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Abstract: We address the exponential synchronization problem of a leader-connected network of identical nonlinear systems. We suppose that each system can be made contractive by a static feedback law obtained via sufficient metric-based conditions. A distributed diffusive coupling feedback is then designed in order to solve the problem in two frameworks: the case of input-affine systems allowed to exchange all the state information to its neighbour; and the case of nonlinear systems linear in the input allowed to exchange only a possibly nonlinear output.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Due to the many applications in which agents interact in order to accomplish task and to achieve goals, the control community has devoted a huge attention to networks analysis and to the design of control architecture. For a network to achieve a certain task, we often require the agents to achieve an agreement and to this end, synchronization and consensus are the mathematical features to express such an agreement. For instance, this is the case for power networks Dörfler et al. [2013], heat networks Scholten et al. [2016] and robot swarms Olfati-Saber [2006].

First, researchers focused on the problem of networks of linear systems and fundamental results for linear systems can be found in Scardovi and Sepulchre [2008] for homogeneous systems and in Wieland et al. [2011] for heterogeneous networks. Nowadays, the focus of the community is placed on networks of nonlinear systems. Many approaches have been considered in the literature of homogeneous nonlinear networks: among the many results, it is worth recalling passivity conditions in Arcak [2007], dissipativity in Stan and Sepulchre [2007] and ISS in Casadei et al. [2019a,b]. One of the most popular approaches is based on high-gain type arguments (see Isidori et al. [2014], Panteley and Loria [2017]) inherited from high-gain observers theory. This technique has however well known limits which brought researchers to investigate alternative tools such as nonlinear integral control Pavlov et al. [2009, 2018] which incorporates both low and high gain arguments.

Naturally, a property associated to synchronization is incremental stability, namely the property that any two solutions of a system converge to each other (see, e.g., Lohmiller and Slotine [1998], Forni and Sepulchre [2013], Angeli [2002], Simpson-Porco and Bullo [2014], Andrieu et al. [2013] and the references therein). By enforcing this for every system in a network, we will have the systems converge to each other and thus achieve synchronization. More recently, authors have focused on incremental stability as a framework to solve the problem of synchronization and contraction theory as tool for the design of control laws, see, e.g., Andrieu et al. [2013], Andrieu and Tarbouriech [2019], Yin et al. [2021].

In this work we investigate metric-based conditions for exponential synchronization of identical multi-agent systems described by nonlinear dynamics in presence of a leader. With respect to notable articles in the literature such as Scardovi and Sepulchre [2008] (where the state synchronization problem via output exchange for linear systems is addressed with a dynamical regulator) and Pavlov et al. [2009] (where incremental passivity conditions are proposed for nonlinear dynamics linear in the inputs and with linear outputs), our contribution is twofold. First, we consider input affine dynamics and the agents are allowed to exchange the full-state with their neighborhoods: we generalize the results in Andrieu et al. [2018] in which the control was linear in the dynamics. Second, we consider the case in which agents are allowed to exchange only a nonlinear output with their neighborhoods while the control is linear in the state-dynamics: inspired by the observer construction given in Andrieu et al. [2020], we generalize the results in Pavlov et al. [2009] where the output was linear.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some preliminaries of graph theory and contraction theory. Then, we provide the main results in Section 3 concerning the sufficient conditions to achieve synchro-
zation via static state-feedback and static output feed-
back distributed control laws. An illustration is provided in
Section 4. Conclusions and future perspectives are drawn in
Section 5.

Notation. $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the set of real numbers and $| \cdot |$ the
standard Euclidean norm. Given a $C^1$ function $P : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and a $C^1$ vector field $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the
Lie derivative of $P$ along $\zeta$ as
\[
\mathcal{L}_\zeta P(x) := \mathcal{D}_\zeta P(x) + P(x) \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x}(x) + \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x}(x)^T P(x)
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{D}_\zeta P(x) := \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{P(x + h\zeta(x)) - P(x)}{h}
\]
for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1 Graph Theory

In a general in a directed graph is described by a triplet $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$ in which $\mathcal{V}$ is a set of $N$
node set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_N\}$, $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ is a set of edges $e_{i,j}$
that models the interconnection between nodes with the
flow of information from node $j$ to node $k$ weighted by
the $(k,j)$-th entry $a_{kj} \geq 0$ of the adjacency matrix
$A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$. We denote by $L \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ the Laplacian
matrix of the graph, defined as
\[
\ell_{kj} = -a_{kj} \quad \text{for } k \neq j, \quad \ell_{kj} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{ki} \quad \text{for } k = j,
\]
where $\ell_{j,k}$ is the $(j,k)$-th entry of $L$.

