

An unshakable carbon budget for the Himalaya

Lena Märki, Maarten Lupker, Christian France-Lanord, Jérôme Lavé, Sean Gallen, Ananta Gajurel, Negar Haghipour, Fanny Leuenberger-West, Timothy Eglinton

▶ To cite this version:

Lena Märki, Maarten Lupker, Christian France-Lanord, Jérôme Lavé, Sean Gallen, et al.. An unshakable carbon budget for the Himalaya. Nature Geoscience, 2021, 14, pp.745-750. 10.1038/s41561-021-00815-z. hal-03350217

HAL Id: hal-03350217

https://hal.science/hal-03350217

Submitted on 10 Oct 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

12	An unshakable carbon budget for the Himalaya
13	
14	
15	Lena Märki *
16	Geological Institute, ETH Zürich, Switzerland
17	lena.maerki@alumni.ethz.ch
18	
19	Maarten Lupker
20	Geological Institute, ETH Zürich, Switzerland
21	maarten.lupker@erdw.ethz.ch
22	
23	Christian France-Lanord
24	Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques, CNRS – Université de Lorraine, France
25	cfl@crpg.cnrs-nancy.fr
26	
27	Jérôme Lavé
28	Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques, CNRS – Université de Lorraine, France
29	jlave@crpg.cnrs-nancy.fr
30	
31	Sean Gallen
32	Department of Geosciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, USA
33	sean.gallen@colostate.edu
34	
35	Ananta P. Gajurel
36	Department of Geology, Tribhuvan University, Nepal
37	apgajurel@gmail.com
38	
39	Negar Haghipour
40	Geological Institute, ETH Zürich, Switzerland
41 42	Ion Beam Physics, ETH Zürich, Switzerland
42	negar.haghipour@erdw.ethz.ch
43	Farmy Layranhayaay Wast
44 45	Fanny Leuenberger-West Geological Institute, ETH Zürich, Switzerland
45 46	Ifanny@retired.ethz.ch
46 47	namy@retneu.etnz.tn
47 48	Timothy Eglinton
49	Geological Institute, ETH Zürich, Switzerland
5 0	timothy.eglinton@erdw.ethz.ch

51 An unshakable carbon budget for the Himalaya

- Lena Märki¹, Maarten Lupker¹, Christian France-Lanord², Jérôme Lavé², Sean Gallen³, Ananta
- P. Gajurel⁴, Negar Haghipour^{1,5}, Fanny Leuenberger-West¹, Timothy Eglinton¹
- ¹Geological Institute, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland.
- ² Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques (CRPG), CNRS Université de
- 56 Lorraine, Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy, France.

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

- 57 Department of Geosciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, USA.
- 58 ⁴ Department of Geology, Tribhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- 59 ⁵ Ion Beam Physics, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland.

The erosion and weathering of mountain ranges exert a key control on the long-term (10⁵-10⁶ yr) cycling of carbon between the Earth's surface and the crust. The net carbon budget of a mountain range reflects the co-existence of multiple carbon sources and sinks, with corresponding fluxes remaining difficult to quantify. Uncertain responses of these carbon fluxes due to the stochastic nature of erosional processes further complicates the extrapolation of short-term observations to longer, climatically relevant timescales. Here, we quantify the evolution of the organic and inorganic carbon fluxes in response to the 2015 Gorkha earthquake (Mw 7.8) in the Central Himalaya. We find that the Himalayan erosion acts as a net carbon sink mainly due to efficient biospheric organic carbon export. Our highresolution time-series encompassing four monsoon seasons before and after the Gorkha earthquake, reveal that coseismic landslides did not significantly perturb large-scale Himalayan sediment and carbon fluxes. This muted response of the Central Himalaya to a geologically-frequent perturbation such as the Gorkha earthquake further suggests that our estimates are representative of at least interglacial timescales.

Mountain building and associated erosion are key players in the cycling of carbon between the reservoirs of the Earth's surface and the crust, controlling the long-term evolution of the global climate. The weathering of silicate minerals coupled to carbonate precipitation in the oceans, as well as the erosion, riverine transport and burial of biospheric Organic Carbon (OC) on continental margins represent major carbon sinks with respect to the Earth's surface^{1,2}. While both mechanisms are enhanced in highly erosive environments such as the Himalaya^{3–5}, their relative importance remains unclear. Enhanced silicate weathering rates associated with the uplift of the Himalaya were initially suggested as the main driver of the global Cenozoic cooling⁶, but more recent work shows the importance of the organic pathway in the Himalayan carbon budget^{7,8}.

Globally, these carbon sinks are counterbalanced by CO₂ emissions from volcanic activity and metamorphic reactions, the latter constituting an important carbon source in the Central Himalaya⁹. While these carbon sources are not directly related to erosion, other, yet poorly constrained, mechanisms of carbon release during erosion have been identified. The oxidation of rock-derived organic carbon^{1,10} and sulfuric acid-mediated weathering of carbonate rocks¹¹ have the potential to offset the previously mentioned carbon sinks⁵. Chemical weathering in the Central Himalaya is dominated by the dissolution of carbonates¹², and it has been shown that the sulfide oxidation coupled to carbonate weathering is likely an important carbon source in this environment^{12–14}. Defining the carbon budget of Himalayan erosion, therefore, requires quantification and comparison of all of the above-mentioned sources and sinks.

While the carbon fluxes associated with the erosion of various mountain ranges have been estimated^{5,15}, their integration over longer timescales remains challenging due to the

stochastic nature of erosional processes dominating sediment and solute fluxes in most mountainous landscapes. This is especially important regarding the proposition that extreme events such as earthquakes or floods could dominate the long-term budget of erosional carbon fluxes^{16,17}. Large earthquakes, coupled with coseismic landsliding, in particular, have been shown to increase the riverine OC export^{18,19}, and fresh landslide scars can serve as loci for enhanced chemical weathering rates of silicates and sulfide oxidation^{20,21}.

In the tectonically active Central Himalaya, major earthquakes are geologically-frequent events with return times of 250-500 years²². As these events are still rare on an observational timescale, their impact on the carbon budget of the Himalaya is unknown. In April 2015, the Central Nepalese Himalaya was hit by the Mw 7.8 Gorkha earthquake and several strong to major aftershocks²³. The fault rupture caused > 25,000 coseismic landslides in the steep valleys of the Upper Himalaya²⁴ (Fig. 1).

In this study, we assess the net carbon budget (organic and inorganic sources and sinks) of erosion in the Narayani catchment in the Central Himalaya. Our set of daily suspended sediment and water samples of the Narayani River during the monsoon seasons of a preearthquake year (2010) and three years following the Gorkha earthquake (2015-2017) provides a unique opportunity to evaluate the influence of such an extreme event on the carbon budget of a large portion of the Himalaya. It also allows us to refine the carbon budget of Himalayan erosion by evaluating the magnitude of the main carbon sinks and sources associated with the erosion of the Himalaya.

