



HAL
open science

New estimations of the added mass and damping of two cylinders vibrating in a viscous fluid, from theoretical and numerical approaches

Romain Lagrange, Yann Fraigneau

► To cite this version:

Romain Lagrange, Yann Fraigneau. New estimations of the added mass and damping of two cylinders vibrating in a viscous fluid, from theoretical and numerical approaches. *Journal of Fluids and Structures*, 2020, 92, pp.102818. 10.1016/j.jfluidstructs.2019.102818 . hal-03347710

HAL Id: hal-03347710

<https://hal.science/hal-03347710>

Submitted on 17 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

New estimations of the added mass and damping of two cylinders vibrating in a viscous fluid, from theoretical and numerical approaches

Romain Lagrange^a, Yann Fraigneau^b

^a*Den-Service d'Etudes Mécaniques et Thermiques (SEMT), CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

^b*LIMSI, CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay 91405, France*

Received *****; accepted after revision +++++

Presented by *****

Abstract

This paper deals with the small oscillations of two circular cylinders immersed in a viscous stagnant fluid. A new theoretical approach based on an Helmholtz expansion and a bipolar coordinate system is presented to estimate the fluid forces acting on the two bodies. We show that these forces are linear combinations of the cylinders accelerations and velocities, through viscous fluid added coefficients. The self-added mass and damping coefficients are shown to decrease with both the Stokes number and the separation distance. The cross-added mass and damping coefficients tend to increase with the Stokes number and the separation distance. Compared to the inviscid results, the effect of viscosity is to add a correction term which scales as $Sk^{-1/2}$. When the separation distance is sufficiently large, the two cylinders behave as if they were independent and the Stokes predictions for an isolated cylinder are recovered. Compared to previous works, the present theory offers a simple and flexible alternative for an easy determination of the fluid forces and related added coefficients. To our knowledge, this is also the first time that a numerical approach based on a penalization method is presented in the context of fluid-structure interactions for relatively small Stokes numbers, and successfully compared to theoretical predictions.

Key words: Vibration; Fluid-structure interaction; Fluid forces; Coupling coefficients; Added mass; Added damping; Viscosity effect; Stokes number; Penalization method

1 Introduction

The determination of the fluid force acting on an immersed body has been the topic of considerable experimental and theoretical studies, covering a full range of applications, from turbomachinery [1], heat exchangers tube banks [2,3] to biomechanics of plants [4] or energy harvesting of flexible structures [5,6,7,8,9]. Early researches were stimulated by the need of understanding the effect of the inertia of a surrounding fluid on the frequency of an oscillating pendulum [10]. Assuming an inviscid fluid, [11,12,13] showed that the fluid make the mass of the pendulum to increase by a factor that depends on the fluid density and the geometry of the pendulum. Since these pioneer works, this apparent increase of mass has commonly been referred as the added mass concept. It has been investigated in various experiments [14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22] in which a single body is accelerated in a fluid initially at rest. The acceleration of the body induces a fluid motion which in returns induces an inertia effect from which an added mass coefficient is computed.

The concept of added mass also applies to multiple immersed bodies, although its formulation is more complex as it involves "self-added" and "cross-added" mass coefficients. The self-added mass coefficient characterizes the force on a body due to its own motion. The cross-added coefficient characterizes the fluid-coupling force on a stationary body due to the motion of an other body. Considering multiple arrangements, many experimental rigs have been built [23,24,25,26,27,28,29,2,30,31,32] to obtain precise measurements of these coefficients. From a theoretical stand point, the added coefficients should be computed from the Navier-Stokes equations. However, in many practical situations, the effects of fluid viscosity and compressibility are neglected and a potential theory is carried out. A method of images [33,34,35,36,37,38,39] or a complex analysis based on conformal transformations [40,41,42,43,44,45] are usually derived to solve the boundary value problem governing the fluid potential function. For small amplitude motions not entailing flow separation, the potential theory will accurately give the added mass coefficients, and tabulated results are available in the literature for a wide variety of immersed geometries [46].

All of the above-mentioned studies have dealt with an ideal fluid, whereas the viscous effects may be important for some applications such as bodies relatively close to each other. Considering the small oscillations of a single body in a viscous fluid, Stokes [47] solved the linearized Navier-Stokes equations and showed that the fluid force is a linear combination of two components related to the acceleration of the body and its velocity. The coefficients of this

Email addresses: romain.lagrange@cea.fr, romain.g.lagrange@gmail.com
(Romain Lagrange).

linear combination are commonly referred as the viscous added mass and the viscous added damping, respectively. Stokes found that the effect of viscosity is to add to the ideal fluid added mass coefficient a correction term which depends on the fluid mass density and viscosity, the frequency of oscillation, and a characteristic length scale. All of these effects can be regrouped in a single dimensionless number, the Stokes number.

The extension of this work to the case of multiple bodies remains a challenging theoretical problem, mainly due to the viscous boundary conditions to account for. One approach developed in [2] is to associate to each body a fluid potential and a stream function, governed by a Laplace and an Helmholtz equation, respectively. Introducing a polar coordinate system attached to each body, a method of separation of variables is used to expand the fluid functions as an infinite trigonometric series with unknown coefficients. Applying the viscous boundary conditions into each local coordinate system yields a set of linear equations for these unknowns. The number of equations depends on the number of bodies and the number of terms used in the series expansions. In the end, the set of linear equations has to be solved numerically. The two cylinders problem could be solved in this framework, but even for such a restricted number of bodies, the method of [2] is hardly tractable.

In this paper, we build on our previous work which dealt with ideal fluids [48] to introduce a flexible theoretical method and obtain an estimation of the viscous added coefficients. In addition to this theoretical work, we perform some numerical simulations where the immersed boundary conditions are considered with a penalization method. The choice of this approach relies on its effectiveness and simplicity of implementation in CFD codes, without deep modification of the algorithmic structure. The basic idea is to add a forcing term in the Navier-Stokes equation set over the area of the immersed body in order to locally impose the velocity of the body [49]. The method does not require any mesh update related to the motion of the body, any complex geometrical considerations on the position of the wall in regard to the computational grid or any high order interpolations as done with some other approaches (e.g. ALE methods [50], cut-cell methods [51], immersed body methods [52]). In the present work, we actually use a variant method initially proposed by [53], called the pseudo-penalization method, in which disappears the stiffness nature of the Navier-Stokes equations due to the forcing term. The penalization and pseudo-penalization methods are particularly efficient in fluid problems with moderate or high Reynolds numbers (see e.g. [54,55,56,57,58,59]) but has never been tested in problems with low Reynolds numbers, as considered in the present work.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the problem and the governing equations for two circular cylinders immersed in a viscous fluid at rest. In section 3, we propose a theoretical approach based on an Helmholtz

decomposition and a bipolar coordinate system to obtain an approximate solution of the fluid problem. We derive expressions for the fluid potential and stream functions, from which we compute the fluid forces on the cylinders. In section 4 we describe the numerical simulations that we have performed to solve the fluid problem. The results of our investigation are presented in section 5. Throughout, we directly compare the theoretical predictions to the numerical simulations. We start with comparing the time evolutions of the fluid forces acting on the cylinders, when one is stationary while the other is imposed a sinusoidal vibration. We then analyze the dependance of the fluid added coefficients with the Stokes number and the separation distance. Some scaling laws are derived in the limit of large Stokes numbers. Finally, section 6 summarizes our findings.

2 Definition of the problem and governing equations




Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the system: two oscillating cylinders \mathcal{C}_j with radii R_j , centers O_j , displacement vectors $\mathbf{U}_j(T)$, are immersed in a fluid of kinematic viscosity ν . The small oscillations of \mathcal{C}_j generate an incompressible fluid flow. The midpoint of O_1 and O_2 is O and the separation distance is E .

We consider the simple harmonic motions of two rigid circular cylinders \mathcal{C}_j , ($j = 1, 2$), with centers O_j , radii R_j , boundaries $\partial\mathcal{C}_j$, immersed in an infinite 2D viscous fluid domain, as illustrated in figure 1. The angular frequency of the cylinders is Ω and their displacement vectors are \mathbf{U}_j . The fluid is Newtonian, homogeneous, of volume mass density ρ and kinematic viscosity ν . The Navier-Stokes equations and the boundary conditions for the incompressible fluid flow

(\mathbf{V}, P) write

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0, \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{V}}{\partial T} + \nabla \mathbf{V} \cdot \mathbf{V} + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla P - \nu \Delta \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (1b)$$

$$\mathbf{V} - \frac{d\mathbf{U}_j}{dT} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \partial C_j, j = \{1, 2\}. \quad (1c)$$

The third equation expresses the continuity of velocities at the cylinder boundaries. The fluid force acting on C_j is the sum of a pressure and a viscous term, and writes

$$\mathbf{F}_j = - \int_{\partial C_j} P \mathbf{n}_j dL_j + \rho \nu \int_{\partial C_j} [\nabla \mathbf{V} + (\nabla \mathbf{V})^T] \cdot \mathbf{n}_j dL_j. \quad (2)$$

In this equation, \mathbf{n}_j is the outward normal unit vector to ∂C_j , $(\nabla \mathbf{V})^T$ the transposate tensor of $\nabla \mathbf{V}$ and dL_j an infinitesimal line element of integration.

