



**HAL**  
open science

## Promoting a standardized description of fossil tracheidoxyls

Anais A Boura, Marion Bamford, Marc Philippe

► **To cite this version:**

Anais A Boura, Marion Bamford, Marc Philippe. Promoting a standardized description of fossil tracheidoxyls. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, 2021, 295, pp.104525. 10.1016/j.revpalbo.2021.104525 . hal-03345899

**HAL Id: hal-03345899**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03345899>**

Submitted on 16 Oct 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 Promoting a standardized description of fossil tracheidoxyls

2

3 Anaïs Boura<sup>1</sup>, Marion Bamford<sup>2</sup>, Marc Philippe<sup>3\*</sup>

4

5 1 CR2P – UMR 7207 (CNRS, MNHN, Sorbonne Université), Centre de Recherche en  
6 Paléontologie - Paris, Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, case postale 38, 57 rue Cuvier, F-  
7 75231 Paris cedex 05, France. E-mail: [anis.boura@sorbonne-universite.fr](mailto:anis.boura@sorbonne-universite.fr).

8 2 Evolutionary Studies Institute and School of Geosciences, University of the Witwatersrand,  
9 Private Bag 3, WITS 2050, South Africa. E-mail: [marion.bamford@wits.ac.za](mailto:marion.bamford@wits.ac.za)

10 3 Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, ENTPE, UMR 5023 LEHNA, F-  
11 69622, Villeurbanne, France. E-mail: [marc.philippe@univ-lyon1.fr](mailto:marc.philippe@univ-lyon1.fr) (corresponding author)

12 \* Corresponding author

13

14 Abstract: The palaeoxylology of coniferous woods has progressed considerably since  
15 Kräusel's last (incomplete) synthesis in 1949. Nomenclatural practice has slowly purged itself  
16 of the diagnostic use of etymology. The use of certain new words or phrases has become  
17 essential, while other words have been subject to significant semantic drift or unjustified  
18 omission. The interest of secondary characters was highlighted. Ways of describing have also  
19 evolved, sometimes in divergent ways. The list of characters (and states) published by the  
20 International Association of Wood Anatomists in 2004 represents a fundamental step towards  
21 a standardization of practices. However, it does not take into account some of the  
22 particularities of palaeoxylology. Here we propose: 1) a plea that etymology should definitely  
23 no longer be considered diagnostic; 2) a standardised format for the description of fossil  
24 tracheidoxyls; 3) an illustrated glossary of certain terms specific to palaeoxylology.

25

26 Key-words: fossil wood; homoxyulous wood; taxonomy; xylology.

27

28

29 1 Introduction

30

31 Since the pioneering works of fossil softwood taxonomy (Gothan, 1905; Jeffrey, 1905;  
32 Kräusel, 1919; Torrey, 1923; Slyper, 1933) there have been few updates (Kräusel, 1949;  
33 Vogellehner, 1967, 1968; Philippe and Bamford, 2008), despite significant contemporaneous  
34 works on extant conifer woods (Pool, 1929; Greguss, 1955; Jacquot, 1955; Chavchavadze,

35 1979; Barefoot and Hankins, 1982; Garcia Esteban et al., 2002). Modern authors do not  
36 always go back to basics, and for their works rely only on more recent publications. This  
37 logically led to a divergence in the semantics of the words used to describe softwoods. All the  
38 more as etymology-based hypotheses are still too often used in both the nomenclature and the  
39 taxonomy of these woods. In addition, as knowledge progresses, new words have been  
40 proposed to avoid long locutions: for example “tracheidoxyl” instead of “detached portion of  
41 wood characteristically composed of tracheids with only a minor proportion of other tissues”  
42 (Creber, 1972), or “oculipore” for “half-bordered cross-field pit” (Torrey, 1923). As there has  
43 been no recent review of how to describe fossil softwood, these words are rejected by most  
44 editors as jargon, and thus are condemned to idiosyncratic uses, despite their undeniable  
45 practicality. Finally, more importantly, the completeness of published descriptions for fossil  
46 woods differ greatly, with too much variation in attention paid to traits considered secondary.  
47 A formalization seems desirable.

48 After the IAWA’s glossary (1964), the “Inside Wood” project was a huge step forward for  
49 hardwoods in standardizing what xylological vocabulary means and how woods are to be  
50 described (InsideWood, 2004; Wheeler, 2011). Similarly, after Slyper’s illustrated key (1933)  
51 and Phillips’ card system (1941), the IAWA list of microscopic features for softwood  
52 identification (Richter et al., 2004) was also such a step. Immo Heinz’s thesis (2004), though  
53 still unpublished, is also a significant contribution to the study of extant softwoods. These  
54 works do not tackle, however, some of the vocabulary that is commonly used for fossil  
55 softwoods (e.g. podocarpoid crossfield pit, or mixed type of radial pitting). Later some  
56 authors tried to fill some of these gaps (e.g. García Esteban et al., 2002, 2004; Merlotti, 2011;  
57 Pujana and Ruiz, 2017; Pujana et al., 2016).

58 Our experiences, as authors and as editors, force us to note that a synthesis is necessary  
59 regarding the vocabulary and the way of describing fossil softwoods. Here we propose: 1) a  
60 plea for the definitive abandonment of any etymologically based implication in the taxonomy  
61 of fossil woods; 2) a standardized format for described fossil tracheidoxyls, together with  
62 warnings about the tricks played by fossilization that we seem to encounter most often in  
63 contemporary palaeoxylological literature; 3) an illustrated glossary of some terms commonly  
64 used for softwood palaeoxylology, including three new words to replace longer locutions.

65

66

67 2 Fossil wood nomenclature and etymology

68

69 Fossil wood anatomy was illustrated as early as 1665 (Hooke, 1665), but was first studied in  
70 the 1830's (Nicol, 1831, 1834). As for softwoods it was not before Eßner (1886), and  
71 specially Gothan's thesis (1905), that cross-field pitting was evidenced to be a key taxonomic  
72 feature. Subsequently, numerous authors have proposed founding taxonomic syntheses for  
73 fossil softwood (e.g. Jeffrey, 1905; Kräusel, 1920a and b, 1949; Eckhold, 1923; Seward,  
74 1919). All of these studies have largely used etymology at two levels (Philippe and Bamford,  
75 2008), ignoring the establishment of nomenclatural rules when they were first promulgated  
76 (known as the Vienna rules, 1905).