Organic carbon export and chemical weathering time-series

With a catchment area of 32,000 km², the Narayani river basin covers around a third of the Nepalese Himalaya (Fig. 1). Our sampling station lies at the Himalayan front, near Narayanghat, shortly before the Narayani enters the Gangetic floodplain. The Indian Summer Monsoon (June-September) dominates the precipitation regime in the catchment and is responsible for around 80% of the annual water discharge 25 . The Narayani River annually exports around 100 Mt of sediments to the Gangetic floodplain (equivalent to ca. 1.6 mm/yr of erosion), around 95% of which is transported during the monsoon season 26,27 . The Gorkha earthquake, whose epicenter was located in the Narayani basin, heavily impacted the eastern part of the catchment with coseismic landslides, while the western part was mostly spared (Fig. 1). Coseismic landslides mobilized a total of ca. 0.20 ± 0.01 km³ material in the Narayani catchment, which is around four times the interseismic annual volume of sediment yield of the Narayani, and equivalent to an average surface lowering over the entire catchment of ca. 6.3 ± 0.4 mm (Methods). The Narayani provides an ideal catchment area for studying the effect of such an extreme event at a scale large enough to be of global importance.

With our daily suspended sediment and water samples, we calculate the river suspended sediment yield, and the carbon fluxes linked to erosion of successive monsoon seasons. Records of total discharge and sediment yield (²⁷; Department of Hydrology and Meteorology of Nepal (DHM); this study) of the Narayani from 8 years before the earthquake, reveal that the monsoon in 2015, which started shortly after the Gorkha earthquake, was particularly weak (Fig. 2). Despite the active coseismic landsliding in the catchment²⁴, the total sediment yield of the Narayani does not reveal a detectable earthquake signal and falls within

the observed trends before 2015. Sediment fluxes (relative to monsoon discharge), however, peaked a year later. This peak in sediment yield is likely attributable to a delayed response to the Gorkha earthquake and the reactivation or mobilization of landslide material that had not previously connected to the fluvial network²⁴. However, this high sediment yield disappears in 2017 despite an equally intense monsoon. As landslide rates have been shown to decay to background values in 1-4 years after a large earthquake²⁸, we interpret that the Gorkha earthquake signal dissipated by 2017.

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

To constrain the fluxes of biospheric OC (OC_{bio}, fresh OC derived from soils and plant debris) export, we measured the total organic carbon (TOC) content and the radiogenic (14C) isotopic compositions of OC in riverine suspended sediment samples (Methods). The TOC content of the suspended sediments from the Narayani varies between 0.04 and 0.99 % and the fraction modern (Fm) of the OC spans a large range, varying between 0.27 and 0.91 (Extended Data Fig. 3). Our high-resolution time-series (with measurements up to every second day) reveal a large variability in TOC concentrations and ¹⁴C signatures of suspended sediments. For disentangling the OC_{bio} and petrogenic OC (OC_{petro}, rock-derived OC) inputs, we employ a binary mixing model using the TOC and the radiocarbon signatures of the bulk samples as well as a biospheric and a petrogenic end-member (Methods and Extended Data Fig. 3). Soil samples from the Narayani catchment mostly reflect a recent biospheric endmember (Fm 1.1 - 0.9), whereas the petrogenic end-member was assigned an Fm value of 0. Hydrodynamic processes do not significantly affect OC concentrations within the water column in large and turbulent Himalayan river systems²⁹, including the Narayani (Supplementary Information). We can, therefore, confidently assume that suspended sediment samples collected from surface waters are representative of the OC_{bio} content in the whole water column. Using the measured daily sediment load and discharge data from the DHM, we are able to estimate the total amount of OC_{bio} exported by the Narayani River during a monsoon season and upscale it to a year (Methods).

Major ion concentrations measured in filtered river water (every day for the monsoon 2010; every second day for monsoons 2015-2017) provide estimates for silicate and carbonate weathering rates by carbonic and sulfuric acid, respectively. We calculate the relative amounts of silicate and carbonate weathering using a forward Monte Carlo approach with known elemental ratios of the weathering products of the main lithologies of the Central Himalaya 12,13 (Methods). We consider the CO_2 removal over timescales $>10^4$ yr for which one mole of carbon is removed from the Earth's surface through carbonate precipitation per mole of Ca and Mg derived from silicate weathering by carbonic acid² (Ca_{sii} and Ca_{sii}). The carbon source through carbonate weathering by sulfuric acid is calculated using the Ca_{sii} concentration and the known ratio of carbonate to silicate weathering (Methods). In Himalayan rivers, the proportion of Ca_{sii} derived from sulfide oxidation has been estimated to 60-100% (Ca_{sii}). Per mole of carbonates weathered by sulfuric acid, half a mole of carbon is released to the atmosphere on timescales of Ca_{sii} and Ca_{sii} or the mole of carbonates of Ca_{sii} and Ca_{sii} or the mole of carbonates

To complement our analysis of the carbon budget of Himalayan erosion, we estimate the OC_{petro} oxidation rate in the Narayani basin with Rhenium (Re) data from the literature. Dissolved Re concentrations serve as a proxy to trace the fluxes of carbon released through rock-derived carbon oxidation^{10,30}. Compiling Re concentrations of Himalayan rivers and sediments^{30–33} allows us to provide a first-order estimate of the carbon flux released through

 OC_{petro} oxidation in the Central Himalaya (Methods). It should, however, be noted that these estimates do not allow us to derive annually resolved OC_{petro} oxidation fluxes estimates since Re was not directly measured in our samples.

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

184

185

186

Carbon fluxes over four monsoon seasons

The fraction of OC_{bio} in the total sediment load of the Narayani is diluted with increasing runoff (Fig. 3a), which has been proposed to result from a lower degree of soil erosion relative to mass wasting during the monsoon²⁷. At higher discharge the concentration of OC_{bio} remains constant despite changing runoff, which suggests that the OC_{bio} is supply-limited, similar to the total sediment load in the Narayani^{26,27}. The slightly higher concentrations of OC_{bio} in the year 2015 could be associated with material evacuated from coseismic landslides or could alternatively be linked to the lower sediment load during this weak monsoon season. The unusually large sediment yield observed in 2016 (Fig. 4a) is accompanied by a substantially higher OC_{bio} export compared to the other years on record (Fig. 4b). This ca. 85% increase in OC_{bio} export (Source Data Fig. 4) combined with a ca. 130% higher than expected sediment yield (from the linear relationship between the total discharge and the monsoonal sediment yield, Fig. 2) is likely a delayed manifestation of the coseismic landslides associated with the Gorkha earthquake. However, this earthquake signal in the total sediment and the OCbio export 2016 of the Narayani disappears a year later, suggesting that significant portions of the landslide sediments are either not or only very slowly evacuated.

