



**HAL**  
open science

## Water Erosion in Tyre and Bint Jbeil Districts (Lebanon) Jibal al-Butum Area as an Example

Ali Khyami, Ali Haidar, Hussein El Hage Hassan

► **To cite this version:**

Ali Khyami, Ali Haidar, Hussein El Hage Hassan. Water Erosion in Tyre and Bint Jbeil Districts (Lebanon) Jibal al-Butum Area as an Example. CRESH.Centre de Recherche et d'Etudes en Sciences Humaines Faculté des Lettres et des Sciences Humaines, 2021, 8, pp.80-104. hal-03346271v2

**HAL Id: hal-03346271**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03346271v2>**

Submitted on 2 Feb 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# دراسات جامعية في الآداب والعلوم الإنسانية

مجلة رقمية محكمة - فصلية

تصدر عن مركز الأبحاث والدراسات في كلية الآداب والعلوم الإنسانية في الجامعة اللبنانية

العدد 8

# مجلة دراسات جامعية في الآداب والعلوم الإنسانية

e-ISSN: ١٨٦٣-٢٧٠٧

## هيئة التحرير

العدد الثامن - أيلول سبتمبر ٢٠٢١

دراسات جامعية في الآداب والعلوم الإنسانية هي مجلة رقمية محكمة تصدر عن مركز الأبحاث والدراسات في كلية الآداب والعلوم الإنسانية في الجامعة اللبنانية مرة كل ثلاثة أشهر. تهدف المجلة إلى نشر الأبحاث والدراسات والمقالات في تخصصات كلية الآداب والعلوم الإنسانية، وتخصصات كلية الحقوق والعلوم السياسية والإدارية، كلية التربية، معهد العلوم الاجتماعية وكلية الإعلام. تنشر المجلة باللغات العربية والفرنسية والإنكليزية أو بلغات أخرى وتعمل بإشراف عميد كلية الآداب والعلوم الإنسانية.

## إختصاصات المجلة

- اللغة العربية وآدابها، اللغة الفرنسية وآدابها، اللغة الإنكليزية وآدابها، اللغة الفارسية وآدابها
- التاريخ، الجغرافيا، علم النفس، الفلسفة
- الفنون والآثار
- علم التراكيب، الماستر المشترك (العلاقات الروسية الشرق أوسطية وأوجه التفاعل)
- اختصاصات مركز اللغات والترجمة، اختصاصات مركز علوم اللغة والتواصل
- اللغات القديمة
- الحقوق والعلوم السياسية
- التربية
- العلوم الاجتماعية
- الإعلام

المشرف العام	أ.د. أحمد رباح	عميد كلية الآداب والعلوم الإنسانية - الجامعة اللبنانية
رئيس التحرير	أ.د. غسان مراد	رئيس مركز الأبحاث والدراسات في كلية الآداب والعلوم الإنسانية
مديرة التحرير	أ.د. مهى جرجور	
المدير الفني	د. مصطفى الحاج	

## أعضاء هيئة التحرير

أ.د. بيار مكرزل	أ.د. مهى المصري
د. جيزال رياشي	أ.د. هدى معدراني
أ.د. خنجر حميه	د. هشام الحاج حسن
د. سهى الصمد	أ.د. يوسف العاقوري
أ.د. مايا خالد	أ.د. يوسف الكيال
سكينة أبو حمدان	

## المحتويات

١	مقدمة العدد: هل من أنطولوجيا موحدة للمعلومات الجغرافية؟ غسان مراد
٣	التوزيع الزمني المكاني للمتساقطات ونظام احتمالات المطر في محافظة بعلبك الهرمل فراس العس
٣١	تشخيص الواقع الجغرافي في مستهلّ عمليات ترتيب الأراضي جواد يوسف أبي عقل
٥١	حساسية التربة للتعرية المائية والتحرّكات الكتلية في حوض نهر العصفور (محافظة لبنان الشمالي) علي حمزة - نادين الرفاعي
٨٠	<b>Water Erosion in Tyre and Bint Jbeil Districts (Lebanon) Jibal al-Butum Area as an Example</b> Ali Khyami, Ali T. Haidar, Hussein El Hage Hassan
١٠٥	<b>Statistical parameters for geomorphological zoning of the Lebanese orography</b> Jean A. Doumit, Samar C. Sakr
١١٨	<b>Bilan Hydrologique du Bassin Versant du Nahr el Jaouz (1965-1971) Etude comparative</b> Souheil Awad

---

# Water Erosion in Tyre and Bint Jbeil Districts (Lebanon) Jibal al-Butum Area as an Example

---

**Ali Khyami \* Ali T. Haidar \*\* Hussein El Hage Hassan\*\*\***

## Abstract

The southern Lebanon region is one of the most exposed Lebanese areas to water erosion. The problem has not been managed yet, though it threatens soil quality and sustainable agricultural production. Erosion hazard maps are an essential tool for soil conservation measures and land use planning. This study applies a qualitative model for mapping soil water erosion hazard, using GIS, in a semi-humid region, with scarcity of rainfall data, and intensive agricultural production. Five basic maps, each with a different number of classes, were first produced. These represent the slope, the rock infiltration, the soil erodibility, the vegetation cover, and the rainfall erosivity. These maps were later summed to obtain an erosion hazard map. The model indicates that a large area (34.4%) is subject to high erosion, especially in tobacco and legume (chickpeas, wheat ...) growing areas. Indeed, by the time of heavy rainfall intensity (November to March), the legume has not grown any foliage and the tobacco was not yet planted, leaving the surface exposed. During the validation of the model using field work, splash, sheet, rill, and gully erosions were observed. This validation qualitatively indicated that the model used is highly suitable (80%) for mapping soil erosion hazard under Lebanese natural conditions, and therefore useful to recommend protection measures by setting priorities for possible planning interventions.

