

Seabird population changes following mammal eradication at oceanic Saint-Paul Island, Indian Ocean

Christophe Barbraud, Karine Delord, Fabrice Le Bouard, Roald Harivel,

Jérémie Demay, Adrien Chaigne, Thierry Micol

▶ To cite this version:

Christophe Barbraud, Karine Delord, Fabrice Le Bouard, Roald Harivel, Jérémie Demay, et al.. Seabird population changes following mammal eradication at oceanic Saint-Paul Island, Indian Ocean. Journal for Nature Conservation, 2021, 63, pp.126049. 10.1016/j.jnc.2021.126049. hal-03350341

HAL Id: hal-03350341 https://hal.science/hal-03350341

Submitted on 22 Aug 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1617138121000960 Manuscript 5f3540c94603d14112cb95977d4a39a4

1	Seabird	population	changes	following	mammal	eradication	at oceanic
---	---------	------------	---------	-----------	--------	-------------	------------

2 Saint-Paul Island, Indian Ocean

- 3
- 4
- ⁵ Christophe Barbraud^a, Karine Delord^a, Fabrice Le Bouard^b, Roald Harivel^b,

6 Jérémie Demay ^{a,c} , Adrien Chaigne ^b , Thierr	y Micol ^{b,d}
---	------------------------

- 7
- 8 ^a Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé, CNRS UMR7372, 79360 Villiers en Bois, France
- 9 ^b Réserve Naturelle Nationale des Terres Australes Françaises, TAAF, rue Gabriel Dejean,
- 10 97458 Saint-Pierre, France
- ^c Conservatoire d'espaces naturels de Languedoc-Roussillon, Salines de Villeneuve, chemin
- 12 des salins, 34750 Villeneuve-lès-Maguelone, France
- ¹³ ^d Ligue pour la Protection des Oiseaux, Fonderies Royales, 8 rue du Docteur Pujos, 17300
- 14 Rochefort, France
- 15
- 16
- 17 Short title
- 18 Seabird population change after rat eradication
- 19
- 20 Corresponding author :
- 21 Christophe Barbraud, barbraud@cebc.cnrs.fr

- 23
- 24
- ____
- 25

26 Abstract

27 The eradication of invasive mammals on islands is important for protecting seabird populations and insular ecosystems. However, the impacts of such eradications are 28 29 insufficiently known because monitoring of potentially beneficiary species is often sporadic and limited. We performed a survey of all seabird species on Saint-Paul Island, southern 30 Indian Ocean, 20 years after successful eradication of invasive black rat (Rattus rattus) and 31 32 European rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus). Using complementary sampling designs including adaptive cluster sampling, stratified random sampling and entire sampling, we estimated 33 population changes and colonization by new seabird species. A total of 13 seabird species 34 35 were found breeding on Saint-Paul post-eradication compared to six before the eradication. Among the seven species that colonized the island, five (MacGillivray's prion Pachyptila 36 37 macgillivrayi, fairy prion P. turtur, white-bellied storm petrel Fregetta grallaria, Antarctic tern Sterna vittata, sooty tern Onychoprion fuscatus) had relictual populations breeding on a 38 39 nearby islet, and one (brown skua *Stercorarius lonnbergi*) was a new breeding species. We 40 also found breeding subantarctic little shearwaters Puffinus elegans. For species that were 41 breeding on the Saint Paul pre-eradication, the mean annual population growth rate was 1.030 42 ± 0.093 (SE). Species known to be vulnerable to rat predation (prions, great-winged petrel 43 Pterodroma macroptera, flesh-footed shearwater Puffinus carneipes, subantarctic little 44 shearwater, white-bellied storm petrel, Antarctic tern) had the highest population growth rates. Two decades after the eradication of invasive mammals on a remote oceanic island. 45 seabird populations were high beneficiaries. These findings further highlight the importance 46 47 of invasive mammal eradication on islands as a conservation tool. Results are encouraging for the planned eradication of invasive mammals from nearby Amsterdam Island, and suggest this 48 49 will mainly benefit terns and small burrowing petrels.

50

51 Keywords

52 Rats; island restoration; petrels; population growth rate; shearwaters; terns

53

54 1. Introduction

55 Insular ecosystems, those that are small and naturally fragmented, warrant a high priority in biodiversity conservation as they host more than 15% of terrestrial species, and 61% of all 56 57 recently extinct species and 37% of all critically endangered species are endemic to islands(Kier et al., 2009; Tershy et al., 2015). High levels of endemism richness and high 58 extinction rates have motivated increasing conservation efforts on islands, such as the 59 eradication of invasive mammals which was successfully undertaken on >850 islands 60 worldwide (DIISE, 2018). Indeed, invasive nonnative mammals are the main cause of animal 61 62 extinction on islands and one of the most important threats to insular biodiversity (Clavero & García-Berthou, 2005; Szabo et al., 2012). However, the impacts of eradication of invasive 63 mammals on islands are insufficiently known because monitoring of eradication outcomes, 64 65 such as recolonization or population growth of potentially beneficiary species, is often sporadic and limited (Lavers, Wilcox, & Donlan, 2010; Jones et al., 2016; Towns, 2018). 66 These deficiencies with outcome monitoring are particularly acute for seabirds (Brooke et al., 67 68 2018). For example, in their review Brooke et al. (2018) were able to estimate seabird population growth rate post-eradication for only 61 islands. This is partly due to several 69 70 factors (Towns, 2018). First, due to the slow life-histories and long generation time of seabirds, there is a delay in population growth following eradication of invasive mammals. 71 Monitoring for at least 10 years, and preferably longer, after eradication is needed to identify 72 73 population changes for seabirds due to their long generation time, slow life-histories, and low 74 immigration rates (Brooke et al., 2018). Such long timescales are often beyond the remit of funding agencies and are incompatible with projects funded from short-term calls. Second, 75

there are also logistical challenges (and associated costs) of reaching remote locations for
undertaking surveys, and repeatable monitoring designs allowing to quantify population
growth are not undertaken systematically. Third, assumptions are often made that positive
outcomes at one locality will repeat on those nearby, even when communities differ. Other
impediments to reporting eradication outcomes are unmeasurable claims of potential response
by target species, and the unavailability of economical ways to measure the speed and scale of
changes at the ecosystem level.

After the first successful eradication of rats from a large island [Breaksea Island, New
Zealand, (Taylor & Thomas, 1993)] and the first use of aerial dropping to remove rats and
rabbits from Enderby Island (Torr, 2002), the Administration of the French Southern
Territories (TAAF) decided to undertake the eradication of rats from Saint-Paul Island where
the endemic Macgillivray's prion had been removed by rats but with a remaining small
breeding population (150 pairs) in a nearby islet (La Quille).