As reported in previous studies, our results show that chemical weathering in the Central Himalaya is dominated by carbonates, with around 10% of the cations being derived from silicate weathering 12,34,35 . The calculated Ca_{sil} and Mg_{sil} concentrations do not significantly vary between the different years (Fig. 3b). The concentration of SO_4^{2-} coupled with carbonate weathering, displays a typical dilution pattern that has been described for anion concentrations in the Himalaya 12,35 (Fig. 3d). When summing up these carbon fluxes per monsoon season (Fig. 4c and 4d), we observe that the carbon source through sulfuric acid weathering is ~2.5 times higher than the carbon sink through silicate weathering, which lies in the same order of magnitude as recently proposed 14 . Both of these carbon fluxes did not significantly increase after the Gorkha earthquake at the scale of the Narayani basin.

Minor earthquake impact on the Himalayan carbon budget

Our data suggest that, in contrast to other seismically impacted systems, the Central Himalaya had a muted response to the Gorkha earthquake. The Mw 7.9 earthquake that struck Wenchuan in 2008 resulted in widespread coseismic landsliding³⁶, increased riverine sediment fluxes³⁷ and doubled OC_{bio} export over four years after the earthquake¹⁸. Similar to the Narayani, the catchment studied after the Wenchuan earthquake was only partly affected by coseismic landslides (0.14 and 0.27% (37) of the respective catchment areas). Furthermore, sediment cores from a mountain lake in the Southern Alps of New Zealand revealed that over the last ~1000 yr large earthquakes were responsible for 27% of the sediment flux³⁸ and 43% of the OC_{bio} export¹⁹ in its catchment.

Despite similar moment magnitudes, the coseismic landslides after Wenchuan earthquake mobilized a substantially larger volume of material (~2.3 km³ (³6)) than the total landsliding associated with the Gorkha earthquake (~0.8 km³ (²²4)). In addition, the landslide density in the Central Himalaya during the year 2015 was only 4-6 times higher relative to that during interseismic periods³9. This increase in landslide density following the Gorkha earthquake was significantly lower than the 22 times higher landslide density in the year after an earthquake of similar strength in 1999 in Taiwan²8. While the relatively weak earthquake impact on landsliding rates in the Central Himalaya can partly be linked to the seismic rupture characteristics⁴0, the short major earthquake recurrence²² and high density of interseismic landsliding³9 result in a landscape that is in quasi-equilibrium with frequent mass wasting. The addition of coseismic landslide material equivalent to around four years of interseismic erosion in the Narayani catchment was therefore likely not sufficient to significantly perturb the geochemical fluxes in this highly erosive system. The magnitude of a perturbation relative to background conditions exerts thus an important influence on the system's response.

Earthquakes with a larger moment magnitude than the Mw 7.8 Gorkha earthquake trigger more landslides³⁶ but the long recurrence-time of great (Mw >9) earthquakes in the Himalaya, which is on the order millennia⁴¹, means that they do not dominate the long-term erosion budget³⁹. We similarly assume that long-term carbon fluxes associated with erosion processes in the Central Himalaya would not be significantly impacted by larger and less frequent earthquakes compared to Gorkha.

The net carbon budget of the Central Himalayan erosion

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

Integrating the mean carbon fluxes at the Himalayan outlet constrained for four years reveals that the Central Himalayan erosion acts as a net carbon sink with respect to the Earth's surface (Fig. 4e). Due to relatively low interannual variability and stability with respect to seismic perturbations, we propose that these Himalayan carbon fluxes are representative for long-term periods with relatively stable climatic conditions, such as interglacials. The magnitude of carbon removal through OC_{bio} export (and subsequent burial) is around ten times larger than the sink resulting from silicate weathering (and subsequent carbonate precipitation). This finding further underlines the predominant role of the organic carbon cycle in the Himalaya^{7,8}. Moreover, our results demonstrate that the relative contribution of OC_{bio} export versus silicate weathering to the carbon sink at the outlet of the Himalaya is higher than previously found in Neogene sediments of the Bay of Bengal^{7,42}. As highlighted for other mountain ranges⁵, the oxidative weathering of OC_{petro} and sulfuric acid weathering of carbonates are important carbon sources that must be included in the net carbon budget of the Himalayan erosion. The comparison of the OC_{petro} oxidation flux with the calculated riverine export of OC_{petro} suggests that ca. 36% of the total OC in the bedrock is lost to oxidation within the catchment (Supplementary Information). This oxidation rate remains lower than that previously calculated for Taiwan⁴³.

Overall, these results provide additional insights into the erosional carbon budget of a tectonically active and highly erosive mountain range. We emphasize that carbon fluxes were calculated for the outlet of the Himalayan front, from where the solutes and sediments transit through the Gangetic floodplain before being discharged in the Bay of Bengal. It has been

shown that Himalayan OC_{bio} is oxidized and replaced during floodplain transit⁸. Nevertheless, the constant OC concentration of sediments during transport and the high OC burial efficiency in the Bay of Bengal⁴² imply that the OC burial flux is unaffected by floodplain transfer. In contrast, chemical weathering rates have been shown to double from the Himalayan front to the Indian Ocean^{13,44}. Further weathering of carbonates by sulfuric acid during floodplain transfer is probably small given that sulfides oxidize readily upon exposure at the surface in the upland areas^{14,45} and are not detected in the sediment load downstream in the Gangetic floodplain⁴⁶. At the same time, oxidation rates of rock-derived OC are likely to increase in the Ganges by 50-70% (⁴⁷). Hence, while some of the carbon fluxes likely increase during the transport through the Gangetic floodplain, the overall carbon budget from the Central Himalaya is unlikely to be significantly shifted in one direction.

This unique time-series dataset from before and after the 2015 Gorkha earthquake allows us to evaluate the impact of an extreme event on the carbon fluxes of the Central Himalayan erosion. Unlike previously studied systems^{18,19}, Himalayan carbon fluxes were not significantly perturbed by a major earthquake. This budget reveals that the erosion of a large Himalayan catchment acts as a net carbon sink, although this sink is smaller than previously thought^{6–8} and reflects the complex interplay of processes that must be considered in developing net erosional carbon budgets. Due to relatively low interannual variability, Himalayan carbon fluxes can be integrated on timescales of at least interglacials. While the export and burial rates of OC_{bio}, as well as chemical weathering rates in the Ganges-Brahmaputra basin, both fluctuate on glacial-interglacial timescales^{48,49}, it has recently been

shown that erosion rates in this basin remained relatively stable during the late Cenozoic⁵⁰. As erosion exerts a primary control on carbon fluxes⁵, we speculate that our carbon budget for the Central Himalaya may be relevant on late Cenozoic timescales representing a long-term net carbon sink.

294

295

296

297

290

291

292

293

Data availability

All data analyzed in this study are available in the Research Collection of ETH Zurich at http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11850/487683.