---

\* Lebanese University, Faculty of Letters and Human Sciences. ali\_khyami@hotmail.com. Corresponding author.

\*\* altalhdr@gmail.com

\*\*\* Lebanese University, Faculty of Letters and Human Sciences. Research laboratory: CEDETE (EA 1210). hussein.el-hage-hassan@ul.edu.lb.

**Keywords:** Bint Jbeil, Erosion hazard, Geologic formations, GIS, Gully erosion, Jibal al-Butum, Map, Qualitative model splash erosion, Rainfall erosivity, Rill erosion, Rock infiltration, Sheet erosion, Soil erodibility, South Lebanon, Tyre, Water erosion.

## Introduction

Soil erosion is a two-phase process consisting of the detachment of individual soil particles from the soil mass, and their transport by erosive agents such as running water and wind. When sufficient energy is no longer available to transport the particles, a third phase, deposition, occurs (Morgan, 2005).

Soil erosion by water is a serious geo-environmental problem causing land degradation in Mediterranean countries, including Lebanon (*e.g.*, Bou Kheir *et al.*, 2006). The Eastern Mediterranean region, because of its aggressive climate (Traboulsi, 2012), is known for its sensitivity to erosion, particularly in mountainous areas. The areas more prone to soil erosion and degradation are those with steep slopes, poor vegetation, and barren agricultural land throughout the winter season.

A number of erosion models exist, physical, analogue and digital (Gregory & Walling, 1973). The digital models in turn can be subdivided into empirical and physically-based. The most widely applied erosion model over large areas is the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE: Wischmeier and Smith, 1978), and its subsequent Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE: Renard *et al.*, 1997). It is a statistically calibrated model that combines erosion-controlling factors based on runoff plot data.

Several estimates of water erosion hazard are available for different Lebanese areas. FAO (1986) indicates that the erosion rates in the Lebanese mountains reach 50 – 70 t ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. Bou Kheir *et al.* (2006) estimated that 34.5% of the central Lebanon soil was affected by high to very high rate. El Hage Hassan *et al.* (2015) indicated that 36.4% of the Aaqoura mountainous area (northeast Lebanon) suffers high water erosion rate. Charbel and El Hage Hassan (2017) suggest that 49.1% of the Bkassine forest (southern Lebanon) is also subject to high water erosion rate.

Although the USLE approach yielded globally significant results in the classification of erosion ranges, these remain subject to discussion:

- a. The model was originally designed only for small areas and relatively gentle slopes (< 20%).
- b. The lack of accurate data required by the model in some countries, such as rain precipitation over a short time scale, soil erodibility, and vegetation coverage.
- c. In Lebanon, even though the total annual rainfall intensity data is available, together with that for its monthly distribution, there is a major gap in these data over shorter time scales. The original model of Wischmeier and Smith (1978) requires that the time scale for the rainfall intensity data be available for about every 30 minutes. As this availability is rare, some models pretend to produce forecasts for short-time scales out of a monthly or an annual distribution (Meusburger *et al.*, 2012; Devatha *et al.*, 2015). This forecast was not used here, and only one category was therefore assigned to the erosivity given by the rainfall intensity data (see below).


A qualitative model for erosion was already applied to Lebanon (see El Hage Hassan *et al.*, 2015 for details). This study applies the aforementioned model, together with the weighing of the erosion forms proposed by Morgan (2005) for validation. The model is originally based on the methodology developed by FAO and UNEP (FAO-UNEP, 1997) as part of an action plan for the Mediterranean. The validity of the modified model by El Hage Hassan *et al.* (2017) was tested to be 80%. The latter combines soil erodibility, rock infiltration, topographic slope, land use, with rainfall erosivity. The weights of the different factors using this modified method have been previously calibrated (Bou kheir *et al.*, 2001). Unfortunately, there is a large subjectivity in the assessment of the erosivity category (class) in available models applied to different Lebanese areas. An arbitrary gradual increase in the erosivity class value every 250 mm rainfall was adopted in this study.

The aim of this study is to assess land sensitivity for water erosion in an agricultural Mediterranean area through a qualitative model. Thematic mapping is used to delimitate areas according to their potential hazard of erosion.