Black rats (Rattus rattus) and European rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus), but not house 89 mouse (Mus musculus), were successfully eradicated from Saint-Paul Island, Indian Ocean, in 90 91 the late 1990's (from 1997 to 1999; Micol & Jouventin, 2002). Absence of sightings or rat signs since then confirmed that the island is still rat free, and a maintenance program of bait 92 93 stations disposed near landings points ensured that it remains rat free. Rats and mice were introduced between 1792 and 1874, and rabbits probably during the early 19th century 94 (Segonzac, 1972). Despite encouraging recolonization signs by seabirds early after 95 eradication (Micol & Jouventin, 2002), no systematic survey has been undertaken since 96 97 eradication of rats and rabbits was confirmed. However, Saint-Paul was predicted as a site with highly threatened beneficiary species from invasive mammal eradication (Jones et al., 98 99 2016), with three potentially beneficiary endangered seabird species (northern rockhopper

penguin *Eudyptes moseleyi*, sooty albatross *Phoebetria fusca* and Indian yellow-nosed
albatross *Thalassarche carteri*).

The aim of our study was therefore to perform a comprehensive survey of all seabird 102 103 species on Saint-Paul nearly 20 years after successful invasive rat and rabbit eradication, and to estimate population changes and colonization by new seabird species. Based on results 104 105 obtained by Jones et al. (2016), who found that invasive mammal eradication benefited 106 terrestrial insular fauna on 181 islands, and by Brooke et al. (2018), who examined what 107 factors influence rates of population recovery for 181 seabird populations of 69 species following successful eradication projects, we made the following predictions. First, we 108 109 expected positive population growth for seabird species that were reported to breed on Saint-Paul and a nearby islet and were known to be vulnerable to rats. Second, we predicted 110 positive growth for seabird species that were not able to breed due to predation. For Saint-111 112 Paul this concerned small to medium size burrowing petrels such as MacGillivray's prion, fairy prion P. turtur, great-winged petrel Pterodroma macroptera, flesh-footed shearwater 113 Puffinus carneipes, little shearwater P. assimilis, and white-bellied storm petrel Fregetta 114 grallaria, as well as the surface nesting Antarctic tern Sterna vittata, all known to breed on 115 Saint-Paul and on an islet situated nearby (Tollu, 1984). Third, we expected faster growth 116 rates for terns, as identified by Brooke et al., (2018) in a comparative study. Fourth, we 117 predicted faster population growth if large source populations were nearby. La Quille is a 118 mammal free predator islet situated 150 meters offshore Saint-Paul. Until the eradication of 119 invasive mammals on Saint-Paul it constituted the only refuge for breeding seabirds that 120 formerly bred on Saint-Paul (Tollu, 1984). Before eradication the most abundant species 121 breeding on La Quille were Antarctic terns (≈ 200 breeding pairs, Segonzac, 1972), 122 123 MacGillivray's prion (\approx 150 breeding pairs, Tollu, 1984) and great-winged petrels (\approx 40-60 breeding pairs, Micol & Jouventin, 2002). Four other species were breeding on La Quille but 124

in very low numbers (Tollu, 1984). We thus predicted higher population growth rates for the
three most abundant species on La Quille (Antarctic terns, MacGillivray's prion and greatwinged petrels). Finally, Brooke et al. (2018) identified immigration as an important factor for
colony growth. Because new species were previously observed as nonbreeding at Saint-Paul
following eradication (Thiebot et al., 2010), thus constituting potential immigrants, and given
that new species have been recorded as breeding on islands following rodent eradication
(Croll et al., 2016), we expected new breeding species for Saint-Paul.

132

133 **2. Methods**

134 *2.1. Study site*

Saint-Paul Island (38°42"30'S, 77°32"30'E) lies 90 km south of Amsterdam Island in the 135 136 southern Indian Ocean, approximately 3400 km west of Australia and 4300 km east of South Africa. The total area of the island is 820 ha and the highest point is at 268 m. Three islets are 137 138 situated along the northern coast of Saint-Paul: Ilôt Nord (90 m offshore), Rocher du Milieu 139 and La Quille both 150 m offshore, the latter (0.7 ha; highest point 85 m) being the only islet 140 vegetated and hosting breeding seabirds. Saint-Paul consists of the eroded top of a conic volcano of which part of the eastern side has disappeared (Fig. 1). This side of the island is 141 142 characterized by a caldera invaded by the sea and surrounded by steep cliffs more than 200 m high. The western and southern slopes of the island are less steep, ending in 30 m vertical sea 143 cliffs (see Supplementary material for further details). 144

Before the eradication, rats, mice and rabbits were the only remaining exotic mammals on Saint-Paul Island as cats, pigs and goats had disappeared decades ago. To evaluate the impact of the rat eradication on Saint-Paul seabird populations we conducted a variety of surveys in March/April 2005, 2006, 2012 and 2013 to coincide with breeding activities of winter breeding seabirds, and in December 2018 to coincide with breeding activities of summer

breeding seabirds. Different survey types combining various sampling designs and 150 151 enumeration methods were utilized to provide an abundance estimate of seabirds that occur on the island and to detect presence of rare species. Survey types were selected according to 152 153 species-specific breeding habits, densities and site accessibility to fieldworkers, but the same methods were used in different years for consistency. Table 1 describes the sampling designs 154 and enumeration methods used for each species. Burrows were assigned to species or groups 155 156 of species according to identification of individuals captured in burrows and to burrow 157 dimensions indicated in Table S1 (see Supplementary material).

158

159 2.2. Adaptive cluster sampling

Because we anticipated low densities of breeding burrowing petrels on the island, and 160 since burrowing petrels often breed in clusters, we used systematic adaptive cluster sampling 161 162 to estimate abundance of burrowing petrels (Thompson, 1992; Thompson & Seber, 1996). The systematic adaptive cluster sampling consisted of two steps. In the first step systematic 163 sampling was applied, yielding an initial set of U sampling units (primary plots). Primary 164 plots were positioned on transects with 100 m between each plot and 300 m between each 165 transect (Fig. 1). Because from breeding ecology of the species, we expected higher 166 167 colonization of coastal areas, a complementary costal transect was established with 100 m between each primary plot. Each sampling primary plot consisted in a 10 m radius circle. In 168 each plot, the presence of petrel burrows was determined by two experienced observers 169 searching meticulously within the plot. If no burrow was present, the next primary plot was 170 searched for. If at least one burrow was present, the second step adds neighboring circular 171 plots (secondary plots) to this primary plot (and thus to the sample). The presence of at least 172 one burrow in each one of these secondary plots was determined. If positive, further 173 neighboring plots were added (tertiary plots). Plots were added repeatedly until no additional 174

plots contained a burrow anymore. This procedure for selection in adaptive designs dependsupon population numbers observed in the field (see Supplementary material).