2.1 Dimensionless equations

In what follows, we use R_2 and Ω^{-1} as a characteristic length and time. Introducing $t = T\Omega$, we define the dimensionless cylinders displacements \mathbf{u}_j^* , fluid flow (\mathbf{v}^*, p^*) and fluid force \mathbf{f}_j^* as

$$\mathbf{U}_j = U \mathbf{u}_j^*, \mathbf{V} = U\Omega \mathbf{v}^*, P = \rho U R_2 \Omega^2 p^*, \mathbf{F}_j = \rho U (R_2 \Omega)^2 \mathbf{f}_j^*, \quad (3)$$

with $U = \max(|\mathbf{U}_1|, |\mathbf{U}_2|)$.

To reduce the number of parameters of the problem we also introduce the rescaled quantities

$$r = \frac{R_1}{R_2}, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{E}{R_2}, \quad KC = \frac{U}{R_2}, \quad Sk = \frac{R_2^2 \Omega}{\nu}, \quad (4)$$

as the radius ratio, separation distance, Keulegan-Carpenter number and Stokes number (i.e. vibration Reynolds number), respectively.

Introducing (3) in (1), the dimensionless Navier-Stokes equations write

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^* = 0, \quad (5a)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^*}{\partial t} + KC \nabla \mathbf{v}^* \cdot \mathbf{v}^* + \nabla p^* - \frac{1}{Sk} \Delta \mathbf{v}^* = \mathbf{0}, \quad (5b)$$

$$\mathbf{v}^* - \frac{d\mathbf{u}_j^*}{dt} = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \partial C_j, j = \{1, 2\}. \quad (5c)$$

Introducing (3) in (2), the dimensionless fluid force acting on \mathcal{C}_j write

$$\mathbf{f}_j^* = - \int_{\partial\mathcal{C}_j} p^* \mathbf{n}_j dl_j + \frac{1}{Sk} \int_{\partial\mathcal{C}_j} [\nabla \mathbf{v}^* + (\nabla \mathbf{v}^*)^T] \cdot \mathbf{n}_j dl_j, \quad (6)$$

with $dl_j = dL_j/R_2$.

3 Theoretical approach

In the limit of small oscillations, i.e. $KC = o(1)$, the nonlinear convective term in the Navier-Stokes equations is negligible. Introducing $\mathbf{u}_j^* = \Re\{e^{it}\mathbf{u}_j\}$, $\mathbf{v}^* = \Re\{e^{it}\mathbf{v}\}$, $p^* = \Re\{e^{it}p\}$, the equations (5) rewrite

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (7a)$$

$$i\mathbf{v} + \nabla p - \frac{1}{Sk} \Delta \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (7b)$$

$$\mathbf{v} - i\mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{C}_j, j = \{1, 2\}, \quad (7c)$$

with \Re the real part operator.

3.1 Helmholtz decomposition

We seek a solution of (7) as a superposition of an irrotational and a divergence-free flow (Helmholtz decomposition)

$$\mathbf{v} = \nabla\varphi + \nabla \times \mathbf{A}, \quad (8)$$

with φ and $\mathbf{A} = A\mathbf{e}_z$ some unknown potential and stream functions. Introducing this decomposition in (7) yields

$$\Delta\varphi = 0, \quad (9a)$$

$$\nabla \times (\Delta\mathbf{A} - iSk\mathbf{A}) - Sk\nabla(i\varphi + p) = \mathbf{0}, \quad (9b)$$

$$\nabla\varphi + \nabla \times \mathbf{A} - i\mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{C}_j, j = \{1, 2\}. \quad (9c)$$

Taking the divergence and the curl of (9b) yields two equations

$$p = -i\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta A + \beta^2 A = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \beta = \sqrt{-iSk}, \quad (10)$$

from which the pressure and the stream functions can be determined.

3.2 Bipolar coordinates




Figure 2. Sketch of the conformal mapping $\zeta = h(z)$ defined by equation (11). The conformal function $\zeta = h(z)$ maps \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 into two parallel lines of equation $\zeta = i\tau_1$ and $\zeta = i\tau_2$.

Let $z = x + iy$ be the complex number whose real and imaginary parts are the cartesian coordinates x and y , measured from the midpoint O of the two cylinders centers, O_1 and O_2 , see figure 2.

Let $h(z)$ be the conformal mapping defined as

$$\zeta = \sigma + i\tau = h(z) = i \ln \left(\frac{z - x_B + a}{z - x_B - a} \right), \quad (11)$$

with $x_B = (r^2 - 1) / (2d)$ and

$$a = \frac{\sqrt{d^2 - (1+r)^2} \sqrt{d^2 - (1-r)^2}}{2d}, \quad d = r + \varepsilon + 1. \quad (12)$$

In (11), $0 < \sigma \leq 2\pi$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ are the real and imaginary parts of ζ , respectively. They are also the bipolar coordinates of a point in the plane (x, y) . The images of \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are the straight lines with ordinates τ_1 and τ_2 given by

$$\tau_1 = -\sinh^{-1}(a/r) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_2 = \sinh^{-1}(a) > 0. \quad (13)$$

The Laplace operator and the fluid velocity vector in bipolar coordinates are

$$\Delta\varphi = \left(\frac{1}{\kappa_{\sigma\tau}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\sigma^2} + \frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial\tau^2} \right), \quad (14a)$$

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{\kappa_{\sigma\tau}} \left[\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\sigma} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial\tau} \right) \mathbf{e}_\sigma + \left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\tau} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial\sigma} \right) \mathbf{e}_\tau \right], \quad (14b)$$

with $\kappa_{\sigma\tau} = a / [\cosh(\tau) - \cos(\sigma)]$ the Lamé coefficient and

$$\mathbf{e}_\sigma = \frac{1}{\kappa_{\sigma\tau}} \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial\sigma} \mathbf{e}_x + \frac{\partial y}{\partial\sigma} \mathbf{e}_y \right), \quad \mathbf{e}_\tau = \frac{1}{\kappa_{\sigma\tau}} \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial\tau} \mathbf{e}_x + \frac{\partial y}{\partial\tau} \mathbf{e}_y \right), \quad (15)$$

the physical basis vectors. The fluid equations (9) in the bipolar coordinates system write

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \sigma^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \tau^2} = 0, \quad (16a)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial \sigma^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial \tau^2} + \beta^2 \kappa_{\sigma\tau}^2 A = 0, \quad (16b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \sigma} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial \tau} = (iu_{jx})g_{jy} - (iu_{jy})g_{jx} \quad \text{on } \tau = \tau_j, j = \{1, 2\}, \quad (16c)$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial \sigma} = (iu_{jx})g_{jx} + (iu_{jy})g_{jy} \quad \text{on } \tau = \tau_j, j = \{1, 2\}, \quad (16d)$$

with $g_{jx} = \kappa_{\sigma\tau_j} \mathbf{e}_x \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\tau_j}$, $g_{jy} = \kappa_{\sigma\tau_j} \mathbf{e}_y \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\tau_j}$. These are 2π periodic functions of σ given by

$$g_{jx}(\sigma) = -a \frac{\cos(\sigma) \cosh(\tau_j) - 1}{(\cosh(\tau_j) - \cos(\sigma))^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{jn} \cos(n\sigma), \quad (17a)$$

$$g_{jy}(\sigma) = -a \frac{\sin(\sigma) \sinh(\tau_j)}{(\cosh(\tau_j) - \cos(\sigma))^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{jn} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau_j) \sin(n\sigma), \quad (17b)$$

with $g_{jn} = -2nae^{-n|\tau_j|}$.