### **Study Area**

The study area is located to the southeast of the Tyre city, on the western edge of the Jabal Amel plateau, between 33° 07' E - 33° 12' N, and 35° 15' - 35° 21' E. It includes four villages: Zebkine, Jibal al-Butum, Yater and Siddiqine. The area is mostly administratively governed by Tyre, with the exception of the Yater town, which is located in the Bint Jbeil administrative area. It has an

overall surface of 4017.4 ha (42.6 km<sup>2</sup>, max of 7.5 x 9.5 km). It is about 100 km away from the capital Beirut, and 20 km from Tyre (Fig. 1).



**Figure 1.** Location of the study area. The dots represent the validation point of the model on the ground. See text for details.

This (mainly tobacco) agricultural area was chosen as a model for a Mediterranean soil with a relatively high erosion rate, as the soil remains bare during the rainfall season. It is also affected by a high topographic elevation to the east (700 m), and a maritime climate setting (the sea is at 5.5 km) to the west. This region is characterized by a semi-humid climate, with an average temperature of 18°C, and 700 mm rainfall annually most of which occurs in high intensity storms between November and April.

The diversity of the Mediterranean vegetation is affected by several factors, including elevation from the sea, soil moisture, and solar radiation. The slopes of more than 20 degrees dominate (min 0.3 and max 73.5). The average elevation is 474 m (min 160 and max 758). The geology of the region is dominated by marly limestone and dolomitic limestone formations of mid and Upper Cretaceous, and mid Eocene age.

The main economic activity is agriculture, especially tobacco and olive cultivation. Over the past two decades, deforestation led to a large environmental degradation. Large swaths of forested areas

were converted to grow tobacco, leaving the soil vulnerable to erosion, especially during the intense rainy season. Tobacco cultivation extends in three stages. The first is the cultivation of tobacco nurseries in January over small areas. During this period, heavy rainfalls occur, and the remaining large areas of bare land are directly subject to rainwater erosion. During the second stage (beginning of March), there is extraction of the grown tobacco seedlings, as these are in turn cultivated on the remaining areas. In early June begins the tobacco harvesting in the large areas.

Erosion occurs in the study area in several forms (Fig. 2). The most visible forms were splash, sheet, and rill erosion. All of them occur at many locations. Sheet and rill erosion were caused by high rainfall intensity storms in combination with limited infiltration of water into the soil, generating Hortonian overland flow (see *e.g.*, Davie, 2008). Several large permanent erosion gullies were also present; these were due to heavy rainfall events and absence of vegetation cover. They range in width from 1 to 5 m, and with a depth of > 1 m.




**Figure 2.** Forms of water erosion in the study area. 1) Splash, 2) Sheet, 3) Rill, and 4) Gully.

## Materials and Methods

For each factor affecting soil erosion (soil erodibility, rock infiltration, rainfall erosivity, slope factor, and vegetation cover), a class value was assigned: very low = 1, low = 2, moderate = 3, high = 4, and very high = 5 (see El Hage Hassan, 2011; Charbel and El Hage Hassan, 2017; El Hage Hassan, 2019).

The erosion hazard map was qualitatively generated using the expert system type, by overlapping the 5 types of thematic maps. Due to the scarcity of rainfall data, the rainfall erosivity was included as being uniform (only 1 class). Based on the weighing of coefficients given by Charbel and El Hage Hassan (2017), a value of 0.19% was applied to indices attributed to soil erodibility, rock infiltration, topographic slope and erosivity of rainfall (Fig. 3). Assuming a strong role of vegetation as a protection against water erosion, a higher coefficient for vegetation cover (0.24%) was retained.

The resulting assessment of the overall erosion hazard will be monitored using values from 1 to 5.



**Figure 3.** The hierarchy of the qualitative model applied to produce the soil hazard classes. See text for details.

In the presented maps, there was a use of the Lambert Conformal Conic projection. To show the maps in a 3D perspective, the hillshade format raster was overlapping the other maps, and then the contrast was decreased.

To apply the qualitative model, the following maps and data were used:

- a. The 6 different types of soil present in the study area, and the thickness of the soil cover, were both identified using the soil map of Naqoura - Bint jbeil - Lebanon (Darwish *et al.*, 2006), at the scale of 1/50,000.
- b. The reported values for soil grain size analyses, and organic matter content, accompanying the soil map of Lebanon of Darwish *et al.* (2005) rely on only few samples, and these were not collected from the area of the present study. The texture and the organic matter content of 6 soil samples (one for each soil type), collected at a depth of 20 cm, were investigated at the Laboratory of Scientific and Agricultural Research Department (LARI), Al-Fanar, Lebanon.
- c. The topographic map of Tyre – Nabatieh (Lebanon), at the scale of 1/20,000 (contour equidistance 10 m), published in raster format by the Directorate of Geographical Affairs of the Lebanese Army (DAGG), was geo-referenced, the contours redrawn, and the slope therefore generated.
- d. Sentinel-2 satellite image, with a spatial resolution of 10 m, acquired in January 2020, was used to determine the land use types.
- e. Rainfall data from the Climate Atlas of Lebanon (MTPT, 1977) were used to calculate the rainfall erosivity.
- f. The geological map of Aita el Chaab, Jouaia, and Nakoura, at the scale of 1/20,000, (Guerre, 1973) were used to determine the geologic formations, and consequently the rate of infiltration (see Bou Kheir, 2001).