177

178 2.3. Stratified random sampling

During successive visits and qualitative searches for petrel burrows on the island, two relatively small areas of high density of MacGillivray's and fairy prions were found (thereafter named colony 1 and colony 2). To avoid over-sampling these areas using the adaptive cluster sampling approach (which could occur when the final sample size is many multiples of the initial sample size), we used stratified random sampling in high-density areas for MacGillivray's and fairy prions (see Supplementary material).

185

186 2.4. Entire sampling

Previous surveys showed that flesh-footed shearwaters breed in a colony situated on the northwest coastal area of Saint-Paul where nest densities are high (Segonzac, 1972; Roux, 189 1985). To avoid over-sampling this area using the adaptive cluster sampling approach, and since the flesh-footed shearwater colony is settled in a well-defined narrow coastal band northwest of Saint-Paul, we performed a true survey of the entire colony (i.e. the entire sampling frame was covered, see Supplementary material).

193 Entire sampling was also used for conspicuous surface nesting species: northern

194 rockhopper penguin, Indian yellow-nosed albatross, sooty albatross, brown skua (Stercorarius

195 *lonnbergi*), sooty tern (*Onychoprion fuscatus*), Antarctic terns, and Australasian gannet

196 (*Morus serrator*). At the time of our last survey (December 2018), rockhopper penguins were

197 ending their breeding cycle and chicks were preparing for departure at sea. We thus counted

198 the number of chicks by direct counts. Indian yellow-nosed albatrosses, sooty albatrosses and

199 Australasian gannets were incubating, so we counted the number of adults occupying a nest

site. For brown skuas our visit corresponded to the chick rearing period, so we counted the
number of nests with chicks and the number of pairs defending a territory. For terns, which
breed in coastal cliffs (Segonzac, 1972), we counted territorial pairs when moving between
plots situated on the coastal transect of the adaptive cluster sampling.

204

205 2.5. Mist-netting

As part of a companion study aiming at recapturing MacGillivray's prions previously equipped with geolocators, mist nets were set at night in one of the high density colony of MacGillivray's prions (Fig. 2, colony 2). We thus used capture-recapture data from mistnetting sessions to estimate MacGillivray's prion abundance (see Supplementary material). We did not use lure calls during mist-netting sessions.

211

212 2.6. Incidental observations

Opportunistic observations of seabird species not monitored using standard survey
methodologies described above were recorded in December 2018 and during previous visits
on Saint-Paul since 1972.

216

217 2.7. Estimating population growth rates

Following Brooke et al. (2018) we estimated an annual rate of population change (λ) for each species for which populations were different from zero at the time of eradication. λ was not estimated for northern rockhopper penguins since only chicks counts were available in 2018. We used the most recent population estimate (N_{init}) before or at the time of the eradication program and our population estimate obtained from the 2018 survey (N_{2018}). Then, λ was calculated as $\left(\frac{N_{2018}}{N_{init}}\right)^{(1/T)}$, *T* being the number of years separating the two estimates. λ < 1 represents a population decline, $\lambda > 1$ a population increase, and $\lambda = 1$ a stable population. Survey methods pre- and post-eradication were similar which makes us confident in the
validity of the comparisons pre- and post-eradication (see Supplementary material).

227

228 **3. Results**

A total of 13 seabird species were found breeding on Saint-Paul Island compared to six 229 before the eradication program (Table 2; Fig. 2). Among the seven species that colonized the 230 231 island, five (MacGillivray's prion, fairy prion, white-bellied storm petrel, Antarctic tern, sooty tern) were previously breeding on La Quille, and one (the brown skua) is a new breeding 232 species for Saint Paul. We also found breeding subantarctic little shearwater *Puffinus elegans* 233 on Saint-Paul Island. Two additional species were observed on the island as non-breeding, 234 black-bellied storm petrel Fregetta tropica and red-tailed tropicbird Phaethon rubricauda. For 235 236 species that were breeding on the island before the eradication program, the annual population growth rate varied between 0.93 and 1.18 and the mean λ was 1.030 \pm 0.093 (SE). 237

238

239 *3.1. Adaptive cluster sampling*

In April 2012, a total of 30 burrows were detected on the costal transect, none within the caldera, and only two burrows were detected on the land transects. Out of 154 primary plots on the coastal transect, nine had at least one burrow with signs of occupation. The number of secondary, tertiary and quaternary plots with at least one burrow showing signs of occupation was five, one and one, respectively. The average number of burrows per plot (all plots confounded) was 1.9 ± 0.8 (SD).

In December 2018, a total of 48 burrows were detected on the coastal transect, including seven within the caldera. Out of 154 primary plots on the coastal transect, 11 had at least one burrow with signs of occupation. The number of secondary and tertiary plots with at least one burrow showing signs of occupation was eight and one, respectively. The average number of

250	burrows per plot was 1.5 ± 0.8 . Among these 48 burrows, five (10.4%) were assigned to
251	prions, one (2.1%) to subantarctic little shearwaters, 22 (45.8%) to medium sized petrels (i.e.
252	such as great-winged petrels), 18 (37.5%) to flesh-footed shearwaters, and two (4.2%) to
253	storm petrels.

Estimates of abundance from coastal transects were 126 ± 37 (SD) burrows (95% confidence interval estimated assuming a normal distribution: 52-200) and 198 ± 41 burrows (95% CI: 116-280) in 2012 and 2018, respectively. Assuming burrow assignment was correct and representative, and given the species-specific proportions above, there were 21 burrows of prions, four burrows of subantarctic little shearwaters, 91 burrows of medium size petrels, 74 burrows of flesh-footed shearwaters, and eight burrows of storm petrels in 2018.

260

261 *3.2. Stratified random sampling*

We found a total of 49 burrows of MacGillivray's or fairy prion in random sampling 262 points. Mean densities of burrows of prions were 0.230 ± 0.025 (SD) burrow.m⁻² and $0.424 \pm$ 263 0.177 burrow.m⁻² in colony 1 and colony 2, respectively (surface area of colonies: 1432 m² 264 265 and 2079 m², respectively). Mean densities of burrows that showed signs of occupations or were occupied were 0.177 ± 0.035 burrow.m⁻² and 0.413 ± 0.112 burrow.m⁻² in colony 1 and 266 colony 2, respectively. This yielded a total number of prion (both MacGillivray's and fairy) 267 burrows of 1212 (95% CI estimated assuming a normal distribution: 746-1678) and a total 268 269 number of burrows with signs of occupation of 1112 (95% CI: 554-1669; 253 for colony 1 270 and 858 for colony 2). The proportion of burrows that showed signs of occupations or were occupied was 91.7%. 271

One burrow of subantarctic little shearwater was found in the two random sampling points in one colony (colony 1), corresponding to a density of 0.018 burrows per m² and a number of burrows of 25.

275

276 *3.3. Mist-netting*

Four species were captured in mist-nets: MacGillivray's prion, fairy prion, white-bellied storm petrel and black-bellied storm petrel (see Table S2 for measurements in Supplementary material). Very few capture-mark-recapture data were available for storm petrels so we only used capture-mark-recapture models for estimating population sizes of prions. The proportions of prion species caught in mist-nets were 77.2% for MacGillivray's prion and 22.8% for fairy prion.

