



HAL
open science

Numerical classification of the Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii in Corsica (France)

P. Delbosc, Mathieu Le Dez, Jan-Bernard Bouzille, Kevin Cianfaglione,
Frédéric Bioret

► **To cite this version:**

P. Delbosc, Mathieu Le Dez, Jan-Bernard Bouzille, Kevin Cianfaglione, Frédéric Bioret. Numerical classification of the Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii in Corsica (France). *Mediterranean Botany*, 2021, 42, pp.1-18. 10.5209/MBOT.68062 . hal-03334205

HAL Id: hal-03334205

<https://hal.science/hal-03334205>

Submitted on 27 Jan 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Numerical classification of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* in Corsica (France)

Pauline Delbosc¹ , Mathieu Le Dez² , Jan-Bernard Bouzillé³, Kevin Cianfaglione⁴ & Frédéric Bioret¹

Received: 28 February 2020 / Accepted: 22 December 2020 / Published online: 24 June 2021

Abstract. The class ‘*Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* Klein 1972’ corresponds to Cyrno-Sardian oromediterranean cushion-scrubs and related grasslands. In France, this class is only present in Corsica and the syntaxonomic scheme is debated among phytosociologists. This paper aims to highlight the main plant associations of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* Klein 1972 and to define the diagnostic species for each phytosociological unit. Diagnostic species are defined as species with a distinct concentration of occurrence or abundance in a particular vegetation unit. We compiled 519 vegetation plots and we applied the EuroVegChecklist expert system for the classes of European vegetation to only retain vegetation plots belonging to the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii*. We obtained a dataset with 189 vegetation plots, and we classified them using the Modified TWINSpan classification. Our analyses identified six plant associations and three sub-associations that have already been described in the literature; and we were able to describe a new alliance corresponding to supramediterranean plant communities (*Genistion salzmannii*). For each of them, we identified diagnostic, constant, and dominant species and produced their distribution map. Formal definitions were then written for each phytosociological unit (from sub-association to class) and grouped in an expert system to classify the plant communities of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii*.

Keywords: *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* Klein 1972; Corsica; TWINSpan; Expert System.

How to cite: Delbosc, P., Le Dez, M., Bouzillé, J.-B., Cianfaglione, K. & Bioret, F. 2021. Numerical classification of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* in Corsica (France). *Mediterr. Bot.* 42, e68062, <https://dx.doi.org/10.5209/mbot.68062>

Introduction

Corsican oromediterranean cushion-scrubs and related grasslands represent the main plant communities of the mountain landscapes of Corsica (Gamisans 1977, 1979, 1991). Given their floristic composition made up of numerous endemic species and because they represent endemic bird habitats (*Sylvia sarda*, *Serinus citrinella corsicana*), supra- and oromediterranean cushion-tragacanthic scrub and related grasslands are classified as a habitat of community interest in the European Union Habitats Directive: ‘4090 - Endemic oromediterranean heaths with gorse’ (Romao *et al.*, 2015). The characterization of this habitat is based on expert judgment reflecting traditional phytosociological units. The description of habitat type 4090 refers only to the *Anthyllidion hermanniae* alliance assigned to the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* class (Bardat *et al.*, 2004; Bensettiti *et al.*, 2005).

However, in France, the classification of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* is complex because

initial descriptions of these cushion-scrubs and grasslands also included grassland species characteristic of rocky scree habitats (*Arrhenatherum elatius* subsp. *sardoum*, *Rumex scutatus*, *Sedum annuum*, *Galium comethorizon*, *Saxifraga pedemontana* subsp. *cervicornis*) (Gamisans, 1977; Reymann *et al.*, 2016). This class is debated among phytosociologists, which can be summarised as follows, in chronological order:

- Litardière and Malcuit (1926) were the first to assign subalpine and alpine (lower horizon) scrubland and grassland to the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* class from a phytosociological point of view. They described the *Juniperetum nanae* which corresponds to spiny xerophytes vegetation that covers scorched slopes, and they described the *Plantaginetum insularis* for alpine meso-xeric grasslands.
- Klein (1972) described the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* class in scrublands with spiny xerophytes. He proposed a single order, the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii*, comprising two

¹ Laboratoire Géoarchitecture, University of Western Brittany, F. 29200 Brest, France. Email: delboscpauline@gmail.com; frederic.bioret@univ-brest.fr

² LETG UMR 6554 CNRS, Université de Nantes. Chemin de la Censive du Tertre, B.P. 81227, 44312 Nantes, France. Email: mathieu.ledez29@gmail.com

³ ECOBIO UMR 6553 CNRS, Université de Rennes, Avenue du Général Leclerc. 35042 Rennes, France. Email: jbbouzille@gmail.com

⁴ UMR UL/AgroParisTech/INRAE 1434 Silva, Université de Lorraine, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques. BP 70239-54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France. Email : kevin.cianfaglione@univ-lorraine.fr

alliances: the *Anthyllion hermanniae* (supra- and oromediterranean cushion-scrubs) and the *Plantaginion insularis* (supra- and oromediterranean grasslands). The French plant communities of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* were initially divided into two associations: the *Ligusticetum corsici* and the *Genisto-Carlinetum macrocephalae* (Klein 1972).

