

Promoting a standardized description of fossil tracheidoxyls

Anais A Boura, Marion Bamford, Marc Philippe

▶ To cite this version:

Anais A Boura, Marion Bamford, Marc Philippe. Promoting a standardized description of fossil tracheidoxyls. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology, 2021, 295, pp.104525. 10.1016/j.revpalbo.2021.104525. hal-03345899

HAL Id: hal-03345899 https://hal.science/hal-03345899

Submitted on 16 Oct 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0034666721001494 Manuscript_b0a4a1303b835dce3954b7e75f5ec144

1 Promoting a standardized description of fossil tracheidoxyls

- 2
- 3 Anaïs Boura¹, Marion Bamford², Marc Philippe³*
- 4
- 5 1 CR2P UMR 7207 (CNRS, MNHN, Sorbonne Université), Centre de Recherche en
- 6 Paléontologie Paris, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, case postale 38, 57 rue Cuvier, F-
- 7 75231 Paris cedex 05, France. E-mail: anais.boura@sorbonne-université.fr.
- 8 2 Evolutionary Studies Institute and School of Geosciences, University of the Witwatersrand,
- 9 Private Bag 3, WITS 2050, South Africa. E-mail: marion.bamford@wits.ac.za
- 10 3 Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, ENTPE, UMR 5023 LEHNA, F-
- 11 69622, Villeurbanne, France. E-mail: marc.philippe@univ-lyon1.fr (corresponding author)
- 12 * Corresponding author
- 13

Abstract: The palaeoxylology of coniferous woods has progressed considerably since 14 15 Kräusel's last (incomplete) synthesis in 1949. Nomenclatural practice has slowly purged itself of the diagnostic use of etymology. The use of certain new words or phrases has become 16 17 essential, while other words have been subject to significant semantic drift or unjustified omission. The interest of secondary characters was highlighted. Ways of describing have also 18 evolved, sometimes in divergent ways. The list of characters (and states) published by the 19 International Association of Wood Anatomists in 2004 represents a fundamental step towards 20 21 a standardization of practices. However, it does not take into account some of the particularities of palaeoxylology. Here we propose: 1) a plea that etymology should definitely 22 23 no longer be considered diagnostic; 2) a standardised format for the description of fossil 24 tracheidoxyls; 3) an illustrated glossary of certain terms specific to palaeoxylology. 25 26 Key-words: fossil wood; homoxylous wood; taxonomy; xylology. 27 28 1 Introduction 29 30 Since the pioneering works of fossil softwood taxonomy (Gothan, 1905; Jeffrey, 1905; 31 Kräusel, 1919; Torrey, 1923; Slyper, 1933) there have been few updates (Kräusel, 1949; 32

- 33 Vogellehner, 1967, 1968; Philippe and Bamford, 2008), despite significant contemporaneous
- 34 works on extant conifer woods (Pool, 1929; Greguss, 1955; Jacquiot, 1955; Chavchavadze,

1979; Barefoot and Hankins, 1982; Garcia Esteban et al., 2002). Modern authors do not 35 36 always go back to basics, and for their works rely only on more recent publications. This logically led to a divergence in the semantics of the words used to describe softwoods. All the 37 more as etymology-based hypotheses are still too often used in both the nomenclature and the 38 taxonomy of these woods. In addition, as knowledge progresses, new words have been 39 proposed to avoid long locutions: for example "tracheidoxyl" instead of "detached portion of 40 wood characteristically composed of tracheids with only a minor proportion of other tissues" 41 (Creber, 1972), or "oculipore" for "half-bordered cross-field pit" (Torrey, 1923). As there has 42 43 been no recent review of how to describe fossil softwood, these words are rejected by most 44 editors as jargon, and thus are condemned to idiosyncratic uses, despite their undeniable 45 practicality. Finally, more importantly, the completeness of published descriptions for fossil woods differ greatly, with too much variation in attention paid to traits considered secondary. 46

47 A formalization seems desirable.

48 After the IAWA's glossary (1964), the "Inside Wood" project was a huge step forward for

49 hardwoods in standardizing what xylological vocabulary means and how woods are to be

described (InsideWood, 2004; Wheeler, 2011). Similarly, after Slyper's illustrated key (1933)

and Phillips' card system (1941), the IAWA list of microscopic features for softwood

52 identification (Richter et al., 2004) was also such a step. Immo Heinz's thesis (2004), though

53 still unpublished, is also a significant contribution to the study of extant softwoods. These

54 works do not tackle, however, some of the vocabulary that is commonly used for fossil

softwoods (e.g. podocarpoid crossfield pit, or mixed type of radial pitting). Later some

authors tried to fill some of these gaps (e.g. García Esteban et al., 2002, 2004; Merlotti, 2011;

57 Pujana and Ruiz, 2017; Pujana et al., 2016).

58 Our experiences, as authors and as editors, force us to note that a synthesis is necessary

regarding the vocabulary and the way of describing fossil softwoods. Here we propose: 1) a

60 plea for the definitive abandonment of any etymologically based implication in the taxonomy

of fossil woods; 2) a standardized format for described fossil tracheidoxyls, together with

62 warnings about the tricks played by fossilization that we seem to encounter most often in

63 contemporary palaeoxylological literature; 3) an illustrated glossary of some terms commonly

64 used for softwood palaeoxylology, including three new words to replace longer locutions.

65

66

67 2 Fossil wood nomenclature and etymology

69 Fossil wood anatomy was illustrated as early as 1665 (Hooke, 1665), but was first studied in

the 1830's (Nicol, 1831, 1834). As for softwoods it was not before E β ner (1886), and

specially Gothan's thesis (1905), that cross-field pitting was evidenced to be a key taxonomic

72 feature. Subsequently, numerous authors have proposed founding taxonomic syntheses for

fossil softwood (e.g. Jeffrey, 1905; Kräusel, 1920a and b, 1949; Eckhold, 1923; Seward,

1919). All of these studies have largely used etymology at two levels (Philippe and Bamford,

2008), ignoring the establishment of nomenclatural rules when they were first promulgated

76 (known as the Vienna rules, 1905).

First in the naming of genera, assigning fossil wood samples to genera not on the basis of

78 diagnoses, but according to which extant genus they thought it was most similar to (see

79 Kräusel, 1917: 306-307 and 1918: 129-130). A softwood resembling the wood of a

80 *Podocarpus* (or of a Podocarpaceae, not always clear) had to be named *Podocarpoxylon* (see

e.g. Tuzson, 1911; Kräusel, 1913; Greguss, 1967). It is obvious that this method, largely

82 based on fixist views and idiosyncratic similarity hypotheses, is simplistic. In the minds of

these authors, the fossil wood genera not being "natural" ones, escaped the rigor of

84 nomenclatural laws and their names could therefore mnemonically formalise their supposed

85 affinities through etymology. Using mnemonics is not a nomenclatural problem but a

86 hypothesis cannot replace a diagnosis and the etymology cannot be diagnostic ("The purpose

of giving a name to a taxonomic group is not to indicate its characters", ICN preamble 1,

Turland et al., 2018). The progression of wood anatomy, by documenting the xylological

variability of several extant genera (see e.g. Bailey, 1933; Bailey and Faull, 1934), evidenced

90 that this approach was not tenable. However, this was not usually admitted before the 1970's,

and even much later some authors still explicitly used a taxonomical hypothesis and then

etymology to name a fossil wood (Greguss, 1967). Quite often this approach is used still now,

more or less conscientiously. The etymology may have played a role in the connection that

has sometimes been made between *Brachyphyllum* Brongniart and *Brachyoxylon* Hollick &

Jeffrey together, although there is no evidence of an exclusive relationship (Lemoigne, 1968;

96 Greppi, 2021).

97 Second is the understanding of the vocabulary used for descriptions. Although conscientious
98 authors have published definitions of the terms they used (e.g. Kräusel, 1920b; Slyper, 1933;
99 Vogellehner, 1967, 1968), and more rarely illustrated them, several words have been used
100 with no definition, or with no reference to an original use, i.e. only on the basis of etymology.
101 One of the foremost examples is the use of the term podocarpoid (Philippe and Bamford,

102 2008), which was originally used for a cross-field pit with a narrow linear oblique pore

103 (Gothan, 1905), but subsequently as a large round narrowly bordered cross-field pit (Müller-

Stoll and Schultze-Motel, 1990). "Podocarpoid" does not mean "like the one encountered in
 Podocarpus wood" (where cross-field pits are diversified), but rather in fitting with a

106 description (as given first by Gothan, 1905).

