

Rapid generation of maternal mutants via oocyte transgenic expression of CRISPR-Cas9 and sgRNAs in zebrafish

Chong Zhang, Tong Lu, Yizhuang Zhang, Jiaguang Li, Imran Tarique, Fenfen Wen, Aijun Chen, Jiasheng Wang, Zhuoyu Zhang, Yanjun Zhang, et al.

► To cite this version:

Chong Zhang, Tong Lu, Yizhuang Zhang, Jiaguang Li, Imran Tarique, et al.. Rapid generation of maternal mutants via oocyte transgenic expression of CRISPR-Cas9 and sgRNAs in zebrafish. Science Advances , 2021, 7 (32), pp.eabg4243. 10.1126/sciadv.abg4243 . hal-03341583

HAL Id: hal-03341583 https://hal.science/hal-03341583v1

Submitted on 11 Sep 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Science Advances

Manuscript Template

1 FRONT MATTER

2

3

4

5

Title

- Rapid generation of maternal mutants via oocyte transgenic expression of CRISPR/Cas9 and sgRNAs in zebrafish
 - Maternal mutants generated by multiple Cas9 RNPs
- 6 7

8 Authors

- 9 Chong Zhang¹, Tong Lu^{1†}, Yizhuang Zhang^{1†}, Jiaguang Li^{2†}, Imran Tarique¹, Fenfen Wen¹, Aijun
- 10 Chen¹, Jiasheng Wang¹, Zhuoyu Zhang², Yanjun Zhang¹, De-Li Shi^{3,4}, Ming Shao^{1,2}*
- 11
- ¹² ¹Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Animal Cell and Developmental Biology and Key
- 13 Laboratory for Experimental Teratology of the Ministry of Education, School of Life Sciences,
- 14 Shandong University, Qingdao 266237, China;
- ¹⁵ ²Shandong University Taishan College, Qingdao 266237, China;
- ¹⁶ ³Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Medical University, Zhanjiang 524001, China;
- ⁴Developmental Biology Laboratory, CNRS-UMR7622, Institut de Biologie Paris-Seine,
 Sorbonne University, Paris 75005, France.
- 18 Sorbonne University, Paris 75005, Fran
- ¹⁹ [†]These authors contributed equally.
- * To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: <u>shaoming@sdu.edu.cn</u>
- 21

23 Abstract

Maternal products are exclusive factors to drive oogenesis and early embryonic development. 24 As disruption of maternal gene functions is either time-consuming or technically challenging. 25 early developmental programs regulated by maternal factors remain mostly elusive. This study 26 provides a transgenic approach to inactivate maternal gene functions in the zebrafish primary 27 oocyte. By introducing three tandem sgRNA expression cassettes and a GFP reporter into 28 Tg(*zpc:zcas9*) embryos, we efficiently obtained maternal *nanog* and *ctnnb2* mutants among GFP-29 positive F1 offspring. Notably, most of these maternal mutants displayed either sgRNA site-30 spanning genomic deletions or unintended large deletions extending distantly from the sgRNA 31 32 targets, suggesting a prominent deletion-prone tendency of genome editing in the oocyte. Thus, our method allows maternal gene knockout in the absence of viable and fertile homozygous 33 adults. This approach is particularly time-saving and technically accessible. It can be applied for 34 functional screening of maternal factors and generating genomic deletions in zebrafish. 35

36

37 Teaser

38 A time-saving and deletion-prone method to inactivate maternal factors in zebrafish.

40 MAIN TEXT

41

42 Introduction

Maternally supplied mRNAs and proteins, produced by over half of the coding genes in 43 zebrafish (1), control oogenesis and the earliest processes of embryonic development, especially 44 those before zygotic genome activation (2-6). Some maternal factors can also perform zygotic 45 functions during early development (7-9). Thus, eliminating both maternal and zygotic products is 46 47 essential to elucidate functional roles of genes with maternal expression. However, most maternal gene products are cell-autonomously deposited in primary oocytes that have the same genotype as 48 49 somatic cells. As a result, to generate maternal (M) or maternal-zygotic (MZ) mutant offspring by traditional genetic approach, viable and fertile homozygous mutant females are indispensable. 50 These homozygous mutants are classically produced in a time-consuming manner by repeated 51 crossing and screening through typically three generations (almost nine months in zebrafish). 52 Nevertheless, if loss of zygotic gene functions causes death or sterility, it becomes particularly 53 difficult to produce maternal mutants. One way to circumvent these obstacles is to rescue 54 phenotype defects by injecting the wild-type mRNA, such as the case for the generation of Moep 55 mutants (8), but this approach was proved to be possible only for a small proportion of zygotic 56 lethal genes. Several alternatives have also been developed, such as germ-line replacement (9-12), 57 oocyte microinjection in situ (13), generation of genetically mosaic females (7), or the BACK 58 approach using a BAC plasmid containing the wild-type gene that is sent "BACK" to the genome 59 for rescue (14). These methods remain technically challenging, time-consuming, or less efficient. 60 Therefore, a rapid and straightforward system to inactivate genes in the oocyte may break the 61 barrier to study their maternal functions. 62

63 The CRISPR/Cas9 system has become a powerful tool in genome editing, promoting extensive functional analyses (15-17). CRISPR/Cas9-based conditional knockout has been tested 64 in several species, including zebrafish, mouse and mosquito (18-21). The strategy to achieve 65 tissue-specific or temporally controlled gene inactivation relies on the transgenesis and the use of 66 67 promoters to drive the spatiotemporal expression of Cas9 protein (18-28). However, the application of Cas9-mediated conditional knockout is still limited, probably due to the low 68 69 efficiency and mosaicism or failure to ensure complete bi-allelic mutations (18, 19, 25, 29-31). These often result in incomplete removal of tissue-specific gene products or functional 70 71 compensation from wild-type or heterozygous cells, thus generating animals without detectable

mutant phenotypes. Distinct from tissues or organs in which component cells function as a whole, every oocyte with bi-allelic maternal gene disruption in the ovary can lead to the generation of a homogeneous maternal mutant animal. Therefore, we reasoned that CRISPR/Cas9-mediated oocyte-specific conditional knockout should still be highly applicable to create maternal mutants, despite the moderate efficiency and mosaic feature of the CRISPR/Cas9 system in transgenesis.

Zona pellucida gene zp3b (also known as zpc) is specifically expressed at early stages of 77 oocytes, and its promoter can drive a high level of maternal expression of GFP (32). We have 78 previously created a transgenic line Tg(zpc:zcas9) that specifically expresses zebrafish codon-79 optimized *cas9* in the oocytes, and tested its application in routine gene inactivation by injection 80 of sgRNAs into the embryo (33, 34). In this study, we developed a rapid conditional knockout 81 strategy to generate maternal mutants by just one generation (less than three months). By 82 83 introducing a single or multiple sgRNA expression modules into Tg(zpc:zcas9) embryos via I-Sce I-mediated transgenesis, we successfully generated maternal mutants for nanog and ctnnb2 (β -84 *catenin2*) genes among F1 embryos. We also discovered a dramatic tendency for genome editing 85 in zebrafish oocytes to frequently produce heritable large deletions when expressing multiple 86 87 sgRNAs. Therefore, our study demonstrates the promising potential of this novel conditional knockout strategy to circumvent current technical restrictions in functional studies of maternal 88 factors. 89

91 **Results**

92 Experimental design of a rapid oocyte-specific conditional knockout system

As Tg(*zpc:zcas9*) zebrafish has adequate maternal Cas9 protein for genome editing in the early 93 embryos (34), we were wondering if it was possible to directly inactivate genes of interest in the 94 oocytes by introducing a transgenic sgRNA expression cassette in order to produce maternal 95 mutants by just one generation. We thus designed a transgenic vector pGGDestISceIEG-1sgRNA 96 containing the U6a promoter to drive the expression of a single sgRNA and an $efl\alpha$ promoter to 97 98 drive the ubiquitous expression of GFP that will serve as a selection marker. These two modules are flanked by Tol2 inverse repeat sequences (TIR) and I-Sce I restriction sites (Fig. 1A). By 99 Golden Gate ligation, we can also connect three sgRNA expression modules driven by U6a or 00 U6b promoter to create a pGGDestISceIEG-3sgRNA vector (Fig. 1B). This endows the vector 01 02 with the ability to express three sgRNAs simultaneously targeting a single gene of interest, potentially improving the efficiency of bi-allelic gene disruption (35). 03

04 Because Tg(zpc:zcas9) zebrafish line was created by Tol2 transposition, we decided to use meganuclease-mediated transgenesis to integrate the sgRNA expression cassette into the genome 05 (36), such that an accidental removal of the *zpc:zcas9* transgene could be avoided. Embryos from 06 a wild-type female and a homozygous Tg(zpc:zcas9) male are employed for further I-Sce I-07 mediated transgenesis. After coinjection of I-Sce I and sgRNA transgenic vector, mosaic embryos 08 with wide-spread GFP expression were selected and raised to adulthood. The mosaic F0 female 09 fish will be subsequently outcrossed with wild-type males to check sgRNA expression in the 10 embryos by monitoring the expression of maternal GFP. Finally, maternal mutants will be 11 identified by phenotyping and genotyping GFP-positive offspring (Fig. 1C). 12

13

14 A proof-of-principle to produce maternal *nanog* mutants in F1 embryos

As a proof-of-principle, we selected *nanog* gene as a target because its maternal products are required for zygotic transcription of many essential genes involved in pattern formation and cell movements during gastrulation (*37-39*). As a result, maternal *nanog* mutant (M*nanog*) embryos can be readily identified from 5 hours post-fertilization (hpf) onward by the extremely delayed epiboly phenotype, whereas zygotic homozygous mutant embryos develop normally. An sgRNA target was selected in the first exon of *nanog* gene by CRISPRscan (*40*) and was found to be

highly efficient (66.3% of mutation rate) following its coinjection with cas9 mRNA into wild-21 type embryos (fig. S1). We then constructed a pGGDestISceIEG-1sgRNA vector containing this 22 sgRNA. By injecting this plasmid and I-Sce I enzyme into the blastodisc of 1-cell stage embryos, 23 we created mosaic transgenic sgRNA lines in the Tg(*zpc:zcas9*) or wild-type background. In a 24 representative experiment, we obtained 3 female adults with germ-line GFP expression in a total 25 of 25 F0 fish under the Tg(zpc:zcas9) background (12% germ-line transmission rate). After 26 outcross, we observed an average of 16.2% GFP-positive embryos displaying characteristic 27 phenotypes of Mnanog mutants (Fig. 2, A-K), with severely delayed epiboly already prominent at 28 the shield stage (Fig. 2, A-D, F-I), and absence of visible dorsoventral and anteroposterior axes at 29 24 hpf (Fig. 2, E and J), reminiscent of previously reported developmental defects (37-39). In 30 contrast, embryos with only sgRNA expression did not show any developmental defects (Fig. 2K 31 32 and fig. S2).

