

# Min-Plus realizable control design for partially observable timed event graphs under marking constraints.

Tebani Karima, Amari Saïd

# ► To cite this version:

Tebani Karima, Amari Saïd. Min-Plus realizable control design for partially observable timed event graphs under marking constraints.. European Journal of Control, 2021, 57, pp.33-40. 10.1016/j.ejcon.2020.12.002 . hal-03352851

# HAL Id: hal-03352851 https://hal.science/hal-03352851

Submitted on 2 Jan 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0947358020305434 Manuscript\_12e71ee41ca0990758457bcca5191c15

Noname manuscript No. (will be inserted by the editor)

# Min-Plus realizable control design for partially observable timed event graphs under marking constraints

Karima Tebani $\,\cdot\,$ Said Amari

Received: 08/06/2016

Abstract This paper deals with a control problem of discrete event systems subject to capacity constraints. Combined use of timed event graphs and Min-Plus algebra is a well-known approach and efficient for handling timed behavior and mathematical modelling of discrete event systems. However, in current literature, many of control approaches assume that system states are fully observable, which is not the case in our study. Hence, we propose in this paper a feedback control method to guarantee the respect of marking constraints imposed for some paths of partially observable timed event graphs. We demonstrate that if each loop of the considered TEG contains at last one observable transition, we can derive a realisable control law satisfying a set of constraints.

**Keywords** Discrete Event Systems, Timed event graphs, Min-Plus algebra, Marking constraints, Realizable control laws.

# 1 Introduction

In many industrial contexts, we find different critical specifications that must be met to ensure the proper functioning of the systems. These functional constraints can take several forms and they are often encountered in various real applications like flexible manufacturing workshops including thermal or chemical treatments, real-time systems, urban or rail transport and networked automation systems. In this work we treat a class of deterministic controlled

K. Tebani

S. Amari

Laboratoire QUARTZ 7393 SUPMECA 3 rue Fernand Hainaut, Saint Ouen 93400, France. Fax: +123-(0)21-35-10-39 E-mail: tebani\_karima@yahoo.fr

LURPA, ENS Paris-Saclay, Université Paris-Saclay, Université Sorbonne Paris Nord, France.

discrete event systems subject to strict constraints. In the literature, some authors focused in their research on the respect of durations in some sensitive areas [1], [2], [16], [30] where the objective is to satisfy temporal constraints imposed on the behavior of systems. Others considered specifications related to capacities which are described by marking constraints or forbidden states in Petri nets [13], [3], [35], [33]. In our study, we are specifically interested to the problem of control under constraints for discrete event systems represented by timed event graphs. It's well known that dioids are an algebraic structure that can be used to formally generate linear models for a class of timed discreteevent systems [4]. Combined use of TEG and Max-Plus (or Min-Plus) is a well-known approach in the literature initiated back in the 1960's [10] and it is still a very active field of research. This framework has been successfully applied to solve diverse control problems, such as dynamic scheduling [7], [11], [17], synchronization of switching models [21], [22], trajectory tracking [8], disturbance decoupling problem [29], or just-in-time control [14], [19]. Both open loop [29] and feedback [20], [24], [23] approaches have been considered to solve those problems. Significant efforts have also been made to apply model predictive control techniques to Max-Plus linear systems [11], [32]. Other methods are also developed to design a controller to guarantee that a Max-Plus system evolves without violating time restrictions imposed on the state [24], or even characterized by a semimodule [23]. The feedback control problem of Max-Plus linear systems under temporal constraints has been addressed using a temporal approach based on daters like in [1], [25]. The objective of calculated control laws is to ensure maximum time limits of the sojourn for tokens in some places of a TEG. In [30], authors proposed a closed-loop control to satisfy a critical response time for networked automation systems based on timed event graphs and Max-Plus algebra. Others approaches deal with the constraint control of max-plus linear systems by using its transformed version based on power series [9], [15] which is similar to Z transform for conventional linear system theory. An alternative method was proposed in [18], where the constraints satisfaction is expressed as the (A, B)invariance of a semimodule. The control problem is solved by computing the maximal of such semimodules, included in a domain defined by constraints.

In this paper, we address the feedback control problem for TEGs under marking constraints by means of Min-Plus algebra formalisms. Historically, the question is originally treated by Ramadge and Wonham [28], [27], using finite automata and formal languages. The synthesized controller (supervisor) has the role to inhibit controlled events in order to satisfy the specifications. Other approaches are based on untimed Petri nets tools [26], where the constraints are formulated as a problem of linear marking constraints. The role of the controller is to limit the number of tokens in the some places, which can represent the bounded stock in the industrial context: the buffer memory in communication systems or stations in transportation networks. In [13], [34], [33], authors express various specifications to be respected by linear inequalities on markings. Monitor places are synthesized using the P-invariants property of Petri nets. Another approach to solve the forbidden state problem in discrete event systems modeled by Petri nets with unobservable transitions is proposed in [12]. This method is based on a linear constraint transformation, authors deal with the problem of forbidden states in safe Petri nets and they establish a maximally permissive controller without the time. Time is not taken into account in the system model and control synthesis in existing contribution on capacity constraints. Practically for the dynamic study of systems, time parameter is very important and must be integrated into modelling and control laws. Some studies based on timed Petri nets models dealing with the control under marking constraints appeared during these last years. We can cite for example the approach [31] based on the TEG and the Min-Plus algebra proposed for the control of TEGs under linear marking constraints. Authors considered the case where all transitions of the TEG are not controllable but all are observable. The problem posed in the case of TEGs with some non observable transitions is that the calculated controller is not always realizable. For this, it is necessary to assure that established control laws are given in function of observable transitions.