3.3 Ad-hoc problem, fluid forces and added coefficients

Since the problem is linear in u_{jx} and u_{jy} , the fluid functions are linear combinations of the form

$$\varphi = (u_{1x}\varphi_{1x} + u_{2x}\varphi_{2x}) + (u_{1y}\varphi_{1y} + u_{2y}\varphi_{2y}), \quad (18a)$$

$$A = (u_{1x}A_{1x} + u_{2x}A_{2x}) + (u_{1y}A_{1y} + u_{2y}A_{2y}). \quad (18b)$$

The difficulty in finding $\varphi_{j\alpha}$ and $A_{j\alpha}$ arises from the fact that the Helmholtz equation (16b) has a variable coefficient, $\kappa_{\sigma\tau}$. Instead, we consider the ad-hoc problem in which $\kappa_{\sigma\tau}$ is replaced by some unknown constant k , that will be determined later on. A method of separation of variables is then used to find the ad-hoc functions $\tilde{\varphi}_{j\alpha}$ and $\tilde{A}_{j\alpha}$. The boundary conditions (16c), (16d) along with (17) indicate that $\tilde{\varphi}_{j\alpha}$ and $\tilde{A}_{j\alpha}$ are linear combinations of $\cos(n\sigma)$ and $\sin(n\sigma)$. Introducing these linear combinations in the Laplace and the Helmholtz equations, we also obtain that $\tilde{\varphi}_{j\alpha}$ (resp. $\tilde{A}_{j\alpha}$) is a linear combination of $\cosh(n\tau)$ and $\sinh(n\tau)$ (resp. $\cosh(l\tau)$ and $\sinh(l\tau)$) with $l = \sqrt{n^2 - (\beta k)^2}$.

All in all, the ad-hoc functions write

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi} &= (iu_{1x}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(n\sigma) \left[\varphi_n^{(1)}(\tau_1, \tau_2, l) \cosh(n\tau) + \varphi_n^{(2)}(\tau_1, \tau_2, l) \sinh(n\tau) \right] \\
&\quad - (iu_{1y}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin(n\sigma) \left[\varphi_n^{(1)}(\tau_1, \tau_2, l) \cosh(n\tau) + \varphi_n^{(2)}(\tau_1, \tau_2, l) \sinh(n\tau) \right] \\
&\quad + (iu_{2x}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(n\sigma) \left[\varphi_n^{(1)}(\tau_2, \tau_1, l) \cosh(n\tau) + \varphi_n^{(2)}(\tau_2, \tau_1, l) \sinh(n\tau) \right] \\
&\quad + (iu_{2y}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin(n\sigma) \left[\varphi_n^{(1)}(\tau_2, \tau_1, l) \cosh(n\tau) + \varphi_n^{(2)}(\tau_2, \tau_1, l) \sinh(n\tau) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{19a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{A}} &= (iu_{1x}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin(n\sigma) \left[A_n^{(1)}(\tau_1, \tau_2, l) \cosh(l\tau) + A_n^{(2)}(\tau_1, \tau_2, l) \sinh(l\tau) \right] \\
&\quad + (iu_{1y}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(n\sigma) \left[A_n^{(1)}(\tau_1, \tau_2, l) \cosh(l\tau) + A_n^{(2)}(\tau_1, \tau_2, l) \sinh(l\tau) \right] \\
&\quad + (iu_{2x}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin(n\sigma) \left[A_n^{(1)}(\tau_2, \tau_1, l) \cosh(l\tau) + A_n^{(2)}(\tau_2, \tau_1, l) \sinh(l\tau) \right] \\
&\quad - (iu_{2y}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos(n\sigma) \left[A_n^{(1)}(\tau_2, \tau_1, l) \cosh(l\tau) + A_n^{(2)}(\tau_2, \tau_1, l) \sinh(l\tau) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{19b}$$

with $\varphi_n^{(j)}$ and $A_n^{(j)}$ given in Appendix B.

Plugging the Helmholtz decomposition $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \nabla\tilde{\varphi} + \nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ and the pressure equation $\tilde{p} = -i\tilde{\varphi}$ in (6) yields the ad-hoc fluid forces $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j^* = \Re\{e^{it}\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_j\}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_{1x} \\ \tilde{f}_{1y} \\ \tilde{f}_{2x} \\ \tilde{f}_{2y} \end{pmatrix} = \pi ([M] - i[C]) \begin{pmatrix} u_{1x} \\ u_{1y} \\ u_{2x} \\ u_{2y} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{20}$$

with $[M]$ and $[C]$ the added mass and damping matrices

$$[M] = \begin{pmatrix} m_{self}^{(1)} & 0 & m_{cross} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{self}^{(1)} & 0 & -m_{cross} \\ m_{cross} & 0 & m_{self}^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & -m_{cross} & 0 & m_{self}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, [C] = \begin{pmatrix} c_{self}^{(1)} & 0 & c_{cross} & 0 \\ 0 & c_{self}^{(1)} & 0 & -c_{cross} \\ c_{cross} & 0 & c_{self}^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & -c_{cross} & 0 & c_{self}^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

The self-added mass $m_{self}^{(j)}$ and damping $c_{self}^{(j)}$ relate the fluid force on \mathcal{C}_j to its own motion. The cross-added mass m_{cross} and damping c_{cross} relate the fluid




Figure 3. Evolutions of k^{LS} , k^{COL} , χ^{LS} , χ^{COL} , versus the Stokes number Sk . The solid lines refer to the least squares approximation and the dotted lines refer to the collocation approximation. The dimensionless separation distance is $\varepsilon = 0.5$ (black color), $\varepsilon = 1$ (red color) and $\varepsilon = 2$ (blue color). The radius ratio is $r = 1$.

force on \mathcal{C}_m to the motion of \mathcal{C}_j , $j \neq m$.

All the fluid added coefficients in (21) are functions of the radius ratio r , the dimensionless separation distance ε and the Stokes number Sk . A general closed-form expression for these coefficients is not tractable, but some simplifications are possible in particular cases. For example, as $Sk \rightarrow \infty$ (inviscid fluid), the flow is purely potential, i.e. $(\tilde{A}, c_{self}^{(j)}, c_{cross}) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0)$, and the added mass coefficients simplify to

$$m_{self}^{(1)} \rightarrow m_{self}^{(1)POT} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4na^2 e^{2n\tau_1}}{\tanh[n(\tau_2 - \tau_1)]} \text{ as } Sk \rightarrow \infty, \quad (22a)$$

$$m_{self}^{(2)} \rightarrow m_{self}^{(2)POT} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4na^2 e^{-2n\tau_2}}{\tanh[n(\tau_2 - \tau_1)]} \text{ as } Sk \rightarrow \infty, \quad (22b)$$

$$m_{cross} \rightarrow m_{cross}^{POT} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-4na^2 e^{-n(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}}{\sinh[n(\tau_2 - \tau_1)]} \text{ as } Sk \rightarrow \infty. \quad (22c)$$

For the sake of clarity, we have reported the study of the variations of $m_{self}^{(j)POT}$ and m_{cross}^{POT} in appendix A.

3.4 Determination of the ad-hoc constant k

In the previous section, we have obtained solutions of an ad-hoc problem in which the Lamé coefficient $\kappa_{\sigma\tau}$ has been replaced by some constant k . As a result, the ad-hoc functions \tilde{A} , $\tilde{\varphi}$ and \tilde{p} do not satisfy the Navier-Stokes

equation (9b), leading to a non zero local residual

$$\mathbf{W} = u_{1x} \mathbf{W}_{1x} + u_{2x} \mathbf{W}_{2x} + u_{1y} \mathbf{W}_{1y} + u_{2y} \mathbf{W}_{2y}, \quad (23)$$

with $\mathbf{W}_{j\alpha} = \nabla \times (\Delta \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{j\alpha} - iSk \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{j\alpha})$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_{j\alpha} = \tilde{A}_{j\alpha} \mathbf{e}_z$. The constant k is determined from the condition that the weighed residual

$$W = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} (|\mathbf{W}_{1x}| w_{1x} + |\mathbf{W}_{2x}| w_{2x} + |\mathbf{W}_{1y}| w_{1y} + |\mathbf{W}_{2y}| w_{2y}) \kappa_{\sigma\tau}^2 d\tau d\sigma, \quad (24)$$

must vanish for some given weight functions $w_{j\alpha}$. In this study, we consider two families of weight functions, which yields two sets of ad-hoc functions. In the least squares method, the weight functions are chosen in the form

$$w_{j\alpha} = \frac{d}{dk} |\mathbf{W}_{j\alpha}|, \quad (25)$$

such that the residual W vanishes when

$$\chi(k) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} (|\mathbf{W}_{1x}|^2 + |\mathbf{W}_{2x}|^2 + |\mathbf{W}_{1y}|^2 + |\mathbf{W}_{2y}|^2) \kappa_{\sigma\tau}^2 d\tau d\sigma, \quad (26)$$

is minimum. We call χ^{LS} this minimum, reached for $k = k^{LS}$.