- Gamisans (1977) named this class the ‘*Carlinetea macrocephalae*’, which includes chamaephytic scrubs (*Anthyllidion hermanniae*) and mesoxeric grasslands (*Caricion caryophylleae*). This author described one plant community for the *Caricion caryophylleae* (*Sagino-Caricetum caryophylleae*) and three plant communities for the *Anthyllidion hermanniae* (*Helichryso-Genistetum salzmanni*, *Trisetum-Genistetum corsicae* (only in Sardinia), *Berberido-Genistetum lobelioides*). Gamisans (1977) described the *Helichryso-Genistetum salzmanni cerastietosum boissieri* sub-association that he considered as a synonym of the *Genisto salzmannii-Alysetum robertiani* Ro. Molinier 1959. In his study, Gamisans assumed similarities in the floristic composition for three associations (*Juniperetum nanae* Litardière & Malcuit 1928, *Genisto-Carlinetum macrocephalae* Klein 1972 and *Berberido aetnensis-Genistetum lobelioidis* Gamisans 1977) but no comparative table analysis was provided. Gamisans (1977) did not recognize the *Ligusticetum corsici*. He considered the *Ligusticetum corsici* as a heterogeneous plant community, which can be divided into two associations: the *Paronychio-Armerietum armerietosum* and the *Acino-Tanacetum tomentosum*.
- De Foucault (2012) highlighted that the inclusion of the *Caricion caryophylleae* in the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* seems problematic because it includes grasslands that may be related to the *Caricetea curvulae* (Bardat *et al.*, 2004) or the *Nardetea strictae* (de Foucault, 2012).

In the Prodrôme of Corsican Vegetation (Reymann *et al.*, 2016), the authors retain the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* (*Carlinetea macrocephalae* is a synonym), one order ‘*Carlinetalia macrocephalae*’ and two alliances (*Caricion caryophylleae* and *Anthyllidion hermanniae*). They also classified the *Genisto salzmannii-Alysetum robertiani* Ro. Molinier 1959 in the *Anthyllidion hermanniae*, and a vegetation unit of *Trifolium campestre* and *Carex caryophylleae* and the *Anthoxantho odorati-Brachypodietum rupestris* Gamisans 1989 into the *Caricion caryophylleae*.

There are many studies concerning the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* class in France, however, all the analyses were based on expert judgment and to date, there has been no synthesis using vegetation plots. It is, therefore, necessary to revise the classification of this class to update its syntaxonomic scheme as well as to be able to propose relevant management measures for their conservation. This revision is also part of the Prodrôme of French Vegetation conducted by the French Society of Phytosociology (Bioret *et al.*, 2013).

The divergence in opinions on the taxonomy and nomenclature surrounding *Genista salzmannii* and *Genista lobelii* is also of concern. Gamisans

(1973) recognized *Genista lobelii* var. *salzmannii* and *Genista lobelii* var. *lobelioides*. He retained this terminology in his thesis (Gamisans, 1975) and also in his survey of the Corsican vegetation (Gamisans, 1991). However, Gamisans & Jeanmonod (1993) modified the previous classification and distinguished the following taxa:

- *Genista salzmannii* DC. var. *salzmannii* (= *Genista lobelii* var. *salzmannii* (DC.) Spach); this is an endemic species that occurs in Corsica, Sardinia, Elba, and peninsular Italy. In Corsica, this species is found at low and medium elevations (< 1600 m asl).
- *Genista salzmannii* var. *lobelioides* (Gamisans) Gamisans & Jeanm. (= *Genista lobelii* var. *lobelioides* Gamisans). This is an endemic species of Corsica and its distribution is limited to high elevations (> 1600 m asl).

This taxonomic classification was also retained by *Flora Corsica* (Jeanmonod & Gamisans 2007, 2013).

Genista lobelii DC. is considered as an endemic taxon of western Provence in France with a distribution limited to the French departments of Bouches-du-Rhône and Var (Tison *et al.*, 2014; Tison & de Foucault, 2014; Coulot & Rabaute, 2016).

Concerning the divergences that exist in the floristic and syntaxonomic terms for the classification of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii*, we aim to classify the vegetation of this class at a plant community (association) level. The aim of this study is to use a plot-based numerical analysis of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* in Corsica, and specifically to: i) classify the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* plant communities; ii) describe the plant communities (associations) of this vegetation; iii) characterize the environmental range of each plant community in terms of climate and soil conditions; iv) devise an expert system to formally identify the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* units; and, v) update the classification of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* within the framework of the Prodrôme of the French Vegetation.

Study area

The *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* plant communities correspond to low-scrubs occurring within supramediterranean and oromediterranean belts (Figure 1). They are distributed under supra- and oromediterranean bioclimates with high humidity. These plant communities occur on acidic to neutrocline and mesic to mesoxeric substrates in Corsica, Sardinia, and Sicily (Klein, 1972). In France, this class is characterized floristically by many Corsican and Sardinian endemic species (*Viola corsica* subsp. *corsica*, *Genista salzmannii*, *Alyssum robertianum*, *Peucedanum officinale* subsp. *paniculatum*) and endemic Corsican taxa (*Potentilla rupestris* var. *pygmaea*, *Arrhenatherum elatius* subsp. *sardoum*, *Helleborus lividus* subsp. *corsicus*, *Poa nemoralis* subsp. *balbisii*, *Silene nodulosa*, *Astragalus*

genargenteus var. *greuteri*, *Ruta corsica*, *Saponaria ocymoides* subsp. *alsinoides*).

Fire is the most significant factor determining these plant communities, depending on its frequency and intensity (Gamisans, 1989, 1991, 2010). Recent fires over the past several years may explain the regression of these communities and also have an extremely negative effect on their biome as they dry up the soil and microclimate, and they make way for the development of secondary vegetation such as the *Pruno spinosae-Rubion ulmifolii* thickets (Gamisans & Grüber, 1979; Gamisans, 1990). Recurrent trampling by cattle also favors nitrophilous grasslands. Human exploitation of the land over the past thousands of years, forest destruction, in particular, has led to soil erosion in some areas. The erosion then intensified by grazing and overgrazing. *Helichrysum italicum* subsp. *italicum*, *Genista salzmannii*, *Berberis aetnensis*, *Thymus herbarona*, etc. have been able to establish in habitats subject to deforestation and soil erosion (Gamisans, 1968). Regular grazing has facilitated the selection of

species that are resistant to trampling, such as thorny or toxic species which livestock refuse to eat (*Genista salzmannii*, *Berberis aetnensis*, *Anthyllis hermanniae* subsp. *Corsica*, etc.). In some places after pastures abandonment, the *Carici caryophylleae-Genisteteta lobelii* communities could proceed along the secondary succession up to *Pinus nigra* subsp. *laricio* formations (Gamisans, 2007; Delbosc, 2015)