The present paper deals with the design of feedback control laws for timed discrete event systems subject to capacity constraints using Min-Plus models. This study directly extends and improves the methods recently developed in [31], which have a strong limitation that all transitions of the TEG are observable and measurable. In this work, we propose a new control method of partially observable TEGs subject to linear marking constraints. The objective is to provide realizable control laws to guarantee different specifications required on markings of TEGs. The calculated laws are expressed only in terms of observable transition states.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls some basic notions of TEGs and their linear Min-Plus algebra representations well as so some definitions and hypothesis that are needed for the comprehension of the sequel of document. Problem of limited capacities and linear marking constraints is presented in section 3 where the specifications are expressed in terms of linear inequalities in Min-Plus algebra. Section 4 is dedicated to the description of the proposed approach. Finally, section 5 concludes this paper with some perspectives for future work.

# **2 PRELIMINARIES**

#### 2.1 Min-Plus algebra

A monoid is a set, say D, endowed with an internal law, noted  $\oplus$  which is associative, commutative and has a neutral element denoted  $\oplus$  (i.e.,  $\forall a \in D$ ,  $a \oplus \varepsilon = \varepsilon \oplus a = \varepsilon$ ),  $\otimes$  is associative, admits a unit element denoted e = 0, and distributes over  $\oplus$ ; and  $\varepsilon$  is absorbing for the multiplicative law ( $\forall a \in D$ ,  $a \otimes \varepsilon = \varepsilon \otimes a = \varepsilon$ ). The semiring is commutative when  $\otimes$  is commutative. A dioid  $(D, \oplus, \otimes)$  is a semiring with an idempotent internal law (*i.e.*,  $\forall a \in D$ ,  $a \oplus a = a$ ). The dioid is said to be commutative if the second law  $\otimes$  is commutative. The Min-Plus algebra is defined as  $(R \cup -\infty, +\infty, \min, +)$ . This semiring, denoted  $\overline{R}_{min}$ , is a commutative dioid, the law  $\oplus$  is the operator min with neutral element  $\varepsilon = +\infty$ , and the second law  $\otimes$  is the usual addition, with neutral element e = 0.  $\otimes$  is abbreviated by  $\cdot$  (dot) for convenience.  $(+, \times)$ refers to the usual addition and multiplication.

As in classical algebra, the binary operations can be extended to the matrix case in dioid. For a matrix  $A \in \bar{R}^{(m \times n)}$ , the element of the  $i^{th}$  row and  $j^{th}$  column of the matrix A is denoted by  $A_{ij}$ . For any three matrix defined by  $A, B \in \bar{R}_{min}^{(m \times n)}$  and  $C \in \bar{R}_{min}^{(n \times p)}$  in Min-Plus algebra  $\bar{R}_{min}$ , we define: Addition  $A \oplus B$ :

 $[A \oplus B]_{ij} = A_{ij} \oplus B_{ij} = min(A_{ij}, B_{ij})$ Multiplication  $A \otimes C$ :  $[A \otimes C]_{ij} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} A_{ik} \otimes C_{kj} = min_{k=1,..,n}(A_{ik} + C_{kj}).$ 

**Theorem 1** [4] The implicit equation  $x = A \cdot x \oplus B$  defined over a complete dioid D admits  $x = A^* \cdot B$  as a least solution with  $A^*$  is the Kleene star of the matrix A, such as:  $\forall A \in D, A^* = \bigoplus_{i>0} A^i$ 

where  $A^i = A^{i-1} \otimes A \text{ and } A^i = I$ 

I is the unit matrix, with entries equal to e on the diagonal, and  $\varepsilon$  else.

The reader is invited to consult [4] and [5] for a complete presentation of the following theoretical recalls.

# 2.2 Timed Event Graphs (TEGs) and linear Min-Plus models

# 2.2.1 Timed Event Graphs (TEGs)

An event graph is a Petri net where each place has exactly one upstream and one downstream transition. A timed event graph (TEG) is an event graph with delays associated to places, the holding times, and/or transitions, the firing times. An event is the firing of a transition. In the present work, we use the TEG, where the delays are associated only with the places and we adopted the following notations:

- P will denote the set of places of the considered graph and T is a set of transitions.

- The internal transitions (transition having at least one upstream place) are denoted by  $T_i$  (with  $||T_i|| = N$ ), and the source transitions or the input transitions (transition having no upstream place) are represented by  $T_{uc}$  (with  $||T_{uc}|| = \mu$ ).

-  $t_j$  denotes the  $j^{th}$  transition of the graph,

-  $T_{\omega}$  denote the set of the observable transitions and  $T_{\beta}$  denote the set of the unobservable transitions, where  $||T_{\omega}|| + ||T_{\beta}|| = N$ ,

-  $p_{ij}$  denotes the place linking  $t_j$  to  $t_i$  when it exists,

- An elementary path between two internal transitions  $t_j$  and  $t_i$  of a graph is an oriented alternating sequence of transitions and places successively connected by an arc, of the form  $(t_j, p_{1j}, t_1, p_{21}, t_2, p_{32}, ..., t_i)$ . This path is denoted by  $\rho$ . The cumulated token in this path is given by  $m_{\rho}$  and their timing is denoted by  $\tau_{\rho}$  where this timing is given by the sum of the places of the path timing.

- Place of a TEG is a particularly case of the path with a longer is 1.