This unjustified use of etymological diagnostics at two levels is characteristic of what was
referred to as "*etymological naming*" (Philippe and Bamford, 2008). This has long prevented
a homogenisation of nomenclatural approaches, but instead induced a plethoric synonymy,
and made syntheses, whether systematic, phylogenetic or biogeographic, extremely difficult.
Moreover, as Latin and Greek are less and less part of common education, etymological
implications are nowadays more often misunderstood.

- 113
- 114

115 3 Fossil tracheidoxyl description

116

117 A definition is given in the subsequent glossary for terms marked with an asterisk.

118 Here we propose a template for the description of fossil tracheidoxyls* (Plate I, 1), i.e.

softwoods made of tracheids, with only a minor proportion of other cell types. Manoxylic*

120 woods (Plate I, 2) consist of a large proportion of axial parenchyma and are not concerned

here, tracheidoxyls being typically pycnoxylic* (Plate I, 1). Homoxylous angiosperms might

have a wood superficially similar to softwoods, but apart from some rare exceptions (Philippe

t23 et al., 2010), are usually easy to distinguish.

124 Referring to a template has the advantage of making the absence of a character more explicit.

125 Thus the descriptions can become more concise, avoiding statements like "axial parenchyma

not observed". It also makes it easier to access information as its position in the text is

standardised.

128 Xylological descriptions of softwoods are already standardised to a certain extent, since the 129 majority of authors describe the woods in a sequential way, starting with the cross-section, 130 then the tangential and radial axial sections. This way, however, implies repetition, and the 131 dispersion of characters specific to each cell type. Here we propose a template where, after 132 some general lay out features, each wood cell type is described independently by integrating 133 observations made in each of the section plans. Heteroxylous woods are usually described in 134 this way today.

The description is limited to microscopic features. Macroscopic features are also of interest, in
particular axis diameter (measured or estimated from ring curvature), as several wood features

are a function of radius: ray height; tracheid width and hence pit seriation; resin canal density;etc.

Continuously variable parameters, such as the diameter of pits in µm, are of undeniable 139 interest for the diagnosis of today's woods (Richter et al., 2004). Their interest for fossil 140 woods is more debatable. The values they take are indeed strongly influenced by the type of 141 fossilization (Rößler et al., 2021). During charcoalification the diameter of the pits can 142 decrease by more than 30%, while the sideritization can increase it by almost 20% (Philippe, 143 1995). Most fossilization processes induce allometric transformations. Quantification is 144 145 desirable. All too often, however, quantification is practiced as an end in itself, which can border on 146 scientism, and does not encourage perspective taking 147 Fossilization often plays tricks that have often deceived palaeoxylologists, in particular

steinkerne preservation, pore broadening and false spirals. By steinkerne preservation, which 148 149 is very common, especially in silicified woods, the areolate pit inner chamber is maintained, more or less completely, while its real rim is indistinct (Bamford et al., 2016). This type of 150 151 preservation was known to Gothan (1905), who wrote of "Steinkerne" preservation (literally "stone kernel"). When this occurs, araucarian* pitting displays small rounded and spaced 152 153 casts instead of larger contiguous and deformed pits and can be confused with abietinean* pitting. Usually two criteria can be used to avoid misidentification of a uniseriate araucarian* 154 radial pitting preserved as Steinkerne as opposed to abietinean* radial pitting: first, the areole 155 is usually at least faintly marked, either by a paler crown around the pore, or by a darker line 156 at the rim of the areolate pit chamber; secondly, in araucarian* radial pitting the spacing 157 between pit pores is usually constant, which is rare in abietinean* pitting (Bamford et al., 158 2016). Steinkerne preservation should be suspected anytime pits look relatively small on the 159 radial wall. 160

Thinon (1994) and Gerards et al. (2007) have shown that by charcoalification pit pores often
significantly widen. This has a particular impact on the characterization of cross-field pits, for
which the ratio between pore and pit outline is much used. It is possible that other types of
fossilization allometrically alter the microscopic characters of the woods. Indentures usually
shrink during the taphonomic process (Thinon, 1994), while microbial attack deeply modifies
most cell walls (Anagnost, 1998; Schweingruber and Börner, 2018).
There are multiple types of structures that are known to have been confused with helical

thickenings (Philippe et al., 2019): tracheid wall checking by compression wood; oblique

169 furrows at the bottom of which a pit pore is located, also by compression wood; zoned

170 patterns caused by discontinuous crystal growth; crystal cleavage planes by polyblastic

mineralization (Buurman, 1972); tunnelling by bacteria or fungi; shrinkage cracks induced by
wood diagenesis; microbial erosion of the tracheid wall that emphasizes the S2 microfibrillar
patterns.

The saproxylic process can begin even before the wood is entrained in the taphonomic 174 process, when it is still inside a living tree. Saproxylism is of enormous ecological 175 importance, and it leaves visible traces in the majority of fossil woods. Traces of arthropods 176 or bivalves have been mentioned or studied for a long time, but it is only recently that the 177 authors have begun to describe the effects of bacterial and fungal attacks (e.g. Stubblefield & 178 179 Taylor, 1986, Scaramuzza dos Santos et al., 2020). As these attacks often modify the 180 appearance of the wood, it is important to describe them before coming to the description of 181 the wood cells. Such features are: occurrence of pocket rots; occurrence and type of hyphae (septate or not, branched or not); occurrence and type of propagules or spores; presence of 182 183 dark substance; loss or degradation of a more or less important parts of cell walls (middle lamella, primary wall, secondary wall); bacterial slime within tracheid lumen. 184

185

186 Below are lists of the character states for each of the features that should be looked for to

187 assist with capturing all the important features for describing and identifying fossil softwood.

188 The numbers between brackets refer to the IAWA's softwood features coding (Richter et al.,

189 2004). Intertracheary pitting types (abietinean* (Plate III, 1), araucarian* (Plate III, 2),

190 brachyoxylean* (Plate III, 3 & 4), shimakurean* (Plate III, 5), xenoxylean* (Plate III, 6)) are

191 not included in this template insofar as the determination of this type is already partly

192 interpretative, and therefore belongs to a discussion.