In the collocation method, the residual W is forced to vanish on the cylinders boundaries. The weight functions are chosen to be the Dirac functions δ

$$w_{j\alpha} = \frac{d}{dk} |\mathbf{W}_{j\alpha}| (\delta(\tau - \tau_1) + \delta(\tau - \tau_2)), \quad (27)$$

such that the residual W vanishes when

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(k) = & \int_0^{2\pi} (|\mathbf{W}_{1x}|^2 + |\mathbf{W}_{2x}|^2 + |\mathbf{W}_{1y}|^2 + |\mathbf{W}_{2y}|^2) \kappa_{\sigma\tau}^2(\sigma, \tau_1) d\sigma \\ & + \int_0^{2\pi} (|\mathbf{W}_{1x}|^2 + |\mathbf{W}_{2x}|^2 + |\mathbf{W}_{1y}|^2 + |\mathbf{W}_{2y}|^2) \kappa_{\sigma\tau}^2(\sigma, \tau_2) d\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

is minimum. We call χ^{COL} this minimum, reached for $k = k^{COL}$.

The evolutions of k^{LS} , k^{COL} , χ^{LS} and χ^{COL} , versus the Stokes number Sk are shown in Fig. 3, for equal size cylinders ($r = 1$) and three dimensionless separation distances $\varepsilon = \{0.5, 1, 2\}$. We find that both k^{LS} and k^{COL} decrease with Sk , increase with ε , but remain close to 1. This can be explained from the fact that the bipolar coordinates (σ, τ) are conformally equivalent to the cartesian coordinates (x, y) , in which the Helmholtz equation is similar to (16b) under the change $(\sigma, \tau, \kappa_{\sigma\tau}) \rightarrow (x, y, 1)$. The evolutions of χ^{LS} and

χ^{COL} indicate that the theory becomes less accurate as the Stokes number and the dimensionless separation distance decrease (i.e. as the viscous and the confinement effects becomes preponderant).

4 Numerical simulation

4.1 Solving the Navier-Stokes equations

The numerical method to solve the Navier-Stokes equations (5) is based on the projection method of [60] and the delta formulation of [61]. The equations are discretized following a finite volume approach on a staggered structured grid (MAC procedure) with a second order approximation in time and space. A differentiation formula (BDF2) is used for the time discretization of (5b), leading to

$$\frac{3\mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)}}{2\delta t} + \frac{-4\mathbf{v}^{*(n)} + \mathbf{v}^{*(n-1)}}{2\delta t} + \mathbf{NL}^{(n+1)} + \nabla p^{*(n)} - \frac{1}{Sk}\Delta\mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (29)$$

with $\mathbf{NL} = KC \nabla \mathbf{v}^* \cdot \mathbf{v}^*$ and n the subscript for the time step. The convective term at time $(n+1)\delta t$ is computed from a linear extrapolation of the estimated values at time $n\delta t$ and $(n-1)\delta t$, i.e. $\mathbf{NL}^{(n+1)} = 2\mathbf{NL}^{(n)} - \mathbf{NL}^{(n-1)}$. The space discretization of the convective and viscous terms are approximated with a second order centered-scheme. An implicit discretization is applied to the viscous term in order to increase the numerical stability. The pressure gradient is explicitly defined, as suggested in the projection method.

Introducing $\delta v_i^{*(n+1)} = v_i^{*(n+1)} - v_i^{*(n)}$ as the time increment of the i -th component of the velocity vector \mathbf{v}^* , the equation (29) reduces to a Helmholtz equation

$$\delta v_i^{*(n+1)} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{\delta t}{Sk} \Delta [\delta v_i^{*(n+1)}] = S_i^{(n,n-1)}, \quad (30)$$

where $S_i^{(n,n-1)}$ contains all the explicit terms of (29). The equation (30) is solved by means of an Alternating Direction Implicit method, see [62].

The Helmholtz decomposition of $\mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)}$ with a potential function Φ yields the two equations

$$\Delta\Phi = \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)}}{\delta t} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi = p^{*(n+1)} - p^{*(n)} - \frac{1}{Sk} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)}. \quad (31)$$

The Poisson's equation is solved using a direct method based on the partial diagonalization of the Laplace operator. Having determined Φ , the pressure at time $(n+1)\delta t$ is computed from the second equation of (31). Finally,

the velocity field $\mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)}$ is corrected in order to satisfy the divergence-free condition

$$\mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)} := \mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)} - \frac{3}{2}\delta t \nabla \Phi. \quad (32)$$

4.2 The pseudo penalization method

The pseudo penalization method is based on the standard volume penalty method, see [49,54,55], and has shown to be effective in solving fluid-structure interaction problems involving moving bodies, see [53,59]. The principle is to solve some penalized Navier-Stokes equations over a single domain, instead of considering two separate domains (fluid and solid) interacting through a set of boundary conditions. The original contribution of [53] relies on the removal of specific terms in the Navier-Stokes equations in order to turn them into steady penalized Stokes equations in the solid domains, where the penalty term is directly provided by the time-discretization scheme.

The penalization of (29) writes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3\mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)}}{2\delta t} + (1 - \chi) \left[\frac{-4\mathbf{v}^{*(n)} + \mathbf{v}^{*(n-1)}}{2\delta t} + \mathbf{NL}^{(n+1)} \right] + \nabla p^{*(n)} - \frac{1}{Sk} \Delta \mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)} \\ = \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

with χ a penalty function defined as $\chi = 1$ in the solid domains and $\chi = 0$ in the fluid domain. In (33), $3\mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)}/(2\delta t)$ can be seen as a forcing term that makes \mathbf{v}^* to tend to zero in the solid domains. Although \mathbf{v}^* does not strictly vanishes in the solid domains, the consistency of the method scales as $\delta t/Sk$. Since the forcing term is provided by the time step, $3/(2\delta t)$, it does not affect the stiffness of the equations, preventing spurious effects or stability constraints, unlike the standard penalization methods.

For a body moving with a velocity \mathbf{v}_0^* , (33) can be reformulated as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3\mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)}}{2\delta t} + (1 - \chi) \left[\frac{-4\mathbf{v}^{*(n)} + \mathbf{v}^{*(n-1)}}{2\delta t} + \mathbf{NL}^{(n+1)} \right] + \nabla p^{*(n)} - \frac{1}{Sk} \Delta \mathbf{v}^{*(n+1)} \\ = \chi \frac{3\mathbf{v}_0^*}{2\delta t}, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and solved with the numerical method mentioned in section 4.1.

5 Results

We now present the results of our predictions, considering the case in which \mathcal{C}_1 is stationary while \mathcal{C}_2 is imposed a sinusoidal displacement in the x - direction. For the geometric parameters, we have investigated the case of two equal size cylinders, corresponding to a radius ratio $r = 1$. Three representative values were chosen for the dimensionless separation distance (depicted in the insets of figures 4, 5 and 6): a small gap, $\varepsilon = 0.5$; a gap with size one radius, $\varepsilon = 1$; and a large gap, $\varepsilon = 2$. In the presentation of our results, we first consider the effect of the Stokes number $100 \leq Sk \leq 900$ and the dimensionless separation distance on the time evolution of the fluid forces. We then analyze the evolution of the magnitude h_j and phase ϕ_j of the forces, including the case $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ for which Stokes [47] obtained

$$f_{2x} = \pi \left(m_{self}^{ISO} - i c_{self}^{ISO} \right) u_{2x} = \pi \left[1 + \frac{4}{\sqrt{iSk}} \frac{K_1(\sqrt{iSk})}{K_0(\sqrt{iSk})} \right] u_{2x}, \quad (35)$$

with K_0 and K_1 the modified Bessel functions of second kind. We finally study the evolution of the fluid added coefficients and derive some scaling laws for large Stokes numbers. Throughout the study, we perform some numerical simulations to corroborate the theoretical predictions, also providing a discussion on the limitations of both approaches.