-An elementary path between the input transition  $t_u$  and the internal transitions  $t_j$  is an oriented alternating sequence of transitions and places successively connected by an arc. This path is denoted by  $\alpha$ . The cumulated tokens in this path is given by  $m_{\alpha}$  and its timing is denoted by  $\tau_{\alpha}$ .

- For a number  $m_{ij}$  of tokens, several  $m_{ij}$ -token paths connecting  $t_j$  to  $t_i$  can exist in general.

 $t_j \xrightarrow{m_{ij}, \tau_{ij}} t_i$  denotes the  $m_{ij}$ -token path with the maximal delay, which is denoted by  $\tau_{ij}$ ,

- An empty path contains no token,

- A loop in Petri net is a path whose start node coincides with the arrival node.

- We note that the transitions without downstream places are outputs and those without upstream places are inputs. Others are simply called internal transitions. Inputs are also referred to as controls.

#### 2.2.2 Linear Min-Plus models

A TEG being a directed graph, its dynamic behavior can be described by the linear equations thanks to counter functions  $x_i(t)$  associated for each transition  $t_i$  of the graph. The counter functions u(t) attributed to the input transition  $t_u$ , with the function  $x_i(t)$  represents the firing number of the transition  $t_i$  at time T. Generally, the behavior of the TEG is represented by a following equation:

$$x(t) = \bigoplus_{\tau=0}^{\tau^{max}} (A_{\tau} \cdot x(t-\tau) \oplus B_{\tau} \cdot u(t-\tau))$$
(1)

where  $A_{\tau} \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}_{min}^{N \times N}$  is the matrix whose term  $A_{\tau,ij}$  it is equal to  $m_{ij}$  which is the number of the initial marking of the place  $p_{ij}$  if it exists and  $\varepsilon$  else.  $B_{\tau} \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}_{min}^{N \times \mu}$  corresponds to the initial markings of the exit places of the source transitions.

It is known that, for a live TEG, by decomposing each place into several places which are timed with values less than or equal to 1, the state vector can be extended and rewriting under the following explicit form:

$$x(t) = A \cdot x(t-1) \oplus B \cdot u(t) \tag{2}$$

where  $A = A_0^* \cdot A_1$  and  $B = A_0^* \cdot B_0$ .

The detailed procedure for the Min-Plus equations representation of timed

event graphs is illustrated in the application example in section 4.3 of the page 13.

Finally, for any integer  $\tau$  such that  $\tau \ge 1$ , by doing  $\tau$  substitutions in (2), one obtains:

$$x(t) = A^{\tau} \cdot x(t-\tau) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\tau-1} A^k \cdot B \cdot u(t-k)$$
(3)

**Property 1** : In the TEG with the assumptions that each loop of this graph contains at last one observable transition, for any unobservable transition  $t_i \in T_\beta$ , there exists a path  $\delta$  connecting this transition to an observable transition  $t_j \in T_\omega$ . The counter associated to the unobservable transition  $t_i$  can be expressed in function of the observable transition  $t_j$  by the following expression:

$$x_i(t) \le m_\delta \cdot x_i(t - \tau_\delta),\tag{4}$$

where  $m_{\delta}$  represents the number of tokens in the path  $\delta$ , and  $\tau_{\delta}$  corresponds to the timing of this path.

**Definition 1** (Observability). A transition  $t_i$  is observable if it is either a control or it is linked directly to an output transition. A TEG is fully observable if all his internal transitions  $t_i$  are observable.

#### Definition 2 (Partially observable TEG)

A graph G is said to be partially observable if it has at least one unobservable transition.

## Definition 3 (Structural controllability)

An event graph is structurally controllable if every internal transition can be reached by a path from at least one input transition.

## Definition 4 (Valid control)

The control law u(t) is said to be a validated controller if it grantees the constraints imposed to the system without taking into account any other system specification.

# Definition 5 (Realizable control)

A control law is said to be realizable if it is a valid control expressed by a causal and observable expression.

# 3 Problem of limited capacities and linear marking constraints

3.1 Limited capacities problem

To simplify the explanation and show the motivations of the work, we present a simple information transmission example with two tasks A and B in series (see Fig.1). We consider that the execution time of the task A is fixed to 1 milliseconds (ms), the execution time of the task B is fixed to 3 ms, and the transmission delay of  $i_1$ , is 2 ms.



Fig. 1 Example of an information transmission network



Fig. 2 Model TEG of the information transmitting system

The considered system can be modeled by the TEG shown in the Fig.2. Let us presume that the transitions  $(t_u, t_1, t_3)$  are the only observable transitions of this graph and we consider that the transmission line cannot transfer more than one information at a time which implies that the number of tokens in the place  $p_{32}$  cannot exceed 1 token.

The cycle time of the task B is greater than the cycle time of both the task A and the delay of transmission. This leads to exceeding a maximum number of the information transmitted in the transmission line. For the case where the internal transitions of the graph are controllable, the solution of this problem is intuitive; it is enough to add a place  $p_{23}$  marked with 1 tokens for example, to ensure that the number of the tokens in the place  $p_{32}$  does not exceed 1 tokens. The resolution of the problem in the case where the input transition of the place under constraint is uncontrollable is not obvious. Therefore, it is necessary, to have other techniques to guarantee these marking constraints. The objective of this paper is to synthesise control laws to satisfy the marking constraints imposed on the some path of the partial observable timed event graph with uncontrollable transitions.