193

194 <u>General cell layout</u>

tracheidoxyl*; ring curvature; occurrence of true (complete and asymmetrical) growth-rings 195 (present [40], indistinct or absent [41], present but narrow - for respectively, the "A", "B" and 196 "C" types, the "O" type, and the "D" and "E" (Plate I, 3 & 4) types according to the Creber 197 198 and Chaloner's nomenclature (1984); type of transition from the early- to the late-wood when developed [42-43]; proportion of early-wood to late-wood; occurrence of false rings* (Plate I, 199 5 & 6), either symmetrical or incomplete; occurrence of intercellular spaces [53]; relative 200 position of the tracheid in relation to its tangential neighbours (opposite or alternate); other 201 features (e.g. late wood much developed, circular shape of tracheid cross-section, spiral 202 cracks in axial tracheid walls, wavy rings, branch traces, traumatic areas, fleck marks, etc.). 203

- 204 Rays homogenous (including only parenchyma cells) or heterogeneous (occurrence beside
- normal uniseriate rays of fusiform rays and/or of rays with a radial resin canal); rays
- 206 homocellular (including one type of cell only) or heterocellular (rays including several cell
- 207 types and/or cell size as in the case of broader marginal cells or of ray tracheid) (Plate II, 1 &
- 208 2); for each type of ray seriation (uniseriate [107], locally biseriate [108], multiseriate (Plate
- II, 3) and ray height in number of cells (minimum, average, maximum) [102-106] and/or in
- μ m [101]; occurrence of intercellular spaces between ray cells (Plate I, 7).
- 211

212 <u>Axial tracheids</u>

- 213 Cross-section shape (circular (Plate II, 4) or polygonal (Plate II, 5); relative tracheid wall
- thickness (relative to the lumen, in early and late wood [54-55], tracheid size (diameter and
- length [49-52], if measurable); variability of tracheid diameters along radial rows.
- 216 Shape (round, elliptic, obround, xenoxylean*(Plate III, 6), scalariform (Plate III, 7)), size and
- 217 disposition (contiguous or distant) of intertracheary areolated pits on the radial wall of
- tracheids; their seriation (uni- [44], bi- or multi-seriate [45] and their relative position in the
- earlywood when bi- (multi-)seriate (alternate [47], opposite [46], in distinct bands (Plate III,
- 8) or clustered (Plate III, 9); variation of radial pitting through the growth-ring; occurrence of
- 221 Sanio's rims* (Plate IV, 1 & 2); torus [56] and margo characteristics (round, scalloped [57],
- extensions [58]); radial pits peculiar features (such as notched borders [59], other).
- Pits on the tangential walls of tracheids (Plate IV, 3), their size, their disposition and theirdistribution in the ring.
- Occurrence [61] and characteristics (localization within the ring [62-64], single [65] or
- grouped [66], more or less spaced [67-68], angle from the horizontal) of tertiary thickenings
- 227 (spirals, callitroid [71], others) or other cell wall ornamentation (warty layers [60]).
- 228 Other features like resiniferous [48] or mineral contents (Plate IV, 4); occurrence of storeyed
- or crooked (club-shaped) tips (Plate IV, 5), tyloses (Plate IV, 6 & 7), septae (Plate IV, 8), Bars
- of Sanio* (Plate IV, 9), etc.
- 231
- 232 Axial parenchyma (epithelial and subsidiary cells of intercellular canals excluded).
- 233 Occurrence [72]; distribution through the ring (diffuse [73], tangentially zonate[74],
- marginal[75]); association with rays; inflated or not; transverse walls, thin or thick, nodular
- [77-78] or smooth [76]; pitting of the axial parenchyma, on normal walls and in cross-fields;
- including crystals [118, 123] or not, type of crystals (prismatic [119], druses [120], other
- forms [121]); resiniferous or not.

200	
239	Ray parenchyma: shape in cross-section; cell length; angle of transverse walls; thickness of
240	walls; occurrence of pits (unpitted [85], distinctly pitted [86], abietineentüpfelung* (Plate I, 7;
241	Plate V, 1), juniperustüpfelung*(Plate V, 2 & 3) in ray cell end walls; occurrence of pits
242	(unpitted [87], distinctly pitted [88]) in horizontal walls; occurrence of indentures [89];
243	type(s) of crossfield [90-95] (Plate V, 6) semi-areolated (cupressoid*, podocarpoid*,
244	taxodioid*) or unbordered (oopore*, circopore* (Plate V, 8), phyllocladoid*(Plate V, 7)
245	oopore) pits in the early wood cross-fields; number (minimum, average, maximum) [96-100]
246	and disposition (spaced, in lines, grouped, contiguous, araucarioid*, circoporoid*,
247	dacrydioid* (Plate V, 8), glyptostroboid*, pinoid*) of crossfield pits in the early wood;
248	variation of crossfield pitting through the growth ring; presence [118, 122] and type of
249	crystals (prismatic [119], druses [120], other forms [121]).
250	
251	Ray tracheids: occurrence [79-80]; size; wall thickness, characteristic (smooth [81], dentate
252	[82], reticulate [83]) and pitting (unpitted or distinctly pitted, pit borders angular or with
253	dentate thickenings [84]); tertiary thickenings (present [69-70] or absent).
254	
255	Axial and/or radial resin-canals: occurrence (normal [109-110] or traumatic [111] or absent),
256	grouping and position within the ring; size (tangential and radial diameter delimited by
257	epithelial cells diameter [112-115]); traumatic or not, their distribution within the ring; feature
258	of the resin-canal lining epithelial cells (thick [116] or thin-walled [117]); presence [124] and
259	type of crystals (prismatic [119], druses [120], other forms [121]).
260	
261	Idioblasts (Plate V, 4 & 5): occurrence; size; distribution.
262	
263	
264	4 Glossary
265	
266	Only those terms commonly used for tracheidoxyls but which are not illustrated in IAWA's
267	list (Richter et al., 2004) are treated. The reader is warned that the definitions given here are
268	based on the first time the term was used, and that subsequent semantic shifts have
269	occasionally occurred, which are not taken into account. For each entry the author who first
270	used the term for fossil wood is quoted. Some old literature might have been overlooked,
271	however the term in the indicated reference is used according to its "original" meaning. An

- additional reference indicates that the definition has been further clarified. For some terms it
- 273 was not possible to clearly identify a first use.
- Remark: Kräusel (1917) first used "araucarioid" to describe both a type of cross-field and a
- type of a pitting on the radial wall of tracheids. Eckhold, who formalised Kräusel's ideas on
- 276 Protopinaceae in his thesis (1923), used the same suffix "-oid" for intertracheary radial wall
- pitting. In order to avoid confusion it is clearer to reserve the use of this "-oid" suffix for the
- elements of the cross-fields, and to use suffix "-ean" to describe the way intertracheary pits
- are distributed on the radial wall.
- 280
- Abietinean (Eckhold, 1923; Philippe, 1995) (Plate III, 1): a pit in the radial wall of a tracheid
- with its "height/width" ratio equal to or higher than 1, distant from neighbouring pits. By
- extension, a type of pitting on the radial walls of tracheids where more than 95 % of the pits
- are of the abietinean type. See e.g. fossil-genus *Podocarpoxylon* Gothan in Gagel.
- 285
- Abietineentüpfelung (Gothan, 1905): pitting of the cross walls of ray cells by contiguous
- rounded pits (Plate I, 7; Plate V, 2 & 3). See e.g. fossil-genus *Protocedroxylon* Gothan. While
- Gothan proposed the term in 1905, he gave a definition in 1906 only (second footnote on page
- 289 2). It reads as "the strong bumpy thickening of the medullary ray cell walls (horizontal and
- vertical)" (our translation). As far as by extant woods the horizontal (i.e. radial) ray cell walls
- can be strongly nodular without the transverse walls being pitted, it seemed sensible to
- 292 partially limit Gothan's definition (Philippe & Bamford, 2008).
- Araucarian (Eckhold, 1923; Philippe, 1995) (Plate III, 2): a pit in the radial wall of a tracheid
- with its "height/width" ratio comprised between 1 and 0.6, contiguous with at least one
- neighbouring pit. By extension a type of pitting on the radial walls of tracheids where more
- than 95 % of the pits are of the araucarian type. See e.g. *Agathoxylon* Hartig.
- 297
- Araucarioid (Kräusel, 1917): a cross-field with several contiguous and alternate oculipores.
 See e.g. *Agathoxylon* Hartig.

