between 14 days after the first dose, and 14 days after the second dose,

<sup>8</sup> and 'fully vaccinated' thereafter