#### 3.2 Linear marking constraints

We consider a discrete event system modeled by a timed event graph. We assume that the path  $\rho$  of this graph is subject to a marking constraint, where the path  $\rho$  cannot contain more than b tokens throughout the system operation. We denote by  $t_j$  and  $t_i$  respectively the input and the output transition of the constrained path, and by  $M_{\rho}(t)$  the number of the tokens in this path at time t. The marking constraint can be described by the following inequality:

$$M_{\rho}(t) \le b \tag{5}$$

In order to express this constraint by a linear inequality in the Min-Plus algebra, we associate with each transition of the graph a counter function and we denote by  $x_i(t)$  and  $x_j(t)$  respectively the counter associated to the transitions  $t_i$  and  $t_j$ . By definition, the counter  $x_j(t)$  represents the number of firing of the transition  $t_j$  at time t. Then, in Min-Plus algebra  $M_{\rho}(t)$  can be represented by  $((x_j(t) - x_i(t)) + M_{0\rho})$ , such that  $M_{0\rho}$  is the initial marking of the path  $\rho$ . The inequality (5) can be written as:

The inequality (5) can be written as:

$$x_j(t) - x_i(t) + M_{0\rho} \le b \tag{6}$$

This marking constraint can be expressed by a linear inequality in Min-Plus algebra as:

$$x_j(t) \le x_i(t) + (b - M_{0\rho})$$
 (7)

# 4 Control synthesis

#### 4.1 Realizable feedback controls

In this section, we propose a formal approach for the control of the TEG subject to one or several marking constraints. As expressed previously, the proposed approach is based on the use of the TEG and Min-Plus algebra for synthesizing a realizable control law on the form  $u(t) = F \cdot x(t-1)$ . The role of the calculated controller is to ensure the satisfaction of the marking constraints imposed on the considered partially observable TEG. We consider that the firing of the transitions of the TEG are not all controllable i.e only the input transitions are controllable. First, we consider the case where the system has a single input and then we extend the results to the case where the system has the places situated on the same path and we consider the following Hypothesis:

**Hypothesis** We assume in the following that each loop of the considered timed event graph contains at last one observable transition.

#### 4.1.1 Single control

#### a. Only one marking constraint

In this first case, we consider a timed event graph with the state equation of this system is given by the equation (2). The path  $\rho$  connecting the transition  $t_j$  to the transition  $t_i$  of this graph is subject to a marking constraint. This constraint is given by the inequality (7). We assume that there exists at least a path  $\alpha$  from the control transition  $t_u$  to the transition  $t_j$ , where  $t_j$  is the upstream transition of the constrained path  $\rho$ , and we denote by  $m_{\alpha}$  and  $\tau_{\alpha}$  respectively the marking and the timing of this path. From the definition of  $t_u$ , we have:

$$x_j(t) \le (A^{\tau_\alpha} \cdot B)_j \cdot u(t - \tau_\alpha) \tag{8}$$

Taking  $\tau = \phi$  in the equation (3),  $x_i(t)$  can be expressed by:

$$x_{i}(t) = \left[\bigoplus_{r=1}^{N} A_{ir}^{\phi} \cdot x_{r}(t-\phi)\right] \oplus \left[\oplus_{k=0}^{\phi-1} (A^{k} \cdot B)_{i} \cdot u(t-k)\right],$$
(9)

where  $\phi \geq 1$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}_{min}^{N \times N}$ , N represents the number of the internal transition of the TEG and  $A_{ir}$  indicates the  $i^{th}$  row of the matrix  $A^{\phi}$ .

For every integer  $\phi \ge 1$ , we take into account the Property 1 and we have the following result.

**Theorem 2** Taking  $\phi = \tau_{\alpha} + 1$ , the equation:

$$u(t) = F_1 \cdot x_r(t - \tau_{\delta_z} - 1) \oplus F_2 \cdot x_r(t - 1)$$
(10)

with,  $F_1 = \bigoplus_{z=1}^{\beta} \bigoplus_{r \in \omega} [(b + m_{\delta_z} - M_{0\rho}) - (A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)]_j \cdot A_{ir}^{(\tau_{\alpha}+1)}$  and  $F_2 = \bigoplus_{r \in \omega} [b - M_{0\rho} - (A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_j] \cdot A_{ir}^{(\tau_{\alpha}+1)}$ 

defines realizable controls which guarantee that the constraint (7) is satisfied if the following condition is verified:

$$(A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_j \le (b - M_{0\rho})(A^k \cdot B)_i \qquad for \quad k = 0 \quad to \ \tau_{\alpha} \tag{11}$$

Proof Let G be a timed event graph and  $\rho$  a path under marking constraint with

 $M_{\rho}(t) \le b$ 

As we saw previously this constraint can be expressed by the following inequality:

$$x_j(t) \le x_i(t) + ((b - M_{0\rho}) \tag{12}$$

We consider control laws defined by the following inequality (13):

$$(A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_j \cdot u(t - \tau_{\alpha}) \le x_i(t) + (b - M_{0\rho})$$
(13)

It is clear that the combination of inequalities (13) and (8) implies the satisfaction of the marking constraint (12). In other words, if we choose control laws that verify the inequality (13) and as the expression (8) that describes the path between two transitions  $t_u$  and  $t_j$  is always true, then by the transitivity relation, we conclude that the marking constraint (12) is guaranteed.

The next step of this demonstration consists to replace the counter function  $x_i(t)$  in the inequality (13) by its expression given by the equation (9). After

this change, the inequation (13) is divided into both conditions (14) and (15) which are as follows:

$$(B^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_j \cdot u(t - \tau_{\alpha}) \le (b - M_{0\rho}) + [\bigoplus_{r=1}^N A^{\phi}_{ir} \cdot x_r(t - \phi)]$$
(14)

$$(A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_{j} \cdot u(t - \tau_{\alpha}) \le (b - M_{0\rho}) + [\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\phi-1} (A^{k} \cdot B)_{i} \cdot u(t - k)]$$
(15)

We can easily see that in order to check the satisfaction of inequality (13), it is sufficient to ensure that the two inequalities (14) and (15) if they are simultaneously true.