77 First in the naming of genera, assigning fossil wood samples to genera not on the basis of  
78 diagnoses, but according to which extant genus they thought it was most similar to (see  
79 Kräusel, 1917: 306-307 and 1918: 129-130). A softwood resembling the wood of a  
80 *Podocarpus* (or of a Podocarpaceae, not always clear) had to be named *Podocarpoxylon* (see  
81 e.g. Tuzson, 1911; Kräusel, 1913; Greguss, 1967). It is obvious that this method, largely  
82 based on fixist views and idiosyncratic similarity hypotheses, is simplistic. In the minds of  
83 these authors, the fossil wood genera not being "natural" ones, escaped the rigor of  
84 nomenclatural laws and their names could therefore mnemonically formalise their supposed  
85 affinities through etymology. Using mnemonics is not a nomenclatural problem but a  
86 hypothesis cannot replace a diagnosis and the etymology cannot be diagnostic ("The purpose  
87 of giving a name to a taxonomic group is not to indicate its characters", ICN preamble 1,  
88 Turland et al., 2018). The progression of wood anatomy, by documenting the xylological  
89 variability of several extant genera (see e.g. Bailey, 1933; Bailey and Faull, 1934), evidenced  
90 that this approach was not tenable. However, this was not usually admitted before the 1970's,  
91 and even much later some authors still explicitly used a taxonomical hypothesis and then  
92 etymology to name a fossil wood (Greguss, 1967). Quite often this approach is used still now,  
93 more or less conscientiously. The etymology may have played a role in the connection that  
94 has sometimes been made between *Brachyphyllum* Brongniart and *Brachyoxylon* Hollick &  
95 Jeffrey together, although there is no evidence of an exclusive relationship (Lemoigne, 1968;  
96 Greppi, 2021).

97 Second is the understanding of the vocabulary used for descriptions. Although conscientious  
98 authors have published definitions of the terms they used (e.g. Kräusel, 1920b; Slyper, 1933;  
99 Vogellehner, 1967, 1968), and more rarely illustrated them, several words have been used  
100 with no definition, or with no reference to an original use, i.e. only on the basis of etymology.  
101 One of the foremost examples is the use of the term podocarpoid (Philippe and Bamford,  
102 2008), which was originally used for a cross-field pit with a narrow linear oblique pore

103 (Gothan, 1905), but subsequently as a large round narrowly bordered cross-field pit (Müller-  
104 Stoll and Schultze-Motel, 1990). “Podocarpoid” does not mean “like the one encountered in  
105 *Podocarpus* wood” (where cross-field pits are diversified), but rather in fitting with a  
106 description (as given first by Gothan, 1905).

107 This unjustified use of etymological diagnostics at two levels is characteristic of what was  
108 referred to as “*etymological naming*” (Philippe and Bamford, 2008). This has long prevented  
109 a homogenisation of nomenclatural approaches, but instead induced a plethoric synonymy,  
110 and made syntheses, whether systematic, phylogenetic or biogeographic, extremely difficult.  
111 Moreover, as Latin and Greek are less and less part of common education, etymological  
112 implications are nowadays more often misunderstood.

113

114

### 115 3 Fossil tracheidoxyl description

116

117 A definition is given in the subsequent glossary for terms marked with an asterisk.

118 Here we propose a template for the description of fossil tracheidoxyls\* (Plate I, 1), i.e.  
119 softwoods made of tracheids, with only a minor proportion of other cell types. Manoxylic\*  
120 woods (Plate I, 2) consist of a large proportion of axial parenchyma and are not concerned  
121 here, tracheidoxyls being typically pycnoxylic\* (Plate I, 1). Homoxylic angiosperms might  
122 have a wood superficially similar to softwoods, but apart from some rare exceptions (Philippe  
123 et al., 2010), are usually easy to distinguish.

124 Referring to a template has the advantage of making the absence of a character more explicit.  
125 Thus the descriptions can become more concise, avoiding statements like "axial parenchyma  
126 not observed". It also makes it easier to access information as its position in the text is  
127 standardised.

128 Xylological descriptions of softwoods are already standardised to a certain extent, since the  
129 majority of authors describe the woods in a sequential way, starting with the cross-section,  
130 then the tangential and radial axial sections. This way, however, implies repetition, and the  
131 dispersion of characters specific to each cell type. Here we propose a template where, after  
132 some general lay out features, each wood cell type is described independently by integrating  
133 observations made in each of the section plans. Heteroxylic woods are usually described in  
134 this way today.

135 The description is limited to microscopic features. Macroscopic features are also of interest, in  
136 particular axis diameter (measured or estimated from ring curvature), as several wood features

137 are a function of radius: ray height; tracheid width and hence pit seriation; resin canal density;  
138 etc.

139 Continuously variable parameters, such as the diameter of pits in  $\mu\text{m}$ , are of undeniable  
140 interest for the diagnosis of today's woods (Richter et al., 2004). Their interest for fossil  
141 woods is more debatable. The values they take are indeed strongly influenced by the type of  
142 fossilization (Rößler et al., 2021). During charcoalification the diameter of the pits can  
143 decrease by more than 30%, while the sideritization can increase it by almost 20% (Philippe,  
144 1995). Most fossilization processes induce allometric transformations. Quantification is  
145 desirable. All too often, however, quantification is practiced as an end in itself, which can border on  
146 scientism, and does not encourage perspective taking

147 Fossilization often plays tricks that have often deceived palaeoxylogists, in particular  
148 steinkerne preservation, pore broadening and false spirals. By steinkerne preservation, which  
149 is very common, especially in silicified woods, the areolate pit inner chamber is maintained,  
150 more or less completely, while its real rim is indistinct (Bamford et al., 2016). This type of  
151 preservation was known to Gothan (1905), who wrote of “*Steinkerne*” preservation (literally  
152 “stone kernel”). When this occurs, araucarian\* pitting displays small rounded and spaced  
153 casts instead of larger contiguous and deformed pits and can be confused with abietinean\*  
154 pitting. Usually two criteria can be used to avoid misidentification of a uniseriate araucarian\*  
155 radial pitting preserved as Steinkerne as opposed to abietinean\* radial pitting: first, the areole  
156 is usually at least faintly marked, either by a paler crown around the pore, or by a darker line  
157 at the rim of the areolate pit chamber; secondly, in araucarian\* radial pitting the spacing  
158 between pit pores is usually constant, which is rare in abietinean\* pitting (Bamford et al.,  
159 2016). Steinkerne preservation should be suspected anytime pits look relatively small on the  
160 radial wall.

161 Thinon (1994) and Gerards et al. (2007) have shown that by charcoalification pit pores often  
162 significantly widen. This has a particular impact on the characterization of cross-field pits, for  
163 which the ratio between pore and pit outline is much used. It is possible that other types of  
164 fossilization allometrically alter the microscopic characters of the woods. Indentures usually  
165 shrink during the taphonomic process (Thinon, 1994), while microbial attack deeply modifies  
166 most cell walls (Anagnost, 1998; Schweingruber and Börner, 2018).

167 There are multiple types of structures that are known to have been confused with helical  
168 thickenings (Philippe et al., 2019): tracheid wall checking by compression wood; oblique  
169 furrows at the bottom of which a pit pore is located, also by compression wood; zoned  
170 patterns caused by discontinuous crystal growth; crystal cleavage planes by polyblastic

171 mineralization (Buurman, 1972); tunnelling by bacteria or fungi; shrinkage cracks induced by  
172 wood diagenesis; microbial erosion of the tracheid wall that emphasizes the S2 microfibrillar  
173 patterns.