We then randomly selected 10 GFP-positive cleavage stage embryos from two mutant-33 producing female fish and individually extracted total RNAs to analyze their genotypes. After 34 RT-PCR, the products were cloned into the pZeroback vector, and individual clones were 35 subjected to Sanger sequencing. We found that 2 embryos among the 10 GFP-positive offspring 36 carried mutations in *nanog* transcripts (Fig. 2L). For embryo #1, all 33 sequenced clones had an 37 indel of 8 base pair (bp) insertion and 7 bp deletion, while for embryo #2, all 29 sequenced clones 38 showed an 8 bp deletion (Fig. 2L). Theoretically, as four copies of the haploid genome emerged 39 in the primary oocyte and were edited independently, four different mutant *nanog* RNAs should 40 exist in each maternal mutant embryo. The bias in the emergence of the mutant transcripts may 41 represent a differential RNA stability between these mutant variants. Nevertheless, both types of 42 indels lead to frameshift and premature stop that should produce nonfunctional proteins (Fig. 2L). 43 These results illustrate the feasibility of this transgenic method to generate maternal mutants by 44 only one generation, with less than three months. 45

46

47 Multiple sgRNAs increase the efficiency to produce *nanog* maternal mutants

We next designed two additional sgRNAs in the first and second exons of the *nanog* gene. Following verification of their efficiency (fig. S1), the three sgRNAs were cloned tandemly into the pGGDestISceIEG-3sgRNA vector and integrated into the genome of Tg(*zpc:zcas9*) fish. We found that 40% of F0 females (n=10) produced GFP-positive offspring. On average, 38.8% of these GFP expressing Tg(*zpc:zcas9*) embryos displayed typical M*nanog* phenotypes, while transgenic embryos expressing the three sgRNAs and GFP but without Cas9 did not show any developmental defect (Fig. 2K, fig. S2). The efficiency was hence about 2.5 folds higher than that obtained by just one sgRNA.

56 To further test if the embryos with aberrant phenotypes are bona fide *nanog* maternal mutants, we performed whole-mount immunofluorescence staining on wild-type and GFP-positive 57 embryos at 3 hpf with a commercially available Nanog antibody. As zygotic transcription is not 58 activated at this stage, only maternal Nanog protein exists in these embryos. All wild-type 59 60 embryos showed a strong Nanog protein signal, with an apparent enrichment in the nuclei (Fig. 2, M-P). However, 46.5% (n=43) of GFP-positive embryos were negative for Nanog antibody 61 staining, indicating loss of maternally deposited Nanog protein in these mutants (Fig. 2, Q-T). To 62 determine the expression of Nanog target genes, we examined sox17 and mxtx2 transcripts by 63 whole-mount in situ hybridization (ISH). Sox17 is a transcription factor specifically expressed in 64 65 the endoderm and the dorsal forerunner cells. Compared to wild-type embryos, sox17 expression in the endoderm of defective embryos was significantly reduced, and its expression in the dorsal 66 forerunner cells expanded laterally (Fig. 2, U and V). Mxtx2 is another transcription factor 67 expressed exclusively in the yolk syncytial layer (YSL) and is essential for epiboly movement 68 69 (41). Its expression completely disappeared in presumed Mnanog mutant embryos at the sphere stage (Fig. 2, W and X). These disrupted gene expression patterns were identical to those 70 observed in the genuine Mnanog mutants (37-39), suggesting that these defects were caused by 71 loss of maternal Nanog function. To further demonstrate the specific loss of maternal nanog gene 72 products, we tried to rescue the defective epiboly phenotype by supplementing wild-type nanog-73 myc mRNA to GFP-positive embryos (Fig. 2Y). The result showed that there was almost a 74 complete rescue of epiboly defects (Fig. 2Z). Thus, these data demonstrate a significant increase 75 in the efficiency of producing maternal mutants by targeting a single gene with multiple 76 transgenic sgRNAs. 77

78

79 Expression of multiple sgRNAs in the oocyte tends to generate large deletions

We next tried to genotype *nanog* maternal mutants created by oocyte expression of multiple sgRNAs. As each oocyte is surrounded by a large number of follicle cells and contains a minimal amount of genomic DNA, it is almost impossible to perform DNA extraction from a single oocyte

without contamination by genomic DNA from somatic follicle cells. Hence, we designed a 83 pipeline based on the regulative development of zebrafish early embryos and used RT-PCR 84 analysis to genotype the maternal mutants. GFP-positive embryos were raised to 3 hpf when 85 dozens of cells were aspired from the blastodisc and subjected to RNA extraction. These cells 86 only express maternal mRNAs because they were collected before zygotic genome activation 87 occurring at 3.5 hpf. Thus, we can genotype the donor embryo by performing RT-PCR analysis of 88 the transcripts of interest. All donor embryos were allowed to develop to appropriate stages for 89 phenotype analysis. If an embryo presents the maternal mutant phenotype, total RNAs from 90 collected cells were extracted, and RT-PCR was performed to amplify the coding sequence (CDS) 91 of concerned transcripts. The resulting PCR products were directly analyzed by agarose gel 92 electrophoresis and further amplified by a pair of nested primers for constructing a mini 93 94 expression library. The reverse primer sequence is located immediately before the stop codon and ensures in-frame cloning of the open reading frame (ORF) from wild-type mRNA with the six 95 myc epitopes in the pCS2-MT vector. So if mutant sequences with frameshifts or large deletions 96 are cloned, they will not produce any protein with correct molecular weight (see also Materials 97 98 and Methods and table S1). This library can be examined by sequencing individual clones or can be injected into wild-type embryos to verify if the mutant transcripts produce protein products by 99 western blot analysis (Fig. 3A). 00

01 Using this strategy, we examined 16 embryos with apparent Mnanog phenotypes from 4 independent F0 founders. By PCR amplification of *nanog* CDS from collected cells, we found 02 that all these embryos had truncated nanog maternal transcripts (Fig. 3B). Some embryos 03 displayed multiple patterns of deletions, as revealed by the presence of PCR products with 04 different sizes. It should be noted that, although maternal *nanog* mRNAs are independently 05 produced by four genomic copies of *nanog* gene during the prophase of meiosis I, not all four 06 mutant maternal transcripts in each embryo could be detected by PCR amplification of cDNA 07 pools. This may be due to the reduced stability of some mutant mRNAs and/or the absence of 08 binding sites for primers to amplify the ORF following unintended deletions. The deletions in 09 nanog maternal transcripts were further confirmed by directly sequencing their corresponding 10 PCR products (Fig. 3C). Interestingly, except for deletions spanning the sgRNA targeting sites, 11 12 we also frequently detected truncated transcripts with large deletions that extended several 13 hundred bp downstream of the sgRNA3 site (Fig. 3C; bands 4-2, 5, 7, 8-1, 9-2, 12-2, 14, 15 and 16). This deletion-prone phenomenon was finally confirmed by directly examining genomic DNA 14 in other Mnanog mutant embryos, where both sgRNA site-spanning and unusual large deletions 15

were detected on the genome (Fig. 3, D and E). In an extreme situation, we observed a deletion of 16 3.8 kb extending from the 3' region of exon 1 to the beginning of exon 4, whereas there is only 17 1.49 kb between the two extreme sgRNA targeting sites (Fig. 3E; embryo #11). We next 18 examined Nanog protein expression in 3 hpf embryos by western blot analysis. A clear band with 19 molecular weight lower than 60 kDa was detected in a wild-type sample, while it was absent in 20 samples from verified Mnanog embryos (Fig. 3F). Consistently, western blot analysis following 21 injection of myc-tagged expression libraries constructed from two of these Mnanog mutant 22 embryos into wild-type embryos failed to detect the presence of any protein product (Fig. 3G). 23

24 This deletion bias of genome editing with multiple Cas9 RNPs in the oocyte was further confirmed by sequencing individual clones (n=57) from the two mutant libraries. We detected 25 four different large deletions in a large proportion of clones, as well as other indels, but not wild-26 type *nanog* transcripts (fig. S3). The deletion of a large portion of *nanog* transcripts could also be 27 confirmed by ISH using a probe encompassing the two extreme sgRNA sites. We found that one-28 third of GFP-positive embryos (n=45) were devoid of hybridization signal in a representative 29 experiment, whereas all wild-type embryos were strongly positive (Fig. 3, H-J). After genotyping 30 using collected cells at 3 hpf, ISH analysis of all verified Mnanog mutant donor embryos (n=11) 31 clearly showed an absence of staining (Fig. 3K). Thus, ISH experiments could help identify 32 33 maternal mutant embryos or even mutant oocytes induced by multiple sgRNAs.