The purpose is to synthesize a realizable control law in the form  $u(t) = F \cdot x(t-1)$ . In order to obtain the controller in this form, we take  $\phi = \tau_{\alpha} + 1$ , and the fact that  $u(t) \ge u(t-1)$ , the inequality (15) can be given as:

$$(A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_{j} \le (b - M_{0\rho}) + (A^{k} \cdot B)_{i}$$

This inequality represents the condition of the Theorem 2. The control inequality described in the Theorem 2 derived from the inequality (15), represents the control laws which satisfy the marking constraint (12), if the condition is (14) is satisfied.

The formula:

$$u(t) = \bigoplus_{r=1}^{N} (b - M_{0\rho} - (A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_{j}) \cdot A_{ir}^{(\tau_{\alpha}+1)} \cdot x_{r}(t-1)$$

describes a valid controller for a partially observable TEG, but it is not a realizable controller, since the formula of this controller is given in function of the internal transitions of the TEG which contains the unobservable transitions. Since each loop of the considered TEG contains at last one observable transition, this controller can be transformed into a realizable controller by applying the result of the proprty1. For this, we divide the controller formula into two parts, one part according to the observable transitions and the other part according to the unobservable transitions as described by the inequality (17):

$$u(t) = [\bigoplus_{r \in \beta} (b - M_{0\rho} - (A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_j) \cdot A_{ir}^{(\tau_{\alpha}+1)} \cdot x_r(t-1)] \oplus \qquad (16)$$
$$[\bigoplus_{r \in \omega} (b - M_{0\rho}) (A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_j \cdot A_{ir}^{(\tau_{\alpha}+1)}] \cdot x_r(t-1).$$

We take into account the results described in the Property 1, where each counter function associated to the unobservable transition can be expressed in function of the counter function associated with observable transition. The equation that describes the calculated control law in function of the observable transitions is as follows:

$$u(t) = \bigoplus_{z=1}^{\beta} [\bigoplus_{r \in \omega} [b + m_{\delta z} - M_{0\rho}] - (A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_j \cdot A_{ir}^{(\tau_{\alpha}+1)} \cdot x_r(t - \tau_{\delta z} - 1)] \oplus \\ [\bigoplus_{r \in \omega} [(b - M_{0\rho} - (A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_j) \cdot A_{ir}^{(\tau_{\alpha}+1)}] \cdot x_r(t - 1)]$$

b.Several constraints

We assume now a timed event graph with one input transition and subject to S marking constraints. These constraints are imposed on S paths of this graph denoted  $\rho_s$  for s = 1 to S. Let  $M_{0\rho_s}$  and  $\tau_{\rho_s}$  respectively represent the initial marking and the timing of the path  $\rho_s$ . We denote by  $t_d$  and  $t_{d'}$ respectively the input and the output transition of the path  $\rho_s$ .  $x_d$  and  $x_{d'}$ indicate respectively the corresponding counters.

We take into account the fact that the marking constraints concern only the places lacated on the same path and we assume that for each s, with s = 1 to S, there is at least one path denoted  $\alpha_s$ . This path connects the input transition  $t_u$  to the transition  $t_d$ , which represents the input transition of the constrained path  $\rho_s$ . We denote by  $\tau_{\alpha_s}$  the timing of the path  $\alpha_s$ . The different marking constraints imposed in this graph are expressed by the following inequality:

$$x_d(t) \le (b_s - M_{0\rho_s}) \cdot x_{d'}(t) \quad for \ s = 1 \ to \quad S$$
 (17)

**Theorem 3** The following control law:

$$u(t) = \bigoplus_{s \ge 1} u_s(t), \tag{18}$$

with

$$u_s(t) = F_{1s} \cdot x_r(t - \tau_{\delta_z} - 1) \oplus F_{2s} \cdot x_r(t - 1)$$
(19)

where

 $F_{1s} = \bigoplus_{z=1}^{\beta} [\oplus_{r \in \omega} [(b_s + m_{\delta_z} - M_{0\rho_s}) - (A^{\tau_{\alpha_s}} \cdot B)_d \cdot A_{ir}^{(\tau_{\alpha_s}+1)}]] and$  $F_{2s} = [\oplus_{r \in \omega} [b_s - M_{0\rho_s} - (A^{\tau_{\alpha_s}} \cdot B)_d) \cdot A_{d'r}^{(\tau_{\alpha_s}+1)}].$ 

ensures the satisfaction of marking constraints (17) if the condition below:

$$(A^{\tau_{\alpha_s}} \cdot B)_d \le (b_s - M_{0\rho_s})(A^k \cdot B)_{d'}$$
 (20)

is verified for each s = 1 to S.

Proof According to the results obtained in the section 4.1, the controller u(t) described in the Theorem 2 guarantees the satisfaction of the marking constraint (7) if the condition (11) is verified. Then, for S marking constraints the control law  $u_s(t)$  prevents the violation of the  $S^{th}$  constraint if the condition (20) holds. The control laws which guarantee de satisfaction of the S marking constraints (17) are given by the following equation:  $u(t) = \bigoplus_{s \ge 1} u_s(t)$ , for s = 1 to S.