298

299

References

- 300 1. Berner, R. A. & Canfield, D. E. A new model for atmospheric oxygen over Phanerozoic time. *Am. J. Sci.* **289**, 333–361 (1989).
- Walker, J. C. G., Hays, P. B. & Kasting, J. F. A negative feedback mechanism for the longterm stabilization of Earth's surface temperature. *J. Geophys. Res. Ocean.* **86**, 9776–9782 (1981).
- 305 3. West, A. J. Thickness of the chemical weathering zone and implications for erosional and climatic drivers of weathering and for carbon-cycle feedbacks. *Geology* **40**, 811–814 (2012).
- Galy, V., Peucker-Ehrenbrink, B. & Eglinton, T. Global carbon export from the terrestrial
 biosphere controlled by erosion. *Nature* 521, 204–207 (2015).

- 310 5. Hilton, R. G. & West, A. J. Mountains, erosion and the carbon cycle. *Nat. Rev. Earth*
- 311 Environ. **1**, 284–299 (2020).
- 312 6. Raymo, M. E. & Ruddiman, W. F. Tectonic forcing of late Cenozoic climate. *Nature* **357**,
- 313 57–59 (1992).
- 7. France-Lanord, C. & Derry, L. A. Organic carbon burial forcing of the carbon cycle from
- 315 Himalayan erosion. *Nature* **390**, 65–67 (1997).
- 316 8. Galy, V., France-Lanord, C. & Lartiges, B. Loading and fate of particulate organic carbon
- from the Himalaya to the Ganga-Brahmaputra delta. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 72,
- 318 1767–1787 (2008).
- 9. Evans, M. J., Derry, L. A. & France-Lanord, C. Degassing of metamorphic carbon dioxide
- from the Nepal Himalaya. *Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems* **9**, (2008).
- 321 10. Hilton, R. G., Gaillardet, J., Calmels, D. & Birck, J. L. Geological respiration of a mountain
- belt revealed by the trace element rhenium. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **403**, 27–36 (2014).
- 323 11. Calmels, D., Gaillardet, J., Brenot, A. & France-Lanord, C. Sustained sulfide oxidation by
- physical erosion processes in the Mackenzie River basin: Climatic perspectives. *Geology*
- **35**, 1003–1006 (2007).
- 326 12. Galy, A. & France-Lanord, C. Weathering processes in the Ganges-Brahmaputra basin and
- the riverine alkalinity budget. *Chem. Geol.* **159**, 31–60 (1999).
- 328 13. Bickle, M. J. et al. Chemical weathering outputs from the flood plain of the Ganga.
- 329 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **225**, 146–175 (2018).

- 330 14. Kemeny, P. C. et al. Sulfate sulfur isotopes and major ion chemistry reveal that pyrite
- oxidation counteracts CO2 drawdown from silicate weathering in the Langtang-Trisuli-
- Narayani River system , Nepal Himalaya. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **294**, 43–69 (2021).
- 15. Horan, K. et al. Carbon dioxide emissions by rock organic carbon oxidation and the net
- geochemical carbon budget of the Mackenzie River Basin. Am. J. Sci. **319**, 473–499
- 335 (2019).
- 336 16. Hilton, R. G. et al. Tropical-cyclone-driven erosion of the terrestrial biosphere from
- 337 mountains. *Nat. Geosci.* **1**, 759–762 (2008).
- 338 17. Wang, J. et al. Long-term patterns of hillslope erosion by earthquake-induced landslides
- shape mountain landscapes. Sci. Adv. 6, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaz6446 (2020).
- 340 18. Wang, J. et al. Earthquake-triggered increase in biospheric carbon export from a
- mountain belt. *Geology* **44**, https://doi.org/10.1130/G37533.1 (2016).
- 342 19. Frith, N. V et al. Carbon export from mountain forests enhanced by earthquake-triggered
- landslides over millennia. *Nat. Geosci.* **11**, 772–776 (2018).
- 20. Emberson, R., Hovius, N., Galy, A. & Marc, O. Chemical weathering in active mountain
- belts controlled by stochastic bedrock landsliding. *Nat. Geosci.* **9**, 42–45 (2016).
- 346 21. Emberson, R., Galy, A. & Hovius, N. Weathering of Reactive Mineral Phases in Landslides
- Acts as a Source of Carbon Dioxide in Mountain Belts. J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. 123,
- 348 2695–2713 (2018).
- 349 22. Avouac, J. P., Bollinger, L., Lave, J., Cattin, R. & Flouzat, M. Seismic cycle in the Himalayas.

- 350 Comptes Rendus l'Academie Sci. Ser. Ila Sci. la Terre des Planetes **333**, 513–529 (2001).
- 351 23. Avouac, J.-P., Meng, L., Wei, S., Wang, T. & Ampuero, J.-P. Lower edge of locked Main
- 352 Himalayan Thrust unzipped by the 2015 Gorkha earthquake. *Nat. Geosci.* **8**, 708–711
- 353 (2015).
- 354 24. Roback, K. et al. The size, distribution, and mobility of landslides caused by the 2015
- 355 Mw7.8 Gorkha earthquake, Nepal. *Geomorphology* **301**, 121–138 (2018).
- 356 25. Andermann, C. et al. Impact of transient groundwater storage on the discharge of
- 357 Himalayan rivers. *Nat. Geosci.* **5**, 127–132 (2012).
- 358 26. Andermann, C., Crave, A., Gloaguen, R. & Davy, P. Connecting source and transport:
- Suspended sediments in the Nepal Himalayas. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **352**, 158–170
- 360 (2012).
- 361 27. Morin, G. P. et al. Annual Sediment Transport Dynamics in the Narayani Basin, Central
- Nepal: Assessing the Impacts of Erosion Processes in the Annual Sediment Budget. J.
- 363 Geophys. Res. Earth Surf. **123**, 2341–2376 (2018).
- 364 28. Marc, O., Hovius, N., Meunier, P., Uchida, T. & Hayashi, S. Transient changes of landslide
- rates after earthquakes. *Geology* **43**, 883–886 (2015).
- 366 29. Menges, J. et al. Variations in organic carbon sourcing along a trans-Himalayan river
- determined by a Bayesian mixing approach. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **286**, 159–176
- 368 (2020).
- 369 30. Dalai, T. K., Singh, S. K., Trivedi, J. R. & Krishnaswami, S. Dissolved rhenium in the Yamuna