This vegetation is of major conservation interest because it falls within the habitat 4090 (Romao *et al.*, 2015). Bensettiti *et al.* (2005) distinguished, within this habitat, two habitat sub-types: (4090-8) ‘Mountain low-scrubs of Corsica’ (*Berberido aetnensis-Genistetum lobelioidis* and *Thymo herbae-baronae-Genistetum lobelioidis*); (4090-7) ‘Supramediterranean low-scrubs of Corsica’ (*Helichryso italicum-Genistetum salzmannii*, *Genista salzmannii-Alysetum robertiani*). This low-scrub scrub formation represents an important biotope for animals such as Corsica mouflon (*Ovis gmelini musimon* var. *corsicana* Beyth) and the Corsican subspecies of Northern Goshawk (*Accipiter gentilis* subsp. *arrigonii* Kleinschmidt).




Figure 1. Vegetation and bioclimatic maps of Corsica. A, map of vegetation belts, following the Gamisans' typology (1991); B, map of thermotypes; C, map of ombrotypes following the Rivas-Martínez *et al.*'s typology (2011).

Material and Methods

The procedures implemented in this revision are captured in Figure 2, The analytic pathway is explained in detail below.

Dataset

The data used were provided by the bibliography (Table 1, Appendix S1 for the list of data sources) and vegetation plots that were carried out under the CarHAB Program between 2012 and 2014 according to sigmatist phytosociology (Dengler, 2017). We have taken into account all the vegetation plots found in the literature that were assigned to the *Carici caryophylleae-Genisteteta*

lobelii communities by the authors. We also considered plant communities for which the syntaxonomic inclusion within the *Carici caryophylleae-Genisteteta lobelii* was uncertain. This is the case for the *Paronychio polygonifoliae-Armerietum multicepitis*, which has a very similar floristic composition to the *Berberido aetnensis-Genistetum lobelioidis* but which was included in the *Caricetea curvulae* (Reymann *et al.*, 2016). Therefore, we have integrated all the vegetation plots assigned to the *Paronychio polygonifoliae-Armerietum multicepitis* in our database, to compare the floristic composition with that of other plant communities and to verify its syntaxonomic inclusion in the synsystem. We also retained the vegetation plots which were characterized as = ‘scrubs’ or ‘grasslands’ by these authors. In total, 519 vegetation plots sharing 651 taxa were stored in Turboveg 2

(Hennekens & Schaminée, 2001). The vegetation data are phytosociological vegetation plots with specific reference to the cover-abundance for each species, recorded between 1926 and 2014. The dataset was filtered using the species list for the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* class as listed in an appendix to the EuroVegChecklist (Mucina *et al.*, 2016). To obtain a selection of the vegetation

plots corresponding to this class, we classified the initial dataset using the EuroVegChecklist expert system for the classes of European vegetation in the JUICE program (Tichý, 2002). For our study, we selected only those vegetation plots that have been recognized as the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* (code 'GEN' in the EuroVegChecklist).




Figure 2. Methodological scheme to produce a formalized classification of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* plant communities in France.

Vegetation plots that contained a total of more than 20% trees or scrubs were excluded. Vegetation plots with fewer than five species (representing < 1% of the selected plots) were excluded because they were considered to be poorly representative of these typically species-rich scrubs and related grasslands. We chose to analyze all the plots except those with an area smaller than 1 m² or larger than > 100 m². We did not exclude plots that did not indicate their surface area because we assumed that most of them were sampled on plots ranging in size from 5 to 100 m² and that their exclusion would result in the loss of data. The dataset contains 189 vegetation plots and 399 species. Vegetation plots without geographical coordinates were georeferenced, using the QGIS software, according to the descriptions of their localities reported in the original publications. The uncertainty of the georeferencing was less than 1 km in most cases.

Species list and nomenclature

We checked and homogenized the species lists using the taxonomic nomenclature in the book *Flora Corsica* (Jeanmonod & Gamisans, 2013) because numerous origins have been listed in the vegetation plots. Once the typing errors and synonymy problems were resolved, we deleted the rare species (less than 0.1% of occurrence) to avoid a biased analysis for this rare species. As we used plots sampled by numerous different authors over several decades, in some cases it was not possible to determine the original description of the taxon, particularly when subspecies belonging to the same species have an overlapping geographic distribution. The selected dataset counted 189 vegetation plots which contain a total of 262 plant species.

The nomenclature of the syntaxa follows the EuroVegChecklist (Mucina *et al.*, 2016) for European

alliances, and Reymann *et al.* (2016) for plant associations according to the International Code of Phytosociological Nomenclature (ICPN) (Theurillat *et al.*, 2020).

Pooling analysis

We classified our dataset using the modified TWINSpan classification (Roleček *et al.*, 2009) in version 7.0.208 of the JUICE program (Tichý, 2002) to obtain a hierarchical pool. We used the default settings (cut levels = 0%, 5%, 25%; minimum group size = 5) and the Bray-Curtis index as a dissimilarity measure producing 15 terminal pools. To obtain this number of pools, we did several iterations to highlight the most coherent number of pools with the literature and our field knowledge. The number of pools greater than 15 led to the identification of a syntaxonomic level lower than the sub-association (facies in particular). In contrast, a number lower than 15 pools led to the identification of a higher level (alliance level). However, we are aware that the definition of the number of pools and the dissimilarity measures are the main limitations of this method because it mainly depends on the choice of the authors (Chuman & Romportl, 2010). We characterized the resulting pools at different hierarchical levels of the classification (in particular, sub-association, association and alliance). We interpreted these pools based on their species composition, their distribution and previous literature (holotypus; Table 1 see Appendix S1). When we found a pool that corresponded to a syntaxa recognized in the literature, we did not interpret its subordinate pools at the lower hierarchical levels. In the event of disagreements between the plant communities identified among the various sources, we followed the classification of the plant communities accepted in the literature. We grouped adjacent pools with similar species compositions, and with a similar position along a geographical gradient and similar ecological conditions.