For MacGillivray's prions, model selection criteria in program CAPTURE selected model 283 284 Mtb as the best model. This model assumes a behavioral response to capture and temporal variation in both initial capture and recapture probabilities. The model estimated a total of 737 285 individuals in colony 2, albeit with high uncertainty (95% CI estimated from CAPTURE: 64-286 7651). Closure test suggested that the closure assumption was not fulfilled (closure test: $\chi^2 =$ 287 28.2, df = 11, P = 0.003). However, this lack of fit was mainly due to one capture occasion 288 during which a high number of new individuals were captured. The closure assumption was 289 fulfilled when excluding this capture occasion ($\chi^2 = 17.1$, df = 10, P = 0.072). 290 For fairy prions, model selection criteria selected model Mo and model Mh as the best 291

models. These models assume constant capture probabilities and heterogeneity in capture probabilities, respectively. Model Mo and Mh estimated a total of 84 (95% CI: 36-280) and 91 (95% CI: 36-331) individuals in colony 2, respectively. Closure test suggested that the closure assumption was fulfilled (closure test: $\chi^2 = 3.6$, df = 5, P = 0.61).

296

297 *3.4. Entire sampling*

In 2018, for flesh-footed shearwaters a total of 1003 and 481 burrows were counted in rush and denuded habitats in the coastal colony, respectively. Burrow detection probability differed between rush and denuded habitats and was 0.640 ± 0.065 (SD) and 0.905 ± 0.047 ,

respectively. Thus, the total number of burrows was 2098 (95% CI assuming a normal

distribution: 1782-2415). The proportion of burrows with signs of occupation was $0.919 \pm$

303 0.024. Therefore, the total number of burrows with signs of occupation in the colony was
304 1928 (95% CI: 1659-2197).

Northern rockhopper penguins were breeding in two colonies (Fig. 2, 38°43'52.30''S –

 $306 \quad 77^{\circ}30'40.45"E \text{ and } 38^{\circ}42'44.51"S - 77^{\circ}31'44.48"E)$, one in the caldera and one south of the

307 Terrasse des Pingouins with 3942 and 260 chicks, respectively.

308 One breeding pair of Indian yellow-nosed albatross with an egg (5th December) was later 309 seen with a chick on the 20th of December.

A total of 20 nests occupied by an adult sooty albatross were counted in the cliffs of thesouth coast.

Brown skuas were breeding on the western and southern slopes of the island with five

breeding pairs with chicks and an additional three territorial pairs but without chicks.

Four sooty terns with territorial behaviors were observed in coastal cliffs facing La Quille,

but breeding status could not be confirmed since sites were inaccessible.

316 The average number of breeding pairs of Antarctic tern counted between plots of the

adaptive cluster sampling outside the caldera was 4.215 ± 0.687 (SD) pairs per 100 m. When

extrapolated to the entire coastal cliffs length, this yielded 526 pairs (95% CI assuming a

normal distribution: 350-678). Very few (5) breeding pairs were counted within the caldera.

320 Two pairs of Australasian gannet were breeding on slopes facing La Quille, and three

321 additional non breeding individuals were observed.

322

323 3.5. Incidental observations

324 During incidental observations and surveys, 11 seabird species were recorded pre-

eradication and 20 post-eradication (Table 3). Six breeding seabird species were recorded preeradication in Saint-Paul Island and 11 (14 if adding species that were likely breeding) posteradication (Table 3). New species recorded as breeding or likely breeding post-eradication on
Saint-Paul were MacGillivray's prion, fairy prion, subantarctic little shearwater, white-bellied
storm petrel and sooty tern. Three new species were recorded as nonbreeding during posteradication: black-winged petrel, soft-plumaged petrel and red-tailed tropicbird.

331

332 **4. Discussion**

Our results clearly show that 20 years after the eradication of invasive mammals on Saint-333 Paul seabird populations were high beneficiaries. Most seabird populations grew following 334 335 eradication, and all predictions were generally confirmed. First, all seabird populations had positive growth rates, except for Indian yellow-nosed albatrosses, sooty albatrosses and 336 337 Australasian gannets. Although these three species breed in low numbers, this suggests that 338 invasive mammals had no or little negative impact of these species, or that potential population growth was counteracted by other environmental factors. At Amsterdam Island, 339 these two albatross species have been declining in the last decades (Weimerskirch et al., 340 341 2018), and declines have mainly been attributed to the impact of diseases (Rolland, Barbraud, & Weimerskirch, 2009; Jaeger et al., 2018, 2020). The stability of the sooty albatross 342 343 population at Saint-Paul over the past 50 years (Segonzac, 1972) may suggest that diseases observed at Amsterdam are not having harmful effects on this species at Saint-Paul. 344 Alternative hypotheses to explain stability in albatrosses and gannets on Saint-Paul could be 345 346 predation by mice, which are still present on the island, bycatch in longline fisheries and 347 climate (Rolland et al., 2010). Although we did not find any evidence for predation by mice

348 on chicks or adults at Saint-Paul, such predation is known to occur at other localities

349 (Cuthbert & Hilton, 2004; Dilley et al., 2015).

Second, as predicted, we found $\lambda > 1$ for species known to be vulnerable to rat predation 350 (i.e. MacGillivray's prion, fairy prion, great-winged petrel, flesh-footed shearwater, 351 subantarctic little shearwater, white-bellied storm petrel and Antarctic tern). All these species, 352 353 except great-winged petrels and flesh-footed shearwaters, were only breeding on La Quille 354 prior to the eradication of rats. Earlier seabird surveys identified little shearwater Puffinus assimilis as a breeding species on La Quille (but not on Saint Paul; Tollu, 1984). The little 355 356 shearwater is sometimes considered as conspecific with the subantarctic little shearwater. However, based on dark face and relatively large dark collar of captured birds, they were 357 considered as belonging to P. elegans (Onley & Scofield, 2007). Therefore, it is highly likely 358 that birds identified as P. assimilis breeding on La Quille belong to P. elegans (Brooke, 359 2004). Species less vulnerable to rat predation, such as albatrosses, had lower population 360 growth rates. Although there were too few counts to estimate pre- and post-eradication λ 361 (Fischer et al., 2020), colonization of Saint-Paul by species that were previously only 362 breeding on La Quille and positive post-eradication λ , clearly indicated that these species 363 highly benefited from rat eradication. 364

Our third prediction was almost confirmed. Antarctic tern had the second largest increase 365 in breeding population, behind MacGillivray's prion, but all other species had lower 366 367 population growth. Sooty tern population remained small, but the Saint-Paul breeding population is situated at the limit of the distributional range of the species, as for the 368 369 Australasian gannet (del Hoyo et al., 1992; Lequette, Berteaux, & Judas, 1995; del Hoyo, Elliott, & Sargatal, 1996). This high growth rate for Antarctic terns may result from relatively 370 lower philopatry than for Procellariiformes (Brooke et al., 2018). However, we think this is 371 unlikely since source populations were situated close to Saint-Paul Island (La Quille) for both 372