34 Because possible off-target sequences of the three sgRNAs are not present elsewhere in the genomic region flanked by F2 and R2 PCR primers (Fig. 3C), it is unlikely that these unintended 35 large deletions identified in Mnanog mutant embryos are produced due to off-target effects. This 36 was confirmed by injecting the three Cas9 RNPs into fertilized wild-type eggs. At 24 hpf, we 37 sequenced different genomic regions covered by the large deletion (fig. S4A, numbers 1-11). 38 Genome editing activity was only detected in the vicinity of sgRNA sites, but not at those loci 39 distantly located from different sgRNA target sites (fig. S4B). Hence, these data revealed an 40 apparent feature of the genome editing in zebrafish primary oocytes characterized by the frequent 41 occurrence of on-target site-spanning and unintended large deletions. 42

43

44 Maternal Cas9 RNPs fail to edit zygotic wild-type *nanog* allele

As maternally inherited Cas9 RNPs are still possible to mutate the paternal wild-type allele, 45 new mutations may continue to be generated, which will complicate the analysis of maternal 46 phenotypes. We thus tried to test the editing activity of maternal Cas9 RNPs on the wild-type 47 allele from the sperm. To discriminate paternally originated DNA from the maternally inherited 48 allele, we set to analyze Mnanog embryos with maternally inherited deletions that prevent the 49 amplification of regions flanking each sgRNA target due to the absence of primer binding sites, 50 so that only the paternal genome can be examined. To identify deletion-bearing Mnanog embryos, 51 we crossed mutation-carrying F0 female with wild-type male and extracted genomic DNAs from 52 individual Mnanog embryos at 10 hpf, a critical time just before massive embryonic death. By 53 PCR amplification using primers F5 and R3 that should not allow the detection of PCR products 54 in wild-type embryos or mutants carrying small indels due to their large distance on the genome 55 56 (fig. S5A), we found that 88.9% of Mnanog embryos (n=9) had on-target large deletions between the two extreme sgRNA sites (fig. S5B). 57

58 The site-spanning deletion may result from genome editing activity after fertilization, and this may mislead our judgement for the presence of maternally-inherited deletion alleles. To exclude 59 this possibility, we analyzed the sequencing chromatogram data of these PCR products, and found 60 that they all showed a stringent single-peaked pattern at the joining points after site-spanning 61 62 deletions (fig. S5, C and D), indicating that the deletion was homogeneous in different embryos. This is not supportive to the raised possibility, because genome editing in different embryonic 63 cells should lead to a heterogeneous deletion pattern. In addition, qPCR analysis using primers 64 flanking different sgRNA sites indicated that they were likely present as haploid alleles in the 65 eight Mnanog embryos (fig. S5E), suggesting that only maternal nanog allele should have the 66 large deletion. 67

We next analyzed the sgRNA targeting regions from the paternal allele. Sequence analysis of 68 genomic regions around the three sgRNA sites showed that they were indeed wild-type, without 69 any hint of mutation, as shown by the chromatogram data (fig. S5F). Because the wild-type allele 70 could not be descended from the mother as those embryos all displayed maternal mutant 71 phenotype, it must originate from the wild-type sperm. Thus, these data suggest that the 72 expression level of maternally deposited Cas9 RNPs is not sufficient to function on paternal wild-73 type *nanog* alleles in the early embryo. (The first paragraph of Results say "As Tg(*zpc:zcas9*) 74 zebrafish has adequate maternal Cas9 protein for genome editing in the early embryos") 75

We then supplemented exogenous Cas9 protein, sgRNAs, or both to Mnanog embryos with 76 maternally inherited large deletions, and compared the mutation rates occurring on the paternal 77 nanog allele at 10 hpf. Interestingly, moderate mutation rates ranging from 14%-29% were 78 observed at all three sgRNA targets following injection of Cas9 protein alone or synthetic 79 sgRNAs alone (fig. S6). These mutation rates were not saturated because they were significantly 80 lower than the editing efficiency observed after coinjecting Cas9 protein and synthetic sgRNAs at 81 comparable doses (fig. S6). These observations suggest that maternal *nanog* allele should have 82 been mutated in the primary oocytes within a confined period when Cas9 protein and sgRNA 83 expression is high enough to reach a threshold. 84

85

86 Generation of *nanog* maternal-zygotic (MZ) mutants

As defective embryos obtained by outcrossing mosaic F0 transgenic fish with wild-type males 87 were indeed maternal mutants of *nanog*, we next tried to obtain maternal-zygotic mutant embryos 88 (MZnanog) through two approaches. First, we crossed a mutation-producing female F0 fish with 89 a nanog zygotic homozygous mutant male identified during the study to generate MZnanog in 90 their offspring (fig. S7A). As expected, the resulting genuine MZnanog embryos showed epiboly 91 retardation, wide-spread apoptosis and disrupted pattern formation (fig. S7A). Alternatively, 92 MZnanog mutants could also be obtained by injecting the same set of three Cas9 RNPs into GFP-93 positive embryos derived from outcross between mosaic F0 transgenic fish and wild-type male, 94 which eliminates the remaining wild-type allele. This strategy is particularly time-saving when 95 adult heterozygous or homozygous mutant male fish are not available. We first expressed three 96 nanog Cas9 RNPs in wild-type embryos as a control and did not observe any toxic effect as 97 98 shown by the normal development of injected embryos (fig. S7, B and C). After injection of these Cas9 RNPs in Mnanog embryos, we examined nanog transcripts at 10 hpf by sequencing 99 individual clones prepared from RT-PCR products. As expected, we detected 5 wild-type 00 sequences among 34 clones in uninjected Mnanog embryos (fig. S7D). However, in injected 01 02 Mnanog embryos, all clones contained frameshift mutations or large deletions, suggesting a nearcomplete removal of wild-type nanog allele in these injected embryos (fig. S7E). This was further 03 04 supported by western blot analysis because we failed to observe any zygotic wild-type Nanog protein at the shield stage after injecting three Cas9 RNPs into Mnanog embryos (fig. S7F). 05 06 Conversely, we did observe wild-type zygotic Nanog protein in uninjected Mnanog embryos at

the shield stage (fig. S7F). Phenotypic analyses indicated that MZ*nanog* mutants generated by these two approaches exhibited similar developmental defects as M*nanog* embryos (fig. S8).

09

10 **Rapid generation of** *ctnnb2* **maternal mutants with large deletions**

To further test the performance of this oocyte-specific conditional knockout strategy, we 11 12 designed three highly efficient sgRNAs to simultaneously target *ctnnb2* (β -*catenin2*) gene in exons 3, 4, and 9 (Fig. 4S and fig. S9). Because *ctnnb2* is required for activation of maternal Wnt 13 14 signaling and is essential for the formation of the dorsal organizer, the disruption of its maternal expression leads to a ventralized phenotype (42, 43). After plasmid construction and transgenesis 15 16 as described above, two female fish were found to produce GFP-positive offspring (20% germline transmission rate, n=10). Examination of their phenotypes indicated that an average of 25.6% 17 GFP-positive embryos displayed various degrees of ventralization at 24 hpf (Fig. 4, A-K). There 18 were no such axis defective phenotypes in embryos only expressing *ctnnb2* sgRNAs and GFP 19 (Fig. 4K and fig. S2). When these ventralized embryos were grouped into four categories (V1-V4) 20 as described (44, 45), we found that 59.8% of them displayed the most severely affected 21 phenotype (V4) with complete absence of dorsal axis (Fig. 4K). This proportion is much higher 22 than the 34% of V4 phenotype reported for *ichabod* mutants that almost completely lack *ctnnb2* 23 transcription (42). 24

We further verified the ventralized phenotype by analyzing the expression of *dharma* and 25 goosecoid, two organizer genes directly controlled by maternal Wnt signaling. The result showed 26 27 a complete loss of *dharma* and *goosecoid* expression in 27.7% and 27.2% of GFP-positive embryos at the dome stage, respectively (Fig. 4, L-O). The ventralized phenotype can also be 28 efficiently rescued by injection of wild-type ctnnb2-mvc mRNA (200 pg/embryo) into GFP-29 30 positive embryos at 1-cell stage (Fig. 4P). All rescued embryos displayed wild-type phenotype, 31 but were not dorsalized. This is probably because overexpression of wild-type β -catenin at such a dose was not sufficient to cause excess activation of maternal Wnt signaling. Indeed, extensive 32 dorsalization only occurred after injecting a constitutively active form of *Ctnnb1* (fig. S10). 33 Altogether, these results demonstrate that *ctnnb2* maternal mutant embryos can be efficiently 34 created at an acceptable efficiency through transgenic oocyte expression of CRISPR/Cas9 and 35 multiple sgRNAs. 36

We next performed RT-PCR analysis of *ctnnb2* coding region in 16 maternal mutant embryos 37 with different degrees of ventralization by using isolated cells. Unintended large deletions were 38 detected in all Mctnnb2 mutants (Fig. 4, Q and R). Up to this point, 100% F0 founders carrying 39 mutations either in *nanog* or *ctnnb2* gene gave rise to embryos with large genomic deletions. In 40 half of these Mctnnb2 embryos, there was even a near complete removal of ctnnb2 coding region, 41 as revealed in PCR products 1-2, 2-2, 4-2, 6, 8-2, 11-2, 14-2 and 15-2 (Fig. 4R). This extremely 42 high frequency of inducing deletion alleles was also directly confirmed by analyzing genomic 43 sequences in ventralized mutant embryos (Fig. 4, S and T). In addition to sgRNA site-spanning 44 deletions, we also detected deletions of huge DNA fragment extending distantly from the sgRNA 45 targeting sites. Notably, the deleted regions covered more than 20 kb, whereas there was only 4.2 46 kb between the two extreme sgRNA sites (Fig. 4T; embryos #14 and #15). Similar to the specific 47 48 editing of *nanog* locus, large deletions resulted from oocyte-specific conditional knockout of ctnnb2 were not a consequence of off-target effects, because injection of ctnnb2 Cas9 RNPs into 49 fertilized wild-type eggs did not lead to editing of genomic regions distantly located from the 50 sgRNA target sites (fig. S11). 51