## **The Elements of the Model**

### **Soil erodibility**


The resistance of a soil to erosion is a function of its structure, moisture, roughness, organic matter content, *etc.* (Dwivedi, 2018). The susceptibility of soil to erosion agents is generally referred to as soil erodibility (Lal, 2001). Overall, the weight of the soil erodibility factor is set in the present model (see above) to 19%. When this value is taken to a 100%, texture was assigned a

partial weight of 40%, organic matter content 40%, and depth 20%. Overall and partial soil and erodibility weights are based on El Hage Hassan *et al.* (2016).

Soil unit names are given in Darwish *et al.* (2006) for the whole Lebanese territory (soil map of Lebanon, 1/ 50,000). They claim to have used the soil classification system of the World Reference Base for soil information (WRB, 1998). Accordingly, they have identified six soil types (Table 1) in the study area. In the present study, one sample was analyzed for texture and organic content from each area covered by any soil type (Table 1). The results, together with the depth information, were used in the model.

**Table 1.** The first column represent the soil unit names. Columns 2 and 3 show the calculated area these units cover in this study. Five samples resulted as sandy clay loam (moderate sensitivity to erosion), whereas the last (Eutric Leptosols) as sandy loam (high sensitivity).

Soil Unit	Area (ha)	% Area	Sand %	Silt %	Clay %	OM %	Depth (cm)
Anthropic Regosols	21.7	0.5	67.2	15	17.6	2.2	100
Areno-Eutric Leptosols	2386	59.3	55.1	16.1	28.6	2	50
Calcaric Leptosols	135.9	3.3	58	14.3	27	1.4	45
Calcaric Regosols	26.9	0.6	54.5	15.2	30.2	4.6	60
Eutric Cambisols	37.8	0.9	55.4	15.9	28.6	1.2	130
Eutric Leptosols	1409.1	35	62.2	15.2	22.5	5.7	50



**Figure 4.** Soil erodibility map. The boundaries were derived by assessing the erodibility classes using Antoni *et al.* (2006), applied on the boundaries given by Darwish *et al.* (2006). See text for details.

The erodibility class 3 (moderate) covers 69.7 % of the study area (2,796.5 ha), and the class 4 (high) 30.3 % (1,218.4 ha).

### Geologic formations and rock infiltration

For the lithologic description of the Lebanese Geologic formations, see Walley (1997) and Nader *et al.* (2018). The former also revised the use of stratigraphic codes. The latter produced a generalized stratigraphic column subdivided into a northern and a southern part. For the south of Lebanon, the formations present in the study area (Sannine, Maameltain, and Chekka) are basically represented by marly limestones for the Cenomanian, overlain by Turonian limestones, with a total thickness of 800 m. An unconformity spans over the Coniacian, with parts of the Santonian. The upper part of the Santonian, together with the Campanian and the Maastrichtian (600 m), are represented by shallow marine fossiliferous limestones. A second unconformity covers approximately the end of the Upper Cretaceous together with the Lower Paleocene (Danian?). The

Upper Paleocene and the lower part of the Eocene are represented by shallow marine marly limestones overlain by reefal limestones, with a total thickness of 150m+.


With the help of biostratigraphy, Dubertret (1951) attempted a geologic mapping of Lebanon with a chronostratigraphic aim (1/200,000 for the whole Lebanon, and 1/50,000 sheets for the different areas). Guerre (1973) produced a minimum of 23 detailed (1/20,000) geologic maps for some Lebanese areas, most of these cover southern parts of Lebanon. Fig. 5 includes an assembly of parts of 3 of these detailed maps.

The Sannine Formation (C4 a- b- c) is composed of a coastal and a mountainous member (Walley, 1997). Parts of the members (C4 b- c) of this mid-Cretaceous formation are represented in the area (Fig. 5) mainly by shallow water limestones. This formation occupies the smallest part (0.7%) compared to the other formations, and is characterized by a medium rate of infiltration (Bou Kheir *et al.*, 2006), where most of the karstic springs occur in Lebanon (Dubertret, 1955).

The Maameltain Formation (C5 a- b) is composed of white grey marls and of marly limestones. This formation represents the largest part of the area (65.7%), and is characterized by a medium rate of infiltration (Bou Kheir *et al.*, 2006).


The term Sannine - Maameltain Formation is used making no differentiation between the two formations, given the large similarity in their physical properties in the area. It is composed of limestones. This formation is marly limestones (area 27.2%), and characterized by a medium rate of infiltration (Bou Kheir *et al.*, 2006).

The Chekka Formation (C6) is composed of white and marly chalks, with phosphate and chert levels (area 4.8%). The Lutetian (mid Eocene) (e2) is mainly composed of marly limestones (area 1.6%). Bou Kheir *et al.* (2006) estimated a very low infiltration rate for both formations.



**Figure 5.** Geologic map of the study area from Guerre (1973), with the colors being updated using Gradstein *et al.* (2020).

Only two classes of rock infiltration were distinguished (Fig. 6). Moderate for C4, C5, and C4-C5 (infiltration class = 3) covering 93.6 % of the study area (3764.8 ha), and very low for C6 and e1-2a (class = 5) 6.4 % (252.5 ha).