- Bars of Sanio (Müller, 1890): also known as *trabeculae*, these are cylindrical bars crossing
- the lumen of the tracheids from one tangential wall to another. When present it generally
- 303 appears in series and always at the same height. Its origin is not completely defined but is
- 304 probably exogenous, and thus it cannot be considered as having analytical value. They were

- first described by Sanio (1873), and for a time confused with Sanio's rims (Larson, 2012).
 Such are common e.g. by *Taxodioxylon* Hartig.
- 307

Brachyoxylean (new term) (Plate III, 3 & 4): also called mixed-type or intermediate-type or
transitional type (*Übergangstypus*, Eckhold, 1923), this is a type of pitting on the radial wall

of tracheids where less than 90% of the pits are araucarian or abietinean or xenoxylean. See

- 311 e.g. *Brachyoxylon* Hollick & Jeffrey.
- 312

Circopore (Marguerier and Woltz, 1977) (Plate V, 6 & 8): this type of cross-field oopore was

first described by Kräusel (1949: 156) as circular, or at most radially oval, but never obliquely

elliptic. Later Vogellehner (1968) defined circoporoid as a type of cross-field with "one,

rarely two, large round to broadly elliptic but never inclined oopores occupying the whole

field". See e.g. *Circoporoxylon* Kräusel (recently reviewed in Xia et al., 2020).

318

319 Cupressoid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004): an oculipore with an oblique pore (or

aperture), included within the limit of the pit border, usually broadly bordered. See e.g.

321 *Cupressinoxylon* Göppert (nom.cons., see Bamford et al., 2002).

322

Dacrydioid (Greguss, 1967) (Plate V, 6 & 9): a relatively small (occupying less than half the
whole field area) round circopore See e.g. *Dacrydioxylon* P. Greguss ex A. Selmeier.

325

False ring (Christison, 1891) (Plate I, 5 & 6): also known as "false latewood band", "double

327 growth ring", "intra-annual growth ring" or "intra annual density fluctuation (IADF)"; the

term of false-ring was used for both symmetric rings and asymmetric but laterally

329 discontinuous rings. Symmetric false rings are marked by a more or less gradual decrease in

the tracheid diameter and increase in the wall thickness (like in true latewood), after which

there is a smooth transition back to larger lumens and thinner tracheid cellular walls.

332 Glyptostroboid (Kräusel, 1917) (Plate V, 6): a cross field with numerous isodiametric small

333 rounded oopores. See e.g. *Glyptostroboxylon* Conwentz.

334

Idioblastic cell / Idioblast (not sensu Nägeli, 1884) (Plate V, 4 & 5): Idioblasts are cells

conspicuously different from surrounding cells. They are isolated and usually swollen. They

337 can contain tannins, oils, crystals or other material. They are uncommon in tracheidoxyls. See

e.g. *Ecpagloxylon* Philippe, Cuny & Bashforth, *Eristophyton* M.D. Zalesky.

339	
340	Juniperustüpfelung (Gothan, 1905) (Plate V, 2 & 3): pitting of the cross walls of ray cells by
341	scalariform pits. See e.g. Juniperoxylon C.Houlbert
342	
343	Manoxylic (Plate I, 2) Seward 1917- The terms "manoxylic" and "pycnoxylic" were originally
344	introduced by Seward (1917) to differentiate the looser-textured and parenchymatous extant
345	cycadean wood from the more compact extant coniferous wood. Since a continuum of
346	tracheid diameter, tracheid/parenchyma cell proportion and ray frequency could be noticed in
347	numerous species, Galtier (1992) introduced quantitative features to complete Seward's
348	definition. According to Galtier (1992), manoxylic wood show 1) large diameter tracheids (up
349	to 150 μ m), 2) large rays, both broad (more than 5 cells width) and tall (up to 200 cells high).
350	
351	Oculipore (Torrey, 1923): an areolate cross-field half-pit (semi-areolate pit – half-bordered
352	pit), the border can be narrower than or broader than the pore ("resembles the conventional
353	figure of an eye", Torrey, 1923). See e.g. Taxodioxylon Hartig.
354	
355	Oopore (Kraus, 1864): an unbordered (or simple) cross-field pit. Most authors admit that
356	oopores can be occasionally narrowly bordered. See e.g. Xenoxylon W. Gothan.
357	
358	Phyllocladoid (Gothan, 1905; Kräusel, 1917) (Plate V, 6 & 7): an oblique elongated oopore,
359	usually with pointed tips. See e.g. Phyllocladoxylon W.Gothan.
360	
361	Pinoid (Thinon1994) (Plate V, 6): a cross-field with pits of various sizes and shapes,
362	areolated or not. See e.g. Pinuxylon W.Gothan.
363	
364	Podocarpoid (Gothan, 1905) (Plate V, 6): an oculipore with an oblique to almost vertical slit-
365	like to narrowly linear pore. See e.g. Protopodocarpoxylon W.Eckhold (nom.cons., see.
366	Bamford et al., 2002).
367	
368	Pycnoxylic (Plate I, 1) Seward 1917 - see manoxylic- Pycnoxylic wood designate dense and
369	compact wood composed mainly of tracheids (instead of parenchyma) as in conifers.
370	According to Galtier (1992), pycnoxylic woods show a combination of 1) small diameter of
371	tracheids (less than 50 μ m), 2) small size of rays, both uniseriate and short.
372	