174 The saproxylic process can begin even before the wood is entrained in the taphonomic  
175 process, when it is still inside a living tree. Saproxylicism is of enormous ecological  
176 importance, and it leaves visible traces in the majority of fossil woods. Traces of arthropods  
177 or bivalves have been mentioned or studied for a long time, but it is only recently that the  
178 authors have begun to describe the effects of bacterial and fungal attacks (e.g. Stubblefield &  
179 Taylor, 1986, Scaramuzza dos Santos et al., 2020). As these attacks often modify the  
180 appearance of the wood, it is important to describe them before coming to the description of  
181 the wood cells. Such features are: occurrence of pocket rots; occurrence and type of hyphae  
182 (septate or not, branched or not); occurrence and type of propagules or spores; presence of  
183 dark substance; loss or degradation of a more or less important parts of cell walls (middle  
184 lamella, primary wall, secondary wall); bacterial slime within tracheid lumen.

185  
186 Below are lists of the character states for each of the features that should be looked for to  
187 assist with capturing all the important features for describing and identifying fossil softwood.  
188 The numbers between brackets refer to the IAWA's softwood features coding (Richter et al.,  
189 2004). Intertracheary pitting types (abietinean\* (Plate III, 1), araucarian\* (Plate III, 2),  
190 brachyoxylean\* (Plate III, 3 & 4), shimakurean\* (Plate III, 5), xenoxylean\* (Plate III, 6)) are  
191 not included in this template insofar as the determination of this type is already partly  
192 interpretative, and therefore belongs to a discussion.

193  
194 General cell layout

195 tracheidoxyl\*; ring curvature; occurrence of true (complete and asymmetrical) growth-rings  
196 (present [40], indistinct or absent [41], present but narrow - for respectively, the "A", "B" and  
197 "C" types, the "O" type, and the "D" and "E" (Plate I, 3 & 4) types according to the Creber  
198 and Chaloner's nomenclature (1984); type of transition from the early- to the late-wood when  
199 developed [42-43]; proportion of early-wood to late-wood; occurrence of false rings\* (Plate I,  
200 5 & 6), either symmetrical or incomplete; occurrence of intercellular spaces [53]; relative  
201 position of the tracheid in relation to its tangential neighbours (opposite or alternate); other  
202 features (e.g. late wood much developed, circular shape of tracheid cross-section, spiral  
203 cracks in axial tracheid walls, wavy rings, branch traces, traumatic areas, fleck marks, etc.).

204 Rays homogenous (including only parenchyma cells) or heterogeneous (occurrence beside  
205 normal uniseriate rays of fusiform rays and/or of rays with a radial resin canal); rays  
206 homocellular (including one type of cell only) or heterocellular (rays including several cell  
207 types and/or cell size as in the case of broader marginal cells or of ray tracheid) (Plate II, 1 &  
208 2); for each type of ray seriation (uniseriate [107], locally biseriate [108], multiseriate (Plate  
209 II, 3) and ray height in number of cells (minimum, average, maximum) [102-106] and/or in  
210  $\mu\text{m}$  [101]; occurrence of intercellular spaces between ray cells (Plate I, 7).

211

#### 212 Axial tracheids

213 Cross-section shape (circular (Plate II, 4) or polygonal (Plate II, 5); relative tracheid wall  
214 thickness (relative to the lumen, in early and late wood [54-55], tracheid size (diameter and  
215 length [49-52], if measurable); variability of tracheid diameters along radial rows.  
216 Shape (round, elliptic, obround, xenoxylean\*(Plate III, 6), scalariform (Plate III, 7)), size and  
217 disposition (contiguous or distant) of intertracheary areolated pits on the radial wall of  
218 tracheids; their seriation (uni- [44], bi- or multi-seriate [45] and their relative position in the  
219 earlywood when bi- (multi-)seriate (alternate [47], opposite [46], in distinct bands (Plate III,  
220 8) or clustered (Plate III, 9); variation of radial pitting through the growth-ring; occurrence of  
221 Sanio's rims\* (Plate IV, 1 & 2); torus [56] and margo characteristics (round, scalloped [57],  
222 extensions [58]); radial pits peculiar features (such as notched borders [59], other).  
223 Pits on the tangential walls of tracheids (Plate IV, 3), their size, their disposition and their  
224 distribution in the ring.  
225 Occurrence [61] and characteristics (localization within the ring [62-64], single [65] or  
226 grouped [66], more or less spaced [67-68], angle from the horizontal) of tertiary thickenings  
227 (spirals, callitroid [71], others) or other cell wall ornamentation (wart-like layers [60]).  
228 Other features like resiniferous [48] or mineral contents (Plate IV, 4); occurrence of storeyed  
229 or crooked (club-shaped) tips (Plate IV, 5), tyloses (Plate IV, 6 & 7), septae (Plate IV, 8), Bars  
230 of Sanio\* (Plate IV, 9), etc.

231

#### 232 Axial parenchyma (epithelial and subsidiary cells of intercellular canals excluded).

233 Occurrence [72]; distribution through the ring (diffuse [73], tangentially zonate[74],  
234 marginal[75]); association with rays; inflated or not; transverse walls, thin or thick, nodular  
235 [77-78] or smooth [76]; pitting of the axial parenchyma, on normal walls and in cross-fields;  
236 including crystals [118, 123] or not, type of crystals (prismatic [119], druses [120], other  
237 forms [121]); resiniferous or not.



238

239 Ray parenchyma: shape in cross-section; cell length; angle of transverse walls; thickness of  
240 walls; occurrence of pits (unpitted [85], distinctly pitted [86], abietineentüpfelung\* (Plate I, 7;  
241 Plate V, 1), juniperustüpfelung\*(Plate V, 2 & 3) in ray cell end walls; occurrence of pits  
242 (unpitted [87], distinctly pitted [88]) in horizontal walls; occurrence of indentures [89];  
243 type(s) of crossfield [90-95] (Plate V, 6) semi-areolated (cupressoid\*, podocarpoid\*,  
244 taxodioid\*) or unbordered (oopore\*, circopore\* (Plate V, 8), phyllocladoid\*(Plate V, 7)  
245 oopore) pits in the early wood cross-fields; number (minimum, average, maximum) [96-100]  
246 and disposition (spaced, in lines, grouped, contiguous, araucarioid\*, circoporoid\*,  
247 dacrydioid\* (Plate V, 8), glyptostroboid\*, pinoid\*) of crossfield pits in the early wood;  
248 variation of crossfield pitting through the growth ring; presence [118, 122] and type of  
249 crystals (prismatic [119], druses [120], other forms [121]).

250

251 Ray tracheids: occurrence [79-80]; size; wall thickness, characteristic (smooth [81], dentate  
252 [82], reticulate [83]) and pitting (unpitted or distinctly pitted, pit borders angular or with  
253 dentate thickenings [84]); tertiary thickenings (present [69-70] or absent).

254

255 Axial and/or radial resin-canals: occurrence (normal [109-110] or traumatic [111] or absent),  
256 grouping and position within the ring; size (tangential and radial diameter delimited by  
257 epithelial cells diameter [112-115]); traumatic or not, their distribution within the ring; feature  
258 of the resin-canal lining epithelial cells (thick [116] or thin-walled [117]); presence [124] and  
259 type of crystals (prismatic [119], druses [120], other forms [121]).