**Figure 6.** Rock infiltration, applied to the study area, following the procedure used by Bou Kheir *et al.* (2006) in other areas, for the same geologic formations.

### Slope factor

Erosion would be expected to increase with increasing slope steepness as a result of increase in velocity and volume of surface runoff. Furthermore, while on a flat surface raindrops splash soil particles randomly in all directions, on a sloping ground more soil is splashed downslope than upslope, with the proportion of the splashed soil particles increasing as the slope steepens (Morgan, 2005).

Several studies in the Mediterranean area have selected the gradient of the slope (rise / run) as the only parameter representing the topographic index for erosion (see El Hage Hassan *et al.*, 2013, and references therein). The contour lines of the topographic map were used to produce the gradient map. Five classes (Fig. 7 and Table 2) were distinguished.



**Figure 7.** Slope factor map showing the gradient see text for details.

**Table 2.** Slope factor class assignment based on slope gradient. The table shows also the area occupied by each slope gradient.

Gradient	Slope class	Slope	Area (ha)	% Area
0°-5°	1	Very gentle	736.4	18.3
5°-10°	2	Gentle	989.9	24.6
10°-15°	3	Moderate	762.3	18.9
15°-20°	4	Steep	474.7	11.8
>20°	5	Very Steep	1054.1	26.2

### Rainfall erosivity

The rainfall erosivity can be defined as an inherent capacity (energy) to initiate the soil erosion process (see *e.g.*, Blanco and Lal, 2008). The rainfall, by its intensity and energy, is the main determinant of the loss of soil. Morgan (2005) indicated that two rainfall types can introduce significant soil erosion, when the short-lived intense storms exceed the infiltration capacity of the soil, and when the prolonged storms of low intensity saturate the soil.

The Eastern Mediterranean, including the Lebanese, rainfall pattern is apparently made of a mixture of these two types.

Table 3 compares some of the proposed models of soil water erosivity in Lebanon. It shows a large difference in the assignment of the index (class) corresponding to a given total annual rainfall. In this study, Bou Kheir *et al.* (2006) class assignment was followed, where a new class is added every 250 mm rainfall. The average rainfall is based on monthly data from 2 meteorological stations located close to the investigated area. Since this average varies between 650 and 750 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> (MTPT, 1977), then only a class of 3 was assigned.

**Table 3.** Comparison of erosivity category (class) assignment in available literature on Lebanese areas.

Annual Rainfall (mm)	Erosivity	Erosivity Class	Reference
0-250	very low	1	Bou Kheir <i>et al.</i> (2006)
250-500	low	2	
500-750	moderate	3	
750-1000	high	4	
>1000	very high	5	
1500	high	4	El Hage Hassan <i>et al.</i> (2015)
850-1000	N/A	3	Charbel and El Hage Hassan (2017)
1000-1250	N/A	4	

### The vegetation cover (land use)

Vegetation acts as a protective layer or buffer between the atmosphere and the soil. The above-ground components, such as leaves and stems, absorb some of the energy of falling raindrops, and running water, so that less is directed to the soil, while the below-ground components, comprising the root system, affect the mechanical strength of the soil (Morgan, 2005).


The land and vegetation cover (Fig. 8) was assessed using a Sentinel-2 satellite image taken in 2020. Two kinds of image classification are widely applied, unsupervised and supervised. Unsupervised classification is usually used in the absence of prior knowledge of the study area. This process is based on the software analysis of the image without the user providing any training sites (Alrababah & Alhamad, 2006). Supervised classification is used when the user has prior

knowledge of the study area (field data, satellite images, aerial photographs), or when there is an ability to identify the types of land cover from the satellite image. Several algorithms are used in a supervised classification: Maximum Likelihood classification, Minimum-Distance to the Mean Classifier, and Parallelepiped Classifier. Maximum likelihood classification is considered one of the best algorithms used in the classification processes (Thomas *et al.*, 2015), and was chosen to map the land cover classes in the study area. In this method, the software is trained on a set of data collected from the training areas, then the software compares each known pixel (training sites) with unknown pixels. Last, it assigns unknown pixels to a specific category based on similarity or higher probability (Jensen, 2005; Kuzucu & Balçık, 2017). Based on field observations, five main classes were indexed (1 to 5), with 1 for the smallest effect on erosion, and 5 for the largest (Table 4, Fig. 8).

**Table 4.** Proportion of the area with different land use.

land use	Vegetation Class	Area (ha)	% Area
Dense vegetation (forest)	1	783.3	19.4
Scattered vegetation	2	422.1	10.5
Olive groves	3	692	17.2
Grasslands	4	1083	26.9
Tobacco and legumes	5	751.6	18.7
Urban areas	N/A	285.4	7.10

Only after the model has completely been computed, El Hage Hassan *et al.*, (2018) artificially assigned a class of “very low” to the water erosion hazard for urban areas. This is due to the relatively high stability of human settlement, although some parts of it may locally be subject to quite a high erosion rate, with rills and gullies being formed at the sides of some roads. This assignment was adopted in this study.