MacGillivray's prions and Antarctic terns. A more likely explanation is the higher fecundity 373 374 and shorter generation time for terns that lay two eggs, whereas Procellariiformes lay a single egg. Nevertheless, this does not explain why MacGillivray's prions had a higher growth rate 375 376 than Antarctic terns. Environmental factors may partly explain these differences contrary to expectation. During our 2018 survey we observed several (10s) remains of Antarctic terns 377 378 showing signs of predation by brown skuas, but none was observed for MacGillivray's prions. 379 If predation levels are sufficiently high this could limit population growth (Jiguet et al., 2007). Finally, we note that our extrapolation of Antarctic tern densities to the entire coastal cliffs 380 may be biased since cliffs situated on the northeast coast of the island have a different 381 382 structure than elsewhere (higher elevation, less steep).

383 The fourth prediction was confirmed, with a higher λ for all species having a relatively large source population nearby (Antarctic tern, MacGillibray's prion, great-winged petrel). 384 (Brooke et al., 2018) found some evidence that distance to and size of a source population 385 resulted in a higher λ after recolonization. Our results are coherent with this finding, since for 386 these three species source populations were larger than for the other species and were situated 387 only 150 m from Saint-Paul. Source populations for some other species (albatrosses, brown 388 skua) are situated on Amsterdam Island, 90 km north of Saint-Paul, or even much further in 389 390 south west Australia (flesh-footed shearwater). This highlights the importance of maintaining predator free islets for the conservation of seabird species, which can constitute unique 391 392 population sources following eradication of invasive mammals on larger islands nearby. 393 As predicted, we found one new breeding species for Saint-Paul that was not breeding on 394 nearby islets, the brown skua, indicating immigration probably from Amsterdam island where 395 \approx 60 pairs breed (Gamble et al., 2020). Brown skuas were breeding on Saint-Paul until the late 19th century but disappeared thereafter probably following the local extinction of prion and 396 petrel populations as well as of Amsterdam fur seals, which constituted their main prey 397

(Péron, 1824; Vélain, 1877). Incidental observations during our 2018 survey suggest that 398 399 brown skuas mainly feed on northern rockhopper penguin eggs/chicks, placenta of Amsterdam fur seals and Antarctic terns. Brown skuas have been increasing at Amsterdam 400 401 from a few breeding pairs in 1970 (Segonzac, 1972) to 63 in 2018 (unpublished data). This species was persecuted on Amsterdam until the early 1970s (Segonzac, 1972), and it is likely 402 403 that the observed recent population growth reflects a recovery from past persecution. The 404 population growth may also be partly due to the increase of the Amsterdam fur seal 405 population (Guinet, Jouventin, & Georges, 1994; Pacoureau et al., 2017). The population appears to have stabilized to around 60 breeding pairs since the early 2010s. The 406 407 recolonization of Saint-Paul by breeding skuas following the eradication of invasive mammals, may indicate that rats had negative effects on skuas through predation on chicks, 408 409 but also that the increase of food resources (Antarctic terns) due to rat eradication may have 410 directly benefited breeding skuas. Skuas may have also benefited from the increase of the Amsterdam fur seal population, although fur seals were in comparable numbers in the late 411 412 1990s as in the late 2010s (≈ 360 breeding females, Guinet et al., 1994;). We believe that the hypothesis of predation by rats is the more likely as the population of rabbits was quite high 413 414 before their eradication and would have fulfill needs for breeding skuas. Skuas breeding on Saint-Paul probably came from Amsterdam, as a group of 15 nonbreeding individuals was 415 regularly observed on Saint-Paul, including two individuals marked at Amsterdam. 416 Five species previously breeding on La Quille before eradication were newly recorded 417 breeding on Saint-Paul following eradication. These species thus now breed on the two sites, 418 419 which lower their local extinction risk. Since surveys pre-eradication were similar to those 420 performed post-eradication were are confident that the species newly found breeding on Saint-Paul represent true colonization of the island rather than species that were missed during 421 pre-eradication surveys. This is particularly the case for conspicuous species such as the 422

brown skua and terns, as well as for species performing intense and strong vocalizationsduring breeding such as prions and shearwaters.

Compared to Amsterdam Island, some breeding species on Saint-Paul showed opposite
population trends. Northern rockhopper penguin and sooty albatross showed major declines
on Amsterdam (Weimerskirch et al., 2018; Barbraud et al., 2020) whereas their populations
appeared to have increased or remained stable at Saint-Paul (Guinard, Weimerskirch, &
Jouventin, 1998; this study). Diseases are suspected to be the main cause of population
declines at Amsterdam (Jaeger et al., 2018). Therefore, our results suggest that these species
are poorly impacted by diseases at Saint-Paul.

432 The most important population increases following eradication were for prions and fleshfooted shearwaters. This was particularly clear for prions which were not breeding on Saint-433 Paul prior to rat eradication due to rat predation. Although our population estimates are 434 435 imprecise, we are quite confident in their accuracy since two independent monitoring methods gave relatively similar results for MacGillivray's prion in colony 2. The CAPTURE estimate 436 437 indicated around 740 individuals and the adaptive sampling indicated 858 burrows with signs 438 of occupation. At the time the survey was performed MacGillivray's prions were laying their egg. Thus it likely that we captured individuals that were leaving the colony for a foraging trip 439 440 at sea while their partner stayed in the burrow to incubate the egg (Warham, 1990). Consequently, our CAPTURE estimate is likely a good proxy of the number of breeding 441 pairs. Flesh-footed shearwaters also appear to have benefited from invasive mammal 442 eradication (Lavers, 2014). Earlier surveys suggested that the flesh-footed population was 443 stable between its discovery in 1970 and 1984 (Roux, 1985). Rats were probably predating 444 eggs and chicks in burrows and it is also possible that rabbits competed for burrows prior their 445 eradication. 446

The breeding status of white-bellied storm petrel could not be confirmed, as we observed two burrows with both pair members but without an egg. White-bellied storm petrels lay their egg in January (Marchant & Higgins, 1990), so it is likely that observed birds were occupying their burrow before laying. The black-bellied storm petrel captured in mist-net had an incubation pouch, so it might also being visiting the island just before laying (Marchant & Higgins, 1990). This species was also captured in late December 2017 on Saint-Paul during a brief visit to the island (H. Weimerskirch, pers. comm.).