260

261 Idioblasts (Plate V, 4 & 5): occurrence; size; distribution.

262

263

264 4 Glossary

265

266 Only those terms commonly used for tracheidoxyls but which are not illustrated in IAWA's  
267 list (Richter et al., 2004) are treated. The reader is warned that the definitions given here are  
268 based on the first time the term was used, and that subsequent semantic shifts have  
269 occasionally occurred, which are not taken into account. For each entry the author who first  
270 used the term for fossil wood is quoted. Some old literature might have been overlooked,  
271 however the term in the indicated reference is used according to its "original" meaning. An

272 additional reference indicates that the definition has been further clarified. For some terms it  
273 was not possible to clearly identify a first use.

274 Remark: Kräusel (1917) first used “araucarioid” to describe both a type of cross-field and a  
275 type of a pitting on the radial wall of tracheids. Eckhold, who formalised Kräusel's ideas on  
276 Protopinaceae in his thesis (1923), used the same suffix "-oid" for intertracheary radial wall  
277 pitting. In order to avoid confusion it is clearer to reserve the use of this "-oid" suffix for the  
278 elements of the cross-fields, and to use suffix “-ean” to describe the way intertracheary pits  
279 are distributed on the radial wall.

280

281 Abietinean (Eckhold, 1923; Philippe, 1995) (Plate III, 1): a pit in the radial wall of a tracheid  
282 with its “height/width” ratio equal to or higher than 1, distant from neighbouring pits. By  
283 extension, a type of pitting on the radial walls of tracheids where more than 95 % of the pits  
284 are of the abietinean type. See e.g. fossil-genus *Podocarpoxylon* Gothan in Gagel.

285

286 Abietineentüpfelung (Gothan, 1905): pitting of the cross walls of ray cells by contiguous  
287 rounded pits (Plate I, 7; Plate V, 2 & 3). See e.g. fossil-genus *Protocedroxylon* Gothan. While  
288 Gothan proposed the term in 1905, he gave a definition in 1906 only (second footnote on page  
289 2). It reads as “the strong bumpy thickening of the medullary ray cell walls (horizontal and  
290 vertical)” (our translation). As far as by extant woods the horizontal (i.e. radial) ray cell walls  
291 can be strongly nodular without the transverse walls being pitted, it seemed sensible to  
292 partially limit Gothan's definition (Philippe & Bamford, 2008).

293 Araucarian (Eckhold, 1923; Philippe, 1995) (Plate III, 2): a pit in the radial wall of a tracheid  
294 with its “height/width” ratio comprised between 1 and 0.6, contiguous with at least one  
295 neighbouring pit. By extension a type of pitting on the radial walls of tracheids where more  
296 than 95 % of the pits are of the araucarian type. See e.g. *Agathoxylon* Hartig.

297

298 Araucarioid (Kräusel, 1917): a cross-field with several contiguous and alternate oculipores.  
299 See e.g. *Agathoxylon* Hartig.

300

301 Bars of Sanio (Müller, 1890): also known as *trabeculae*, these are cylindrical bars crossing  
302 the lumen of the tracheids from one tangential wall to another. When present it generally  
303 appears in series and always at the same height. Its origin is not completely defined but is  
304 probably exogenous, and thus it cannot be considered as having analytical value. They were

305 first described by Sanio (1873), and for a time confused with Sanio's rims (Larson, 2012).  
306 Such are common e.g. by *Taxodioxyton* Hartig.

307

308 Brachyoxylean (new term) (Plate III, 3 & 4): also called mixed-type or intermediate-type or  
309 transitional type (*Übergangstypus*, Eckhold, 1923), this is a type of pitting on the radial wall  
310 of tracheids where less than 90% of the pits are araucarian or abietinean or xenoxylean. See  
311 e.g. *Brachyoxylon* Hollick & Jeffrey.

312

313 Circopore (Marguerier and Woltz, 1977) (Plate V, 6 & 8): this type of cross-field oopore was  
314 first described by Kräusel (1949: 156) as circular, or at most radially oval, but never obliquely  
315 elliptic. Later Vogellehner (1968) defined circoporoid as a type of cross-field with "one,  
316 rarely two, large round to broadly elliptic but never inclined oopores occupying the whole  
317 field". See e.g. *Circoporoxylon* Kräusel (recently reviewed in Xia et al., 2020).

318

319 Cupressoid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004): an oculipore with an oblique pore (or  
320 aperture), included within the limit of the pit border, usually broadly bordered. See e.g.  
321 *Cupressinoxylon* Göppert (nom.cons., see Bamford et al., 2002).

322

323 Dacrydioid (Greguss, 1967) (Plate V, 6 & 9): a relatively small (occupying less than half the  
324 whole field area) round circopore See e.g. *Dacrydioxyton* P. Greguss ex A. Selmeier.

325

326 False ring (Christison, 1891) (Plate I, 5 & 6): also known as "false latewood band", "double  
327 growth ring", "intra-annual growth ring" or "intra annual density fluctuation (IADF)"; the  
328 term of false-ring was used for both symmetric rings and asymmetric but laterally  
329 discontinuous rings. Symmetric false rings are marked by a more or less gradual decrease in  
330 the tracheid diameter and increase in the wall thickness (like in true latewood), after which  
331 there is a smooth transition back to larger lumens and thinner tracheid cellular walls.

332 Glyptostroboid (Kräusel, 1917) (Plate V, 6): a cross field with numerous isodiametric small  
333 rounded oopores. See e.g. *Glyptostroboxylon* Conwentz.

334

335 Idioblastic cell / Idioblast (not sensu Nägeli, 1884) (Plate V, 4 & 5): Idioblasts are cells  
336 conspicuously different from surrounding cells. They are isolated and usually swollen. They  
337 can contain tannins, oils, crystals or other material. They are uncommon in tracheidoxyls. See  
338 e.g. *Ecpagloxylon* Philippe, Cuny & Bashforth, *Eristophyton* M.D. Zalesky.

339  
340 Juniperustüpfelung (Gothan, 1905) (Plate V, 2 & 3): pitting of the cross walls of ray cells by  
341 scalariform pits. See e.g. *Juniperoxylon* C.Houlbert  
342  
343 Manoxylic (Plate I, 2) Seward 1917- The terms "manoxylic" and "pynoxylic" were originally  
344 introduced by Seward (1917) to differentiate the looser-textured and parenchymatous extant  
345 cycadean wood from the more compact extant coniferous wood. Since a continuum of  
346 tracheid diameter, tracheid/parenchyma cell proportion and ray frequency could be noticed in  
347 numerous species, Galtier (1992) introduced quantitative features to complete Seward's  
348 definition. According to Galtier (1992), manoxylic wood show 1) large diameter tracheids (up  
349 to 150  $\mu\text{m}$ ), 2) large rays, both broad (more than 5 cells width) and tall (up to 200 cells high).  
350  
351 Oculipore (Torrey, 1923): an areolate cross-field half-pit (semi-areolate pit – half-bordered  
352 pit), the border can be narrower than or broader than the pore ("resembles the conventional  
353 figure of an eye", Torrey, 1923). See e.g. *Taxodioxyton* Hartig.  
354  
355 Oopore (Kraus, 1864): an unbordered (or simple) cross-field pit. Most authors admit that  
356 oopores can be occasionally narrowly bordered. See e.g. *Xenoxylon* W. Gothan.  
357  
358 Phyllocladoid (Gothan, 1905; Kräusel, 1917) (Plate V, 6 & 7): an oblique elongated oopore,  
359 usually with pointed tips. See e.g. *Phyllocladoxyton* W.Gothan.  
360  
361 Pinoid (Thinon1994) (Plate V, 6): a cross-field with pits of various sizes and shapes,  
362 areolated or not. See e.g. *Pinuxylon* W.Gothan.  
363  
364 Podocarpoid (Gothan, 1905) (Plate V, 6): an oculipore with an oblique to almost vertical slit-  
365 like to narrowly linear pore. See e.g. *Protopodocarpoxyton* W.Eckhold (nom.cons., see.  
366 Bamford et al., 2002).  
367  
368 Pynoxylic (Plate I, 1) Seward 1917 – see manoxylic- Pynoxylic wood designate dense and  
369 compact wood composed mainly of tracheids (instead of parenchyma) as in conifers.  
370 According to Galtier (1992), pynoxylic woods show a combination of 1) small diameter of  
371 tracheids (less than 50  $\mu\text{m}$ ), 2) small size of rays, both uniseriate and short.  
372