**Figure 8.** Land use and vegetation cover using a supervised classification.

### The validation – field work

The validation was performed by field work. Each point (Fig. 1) is located at the center of a large surveyed area of about 200x200 to 500x500 m.


### Results

#### The model

The use of the qualitative model enabled the classification of the land according to its sensitivity to erosion, based on the integration of several thematic maps, each related to a factor affecting water erosion. The resulting erosion hazard map included therefore 4 categories (Table 5 and Fig. 9):

**Table 5.** Proportion of the surface area of the different erosion hazard classes.

Erosion Hazard	Class	Area (ha)	% Area
Very low (Urban area)	1	285.4	7.1
Low	2	104	2.5
Moderate	3	2241.4	55.8
High	4	1383.4	34.6




**Figure 9.** Soil erosion hazard map. Note the absence of “very high” erosion hazard classes in natural environments. This figure allows for the calculation of the proportion of the spatial distribution for each class and its relationship to each type of land use (see Table 7).


**Table 7.** Soil erosion percent area for the different land use types.

Land-use Type	Total Area (ha)	% Area Very Low Hazard	% Area Low Hazard	% Area Moderate Hazard	% Area High Hazard
Dense Vegetation	783.6	0	69.90	31.68	0.00
Scattered Trees	422.5	0	7.42	92.58	0
Olive trees	692	0	0	92.55	7.45
Grassland	1083	0	0	27.68	72.32
Tobacco and Legumes	285.4	0	0	26.85	73.15
Urban Area	751.8	100	0	0	0

These categories were selectively used to produce a map showing only high hazard areas (Fig. 10). This map shows, for each single area, the type of factor with the highest influence on water erosion.



**Fig 10.** Map showing high erosion hazard areas classified according to the major factor influencing water erosion.



**Fig 11.** Histograms showing the area proportions for each factor responsible for the high hazard erosion. The data of this figure refer only to the areas indicated in Fig. 10.

Fig. 10 was used to infer the relative proportion (see Fig. 11) of the area affected by a dominant factor leading to a high hazard water erosion over the whole area of study.

## Model Validation

### Soil erosion

The validation (table 8) is based on the majority of the erosion forms observed within each area, with gullies indicating a high hazard, rills moderate, and sheets low (see Morgan, 2005).

**Table 8.** Field work validation for erosion. “False” indicates no matching of the model by validation. The coordinates show the validation location using the Lambert projection.

Land use	Validation	Justification of discrepancy	X	Y
Olive	False	Spacing between olive trees	108959	137516
Olive	True		109852	139005
Olive	True		108327	137384
Olive	True		110137	135180
Tobacco or legumes	True		105037	138239
Tobacco or legumes	True		106996	137920
Tobacco or legumes	True		107215	137537
Tobacco or legumes	True		108750	138609
Dense vegetation	True		106259	136425
Dense vegetation	True		107548	135890
Dense vegetation	True		106630	135568
Dense vegetation	False	Vegetation dispersed locally	107409	136215
Grassland	False	Dense distribution of grass	110285	140180
Grassland	True		112136	134620
Grassland	True		109767	137176

### ***Discrepancy justification***

The common practice in Lebanon is to keep a linear distance  $d$  of about 5 m between any two planted olive trees. This distance leads to an assessed moderate erosion rate (Figs. 8 and 9). Where olive trees had a sparser distribution ( $d > 6$  m), the erosion rate was assessed to be high. Olive trees are planted in terraced land which preserves the irrigation water and reduces the risk of erosion.

The erosion rate for the tobacco and legume areas was constantly high, producing rill and sheet erosions and gullies, independently of the agricultural spacing. The gullies were large (1 to 1.5 m width, and 1 to 1.2 m depth) and frequent (sometimes about once every 1 km<sup>2</sup>).

In the dense vegetation area, the erosion rate was low, except for only one location where a unique rill is present (moderate erosion rate) in a small area with locally sparse vegetation cover (line 12 of Table 8).

A high erosion rate was observed in large parts of the grassland area, except for few places where the grass cover was dense (thus having a moderate rate).

### **Mass Wasting**

Only creep and debris flow/rock slide were observed, although they were not included in the model. Creep was observed in the areas of steep slopes with a vegetation cover, mainly with planted pine trees outside the forests. These trees had clearly curved trunks. Debris flow/rock slide was observed in areas having steep slopes without any tall vegetation cover. The weak zones that lead to this type of movement were, most of the time, surfaces that are perpendicular to the oxidized surface of the original rock. This original rock is massive, not showing clear bedding planes. The total area affected by both creep and debris flow is minimal, therefore not considerably affecting the model. The absence of cliffs also contributed to the reduction of erosion rate.

### **Discussion and Conclusions**

The qualitative model allowed for mapping the sensitivity of land to water erosion in four municipalities (Zebkine, Jibal al-Butum, Siddiqine, and Yater) in the south of Lebanon. The model is based on five factors, namely slope, vegetation cover, rainfall erosivity, soil erodibility, and rock infiltration. Each factor was assigned a weight estimating the degree of its sensitivity to erosion. The weighed factors were then added to obtain the final erosion hazard map.