Diagnostic species

The diagnostic species of each plant community were determined based on their fidelity, calculated using the phi coefficient (Φ ; Chytrý *et al.*, 2002). The phi coefficient was calculated and we only accepted positive values for species with significant occurrences in the group based on Fisher's exact test at $p < 0.01$ (Tichý & Chytrý, 2006). Only species with a Φ value greater than 0.2 were considered to be diagnostic of a vegetation unit. We considered species with a Φ value greater than 0.4 to be 'highly diagnostic'. We chose these thresholds arbitrarily and considered them as a compromise to distinguish species with high diagnostic value from those with low diagnostic value. We have defined constant species as those with a percentage occurrence frequency greater than 20% and dominant species as those occurring in at least

10% of vegetation plots with a percentage of cover greater than 20%.

Expert system

An Expert System (ES) is a tool that is used to identify vegetation based on the floristic and geographical boundaries of the syntaxa (Kočí *et al.*, 2003). The ES is based on formal definitions, which are written as logical formulas in an editable script stored as a TXT file (Tichý *et al.*, 2019). The ES script contains logical formulas of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* plant communities consisting of relational operators, which define individual assignment criteria, and the logical operators AND, OR, and NOT, which combine the individual assignment criteria (Bruehlheide, 1997). Similarly to Mucina *et al.* (2016), the ES that we built took into account discriminating species of each alliance and assigned each plot to that alliance whose discriminating species occurring in the plots had the highest sum of square-rooted percentage covers. The ES was run using a software tool implemented in the JUICE 7 software (Tichý, 2002).

The species identified as diagnostic, constant, and dominant based on our TWINSpan analysis were used as discriminating species groups; these groups of species were used to design the ES that could then be used to classify any vegetation plot from the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii*. The ES is based on the different species groups of the classification developed by Mucina *et al.* (2016) for the EuroVegChecklist classes, and it also takes into account the indicator species groups of all the alliances we have identified. Then it was applied to assign each plot to the plant communities for which the indicator species in the plot had the highest sum of square-rooted percentage covers. We merged the diagnostic species groups when two or more pools were interpreted as belonging to the same plant community.

Since the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* are regularly in contact with mesomediterranean vegetation, part of their floristic composition corresponds to mesomediterranean species. We have therefore added formal definitions for the classes containing mesomediterranean scrublands (*Cisto ladaniferi-Lavanduletea stoechadis*) and relict oromediterranean silicicolous swards (*Saginetea piliferae*). In these formulas, we also fixed an arbitrary maximum threshold of 5% tree and shrub cover that may occur in the plots to be assigned to the syntaxa discussed in this present work. We chose this threshold to the ES can better distinguish the grasslands of *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* which occur in small areas and are very overlapping with the low-scrub.

To show that the established ES was close to our original classification, a confusion matrix was created between the TWINSpan pools and the alliances obtained via the ES. We used the same procedure for

the orders and classes and the diagnostic species of these units were added in the ES. The ES was tested on the dataset (189 vegetation plots), which was also used to characterize each alliance, to define the diagnostic constant and dominant species, and to create the distribution maps for each alliance.

Ordination analysis

We used a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to visualize the main environmental gradients. A PCA aims to analyze the variance in the multivariate data onto a few components (Borcard *et al.*, 2011; Cornillon *et al.*, 2012). A standardised PCA was performed using the *vegan* package in R (version 1.15-4, <http://cc.oulu.fi/jarioksa/softhelp/vegan.html>). We used the WorldClim dataset (Fick & Hijmans, 2017; accessed on 14 September 2019) to collect bioclimatic data characterizing each alliance. Bioclimatic variables (<http://www.worldclim.org/bioclim>) for the phytosociological plots were obtained through the overlay of the vegetation plot coordinates with the QGIS application, version 2.18.14 (open source; www.qgis.org/en/site/). The bioclimatic variables were plotted on the PCA diagrams to help interpret the ecological differences among the plant communities.

Results

Hierarchical pool analysis

We interpreted 15 pools obtained from the modified Twinspan hierarchical classification. They are classified into two main groups of pools according to bioclimate (Figure 3): (A) supramediterranean plant communities dominated by *Genista salzmannii* var. *salzmannii* [pools 1 to 7] and (B) oromediterranean plant communities dominated by *Genista salzmannii* var. *lobelioides* [pools 8 to 15].

The second division concerning pools 1 to 7 corresponds to a geographical division: it separates the plant communities of Alpine Corsica (Pool 1) from Hercynian Corsica (Pool 2 to 7). For pools 8 to 15, the division distinguishes scrubs and related grasslands of the oromediterranean belt (pools 8 to 11) from cushion-scrubs of the upper supramediterranean belt (pools 12 to 15).

Of the 15 pools, six were assigned to a plant association, six were assigned to a sub-association and three were assigned to a higher level (alliance) because their floristic composition was too heterogeneous to be assigned to a plant association. These two pools were not used to create an expert system. The diagnostic, constant, and dominant species of the 15 pools and their distribution maps are presented in Appendix S2.




Figure 3. Dendrogram of the Twinspan analysis applied to the dataset, with 189 vegetation plots and 262 species. Pool numbers (1–15) correspond to those provided in the synoptic table (Table 2).

Species groups and formal definitions

We have formulated formal definitions for one class, one order, three alliances, eight plant associations, and three sub-associations. We have also added formal definitions for the classes which are very specific to these plant communities: the *Cisto ladaniferi-Lavanduletea stoechadis* and the *Saginetea piliferae*. Besides, we also integrated three other groups of 'Tree', 'Shrub', and 'Low-Shrub' species, which allow us to better distinguish scrub and related grasslands within the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetetea lobelii*.