We then constructed *ctnnb2* mini expression libraries from 4 ventralized embryos with V1-V4 52 phenotypes by using a small group of cells. Sequencing of individual clones did not detect the 53 presence of wild-type transcripts (fig. S12). Consistently, injecting these libraries into wild-type 54 embryos produced no protein product (Fig. 4U), suggesting a complete loss of maternal *ctnnb2* 55 products in either mildly or severely ventralized embryos. Like Mnanog mutants generated by 56 multiple sgRNAs, Mctnnb2 mutants could also be identified among GFP-positive embryos at the 57 sphere stage by ISH using a probe hybridizing the *ctnnb2* coding region between the two extreme 58 sgRNA sites (Fig. 4, V-Y). 59

60 Efficient inheritance of large deletions generated by oocyte-specific genome editing

To further demonstrate the high efficiency in generating heritable large-deletion alleles by 61 oocyte transgenic expression of CRISPR/Cas9 and multiple sgRNAs, we compared it with the 62 63 conventional method by injecting Cas9 protein and sgRNA mixture targeting nanog into fertilized wild-type eggs. Injected embryos were raised to adulthood, which were outcrossed for further 64 analysis. Although all founders (n=17) produced mutant offspring, only 17.6% of them gave rise 65 to descendants with large deletions (fig. S13A). We also tested the *ctnnb2* locus and found that 66 67 only 18.8% of mutant carriers (n=16) produced embryos with large deletion alleles (fig. S13A). Therefore, the germ-line transmission rate of large deletions at *nanog* and *ctnnb2* loci by the 68

conventional method is far lower than the 100% transmission ratio (fig. S13A) observed in
 oocyte-specific expression of multiple Cas9 RNPs.

71 Moreover, founders generated by the conventional method only produced a tiny proportion (9.7%-12.4%) of offspring bearing large deletions among mutant embryos, much less than the 72 73 percentage (92.3%-94.1%) following this oocyte-specific conditional knockout (fig. S13B). Sequence analysis indicated that all deletions induced by injection of Cas9 RNPs were sgRNA 74 site-spanning, and we never detected unusual larger truncations as observed in the oocyte-specific 75 genome editing via multiple Cas9 RNPs (fig. S13, C-F). These apparent differences clearly 76 77 illustrate the high efficiency in generating genomic deletions in developing oocytes using transgenic expression of CRISPR/Cas9 and multiple sgRNAs, at least for the two loci tested here. 78

79 We next examined the transmission of large deletions in the following generations. The lethal 80 phenotype of Mnanog mutants was first rescued by injecting wild-type nanog-myc mRNA (150 81 pg/embryo) into GFP-positive offspring (Fig. 5, A and B). Injected embryos were genotyped by RT-PCR analysis performed on a group of cells isolated from the blastodisc at 3 hpf, using 82 primers that specifically bind to the 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTR) of endogenous nanog 83 transcripts, but do not recognize synthetic nanog mRNAs (Fig. 5A and table S1). In a 84 representative experiment, we found that 46.7% (14/30) of GFP-positive embryos were rescued 85 Mnanog mutants that displayed different patterns of deletions in nanog transcripts (Fig. 5, C and 86 87 D). They were raised to sexual maturation and genotyped by PCR amplification of tail fin genomic DNA using different primer pairs to detect wild-type and small indel alleles (F5 and R7) 88 or large deletions (other primer combinations), respectively (Fig. 5E). The results showed that 89 92.9% of Mnanog fish (13/14) had large deletions on the nanog locus (Fig. 5F). These deletions 90 91 were transmitted to the offspring when crossed with wild-type fish (Fig. 5G). In particular, unintended large deletions that extended distantly from the extreme sgRNA site were detected in 92 21.4% (3/14) of rescued Mnanog adults (#2, #11 and #14) and were faithfully recovered in 93 descendant embryos (Fig. 5H). These data demonstrate that the genomic deletions generated by 94 oocyte-specific genome editing can be inherited efficiently. Thus, this important deletion-biased 95 trend can be potentially applied to many research purposes such as maternal gene knockouts and 96 functional studies of noncoding regions on the genome. 97

99 **Discussion**

We have developed a rapid and feasible approach to inactivating maternal gene functions in the developing oocytes by transgenic expression of multiple Cas9 RNPs. As a proof-of-principle, we successfully created M*nanog* and M*ctnnb2* mutants with high efficiency. Moreover, we observed an apparent bias to generate large deletion alleles in the primary oocytes by this approach. Thus, these data highlight the effectiveness and rapidness of oocyte-specific multiple Cas9 RNPs for the functional study of maternal factors and illustrate the potential of this method for generating deletions in the genome.

07 Two aspects critically hamper the generation of maternal mutants in zebrafish by the traditional approach. Firstly, obtaining maternal mutants is time-consuming, which generally 08 requires crossing and screening for three generations. Secondly, the occurrence of zygotic lethal 09 or infertile phenotype represents an obstacle in obtaining the next generation. Much effort has 10 been made to circumvent zygotic mutant lethality, such as germ-line replacement, oocyte 11 microinjection in situ, mosaic and BACK approaches (7, 9-14). To some extent, these methods 12 13 have contributed to the understanding of maternal gene functions. However, they are either technically demanding, time-consuming, or less efficient. 14

The method presented here has several advantages. First, it is much simple and particularly 15 labor-saving. To target a gene of interest, one only has to construct an sgRNA expression vector 16 via a well-established Golden Gate method followed by standard microinjection. In particular, 17 only one generation of fish rearing is required to generate maternal mutant embryos, reducing the 18 time by at least half compared with conventional methods and germ-line replacement. Second, the 19 efficiency in obtaining maternal mutants is satisfactory. By introducing three sgRNAs, we could 20 expect an average maternal mutation rate of more than 25% in GFP-positive F1 offspring. For 21 some F0 females, the percentage of maternal mutant embryos can even exceed 50%. Third, F0 22 female fish can be used repeatedly in their lifespan, although they may produce maternal mutants 23 with a fluctuation of 10% between different spawnings. Fourth, the present method ensures a 24 more efficient removal of maternal products from targeted genes. This efficient removal probably 25 results from the precocious expression of *cas9* driven by the *zpc* promoter, which starts in stage I 26 oocytes. Thus, targeted genes could be inactivated early enough to prevent an eventual production 27 of trace amounts of corresponding proteins. In support of this possibility, we found that Mctnnb2 28 29 mutants generated by this new method presented a more severely ventralized phenotype than the

ichabod mutants, which may not be utterly devoid of *ctnnb2* maternal products (43). Lastly, but 30 not the least, maternal functions of certain genes, such as *piwill*, *vasa* and *dazl*, can only be 31 studied by the present oocyte-specific conditional knockout strategy, because zygotic mutation of 32 these genes leads to germ cell death before the diplotene stage (46-48). As a result, even using 33 germ-line replacement, transplanted germ cells carrying homozygous mutation for these genes are 34 still unable to get rid of premature death. In this situation, the oocyte-specific conditional 35 knockout strategy may be the only possible way to circumvent this difficulty. Therefore, it will be 36 interesting to obtain maternal mutants of these well-known germ plasm components by the 37 method presented here in a future study. 38

We found a significant trend in generating large deletions by oocyte expression of multiple 39 Cas9 RNPs. For nanog and ctnnb2 genes examined here, 100% of F0 fish with germ-line 40 mutations presented large deletions (over 1 kb in the genome). By comparison, large deletions 41 generated by conventional methods, for example injection of Cas9 RNPs to fertilized eggs or 42 early embryos, are significantly less efficient, with germ-line transmission rates varied from 7.1% 43 to 31.3%, according to published data (49-53). Consistently, only 17.6% to 18.8% of deletion 44 transmission rates were observed by the routine method using the same Cas9 RNPs targeting 45 *nanog* and *ctnnb2* in this study. Moreover, deletion-harboring embryos among mutant siblings are 46 scarce by Cas9 RNPs injection into wild-type embryos. Data from this work and a recent 47 publication (54) showed that only 3.2% to 12.4% F1 offspring contained large deletions, whereas 48 more than 90% ratio was observed in Mnanog embryos after the oocyte-specific conditional 49 knockout method presented in this study. We also rescued the lethal phenotype of Mnanog 50 embryos, and successfully recovered the large deletions in F1 adults and F2 embryos following 51 the oocyte-specific genome editing strategy. Hence, these results highlight the strong potential of 52 multiple Cas9 RNPs for genome editing in primary oocytes to efficiently generate heritable 53 54 deletion alleles. This novel transgenic method should have a number of important applications. It could create deletions in the promoters, which should prevent potential genetic compensations 55 that hamper the study of gene functions (55, 56). It may also be helpful to remove transcriptional 56 or post-transcriptional elements from the genome. Therefore, it will be interesting to further 57 evaluate the performance of oocyte-specific multiple Cas9 RNPs in generating even larger 58 deletions covering one or multiple gene loci. An improvement of this system should significantly 59 enhance the tool-kit of functional genomics. 60