In this work we will consider a network of $N$ homogeneous
multi-agent systems, i.e. described by identical dynamics,
which are connected according to a directed graph
$G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A})$, fulfilling the following assumption.

Assumption 1. The graph $G$ is leader connected, namely
it contains at least one spanning tree with the leader as a
root. Furthermore, its Laplacian $L$ is diagonalizable.

As a consequence, according to Assumption 1, the Laplacian
matrix $L$ can be partitioned as
\[
L = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
L_{21} & L_{22}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
where the matrix $L_{22}$ is a positive definite matrix satisfy-
ing (see Godsil and Royle [2001])
\[
L_{22} \geq \mu I, \quad (2)
\]
for some $\mu > 0$ satisfying $\mu \leq \lambda(L_{22})$, where $\lambda(L_{22})$
denotes the smallest eigenvalue of $L_{22}$.

2.2 Metric conditions for contraction

Consider a system
\[
\dot{x} = f(x) \quad (3)
\]
where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a $C^1$ vector field. We indicate with
$\mathcal{X}(x_0, t)$ the trajectory of the system with initial condition
$x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ evaluated at time $t$.

Definition 1. We say that system (3) is Incrementally
Globally Exponentially Stable ($\delta$GES in short) if there exist
positive real numbers $k, c$ such that
\[
|\mathcal{X}(x_1, t) - \mathcal{X}(x_2, t)| \leq k|x_1 - x_2| \exp(-ct)
\]
for all $t \geq 0$ in the time domain of existence of the solution
and for all initial conditions $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

A sufficient condition for (3) to be GES, is the existence of a
metric for with the associated distance is decreasing
along trajectories as stated in the following proposition.

For a proof, see Theorem 1 in Giacaglì et al. [2020] and
references therein.

Proposition 1. Consider system (3) and assume there exists
a symmetric and positive definite matrix function
$P : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, and positive real numbers $p, \bar{p}, q > 0$
satisfying the following
\[
pI \leq P(x) \leq \bar{p}I
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}_f P(x) \leq -qP(x)
\]
for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, system (3) is $\delta$GES.

To conclude the section, we recall the definition of Killing
vector field.

Definition 2. Consider a $C^1$ functions $P : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$
and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that $g$ is a Killing vector field
for $P$ if $\mathcal{L}_g P(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Note that, if both $P$ and $g$ are constant matrices, the
Killing vector field conditions is trivially satisfied.

3. MAIN RESULTS

3.1 Synchronization via State-feedback

In this section we consider a network of $N$ identical agents
described by the following dynamics
\[
\dot{x}_i = f(x_i) + g(x_i)u_i \quad i = 1, \ldots, N, \quad (4)
\]
in which $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state and $u_i \in \mathbb{R}$ is the control
input. We denote
\[
x := \text{col}(x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}.
\]

Our objective is to design a distributed control law stabiliz-
ing the dynamics (4) on the synchronization manifold $\mathcal{D}$
deefined by
\[
\mathcal{D} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn} | x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_N \}, \quad (5)
\]
where the state variables of different systems agree with
each other. For every $x$ in $\mathbb{R}^{Nn}$, we denote the Euclidean
distance to the set $\mathcal{D}$ by $d(x)$. We define our synchroniza-
problem as follows.