5.1 Theoretical predictions

Since the problem is symmetric about the axis $\tau = 0$, we have $\tau_1 = -\tau_2$, $m_{self}^{(1)} = m_{self}^{(2)} = m_{self}$, $c_{self}^{(1)} = c_{self}^{(2)} = c_{self}$ and $m_{self}^{(1)POT} = m_{self}^{(2)POT} = m_{self}^{POT}$. The dimensionless ad-hoc fluid forces are computed from (20), with $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{0}$, $u_{2x} = -i$ and $u_{2y} = 0$, leading to

$$\tilde{f}_{1x} = \pi (m_{cross} - i c_{cross}) u_{2x} = h_1 e^{i\phi_1} u_{2x}, \quad (36a)$$

$$\tilde{f}_{2x} = \pi (m_{self} - i c_{self}) u_{2x} = h_2 e^{i\phi_2} u_{2x}. \quad (36b)$$

5.2 Numerical setup

Concerning the numerical simulations, the computational domain size S is considered sufficiently large to minimize the end effects. For the small and medium separation distances ($\varepsilon = 0.5$ and $\varepsilon = 1$), we set $S = 20 \times 17$. For $\varepsilon = 2$, we set $S = 22 \times 17$ so that the distance between the cylinders and the domain ends is similar to the cases $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and $\varepsilon = 1$. For all the simulations, the Keulegan-Carpenter number is set to $KC = 10^{-2}$.




Figure 4. Dimensionless fluid force $\Re\{e^{it}f_{jx}\}$ as a function of the dimensionless time t , for various Stokes numbers Sk . The dimensionless separation distance is $\varepsilon = 0.5$.

The cartesian grid is built with a regular distribution over the cylinder domains, including the displacement zone. The dimensionless cell size is 2×10^{-3} in both the x and y directions. It follows that the smallest spatial scale of our problem, i.e. the cylinder displacement, is discretized over ten square cells, which yields a satisfying spatial resolution. The cell-size distribution outside the cylinder domain is performed with a hyperbolic tangent function and vary from 2×10^{-3} to 3.25×10^{-2} , with a maximum size ratio of 1.42%. The meshsize is 3060×1850 for $\varepsilon = 0.5$ and $\varepsilon = 1$, and 3300×1850 for $\varepsilon = 2$. The time step is set to $\delta t = 2 \times 10^{-3}$ for $Sk = 100$ and $\delta t = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ for $Sk > 100$. Regarding the boundary conditions at the domain ends, the normal velocity is set to zero to ensure a null flow rate far from the cylinders and the normal derivative of the tangential component is imposed to zero. The normal component of the pressure gradient is also set to zero, which is the usual boundary condition for the pressure field when the flow rate is imposed.

5.3 Fluid forces

The time evolutions of the fluid forces are depicted in figures 4, 5 and 6. The theoretical predictions show that the forces are sinusoidal functions whose amplitude and phase depend on Sk (viscous effects) and ε (confinement effects). To study this sensitivity, we plot in figure 7 the evolutions of the magnitude




Figure 5. Dimensionless fluid force $\Re\{e^{it} f_{jx}\}$ as a function of the dimensionless time t , for various Stokes numbers Sk . The dimensionless separation distance is $\varepsilon = 1$.




Figure 6. Dimensionless fluid force $\Re\{e^{it} f_{jx}\}$ as a function of the dimensionless time t , for various Stokes numbers Sk . The dimensionless separation distance is $\varepsilon = 2$.




Figure 7. Evolutions of a) the magnitude $h_j = |f_{jx}/u_{2x}|$ and b) the phase $\phi_j = \text{angle}(f_{jx}/u_{2x})$ versus the Stokes number Sk . On c), the arrows show the direction of the fluid forces depending on Sk , ε and the dimensionless displacement of C_2 . The solid lines refer to the least squares approximation and the dotted lines refer to the collocation approximation. The dimensionless separation distance is $\varepsilon = 0.5$ (black color), $\varepsilon = 1$ (red color), $\varepsilon = 2$ (blue color) and $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ (green color). On a) and b), the horizontal dashed lines are the asymptotic limits as $Sk \rightarrow \infty$. Closed circles correspond to numerical predictions. On b) and c) the black, blue and red circles are indistinguishable because they are superimposed.

h_j and the phase ϕ_j . We observe that h_j is maximum for the moving cylinder, diverges to infinity when $Sk \rightarrow 0$ and decreases to $h_1 \rightarrow \pi|m_{cross}^{POT}|$ and $h_2 \rightarrow \pi|m_{self}^{POT}|$ as $Sk \rightarrow \infty$ (inviscid fluid). The magnitude is also shown to be maximum for the small values of ε (strong confinement) and to decrease to $h_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $h_2 \rightarrow \pi|m_{self}^{ISO}|$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ (isolated cylinders). Thus, as one would expect, the fluid forces are all the more intense as both the viscous and confinement effects are important.

The figure 7 b) shows that the forces are in phase opposition, i.e. $\phi_1 = \phi_2 + \pi$, with ϕ_1 increasing from $\phi_1 \rightarrow \pi/2$ as $Sk \rightarrow 0$ to $\phi_1 \rightarrow \pi$ as $Sk \rightarrow \infty$. We note that the confinement has a very weak effect on the phase, leading to a slight increase of ϕ_j with ε . The variations of ϕ_j imply that the direction of the fluid forces depends on Sk and, to a lesser extent on ε . From (36), the fluid forces vanish and reverse their direction when $\Re\{e^{it}\tilde{f}_{jx}\} = \Re\{e^{i(t+\phi_j)}h_j u_{jx}\} = h_j \sin(t + \phi_j) = 0$, i.e. $t = -\phi_j + k\pi, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. At that time, the dimensionless displacement $u_2 = \sin(t)$ of the moving cylinder equals $u_2^* = \pm \sin(\phi_j)$. In figure 7 c), we show that the fluid forces cause the cylinders to attract (resp. repel) each other when $-1 \leq u_2 < -|u_2^*|$ (resp. $|u_2^*| \leq u_2 < 1$). In the narrow range $-|u_2^*| \leq u_2 < |u_2^*|$, the cylinders are attracted (resp. repelled) to each other if the velocity of the moving cylinder is positive (resp. negative). An estimation of u_2^* is made possible from the observation that it is weakly sensitive to ε (at least for $\varepsilon \geq 0.5$) and thus can be approximated by its limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$. From (35) and $u_2^* = \pm \sin(\phi_2) = \pm \sin(\arg(f_{2x}/u_{2x}))$, it comes that

$$u_2^* \approx \pm \sin\left(\arctan\left(\frac{c_{self}^{ISO}}{m_{self}^{ISO}}\right)\right) \approx \pm \frac{c_{self}^{ISO}}{\sqrt{(m_{self}^{ISO})^2 + (c_{self}^{ISO})^2}}, \quad (37)$$

which is the equation of the green line ($\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$) shown in figure 7 c). An asymptotic expansion of the modified Bessel functions K_j entering in the definition of m_{self}^{ISO} and c_{self}^{ISO} , see (35), yields that $u_2^* = O(Sk^{-1/2})$ as $Sk \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, we note that the theoretical predictions for h_j and ϕ_j are successfully corroborated by the numerical simulations, in the sense that similar trends are clearly recovered. Still, we note that the numerical simulations are poorly sensitive to ε and slightly underestimate the magnitude h_2 of the fluid force acting on the moving cylinder, especially in the range of low Stokes numbers. A detailed discussion on the differences between the theoretical and numerical approaches is reported in section 5.5.

5.4 Fluid added coefficients

We now proceed with analyzing the evolutions of the fluid added coefficients m_{self} , c_{self} , m_{cross} and c_{cross} entering in the computation of the fluid forces.




Figure 8. Evolutions of the fluid added coefficients versus the Stokes number, Sk . The solid lines refer to the least squares approximation and the dotted lines refer to the collocation approximation. The dimensionless separation distance is $\varepsilon = 0.5$ (black color), $\varepsilon = 1$ (red color), $\varepsilon = 2$ (blue color) and $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ (green color). The horizontal dashed lines are the asymptotic limits (22) as $Sk \rightarrow \infty$. Closed circles correspond to numerical predictions.

The evolutions of m_{self} and c_{self} are depicted in figures 8 a) and b). We observe that m_{self} and c_{self} diverge to infinity as $Sk \rightarrow 0$ and decrease to $m_{self} \rightarrow m_{self}^{POT}$ and $c_{self} \rightarrow 0$ as $Sk \rightarrow \infty$ (inviscid fluid). The log-log plots in the insets of figures 8 a) and b) indicate that

$$m_{self} = m_{self}^{Pot} + O(Sk^{-1/2}) \quad \text{and} \quad c_{self} = O(Sk^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as} \quad Sk \rightarrow \infty. \quad (38)$$

In addition to the dependence on the Stokes number, m_{self} and c_{self} are also sensitive to the confinement. The two coefficients are maximum for the small values of ε (strong confinement) and decrease to $m_{self} \rightarrow m_{self}^{ISO}$ and $c_{self} \rightarrow c_{self}^{ISO}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ (isolated cylinders). As both Sk and ε tend to infin-

ity, we recover the classical results for an isolated cylinder in a perfect fluid, $m_{self} \rightarrow 1$ and $c_{self} \rightarrow 0$.