An expert system based on the modified Twinspan classification was developed and applied to the complete dataset of 189 vegetation plots of which 155 (82%) were classified (Appendix S3). For the vegetation plots that were not assigned to a plant association: 25 vegetation plots contain maquis dominated by *Erica arborea*, and nine belong to the *Anthyllidion hermanniae* and correspond to transitional vegetation between the supramediterranean and oromediterranean belts.

The consensus between the pools obtained by the TWINSpan analysis and the alliances classified by

our expert system is presented in a confusion matrix (Appendix S4).

Distribution maps, climatic characterization, and lists of diagnostic and constant species for each hierarchical level (classes, orders, and alliances) were prepared from the results of the expert system classification (Figures

4, 5, Table 1, Appendix S5). Photographs of certain associations are presented in Appendix S6. The synoptic table of the plant communities recognized in this classification is presented in Table 1. Distribution maps for the 10 plant communities identified in this study are presented in Figure 3.

Table 1. Shortened synoptic table of the percentage frequencies of the diagnostic species in the plant communities classified by the expert system. Abbreviations are: Ag, *Alyso robertiani-Genistetum salzmannii*; Hgh, *Helichryo italici-Genistetum salzmannii helichrysetosum italici*; Hgc, *Helichryo italici-Genistetum salzmannii cerastietosum boissieriani*; Lc, *Ligusticetum corsici*; Tg, *Thymo herbae-baronae-Genistetum lobelioidis*; Tga, *Thymo herbae-baronae-Genistetum lobelioidis allietosum schoenoprasi*; Pg, *Paronychio polygonifoliae-Genistetum lobelioidis*; Jn, *Juniperetum nanae*.

| Alliance | A1.1 | | A1.2 | | A1.3 | | | |
|--|------|-----|------|----|------|----|-----|----|
| | Ag | Hgh | Hgc | Lc | Pg | Tg | Tga | Jn |
| Plant communities | | | | | | | | |
| Number of vegetation plots | 12 | 25 | 22 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 16 | 74 |
| <i>Alyso robertiani-Genistetum salzmannii</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Crocus corsicus</i> | 100 | | | | | | | 5 |
| <i>Viola corsica</i> subsp. <i>corsica</i> | 92 | 28 | | | | | | 4 |
| <i>Teesdalia coronopifolia</i> | 92 | 12 | 9 | | | | | |
| <i>Carex halleriana</i> subsp. <i>corsica</i> | 83 | | | | | | | |
| <i>Morisia monanthos</i> | 75 | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cerastium diffusum</i> subsp. <i>diffusum</i> | 58 | 4 | 5 | | | | | 5 |
| <i>Teucrium capitatum</i> subsp. <i>capitatum</i> | 42 | 24 | 14 | | | | | |
| <i>Gagea bohemica</i> | 33 | | | | | | | |
| <i>Plantago lagopus</i> | 33 | | | | | | | |
| <i>Sagina subulata</i> | 25 | | | | | | | 3 |
| <i>Sherardia arvensis</i> | 25 | 12 | 5 | | | | | |
| <i>Helichryo italici-Genistetum salzmannii helichrysetosum italici</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Linum trigynum</i> | | 40 | 9 | | | | | |
| <i>Dianthus sylvestris</i> subsp. <i>longicaulis</i> | | 32 | 5 | | | | | 1 |
| <i>Carlina corymbosa</i> | | 36 | 18 | | | | | |
| <i>Genista corsica</i> | | 28 | 9 | | | | | |
| <i>Anthyllis vulneraria</i> subsp. <i>rubriflora</i> | | 20 | | | | | | |
| <i>Silene paradoxa</i> | | 12 | | | | | | |
| <i>Sedum album</i> | | 12 | 5 | | | | | |
| <i>Helichryo italici-Genistetum salzmannii cerastietosum boissieriani</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Carlina macrocephala</i> subsp. <i>macrocephala</i> | | | 77 | 13 | | | 25 | 34 |
| <i>Pteridium aquilinum</i> | | | 36 | | | | | 8 |
| <i>Vulpia ciliata</i> subsp. <i>ciliata</i> | | 16 | 41 | | | | | |
| <i>Asphodelus cerasiferus</i> | | | 27 | | | | | |
| <i>Luzula forsteri</i> | | | 27 | | | | | |
| <i>Bellis perennis</i> | | 4 | 27 | | | | | |
| <i>Trifolium arvense</i> | | 4 | 27 | | | | | |
| <i>Sanguisorba minor</i> | | 28 | 50 | | | | 19 | 7 |
| <i>Trifolium campestre</i> | | 8 | 27 | | | | | |
| <i>Bromus hordeaceus</i> subsp. <i>hordeaceus</i> | | 8 | 50 | 25 | | | | 3 |
| <i>Cynosurus echinatus</i> | | 16 | 59 | | | | 25 | 23 |
| <i>Briza minor</i> | | 4 | 23 | | | | | |
| <i>Poa bulbosa</i> subsp. <i>bulbosa</i> | | 4 | 41 | 13 | | | 13 | 3 |
| <i>Petrorhagia prolifera</i> | | 4 | 18 | | | | | |
| <i>Lotus corniculatus</i> | | 16 | 50 | | | 33 | 13 | 14 |
| <i>Petrorhagia saxifraga</i> subsp. <i>gasparrinii</i> | | 20 | 50 | | 7 | 33 | 6 | 30 |
| <i>Filago vulgaris</i> | | 8 | 18 | | | | | |
| <i>Trifolium repens</i> var. <i>repens</i> | | | 14 | 13 | | | | 3 |
| <i>Ligusticetum corsici</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Luzula spicata</i> subsp. <i>italica</i> | | | | 88 | | | | 11 |
| <i>Sedum alpestre</i> | | | | 50 | | | 13 | |