Problem 1. The control laws $u_i = \phi_i(x), i = 1, \ldots, N$ solve
the uniform exponential synchronization problem for (4)
if the following conditions hold:

(1) For all non-communicating pair $(i, j)$ (i.e., $(i, j) \notin \mathcal{E}$),
\[
\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial x_j}(x) = \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x_i}(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}.
\]

(2) For all $x \in \mathcal{D}, \phi_i(x) = 0$ (i.e., $\phi_i$ is zero on $\mathcal{D}$).

(3) The manifold $\mathcal{D}$ of the closed-loop system
\[
\dot{x}_i = f(x_i) + g(x_i)\phi_i(x), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N
\]
is uniformly exponentially stable, i.e., there exist positive
constants $k$ and $c > 0$ such that for all $x_0$ in
$\mathbb{R}^{Nn}$ and for all $t$ in the time domain of existence of solution
\[
|\mathcal{X}(x_0, t)|_\mathcal{D} \leq k \exp(-ct) |x_0|_\mathcal{D},
\]
where $\mathcal{X}(x_0, t)$ denotes the solution of (4) initiated
from $x_0$. 

We can now state the first main result of this work.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose Assumption 1 holds and assume that there exists a $C^1$ function $P : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ taking symmetric positive definite values such that the following conditions hold:

- The Control Matrix Function (CFM) condition holds:
  $$\mathcal{L}_f P(x) - \rho P(x) g(x) g(x)^\top P(x) \leq -q P(x),$$  
  \[ P I \leq P(x) \leq P I, \]  
  for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for some positive real numbers $p, \rho, q, \rho > 0$.

- $\gamma$ is a Killing vector field for $P$, i.e.,
  $$\mathcal{L}_g P(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$  

- There exists $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
  $$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x}(x) = P(x) g(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$  

Then, the distributed control law $u_i = \phi_i(x)$ with

$$\phi_i(x) = -\kappa \sum_{j=1}^N \ell_{ij} \alpha(x_j),$$

solves the global uniform exponential synchronization problem for (4), for any $\kappa \geq \frac{\rho}{\mu}$, with $\rho$ satisfying (7) and $\mu$ satisfying (2).

**Proof.** Consider, without loss of generality, that $x_1$ is the leader node in the network, i.e., its dynamics is given by $\dot{x}_1 = f(x_1)$. Define $n-1$ error coordinates $e := (e_2, \ldots, e_N)$ with $e_i := x_i - x_1$ and $z = x_1$. These errors display the following dynamics

$$\dot{e}_i = f(z + e_i) - f(z) - \kappa \sum_{j=1}^N \ell_{ij} [g(z + e_i) \alpha(z + e_i)].$$

Using the fact that

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \ell_{ij} g(z + e_i) \alpha(z) = g(z + e_i) \alpha(z) \sum_{j=1}^N \ell_{ij} = 0,$$

such a term can be added to the $e_i$ dynamics to obtain

$$\dot{e}_i = f(z + e_i) - f(z) - \kappa \sum_{j=1}^N \ell_{ij} g(z + e_i) \alpha(z + e_i) - \alpha(z))$$

for all $i = 2, \ldots, N$. Note that in this new error coordinates, the manifold $\mathcal{D}$ defined in (5) corresponds simply to the origin of the $c$-dynamics, i.e.

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(z, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn} : c = 0\}.$$

Consider the function $\Gamma(s, t)$ defined as

$$\Gamma(1, 0) = e, \quad \Gamma(0, 0) = 0, \quad \Gamma(s, 0) = \gamma(s),$$

where $\gamma$ is any $C^1$ with its $i$-th component $\Gamma_i$ defined as solution of the following ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma_i}{\partial t}(s, t) = f(Z(t) + \Gamma_i(s, t)) - f(Z(t))$$

$$- \kappa \sum_{j=1}^N \ell_{ij} g(Z(t) + \Gamma_j(s, t)) \alpha(Z(t) + \Gamma_j(s, t)) - \alpha(Z(t)).$$

where $Z(t)$ is the solution at time $t$ of $\dot{z} = f(z)$ initiated from $z$. For each path $\gamma$, we consider the function $V_i$, $i = 2, \ldots, N$, defined by