In conclusion, monitoring of seabirds 20 years after invasive mammal eradication (rats and 454 rabbits) showed population growth of populations on a remote oceanic island of the Indian 455 456 Ocean. These findings further highlight the importance of invasive mammal eradication on islands as a conservation tool, despite the fact that our study did not include the effects of the 457 eradication on invertebrates and vegetation. Although mice were not targeted and so not 458 459 eradicated from Saint-Paul, our results suggest that their impact on seabird populations remained limited until now, although we cannot exclude they had a negative effect on 460 population growth rates through an impact on breeding success. Nevertheless, given the 461 reported negative impact of mice on seabirds elsewhere (Cuthbert & Hilton, 2004; Dilley et 462 al., 2015), we encourage eradication of mice on Saint-Paul as a conservation action to ensure 463 464 the future of seabird populations on this island. Despite increases, seabird populations on Saint-Paul are still relatively small, and therefore vulnerable to additional impacts or re-465 introduction of rats. Seabird population recovery on Saint-Paul further reinforces the 466 importance of eradicating invasive mammals (Norway rat R. norvegicus, domestic cat Felis 467 catus, house mouse) from Amsterdam Island, which is part of the 'top 10' islands ranked in 468 terms of conservation benefit to threatened birds arising from the eradication of alien 469 vertebrates (Brooke, Hilton, & Martins, 2007; Spatz et al., 2017; Holmes et al., 2019). Results 470 from Saint-Paul indicate that endangered species such as albatrosses and penguins will 471

eventually benefit from eradication of invasive mammals, but this will mainly benefit
Antarctic terns and small burrowing petrels including the endangered MacGillivray's prion.

475 Acknowledgments

We thank A. Rhumeur and L. Chambrin for assistance in the field during the 2018 survey. 476 We thank M. Authier, R. Bazire, R. Bigonneau, N. Mignot, C. Tanton, J.B. Thiebot for 477 providing incidental observations of seabirds on Saint-Paul during short visits to the island. 478 We warmly thank the crews from the ships 'La Curieuse', 'Osiris' and 'Austral' for their 479 precious help to access Saint-Paul in 2012 and 2018 and Y. Le Meur for logistic organization. 480 481 The French Polar Institute (program IPEV n°109, PI H. Weimerskirch) and Réserve Naturelle Nationale des Terres Australes Françaises (C. Marteau) logistically and financially supported 482 the study. The Comité de l'Environnement Polaire and the Ministry of Research Ethics 483 484 Committee approved protocols and activities undertaken in this project number 04939.03. We thank two anonymous reviewers for constructive comments on an earlier version of the 485 manuscript. 486

487

488 **References**

489	Barbraud,	C., Delord,	K., Bost,	C., Chaigne	e, A., Marteau	, C., &	Weimerskirch,	H. (2020	I).
-----	-----------	-------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------	---------------	----------	-----

490 Population trends of penguins in the French Southern Territories. *Polar Biol.* 43, 835-850.

491 Brooke, M. de L. (2004). Albatrosses and petrels across the world. Oxford : Oxford

492 University Press.

- 493 Brooke, M. de L., Bonnaud, E., Dilley, B. J., Flint, E. N., Holmes, N. D., Jones, H. P.,
- 494 Provost, P., Rocamora, G., Ryan, P. G., Surman, C., & Buxton, R. T. (2018). Seabird
- 495 population changes following mammal eradications on islands. *Anim. Conserv.* 21, 3–12.

- 496 Brooke, M. de L., Hilton, G., & Martins, T. (2007). Prioritizing the world's islands for
- 497 vertebrate-eradication programmes. *Anim. Conserv. 10*, 380–390.

Clavero, M., & García-Berthou, E. (2005). Invasive species are a leading cause of animal
extinctions. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 20, 110.

- 500 Croll, D. A., Newton, K. M., McKown, M., Holmes, N., Williams, J. C., Young, H. S.,
- 501 Buckelew, S., Wolf, C. A., Howald, G., & Bock, M. F. (2016). Passive recovery of an
- island bird community after rodent eradication. *Biol. Invasions* 18, 703–715.
- 503 Cuthbert, R., & Hilton, G. (2004). Introduced house mice *Mus musculus*: a significant
- predator of threatened and endemic birds on Gough Island, South Atlantic Ocean? *Biol.*
- 505 *Cons.* 117, 483–489.
- del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., Sargatal, J., & Christie, D. (1992). *Handbook of the Birds of the World*. Vol. 1. Barcelona: Lynx Edicions.
- del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A., & Sargatal, J. (1996). *Handbook of the birds of the world*. Vol.3.
 Barcelona: Lynx Edicions.
- 510 DIISE. (2018). The Database of Island Invasive Species Eradications, developed by Island
- 511 Conservation, Coastal Conservation Action Laboratory UCSC, IUCN SSC Invasive
- 512 Species Specialist Group, University of Auckland and Landcare Research New Zealand.
- 513 Available at http://diise.
- Dilley, B. J., Davies, D., Bond, A. L., & Ryan, P. G. (2015). Effects of mouse predation on
 burrowing petrel chicks at Gough Island. *Antarct. Sci.* 27, 543-553.
- 516 Fischer, J., Taylor, G., Cole, R., Debski, I., Armstrong, D., & Wittmer, H. (2020). Population
- 517 growth estimates of a threatened seabird indicate necessity for additional management
- following invasive predator eradications. *Anim. Conserv.* 23, 94–103.
- 519 Gamble, A., Bazire, R., Delord, K., Barbraud, C., Jaeger, A., Gantelet, H., Thibault, E.,
- 520 Lebarbenchon, C., Lagadec, E., & Tortosa, P. (2020). Predator and scavenger movements

- among and within endangered seabird colonies: opportunities for pathogen spread. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 57, 367–378.
- 523 Guinard, E., Weimerskirch, H., & Jouventin, P. (1998). Population changes and demography
- 524 of the Northern Rockhopper Penguin on Amsterdam and Saint Paul islands. *Colon.*
- 525 *Waterbird.* 21, 222–228.
- 526 Guinet, C., Jouventin, P., & Georges, J.-Y. (1994). Long term population changes of fur seals
- 527 Arctocephalus gazella and Arctocephalus tropicalis on subantarctic (Crozet) and
- subtropical (St. Paul and Amsterdam) islands and their possible relationship to El Nino
- 529 Southern Oscillation. *Antarct. Sci.* 6, 473–478.
- Holmes, N. D., Spatz, D. R., Oppel, S., Tershy, B., Croll, D. A., Keitt, B., Genovesi, P.,
- 531 Burfield, I. J., Will, D. J., & Bond, A. L. (2019). Globally important islands where
- eradicating invasive mammals will benefit highly threatened vertebrates. *PLoS One 14*,
 e0212128.
- Jaeger, A., Gamble, A., Lagadec, E., Lebarbenchon, C., Bourret, V., Tornos, J., Barbraud, C.,
- 535 Lemberger, K., Delord, K., & Weimerskirch, H. (2020). Impact of annual bacterial
- epizootics on albatross population on a remote island. *EcoHealth* 17, 194-202.
- 537 Jaeger, A., Lebarbenchon, C., Bourret, V., Bastien, M., Lagadec, E., Thiebot, J.-B., Boulinier,
- 538 T., Delord, K., Barbraud, C., Marteau, C., Dellagi, K., Tortosa, P., & Weimerskirch, H.
- 539 (2018). Avian cholera outbreaks threaten seabird species on Amsterdam Island. *PloS One*540 *13*, e0197291.
- 541 Jiguet, F., Robert, A., Micol, T., & Barbraud, C. (2007). Quantifying stochastic and
- 542 deterministic threats to island seabirds: last endemic prions face extinction from falcon
- 543 peregrinations. Anim. Conserv. 10, 245–253.
- Jones, H. P., Holmes, N. D., Butchart, S. H., Tershy, B. R., Kappes, P. J., Corkery, I.,
- 545 Aguirre-Muñoz, A., Armstrong, D. P., Bonnaud, E., & Burbidge, A. A. (2016). Invasive