# 4.2 Several controls

We now generalize the previous approach to solve the problem of marking constraints in TEGs with several input transitions. The behavior of the considered TEG is described by the state equation (2), with  $u(t) \in \bar{R}^{\mu}_{min}$  and  $B \in \bar{R}^{N \times \mu}_{min}$ ,  $\mu$  represents the number of the input transition, with  $\mu \ge 1$ . We associate with each source transition a counter noted  $u_l(t)$ , for l = 1 to  $\mu$ . We assume that this graph is subject to marking constraints related for the same path. First, we consider the case where this graph is subject to one constraint, and then we extend the results to the case where several constraints are imposed to this graph.

#### a. Single constraint

We suppose in this sub-section a TEG with  $\mu$  input transitions, and subject to one marking constraint. This constraint is imposed on a single path of this graph denoted  $\rho$ . We denote by  $t_j$  and  $t_i$  respectively the input and the output transition of the path  $\rho$ .  $M_{0\rho}$  and  $\tau_{\rho}$  represent respectively initial marking and the timing of the path  $\rho$ . This constraint can be described by the following inequality:

$$M_{\rho}(t) \le b \tag{21}$$

The aim of the approach is to compute the components of the vector u(t) which will satisfy the marking constraint (21). We always assume that the input transition  $t_j$  of the constrained path  $\rho$  is connected to at least one input transition  $t_{u_c}$  of the considered TEG. This path is noted  $\alpha_c$  with c = 1 to  $\mu$ . The timing of this path is denoted by  $\tau_{\alpha_c}$ .

**Theorem 4** Let G be a partially observable TEG with  $\mu$  input transitions, whose dynamics is modeled by the equation (2). This graph is subject to a marking constraint represented in (21).

The marking constraint is satisfied if there exists an index c such as

$$u_{c}(t) = F_{1c} \cdot x_{r}(t - \tau_{\delta_{z}} - 1) \oplus F_{2c} \cdot x_{r}(t - 1).$$
(22)

where

 $F_{1c} = \bigoplus_{z=1}^{\beta} [\bigoplus_{r \in \omega} [(b + m_{\delta_z} - M_{0\rho}) - (A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_{j_c} \cdot A_{ir}^{\tau_{\alpha}+1})]$ 

$$\begin{split} F_{2c} &= \oplus_{r \in \omega} [(b - M_{0\rho} - (A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_{j_c})] \cdot A_{ir}^{(\tau_{\alpha} + 1)} \\ With \ u_l(t) &= \varepsilon \ for \ l \neq c \ and \ if \ the \ condition \ given \ by \end{split}$$

$$(A^{\tau_{\alpha_s}} \cdot B)_{dc} \le (b - M_{0\rho_0})(A^k \cdot B)_{d'l}$$
(23)

for l = 1 to  $\mu$  is satisfied.

**Proof** The procedure to calculate the control laws  $u_c(t)$  for a given  $c \in \{1, ..., \mu\}$ , is similar that the controller synthesis u(t) proposed in the Theorem 2. In this case, the formula (22) of the control law  $u_c(t)$  is given if the condition (21) is satisfied for an index c and then the other controls can be forced to  $\varepsilon$ .

#### b.Multiple constraints

In this section, we extend the work to deal with the general case, where a partially observable TEG has  $\mu$  input transitions, and is subject to s marking constraints with s = 1 to S. In this case as well we assume that each path

 $\rho_s$  is connected to at least one input transition by a path  $\alpha_s$  where  $\rho_s$  represents the constrained path. The marking constraints are given by the following inequality:

$$M_{\rho_s}(t) \le b_s, \qquad s = 1 \quad to \quad S. \tag{24}$$

The following Theorem gives the results for this study.

**Theorem 5** Let G be a partially observable TEG with  $\mu$  input transitions and is subject to S constraints of the form (24). The following control law:

$$u(t) = \bigoplus_{s=1}^{S} u_{c_s}(t) \tag{25}$$

requires compliance with the constraints (24) if the condition holds true for each s = 1 to S

*Proof* The control law (25) provided from the Theorem 5, ensures the respect of one constraint. For the case where we have several constraints, the controller represented by the equation (22) ensures the satisfaction of all considered constraints (25) if the condition (23) is verified for each constraint.

#### 4.3 Example

We consider the example of the timed event graph described in the section 3. We suppose that the place  $p_{32}$  subject to a marking constraint, as it was represented in the section 3, where the number of tokens in the place  $p_{32}$  cannot exceed 1 token. This constraint can be reformulated in the form of the following inequality:

$$x_2(t) \le x_3(t) + 1 \tag{26}$$

We associate for each transition of the TEG, represented by the Fig.2, a counter function  $(\theta_i(t))$  for i = 1 to i = 4. The behavior of this graph can be described by following expressions:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1(t) = \min(0 + u(t)), 1 + \theta_2(t), \\ \theta_2(t) = 0 + \theta_1(t - 1), \\ \theta_3(t) = \min(0 + \theta_2(t - 2)), 1 + \theta_4(t), \\ \theta_4(t) = 0 + \theta_3(t - 3), \end{cases}$$

Using Min-Plus algebra operator  $(\oplus)$  for the min operator and  $(\cdot)$  for the classical sum +, these equations can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1(t) = u(t) \oplus 1 \cdot \theta_2(t), \\ \theta_2(t) = \theta_1(t-1), \\ \theta_3(t) = \theta_2(t-2) \oplus 1 \cdot \theta_4(t), \\ \theta_4(t) = \theta_3(t-3), \end{cases}$$

We finally get to the following matrix notation:

$$\theta(t) = A_0 \cdot \theta(t) \oplus A_1 \cdot \theta(t-1) \oplus A_2(t-2) \oplus A_3(t-3) \oplus B_0 \cdot u(t)$$
(27)

with:  $\left[ \rho_{A_{1}}(t) \right]$ 

Using the Theorem 1, the implicit equation (27) can be replaced by the following explicit solution,

$$\theta(t) = A_0^* \cdot A_1 \cdot \theta(t-1) \oplus A_0^* \cdot A_2 \cdot \theta(t-2) \oplus A_0^* \cdot A_3 \cdot \theta(t-3) \oplus A_0^* \cdot B_0 \cdot u(t)$$

where  $A_0^*$  is the Kleene star of  $A_0$ .