$$V_i(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\partial \Gamma_i}{\partial s}(s, t)^\top P(Z(s, t) + \Gamma_i(s, t)) \frac{\partial \Gamma_i}{\partial s}(s, t) ds.$$
and therefore
\[ \sum_{i=2}^{N} V_i(t) \leq \exp(-qt) \sum_{i=2}^{N} V_i(0). \]
Note that
\[ \sum_{i=2}^{N} V_i(t) \geq p \sum_{i=2}^{n} e_i(t)^2 \]
which yields exponential convergence to \( D \). Furthermore, to obtain inequality (6), we follow [Andrieu et al., 2020, Proposition 4] and consider a sequence of minimizing paths \((\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\) such that \( \gamma_k(1) = e, \gamma_k(0) = 0 \). This concludes the proof. \( \square \)

Remark 1. In the case of a network of linear systems of the form
\[ \dot{x}_i = Ax_i + Bu_i, \]
the conditions of Theorem 1 reduce to the following Riccati-like inequality \( PA^T + A^T P - \rho PBB^T P \leq -qP \), with the function \( \alpha(x) := B^T Px, \) and the Killing condition (8) automatically satisfied. In other words, we find the conditions in [Li et al., 2009, Section II.C]. Unfortunately, unlike the linear case, the extension of the proposed conditions to the non-leader case are non-trivial due to the presence of the state-dependent metric \( P \). When \( P \) is constant, state-synchronization without leader can be achieved by following for instance Zhang et al. [2014], Andrieu et al. [2018] or Andrieu and Tarbouriech [2019].

### 3.2 Synchronization via Output-Feedback

We suppose now that the dynamics of the agents are described by
\[ \dot{x}_i = f(x_i) + u_i, \quad y_i = h(x_i), \tag{11} \]
in which \( x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the state and \( u_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the control input, and \( y_i \in \mathbb{R} \) is the output variable. We denote \( x := \text{col}(x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}, \quad y := \text{col}(y_1, \ldots, y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Similarly to the previous section, our objective is to design a distributed control law stabilizing the synchronization manifold \( D \) defined in (5). However, we restrict in this section to the case of output synchronization laws, i.e. the agents cannot exchange with their neighbors the full state information \( x_i \) but only the output \( y_i \). In particular, we define such a problem as follows.

**Problem 2. The control laws \( u_i = \phi_i(x_i, y_i), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N \) solve the uniform exponential synchronization problem for (11) if the following conditions hold:**

1. **For all non-communicating pair \( (i, j) \) (i.e., \( (i, j) \notin E \),**
   \[ \frac{\partial \dot{\phi}_j}{\partial y_j}(x_i, y_j) = \frac{\partial \dot{\phi}_j}{\partial y_i}(x_j, y_j) = 0, \quad \forall x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad y_j \in \mathbb{R}^N. \]

2. **For all \( X \in D, \phi(x_i, y_i) = 0 \) (i.e., \( \phi \) is zero on \( D \)).**

3. **The manifold \( D \) of the closed-loop system**
   \[ \dot{x}_i = f(x_i) + \phi_i(x_i, y_i), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N, \]
   **is uniformly exponentially stable, i.e., there exist positive constants \( k \) and \( \lambda > 0 \) such that for all \( X^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn} \)|\( X(t) |_{D} \leq k \exp(-\lambda t) |X^0|_{D}, \)
   **where \( X(x^0, t) \) denotes the solution initiated from \( x^0 \), holds for all \( t \) in the time domain of existence of solution.**

For a leader-connected network of agents described by (11), we have the following set of sufficient conditions to solve the previous problem.