373 Rim of Sanio (Groom and Rushton, 1913) (Plate IV, 1 & 2): Rims of Sanio (or Sanio's rim or  
374 crassulae) are thickenings in the primary wall and the middle lamella. They are associated  
375 with the bordered pits and are best observed on the radial wall of the axial tracheids. They  
376 were first described by Sanio (1873) and often confused with Sanio's bars (Larson, 2012)  
377 (figure). See e.g. some *Taxodioxyton* Hartig.

378

379 Septa (e.g. Thomson, 1913) (Plate IV, 8): The term has been used to refer to two different  
380 things: occasional perpendicular partitioning of the tracheids, including primary and  
381 secondary walls; and structures of unknown nature, not belonging to the normal cell wall.  
382 These are not to be confused with trabeculae (figure). The first type of structure was described  
383 by Thomson (1913) and is to be found e.g. in *Dadoxylon arduennense* Lemoigne & Demarcq  
384 (Lemoigne and Demarcq, 1967), while the second is especially common in *Xenoxylon*  
385 (Arnold, 1952; Medlyn and Tidwell, 1975; Philippe et al., 2013).

386

387 Shimakurean (new term = japonicum-type in Philippe et al., 2014) (Plate III, 5): a type of  
388 pitting on the radial walls of tracheids where pits are contiguous, biseriate, opposite, square-  
389 shaped. See e.g. *Shimakuroxyton* Philippe, Boura, Oh & Pons.

390

391 Taxodioid (Kräusel, 1917; Richter et al., 2004) (Plate V, 6): a narrowly bordered oculipore,  
392 with a broad elliptic included pore. See e.g. *Taxodioxyton* Hartig.

393

394 Tracheidoxyl (Creber, 1972) (Plate I, 1): a detached portion of wood characteristically  
395 composed of tracheids with only a minor proportion of other tissues (figure).

396

397 Xenoxylean (Philippe et al., 2013) (Plate III, 6): a type of pit in the radial wall of a tracheid  
398 with its "height/width" ratio lower than 0.6. By extension, a type of pitting on the radial walls  
399 of tracheids where more than 95 % of the pits are of the xenoxylean type (figure).

400 Characteristic of *Xenoxylon* W.Gothan.

401

402 5 Conclusion

403 New elements are presented in the hope of facilitating a more standardized description of  
404 tracheidoxyls. Only this will allow truly effective comparisons to be made. Coupled with a  
405 nomenclatural framework finally freed from the many oddities accumulated by a practice not

406 very attentive to the rules of the ICN, more formalized descriptions will allow one to use all  
407 the enormous potential of fossil softwoods for paleoecology or paleogeography.  
408 The next challenge remains the production of a taxonomic key that is not limited to the  
409 Mesozoic like that of Philippe and Bamford (2008) but takes into account all the diversity of  
410 the tissue that, at least in terms of biomass, has dominated continental ecosystems for nearly  
411 300 million years.

412

#### 413 Acknowledgments

414 Most of the ideas developed here originate from conversations with many colleagues, editors  
415 and authors. Even if these categories are largely overlapping, there are still a lot of people to  
416 whom we apologize for mentioning here only four names: Denise Pons, Patrick Herendeen;  
417 Elisabeth Wheeler and Gea Zijlstra. Anne Laure Decombeix and Brigitte Meyer-Berthaud are  
418 warmly thanked for the edition of this special volume dedicated to someone we particularly  
419 appreciate. The advice of two anonymous reviewers is much appreciated.

420

#### 421 Literature

422 Anagnost, S.E., 1998. Light microscopy diagnosis of wood decay. IAWA Journal 19, 141-  
423 167.

424 Arnold, C. A., 1953. Silicified plant remains from the Mesozoic and Tertiary of western North  
425 America. II. Some fossil woods from northern Alaska. Papers of the Michigan Academy of  
426 Sciences, Arts and Letters 38 (1952), 9-19.

427 Bailey, I.W., 1933. The cambium and its derivative tissues. VII: problems in identifying the  
428 wood of Mesozoic Coniferae. Annals of Botany 47, 145–157.

429 Bailey, I.W., Faull, A.F., 1934. The cambium and its derivate tissues. IX: structural variability  
430 in the Redwood (*Sequoia sempervirens*), and its significance in the identification of fossil  
431 woods. Journal of Arnold Arboretum 15, 233-254.

432 Bamford, M., Philippe, M., Thévenard, F., 2016. Long overdue extinction of the  
433 Protopinaceae. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 234, 25-30.

434 Bamford, M., Zijlstra, G., Philippe, M., 2002. Proposal to conserve the name *Cupressinoxylon*  
435 Göppert (Fossil, Gymnospermae, Coniferales) against *Retinodendron* Zenker (Fossil,  
436 Gymnospermae, Coniferales), with a conserved type. Taxon 51, 205-206. [Accepted, see  
437 Skog, J.E., 2002. Report of the committee for fossil plants: 4. Taxon 52, 341].

438 Barefoot, A.C., Hankins, F.W., 1982. Identification of Modern and Tertiary woods. Clarendon  
439 Press, Oxford.

440 Buurman, P., 1972. Mineralization of fossil wood. *Scripta geologica* 12, 1-43.

441 Chavchavadze, E.C., 1979. Drevesina hvojnih: morfolozicheskie harakteristiki,  
442 diagnosticheskoe znachenie (in Russian). Nauka, Leningrad.

443 Christison, D., 1891. On the difficulty of ascertaining the age of certain species of trees in  
444 Uruguay, from the number of rings. *Transactions of the Botanical Society of Edinburgh* 18,  
445 447-455.

446 Creber, G.T., 1972. Gymnospermous wood from the Kimmeridgian of East Sutherland and  
447 from Sandringham Sands of Norfolk. *Palaeontology* 15, 655-661.

448 Creber, G.T., Chaloner, W.G., 1984. Influence of environmental factors on the wood structure  
449 of living and fossil trees. *The Botanical Review* 50, 358-448.