- 546 mammal eradication on islands results in substantial conservation gains. *Proc. Natl Acad.*
- 547 *Sci. USA 113*, 4033–4038.
- 548 Kier, G., Kreft, H., Lee, T. M., Jetz, W., Ibisch, P. L., Nowicki, C., Mutke, J., & Barthlott, W.
- (2009). A global assessment of endemism and species richness across island and mainland
 regions. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 106*, 9322–9327.
- 551 Lavers, J. L. (2014). Population status and threats to Flesh-footed Shearwaters (Puffinus
- carneipes) in South and Western Australia. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 72, 316–327.
- 553 Lavers, J. L., Wilcox, C., & Donlan, C. J. (2010). Bird demographic responses to predator
- removal programs. *Biol. Invasions* 12, 3839–3859.
- Lequette, B., Berteaux, D., & Judas, J. (1995). Presence and first breeding attempts of
- southern Gannets *Morus capensis* and *M. serrator* at Saint Paul Island, Southern Indian
 Ocean. *Emu 95*, 134–137.
- Marchant, S., & Higgins, P. J. (1990). *Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic birds*. Melbourne: Oxford University Press.
- 560 Micol, T., & Jouventin, P. (2002). Eradication of rats and rabbits from Saint-Paul Island,
- 561 French Southern territories. In *Turning the tide: the eradication of invasive species*: 199-
- 562 205. Veitch, C.R. and Clout, M.N. (Eds.). Gland: IUCN SSC Invasive Species Specialist
 563 Group.
- 564 Onley, D., & Scofield, P. (2007). *Albatrosses, petrels & shearwaters of the world*. Princeton :
 565 Princeton University Press.
- 566 Pacoureau, N., Authier, M., Delord, K., Guinet, C., & Barbraud, C. (2017). Early-life density-
- 567 dependence effects on growth and survival in subantarctic fur seals. *Pop. Ecol.* 59, 139–
- 568 155.

- 569 Péron, F. (1824). Mémoires du Capitaine Péron: sur ses voyages aux côtes d'Afrique, en
- 570 Arabie, à l'île d'Amsterdam, aux iles d'Anjouan et de Mayotte, aux côtes Nord-Ouest de

571 *l'Amérique, aux iles Sandwich, à la Chine, etc.* Paris: Brissot-Thivars.

- 572 Rolland, V., Barbraud, C., & Weimerskirch, H. (2009). Assessing the impact of fisheries,
- 573 climate and disease on the dynamics of the Indian yellow-nosed Albatross. *Biol. Cons.*

574 *142*, 1084–1095.

- Rolland, V., Weimerskirch, H., & Barbraud, C. (2010). Relative influence of fisheries and
 climate on the demography of four albatross species. *Glob. Change Biol.* 16, 1910-1922.
- 577 Roux, J. (1985). Le statut du puffin a pieds pales (*Puffinus carneipes*) a l'Ile Saint-Paul (38
- 578 43'S, 77 30'E). *Oiseau R.F.O.* 55, 155–157.
- Segonzac, M. (1972). Données récentes sur la faune des Iles Saint-Paul et Nouvelle
 Amsterdam. *Oiseau R.F.O.* 42, 3-68.
- 581 Spatz, D. R., Holmes, N. D., Reguero, B. G., Butchart, S. H., Tershy, B. R., & Croll, D. A.
- 582 (2017). Managing invasive mammals to conserve globally threatened seabirds in a

changing climate. *Conserv. Lett.* 10, 736–747.

- Szabo, J. K., Khwaja, N., Garnett, S. T., & Butchart, S. H. (2012). Global patterns and drivers
 of avian extinctions at the species and subspecies level. *PloS One 7*, e47080.
- Taylor, R. H., & Thomas, B. W. (1993). Rats eradicated from rugged Breaksea island (170
- 587 ha), Fiordland, New Zealand. *Biol. Cons.* 65, 191–198.
- 588 Tershy, B. R., Shen, K.-W., Newton, K. M., Holmes, N. D., & Croll, D. A. (2015). The
- importance of islands for the protection of biological and linguistic diversity. *Bioscience*65, 592–597.
- 591 Thiebot, J.-B., Barbraud, C., Scofield, R. P., Cherel, Y., & Bretagnolle, V. (2010). New petrel
- records on Île Saint-Paul, southern Indian Ocean. *Notornis* 57, 50–53.
- 593 Thompson, S. (1992). *Sampling*. New York: Wiley.

- 594 Thompson, S., & Seber, G. (1996). *Adaptive sampling*. New York: Wiley.
- 595 Tollu, B. (1984). La Quille (Ile St Paul, Océan Indien), sanctuaire de populations relictes.

596 Oiseau R.F.O. 54, 79–85.

- 597 Torr, N. (2002). Eradication of rabbits and mice from subantarctic Enderby and Rose Islands.
- 598 In *Turning the tide: the eradication of invasive species*: 319-328. Veitch, C.R. and Clout,
- 599 M.N. (Eds.). Gland: IUCN SSC Invasive Species Specialist Group.
- Towns, D. (2018). Understanding seabird responses to invasive mammal eradications from
 islands needs systematic monitoring. *Anim. Conserv. 21*, 15–16.
- 602 Vélain, C. (1877). Expédition Française aux îles Saint-Paul et Amsterdam. Observations
- 603 générales sur la faune des deux îles suivies d'une description des mollusques. Archives de
- 604 *Zoologie Expérimentale et Générale* 6, 1–143.
- Warham, J. (1990). *The petrels: their ecology and breeding systems*. London: Academic
 Press.
- 607 Weimerskirch, H., Delord, K., Barbraud, C., Le Bouard, F., Ryan, P. G., Fretwell, P., &
- Marteau, C. (2018). Status and trends of albatrosses in the french southern territories,
- 609 western indian ocean. *Polar Biol.* 41, 1963–1972.