| Alliance | A1.1 | | A1.2 | | A1.3 | | | Jn |
|--|------|-----|------|----|------|-----|-----|----|
| | Ag | Hgh | Hgc | Lc | Pg | Tg | Tga | |
| Plant communities | 12 | 25 | 22 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 16 | 74 |
| Number of vegetation plots | 12 | 25 | 22 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 16 | 74 |
| <i>Sagina pilifera</i> | | | | 63 | | | | 14 |
| <i>Euphrasia nana</i> | | | | 38 | | | | |
| <i>Thlaspi brevistylum</i> | | | | 38 | | | | 9 |
| <i>Mutellina corsica</i> | | | | 38 | | | | 15 |
| <i>Chenopodium bonus-henricus</i> | | | | 25 | | | | |
| <i>Phyteuma serratum</i> | | | | 25 | | | | |
| <i>Alchemilla alpina</i> | | | | 25 | | | | |
| <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> | | | | 25 | | | 6 | |
| <i>Poa alpina</i> | | | | 25 | 7 | | | 1 |
| <i>Digitalis purpurea</i> | | | | 25 | 14 | | 19 | 12 |
| <i>Galium cometorhizon</i> | | | | 13 | | | | |
| <i>Saxifraga pedemontana</i> subsp. <i>cervicornis</i> | | | | 13 | | | | |
| <i>Phleum pratense</i> subsp. <i>nodosum</i> | | | | 13 | | | | |
| <i>Agrostis rupestris</i> | | | | 13 | | | | |
| <i>Acinos corsicus</i> | | | | 13 | | | | |
| <i>Poa pratensis</i> | | | | 13 | | | | |
| <i>Geum montanum</i> | | | | 13 | | | | |
| <i>Hieracium murorum</i> | | | | 13 | | | | 1 |
| <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> | | | | 13 | | | | 1 |
| <i>Gagea soleirolii</i> subsp. <i>soleirolii</i> | | | | 13 | | | | 1 |
| <i>Poa nemoralis</i> | | | | 13 | | | | 3 |
| <i>Armeria leucocephala</i> var. <i>leucocephala</i> | | 4 | | 13 | | | | |
| <i>Daphne oleoides</i> | | | 5 | | | | | 15 |
| <i>Potentilla micrantha</i> | | 4 | | | | | | 15 |
| <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> | | | 5 | | | | | 4 |
| <i>Luzula pedemontana</i> | | | | 13 | | | | 5 |
| <i>Paronychio polygonifoliae-Genistetum lobelioidis</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Scleranthus burnatii</i> | | | | | 43 | | | 1 |
| <i>Veronica fruticans</i> | | | | | 36 | | | 1 |
| <i>Reseda phyteuma</i> subsp. <i>phyteuma</i> | | | | 13 | 43 | | | 5 |
| <i>Trisetum gracile</i> subsp. <i>conradiae</i> | | | | | 21 | | | |
| <i>Trisetum gracile</i> | | | | | 21 | | | |
| <i>Paronychia polygonifolia</i> | | | | | 21 | | | 3 |
| <i>Astragalus genargenteus</i> var. <i>greuteri</i> | | | | | 14 | | 6 | 9 |
| <i>Thymo herbae-baronae-Genistetum lobelioidis</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Orobanche rigens</i> | | | | | | 67 | | |
| <i>Cuscuta epithymum</i> | | | | | | 67 | | 1 |
| <i>Lolium perenne</i> | | 8 | 9 | | | 67 | 6 | |
| <i>Hypochaeris cretensis</i> | | 28 | 14 | | 43 | 100 | 19 | 30 |
| <i>Pilosella officinarum</i> | | 4 | | | | 33 | | |
| <i>Cynosurus cristatus</i> | | | | | | 33 | 6 | |
| <i>Festuca rubra</i> subsp. <i>rubra</i> var. <i>rubra</i> | | 4 | 18 | | | 33 | | 7 |
| <i>Thymo herbae-baronae-Genistetum lobelioidis allietosum schoenoprasii</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Micropyrum tenellum</i> | | 4 | | | | | 38 | |
| <i>Briza maxima</i> | | 16 | | | | | 31 | |
| <i>Pancratium illyricum</i> | | | | | | | 19 | |
| <i>Crucianella angustifolia</i> | | 12 | 18 | | | | 31 | 1 |
| <i>Potentilla crassinervia</i> | | | | | | | 13 | |
| <i>Anarrhinum corsicum</i> | | | | | | | 13 | |
| <i>Festuca sardoa</i> | | | | | | | 13 | 3 |
| <i>Potentilla rupestris</i> var. <i>pygmaea</i> | | | | | | | 13 | 3 |
| <i>Hypochaeris glabra</i> | | 4 | | | | | 13 | |
| <i>Cruciata glabra</i> | | | 14 | | | | 19 | 7 |