61 The mechanisms underlying this deletion-prone tendency are not clear and need further 62 investigation. The prolonged action of Cas9, the disparate chromatin status, and a distinct DNA

repair mechanism for double-strand breaks (DSBs) in early-stage oocytes might collectively 63 contribute to the occurrence of site-spanning and those unusual large deletions. In particular, 64 homologous recombination (HR) DNA repair was reported to dominate in meiosis I (57), while 65 non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) and microhomology-mediated end joining (MMEJ) are 66 more active in primordial germ cells (PGCs) and somatic cells. As a result, DSBs generated in the 67 oocytes might need significantly longer time to be repaired by NHEJ or MMEJ, which may help 68 increase the occurrence of sgRNA site-spanning deletions. However, the unintended large 69 deletions extending well beyond the sgRNA targeting sites observed in this study probably rely 70 on a vigorous exonuclease activity, which does not present in NHEJ and MMEJ mechanisms but 71 does play critical roles in the resection process of HR (58). It is thus conceivable that multiple 72 Cas9 RNPs might disrupt the normal HR process. They might introduce additional DSBs on the 73 74 repair template or newly synthesized DNA strands during strand invasion and extension, leading to an abortive HR. As a result, the single DNA strand generated during the resection process of 75 HR may be trimmed, and DNA repair might switch to other mechanisms such as MMEJ to 76 generate unintended and complicated patterns of deletion alleles (59). These unintended genomic 77 78 alterations, termed on-target effects or OnTEs, were demonstrated to happen frequently in embryonic stem cells, induced pluripotent stem cells and early embryos of mouse and human 79 origins (59-65). In zebrafish, however, unintended on-target effects were only extensively 80 observed when performing oocyte-specific genome editing, but seldom happened in early 81 82 embryos injected with Cas9 RNPs. Thus, it is very likely that DNA repair mechanisms employed to heal CRISPR/Cas9 induced DSBs by zebrafish oocytes may be similar to that in mammalian 83 early embryos, but are somewhat different from somatic cells and PGCs in zebrafish embryos. 84

It should be mentioned that in our oocyte-specific conditional knockout method, transgenic 85 zcas9 expression may vary among female fish. In fact, we found that the expression level of zcas9 86 87 in some Tg(*zpc:zcas9*) fish was too low to generate mutations in the oocytes or offspring. However, the use of homozygous Tg(zpc:zcas9) females could ensure the efficiency and the 88 reproducibility of genome editing in oocytes. In general, Tg(zpc:zcas9) adult female fish with 89 sufficient genome editing efficiency can be identified relatively easily. For example, in our 90 91 previous study, we have injected *bmp2b* sgRNA in the embryos and examined the proportion of dorsalized phenotype (34). Another critical quality control step should be set up before 92 phenotyping GFP-positive embryos. The presence of Cas9 activity could be confirmed by testing 93 the efficiency of *bmp2b* sgRNA in GFP-negative siblings. These measures should guarantee the 94 success of maternal knockout experiments. For versatile applications of this method, further 95

efforts should be made to improve the efficiency of oocyte-specific multiple Cas9 RNPs in 96 genome editing. It has been shown that injection of high amounts of Cas9 protein and multiple in 97 vitro synthesized sgRNAs targeting a single gene in the embryos was efficient to disrupt most of 98 the wild-type alleles and produced phenotypes as observed in homozygous zygotic mutants (35, 99 53). Thus, there is a possibility that suboptimal expression of Cas9 protein or sgRNAs in 00 transgenic lines may limit the efficiency of oocyte conditional knockout. Indeed, our data clearly 01 showed that the expression level of maternally inherited Cas9 RNPs was below a threshold for 02 efficient mutagenesis on the wild-type allele from the sperm, demonstrating that the method in its 03 present form is highly specific for knockout of maternal factors without affecting zygotic gene 04 products. In another aspect, however, it also highlights a promising potential to increase the 05 efficiency of this and other conditional knockout systems employing Cas9 RNPs by improving 06 the expression level of Cas9 protein and sgRNAs. It is possible to increase cas9 transcription via 07 either gal4-UAS or Suntag system (31, 66). At the same time, modification of the cas9 sequence 08 may help enhance its translation efficiency. Alternatively, sgRNA expression could be improved 09 by strong conventional promoters followed by maturation via Csy4, ribozyme or tRNA 10 11 processing system (67-69). These procedures could likely increase the efficiency in generating maternal mutants for single or even multiple genes. 12

In summary, our work provides evidence that maternal gene inactivation can be achieved by oocyte transgenic expression of Cas9 and sgRNAs in an important time-saving and deletion-prone manner. The accessibility of this approach should open a new avenue for large-scale functional screening of maternal factors in zebrafish.

18 Materials and Methods

19 Zebrafish husbandry and ethic statement

Wild-type and Tg(*zpc:zcas9*) fish were raised at 28.5 °C in standard housing systems (Haisheng). Offspring from Tg(*zpc:zcas9*) fish were screened for genome editing efficiency by injecting *bmp2b* sgRNA followed by analyzing the dorsalized phenotype at 11 hpf (*34*). Only female fish with more than 50% of dorsalized rate in their offspring were kept for breeding and maternal knockout experiments. All experiments were designed and performed following the ARRIVE guidelines issued by the Ethics Committee for Animal Research of Life Science of Shandong University (permit number SYDWLL-2018-05).

27

28 Design and efficiency of sgRNAs

The sgRNAs for nanog and ctnnb2 were designed via online software CRISPRscan (40) and 29 listed in table S1. Their efficiency was tested before the construction of sgRNA expression 30 vectors. DNA templates for sgRNAs were synthesized by fill-in PCR. After in vitro transcription, 31 32 the purified sgRNA (150 pg) was injected along with zebrafish-codon-optimized cas9 mRNA (200 pg) into 1-cell stage embryos. At 10 hpf, injected embryos were lysed in 50 mM NaOH for 33 20 min at 95 °C, followed by neutralization using 1/10 volume of 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5). The 34 DNA fragment containing the sgRNA targeting site was amplified by PCR from the lysate and 35 subjected to Sanger sequencing. Sequencing chromatogram files were uploaded to http://iai-gec-36 server.iai.kit.edu/index.php or https://ice.synthego.com/#/ (recommended) to quantify the 37 efficiency of the sgRNA. 38

39

40 Construction of plasmids for transgenic expression of sgRNAs

pU6x:sgRNA plasmids were constructed as previously described (18). Briefly, primers 41 containing sense and antisense sequences of sgRNA protospacer were synthesized and annealed. 42 The sequence of sense primer is TTC plus $G(N)_{19}$, where $G(N)_{19}$ represents the protospacer, while 43 the sequence of antisense primer is AAAC plus reverse-complement sequence of (N)₁₉. Sense and 44 antisense primers were diluted to 100 μ M and mixed as follow: 1 μ L sense primer, 1 μ L antisense 45 primer, 2 µL 10x NEB buffer 2.1 and 16 µL H₂O. The mixture was incubated in a PCR 46 thermocycler with the following program: 95 °C for 15 mins, slow rampling to 50 °C at 0.1 °C/s, 50 47 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 mins, and cooling quickly to 4 $^{\circ}$ C. The annealed primers (1 μ L) were then mixed in a 10 48 µL system with 100 ng pU6x:sgRNA empty plasmid (pU6a:sgRNA#1, pU6a:sgRNA#2 or 49

⁵⁰ pU6b:sgRNA#3), 1 μ L 10x Cutsmart buffer, 1 μ L 10x T4 ligase buffer, 0.3 μ L T4 ligase, 0.3 μ L ⁵¹ *BsmB* I, 0.2 μ L *Pst* I and 0.2 μ L *Sal* I. They were incubated in a PCR thermocycler using the ⁵² following program: 37 °C for 20 mins and 16 °C for 15 mins for 6 cycles, 37 °C for 10 mins, 55 °C for ⁵³ 15 mins and 80 °C for 15 mins. The ligation products were then used to transform DH5 α *E. coli*. ⁵⁴ After spreading on *Spec*⁺ plates, positive clones were identified by PCR using the M13 forward ⁵⁵ and the protospacer antisense primers. The corresponding plasmids were extracted for further ⁵⁶ construction of the final transgenic vector.

Golden Gate assembly was used to ligate single or three sgRNA expression cassettes into the 57 pGGDestISceIEG-1sgRNA or pGGDestISceIEG-3sgRNA backbone plasmid. Here we take the 58 cloning of three sgRNAs as an example. The pU6a:sgRNA#1, pU6a:sgRNA#2 and 59 pU6b:sgRNA#3 plasmids (100 ng each) were mixed with 2 µL 10x Cutsmart buffer, 2 µL 10x T4 60 ligase buffer, 50 ng empty pGGDestISceIEG-3sgRNA, 1 µL T4 DNA ligase and 1 µL Bsa I in a 61 20 µL reaction mixture. It is incubated using the following PCR program: 37 °C for 20 mins and 62 16 °C for 15 mins for 3 cycles, and then 80 °C for 15 mins. The ligation products were transformed 63 to DH5 α E. Coli and plated on Amp⁺ LB medium. Positive clones were identified by colony PCR 64 5'-TTCTTGTTTAAGCTTTTAATCTCAAAAAAC-3' 5'using primers: and 65 66 GGCTGTTTACATCTGATAGTGG-3'. The annealing primers for nanog and ctnnb2 sgRNAs are listed in table S1. pGGDestISceIEG-1sgRNA plasmid was constructed similarly. The only 67 difference lies in the ligation mixture components, where only 100 ng pU6a:sgRNA#1 was added, 68 and the pGGDestISceIEG-3sgRNA should be replaced with pGGDestISceIEG-1sgRNA. 69

70

71 I-Sce I-mediated transgenesis

A mixture (1-2 nL) containing 10 ng/µL pGGDestISceIEG-1sgRNA or pGGDestISceIEG-72 3sgRNA plasmid, 1 U/µL I-Sce I (NEB), and 0.5x CutSmart buffer (NEB) was injected into the 73 blastodisc of 1-cell-stage embryos (36). At 4 dpf, the larva showing strong and extensive green 74 fluorescence, reflecting early integration event, were picked up and raised to adulthood. The 75 overall germ-line transmission rate of I-Sce I-mediated transgenesis may depend on whether an 76 early integration event occurs in the embryos. As shown in the present study, the germ-line 77 transmission rates varied from 12% to 40%. In fact, if only embryos with wide-spread GFP 78 expression at 4 dpf are selected, the germ-line transmission rate will be normally higher than 79 50%. Typically, injection of 200 embryos will produce about 10-20 embryos with early 80 81 integration and will be sufficient to screen for maternal mutant-carrying founders.