- River System and the Ganga in the Himalaya: Role of black shale weathering on the
- budgets of Re, Os, and U in rivers and CO2 in the atmosphere. *Geochim. Cosmochim.*
- 372 *Acta* **66**, 29–43 (2002).
- 373 31. Rahaman, W., Singh, S. K. & Shukla, A. D. Rhenium in Indian rivers: Sources, fluxes, and
- 374 contribution to oceanic budget. *Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems* **13**, (2012).
- 375 32. Paul, M. (Université de Lorraine). Etude des isotopes de l'osmium dans les eaux
- 376 souterraines du Bangladesh et les sédiments himalayens: implications et rôle de l'érosion
- himalayenne sur le budget océanique de l'osmium. (2018).
- 378 33. Pierson-Wickmann, A. C., Reisberg, L. & France-Lanord, C. The Os isotopic composition of
- Himalayan river bedloads and bedrocks: Importance of black shales. *Earth Planet. Sci.*
- 380 *Lett.* **176**, 203–218 (2000).
- 34. France-Lanord, C., Evans, M., Hurtrez, J. E. & Riotte, J. Annual dissolved fluxes from
- 382 Central Nepal rivers: Budget of chemical erosion in the Himalayas. Comptes Rendus -
- 383 *Geosci.* **335**, 1131–1140 (2003).
- 384 35. Bhatt, M. P., Hartmann, J. & Acevedo, M. F. Seasonal variations of biogeochemical matter
- 385 export along the Langtang-Narayani river system in central Himalaya. *Geochim.*
- 386 *Cosmochim. Acta* **238**, 208–234 (2018).
- 387 36. Marc, O., Hovius, N., Meunier, P., Gorum, T. & Uchida, T. A seismologically consistent
- 388 expression for the total area and volume of earthquake-triggered landsliding. J. Geophys.
- 389 Res. Earth Surf. https://doi:10.1002/2015JF003732 (2016).

- 390 37. Wang, J. *et al.* Controls on fluvial evacuation of sediment from earthquake-triggered landslides. *Geology* **43**, 115–118 (2015).
- 38. Howarth, J. D., Fitzsimons, S. J., Norris, R. J. & Jacobsen, G. E. Lake sediments record cycles of sediment flux driven by large earthquakes on the Alpine fault, New Zealand. *Geology* **40**, 1091–1094 (2012).
- 39. Marc, O. *et al.* Long-term erosion of the Nepal Himalayas by bedrock landsliding: The role of monsoons, earthquakes and giant landslides. *Earth Surf. Dyn.* **7**, 107–128 (2019).
- Xu, C. *et al.* Two Comparable Earthquakes Produced Greatly Different Coseismic
 Landslides: The 2015 Gorkha, Nepal and 2008 Wenchuan, China Events. *J. Earth Sci.* 27,
 1008–1015 (2016).
- 40. Stevens, V. L. & Avouac, J. P. Millenary Mw > 9.0 earthquakes required by geodetic strain in the Himalaya. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43**, 1118–1123 (2016).
- 42. Galy, V. *et al.* Efficient organic carbon burial in the Bengal fan sustained by the Himalayan erosional system. *Nature* **470**, 407–411 (2007).
- 43. Hemingway, J. D. *et al.* Microbial oxidation of lithospheric organic carbon in rapidly
 eroding tropical mountain soils. *Science* **360**, 209–212 (2018).
- 406 44. Lupker, M. *et al.* Predominant floodplain over mountain weathering of Himalayan sediments (Ganga basin). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **84**, 410–432 (2012).
- 408 45. Torres, M. A. *et al.* The acid and alkalinity budgets of weathering in the Andes–Amazon system: Insights into the erosional control of global biogeochemical cycles. *Earth Planet*.

- 410 Sci. Lett. **450**, 381–391 (2016).
- 411 46. Garzanti, E. et al. Mineralogical and chemical variability of fluvial sediments 2.
- Suspended-load silt (Ganga-Brahmaputra, Bangladesh). Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 302, 107–
- 413 120 (2011).
- 414 47. Galy, V., Beyssac, O., France-Lanord, C. & Eglinton, T. Recycling of graphite during
- 415 Himalayan erosion: a geological stabilization of carbon in the crust. *Science* **322**, 943–945
- 416 (2008).
- 417 48. Lupker, M., France-Lanord, C., Galy, V., Lavé, J. & Kudrass, H. Increasing chemical
- weathering in the Himalayan system since the Last Glacial Maximum. Earth Planet. Sci.
- 419 *Lett.* **365**, 243–252 (2013).
- 420 49. Hein, C. J. et al. Post-glacial climate forcing of surface processes in the Ganges –
- Brahmaputra river basin and implications for carbon sequestration. Earth Planet. Sci.
- 422 *Lett.* **478**, 89–101 (2017).
- 423 50. Lenard, S. J. P. et al. Steady erosion rates in the Himalayas through late Cenozoic climatic
- 424 changes. *Nat. Geosci.* **13**, 448–452 (2020).

Acknowledgments

425

426

- 427 L.M., M.L. and T.E. were supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (No.
- 428 200021 166067). C. F.-L. and J.L. were supported by the ANR Calimero. We thank K. B. Adhikari
- 429 from the hydrological station in Narayanghat for the daily sampling. E. Tipper (University of

430	Cambridge) is thanked for kindly providing the confluence samples. We further thank J. Wang
431	K. Clark and an anonymous Reviewer for their constructive comments on the manuscript.
432	
433	Author contributions
434	L.M. and M.L. designed the study. M.L., C. FL., J.L., A.G. and S.G. organized and maintained
435	daily sampling in Narayanghat. J.L. provided the depth profile samples and L.M., M.L., C.F-L., J.L
436	and S.G. conducted the soil sampling. L.M., N.H. and F.WL. prepared the samples and
437	preformed the measurements. S.G. conducted the landslide volume calculation. L.M., M.L. and
438	T.E. made the carbon flux calculations. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the data
439	and the redaction of the manuscript.
440	
441	Competing interests
442	The authors declare no competing interests.
443	
444	Corresponding author
445	Correspondance and requests for materials can be addressed to Lena Märki
446	(lena.maerki@alumni.ethz.ch).
447	
448	Figure captions

Fig. 1: Map of the Central Himalaya and location of the studied drainage basin. The Narayani catchment (dashed outline) and the sampling station in Narayanghat are displayed. The epicenter of the 2015 Gorkha earthquake is shown by the star, and red dots illustrate the mapped coseismic landslides²⁴. The topographic map is from Hydrosheds (http://hydrosheds.cr.usgs.gov).

Fig. 2: Total water discharge and sediment yield of the Narayani River during different monsoon seasons. River during different monsoon seasons. Total discharge as a function of the sediment yield of the monsoon months June-July-August-September (JJAS) of the Narayani in Narayanghat (discharge data and sediment loads from 2001-2012 from the Department of Hydrology and Meteorology of Nepal and ref.²⁷). Uncertainty on the sediment export is reported as 10% of the estimated value to account for the depth correction of the surface sediment load²⁷ and uncertainties on water discharge reported by the Department of Hydrology and Meteorology of Nepal are unknown but likely smaller than the symbol. Dashed lines illustrate the 0.997 confidence interval of the linear regression between discharge and sediment yield. Grey points show records after the April 2015 Gorkha earthquake.