**Theorem 2. Suppose Assumption 1 holds and suppose that there exists a \( C^1 \) function \( P : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \) taking symmetric positive definite values such that the following conditions hold:**

- **The Control Matrix Function (CMF) condition holds:**
  \[ \mathcal{L}_f P(x) - \rho \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x)^T \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x) \leq -qP(x), \tag{12} \]
  \[ \rho I \leq P(x) \leq \overline{P} I, \]
  for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and for some positive constants \( p, \overline{P}, q, \rho \).
- **The vector field \( \alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) defined as**
  \[ \alpha(x) = P^{-1}(x) \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x)^T \tag{13} \]
  is a Killing vector for \( P \), i.e.
  \[ \mathcal{L}_\alpha P(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{14} \]
  Then the distributed control law \( u_i = \phi_i(x_i, y_i) \) with
  \[ \phi_i(x_i, y_i) = -\kappa \sum_{j=1}^{N} \ell_{ij} \alpha(x_i)y_j \tag{15} \]
  solves the global uniform exponential synchronization problem for system (11) for any \( \kappa \geq \frac{p}{\rho} \), with \( \rho \) satisfying (12) and \( \mu \) satisfying (2).

**Proof.** Consider, without loss of generality, that \( x_1 \) is the leader node in the network. We define \( n - 1 \) error coordinates \( e := (e_2, \ldots, e_N) \) with \( e_i := x_i - x_1 \) and \( z := x_1 \). The dynamics of these errors reads
\[ \dot{e}_i = f(e_i + z) - f(z) \]
\[ -\kappa \sum_{j=1}^{N} \ell_{ij} \alpha(e_i + z)(h(e_j + z) - h(z)) \]
for all \( i = 2, \ldots, N \). Consider now the function \( \Gamma(s,t) \) defined as
\[ \Gamma(1,0) = e, \quad \Gamma(0,0) = 0, \quad \Gamma(s,0) = \gamma(s) \]
where \( \gamma \) is any \( C^1 \) path with its \( s \)-th component \( \Gamma_s \) defined as solution of the following ordinary differential equation
\[ \frac{\partial \Gamma_s}{\partial t}(s,t) = f(Z(t) + \Gamma_s(s,t)) - f(Z(t)) \]
\[ -\kappa \sum_{j=1}^{N} \ell_{ij} \alpha(Z(t))(h(\Gamma_j(s,t) + Z(t)) - h(Z(t))). \]
Consider the function \( V_i, \quad i = 2, \ldots, N, \) defined by
\[ V_i(t) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{1}}{\partial s}(s,t)^T P(Z(t) + \Gamma_1(s,t)) \frac{\partial \Gamma_{1}}{\partial s}(s,t) ds, \]
Employing the Killing vector field assumption (14) and the definition of \( \alpha \) in (13), we compute the time-derivative of \( V_i \) as
\[ V_i(t) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{1}}{\partial s}(s,t) \left[ \mathcal{L}_f P(Z(t) + \Gamma_1(s,t)) \right] \frac{\partial \Gamma_{1}}{\partial s}(s,t) ds \]
\[ -\kappa \sum_{j=1}^{N} \ell_{ij} \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(Z(t)) \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}(Z(t)) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{1}}{\partial s}(s,t) ds \]
Hence
\[
\sum_{i=2}^{N} \dot{V}_i(t) = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=2}^{N} \frac{\partial \tau^T_i(s, t)}{\partial s} \left[ \mathcal{L}_f P(Z(t) + \Gamma_i(s, t)) \right. \\
- \kappa \sum_{j=1}^{N} \ell_{ij} \frac{\partial h_i^T(Z(t))}{\partial z_i} \frac{\partial h_j(Z(t))}{\partial z_j} \left. \right] ds \\
= \int_0^1 \sum_{i=2}^{N} \frac{\partial \tau^T_i(s, t)}{\partial s} \left[ \mathcal{L}_f P(Z(t) + \Gamma_i(s, t)) \right. \\
- \kappa \mu v(t)^T v(t) ds
\]
where we defined
\[
v(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial h_i(Z(t))}{\partial s} P_x(s, t) \\
\cdots \\
\frac{\partial h_i(Z(t))}{\partial s} P_x(s, t)
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
Consequently, we obtain
\[
\sum_{i=2}^{N} \dot{V}_i(t) \leq -q \sum_{i=2}^{N} V_i(t)
\]
for any \( \kappa \geq \frac{q}{\mu} \). Finally, since
\[
\sum_{i=2}^{N} V_i(t) \geq p \sum_{i=2}^{n} e_i(t)^2,
\]
it yields the result following the same arguments of the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 2. In the case of a network of linear systems of the form
\[
\dot{x}_i = A x_i + u_i, \quad y_i = C x_i,
\]
the conditions of Theorem 1 reduces to the following Riccati-like inequality \( P A^T + A^T P - \rho C^T C \leq -q P \) with the function \( \alpha(x) := P^{-1} C^T \), and the Killing vector condition (8) automatically satisfied. In other words, we find the conditions in [Li et al., 2009, Section II.B].