373	Rim of Sanio (Groom and Rushton, 1913) (Plate IV, 1 & 2): Rims of Sanio (or Sanio's rim or
374	crassulae) are thickenings in the primary wall and the middle lamella. They are associated
375	with the bordered pits and are best observed on the radial wall of the axial tracheids. They
376	were first described by Sanio (1873) and often confused with Sanio's bars (Larson, 2012)
377	(figure). See e.g. some Taxodioxylon Hartig.
378	
379	Septa (e.g. Thomson, 1913) (Plate IV, 8): The term has been used to refer to two different
380	things: occasional perpendicular partitioning of the tracheids, including primary and
381	secondary walls; and structures of unknown nature, not belonging to the normal cell wall.
382	These are not to be confused with trabeculae (figure). The first type of structure was described
383	by Thomson (1913) and is to be found e.g. in <i>Dadoxylon arduennense</i> Lemoigne & Demarcq
384	(Lemoigne and Demarcq, 1967), while the second is especially common in Xenoxylon
385	(Arnold, 1952; Medlyn and Tidwell, 1975; Philippe et al., 2013).
386	
387	Shimakurean (new term = japonicum-type in Philippe et al., 2014) (Plate III, 5): a type of
388	pitting on the radial walls of tracheids were pits are contiguous, biseriate, opposite, square-
389	shaped. See e.g. Shimakuroxylon Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons.
389 390	shaped. See e.g. Shimakuroxylon Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons.
389 390 391	shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore,
389 390 391 392	shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore, with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. <i>Taxodioxylon</i> Hartig.
389 390 391 392 393	shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore, with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. <i>Taxodioxylon</i> Hartig.
389 390 391 392 393 394	 shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore, with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. <i>Taxodioxylon</i> Hartig. Tracheidoxyl (Creber, 1972) (Plate I, 1): a detached portion of wood characteristically
389 390 391 392 393 394 395	 shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore, with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. <i>Taxodioxylon</i> Hartig. Tracheidoxyl (Creber, 1972) (Plate I, 1): a detached portion of wood characteristically composed of tracheids with only a minor proportion of other tissues (figure).
389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396	 shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore, with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. <i>Taxodioxylon</i> Hartig. Tracheidoxyl (Creber, 1972) (Plate I, 1): a detached portion of wood characteristically composed of tracheids with only a minor proportion of other tissues (figure).
389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397	 shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore, with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. <i>Taxodioxylon</i> Hartig. Tracheidoxyl (Creber, 1972) (Plate I, 1): a detached portion of wood characteristically composed of tracheids with only a minor proportion of other tissues (figure). Xenoxylean (Philippe et al., 2013) (Plate III, 6): a type of pit in the radial wall of a tracheid
389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398	 shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore, with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. <i>Taxodioxylon</i> Hartig. Tracheidoxyl (Creber, 1972) (Plate I, 1): a detached portion of wood characteristically composed of tracheids with only a minor proportion of other tissues (figure). Xenoxylean (Philippe et al., 2013) (Plate III, 6): a type of pit in the radial wall of a tracheid with its "height/width" ratio lower than 0.6. By extension, a type of pitting on the radial walls
389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399	 shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore, with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. <i>Taxodioxylon</i> Hartig. Tracheidoxyl (Creber, 1972) (Plate I, 1): a detached portion of wood characteristically composed of tracheids with only a minor proportion of other tissues (figure). Xenoxylean (Philippe et al., 2013) (Plate III, 6): a type of pit in the radial wall of a tracheid with its "height/width" ratio lower than 0.6. By extension, a type of pitting on the radial walls of tracheids where more than 95 % of the pits are of the xenoxylean type (figure).
389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400	 shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore, with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. <i>Taxodioxylon</i> Hartig. Tracheidoxyl (Creber, 1972) (Plate I, 1): a detached portion of wood characteristically composed of tracheids with only a minor proportion of other tissues (figure). Xenoxylean (Philippe et al., 2013) (Plate III, 6): a type of pit in the radial wall of a tracheid with its "height/width" ratio lower than 0.6. By extension, a type of pitting on the radial walls of tracheids where more than 95 % of the pits are of the xenoxylean type (figure). Characteristic of <i>Xenoxylon</i> W.Gothan.
389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401	 shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore, with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. <i>Taxodioxylon</i> Hartig. Tracheidoxyl (Creber, 1972) (Plate I, 1): a detached portion of wood characteristically composed of tracheids with only a minor proportion of other tissues (figure). Xenoxylean (Philippe et al., 2013) (Plate III, 6): a type of pit in the radial wall of a tracheid with its "height/width" ratio lower than 0.6. By extension, a type of pitting on the radial walls of tracheids where more than 95 % of the pits are of the xenoxylean type (figure). Characteristic of <i>Xenoxylon</i> W.Gothan.
 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 	 shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore, with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. <i>Taxodioxylon</i> Hartig. Tracheidoxyl (Creber, 1972) (Plate I, 1): a detached portion of wood characteristically composed of tracheids with only a minor proportion of other tissues (figure). Xenoxylean (Philippe et al., 2013) (Plate III, 6): a type of pit in the radial wall of a tracheid with its "height/width" ratio lower than 0.6. By extension, a type of pitting on the radial walls of tracheids where more than 95 % of the pits are of the xenoxylean type (figure). Characteristic of <i>Xenoxylon</i> W.Gothan. 5 Conclusion
 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401 402 403 	 shaped. See e.g. <i>Shimakuroxylon</i> Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons. Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore, with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. <i>Taxodioxylon</i> Hartig. Tracheidoxyl (Creber, 1972) (Plate I, 1): a detached portion of wood characteristically composed of tracheids with only a minor proportion of other tissues (figure). Xenoxylean (Philippe et al., 2013) (Plate III, 6): a type of pit in the radial wall of a tracheid with its "height/width" ratio lower than 0.6. By extension, a type of pitting on the radial walls of tracheids where more than 95 % of the pits are of the xenoxylean type (figure). Characteristic of <i>Xenoxylon</i> W.Gothan. 5 Conclusion New elements are presented in the hope of facilitating a more standardized description of

405 nomenclatural framework finally freed from the many oddities accumulated by a practice not

- 406 very attentive to the rules of the ICN, more formalized descriptions will allow one to use all
- 407 the enormous potential of fossil softwoods for paleoecology or paleogeography.
- 408 The next challenge remains the production of a taxonomic key that is not limited to the
- 409 Mesozoic like that of Philippe and Bamford (2008) but takes into account all the diversity of
- 410 the tissue that, at least in terms of biomass, has dominated continental ecosystems for nearly
- 411 300 million years.
- 412
- 413 Acknowledgments
- 414 Most of the ideas developed here originate from conversations with many colleagues, editors
- and authors. Even if these categories are largely overlapping, there are still a lot of people to
- 416 whom we apologize for mentioning here only four names: Denise Pons, Patrick Herendeen;
- 417 Elisabeth Wheeler and Gea Zijlstra. Anne Laure Decombeix and Brigitte Meyer-Berthaud are
- 418 warmly thanked for the edition of this special volume dedicated to someone we particularly
- 419 appreciate. The advice of two anonymous reviewers is much appreciated.
- 420
- 421 Literature
- 422 Anagnost, S.E., 1998. Light microscopy diagnosis of wood decay. IAWA Journal 19, 141-
- 423 167.
- 424 Arnold, C. A., 1953. Silicified plant remains from the Mesozoic and Tertiary of western North
- 425 America. II. Some fossil woods from northern Alaska. Papers of the Michigan Academy of
- 426 Sciences, Arts and Letters 38 (1952), 9-19.
- Bailey, I.W., 1933. The cambium and its derivative tissues. VII: problems in identifying the
 wood of Mesozoic Coniferae. Annals of Botany 47, 145–157.
- 429 Bailey, I.W., Faull, A.F., 1934. The cambium and its derivate tissues. IX: structural variability
- 430 in the Redwood (Sequoia sempervirens), and its significance in the identification of fossil
- 431 woods. Journal of Arnold Arboretum 15, 233-254.
- Bamford, M., Philippe, M., Thévenard, F., 2016. Long overdue extinction of the
 Protopinaceae. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 234, 25-30.
- 434 Bamford, M., Ziljstra, G., Philippe, M., 2002. Proposal to conserve the name *Cupressinoxylon*
- 435 Göppert (Fossil, Gymnospermae, Coniferales) against Retinodendron Zenker (Fossil,
- 436 Gymnospermae, Coniferales), with a conserved type. Taxon 51, 205-206. [Accepted, see
- 437 Skog, J.E., 2002. Report of the committee for fossil plants: 4. Taxon 52, 341].
- 438 Barefoot, A.C., Hankins, F.W., 1982. Identification of Modern and Tertiary woods. Clarendon
- 439 Press, Oxford.