Fig. 3: OC_{bio} , Ca_{sil} + Mg_{sil} and SO_4^{2-} carb as a function of the discharge of the Narayani River. a. Biospheric organic carbon (OC_{bio}) as weight percent of the total suspended sediment. Literature data are from ref.⁸ (pre-earthquake). The typical error bar includes the 10% uncertainty in the total sediment yield²⁷ and the standard deviation of the OC_{bio} apportionment calculation. **b.** Concentrations of Ca and Mg derived from silicate weathering through carbonic acid ($Ca_{sii}+Mg_{sil}$). Literature data are from refs. ^{12,34,35} (preearthquake) and ref. ¹³ (post-earthquake). The reported typical error is associated to the systematic uncertainty (standard deviation) in the silicate apportionment of Ca and Mg fluxes and the end-member Ca_{sil} and Mg_{sil} elemental ratios. **c.** Concentration of the fraction of SO_4^{2-} associated with carbonate weathering (SO_4^{2-} carb). Literature data are from refs. ^{12,34,35} (preearthquake) and ref. ¹³ (post-earthquake). The typical error bar illustrates the 30% uncertainty due to the proportion of SO_4^{2-} which is derived from sulfide oxidation ^{12,14}. Panels a, b and c are plotted against the daily water discharge of the Narayani River in Narayanghat provided by the Department of Hydrology and Meteorology of Nepal.

Fig. 4: Total carbon fluxes and mean net erosional carbon budget for the Narayani basin through four monsoon seasons. Total export during four monsoon seasons of **a.** suspended sediments (error bars indicate 10% error due to the depth correction of the surface sediment $load^{27}$); **b.** OC_{bio} (error bars include uncertainty in the total sediment yield and the standard deviation of the OC_{bio} calculation from total OC); **c.** $Ca_{sil} + Mg_{sil}$ (for the errors the standard deviation of the elemental ratios defining Ca_{sil} and Mg_{sil} are propagated); and **d.** SO_4^{2-} carb (error bars indicate the range of 100-70% of SO_4^{2-} which is derived from sulfide oxidation 12,14). Round symbols illustrate the Gorkha earthquake that happened shortly before the monsoon 2015. **e.** Mean carbon fluxes from the four studied years of erosion in the Narayani basin in t of $C/(km^2yr)$ and in moles of $C/(km^2yr)$. Total fluxes in $tC/(km^2yr)$ and in tC/yr are indicated in square brackets. Error bars are calculated by the mean of the above-described errors, and for

the OC_{petro} oxidation, the error of the oxidation rate calculation is propagated (see also Methods). Red bar illustrates the sum of the carbon fluxes, and its error bar is propagated with a Monte Carlo simulation.

Methods

Calculation of coseismic landslide volume and lowering rates

The total volume of coseismic landslide material in the Narayani catchment was calculated using the earthquake-triggered landslide inventory from ref.²⁴ and the area-volume scaling parameters from ref.⁵¹ (Extended Data Table 1). We here used the global parameters from ref.⁵¹. The uncertainties were propagated with a Monte Carlo approach ($n = 10^4$). The mean landscape surface lowering rate was obtained by dividing the landslide volume within the catchment by the Narayani catchment surface area (32,000 km²).

Sampling

Surface suspended sediments were taken in the middle of the Narayani river during the monsoon seasons (June-September) 2010 and 2015-2017 (Source Data Fig. 3). The sampling in 2010 is described in more detail in ref.²⁷ and is similar to the sampling during the other years. For samples in 2015-2017, 3L of surface water was taken at the sampling station in Narayanghat (27.73° N; 84.43° E). The water was immediately filtered with a pressure filtration unit through 0.2 µm polyethersulfone (PES) membranes, and the sediment sample was stored

in a dark and cool place until return to the laboratory. 250 ml of the filtered river water was collected for measurements of major ions.

Sediment sampling of four depth profiles (Source Data Extended Data Fig. 4) within the water column was performed in 2011 in Narayanghat and is further described in ref.²⁷. At the sampling location, the Narayani channel is around 15-20 m deep. Samples of both tributaries shortly before the confluence, which is situated around 2 km upstream of the sampling station and samples from both sides of the Narayani river, were taken during different field campaigns between 2015 and 2017 (Source Data Extended Data Fig. 5). For the samples NEQ-17204 - NEQ-172-39, 10-20L of surface water was filtered as described above and stored in a cool place. Samples NEP-15-02 — NEP-17-43 were taken with a bucket or a sediment sampler from the surface water and filtered through a pressure filtration unit similar as described above.

Soil samples (Extended Data Table 2) cover a variety of conditions such as elevation and annual precipitation within the Narayani catchment and were collected between 2009 and 2017. The samples are further described in ref.⁵². The samples integrate the surface soil layer of a depth of up to 15 cm. The uppermost few cm of the soils were removed for not including vegetation and litter in the surface soil samples.

Determination of the total sediment yield of a monsoon season

Out of the 488 studied days, for 28 days the sediment load was not measured and was therefore estimated based on water discharge. For estimating the missing sediment load data, we applied the method described in ref.²⁷. Briefly, a digital filter⁵³ was used to separate the

total discharge of the Narayani in the baseflow and the direct discharge²⁶ with the parameters used in ref.²⁷ (Extended Data Fig. 1). The missing sediment load was subsequently calculated with the linear regression between the direct discharge and the sediment load data (Extended Data Fig. 2).

TOC, δ^{13} C and radiocarbon measurement of suspended sediments

Sediment and soil samples were frozen upon arrival at ETH Zürich and subsequently freeze-dried. Sediments were weighed for calculating the surface sediment load and carefully removed from the filters. Soil samples were sieved at < 2 mm. An aliquot of the sediments and soils was milled in a Retsch zirconium ball mill. ~50 mg of the milled samples were weighed into combusted silver capsules. Samples were fumigated in HCl vapor for removal of inorganic carbon (3 days at 60 °C), and neutralized with NaOH (4 days at 60 °C). The capsules were subsequently wrapped in tin boats, and TOC and its isotopic compositions were measured on a coupled online elemental analyzer-isotope ratio mass spectrometer-accelerator mass spectrometer (EA-IRMS-AMS) system⁵⁴ at the Ion Beam Physics Institute of the ETH Zürich. 13 C/ 12 C ratios are reported in δ^{13} C notation relative to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) standard and the 14 C content is reported as fraction modern (Fm).

In addition to routine standard measurements by AMS⁵⁴, an internal standard consisting of a suspended sediment sample was measured eight times in different batches (including different fumigation batches). The standard deviation of the results is used as typical uncertainty on the measurements (TOC: mean 0.24% \pm 0.01; Fm: mean 0.51 \pm 0.03; δ^{13} C: mean -24.02 ‰ \pm 0.17).