83 Quality control before screening of maternal mutants

GFP-negative sibling embryos from transgenic F0 female founders were injected with 100 pg
 bmp2b sgRNA. The phenotype of GFP-positive embryos was further analyzed only when their
 GFP-negative counterparts were efficiently dorsalized.

87

88 Rescue of mutant phenotypes by wild-type mRNAs

The *nanog* CDS was amplified and inserted into the *Bam* HI and *Cla* I sites of the pCS2-MT vector using T4 DNA ligase. The *ctnnb2* CDS was inserted into the *Bam* HI site of the pCS2-MT vector by Gibson assembly. We also constructed mouse ΔN -*ctnnb1*-pCS2 for comparison of dorsalizing effects. PCR primers are listed in table S1. Synthetic mRNAs were in vitro transcribed using the mMESSEGE mMACHINE SP6 Kit (Invitrogen, AM1340). For rescue, 200 pg *nanogmyc* or *ctnnb2-myc* mRNA was injected into 1-cell-stage GFP-positive embryos. Their phenotypes were analyzed at the bud stage (M*nanog*) or 24 hpf (M*ctnnb2*)

96

97 Cell isolation, RNA extraction, and cDNA synthesis

To check the genotype of maternal mRNAs, we aspirated about 30-40 cells from 1k-cell stage embryos using a pneumatic microinjector (*12*). They were lysed in 200 μ L Trizol, and total RNAs were extracted by adding 40 μ L chloroform and precipitated by isopropanol. To facilitate RNA precipitation, 1 μ L glycogen solution (20 mg/mL) was added to each sample. Then cDNAs were synthesized using the First-Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Transgene, AT301) and subjected to PCR amplification using primers listed in Supplementary Table 1.

04

05 Gel analysis and sequencing

The CDS in genes of interest were amplified by PCR from embryos with defective phenotypes and subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis. DNA fragments of different sizes were sequenced and aligned with the wild-type sequence using SnapGene software.

09

10 Library construction and single clone sequencing

11 CDS amplicons from intact embryos or isolated blastomeres were ligated into pCS2-MT 12 vector in-frame with the 6 myc epitopes. The reverse primer used for library construction was 13 designed immediately before the stop codon. A 15 bp 5'-homology arms was designed for Gibson 14 ligation and ensure the in-frame connection between the open reading frame (ORF) of the wild-15 type mRNA and the 6 myc epitope sequence in the pCS2-MT vector. Following transformation in 16 E. coli, individual clones were subjected to Sanger sequencing. The primers used for constructing

17 the library were listed in table S1

18

19 Western blot

The plasmid library (30-40 pg) was injected into 1-cell stage embryos. At 12 hpf, at least 30 20 injected embryos were dechorionated, and the yolk was removed. Cell pellets were then lysed in 21 the lysis buffer (100 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, 5 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5) containing 22 a cocktail of protease inhibitors and boiled in Laemmli sample buffer. Protein sample were 23 subjected to polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and 24 probed with anti-Myc (1:1000, Abcam, ab32) or anti- α -Tubulin (1:1000, GeneTex, GTX124303) 25 antibodies. Following a similar procedure for yolk removal and protein extraction, we also used 26 27 anti-Nanog (1:500, ZDB-ATB-191003-3, GTX132491, GeneTex) to detect endogenous Nanog protein, where anti-β-actin (1:5000, 66009-1-Ig, Proteintech) served as a loading control. 28

29

30 Whole-mount immunofluorescence staining

Embryos were fixed at 3 hpf in 4% paraformaldehyde. Antigen retrieval was performed by treating embryos in 0.1M Tris-HCl (pH 9.5) for 15 mins at 70 °C. The embryos were then subjected to immunofluorescence staining with anti-Nanog (1:500) and counterstained with Hoechst 33342 (Cell Signaling Technology, 4082S) as described (70). Images were acquired using a spinning disc confocal microscope (Olympus SpinSR10) with its Z-stack function.

36

37 RNA probe design and in situ hybridization

ISH was performed as previously described (*45*). Probes for *dharma* and *goosecoid* were reported previously (*45*). The coding regions for *sox17* and *mxtx2* and cDNA sequences within the two extreme sgRNA targets for *nanog* and *ctnnb2* were amplified by PCR and cloned in pZeroback vector. Digoxigenin-labeled probes were in vitro transcribed using T7 or SP6 RNA polymerase in the presence of Dig-labelling mix (Roche).

43

44 **Quantitative PCR**

Wild-type embryos and M*nanog* mutants were lysed at 10 hpf, and their genomic DNAs were extracted. Quantitative PCR was performed using 2x M5 HiPer SYBR Premix (MF787-01, Mei5) on a Q1000 Real-Time PCR System (LongGene). Primers for amplifying *nanog* sgRNA target sites and *dmd* genomic regions are listed in table S1. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method was employed to estimate

- 49 ploidy at these loci. The average copy number of these amplicons in wild-type embryos was
- 50 normalized as two.
- 51

5	1

52 **References**

53	1. R. J. White et al., A high-resolution mRNA expression time course of embryonic
54	development in zebrafish. Elife 6, e30860 (2017).

- R. Fuentes *et al.*, The maternal coordinate system: Molecular-genetics of embryonic axis
 formation and patterning in the zebrafish. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 140, 341-389 (2020).
- J. L. Yan *et al.*, Maternal Huluwa dictates the embryonic body axis through beta-catenin in
 vertebrates. *Science* 362, eaat1045 (2018).
- 4. E. W. Abrams *et al.*, Molecular genetics of maternally-controlled cell divisions. *PLoS Genet* 16, e1008652 (2020).
- 5. M. Escobar-Aguirre, Y. M. Elkouby, M. C. Mullins, Localization in Oogenesis of
 Maternal Regulators of Embryonic Development. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 953, 173-207 (2017).
- 6. R. E. Lindeman, F. Pelegri, Vertebrate maternal-effect genes: Insights into fertilization,
 early cleavage divisions, and germ cell determinant localization from studies in the zebrafish. *Mol Reprod Dev* 77, 299-313 (2010).
- 7. Y. Y. Xing *et al.*, Mutational analysis of dishevelled genes in zebrafish reveals distinct
 functions in embryonic patterning and gastrulation cell movements. *PLoS Genet* 14, e1007551
 (2018).
- 8. K. Gritsman *et al.*, The EGF-CFC protein one-eyed pinhead is essential for nodal
 signaling. *Cell* 97, 121-132 (1999).
- 9. H. Hino *et al.*, Roles of maternal wnt8a transcripts in axis formation in zebrafish. *Dev Biol*434, 96-107 (2018).
- 10. B. Ciruna *et al.*, Production of maternal-zygotic mutant zebrafish by germ-line
 replacement. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**, 14919-14924 (2002).
- The second second
- 12. M. Shao, X. N. Cheng, Y. Y. Liu, J. T. Li, D. L. Shi, Transplantation of Zebrafish Cells by
 Conventional Pneumatic Microinjector. *Zebrafish* 15, 73-76 (2018).

- 13. X. Wu, W. Shen, B. Zhang, A. Meng, The genetic program of oocytes can be modified in
 vivo in the zebrafish ovary. *J Mol Cell Biol* 10, 479-493 (2018).
- 14. Y. Liu *et al.*, Germline-specific dgcr8 knockout in zebrafish using a BACK approach. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 74, 2503-2511 (2017).
- 15. L. Cong et al., Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. *Science* 339,
 819-823 (2013).
- 16. P. Mali et al., RNA-guided human genome engineering via Cas9. *Science* 339, 823-826
 (2013).
- 17. Y. Sun *et al.*, Systematic genome editing of the genes on zebrafish Chromosome 1 by
 CRISPR/Cas9. *Genome Res* 30, 118-126 (2019).
- 18. L. Yin *et al.*, Multiplex Conditional Mutagenesis Using Transgenic Expression of Cas9
 and sgRNAs. *Genetics* 200, 431-441 (2015).
- 19. J. Ablain, E. M. Durand, S. Yang, Y. Zhou, L. I. Zon, A CRISPR/Cas9 vector system for
 tissue-specific gene disruption in zebrafish. *Dev Cell* 32, 756-764 (2015).
- 20. M. Bai *et al.*, Spermatogenic Cell-Specific Gene Mutation in Mice via CRISPR-Cas9. J *Genet Genomics* 43, 289-296 (2016).
- 21. M. Li *et al.*, Germline Cas9 expression yields highly efficient genome engineering in a
 major worldwide disease vector, Aedes aegypti. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114, E10540E10549 (2017).
- 22. X. Chen, D. Gays, C. Milia, M. M. Santoro, Cilia Control Vascular Mural Cell
 Recruitment in Vertebrates. *Cell Rep* 18, 1033-1047 (2017).
- 23. A. Reade *et al.*, TAEL: a zebrafish-optimized optogenetic gene expression system with
 fine spatial and temporal control. *Development* 144, 345-355 (2017).
- 24. J. Ablain *et al.*, Human tumor genomics and zebrafish modeling identify SPRED1 loss as
 a driver of mucosal melanoma. *Science* 362, 1055-1060 (2018).
- 25. W. Zhou *et al.*, Neutrophil-specific knockout demonstrates a role for mitochondria in
 regulating neutrophil motility in zebrafish. *Dis Model Mech* 11, dmm033027 (2018).
- 26. Y. L. Luo *et al.*, Macrophage-Specific in Vivo Gene Editing Using Cationic LipidAssisted Polymeric Nanoparticles. *ACS Nano* 12, 994-1005 (2018).