- 440 Buurman, P., 1972. Mineralization of fossil wood. Scripta geologica 12, 1-43.
- 441 Chavchavadze, E.C., 1979. Drevesina hvojnyh: morfologicheskie harakteristiki,
 442 diagnosticheskoe znachenie (in Russian). Nauka, Leningrad.
- 443 Christison, D., 1891. On the difficulty of ascertaining the age of certain species of trees in
- 444 Uruguay, from the number of rings. Transactions of the Botanical Society of Edinburgh 18,445 447-455.
- 446 Creber, G.T., 1972. Gymnospermous wood from the Kimmeridgian of East Sutherland and
- from Sandringham Sands of Norfolk. Palaeontology 15, 655-661.
- 448 Creber, G.T., Chaloner, W.G., 1984. Influence of environmental factors on the wood structure
- of living and fossil trees. The Botanical Review 50, 358-448.
- 450 Eckenwalder, J.E., 1976. Re-evaluation of Cupressaceae and Taxodiaceae: a proposed
- 451 merger. Madreño 23, 237-256.
- 452 Eckhold, W., 1923. Die Hoftüpfel bei rezenten und fossilen Coniferen. Jahresbericht
 453 preußische geologische Landesanstalt 42, 472–505.
- 454 Eβner, B., 1886. Über des diagnostischen Wert der Anzahl und Höhe der Markstrahlen bei
 455 den Koniferen. Abhandlungen der naturforschenden Gesellschaft zu Halle 16, 1-33.
- 456 García-Esteban, L., Palacios de Palacios, P. de, Guindeo Casasús, A., Garcia Esteban, Ly.,
- 457 Lázaro Durán, I., González Fernández, L., Rodríguez Labrador, Y., García Fernández, F.,
- 458 Bobadilla Maldonado, I., Camacho Atalaya, A., 2002. Anatomía e identificación de maderas
- 459 de coníferas a nivel de especie. Mundi-Prensa, Madrid.
- 460 García Esteban, L., de Palacios de Palacios, P., Guindeo Casasús, A., García Fernández, F.,
- 2004. Characterisation of the xylem of 352 conifers. Investigación agraria: Sistemas y
 recursos forestales 13, 452-478.
- 463 Gerards, T., Damblon, F., Wauthoz, B., Gerrienne, P., 2007. Comparison of cross-field pitting
- 464 in fresh, dried and charcoalified softwoods. IAWA Journal 28, 49-60.
- 465 Gothan, W. 1905. Zur Anatomie lebender und fossiler Gymnospermen-Hölzer. Abhandlungen
- 466 zur preußischen geologische Landesanstalt 44, 1–108.
- 467 Gothan, W., 1906. *Piceoxylon pseudotsugae* als fossiles Holz, *Pseudotsuga* sp. aff. *douglasii*)
- 468 als rezenter Baum. In H. Potonié (ed.) Abbildungen und Beschreibungen fossiler Pflanzen-
- 469 Reste, Lieferung IV. Königlich Preußischen Geologischen Landesanstalt und Bergakademie,
- 470 Berlin, 5 p.
- 471 Greguss, P., 1955. Identification of living Gymnosperms on the basis of xylotomy. Akadémiai
- 472 Kiadó, Budapest.

- 473 Greguss, P., 1967. Fossil Gymnosperm Woods in Hungary from the Permian to the Pliocene.
 474 Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest.
- 475 Greppi, C.D., Pujana, R.R., Umazano, A.M., Bellosi, E.S., 2021. Early Cretaceous
- 476 Brachyoxylon woods from Argentinean Patagonia and comments on the Cheirolepidiaceae
- distribution. Journal of South American Earth Sciences 106, 103050.
- Groom, P., Rushton, W., 1913. The structure of the wood of East Indian species of *Pinus*.
 Journal of the Linnean Society, Botany, London, 41, 457-490.
- 480 Heinz, I., 2004. Systematische Erfassung und Dokumentation der mikroanatomischen
 481 Merkmale der Nadelhölzer aus der Klasse der Pinatae. Unpublished thesis, Munich
 482 (http://tumb1.biblio.tu-muenchen.de/pub/diss/ww/2004/heinz.pdf)
- 483 Hooke, R., 1665. Micrographia, or, some physiological descriptions of minute bodies made
- 484 by magnifying glasses: with observations and inquiries there upon. Martyn & Allestry,485 London.
- 486 IAWA, 1964. Multilingual glossary of terms used in wood anatomy. Konkordia, Winterthur.
- InsideWood. 2004-onwards. Published on the Internet. http://insidewood.lib.ncsu.edu/search
 [accessed 4th March 2021].
- 489 Jacquiot, C., 1955. Atlas d'anatomie des bois de Conifères. Centre technique du bois, Paris.
- 490 Jeffrey, E.C., 1905. The comparative anatomy and phylogeny of the conifers. Part II: the
- 491 Abietineae. Memoirs of the Boston Society of natural History 6, 1-35.
- 492 Jeffrey, E.C., 1917. The anatomy pf woody plants. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- 493 Kraus, G., 1864. Mikroskopische Untersuchungen über der Bau lebenden und vorweltlicher
- 494 Nadelholzer. Würzburger naturwissenschaftliche Zeitschrift 5, 144-200.
- 495 Kräusel, R. 1913. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Hölzer aus der schlesischen Braunkohle. 1. Teil.
- 496 Wilhelm Göttl. Korn, Breslau.
- 497 Kräusel, R. 1917. Die Bedeutung der Anatomie lebender und fossiler Hölzer für die
- 498 Phylogenie der Koniferen. Naturwissenschaften Wochenschrift 16, 305–311.
- 499 Kräusel, R. 1918. Einige Bemerkungen zur Bestimmung fossiler Koniferen-Hölzer.
- 500 Österreicher Botanische. Zeitschrift 67, 127–135.
- 501 Kräusel, R., 1919. Die fossilen Koniferenhölzer (unter Ausschluß von Araucarioxylon Kraus).
- 502 I: Versuch einer monographischen Darstellung. Palaeontographica 62, 185–284.
- 503 Kräusel, R. 1920a. Paläobotanische Notizen I. Nachträge zur Zusammenstellung fossiler
- 504 Koniferen Hölzer. Senckenbergiana 2, 199–204.
- 505 Kräusel, R. 1920b. Paläobotanische Notizen II: Zur Bestimmung fossiler Koniferenhölzer.
- 506 Senckenbergiana 2, 204–208.