450 Eckenwalder, J.E., 1976. Re-evaluation of Cupressaceae and Taxodiaceae: a proposed  
451 merger. *Madreño* 23, 237-256.

452 Eckhold, W., 1923. Die Hoftüpfel bei rezenten und fossilen Coniferen. *Jahresbericht*  
453 *preußische geologische Landesanstalt* 42, 472-505.

454 Eßner, B., 1886. Über des diagnostischen Wert der Anzahl und Höhe der Markstrahlen bei  
455 den Koniferen. *Abhandlungen der naturforschenden Gesellschaft zu Halle* 16, 1-33.

456 García-Esteban, L., Palacios de Palacios, P. de, Guindeo Casasús, A., Garcia Esteban, Ly.,  
457 Lázaro Durán, I., González Fernández, L., Rodríguez Labrador, Y., García Fernández, F.,  
458 Bobadilla Maldonado, I., Camacho Atalaya, A., 2002. Anatomía e identificación de maderas  
459 de coníferas a nivel de especie. Mundi-Prensa, Madrid.

460 García Esteban, L., de Palacios de Palacios, P., Guindeo Casasús, A., García Fernández, F.,  
461 2004. Characterisation of the xylem of 352 conifers. *Investigación agraria: Sistemas y*  
462 *recursos forestales* 13, 452-478.

463 Gerards, T., Damblon, F., Wauthoz, B., Gerrienne, P., 2007. Comparison of cross-field pitting  
464 in fresh, dried and charcoalfied softwoods. *IAWA Journal* 28, 49-60.

465 Gothan, W. 1905. Zur Anatomie lebender und fossiler Gymnospermen-Hölzer. *Abhandlungen*  
466 *zur preußischen geologische Landesanstalt* 44, 1-108.

467 Gothan, W., 1906. *Piceoxylon pseudotsugae* als fossiles Holz, *Pseudotsuga* sp. aff. *douglasii*)  
468 als rezenter Baum. In H. Potonié (ed.) *Abbildungen und Beschreibungen fossiler Pflanzen-*  
469 *Reste, Lieferung IV. Königlich Preußischen Geologischen Landesanstalt und Bergakademie,*  
470 *Berlin*, 5 p.

471 Greguss, P., 1955. Identification of living Gymnosperms on the basis of xyotomy. *Akadémiai*  
472 *Kiadó, Budapest*.

473 Greguss, P., 1967. Fossil Gymnosperm Woods in Hungary from the Permian to the Pliocene.  
474 Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest.

475 Greppi, C.D., Pujana, R.R., Umazano, A.M., Bellosi, E.S., 2021. Early Cretaceous  
476 *Brachyoxylon* woods from Argentinean Patagonia and comments on the Cheirolepidiaceae  
477 distribution. Journal of South American Earth Sciences 106, 103050.

478 Groom, P., Rushton, W., 1913. The structure of the wood of East Indian species of *Pinus*.  
479 Journal of the Linnean Society, Botany, London, 41, 457-490.

480 Heinz, I., 2004. Systematische Erfassung und Dokumentation der mikroanatomischen  
481 Merkmale der Nadelhölzer aus der Klasse der Pinatae. Unpublished thesis, Munich  
482 (<http://tumblr.biblio.tu-muenchen.de/pub/diss/ww/2004/heinz.pdf>)

483 Hooke, R., 1665. Micrographia, or, some physiological descriptions of minute bodies made  
484 by magnifying glasses: with observations and inquiries there upon. Martyn & Allestry,  
485 London.

486 IAWA, 1964. Multilingual glossary of terms used in wood anatomy. Konkordia, Winterthur.  
487 InsideWood. 2004-onwards. Published on the Internet. <http://insidewood.lib.ncsu.edu/search>  
488 [accessed 4th March 2021].

489 Jacquiot, C., 1955. Atlas d'anatomie des bois de Conifères. Centre technique du bois, Paris.

490 Jeffrey, E.C., 1905. The comparative anatomy and phylogeny of the conifers. Part II: the  
491 Abietineae. Memoirs of the Boston Society of natural History 6, 1-35.

492 Jeffrey, E.C., 1917. The anatomy of woody plants. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

493 Kraus, G., 1864. Mikroskopische Untersuchungen über der Bau lebenden und vorweltlicher  
494 Nadelholzer. Würzburger naturwissenschaftliche Zeitschrift 5, 144-200.

495 Kräusel, R. 1913. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Hölzer aus der schlesischen Braunkohle. 1. Teil.  
496 Wilhelm Göttl. Korn, Breslau.

497 Kräusel, R. 1917. Die Bedeutung der Anatomie lebender und fossiler Hölzer für die  
498 Phylogenie der Koniferen. Naturwissenschaften Wochenschrift 16, 305–311.

499 Kräusel, R. 1918. Einige Bemerkungen zur Bestimmung fossiler Koniferen-Hölzer.  
500 Österreicher Botanische. Zeitschrift 67, 127–135.

501 Kräusel, R., 1919. Die fossilen Koniferenhölzer (unter Ausschluß von *Araucarioxylon* Kraus).  
502 I: Versuch einer monographischen Darstellung. Palaeontographica 62, 185–284.

503 Kräusel, R. 1920a. Paläobotanische Notizen I. Nachträge zur Zusammenstellung fossiler  
504 Koniferen Hölzer. Senckenbergiana 2, 199– 204.

505 Kräusel, R. 1920b. Paläobotanische Notizen II: Zur Bestimmung fossiler Koniferenhölzer.  
506 Senckenbergiana 2, 204– 208.



507 Kräusel, R., 1949. Die fossilen Koniferen-Hölzer (Unter Ausschluß von *Araucarioxylon*  
508 Kraus). II: Kritische Untersuchungen zur Diagnostik lebender und fossiler Koniferen-Hölzer.  
509 Palaeontographica, Abt. B, 89, 83–203.

510 Larson, P.R., 2012. The vascular cambium: development and structure. Springer Science,  
511 New-York.

512 Lemoigne, Y., 1968. Caractères histologiques du bois secondaire du *Brachyphyllum*  
513 *desnoyersii* (Brongniart) de Saporta. Annales de la société géologique du Nord 88, 159-161.

514 Lemoigne, Y., Demarcq, G., 1967. Nouvelle espèce de *Dadoxylon* à trachéides septées  
515 provenant du Wealdien de Féron-Glageon (Nord). Bulletin de la société géologique de France  
516 9, 53–56.

517 Marguerier, J., Woltz, P., 1977. Anatomie comparée et systématique des *Podocarpus*  
518 malgaches. Adansonia 17, 155-192.

519 Medlyn, D.A., Tidwell, W.D., 1975: Conifer wood from the Upper Jurassic of Utah part I:  
520 *Xenoxylon morrisonense* sp. nov. American Journal of Botany 62, 203-208.

521 Merlotti, S., 2011. Método de estudo paleoanatômico aplicado à taxonomia de lenhos  
522 gimnosperâmicos gondvânicos (Paleozóico Superior). Pesquisas em Geociências 38, 29-53.

523 Müller, C., 1890. Über die Balken in den Holzelementen der Coniferen. Berichte der  
524 deutschen botanischen Gesellschaft 8, 17-45.