555

556

557

558

559

Determination of OC_{bio} yield

A binary mixing model was applied to disentangle the concentrations of OC_{bio} and OC_{petro} ([OC_{bio}] and [OC_{petro}]) in the total OC of the suspended sediment (Extended Data Fig. 3). With the following assumptions similar to the method applied in ref.⁴³ we are able calculate the concentrations (%) of OC_{bio} and OC_{petro} in the total sediment:

$$[OC_{tot}] = [OC_{bio}] + [OC_{petro}]$$
(1)

561
$$Fm_{tot} * [OC_{tot}] = Fm_{bio} * [OC_{bio}] + Fm_{petro} * [OC_{petro}]$$
 (2)

The rock-derived OC_{petro} is characterized by $Fm_{petro} = 0$ because its age exceeds the ¹⁴C half-life

by more than an order of magnitude. We can therefore rewrite equations 1 and 2:

$$Fm_{tot} * [OC_{tot}] = Fm_{bio} * [OC_{bio}]$$
(3)

$$[OC_{bio}] = [OC_{tot}] - [OC_{petro}]$$
(4)

If we combine the equations we obtain:

567
$$\operatorname{Fm_{tot}} * [\operatorname{OC_{tot}}] = \operatorname{Fm_{bio}} * ([\operatorname{OC_{tot}}] - [\operatorname{OC_{petro}}])$$
 (5)

568 From where we can calculate [OC_{petro}]

$$[OC_{petro}] = [OC_{tot}] - (Fm_{tot} * [OC_{tot}]) / Fm_{bio}$$
(6)

570 And [OC_{bio}]

$$[OC_{bio}] = [OC_{tot}] - [OC_{petro}]$$
(7)

The radiocarbon signature of 17 surface soils from the Narayani basin (Extended Data Table 2) was used to define the Fm of the OC_{bio} endmember (Fm_{bio} = 1.1- 0.9). OC_{tot} and Fm_{tot} are the measured values, and standard deviations are derived from the internal standard as described above. A Monte Carlo simulation (n=10⁵) was used to randomly sample within the Fm_{bio} endmember and the standard deviation of OC_{tot} and Fm_{tot}. Errors of the model were defined by the standard deviation of the model results. This approach for determining OC_{bio} is not as sensitive to possible autocorrelation biases as previous studies⁴⁷. In the latter study, the OC_{petro} , was determined by the intersection of the linear correlation between the TOC and the value of TOC*Fm. This method and the calculations done in our study, however, give broadly similar results.

In order to calculate the total sediment yield, the surface sediment load was corrected for increasing concentrations with the depth of the water column. For this purpose, we use the method proposed by ref.²⁷ (equation 3.2), which integrates the surface sediment concentration for depth and water velocity in the Narayani river. The daily discharge data of the Narayani river was provided by the Department of Hydrology and Meteorology of Nepal (DHM), enabling calculation of daily sediment yield of the Narayani during the four studied monsoon seasons. With these data we were able to calculate the total amount of OC_{bio} exported by the Narayani in a given day for which TOC and Fm measurements are available. We observe a high daily variability in our TOC and Fm data without any significant correlation to the runoff, the sediment load or the season at the time of sampling. We upscale the estimate to the entire monsoon and hence interpolate across days without TOC and Fm measurements as follows:

 $\Sigma[OC_{bio}(measured)]$ * $\Sigma daily$ sediment yield (all) / $\Sigma daily$ sediment yield (with Fm and TOC measurement)

The concentration of TOC and its radiocarbon signature of surface sediments is taken to be representative of the whole water column (Supplementary Information and Extended Data Fig. 4). Suspended sediment samples from upstream the major confluence close to the sampling station confirm that incomplete mixing of the sediments from this confluence does not have a major influence on the TOC and radiocarbon concentration in Narayanghat (Supplementary Information and Extended Data Fig. 5).

Chemical weathering rates calculated by major ion chemistry

The concentrations of major cations in water samples from 2010 were determined with an Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES) at the CRPG Nancy. Major cations and anions of samples from 2015-2017 were measured by Ion Chromatography (IC) at ETH Zürich. All results are reported in the Source Data Fig. 3. Ion concentrations were corrected for atmospheric input, as suggested by ref.¹² and using ion concentrations of rainwater from ref.⁵⁵. Concentrations of CI and SO₄ for the year 2010 were estimated by correlating the concentrations of the measured years to river discharge (Supplementary Information and Extended Data Fig. 6 and 7).

Concentrations of Ca and Mg derived from silicate weathering through carbonic acid (Ca_{sil}) and Mg_{sil} were calculated using elemental ratios of silicates and carbonates in the Central

Himalaya from refs.^{12,13}. A Monte Carlo simulation (n=10⁵) sampled randomly within a normal distribution with the following standard deviation.

615
$$Mg_{sil} = K * x ; x=0.5 \text{ with standard deviation of } 0.25 \text{ (ref.}^{12})$$
 (8)

616
$$Ca_{sil} = (Na-Cl) * y ; y=0.4 with standard deviation of 0.15 (ref. 13) (9)$$

The proportion of silicate weathering relative to carbonate weathering (x_{sil}) was calculated:

618
$$X_{sil} = (1.8 * (Na - Cl) + 2 * K) / (Na + K + 2 * Mg + 2 * Ca) (modified from ref. 12) (10)$$

All the concentrations in equations 8-12 have been corrected for atmospheric input.

Secondary calcite precipitation along the Narayani and its tributary might slightly bias x_{sil} (13,56), but at the scale of the whole catchment, we assume that its effect is minor. For the years 2015-2017, where every second day was measured, the days without measurement had to be interpolated for the calculation of total fluxes per monsoon. Interpolation was done by taking the average of the measurements from the day before and after. This method takes into account previously reported seasonal changes of weathering rates and dilution effects in the beginning of the monsoon season 12,56 . Using the daily discharge data from the DHM we are able to calculate the total flux of Ca_{sil} and Mg_{sil} per monsoon.

For calculating the long-term carbon sink through silicate weathering, we subtract the amount of Ca_{sil} and Mg_{sil} derived from sulfuric acid weathering as the latter does not involve any carbon uptake. We here assume that sulfuric acid reacts with carbonates and silicates in the same relative proportions as carbonic acid⁵⁷. As the chemical weathering regime of the Himalaya is dominated by carbonates¹², we notice that the hypothesis that sulfuric acid only

633 weathers carbonate minerals would not have a significant effect on our total carbon flux 634 calculations.