The evolutions of m_{cross} and c_{cross} are depicted in figures 8 c) and d). We observe that m_{cross} is negative and converges to $m_{cross} \rightarrow 0$ as $Sk \rightarrow 0$. As Sk increases, m_{cross} first decreases, then hits a minimum, and finally increases to $m_{cross} \rightarrow m_{cross}^{POT}$ as $Sk \rightarrow \infty$. We hypothesize that the non-monotonic variations of m_{cross} are related to an antagonist competition between the viscous and the confinement effects. The term c_{cross} is also negative, diverges to $c_{cross} \rightarrow -\infty$ as $Sk \rightarrow 0$ and increases to $c_{cross} \rightarrow 0$ as $Sk \rightarrow \infty$. The log-log plots in the insets of figures 8 c) and d) indicate that

$$m_{cross} = m_{cross}^{Pot} + O\left(Sk^{-1/2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad c_{cross} = O\left(Sk^{-1/2}\right) \quad \text{as} \quad Sk \rightarrow \infty. \quad (39)$$

The coefficients m_{cross} and c_{cross} are also sensitive to the confinement: they are minimum for the small values of ε (strong confinement) and increase to $m_{cross} \rightarrow 0$ and $c_{cross} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ (isolated cylinders). In such a case, and as expected, there is no fluid force acting on the stationary cylinder.

Here again, the theoretical predictions for the fluid added coefficients are successfully corroborated by the numerical simulations, in the sense that similar variations are recovered. However, we note that both approaches do not exactly exhibit the same sensitivity to the confinement effect, leading to some deviations in the predictions, in particular concerning the self added coefficients at low Stokes numbers. We discuss the possible origins of these deviations in the next section.

5.5 Discussion on numerics versus theory

The figure 8 shows that the simulations tend to underestimate m_{self} and c_{self} , and surestimate m_{cross} and c_{cross} . To quantify this deviation, we introduce the quantity ι , defined as the relative distance between the numerical and the theoretical predictions of some quantity Q : $\iota = |Q_{num.} - Q_{th.}|/|Q_{num.}|$. The figure 9 and the tables in appendix C show that ι is maximum for the small values of Sk and ε . We attribute this deviation to the fact that the theoretical approach is based on an approximation (least squares or collocation method) which loses its accuracy when Sk and ε become small, as shown in the study of the residuals in figure 3 b). Also, the numerical simulation, which is based on a penalization method, hardly makes the difference between the solid and the fluid domains for the low values of Sk . In any case, the relative deviation for m_{self} (resp. m_{cross}) is always smaller than $\iota \leq 10\%$ (resp. $\iota < 20\%$). The deviation for the damping coefficients c_{self} and c_{cross} is more pronounced, with $\iota \leq 50\%$ and $\iota \leq 35\%$, respectively. Note that the maximum deviations are observed for $Sk \in [0, 400]$, $\varepsilon < 1$, and are less important when using the least




Figure 9. Evolutions of the relative deviation, ι , versus the Stokes number, Sk . The solid lines refer to the least squares approximation while the dotted lines refer to the collocation approximation. The dimensionless separation distance is $\epsilon = 0.5$ (black color), $\epsilon = 1$ (red color), $\epsilon = 2$ (blue color).

squares method. Even if the approximations of the theoretical and numerical approaches can be invoked, the slope stepness of the damping coefficients also contributes to the enhancement of the relative deviation in such a range of Sk and ϵ . It follows that both approaches yield similar trends, bringing out the same behavior of the fluid coefficients, despite some deviations in the particular case of a very viscous fluid (low Sk) in a confined environment ($\epsilon < 1$).

6 Conclusion

We have considered the problem of the small oscillations of two cylinders immersed in a viscous fluid initially at rest. A theoretical approach based on an Helmholtz decomposition of the fluid velocity vector and a bipolar coordinate system has been carried out to estimate the fluid forces acting on the two cylinders. In addition to this new theoretical work, we also have developed a numerical approach based on a pseudo-penalization method. Such a numerical method has been shown particularly efficient in solving fluid-structure interaction problems, in particular for moderate or high Stokes numbers.

We studied the case in which one cylinder is stationary while the other one is imposed an harmonic motion. We show that the amplitude, the phase and the direction of the fluid forces are sensitive to the Stokes number and the separation distance between the cylinders. The two forces are in phase opposition and their amplitude decreases to the inviscid limits as Sk increases. The effect of viscosity is to add to the ideal fluid added coefficients a correction term which scales as $Sk^{-1/2}$. When the separation distance increases, the fluid coefficients converge to the limits of an isolated cylinder derived by Stokes [47]. The theoretical predictions are successfully corroborated by the numerical simulations, in the sense that similar trends are recovered, despite some deviations for low Sk and ε .

As an improvement to our previous work on ideal fluids [48], the new theoretical approach carried out in the present article is able to capture the effects of viscosity on the fluid forces. It offers a simple and flexible alternative to the fastidious and hardly tractable approach developed by [2]. To our knowledge, this is also the first time that the pseudo-penalization method is presented in the context of relatively small Stokes numbers. As such, the present work should foster further developements of this easy to implement numerical method, to tackle complex fluid-structure interaction problems.

A Evolutions of $m_{self}^{(j)POT}$ and m_{cross}^{POT}

In this appendix, we study the variations of the fluid added coefficients $m_{self}^{(j)POT}$ and m_{cross}^{POT} , given by (22).

We show in figure A.1 that $m_{self}^{(j)POT}$ (resp. m_{cross}^{POT}) increases (resp. decreases) with the radius ratio r while it decreases (resp. increases) with the dimensionless separation distance ε . When $r \rightarrow 0$, the cylinder \mathcal{C}_1 transforms to a point and the system is equivalent to an isolated cylinder \mathcal{C}_2 , leading to the classical result $m_{self}^{(2)POT} \rightarrow 1$. On the other hand, when $r \rightarrow \infty$, the cylinder




Figure A.1. Fluid added coefficients, given by (22), versus the radius ratio r . Evolution of a) $m_{self}^{(1)POT}$, b) $m_{self}^{(2)POT}$ and c) m_{cross}^{POT} . The horizontal dotted lines on b) and c) show the limits as $r \rightarrow \infty$, see eq. (A.1). The dimensionless separation distance is $\varepsilon = 0.5$ (black color), $\varepsilon = 1$ (red color), $\varepsilon = 2$ (blue color).

\mathcal{C}_1 transforms to an infinite plane and the system is equivalent to a cylinder \mathcal{C}_2 near a wall. In such a case, we obtain

$$m_{self}^{(1)POT} \rightarrow \infty, \quad (\text{A.1a})$$

$$m_{self}^{(2)POT} \rightarrow m_{self}^{WALL} = -4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n\varepsilon(2+\varepsilon) \left(4^n + 16^n (2\varepsilon + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon(2+\varepsilon)} + 2)^{-2n} \right)}{\left(2\varepsilon + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon(2+\varepsilon)} + 2 \right)^{2n} + 4^n}, \quad (\text{A.1b})$$

$$m_{cross}^{POT} \rightarrow m_{cross}^{WALL} = 8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4^n n \varepsilon (2 + \varepsilon)}{4^n - \left(2\sqrt{\varepsilon(2+\varepsilon)} + 2\varepsilon + 2 \right)^{2n}}. \quad (\text{A.1c})$$




Figure A.2. Added mass coefficient m_{self}^{WALL} , given by (A.1) for a cylinder vibrating near a wall.

Values of m_{self}^{WALL} are presented in figure A.2, showing a perfect agreement with the predictions of [63] and [64].