525 Müller-Stoll, W.R., Schultze-Motel, J., 1990. Gymnospermen-Hölzer des deutschen Jura. Teil  
526 3: Abietoid (modern) getüpfelte Hölzer. Zeitschrift der deutschen geologischen Gesellschaft  
527 141, 61-77.

528 Nägeli, C., 1884. Mechanisch-physiologie Theorie der Abstammungslehre. R. Oldenbourg,  
529 Munich.

530 Nicol, W., 1831. Observations on the fossil trees of Van Diemen's Land. The Edinburgh new  
531 philosophical journal 10, 361-364.

532 Nicol, W., 1834. Observations on the structure of recent and fossil Coniferae. The Edinburgh  
533 new philosophical journal 16, 137-157, Plate II.

534 Philippe, M., 1995. Bois fossiles du Jurassique de Franche-Comté (nord-est de la France) :  
535 systématique et biogéographie. Palaeontographica, Abt. B, 236, 45-103.

536 Philippe, M., Bamford, M. 2008. A key to morphogenera used for Mesozoic conifer-like  
537 woods. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 148, 184-207.

538 Philippe, M., Cuny, G., Bashforth, A., 2010. *Ecpagloxylon mathiesenii* gen. et sp. nov., a  
539 Jurassic wood from Greenland with several primitive angiosperm features. Plant Systematics  
540 and Evolutions 287, 153-165.

541 Philippe, M., Thévenard, F., Nosova, N., Kim, K., Naugolnykh, S., 2013. Systematics of a  
542 palaeoecologically significant boreal Mesozoic fossil wood genus, *Xenoxylon* Gothan. Review  
543 of Palaeobotany and Palynology 193, 128–140.

544 Philippe, M., Boura, A., Oh, C., Pons, D., 2014. *Shimakuroxylon* a new homoxylous  
545 Mesozoic wood genus from Asia, with palaeogeographical and palaeoecological implications.  
546 Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 204, 18-26.

547 Philippe, M., Afonin, M., Delzon, S., Jordan, G.J., Terada, K., Thiébaud, M., 2019. A  
548 palaeobiogeographical scenario for the Taxaceae based on a revised fossil wood record and  
549 embolism resistance. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 263, 147-158.

550 Phillips, E.W.J., 1941. Identification of softwoods by their microscopic structure. Journal of  
551 the Linnean society of London, botany, 52, 259-320.

552 Pons, D. Henry, L. & R. Henry. 1992. Bois de conifères de l'albien inférieur de Mesnil-Saint-  
553 Père (Aube). Association géologique auboise. Bulletin annuel, 14, 35-52.

554 Pool, D.J.W., 1929. On the anatomy of Araucarian wood. Recueil des travaux de botanique  
555 néerlandais 25, 482-620.

556 Pujana, R.R., Ruiz, D.P., 2017. *Podocarpoxyylon* Gothan reviewed in the light of a new  
557 species from the Eocene of Patagonia. IAWA Journal 38, 220–244.

558 Pujana, R.R., Ruiz, D.P., Martinez, L.C.A., Zhang, Yi, 2016. Proposals for quantifying two  
559 characteristics of tracheid pit arrangement in gymnosperm woods. Revista del Museo  
560 Argentino de Ciencias Naturales 18, 117-124.

561 Richter, H.G., Grosser, I., Heinz, I., Gasson, P.E. (eds.), 2004. IAWA list of microscopic  
562 features for softwood identifications. IAWA Journal 25, 1-70.

563 Rößler, R., Trümper, S., Noll, R., Hellwig, A., Niemirowska, S., 2021. Wood shrinkage  
564 during fossilisation and its significance for studying deep-time lignophytes. Review of  
565 Palaeobotany and Palynology 292, 104455. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2021.104455>

566 e Sanio, K., 1873. Anatomie der gemeinen Kiefer (*Pinus sylvestris*). Jahrbücher für  
567 Wissenschaftliche Botanik 9, 50-126.

568 Scaramuzza dos Santos, A.C., Guerra-Sommer, M., Degani-Schmidt, I., Sieglöcher, A.M., De  
569 Souza Carvalho, I., Mendonça Filho, J.G., De Oliveira Mendonça, J., 2020. Fungus-plant  
570 interactions in Aptian Tropical Equatorial Hot arid belt: White rot in araucarian wood from  
571 the Crato fossil Lagerstätte (Araripe Basin, Brazil). Cretaceous Research 114,104525.

572 Schweingruber, F.H., Börner, A., (eds.), 2018. The Plant Stem. Springer, Zurich.  
573 [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73524-5\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73524-5_12)

574 Seward, A.C., 1919. Fossil plants, volume IV. Hafner Publ., London.

575 Slyper, E.J., 1933. Bestimmungstabelle für rezente und fossile Coniferenhölzer nach  
576 mikroskopischen Merkmalen. *Recueil des travaux botaniques néerlandais* 30, 482-513.

577 Stubblefield, S. P., Taylor, T. N., 1986. Wood decay in silicified gymnosperms from  
578 Antarctica. *Botanical Gazette*, 147, 116-125.

579 Thinon, M., 1994. Description codifiée des caractères anatomiques des bois carbonisés des  
580 conifères et des Ginkgoales. *Bulletin de la société linnéenne de Provence* 45, 165-185.

581 Thomson, R.B., 1913. On the comparative anatomy and affinities of the Araucarineae.  
582 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society in London*, B, 204, 1–46.

583 Torrey, R. E., 1923. The comparative anatomy and phylogeny of the *Coniferales*, Part. 3:  
584 Mesozoic and Tertiary coniferous woods. *Memoirs of the Boston Society of Natural History*  
585 6, 39–106.

586 Turland, N. J., Wiersema, J. H., Barrie, F. R., Greuter, W., Hawksworth, D. L., Herendeen, P.  
587 S., Knapp, S., Kusber, W.-H., Li, D.-Z., Marhold, K., May, T. W., McNeill, J., Monroe, A. M.,  
588 Prado, J., Price, M. J. & Smith, G. F. (eds.) 2018: *International Code of Nomenclature for*  
589 *algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical*  
590 *Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017*. *Regnum Vegetabile* 159. Glashütten: Koeltz Botanical  
591 Books. DOI <https://doi.org/10.12705/Code.2018>

592 Tuzson, J., 1911. Monographie der fossilen Pflanzenreste der Balatonseegegend. In: *Resultate*  
593 *der Wissenschaftlichen Erforschung des Balatonsees*, Band 1, Teil 1. Ungarischen  
594 Geographischen Gesellschaft, Vienna, pp.: 1-64.

595 Vogellehner, D., 1967. Zur Anatomie und Phylogenie mesozoischer Gymnospermenhölzer,  
596 Beitrag 7: Prodrömus zu einer Monographie der Protopinaceae. II: Die protopinoiden Hölzer  
597 der Trias. *Palaeontographica*, Abt. B., 121, 30-51.