Our objective is to calculate a realizable controller which satisfies this marking constraint. For this we apply Theorem 2. In order to obtain the state equation of this system, we decompose each place of the TEG shown in Fig.2 with time greater than 1 time unit to several places timed to 1 time unit. The initial graph of the information transmission network (see the Fig.2) has been extended to the new timed event graph represented by the Fig.3). The place  $p_{32}$  and the place  $p_{43}$  timed respectively with 2 and 3 time units has been split respectively to 2 and 3 places timed to 1 time unit, and the intermediate transition  $t_5$ ,  $t_6$  and  $t_7$  has been added.



Fig. 3 The extended TEG

We apply for this example the Min-Plus modeling approach of TEG described in section 2.2.2. The resulting state representation of the extended graph is given below:

We check the compliance of required conditions by the Theorem 2 for the existence of the control laws which guarantee the constraint formalized by the inequality 26. There exists a path  $\alpha$  from transition  $t_u$  to transition  $t_2$ , with timing  $\tau_{\alpha} = 1$ . Then  $\phi = \tau_{\alpha} + 1 = 2$ . We have also,  $(A^k \cdot B)_i = [e, \varepsilon]$ , for k = 0 to 1, where  $(A^{\tau_{\alpha}} \cdot B)_j = 1$ , where the condition of the Theorem 2 is satisfied. We have also each loop of the considered TEG containing at last one observable transition. According to the Theorem 2, there exists a realizable control law which satisfies the marking constraint. For this example, we have  $A_{3r}^2 = [\varepsilon \ e \ \varepsilon \ \varepsilon \ 1 \ \varepsilon]$ . When take into account the equation (10), the control law is written as follows:

 $u(t) = (1-1) \cdot [e \cdot x_1(t-1-1) \oplus 1 \cdot x_3(t-2-1) = 1 \cdot x_1(t-2) \oplus 1 \cdot x_3(t-3)$ Using the propriety of the Min-Plus algebra, this controller can be simplified to:

 $u(t) = 1 \cdot x_1(t-2)$ 

This feedback control is represented by a marked and a timed monitor place in Fig.4 (place represented by a double circle)



Fig. 4 The controlled TEG of the example

## **5** Conclusion

This paper presents a new approach to design a controller which satisfies marking constraints for partially observable timed event graphs (TEGs). In these studies, the behavior of TEGs is described by linear Min-Plus equations and the different marking marking constraints are translated by inequalities in Min-Plus algebra. We have established a sucient condition for the existence of control laws which guarantee the respect of these tokens capacity constraints in some paths in TEGs. To develop this control strategy, we have taken into account the hypothesis where for each loop of the TEG considered, there exists always a path connecting the unobservable transition to the observable transition. For this, we have calculated realizable feedback controls expressed in function of observable counters with the aim of ensuring that marking constraints are met. We first considered the case where the problem is to limit the number of tokens in one place of TEG. The approach is then extended to the case where the control law imposes a upper bound of tokens in a sequence of places. The results in this paper include the single control and the multivariable control. For future work, it is important to relax the hypothesis of the cyclicity for TEG and it would be interesting to calculate the optimal control under a given criterion. We believe that this contribution could be valuable in various applications as well notably in flexible manufacturing workshops, communication processes and transportation networks.

#### References

- Amari. S., Demongodin. I., Loiseau. J.-J. and Martinez. C.: Max-Plus Control Design for Temporal Constraints Meeting in Timed Event Graphs, IEEE Transactions. on Automatic Control, 57 (2), pp.462-467, (2012)
- 2. Atto. A. M., Martinez. C. and Amari. S.: Control of Discrete Event Systems with Respect to Strict Duration: Supervision of an Industrial Manufacturing Plant, Computers and Industrial Engineering, Elsevier, 61(4), pp.1149-1159, (2011)
- Atli. M., Adjallah. K., Achour. Z., Sava. A., Rezg. N.: Supervisory control of timedplace marked graph based on Marking Exclusion Constraint, International Conference on Communications, Computing and Control Applications (CCCA), pp.1-8, (2011).
- 4. Baccelli. F., Cohen. G., Olsder. G.J. and Quadrat.J.-P.,: Synchronization and Linearity: An algebra for Discrete Event Systems, Wiley, (1992)
- Baccelli, F., Cohen, G. and Gaujal, B.: Recursive equations and basic properties of timed Petri nets, Discrete Event Dynamic Systems: Theory and Applications, l(4), pp. 145-439, (1992)
- 6. Bonhomme. P.: Constraints Graph Based Approach for the Analysis and the Control of Time Critical Systems, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 57,(1), pp. 353-365, (2011)
- 7. Bonhomme. P.: Scheduling and control of real-time systems based on a token player approach, Discrete Event Dynamic Systems, 23(2):197–209, (2013)
- 8. Cottenceau. B.L., Hardouin. Boimond J.L. and Ferrier. J.L.: Synthesis of Greatest linear feedback for timed event graphs in dioid, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 44(6), pp.1258-1262, (1999)
- Cottenceau. B., Hardouin. L., Boimond. JL.: Modeling and control of weight-balanced timed event graphs in dioids., IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control 59 (5), pp.1219-1231, (2013)
- Cuninghame-Green. R. A.: Process synchronisation in a steelworks-a problem of feasibility, In Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on operational research, p.323–328, Banbury, United Kingdom, 1960. English University Press, (1960)
- De Schutter. B. and Van Den Boom. T.: Model predictive control for max-plus-linear discrete event systems, Automatica, 37(7):1049–1056, (2001).
- Dideban, A., Alla, H. : Controller synthesis with highly simplied linear constraints, Asian Journal of Control, v.15(1), pp. 80-94, (2013)
- Giua. A., DiCesare. F.M., & Silva. M.: Generalized Mutual Exclusion Constraints on Petri Nets with Uncontrollable Transitions, In Proc. IEEE int. conf. SMC, vol.2, pp.974-979, (1992)