The results indicate that the highest rates of erosion correspond to the legume and tobacco growing areas. Areas of grassland and clear-cut forests are affected by the highest erosion rates

only when located on steep slopes. These highest erosion rate areas cover about the third of the total investigated area. On the other hand, the low erosion rates correspond to the areas of mountains with a dense vegetation cover.

The areas with highest rates of hazard are concentrated in the north, with special distribution in the NW, whereas those with moderate hazard in the SW. Two major clusters of urban areas (very low erosion hazard) are located in the N-NW and the central E.

The field work revealed several forms and intensities of erosion in the area of tobacco and olive growing areas, and bare soil. Several aspects of the model were confirmed. High erosion hazards were concentrated in the agricultural areas. Sheet erosion developed with an average of nearly 20 cm width, 20 cm depth and 5 m length. Rill erosion was particularly concentrated on tobacco areas with an average of 60 cm width, 20 cm depth and 10 m length; and gully erosion with 5 m width, 2 m depth and 10 m length.

Even though the currently used geologic map of Guerre (1973) differs to some extent from that of Dubertret (1951) for the study area, the effects of this difference on the results of the model turned out to be negligible. This however doesn't necessarily imply that the effects of such differences in the maps on erosion models would also be negligible in other areas.

The lowest hazard resulted in the areas with a dense vegetation cover and a very gentle slope. This is probably due to the reduction of the splash energy, the interception of the runoff, and increase of the infiltration rate. Rills and gullies are present in agricultural areas and nearly absent in the forest.

Most of the results of the model were confirmed by the validation. In addition to the map with maximum hazard influence, samples of soil were tested for texture and organic content. The sand proportion was high in most of the samples. The original source rock was assumed to contain a considerable proportion of sandstone, therefore leading to a relatively lower proportion of the organic matter in the soil.

Human activities accelerated erosion by changing the land use type, especially by transforming the forest in the SW into tobacco growing areas, thus turning their sensitivity from low to high hazard.

The hazard distribution map helps planners and decision makers to develop strategies and policies that should maintain soil stability and productivity. Afforestation is therefore necessary to reduce the erosion hazard, especially in grassland and tobacco growing areas.

An alternative type of agriculture is to be recommended that is both economically viable, and limits to a large extent the erosion hazard. A possible quick solution is to plant olive trees. Tobacco is definitely a solution on the short term only.

Although the qualitative model seems to be effective in classifying the land according to its sensitivity to erosion, quantitative studies become more urgent to estimate the extent of soil losses. Also, a more extensive validation work is to be carried out reassessing the theoretical assignment of the classes used in the model. As an example, the area of tobacco and legumes was classified with a high erosion rate (only), although the large size of the gullies observed may indicate that this rate might be very high.

Field work showed that even a considerable addition of organic fertilizer unexpectedly did not protect the soil from high erosion rates during the heavy rainfall period (December to February), with the addition of organic material to the soil apparently not contributing decisively to its cohesion.

The present model could be considerably improved by testing many selected points for rock infiltration. Furthermore, the database of texture analyses, already performed on a selection of samples, could be enhanced. Finally, a more elaborate model may take into account both soil erosion and mass wasting hazards, especially for areas where mass wasting covers a considerable proportion. This will provide the needed link between surficial (few cm to few m) and deeper (up to tens of m) movements.

Future studies may expand the database of tested soil samples, even for the whole surface of Lebanon. Rock infiltration was empirically not tested on any sample in the study area. This is definitely a major subject for future research.

## References

- Antoni, V., Le Bissonnais, Y., Thorette, J., Zaidi, N., Laroche, B., Barthes, S., Daroussin, J., & Arrouays, D., (2006). Modélisation de l'aléa érosif des sols en contexte Méditerranéen à l'aide d'un Référentiel Régional Pédologique au 1/250 000 et confrontation aux enjeux locaux. *Étude et Gestion des Sols*, 13, pp. 201-222.
- Bou kheir, R., Girard, M., Shaban, A., Khawlie, M., Faour, G., & Darwish, T., (2001). Apport de la télédétection pour la modélisation de l'érosion hydrique des sols dans la région côtière du Liban. *Télédétection*, 2(2), pp. 79-90.