| Alliance | A1.1 | | A1.2 | | | A1.3 | | |
|--|------|-----|------|----|----|------|-----|----|
| | Ag | Hgh | Hgc | Lc | Pg | Tg | Tga | Jn |
| Plant communities | 12 | 25 | 22 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 16 | 74 |
| Number of vegetation plots | 12 | 25 | 22 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 16 | 74 |
| <i>Juniperetum nanae</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Odontites corsicus</i> | | | 5 | | | | | 27 |
| <i>Ruta corsica</i> | | | 5 | | | | 13 | 24 |
| <i>Agrostis stolonifera</i> | | | | 13 | | | | 18 |
| <i>Viola reichenbachiana</i> | | | | | | | | 8 |
| <i>Vincetoxicum hirundinaria</i> subsp. <i>contiguum</i> | | | | | | | 13 | 15 |
| <i>Potentilla rupestris</i> | | | | | 7 | | 13 | 16 |
| <i>Genistion salzmannii</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Cerastium boissierianum</i> | 100 | 40 | 55 | | | | | 1 |
| <i>Alyssum robertianum</i> | 33 | 24 | 5 | | | | | 1 |
| <i>Brachypodium retusum</i> | 42 | 44 | 9 | | | | 6 | |
| <i>Rosa serafinii</i> | 42 | 24 | 36 | | | | | 22 |
| <i>Euphorbia spinosa</i> | 33 | 32 | 9 | | | | 13 | |
| <i>Orchis mascula</i> | 25 | | | | | | | |
| <i>Luzula campestris</i> | 25 | | | | | 33 | 6 | 1 |
| <i>Dactylis glomerata</i> subsp. <i>glomerata</i> | 25 | 28 | 18 | | | | | |
| <i>Buxus sempervirens</i> | 25 | 52 | 5 | | | | | 1 |
| <i>Erica arborea</i> | 50 | 52 | 50 | | | | 31 | |
| <i>Genista salzmannii</i> var. <i>salzmannii</i> | 65 | 80 | 50 | | | | | 4 |
| <i>Teucrium marum</i> | | 52 | 45 | | | | 56 | 4 |
| <i>Polygala vulgaris</i> subsp. <i>vulgaris</i> | | 36 | 45 | | | | 6 | 4 |
| <i>Plantago lanceolata</i> | | 32 | 27 | | | | 13 | 8 |
| <i>Carex caryophylla</i> | | 48 | 36 | | 43 | | 19 | 31 |
| <i>Helichrysum italicum</i> subsp. <i>italicum</i> | 42 | 52 | 68 | 13 | | 67 | 31 | |
| <i>Helleborus lividus</i> subsp. <i>corsicus</i> | | 8 | 41 | | | | | 27 |
| <i>Anthyllidion hermanniae</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Genista salzmannii</i> var. <i>lobelioides</i> | | 4 | | | 79 | 100 | 88 | 84 |
| <i>Armeria multiceps</i> | | | | 38 | 50 | | | 4 |
| <i>Brachypodium pinnatum</i> subsp. <i>rupestre</i> | | 8 | 14 | | 43 | 50 | 75 | 62 |
| <i>Allium schoenoprasum</i> | | | | 13 | 21 | | 38 | 5 |
| <i>Filago minima</i> | | | | | | 33 | 25 | |
| <i>Jasione montana</i> subsp. <i>montana</i> | | 16 | 27 | | | 33 | 44 | 5 |
| <i>Saginetea piliferae</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Plantago sarda</i> | | | | 63 | | | | 1 |
| <i>Nardus stricta</i> | | | | 38 | 71 | | | 12 |
| <i>Bellardiochloa variegata</i> | | | | 13 | 64 | | 6 | 16 |
| <i>Deschampsia flexuosa</i> | | | 18 | 63 | 86 | | 25 | 69 |
| <i>Pilosella piloselloides</i> subsp. <i>praealta</i> | | | | 13 | | | 13 | |
| <i>Silene vulgaris</i> | | 8 | 5 | 50 | 7 | 100 | 50 | 22 |
| <i>Sedum brevifolium</i> | | 4 | | 63 | 71 | 67 | | 16 |
| <i>Arrhenatherum elatius</i> subsp. <i>sardoum</i> | | | | | 21 | | 38 | 36 |
| <i>Agrostis capillaris</i> subsp. <i>castellana</i> | | 12 | 9 | | 21 | 33 | 44 | 39 |
| <i>Hypochaeris robertia</i> | 33 | 36 | 5 | 88 | 71 | 67 | 6 | 43 |
| <i>Rumex acetosella</i> subsp. <i>pyrenaicus</i> | 50 | 16 | 45 | 50 | 21 | 33 | 6 | 15 |
| <i>Galium corsicum</i> | | 24 | 50 | 13 | 21 | 33 | 63 | 46 |
| <i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i> | 42 | 20 | 73 | 13 | 14 | 67 | 56 | 39 |
| <i>Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea salzmannii</i> | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Stachys corsica</i> | | | | 50 | 43 | 33 | 38 | 32 |
| <i>Poa nemoralis</i> subsp. <i>balbisii</i> | | | 9 | 63 | 7 | 33 | 44 | 9 |
| <i>Cerastium soleirolii</i> | | 8 | | 88 | 71 | 100 | 56 | 64 |
| <i>Anthyllis hermanniae</i> subsp. <i>corsica</i> | 50 | 84 | 100 | | 21 | 100 | 100 | 68 |
| <i>Juniperus communis</i> subsp. <i>alpina</i> | | | 36 | 25 | 79 | 67 | 25 | 72 |
| <i>Berberis aetnensis</i> | 92 | | 5 | 13 | 14 | | 13 | 58 |
| <i>Bellium bellidioides</i> | 92 | 36 | 45 | 13 | 36 | | 56 | 59 |

| Alliance | A1.1 | | A1.2 | | A1.3 | | | Jn |
|---|------|-----|------|----|------|-----|-----|----|
| | Ag | Hgh | Hgc | Lc | Pg | Tg | Tga | |
| Plant communities | | | | | | | | |
| Number of vegetation plots | 12 | 25 | 22 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 16 | 74 |
| <i>Pilosella kralikii</i> | | | 32 | 38 | 86 | | | 42 |
| <i>Thymus herba-barona</i> | 92 | 60 | 59 | | 57 | 100 | 75 | 69 |
| <i>Veronica verna</i> subsp. <i>brevistyla</i> | | | 36 | | | 33 | 6 | 12 |
| <i>Saponaria ocymoides</i> subsp. <i>alsinoides</i> | | 4 | 9 | | | | 19 | 18 |
| <i>Silene nodulosa</i> | | 16 | 14 | | | | 13 | 7 |
| <i>Peucedanum paniculatum</i> | 8 | 12 | 18 | | | | 13 | |
| <i>Bunium alpinum</i> subsp. <i>corydalinum</i> | 100 | 28 | | 13 | 7 | | 13 | 5 |
| <i>Aira caryophyllea</i> | | 36 | 64 | | | 100 | 38 | 16 |
| <i>Alnus alnobetula</i> subsp. <i>suaveolens</i> | | | | 25 | | | | |

***Carici caryophylleae-Genisteteta salzmännii* Klein 1972 nom. corr. nov.**

Cyrno-Sardian supra- and oromediterranean cushion-tragacanthic scrub and related grasslands.

