Supplementary materials for:

Suicidal risk and impulsivity-related traits among young Argentinean college students during a quarantine of up to 103-day duration: Longitudinal evidence from the COVID-19 pandemic

Index

Table S1. Differences in suicidal risk scores at time 1, comparing college students who completed the survey for the two-repeated measurements (n = 1202) and those who only completed the survey for the first measurement (n = 832)	2
Table S2. Skewness and kurtosis in the entire sample (N = 1202)	3
Table S3. Multicollinearity assessment by using the variance inflation factor (VIF), the tolerance statistics, and the mean VIF for suicidal risk (outcome) in young college students (N = 1202)	4
Table S4. Percentages of young college students with low, moderate, and high suicidal risk in groups of suicidal behavior history and in the entire sample, during the first and the second measurement	5
References	6

Table S1. Differences in suicidal risk scores at time 1, comparing college students who						
completed the survey for the two-repeated measurements (n = 1202) and those who only						
completed tl	completed the survey for the first measurement ($n = 832$)					
Sample or	t _(df)	p-value ^a	95% CI		Mean scores of suicidal risk at	
group					time 1 ^b	
			Lower	Upper	Participants	Participants
					answering the	answering
					two-repeated	only the 1 st
					measurements	measurement
Entire	4.42 (1883.6)	< .001	1.76	4.58	36.60	33.43
sample						
Group	0.98 (1004.2)	.32	-0.75	2.26	27.87	27.12
without						
suicidal						
behavior						
history						
Group with	2.41 (698.33)	.02	0.48	4.70	43.57	40.98
suicide						
ideation						
history						
Group with	2.79 _(92.39)	.006	2.40	14.22	51.96	43.65
suicide						
attempt						
history						
Natas: 05% Ch 05% Confidence Intervals						

Notes: 95% CI: 95% Confidence Intervals.

^a Exact p-values are informed, except for p-values under .001, which are informed as < .001. Statistically significant p-values are highlighted in bold.

^b The attrition from the first to the second measurement was 40.90%.

Table S2. Skewness and kurtosis in the entire sample (N = 1202)					
Variable	Skewness ^a	Kurtosis ^b			
Suicidal risk – Time 1	0.40	2.45			
Suicidal risk – Time 2	0.46	2.58			
Negative urgency – Time 1	0.08	2.40			
Positive urgency – Time 1	0.79	2.99			
(Lack of) Perseverance – Time 1	0.48	2.95			
(Lack of) Premeditation – Time 1	0.53	3.00			
Sensation seeking – Time 1	0.14	2.17			
Negative urgency – Time 2	0.13	2.35			
Positive urgency – Time 2	0.83	3.22			
(Lack of) Perseverance – Time 2	0.47	2.89			
(Lack of) Premeditation – Time 2	0.52	3.15			
Sensation seeking – Time 2	0.21	2.15			
^a Range of acceptable values for skewness: -1 to 1 (Brown, 2006).					
^b Range of acceptable values for kurtosis: -3 to 3 (Brown, 2006).					

Table S3. Multicollinearity assessment by using the variance inflation factor (VIF), the					
tolerance statistics, and the mean VII	F ^a for suicidal risk (out	come) in	young college	2	
students (N = 1202)					
Model	Predictors	VIF	Tolerance	Mean	
				VIF	
Suicidal risk considering impulsivity-	Sex	1.07	0.93	1.18	
related traits measured during the	Age	1.03	0.97		
first time	Quarantine	1.01	0.99		
	duration				
	Mental disorder	1.05	0.95		
	history				
	Loneliness	1.02	0.98		
	Negative urgency	1.37	0.73		
	Positive urgency	1.41	0.71		
	Lack of	1.23	0.81		
	perseverance				
	Lack of	1.40	0.72		
	premeditation				
	Sensation seeking	1.16	0.86		
Suicidal risk considering impulsivity-	Sex	1.07	0.93	1.19	
related traits measured during the	Age	1.04	0.96		
follow up	Quarantine	1.01	0.99		
	duration				
	Mental disorder	1.04	0.96		
	history				
	Loneliness	1.02	0.98		
	Negative urgency	1.41	0.71		
	Positive urgency	1.51	0.66		
	Lack of	1.23	0.81		
	perseverance				
	Lack of	1.41	0.71		
	premeditation				
	Sensation seeking	1.18	0.85		

^a We calculated the VIF, the tolerance statistics, and the mean VIF by using the *VIF* function from the *car* package of R. We adopted the following criteria for acceptable values: VIF < 10; tolerance > 0.2; mean VIF not substantially greater than 1 (Field et al., 2012).

Table S4. Percentages of young college students with low, moderate, and high suicidal risk in groups of suicidal behavior history and in the entire sample, during the first and the second measurement

Time	Suicidal risk	Without	Suicidal	Suicide	Entire
	levels	suicidal	ideation	attempt	sample
		behavior	history	history	(N = 1202)
		background	(n = 518)	(n = 98)	
		(n = 586)			
First	Low suicidal	58.36	19.30	12.24	37.77
measurement	risk				
	Moderate	30.37	34.56	21.43	31.45
	suicidal risk				
	High suicidal	11.26	46.14	66.33	30.78
	risk				
Second	Low suicidal	61.26	25.87	16.33	42.35
measurement	risk				
	Moderate	26.79	31.47	27.55	28.87
	suicidal risk				
	High suicidal	11.94	42.66	56.12	28.78
	risk				
Note: Suicidal risk as measured by the Inventory of Suicide Orientation (ISO-30; King &					
Kowalchuk, 1994), in its Argentinean validation (Fernández Liporace & Casullo, 2006).					
Cutoff scores: Raw scores < 30 indicate <i>low suicidal risk</i> , raw scores between 30-44					
indicate <i>moderate risk</i> , and raw scores \geq 45 indicate <i>high risk</i> (King & Kowalchuk, 1994).					

References

Brown, T. A. (2006). Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research. Guilford Press.

Fernández Liporace, M., & Casullo, M. M. (2006). Validación factorial de una escala para evaluar riesgo suicida [Factorial validation of a scale to assess suicidal risk]. *Revista lberoamericana de Diagnóstico y Evaluación - e Avaliação Psicológica*, *21*(1), 9-22.

Field, A., Miles, J., & Field, Z. (2012). *Discovering statistics using R*. SAGE.

King, J. D., & Kowalchuk, B. (1994). *ISO-30. Adolescent Inventory of Suicide Orientation – 30*. National Computer Systems.