- 27. S. Grainger *et al.*, EGFR is required for Wnt9a-Fzd9b signalling specificity in
 haematopoietic stem cells. *Nat Cell Biol* 21, 721-730 (2019).
- 28. Y. C. Wu, I. J. Wang, Heat-shock-induced tyrosinase gene ablation with CRISPR in
 zebrafish. *Mol Genet Genomics* 295, 911-922 (2020).
- 29. A. G. Cox *et al.*, Yap regulates glucose utilization and sustains nucleotide synthesis to
 enable organ growth. *EMBO J* 37, e100294 (2018).
- 30. M. Mehravar, A. Shirazi, M. Nazari, M. Banan, Mosaicism in CRISPR/Cas9-mediated
 genome editing. *Dev Biol* 445, 156-162 (2019).
- 31. V. Di Donato *et al.*, 2C-Cas9: a versatile tool for clonal analysis of gene function. *Genome Res* 26, 681-692 (2016).
- 32. D. Onichtchouk, K. Aduroja, H. G. Belting, L. Gnugge, W. Driever, Transgene driving
 GFP expression from the promoter of the zona pellucida gene zpc is expressed in oocytes and
 provides an early marker for gonad differentiation in zebrafish. *Dev Dyn* 228, 393-404 (2003).
- 33. D. Liu *et al.*, Efficient gene targeting in zebrafish mediated by a zebrafish-codonoptimized cas9 and evaluation of off-targeting effect. *J Genet Genomics* 41, 43-46 (2014).
- 34. Y. Liu *et al.*, Highly efficient genome editing using oocyte-specific zcas9 transgenic
 zebrafish. *J Genet Genomics* 45, 509-512 (2018).
- 35. R. S. Wu *et al.*, A Rapid Method for Directed Gene Knockout for Screening in G0
 Zebrafish. *Dev Cell* 46, 112-125 e114 (2018).
- 36. V. Thermes *et al.*, I-Sce I meganuclease mediates highly efficient transgenesis in fish.
 Mech Dev 118, 91-98 (2002).
- 37. J. A. Gagnon, K. Obbad, A. F. Schier, The primary role of zebrafish nanog is in extraembryonic tissue. *Development* 145, dev147793 (2018).
- 38. M. Veil *et al.*, Maternal Nanog is required for zebrafish embryo architecture and for cell
 viability during gastrulation. *Development* 145, dev155366 (2018).
- 34 39. M. He *et al.*, Nanog safeguards early embryogenesis against global activation of maternal
 35 beta-catenin activity by interfering with TCF factors. *PLoS Biol* 18, e3000561 (2020).
- 40. M. A. Moreno-Mateos *et al.*, CRISPRscan: designing highly efficient sgRNAs for
 CRISPR-Cas9 targeting in vivo. *Nat Methods* 12, 982-988 (2015).

- 41. A. E. Bruce, C. Howley, M. Dixon Fox, R. K. Ho, T-box gene eomesodermin and the
 homeobox-containing Mix/Bix gene mtx2 regulate epiboly movements in the zebrafish. *Dev Dyn* 233, 105-114 (2005).
- 41 42. C. Kelly, A. J. Chin, J. L. Leatherman, D. J. Kozlowski, E. S. Weinberg, Maternally
 42 controlled (beta)-catenin-mediated signaling is required for organizer formation in the
 43 zebrafish. *Development* 127, 3899-3911 (2000).
- 43. G. Bellipanni *et al.*, Essential and opposing roles of zebrafish beta-catenins in the
 formation of dorsal axial structures and neurectoderm. *Development* 133, 1299-1309 (2006).
- 44. Y. Kishimoto, K. H. Lee, L. Zon, M. Hammerschmidt, S. Schulte-Merker, The molecular
 nature of zebrafish swirl: BMP2 function is essential during early dorsoventral patterning. *Development* 124, 4457-4466 (1997).
- 45. M. Shao *et al.*, Vegetally localised Vrtn functions as a novel repressor to modulate bmp2b
 transcription during dorsoventral patterning in zebrafish. *Development* 144, 3361-3374
 (2017).
- 46. S. Houwing *et al.*, A role for Piwi and piRNAs in germ cell maintenance and transposon
 silencing in Zebrafish. *Cell* 129, 69-82 (2007).
- 47. O. Hartung, M. M. Forbes, F. L. Marlow, Zebrafish vasa is required for germ-cell
 differentiation and maintenance. *Mol Reprod Dev* 81, 946-961 (2014).
- 48. S. Bertho *et al.*, Zebrafish dazl regulates cystogenesis and germline stem cell specification
 during the primordial germ cell to germline stem cell transition. *Development*, dev.187773
 (2021).
- 49. A. Gupta *et al.*, Targeted chromosomal deletions and inversions in zebrafish. *Genome Res*23, 1008-1017 (2013).
- 50. S. Lim *et al.*, A simple strategy for heritable chromosomal deletions in zebrafish via the
 combinatorial action of targeting nucleases. *Genome Biol* 14, R69 (2013).
- 51. A. Xiao *et al.*, Chromosomal deletions and inversions mediated by TALENs and
 CRISPR/Cas in zebrafish. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41, e141 (2013).
- 52. G. K. Varshney *et al.*, High-throughput gene targeting and phenotyping in zebrafish using
 CRISPR/Cas9. *Genome Res* 25, 1030-1042 (2015).

- 53. K. Hoshijima *et al.*, Highly Efficient CRISPR-Cas9-Based Methods for Generating
 Deletion Mutations and F0 Embryos that Lack Gene Function in Zebrafish. *Dev Cell* 51, 645657 e644 (2019).
- 54. B. H. Kim, G. Zhang, Generating Stable Knockout Zebrafish Lines by Deleting Large
- Chromosomal Fragments Using Multiple gRNAs. *G3 (Bethesda)* **10**, 1029-1037 (2020).
- 72
- 55. M. A. El-Brolosy *et al.*, Genetic compensation triggered by mutant mRNA degradation. *Nature* 568, 193-197 (2019).
- 56. Z. Ma *et al.*, PTC-bearing mRNA elicits a genetic compensation response via Upf3a and
 COMPASS components. *Nature* 568, 259-263 (2019).
- 57. S. Kim, S. E. Peterson, M. Jasin, S. Keeney, Mechanisms of germ line genome instability. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 54, 177-187 (2016).
- 58. L. S. Symington, J. Gautier, Double-strand break end resection and repair pathway choice. *Annu Rev Genet* 45, 247-271 (2011).
- 59. D. D. G. Owens *et al.*, Microhomologies are prevalent at Cas9-induced larger deletions. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47, 7402-7417 (2019).
- 60. I. Weisheit *et al.*, Detection of Deleterious On-Target Effects after HDR-Mediated
- 84 CRISPR Editing. *Cell Rep* **31**, 107689 (2020).
- 61. H. Y. Shin *et al.*, CRISPR/Cas9 targeting events cause complex deletions and insertions at
- 86 17 sites in the mouse genome. *Nat Commun* **8**, 15464 (2017).
- 87 62. M. V. Zuccaro *et al.*, Allele-Specific Chromosome Removal after Cas9 Cleavage in
- 88 Human Embryos. *Cell* **183**, 1650-1664 e1615 (2020).
- 63. A. Korablev, V. Lukyanchikova, I. Serova, N. Battulin, On-Target CRISPR/Cas9 Activity
- 90 Can Cause Undesigned Large Deletion in Mouse Zygotes. *Int J Mol Sci* **21**, 3604 (2020).
- 64. F. Adikusuma *et al.*, Large deletions induced by Cas9 cleavage. *Nature* 560, E8-E9
 (2018).
- 93 65. M. Kosicki, K. Tomberg, A. Bradley, Repair of double-strand breaks induced by CRISPR-
- 94 Cas9 leads to large deletions and complex rearrangements. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 765-771
- 95 (2018).

- 66. M. E. Tanenbaum, L. A. Gilbert, L. S. Qi, J. S. Weissman, R. D. Vale, A protein-tagging
 system for signal amplification in gene expression and fluorescence imaging. *Cell* 159, 635646 (2014).
- 67. J. Wang *et al.*, Csy4-based vector system enables conditional chimeric gene editing in
 zebrafish without interrupting embryogenesis. *J Mol Cell Biol* 10, 586-588 (2018).
- 68. R. T. Lee, A. S. Ng, P. W. Ingham, Ribozyme Mediated gRNA Generation for In Vitro
 and In Vivo CRISPR/Cas9 Mutagenesis. *PLoS One* 11, e0166020 (2016).
- 69. T. Shiraki, K. Kawakami, A tRNA-based multiplex sgRNA expression system in zebrafish
 and its application to generation of transgenic albino fish. *Sci Rep* 8, 13366 (2018).
- 05 70. M. Shao *et al.*, Rbm24 controls poly(A) tail length and translation efficiency of crystallin
- mRNAs in the lens via cytoplasmic polyadenylation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 117, 72457254 (2020).

09 Acknowledgments

General: We thank Bo Zhang and Wenbiao Chen for providing *zcas9* and pU6x:sgRNA
 plasmids. We thank Haiyan Yu, Xiaomin Zhao, Changbin Liu, and Sen Wang from the State Key
 Laboratory of Microbial Technology for assistance with confocal imaging.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 31871451), The National Key R&D Program of China (grant number 2018YFA0801000), and the Program of Shandong University Qilu Young Scholars.

Author contributions: CZ and MS conceived the idea. CZ, TL, YZ, JL, IT, FW, AC, JW, ZZ and MS performed the experiments. CZ, YZ, DS and MS analyzed and validated the data. MS

and DS acquired funding. MS supervised the work and wrote the original draft. MS, DS and CZ

19 reviewed and edited the manuscript.

20 **Competing interests:** There is no competing interest.