- 507 Kräusel, R., 1949. Die fossilen Koniferen-Hölzer (Unter Ausschluß von Araucarioxylon
- 508 Kraus). II: Kritische Untersuchungen zur Diagnostik lebender und fossiler Koniferen-Hölzer.
- 509 Palaeontographica, Abt. B, 89, 83–203.
- 510 Larson, P.R., 2012. The vascular cambium: development and structure. Springer Science,
- 511 New-York.
- 512 Lemoigne, Y., 1968. Caractères histologiques du bois secondaire du Brachyphyllum
- 513 *desnoyersii* (Brongniart) de Saporta. Annales de la société géologique du Nord 88, 159-161.
- 514 Lemoigne, Y., Demarcq, G., 1967. Nouvelle espèce de Dadoxylon à trachéides septées
- provenant du Wealdien de Féron-Glageon (Nord). Bulletin de la société géologique de France
 9, 53–56.
- 517 Marguerier, J., Woltz, P., 1977. Anatomie comparée et systématique des Podocarpus
- 518 malgaches. Adansonia 17, 155-192.
- 519 Medlyn, D.A., Tidwell, W.D., 1975: Conifer wood from the Upper Jurassic of Utah part I:
- 520 *Xenoxylon morrisonense* sp. nov. American Journal of Botany 62, 203-208.
- 521 Merlotti, S., 2011. Método de estudo paleoanatômico aplicado à taxonomia de lenhos
- 522 gimnospérmicos gondvânicos (Paleozóico Superior). Pesquisas em Geociências 38, 29-53.
- 523 Müller, C., 1890. Über die Balken in den Holzelementen der Coniferen. Berichte der
- 524 deutschen botanischen Gesellschaft 8, 17-45.
- 525 Müller-Stoll, W.R., Schultze-Motel, J., 1990. Gymnospermen-Hölzer des deutschen Jura. Teil
- 526 3: Abietoid (modern) getüpfelte Hölzer. Zeitschrift der deutschen geologischen Gesellschaft
- 527 141, 61-77.
- 528 Nägeli, C., 1884. Mecanisch-physiologie Theorie der Abstammungslehre. R. Oldenbourg,
- 529 Munich.
- 530 Nicol, W., 1831. Observations on the fossil trees of Van Diemen's Land. The Edinburgh new
- 531 philosophical journal 10, 361-364.
- 532 Nicol, W., 1834. Observations on the structure of recent and fossil Coniferae. The Edinburgh
- new philosophical journal 16, 137-157, Plate II.
- 534 Philippe, M., 1995. Bois fossiles du Jurassique de Franche-Comté (nord-est de la France) :
- 535 systématique et biogéographie. Palaeontographica, Abt. B, 236, 45-103.
- 536 Philippe, M., Bamford, M. 2008. A key to morphogenera used for Mesozoic conifer-like
- woods. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 148, 184-207.
- 538 Philippe, M., Cuny, G., Bashforth, A., 2010. Ecpagloxylon mathiesenii gen. et sp. nov., a
- 539 Jurassic wood from Greenland with several primitive angiosperm features. Plant Systematics
- 540 and Evolutions 287, 153-165.

- 541 Philippe, M., Thévenard, F., Nosova, N., Kim, K., Naugolnykh, S., 2013. Systematics of a
- palaeoecologically significant boreal Mesozoic fossil wood genus, *Xenoxylon* Gothan. Review
 of Palaeobotany and Palynology 193, 128–140.
- 544 Philippe, M., Boura, A., Oh, C., Pons, D., 2014. Shimakuroxylon a new homoxylous
- 545 Mesozoic wood genus from Asia, with palaeogeographical and palaeoecological implications.
- 546 Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 204, 18-26.
- 547 Philippe, M., Afonin, M., Delzon, S., Jordan, G.J., Terada, K., Thiébaut, M., 2019. A
- 548 palaeobiogeographical scenario for the Taxaceae based on a revised fossil wood record and
- embolism resistance. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 263, 147-158.
- 550 Phillips, E.W.J., 1941. Identification of softwoods by their microscopic structure. Journal of
- the Linnean society of London, botany, 52, 259-320.
- 552 Pons, D. Henry, L. & R. Henry. 1992. Bois de conifères de l'albien inférieur de Mesnil-Saint-
- 553 Père (Aube). Association géologique auboise. Bulletin annuel, 14, 35-52.
- Pool, D.J.W., 1929. On the anatomy of Araucarian wood. Recueil des travaux de botanique
- 555 néerlandais 25, 482-620.
- 556 Pujana, R.R., Ruiz, D.P., 2017. Podocarpoxylon Gothan reviewed in the light of a new
- species from the Eocene of Patagonia. IAWA Journal 38, 220–244.
- 558 Pujana, R.R., Ruiz, D.P., Martinez, L.C.A., Zhang, Yi, 2016. Proposals for quantifying two
- characteristics of tracheid pit arrangement in gymnosperm woods. Revista del Museo
- 560 Argentino de Ciencas Naturales 18, 117-124.
- 561 Richter, H.G., Grosser, I., Heinz, I., Gasson, P.E. (eds.), 2004. IAWA list of microscopic
- features for softwood identifications. IAWA Journal 25, 1-70.
- 563 Rößler, R., Trümper, S., Noll, R., Hellwig, A., Niemirowska, S., 2021. Wood shrinkage
- 564 during fossilisation and its significance for studying deep-time lignophytes. Review of
- 565 Palaeobotany and Palynology 292, 104455. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2021.104455
- 566 e Sanio, K., 1873. Anatomie der gemeinen Kiefer (Pinus sylvestris). Jahrbücher für
- 567 Wissenschaftliche Botanik 9, 50-126.
- 568 Scaramuzza dos Santos, A.C., Guerra-Sommer, M., Degani-Schmidt, I., Siegloch, A.M., De
- 569 Souza Carvalho, I., Mendonça Filho, J.G., De Oliveira Mendonça, J., 2020. Fungus-plant
- 570 interactions in Aptian Tropical Equatorial Hot arid belt: White rot in araucarian wood from
- the Crato fossil Lagerstätte (Araripe Basin, Brazil). Cretaceous Research 114,104525.
- 572 Schweingruber, F.H., Börner, A., (eds.), 2018. The Plant Stem. Springer, Zurich.
- 573 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73524-5_12
- 574 Seward, A.C., 1919. Fossil plants, volume IV. Hafner Publ., London.

- 575 Slyper, E.J., 1933. Bestimmungstabelle für rezente und fossile Coniferenhölzer nach
- 576 mikroskopischen Merkmalen. Recueil des travaux botaniques néerlandais 30, 482-513.
- 577 Stubblefield, S. P., Taylor, T. N., 1986. Wood decay in silicified gymnosperms from
- 578 Antarctica. Botanical Gazette, 147, 116-125.
- 579 Thinon, M., 1994. Description codifiée des caractères anatomiques des bois carbonisés des
- 580 conifères et des Gingkoales. Bulletin de la société linnéenne de Provence 45, 165-185.
- 581 Thomson, R.B., 1913. On the comparative anatomy and affinities of the Araucarineae.
- 582 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society in London, B, 204, 1–46.
- 583 Torrey, R. E., 1923. The comparative anatomy and phylogeny of the *Coniferales*, Part. 3:
- 584 Mesozoic and Tertiary coniferous woods. Memoirs of the Boston Society of Natural History585 6, 39–106.
- 586 Turland, N. J., Wiersema, J. H., Barrie, F. R., Greuter, W., Hawksworth, D. L., Herendeen, P.
- 587 S., Knapp, S., Kusber, W.-H., Li, D.-Z., Marhold, K., May, T. W., McNeill, J., Monro, A. M.,
- 588 Prado, J., Price, M. J. & Smith, G. F. (eds.) 2018: International Code of Nomenclature for
- 589 algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical
- 590 Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017. Regnum Vegetabile 159. Glashütten: Koeltz Botanical
- 591 Books. DOI https://doi.org/10.12705/Code.2018
- 592 Tuzson, J., 1911. Monographie der fossilen Pflanzenreste der Balatonseegegend. In: Resultate
- der Wissenschaftlichen Erforschung des Balatonsees, Band 1, Teil 1. UngarischenGeographischen Gesellschaft, Vienna, pp.: 1-64.
- 595 Vogellehner, D., 1967. Zur Anatomie und Phylogenie mesozoischer Gymnospermenhölzer,
- 596 Beitrag 7: Prodromus zu einer Monographie der Protopinaceae. II: Die protopinoiden Hölzer
- der Trias. Palaeontographica, Abt. B., 121, 30-51.
- 598 Vogellehner, J., 1968. Zur Anatomie und Phylogenie mesozoischer Gymnospermenhölzer,
- 599 Beitrag 7: Prodromus zu einer Monographie der Protopinaceae. II. Die Protopinoiden Hölzer
- 600 des Jura. Palaeontographica, Abt. B, 124, 125–162.
- Wheeler, E.A., 2011. InsideWood a web resource for hardwood anatomy. IAWA Journal 32,199-211.
- Kia, Guoqing, Tian, Ning, Philippe, M., Yi, Haisheng, Wu, Chihua, Zhu, Zhipeng, Li, Gaojie,
- 604 Shi Zhiqiang, 2020. Oldest Jurassic wood with Gondwanan affinities from the Middle
- 505 Jurassic of Tibetan Plateau and associated white-rot. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology
- 606 281, 104283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2020.104283
- 607
- 608 Plate captions:

609 Plate 1. Fig. 1-6- Transverse section (Trs); 1- Tracheidoxyl (pycnoxylic wood), Xenoxylon 610 phyllocladoides Gothan, Gothan 117, Swedish Museum of Natural History; 2- Manoxylic wood, 611 Medullosa sp., Collection Roche (n°1166), MNHN, Paris ; 3- Type D & E of growth rings, Cedroxylon 612 cedroides Gothan, S1944, Swedish Museum of Natural History; 4- Type D & E of growth rings, 613 Indeterminate conifer, VI41, paleontological collections of the Université de Poitiers; 5- False rings, 614 Callitrixylon gallicum Privé et Boureau, 5190, Sorbonne Université ; 6- Double rings, indeterminate 615 conifer mentioned in Pons & Henri (1992); 7- Tangential longitudinal section (Tls), abietineentupfelung and occurrence of intercellular spaces between ray cells, *Protopiceoxylon* 616 617 *exstinctum* Gothan, S1909, Swedish Museum of Natural History Scale bars: $7 = 100 \mu m$; $3 = 180 \mu m$; 618 $2 \& 4 = 500 \ \mu\text{m}; 1 \& 5 = 1 \ \text{mm}; 6 = 1 \ \text{cm}.$ 619

620 Plate 2. Fig. 1- Tls, heterocellular rays, Ecplagloxylon mathiesenii Philippe, Cuny & Bashforth, 621 Mathiesen 108, Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen; 2- Longitudinal radial section 622 (Lrs), heterocellular ray with a ray tracheid, Protocedroxylon sp., S136049, Swedish Museum of 623 Natural History; 3 – Tls, fusiform ray without a resin canal, *Thylloxylon irregulare* Gothan, S1663, 624 Swedish Museum of Natural History; 4- Trs, shape of the axial section of the tracheids circular, 625 Ginkgoxylon guangnamense Serra, 2682, Sorbonne Université ; 5- Trs, shape of the axial section of 626 the tracheids polygonal, Xenoxylon phyllocladoides Gothan, Gothan 117-2, Swedish Museum of 627 Natural History. Scale bars: 1, 2, 3 = 50 μ m; 4, 5 = 200 μ m.

628

Plate 3. Fig.1. Lrs, abietinean radial pitting, Cupressinoxylon eocenicum Dupéron-Laudoueneix, 9607, 629 630 Sorbonne Université; 2- araucarian radial pitting, Agathoxylon trungphanense (Vozenin-Serra) Boura 631 et al., 17, Sorbonne Université ; 3- brachyoxylean radial pitting, Brachyoxylon notabile Hollick & 632 Jeffrey, unnumbered specimen of drawer 68, Swedish Museum of Natural History; 4- brachyoxylean 633 radial pitting, Brachyoxylon sp., Oliver's collection 2200, British museum of natural history; 5-634 shimakurean radial pitting; Dadoxylon japonicum Shimakura, Shimakura 53325 bis, Tohoku University 635 Museum; 6- Lrs, tracheid pits shape xenoxylean, Xenoxylon meisteri Palibin & Jarmolenko, 636 Shimakura 62068, Tohoku University Museum; 7- Lrs, tracheid pits shape scalariform, Ecplagloxylon 637 mathiesenii, Mathiesen 108, Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen; 8- Lrs, tracheid 638 pitting seriation in distinct bands, Callixylon newberryi (Dawson) Elkins & Wieland, collections of the 639 University of Michigan Museum of Paleontology; 9- Lrs, radial pits in clusters, Pinoxylon yabei 640 Shimakura, Shimakura 30556, Tohoku University Museum. Scale bars: 1, 9 = 50 μ m; 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 641 = 100 µm.

- Plate 4. Fig. 1- Tls, rims of Sanio, *Cedroxylon cedroides*, S1944, Swedish Museum of Natural History;
- 644 2- Tls, rims of Sanio, *Protocedroxylon* sp., S136049, Swedish Museum of Natural History; 3- Tls,
- bordered pits present on the tangential walls of the axial tracheids, indeterminate conifer, VI38,
- 646 paleontological collections of the Université de Poitiers; 4- Lrs, Mineral contents in tracheids,
- 647 *Piceoxylon transiens* Gothan, Shimakura 58450, Tohoku University Museum; 5 -Rls, tracheid tips club
- 648 shaped, *Ginkgoxylon quangnamense* Serra, 2687, Sorbonne Université; 6- Tls (6) and Rls (7), tylosis in
- 649 tracheids, *Protophyllocladoxylon thylloides* Serra, 2698, Sorbonne Université; 8- Tls, septae,
- 650 Metapodocarpoxylon libanoticum (Edwards) Dupéron-Laudoueneix et Pons, 20497, Sorbonne
- 651 université; 9- Lrs, bars of Sanio, *Podocarpoxylon umzambicum* Müller-Stoll, SMB9666-16,
- Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt. Scale bars: 1, 2, 6, 7 = 50 μm; 2, 5, 8 = 100 μm; 3, 4 = 200 μm; 9 =
 50 μm.
- 654
- 655 Plate 5. Fig 1- Tls, Abietineentupfelung, *Planoxylon hectorii* Stopes, V52823, British Museum of
- 656 Natural History; 2 & 3- Tls, Juniperustüpfelung, Juniperus polycarpos K. Koch; SU701, MNHN wood
- 657 collection; 4- Tls, idioblastic cells, *Ginkgoxylon quangnamense* Serra, UPMC 2687, Sorbonne
- 658 Université ; 5- Tr, idioblastic cells, *Ecplagloxylon mathiesenii*, Mathiesen 108, Natural History
- 659 Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen ; 6- Cross field pits diversity; 7- Phyllocladoid oopores,
- 660 Podocarpoxylon sp., 5937, Sorbonne Université; 8- Circopores, Circoporoxylon tibetense Guoqing Xia
- et al., PMOL-B-01497, Palaeontological Museum of Liaoning, China (courtesy of Tian Ning); 9-
- 662 Podocarpoid oculipores (in the late wood on the left side), Xenoxylon phyllocladoides Gothan,
- Gothan 117, Swedish Museum of Natural History. Scale bars: 1, 4 = 100 μ m; 5, 8 = 50 μ m; 2, 3, 7, 9 =
- 664 20 μm.
- 665
- 666