- Bou kheir, R., Cerdan, O., & Abdallah, C., (2006). Regional soil erosion risk mapping in Lebanon, *Geomorphology*, 82, pp. 347-359.
- Blanco, H., & Lal, R. (2008). *Principles of Soil Conservation and Management*. Springer, 129 p.
- Charbel, L., & El Hage Hassan, H., (2017). Modélisation de la perte de sol dans la forêt de Bkassine (Liban sud), *Geo-Eco-Trop*, 41(31), pp. 479-492.
- Darwish, T., Khawlie, M., Jomaa, I., Aboudaheer, M., Awad, M., Masri, T., Shaban, A., Faour, G., Bou kheir, R., Abdallah, C., & Haddad, T., (2006). *Soil map of Lebanon 1/50000*. CNRS-Lebanon, Monograph Series, 4, Liban, 367 p.
- Davie, T., (2008). *Fundamentals of Hydrology* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Routledge Taylor & Francis, 220 p.
- Devatha, C.P., Deshpande, V., & Renukprasad, M.S., (2015). Estimation of Soil Loss Using USLE Model for Kulhan Watershed, Chattisgarh - A Case Study. *Aquatic Procedia*, 4, pp. 1429-1436.
- Dubertret, L., (1951). Carte géologique au 1/50000. Feuille de Naqoura- Bint Jbeil au 50000e. Ministère des Travaux Publics, Beyrouth, avec notice (sous la direction de L. Dubertret).
- Dwivedi, R., (2019). *Geospatial Technologies for Land Degradation Assessment and Management*. (1<sup>st</sup> ed). CRC Press, 429 p.
- El Hage Hassan, H., 2011, Les Apports d'un SIG dans la connaissance des évolutions de l'occupation du sol et de la limitation du risque érosif dans la plaine de la Békaa (Liban). Exemple d'un secteur du Békaa el Gharbi. Orléans: Université d'Orléans, thèse de doctorat en géographie, 381 p.
- El Hage Hassan, H., Touchart, L., & Faour, G., (2013). La sensibilité potentielle du sol à l'érosion hydrique dans l'ouest de la Bekaa au Liban. *M@ppemonde*, 109, 17 p.
- El Hage Hassan, H., Charbel, L., & Touchart, L., (2015). Cartographie des conditions de l'érosion hydrique des sols au Mont-Liban: exemple de la région d'El Aaqouïra, *Physio-Géo*, 9, pp. 141-156.
- El Hage Hassan, H., Charbel, L., & Touchart, L., (2018). Modélisation de l'érosion hydrique à l'échelle du bassin versant du Mhaydssé, Békaa-Liban, *Vertigo*, 18(1), 12 p.
- El Hage Hassan, H., Faour, G., Charbel, L., & Touchart, L. (2019). Cartographie de l'aléa érosif dans le bassin sud du Litani-Liban. *Revue internationale de géomatique*, 29(2), pp. 159-184.
- FAO, (1986). Conservation and management of soils in the countries under development. *Bulletin Pédologique*, 33, 98 p.

- FAO-UNEP, (1997). *Guidelines for mapping and measurement of rainfall-induced erosion processes in the Mediterranean coastal areas*. Priority Actions Programme, Regional Activity Centre (PAP/RAC), Split (Croatie), 72 p.
- Gradstein, F., Ogg, J., Schmitz, M., & Ogg, G., (2020). *A Concise Geologic Time Scale* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed). Elsevier, 1300 p.
- Gregory, J., & Walling, E., (1973). *Drainage basin form and process*. Edward Arnold, 447 p.
- Guerre, A., (1973). Carte géologique au 1/20000, Aita el Chaab, Jouaya, and Nakoura.
- IUSS, ISRIC, & FAO (editors), (1998). *World Reference Base for Soil Resources*. FAO, Rome, 82 p.
- Jensen, J., (2005). *Introductory Digital Image Processing*. (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.), New York, Prentice Hall, 544 p.
- Kuzucu, A., & Balcik, F., (2017). Testing the potential of vegetation indices for land use/cover classification using high resolution data. *Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, the International Society of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, IV-4 (W4), pp. 279-283.
- Lal, R., (2001). Soil degradation by erosion. *Land Degradation & Development*, 12(6), pp. 519-539.
- Meusburger, K., Steel, A., Panagos, P., Montanarella, L., & Alewell, C., (2012). Spatial and temporal variability of rainfall erosivity factor for Switzerland. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 16, pp. 167–177.
- Morgan, R.P.C., (2005). *Soil erosion and conservation* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed). Oxford, UK. Blackwell, 316 p.
- MTPT, (1977). *Atlas climatique du Liban. Tome 1: Pluie, température, pression, nébulosité*. Édit. Ministère des Travaux Publics et des Transports, Service Météorologique, Beyrouth (Liban), 45 p. + planches (50 p.).
- Nader, F. H., Inati, L., Ghalayini, R., Hawie, N., & Daher, S. B. (2018). Key geological characteristics of the Saida-Tyr Platform along the eastern margin of the Levant Basin, offshore Lebanon: implications for hydrocarbon exploration. *Oil & Gas Science and Technology–Revue d’IFP Energies nouvelles*, 73(50), 22p.
- Renard, K., Foster, G., Weesies, G., McCool, D., & Yoder, D., (1997). *Predicting soil erosion by water: a guide to conservation planning with the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation*. Handbook, 703, 407 p.

- 
- Thomas, L., Kiefer, R., & Chipman, J., (2015). *Remote Sensing and Image Interpretation* (7<sup>th</sup> ed.). Wiley, 770 p.
  - Traboulsi, M., (2012). La saison pluvieuse au Proche-Orient: une tendance au raccourcissement. *Climatologie*, 9, pp. 9-29.
  - Wischmeier, W.H., & Smith, D., (1978). *Predicting rainfall erosion losses*. A guide to conservation planning. 282, 66 p.
  - Walley, C., (1997). The Lithostratigraphy of Lebanon: A Review<sup>1</sup>. *Lebanese Science Bulletin*, 10, 20 p.