Data and materials availability: All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. The materials and fish lines used in this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

25 Figures and Tables



26 27

24

Figure 1. Experimental design of a CRISPR/Cas9 system to generate maternal mutants in 28 F1 embryos. (A) Construction of pGGDestISceIEG-1sgRNA plasmid that contains a single 29 sgRNA expression cassette and a GFP expression module driven by the $efl\alpha$ promoter. I-Sce I 30 restriction sites and Tol2 inverse repeat sequences (TIR) are designed to flank sgRNA and GFP 31 sequences for transgenesis. (B) Construction of the transgenic pGGDestISceIEG-3sgRNA vector 32 expressing three tandem sgRNAs via Golden Gate ligation. (C) The pipeline to generate maternal 33 34 mutants in F1 embryos. The sgRNA expression cassette is introduced into Tg(*zpc:zcas9*) embryos by I-Sce I-mediated transgenesis, and the phenotype of the resulting GFP-positive F1 embryos is 35 36 examined to identify developmental defects. CmR represents the Chloramphenicol resistant gene, while EG highlighted in green designates the GFP expression cassette driven by the efla37 38 promoter.



60/174



115/177

62/177

114/174

Figure 2. Rapid generation of *nanog* maternal mutants by transgenic expression of one or 41 three sgRNAs. (A-E) GFP-negative F1 sibling embryos developed normally. (F-J) Typical 42 Mnanog defective phenotypes observed among GFP-positive embryos. All embryos are lateral 43 view with the dorsal region on the right (A-D, F-I) or upward (E and J). (K) Stacked columns 44 show the ratio of Mnanog-like defective embryos among GFP-positive offspring in Tg(zpc:zcas9) 45 background. Higher efficiency in generating maternal mutants was observed by expressing three 46 47 sgRNAs compared to that expressing one. Numbers on the top of each column represent the total GFP-positive embryos scored. WT, wild-type fish; Tg1 to Tg4, different mutation-carrying F0 48 founders. (L) Single sgRNA expression in Tg(zpc:zcas9) background leads to indel in nanog 49 50 maternal transcripts, resulting in frame-shift and premature termination of translation that produce truncated and nonfunctional proteins. The four exons of *nanog* are represented by black boxes, 51 with grey indicating untranslated regions. Mutant transcripts identified in two independent 52 53 embryos at 3 hpf. The dashed line corresponds to deleted nucleotides; red bases indicate insertions. Red letters in protein sequences indicates amino acid residues translated after 54 frameshift mutation. Arrowhead represents the position of amino acid in wild-type protein. (M-P) 55 Nuclear accumulation of Nanog protein in 3 hpf wild-type embryos revealed by 56

Normal

unij

Ta4-3sa

mRNA

nanog mRNA

Ta2-3sa

unij

- 57 immunofluorescence and confocal imaging. (Q-T) Absence of Nanog protein expression in 3 hpf Mnanog embryos. (P) and (T) are magnified images of framed regions in (O) and (S). Hoechst 58 59 33342 (blue) was employed to stain nuclei. (U-X) ISH analysis shows the expression of sox17 at 7.5 hpf and *mxtx2* at the sphere stage. Lateral view with dorsal region on the right (U and V). (Y) 60 The procedure to rescue Mnanog phenotype by mRNA injection. (Z) Stacked columns show a 61 nearly complete rescue of Mnanog mutant defects after injection of the wild-type nanog-mvc 62 mRNA. Numbers on the top represent total embryos scored from two experiments. Scale bars: 50 63 μ m for (**P**) and (**T**); 250 μ m for others. 64
- 65



Figure 3. The deletion-biased tendency in Mnanog mutant embryos induced by multiple 68 69 **Cas9 RNPs.** (A) Illustration of the strategy combining phenotyping and genotyping. A small group of cells are isolated from GFP-positive embryos at 3 hpf and stored in Trizol. When donor 70 71 embryos developed maternal mutant phenotype, total RNAs from corresponding cells are extracted and subjected to RT-PCR analysis. Primers are designed to amplify the entire wild-type 72 73 CDS. PCR products are either analyzed directly by gel electrophoresis or subcloned into pCS2-MT vector, generating a mini expression library. A control library is made from the CDS region 74 75 amplified from a wild-type embryo using the same set of primers. These primers are designed to ensure the in-frame ligation of the wild-type CDS and the 6 myc epitopes. The libraries are either 76 injected into the embryos to check protein expression or subjected to single clone sequencing. (B)77 RT-PCR analysis of *nanog* CDS region from 16 Mnanog mutant embryos shows the presence of 78 79 truncated transcripts. Red asterisks and numbers indicate PCR products subjected to Sanger sequencing. F2 and R2 represent primers used for amplification of the CDS region. The positions 80

81 of sgRNA targeting sites are marked as sg1, sg2, and sg3. Grey boxes indicate untranslated regions, and the green box represents the CDS region. (C) Diagram summarizes the sequencing 82 results. Dashed lines represent deletions, while red boxes indicate insertions. (D) Analysis of 83 genomic deletions in Mnanog mutant embryos using different primer sets as displayed on the 84 nanog gene. Grey and black boxes designate UTR and CDS regions of exons, respectively; thin 85 lines indicate introns. (E) Sequencing of PCR products reveals various patterns of genomic 86 deletions. (F) Absence of Nanog protein in verified Mnanog embryos at 3 hpf. Total proteins 87 were obtained from four embryos in each condition. (G) Injection of expression libraries 88 constructed from two Mnanog mutants into wild-type embryos produced no protein product. (H-89 K) ISH was used to examine the absence of *nanog* transcripts among GFP-positive embryos and 90 in Mnanog embryos at the sphere stage. Mnanog mutant embryos were confirmed by genotyping 91 of their isolated cells. Scale bar: 250 µm. 92



94 95

96 Figure 4. Generation of *ctnnb2* maternal mutants and large deletions by transgenic expression of multiple Cas9 RNPs. (A-J) Phenotypes of GFP-positive embryos simultaneously 97 98 expressing three sgRNAs targeting *ctnnb2*. (K) Statistical analysis of ventralized phenotypes in GFP-positive offspring derived from two mutation-carrying Tg(zpc:zcas9) F0 fish. Numbers 99 designate total embryos analyzed. Error bars indicate standard deviations (SD) from three 00 independent spawnings. (L-O) Absence of *dharma* and *goosecoid* expression in GFP-positive 01 Mctnnb2 embryos. Scale bars: 250 µm. (P) The ventralized phenotype in GFP-positive Mctnnb2 02 03 embryos can be rescued by overexpression of the wild-type *ctnnb2-myc* mRNA. Numbers on top of each column represent total embryos scored. Tg1 and Tg2 are two independent mutation-04 carrying F0 fish. (**O**) RT-PCR analysis of the CDS region from 16 Mctnnb2 mutant embryos. 05 Wild-type (WT) embryos served as a control. Deletion alleles were present in all mutant embryos 06 tested. V1 to V4 indicate the degrees of ventralized phenotypes, as shown in (A-J). Asterisks and 07 numbers designate PCR products subjected to Sanger sequencing. F1 and R1 are primers used to 08 amplify the CDS region of *ctnnb2* transcripts. The positions of the three sgRNA targeting sites are 09 indicated as sg1, sg2, and sg3. Grey boxes indicate UTRs; the green box represents the CDS 10 region; dashed lines represent deletions; red boxes indicate insertions. (R) Sequencing results of 11

12 PCR products show extensive deletion events. (S) Analysis of deletion events in the genome of Mctnnb2 mutant embryos using indicated primer sets. Grey boxes indicate UTRs, and black 13 14 boxes represent coding regions. Primer positions and sgRNA targeting sites are indicated on the wild-type allele. (T) Sequencing results show different deletion patterns at the *ctnnb2* locus. (U) 15 No Ctnnb2 protein product was produced after injecting expression libraries from Mctnnb2 16 embryos with V1-V4 phenotypes. (V-Y) ISH was used to examine the absence of nanog mRNA 17 among GFP-positive embryos and in Mnanog embryos at the sphere stage. Mnanog mutant 18 19 embryos were confirmed by genotyping of isolated cells (Y). Scale bars: 250 µm.



22

Figure 5. Highly efficient inheritance of large deletions. (A) Diagram demonstrates the rescue 23 of Mnanog lethal phenotype by injection of wild-type nanog-myc mRNA, identification of 24 rescued maternal mutants, and the resulted deletion-containing F1 fish and F2 embryos. A pair of 25 primers was designed to specifically amplify the CDS of endogenous nanog mRNA. The rescued 26 27 F1 adult female fish shown in the diagram carries an inherited unintended large deletion in one allele. Thus, F2 offspring derived from outcross with a wild-type fish developed normally. (B) 28 Stacked bars show the efficient rescue of Mnanog lethal phenotype by injecting 150 pg/embryo 29 nanog-myc mRNA. (C) RT-PCR analysis of nanog coding region in 14 rescued Mnanog 30 31 embryos. Asterisks and numbers designate bands subjected to Sanger sequencing. In the schema of *nanog* mRNA, grey boxes indicate UTRs; the green box represents the CDS region. F7 and R8 32 represent the primer pair used for amplification of *nanog* ORF. The three sgRNA target sites are 33

34 marked by sg1, sg2 and sg3. (D) Sequencing results of PCR products as indicated in (C). Dashed lines represent deletions. Numbers of deleted nucleotides in small indels are indicated on the 35 right. (E) Schema of nanog gene with sgRNA target sites and genotyping primers indicated. Grey 36 boxes indicate UTRs, and black boxes represent coding regions. (F) PCR analysis of deletions in 37 14 rescued Mnanog mutant adults. Primer pairs are indicated on the top of each lane and their 38 positions can be found in (E). (G) Similar patterns of genomic deletions in offspring derived from 39 outcrosses of 14 rescued F1 Mnanog mutant adult fish with wild-type fish. (H) Sequence analyses 40 of PCR products from 14 rescued F1 Mnanog adults. Their outcrossed offspring displayed 41

42 identical deletions in the genome.