635 We can use x_{sil} :

642

643

644

645

646

647

649

650

651

636
$$SO_{4,sil} = SO_{4,tot} * x_{sil}$$
 (11)

637 We define the proportion of Mg and Ca in the total ions derived from silicate weathering:

638
$$(Mg_{sil} + Ca_{sil})_{SO4} = SO_{4,sil} * ((Ca_{sil} + Mg_{sil}) / (Ca_{sil} + Mg_{sil} + K/2 + (Na-Cl) /2)$$
 (12)

where Na-Cl is the total Na derived from silicate weathering¹². We then calculate the Mg_{sil} and Ca_{sil} that has been weathered through carbonic acid.

$$(Mg_{sil} + Ca_{sil})_{HCO3} = (Mg_{sil} + Ca_{sil})_{tot} - (Mg_{sil} + Ca_{sil})_{SO4}$$
(13)

Finally, one mole of carbon per mole of $Ca_{sil,HCO3}$ or $Mg_{sil,HCO3}$ can be removed from the Earth's surface through carbonate precipitation and is thus considered as a long-term (>10⁵ yr) carbon sink. As cation exchange between sediments and seawater is negligible⁵⁸ we do not take into account K and Na for the long-term carbon removal through silicate weathering.

For determining the proportion of carbonates weathered by sulfuric acid we can modify equation 11:

$$SO_{4,carb} = SO_{4,tot} * (1-x_{sil})$$
 (14)

The main uncertainty of the sulfuric acid weathering rate calculation derives from the unknown proportion of SO_4^- derived from evaporites. The latter produces SO_4^- during weathering but does not produce sulfuric acid which could act as a weathering agent. Previous

studies estimate the evaporitic input in the Narayani basin as negligible to up to 40% of the total SO_4^- (12,14). We, therefore, use error bars between 100 - 70% for the calculated values. For calculating total fluxes per monsoon, we use the same interpolation as described above for silicate weathering.

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

652

653

654

655

OC_{petro} oxidation calculation with dissolved Re

The release of carbon through OCpetro oxidation in the Narayani catchment was estimated using Re as a tracer for the weathering of OC_{petro} ^{10,30,59}. This gives us a first-order estimation of the magnitude of the carbon flux linked to OCpetro oxidation but does not allow us to account for annual differences of this carbon flux. We compiled dissolved Re and major cations concentration data from global rivers 10,15,30,31,59,60. While the total dataset displays a relatively weak linear correlation between the sum of cations and the Re concentration (r^2 = 0.41), Himalayan rivers show a strong linear relationship as suggested earlier³¹ ($r^2 = 0.83$) (Extended Data Fig. 8). We calculate the mean flux of dissolved Re (Re_{flux}, 40.7 kg/monsoon) over the four studied monsoon seasons based on the regression between Re and total cation concentrations obtained from all Himalayan rivers. A Monte Carlo simulation (n=10⁵) sampled randomly within a normal distribution in the one-sigma confidence interval of the regression for estimating a mean Re concentration for each studied monsoon season based on the mean annual sum of cations. Data of Re concentrations in bulk suspended sediments from the Narayani from refs. 32,33 (Re_{sd}; 2.7-4.8 * 10^{-10} pg/g) are used together with the mean OC_{petro}

concentration of samples from this study (OC_{sd}). The OC_{petro} oxidation rate is finally calculated as described in ref.⁵⁹ with a Monte Carlo simulation ($n=10^5$):

$$C_{\text{released}} = Re_{\text{flux}} * (OC_{\text{sd}}/Re_{\text{sd}}) * f_{\text{C}} - f_{\text{graphite}}$$
(15)

Where f_c is the factor correcting for Re from inorganic phases and for the ratio of Re dissolved during OC_{petro} oxidation in soils (0.5 < f_c < 1; 10,59,61 and $f_{graphite}$ corrects for the fraction of crystalline graphite that is less prone to oxidation (0.3 < $f_{graphite}$ < 0.5; estimated from data from ref. 47).

Upscaling from a monsoonal to an annual carbon budget

The erosion as well as the carbon fluxes in the Central Himalaya, are mostly driven by the monsoonal precipitation. In order to render our carbon flux calculations comparable to other studies, we up-scale the monsoonal carbon budget to annual values based on the following assumptions. For the total OC_{bio} export we considered that ~95% of the annually suspended sediments of the Narayani are discharged during the monsoon²⁶ and that the relative importance of soil erosion increases during the dry season²⁷. We, therefore, assume that the OC_{bio} discharged during the monsoon represents 90% of the annual OC_{bio} export. The monsoonal total OC and OC_{petro} export is assumed to follow the total sediment flux constituting 95% of the annual flux. For the silicate weathering budget, we follow ref.⁵⁶ who showed that in the Central Himalaya, ~60% of the annual silicate weathering flux is occurring during the monsoon. As the monsoon accounts for ~80% of the total water discharge of the Narayani River²⁶, we assume this same proportion for carbonate weathering by sulfuric acid and OC_{petro}

- 693 oxidation. This calculation is conservative, as the fraction of sulfuric acid relative to carbonic
- acid is likely decreasing during the monsoon in the headwaters of the Narayani⁵⁶.

References Methods

695

- Larsen, I. J., Montgomery, D. R. & Korup, O. Landslide erosion controlled by hillslope
 material. *Nat. Geosci.* 3, 247–251 (2010).
- 698 52. Märki, L. *et al.* Molecular tracing of riverine soil organic matter from the Central Himalaya. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **47**, https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL087403 (2020).
- 53. Eckhardt, K. How to construct recursive digital filters for baseflow separation. *Hydrol.* 701 *Process.* 19, 507–515 (2005).
- McIntyre, C. P. et al. Online 13C and 14C Gas Measurements by EA-IRMS-AMS at ETH
 Zürich. Radiocarbon 59, 893-903 (2017).
- Wolff-Boenisch, D., Gabet, E. J., Burbank, D. W., Langner, H. & Putkonen, J. Spatial
 variations in chemical weathering and CO2 consumption in Nepalese High Himalayan
 catchments during the monsoon season. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 73, 3148–3170
 (2009).
- Tipper, E. T. *et al.* The short term climatic sensitivity of carbonate and silicate weathering fluxes: Insight from seasonal variations in river chemistry. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **70**, 2737–2754 (2006).
- 57. Burke, A. *et al.* Sulfur isotopes in rivers: Insights into global weathering budgets, pyrite oxidation, and the modern sulfur cycle. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **496**, 168–177 (2018).
- 58. Lupker, M., France-Lanord, C. & Lartiges, B. Impact of sediment-seawater cation
 exchange on Himalayan chemical weathering fluxes. *Earth Surf. Dyn.* 4, 675–684 (2016).

- 715 59. Horan, K. et al. Mountain glaciation drives rapid oxidation of rock-bound organic carbon.
- 716 *Sci. Adv.* **3**, https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1701107 (2017).
- 717 60. Miller, C. A., Peucker-Ehrenbrink, B., Walker, B. D. & Marcantonio, F. Re-assessing the
- surface cycling of molybdenum and rhenium. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **75**, 7146–7179
- 719 (2011).
- 720 61. Pierson-Wickmann, A. C., Reisberg, L. & France-Lanord, C. Behavior of Re and Os during
- low-temperature alteration: Results from Himalayan soils and altered black shales.
- 722 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **66**, 1539–1548 (2002).