610

611

Table 1

Samplir	ng design	Enumerat	tion method	Target situation	Species	
Pre-eradication	Post-eradication	Pre-eradication	Post-eradication			
Systematic sampling	Adaptive cluster sampling	Complete counts	Complete counts	Elusive, low density	Burrowing petrels	
Systematic sampling	Random sampling	Complete counts Capture-recapture	Complete counts Capture-recapture	Elusive, high density Elusive, high density	Prions Prions	
Entire sampling	Entire sampling	Complete counts	Incomplete counts	Elusive, high density	Flesh-footed shearwater	
Entire sampling	Entire sampling	Complete counts	Complete counts	Conspicuous, surface- nesting	Northern rockhopper penguin, albatrosses, brown skua, sooty tern, Australasian gannet	
Systematic sampling	Systematic sampling	Complete counts	Complete counts	Conspicuous, surface- nesting	Antarctic tern	

614 Sampling design and enumeration methods used to survey seabirds on Saint-Paul Island.

615 **Table 2**

616 Numbers of breeding pairs or burrows with signs of occupation before or at the time of the eradication program (N_{init}) and during the 2018 survey

617 (N_{2018}) of seabirds breeding on Saint-Paul Island (excluding La Quille islet). λ is the annual population growth rate estimated from N_{init} and N_{2018}

618 (see Methods). N_{LaQuille} indicates the estimated number of breeding pairs on La Quille nearby Saint-Paul.

Species	Counted unit	Ninit (year)	Source for N _{init}	N ₂₀₁₈	λ	N _{LaQuille}
Northern rockhopper penguin	Chicks	2526 ^a	Guinard <i>et al.</i> , 1998	3942 ^a	/	0
		(1993)				
Indian yellow-nosed albatross	Breeding pairs	5 (1997)	C Barbraud pers. obs.	1	0.93	0
Sooty albatross	Breeding pairs	20 (1971)	Segonzac, 1972	20	1.00	0
Prions	Burrows	0 (1997)	Micol & Jouventin, 2002	1132 (573-1690) ^b	>1 ^h	160 ¹
Great-winged petrel	Burrows	3 (1997)	C Barbraud pers. obs.	91 (53-128) ^c	1.18	$40-60^2$
Flesh-footed shearwater	Burrows	629 (1985)	Roux, 1985	2002 (1733-2271) ^d	1.04	0
Subantarctic little shearwater	Burrows	0 (1997)	C Barbraud pers. obs.	29 (27-30) ^e	>1	Few ¹
White-bellied storm petrel	Burrows	0 (1997)	C Barbraud pers. obs.	8 (4-11)	>1	Few ¹
Brown skua	Breeding pairs	0 (1997)	C Barbraud pers. obs.	8^{f}	>1	0
Antarctic tern	Breeding pairs	0 (1997)	C Barbraud pers. obs.	531 (355-683) ^g	>1	200^{3}
Sooty tern	Breeding pairs	0 (1997)	C Barbraud pers. obs.	2	>1	1^{1}
Australasian gannet	Breeding pairs	2 (1993)	Lequette et al., 1995	2	1.00	0

^a estimates are numbers of chicks counted before fledging. N_{init} was estimated from Guinard et al., (1998) based on a breeding success of 28% and a number of breeding pairs of 9023.

^b estimated from a total number of burrows of prions with signs of occupation obtained from adaptive cluster sampling (i.e. 21) and stratified

^c estimated from a total number of burrows with signs of occupation obtained from adaptive cluster sampling and assuming burrows of mediumsize petrels belonged to great-winged petrels.

^c estimated from a total number of burrows with signs of occupation obtained from adaptive cluster sampling (i.e. 74) and entire sampling (i.e.

1928). Note that the N_{init} estimate does not account for burrow detection probability. λ estimated using the observed number of burrows in 2018

627 (1484, i.e. without correction for detection probability) was 1.03.

⁶²² random sampling (i.e. 1112)..

- ^e estimated from a total number of burrows with signs of occupation obtained from adaptive cluster sampling and random sampling.
- ^{629 f}includes all territorial pairs (breeding and nonbreeding).
- ^g estimated from the extrapolated numbers obtained from the coastal transects (i.e. 526) and numbers estimated in the caldera (i.e. 5).
- ^h indicates positive growth rate that could not be explicitly calculated since $N_{init} = 0$.
- 632 ¹Tollu (1984); ²Micol & Jouventin (2002); ³Segonzac (1972).

633 **Table 3**

634 Seabird species observed on land at Saint-Paul Island (excluding La Quille) during surveys or as incidental observations. B: confirmed breeder,

635	i.e. seen with an egg or a	a chick; NB: nonbreeder;	B*: probable	breeder, i.e.	seen in a burrow l	but without an egg	or chick or defending a terr	itory.
	00	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,		00	0	2

Common name	Scientific name	Presence pre-	Breeding status	Presence post-	Breeding status
		eradication		eradication	
Northern rockhopper penguin	Eudyptes moseleyi	Х	В	Х	В
Amsterdam albatross	Diomedea amsterdamensis	Х	NB	Х	NB
Indian yellow-nosed albatross	Thalassarche carteri	Х	В	Х	В
Sooty albatross	Phoebetria fusca	Х	В	Х	В
Northern giant petrel	Macronectes halli	Х	NB	Х	NB
Grey petrel	Procellaria cinerea	Х	Unknown	Х	B*
Great winged petrel	Pterodroma macroptera	Х	В	Х	В
Black-winged petrel	Pterodroma nigripennis			Х	NB
Soft-plumaged petrel	Pterodroma mollis			Х	NB
MacGillivray's prion	Pachyptila macgillivrayi			Х	В
Fairy prion	Pachyptila turtur			Х	В
Flesh-footed shearwater	Puffinus carneipes	Х	В	Х	В
Subantarctic little shearwater	Puffinus elegans			Х	В
White-bellied storm petrel	Fregetta grallaria			Х	B*
Black-bellied storm petrel	Fregetta tropica			Х	Unknown
Australasian gannet	Morus serrator	Х	В	Х	В
Brown skua	Stercorarius antarcticus	Х	NB	Х	В
Sooty tern	Sterna fuscata			Х	B*
Antarctic tern	Sterna vittata	Х	NB	Х	В
Red-tailed tropicbird	Phaeton rubricauda			Х	NB

636 Sources: Segonzac, 1972; Tollu, 1984; Roux, 1985; Guinard et al., 1998; Micol & Jouventin, 2002; Thiebot et al., 2010

Fig. 1. Map showing Saint Paul Island and the sampling points from the adaptive cluster





Fig. 2. Map showing the localization of breeding penguins, albatrosses, terns, skuas, and



651 gannets on Saint Paul Island in 2018.

Fig. 3. Map showing the localization of breeding burrowing petrels, shearwaters and storm



petrels on Saint Paul Island in 2018.