- Houssin. L., Lahaye S., and Boimond, J.L.: Just in time control of constrained (max, +)-linear systems: Discrete Event Dynamic Systems, 17(2), pp.159-178, (2007)
- Houssin, L., Lahaye, S., & Boimond, J.L.: Control of (max,+)-linear systems minimizing delays, Discrete Event Dynamic Systems, Springer Verlag, 23(3), pp.261-276, (2013)
- Jacob. R., Amari S.: Output feedback control of discrete processes under time constraint: application to cluster tools. International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing, 1–15,(2016).
- Kim. J.-H., and Lee T.-E.: Schedulability analysis of timeconstrained cluster tools with bounded time variation by an extended petri net. Automation Science and Engineering, IEEE Transactions on, 5(3):490–503,(2008)
- Katz. R.D. : Max-plus (A, B)-invariant spaces and control of timed discrete-event systems. Automatic Control, IEEE Transactions on 52 (2): 229–241, (2007)
- Lhommeau. M., Jaulin. L., and Hardouin. L., A non-linear set-membership approach for the control of discrete event systems. In Workshop on Discrete Event Systems-WODES,(2012)
- Lhommeau. M., Hardouin. L., Cottenceau.B., and Jaulin.L.: Interval analysis and dioid: application to robust controller design for timed event graphs, Automatica, 40(11):1923– 1930, (2004)
- Lopes. G., Kersbergen. B., van den Boom.T. J., De Schutter.B., and Babuska,R.: Modeling and control of legged locomotion via switching max-plus models, Robotics, IEEE Transactions on, 30(3):652–665, (2014)
- 22. Lopes.G. A., De Schutter. B., and van den Boom., T. J.: On the synchronization of cyclic discrete-event systems. In 2012 IEEE 51st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), pp. 5810–5815, Maui, HI, USA, IEEE, (2012)
- 23. Maia.C. A., Hardouin.L., Santos-Mendes. R., and Loiseau. J. J.: super-eingenvector approach to control constrained max-plus linear systems. In 50th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control and European Control Conference (CDC-ECC'11), Orlando, Flrida, Dec, (2011)
- 24. Maia. C. A., Andrade.C., and Hardouin. L.: On the control of max-plus linear system subject to state restriction, Automatica, 47(5):988–992, (2011).
- Maia, C.A., Andrade, C.R., Hardouin, L, and J. ER. Cury.: Some results on the feedback control of max-plus linear systems under state constrains. In Decision and Control (CDC), 2013 IEEE 52nd Annual Conference on, pp.6992–6997. IEEE, (2013)
- 26. Murata. T.: Petri nets: properties, analysis and application, Proceedings of the IEEE, 77(4), pp.541-580,(1989)
- Ramadge. P. J., & Wonham. W. M.:Modular feedback logic for discrete event systems, SIAM Journal of Control and Optimization, vol.25(5), pp.1202-1218,(1987)
- Ramadge. P. J. Wonham. W.M.:The control of discrete event systems, Dynamics of discrete event systems. Special issue. Proceedings of the IEEE, vol.77(1), pp.81-98, (1989).
- 29. Shang, Y., Hardouin,L., Lhommeau,M. and Maia,C. A.: An integrated control strategy in disturbance decoupling of maxplus linear systems with applications to a high throughput screening system in drug discovery. In Decision and Control (CDC), 2014 IEEE 53rd Annual Conference on, pp. 5143–5148, Los Angeles, CA, USA, IEEE, (2014)
- Tebani. K., Amari S., and Kara R.: Closed-loop control of constrained discrete event systems: application to a networked automation system. Int J Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 90(5),1295–1306,(2017)
- Tebani, K., Amari, S., Kara, R.:State Feedback Control for a Class of Timed Petri Nets Subject to Marking Constraints, Asian journal of control, 21(2), pp.934-951, (2019)
- Xu. J., van den Boom. T. and De Schutter.B.: Optimistic optimization for model predictive control of max-plus linear systems, Automatica, 74:16–22, (2016)
- Yamalidou. K., Moody. J., Lemmon. M., & Antsaklis P.: Feedback control Petri net based on place invariant, Automatica, 32(1), pp.15-28, (1996)
- Yamalidou. E.C., Kator. J.C., Modeling and optimal control of discrete-event chemical processes using petri nets, Computers & Chemical Engineering, 15(7), pp.503–519, (1991)
- Zhao, M., Li. Z.W., Hu. H.S.: Suboptimal liveness-enforcing supervisor design for a class of generalized Petri nets using partial siphon enumeration and mathematical programming, International Journal of Systems Science, 9, pp.1013-1026, (2010)