598 Vogellehner, J., 1968. Zur Anatomie und Phylogenie mesozoischer Gymnospermenhölzer,  
599 Beitrag 7: Prodrömus zu einer Monographie der Protopinaceae. II. Die Protopinoiden Hölzer  
600 des Jura. *Palaeontographica*, Abt. B, 124, 125–162.

601 Wheeler, E.A., 2011. InsideWood - a web resource for hardwood anatomy. *IAWA Journal* 32,  
602 199-211.

603 Xia, Guoqing, Tian, Ning, Philippe, M., Yi, Haisheng, Wu, Chihua, Zhu, Zhipeng, Li, Gaojie,  
604 Shi Zhiqiang, 2020. Oldest Jurassic wood with Gondwanan affinities from the Middle  
605 Jurassic of Tibetan Plateau and associated white-rot. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*  
606 281, 104283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2020.104283>

607

608 Plate captions:

609 Plate 1. Fig. 1-6- Transverse section (Trs); 1- Tracheidoxyl (pycnoxylic wood), *Xenoxylon*  
610 *phyllocladoides* Gothan, Gothan 117, Swedish Museum of Natural History; 2- Manoxylic wood,  
611 *Medullosa* sp., Collection Roche (n°1166), MNHN, Paris ; 3- Type D & E of growth rings, *Cedroxylon*  
612 *cedroides* Gothan, S1944, Swedish Museum of Natural History; 4- Type D & E of growth rings,  
613 Indeterminate conifer, VI41, paleontological collections of the Université de Poitiers; 5- False rings,  
614 *Callitrixylon gallicum* Privé et Boureau, 5190, Sorbonne Université ; 6- Double rings, indeterminate  
615 conifer mentioned in Pons & Henri (1992); 7- Tangential longitudinal section (Tls),  
616 abietineentupfelung and occurrence of intercellular spaces between ray cells, *Protopiceoxylon*  
617 *extinctum* Gothan, S1909, Swedish Museum of Natural History Scale bars: 7 = 100 µm; 3 = 180 µm;  
618 2 & 4 = 500 µm; 1 & 5 = 1 mm; 6 = 1 cm.

619

620 Plate 2. Fig. 1- Tls, heterocellular rays, *Ecplagloxyton mathiesenii* Philippe, Cuny & Bashforth,  
621 Mathiesen 108, Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen; 2- Longitudinal radial section  
622 (Lrs), heterocellular ray with a ray tracheid, *Protocedroxylon* sp., S136049, Swedish Museum of  
623 Natural History; 3 – Tls, fusiform ray without a resin canal, *Thylloxyton irregulare* Gothan, S1663,  
624 Swedish Museum of Natural History; 4- Trs, shape of the axial section of the tracheids circular,  
625 *Ginkgoxyton quangnamense* Serra, 2682, Sorbonne Université ; 5- Trs, shape of the axial section of  
626 the tracheids polygonal, *Xenoxylon phyllocladoides* Gothan, Gothan 117-2, Swedish Museum of  
627 Natural History. Scale bars: 1, 2, 3 = 50 µm; 4, 5 = 200 µm.

628

629 Plate 3. Fig.1. Lrs, abietinean radial pitting, *Cupressinoxylon eocenicum* Dupéron-Laudoueneix, 9607,  
630 Sorbonne Université; 2- araucarian radial pitting, *Agathoxyton trungphanense* (Vozenin-Serra) Boura  
631 et al., 17, Sorbonne Université ; 3- brachyoxylean radial pitting, *Brachyoxylon notabile* Hollick &  
632 Jeffrey, unnumbered specimen of drawer 68, Swedish Museum of Natural History; 4- brachyoxylean  
633 radial pitting, *Brachyoxylon* sp., Oliver's collection 2200, British museum of natural history; 5-  
634 shimakurean radial pitting; *Dadoxyton japonicum* Shimakura, Shimakura 53325 bis, Tohoku University  
635 Museum; 6- Lrs, tracheid pits shape xenoxylean, *Xenoxylon meisteri* Palibin & Jarmolenko,  
636 Shimakura 62068, Tohoku University Museum; 7- Lrs, tracheid pits shape scalariform, *Ecplagloxyton*  
637 *mathiesenii*, Mathiesen 108, Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen; 8- Lrs, tracheid  
638 pitting seriation in distinct bands, *Callixylon newberryi* (Dawson) Elkins & Wieland, *collections of the*  
639 *University of Michigan Museum of Paleontology*; 9- Lrs, radial pits in clusters, *Pinoxylon yabei*  
640 Shimakura, Shimakura 30556, Tohoku University Museum. Scale bars: 1, 9 = 50 µm; 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8  
641 = 100 µm.

642

643 Plate 4. Fig. 1- Tls, rims of Sanio, *Cedroxylon cedroides*, S1944, Swedish Museum of Natural History;  
644 2- Tls, rims of Sanio, *Protocedroxylon* sp., S136049, Swedish Museum of Natural History; 3- Tls,  
645 bordered pits present on the tangential walls of the axial tracheids, indeterminate conifer, VI38,  
646 paleontological collections of the Université de Poitiers; 4- Lrs, Mineral contents in tracheids,  
647 *Piceoxylon transiens* Gothan, Shimakura 58450, Tohoku University Museum; 5 -Rls, tracheid tips club  
648 shaped, *Ginkgoxylon quangnamense* Serra, 2687, Sorbonne Université; 6- Tls (6) and Rls (7), tylosis in  
649 tracheids, *Protophyllocladoxylon thylloides* Serra, 2698, Sorbonne Université; 8- Tls, septae,  
650 *Metapodocarpoxydon libanoticum* (Edwards) Dupéron-Laudoueneix et Pons, 20497, Sorbonne  
651 université; 9- Lrs, bars of Sanio, *Podocarpoxydon umzambicum* Müller-Stoll, SMB9666-16,  
652 Senckenberg Museum, Frankfurt. Scale bars: 1, 2, 6, 7 = 50 µm; 2, 5, 8 = 100 µm; 3, 4 = 200 µm; 9 =  
653 50 µm.

654  
655 Plate 5. Fig 1- Tls, Abietineentupfelung, *Planoxylon hectorii* Stopes, V52823, British Museum of  
656 Natural History; 2 & 3- Tls, Juniperustüpfelung, *Juniperus polycarpus* K. Koch; SU701, MNHN wood  
657 collection; 4- Tls, idioblastic cells, *Ginkgoxylon quangnamense* Serra, UPMC 2687, Sorbonne  
658 Université ; 5- Tr, - idioblastic cells, *Ecplagloxylon mathiesenii*, Mathiesen 108, Natural History  
659 Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen ; 6- Cross field pits diversity; 7- Phyllocladoid oopores,  
660 *Podocarpoxydon* sp., 5937, Sorbonne Université; 8- Circopores, *Circoporoxylon tibetense* Guoqing Xia  
661 et al., PMOL-B-01497, Palaeontological Museum of Liaoning, China (courtesy of Tian Ning); 9-  
662 Podocarpoid oculipores (in the late wood on the left side), *Xenoxylon phyllocladoides* Gothan,  
663 Gothan 117, Swedish Museum of Natural History. Scale bars: 1, 4 = 100 µm; 5, 8 = 50 µm; 2, 3, 7, 9 =  
664 20 µm.

665

666