B Functions $\varphi_n^{(j)}$ and $A_n^{(j)}$

The functions $\varphi_n^{(j)}$ and $A_n^{(j)}$ appearing in (19) are determined from the boundary conditions (16c), (16d). It yields a linear system of equations, whose solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varphi_n^{(1)}(\xi_1, \xi_2, l) \\ \varphi_n^{(2)}(\xi_1, \xi_2, l) \\ A_n^{(1)}(\xi_1, \xi_2, l) \\ A_n^{(2)}(\xi_1, \xi_2, l) \end{bmatrix} = [M_n(\xi_1, \xi_2, l)]^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -2nae^{-n|\xi_1|} \operatorname{sgn}(\xi_1) \\ -2nae^{-n|\xi_1|} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

with

$$[M_n(\xi_1, \xi_2, l)] = \begin{bmatrix} -n \cosh(n\xi_1) & -n \sinh(n\xi_1) & \sinh(l\xi_1)l & \cosh(l\xi_1)l \\ n \sinh(n\xi_1) & n \cosh(n\xi_1) & -n \cosh(l\xi_1) & -n \sinh(l\xi_1) \\ -n \cosh(n\xi_2) & -n \sinh(n\xi_2) & \sinh(l\xi_2)l & \cosh(l\xi_2)l \\ n \sinh(n\xi_2) & n \cosh(n\xi_2) & -n \cosh(l\xi_2) & -n \sinh(l\xi_2) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Sk	m_{self}		c_{self}		m_{cross}		c_{cross}	
	LS	COL	LS	COL	LS	COL	LS	COL
	Numerics		Numerics		Numerics		Numerics	
	ι^{LS}	ι^{COL}	ι^{LS}	ι^{COL}	ι^{LS}	ι^{COL}	ι^{LS}	ι^{COL}
100	1.48	1.49	0.498	0.547	-0.443	-0.449	-0.157	-0.175
	1.37		0.364		-0.408		-0.130	
	8.0%	8.8%	37%	50%	8.6%	10%	21%	35%
300	1.32	1.33	0.272	0.300	-0.403	-0.410	-0.0815	-0.0926
	1.24		0.208		-0.372		-0.0706	
	6.5%	7.3%	31%	44%	8.3%	10%	15%	31%
500	1.26	1.28	0.207	0.228	-0.390	-0.395	-0.0611	-0.0693
	1.20		0.171		-0.358		-0.0581	
	5.0%	6.7%	21%	33%	8.9%	10%	5.2%	19%
700	1.24	1.25	0.173	0.191	-0.382	-0.387	-0.0507	-0.0577
	1.18		0.148		-0.353		-0.0499	
	5.1%	5.9%	17%	29%	8.2%	9.6%	1.6%	16%
900	1.22	1.23	0.152	0.167	-0.377	-0.382	-0.0441	-0.0502
	1.17		0.133		-0.349		-0.0448	
	4.3%	5.1%	14%	26%	8.0%	9.5%	1.6%	12%

Table C.1

Table of the fluid added coefficients and the relative deviation, ι . The notations LS and COL refer to the Least Squares and Collocation methods. The dimensionless separation distance is $\varepsilon = 0.5$.

C Tables of comparison numerics versus theory

In this appendix, we report the theoretical and numerical values of the fluid added coefficients m_{self} , c_{self} , m_{cross} and c_{cross} , for $\varepsilon = 0.5$ (table C.1), $\varepsilon = 1$ (table C.2) and $\varepsilon = 2$ (table C.3). The numerical values correspond to the closed symbols shown in figure 8. The relative deviation ι is also reported in the tables.

References

- [1] S. B. Furber, J. E. Ffowcswilliams, Is the weis-fogh principle exploitable in turbomachinery?, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 94 (1979) 519–540.
- [2] S. S. Chen, Vibration of nuclear fuel bundles, *Nucl. Eng. Des.* 35 (1975) 399–422.
- [3] S. S. Chen, Dynamics of heat exchanger tube banks, *J. Fluid. Eng.* 99 (1977) 462–469.
- [4] E. De Langre, Effects of wind on plants, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* 40 (2008) 141–168.
- [5] O. Doare, S. Michelin, Piezoelectric coupling in energy-harvesting fluttering flexible plates: linear stability analysis and conversion efficiency, *J. Fluids Struct.* 27 (2011) 1357–1375.

Sk	m_{self}		c_{self}		m_{cross}		c_{cross}	
	LS	COL	LS	COL	LS	COL	LS	COL
	Numerics		Numerics		Numerics		Numerics	
	ι^{LS}	ι^{COL}	ι^{LS}	ι^{COL}	ι^{LS}	ι^{COL}	ι^{LS}	ι^{COL}
100	1.35	1.36	0.390	0.413	-0.293	-0.296	-0.0910	-0.0990
	1.30		0.326		-0.283		-0.0754	
	3.8%	4.6%	20%	27%	3.5%	4.6%	21%	31%
300	1.22	1.22	0.210	0.219	-0.266	-0.268	-0.0475	-0.0508
	1.19		0.189		-0.240		-0.0419	
	2.5%	2.5%	11%	16%	11%	12%	13%	21%
500	1.18	1.18	0.159	0.165	-0.258	-0.259	-0.0357	-0.0379
	1.14		0.156		-0.231		-0.0348	
	3.5%	3.5%	1.9%	5.8%	12%	12%	2.6%	8.9%
700	1.15	1.16	0.133	0.138	-0.253	-0.254	-0.0297	-0.0314
	1.13		0.135		-0.228		-0.0300	
	1.8%	2.6%	1.5%	2.2%	11%	11%	1.0%	4.7%
900	1.14	1.14	0.116	0.120	-0.250	-0.251	-0.0258	-0.0273
	1.12		0.122		-0.226		-0.0270	
	1.8%	1.8%	4.9%	1.6%	11%	11%	4.4%	1.1%

Table C.2

Table of the fluid added coefficients and the relative deviation, ι . The notations LS and COL refer to the Least Squares and Collocation methods. The dimensionless separation distance is $\varepsilon = 1$.

Sk	m_{self}		c_{self}		m_{cross}		c_{cross}	
	LS	COL	LS	COL	LS	COL	LS	COL
	Numerics		Numerics		Numerics		Numerics	
	ι^{LS}	ι^{COL}	ι^{LS}	ι^{COL}	ι^{LS}	ι^{COL}	ι^{LS}	ι^{COL}
100	1.31	1.32	0.329	0.338	-0.160	-0.162	-0.0465	-0.0498
	1.27		0.310		-0.135		-0.0372	
	3.1%	3.9%	6.1%	9.0%	19%	20%	25%	34%
300	1.19	1.19	0.185	0.188	-0.146	-0.147	-0.0242	-0.0254
	1.16		0.181		-0.123		-0.0208	
	2.6%	2.6%	2.2%	3.9%	19%	20%	16%	22%
500	1.15	1.15	0.142	0.144	-0.142	-0.142	-0.0182	-0.0189
	1.12		0.149		-0.119		-0.0174	
	2.7%	2.7%	4.7%	3.4%	19%	19%	4.6%	8.6%
700	1.13	1.13	0.120	0.121	-0.139	-0.140	-0.0152	-0.0157
	1.11		0.130		-0.117		-0.0150	
	1.8%	1.8%	7.7%	6.9%	19%	20%	1.3%	4.7%
900	1.11	1.11	0.105	0.106	-0.138	-0.138	-0.0132	-0.0136
	1.10		0.117		-0.116		-0.0135	
	0.91%	0.91%	10%	9.4%	19%	19%	2.2%	0.74%

Table C.3

Table of the fluid added coefficients and the relative deviation, ι . The notations LS and COL refer to the Least Squares and Collocation methods. The dimensionless separation distance is $\varepsilon = 2$.