Remark 3. The conditions of Theorem 2 are inspired for the conditions for observer design based on Riemannian distances, see, e.g., Sandfuch and Praly [2012], Andrieu et al. [2018]. Furthermore, for a constant metric \( P \) and systems dynamics (11) in the so-called prime form (or observability canonical form), we recover the conditions of Proposition 1 in Isidori et al. [2014], based on high-gain observer theory.

4. ILLUSTRATION

Consider the multiagent network system with 5 nodes described in Figure 1 and represented by the Laplacian matrix
\[
L = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix},
\]
with \( \mu = 0.18 \). Each agent dynamics is described by (11) with \( x_i \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), and the functions \( f, h \) defined as
\[
f(z) = \begin{bmatrix}
z_2 + \epsilon \sin(z_1) \\
-z_1 + \epsilon z_2 \cos(z_1) + \epsilon^2 \cos(z_1) \sin(z_1)
\end{bmatrix}, \quad (16)
\]
\[
h(z) = (z_2 + \epsilon \sin(z_1))
\]
for any \( z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) and for some \( 0 < \epsilon < 1 \). It can be verified that the conditions (12) of Theorem 2 are satisfied with the metric \( P \) defined as
\[
P(z) = \begin{bmatrix}
2[1 - \epsilon \cos(z_1) + \epsilon^2 \cos^2(z_1)] & 1 - 2 \epsilon \cos(z_1) \\
1 - 2 \epsilon \cos(z_1) & 2
\end{bmatrix},
\]
and constants \( p = 1, \bar{p} = 3, q = 3 \), any \( \rho \geq 2 \). Moreover, the Killing vector property (14) is verified with the function \( \alpha \) defined as
\[
\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{3} \left( -1 + 4 \epsilon \cos(z_1) + 4 \epsilon^2 \cos^2(z_1) - 3 \epsilon \cos(z_1) + 2 \right).
\]
Therefore, all conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and the control law (15) is able to achieve state synchronization of the network with \( \kappa \geq \frac{q}{\mu} = 11.12 \). The rate of convergence \( c \) in item (3) of Problem 2 is approximately computed as \( c = q \mu \sqrt{p/\bar{p}} \), corresponding to \( c = 0.18 \sqrt{3} \) in our example.

Figures 2, 3 show respectively the trajectories of the first and second state of each node \( i = 1, \ldots, 5 \) of the system (11), (16) where \( \epsilon = \frac{1}{3} \) and with the control law (15) with \( \kappa = 12 \) and initial condition \( x(0) = \begin{bmatrix}[1/2, -1], (15, 5), (-7, 16), (8, 14), (-10, -16) \end{bmatrix} \). After the transient behaviour of the first seconds of the simulation, state-synchronization is achieved to a unique oscillating mode, corresponding to the first node of the graph.

5. CONCLUSION

We proposed a set of sufficient conditions to solve the problem of state synchronization for a leader-connected network of homogeneous agents described by a nonlinear dynamics into two scenarios: the case of input-affine nonlinear systems in which the agents exchange the full state to their neighbours, and the case of nonlinear systems dynamics linear in the inputs in which the agents are allowed to exchange only a certain nonlinear output. The conditions are based on a metric analysis and guarantees exponential synchronization of the network.
This paper is a preliminary work in order to extend the results in Scardovi and Sepulchre [2008] and in Pavlov et al. [2009] to the case of input-affine nonlinear systems with nonlinear outputs. Future works will consider also the case of non-leader connected networks and potentially heterogeneous dynamics as in Isidori et al. [2014].
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