- [6] K. Singh, S. Michelin, E. De Langre, Energy harvesting from axial fluid-elastic instabilities of a cylinder, *Journal of Fluids and Structures* 30 (2012) 159 – 172.
- [7] S. Michelin, O. Doare, Energy harvesting efficiency of piezoelectric flags in axial flows, *J. Fluid Mech.* 714 (2013) 489–504.
- [8] E. Viot, X. Amandolese, P. Hemon, Coupling between a flag and a spring-mass oscillator, *Journal of Fluids and Structures* 65 (2016) 447–454.
- [9] C. Eloy, R. Lagrange, C. Souilliez, L. Schouveiler, Aeroelastic instability of cantilevered flexible plates in uniform flow, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 611 (2008) 97–106.
- [10] P. L. G. DuBuat, *Principes d’Hydraulique*, 1786.
- [11] S. D. Poisson, Sur les mouvements simultanés d’un pendule et de l’air environnant, *Mem. Acad. Roy. Sc.*, 1832.
- [12] G. Green, Researches on the vibration of pendulums in fluid media, *Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* 13 (1833) 54–68.
- [13] G. G. Stokes, On some cases of Fluid Motion, *Trans. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 1843.
- [14] R. W. Clough, Effects of earthquakes on underwater structures, *Proc. of 2nd World Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, 1960.
- [15] A. R. Chandrasekaran, S. S. Saini, M. M. Malhotra, Virtual mass of submerged structures, *Journal of the Hydraulics Div., Proc. of the ASCE.*, 1972.
- [16] T. E. Stelson, F. T. Mavis, Virtual mass and acceleration in fluids, *Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers* 122 (1957) 518–525.
- [17] G. H. Keulegan, L. H. Carpenter, Forces on cylinders and plates in an oscillating fluid, *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards* 60 (1958) 2857.
- [18] C. J. Garrison, R. B. Berklitc, Hydrodynamic loads induced by earthquakes, *Offshore Technology Conference Proc.*, 1976.
- [19] T. Sarpkaya, Separated flow about lifting bodies and impulsive flow about cylinders, *AIAA Journal* 4 (1966) 414–420.
- [20] A. R. Chandrasekaran, S. S. Saini, Vibration of submerged structures, *Water and Energy International* 28 (1971) 263–268.
- [21] R. A. Skop, S. E. Ramberg, K. M. Ferer, Add Mass and Damping Forces on Circular Cylinders, Washington : Dept. of Defense, Dept. of the Navy, Office of Naval Research, Naval Research Laboratory ; Springfield, 1976.
- [22] T. Sarpkaya, Forces on cylinders and spheres in a sinusoidally oscillating fluid, *Journal of Applied Mechanics* 42 (1975) 32–37.
- [23] T. Yamamoto, J. H. Nath, Hydrodynamic forces on groups of cylinders, *Offshore Technology Conference Proc.*, 1976.
- [24] T. Yamamoto, Hydrodynamic forces on multiple circular cylinders, *Journal of the Hydraulics Division, Proc. of the ASCE*, 1976.
- [25] C. Dalton, R. A. Helfinstine, Potential flow past a group of circular cylinders, *Journal of Basic Engineering, Trans. ASME*, 1971.

- [26] S. S. Chen, H. Chung, Design guide for calculating hydrodynamic mass. part 1: Circular cylindrical structures, Argonne National Laboratory, ANL-CT-76-45, 1976.
- [27] S. S. Chen, W. Wambsganss, J. A. Jendrzejczyk, Added mass and damping of a vibrating rod in confined viscous fluids, *Journal of Applied Mechanics* 43 (1976) 325–329.
- [28] P. M. Moretti, R. L. Lowery, Hydrodynamic inertia coefficients for a tube surrounded by rigid tubes, *Journal of Pressure Vessel Technology* 98 (1976) 190–193.
- [29] Y. S. Shin, M. W. Wambsganss, Flow-induced vibration in lmfbr steam generators: A state-of-the-art review, *Nuclear Engineering and Design* 40 (1977) 235–284.
- [30] C. H., S. S. Chen, Vibration of a group of circular cylinders in a confined fluid, *Journal of Applied Mechanics* 44 (1977) 213–217.
- [31] S. S. Chen, Vibrations of a group of circular cylindrical structures in a liquid, *Trans. of the 3rd International Conf. on Structural Mechanics in Reactor Technology* 1 (1975) 1–11.
- [32] R. W. Wu, L. K. Liu, S. Levy, Dynamic analysis of multibody system immersed in a fluid medium, *Trans. of the 4th International Conf. on Structural Mechanics in Reactor Technology* (1977) 1–14.
- [33] W. M. Hicks, On the motion of two cylinders in a fluid, *The Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 16 (1879) 113–140, 193–219.
- [34] A. G. Greenhill, Functional images in cartesian, *The Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 18 (182) 356–362.
- [35] A. B. Basset, *A Treatise on Hydrodynamics*, Deighton, Bell and co., 1888.
- [36] L. H. Carpenter, On the motion of two cylinders in an ideal fluid, *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand.* 61 (1958) 83–87.
- [37] G. Birkhoff, *Hydrodynamics*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 2nd ed., 1960.
- [38] R. J. Gibert, M. Sagner, Vibration of structures in a static fluid medium, *La Houille Blanche* 1/2 (1980) 204–262.
- [39] L. Landweber, A. Shahshahan, Added masses and forces on two bodies approaching central impact in an inviscid fluid, in: *Technical Rep. 346*, Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research, 1991.
- [40] Q. X. Wang, Interaction of two circular cylinders in inviscid fluid, *Phys. Fluids* 16 (2004) 4412.
- [41] D. A. Burton, J. Gratus, R. W. Tucker, Hydrodynamic forces on two moving discs, *Theoret. Appl. Mech.* 31 (2004) 153–188.
- [42] A. A. Tchieu, D. Crowdy, A. Leonard, Fluid-structure interaction of two bodies in an inviscid fluid, *Phys. Fluids* 22 (2010) 107101.

- [43] Y. M. Scolan, S. Etienne, On the use of conformal mapping for the computation of hydrodynamic forces acting on bodies of arbitrary shape in viscous flow. part 2: multi-body configuration, *Journal of Engineering Mathematics* 61 (2008) 17–34.
- [44] D. G. Crowdy, Analytical solutions for uniform potential flow past multiple cylinders, *Eur. J. Mech. B/Fluids* 25 (2006) 459–470.
- [45] D. G. Crowdy, A new calculus for two-dimensional vortex dynamics, *Theor. Comput. Fluid Dyn.* 24 (2010) 9.
- [46] P. K. T., Tables of hydrodynamic mass factors for translational motion, ASME, 1965.
- [47] G. G. Stokes, On the effect of the internal friction of fluids on pendulums, *Trans. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 1850.
- [48] R. Lagrange, X. Delaune, P. Piteau, L. Borsoi, J. Antunes, A new analytical approach for modeling the added mass and hydrodynamic interaction of two cylinders subjected to large motions in a potential stagnant fluid, *J. Fluids Struct.* 77 (2018) 102–114.
- [49] C. S. Peskin, The immersed boundary method, *Acta Numer.* 11 (2002) 479–517.
- [50] R. Loubère, P. H. Maire, M. Shashkov, J. Breil, S. Galera, Reale: A reconnection-based arbitrary-lagrangian–Eulerian method, *Journal of Computational Physics* 229 (2010) 4724–4761.
- [51] Y. Cheny, O. Botella, Ls-stag method: A new immersed boundary/level-set method for the computation of incompressible viscous flows in complex moving geometries with good conservation properties, *Journal of Computational Physics* 229 (2010) 1043–1076.
- [52] A. Gronski, G. Artana, A simple and efficient direct forcing immersed boundary method combined with a high order compact scheme for simulating flows with moving rigid boundaries, *Computers and Fluids* 124 (2016) 86–104.
- [53] R. Pasquetti, R. Bwemba, L. Cousin, A pseudo-penalization method for high reynolds number unsteady flows, *Applied Numerical Mathematics* 58 (2008) 946–954.
- [54] R. Mittal, G. Iaccarino, Immersed boundary methods, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 37 (2005) 239–261.
- [55] B. Kadoch, D. Kolomenskiy, P. Angot, K. Schneider, A volume penalization method for incompressible flows and scalar advection diffusion with moving obstacles, *Journal of Computational Physics* 231 (2012) 4365–4383.
- [56] D. Kolomenskiy, H. Moffatt, M. Farge, K. Schneider, Two- and three-dimensional numerical simulations of the clap-fling-sweep of hovering insects, *J. Fluids Struct.* 27 (2011) 784–791.
- [57] K. Schneider, Immersed boundary methods for numerical simulation of confined fluid and plasma turbulence in complex geometries : a review, *Plasma Phys.* 81.

- [58] M. Minguez, R. Pasquetti, E. Serre, High-order large-eddy simulation of flow over the Ahmed body car model, *Physics of Fluids* 20 (2008) 095101.
- [59] C. Nore, D. Castanon Quiroz, L. Cappanera, J. L. Guermond, Numerical simulation of the von karman sodium dynamo experiment, *J. Fluid Mech.* 854 (2018) 164–195.
- [60] J. L. Guermond, P. D. Mineev, J. Shen, An overview of projection methods for incompressible flows, *Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng.* 195 (2006) 6011–6045.
- [61] K. Goda, A multistep technique with implicit difference schemes for calculating two or three dimensional cavity flows, *J. Comput. Phys.* 30 (1979) 76–95.
- [62] D. W. Peaceman, H. H. Rachford, The numerical solution of parabolic and elliptic differential equations, *Journal of the Society for Industrial And Applied Mathematics* 3 (1955) 28–41.
- [63] V. Y. Mazur, Motion of a circular cylinder near a vertical wall, *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Mekhanika Zhidkosti i Gaza* 3 (1966) 75–79.
- [64] S. S. Chen, *Flow-Induced Vibration of Circular Cylindrical Structures*, Hemisphere Publishing, 1987.