Holotypus: *Carici caryophylleae – Genistetalia lobelii* J.-C. Klein 1972 (*Vegetatio* 25: 322).

Synonymous: *Carlineteta macrocephalae* Gamisans 1977

***Carici caryophylleae-Genistetalia salzmännii* Klein 1972 nom. corr. nov.**

Cyrno-Sardian supra- and oromediterranean cushion-tragacanthic scrub and related grasslands

Holotypus: *Anthyllidion hermanniae* J.-C. Klein 1972 (*Vegetatio* 25: 329).

Synonymous: *Carlinetalia macrocephalae* Gamisans 1977

Supramediterranean communities (*Genistion salzmännii* Delbosc, Bioret et Panaïotis *all. nov.*; Table 1, A1.1)

Holotypus: *Helichryso italici-Genistetum salzmännii* Gamisans 1977 (Gamisans, 1977, tab. 19 -p. 82/83)

Diagnostic taxa: *Genista salzmännii* var. *salzmännii*, *Helichrysum italicum* subsp. *italicum*, *Asphodelus cerasiferus*, *Genista corsica*, *Euphorbia spinosa*, *Alyssum robertianum*.

Description: supramediterranean chamephytic scrub occurring on mesoxeric and xeric substrates. This syntaxa is widely spread around Corsica from 800 to 1300 m a.s.l., on sunny slopes. It is mainly dominated by *Genista salzmännii* var. *salzmännii* and grows on granite and schist substrates. They are physiognomically dominated by nanophanerophytes and chamaephytes species, some of which are endemic to Corsica (*Alyssum robertianum*) or endemic of Corsica and Sardinia (*Genista corsica*). From a climatic point of view, these vegetations develop under average annual temperatures between 10 and 13°C with rainfall between 700 mm and 1500 mm per year. This vegetation can withstand a more or less long period of summer drought from June to September.

• *Alyssum robertianum-Genistetum salzmännii* Ro. Molinier 1959 *nom. invers.* [synonymous: *Genisteto-Alyssum robertianum* Ro. Molin. 1959]: this is a supramediterranean chamephytic scrub that develops on schist and ophiolitic substrates in Alpine Corsica.

This vegetation is dominated by *Genista salzmännii* var. *salzmännii* and other chamephytic species such as *Anthyllis hermanniae* subsp. *corsica*, *Helichrysum italicum* subsp. *italicum* and *Thymus herba-barona*. It is an endemic plant association found on the Cap Corse crests where it is exposed to very strong winds, as well as on the San Pedrone Massif. From a dynamic point of view, this vegetation is maintained by grazing activities and when these activities disappear, the vegetation is colonized by *Buxus sempervirens* and *Erica arborea*.

• *Helichryso italici-Genistetum salzmännii* Gamisans 1977: this is a supramediterranean chamephytic scrub that grows on shallow crystalline soils. It is characterized by *Helichrysum italicum* subsp. *italicum* and *Genista salzmännii* var. *salzmännii*. These cushion-scrubs are widespread throughout the geologic province of ‘Crystalline Corsica’; they occur on slopes but do not always occupy crests. When these plant communities are located at the edge of *Pinus nigra* subsp. *laricio* forests, they could be colonized by pine trees. This plant association includes two sub-associations: (i) *helichrysetosum italici* Gamisans 1977 widespread on the supramediterranean slopes of siliceous Corsica (rarely on the crests) and are characterized by *Linum trigynum*, *Dianthus sylvestris* subsp. *longicaulis* and *Carlina corymbosa*; (ii) *cerastietosum boissieriani* Gamisans 1977 is frequently found on upper supramediterranean it occurs on schist and siliceous substrates and is characterized by *Carlina macrocephala* subsp. *macrocephala*, *Thymus herba-barona*, and *Juniperus communis* subsp. *alpina*.

Cushion-scrubs of the oromediterranean belt (*Anthyllidion hermanniae* Klein 1972; Table 1, A1.3)

• *Paronychio polygonifoliae-Genistetum lobelioides* Gamisans 1977 *nom. invers.* [Synonymous: *Paronychio polygonifoliae-Armerietum multicepitum* Gamisans 1977 *genistetosum lobelioides* Gamisans 1977]: this oromediterranean low-scrub grows on siliceous substrates and is subject to long periods of snow cover. This vegetation is dominated by *Genista salzmännii* var. *lobelioides* and *Juniperus communis* subsp. *alpina*. It

is common on upper southward-facing slopes. This plant community is also characterized by constant species such as *Deschampsia flexuosa* and *Bellardiocloa variegata*, typical species of *Saginetea piliferae*. The presence of numerous spiny nanophanerophytic and chamaephytic species show their resilience to summer droughts.

- *Juniperetum nanae* Litardière & Malcuit 1926 [Synonymous: *Berberideto* – *Genistetum lobelioidis* Gamisans 1977 (nom illégitime selon l'article 2 du code de nomenclature phytosociologique), *Genisto-Carlinetum macrocephalae* Klein 1972, groupement à *Juniperus nana* Gamisans 1991]: this oromediterranean low-scrub consists mainly of spiny nanophanerophytes and chamaephytics. This plant community develops on well-preserved soils and is dominated by *Berberis aetnensis*, *Genista salzmannii* var. *lobelioides*, and *Juniperus communis* subsp. *alpina*. It is characterized by the presence of grassland species such as *Odontites corsicus*, *Brachypodium pinnatum* subsp. *rupestre* and *Deschampsia flexuosa*. It is common on most Corsican massifs from San Pedrone to Bavella.
- *Thymo herbae-baronae-Genistetum lobelioides* Gamisans 1989: this low-scrub develops on shallow soils and is distinguished from the previous plant association by the very rare *Juniperus communis* subsp. *alpina*. This plant community constitutes the most degraded stage of oromediterranean vegetation and develops on eroded and stony soils. Due to the soil

conditions, its dynamic trajectory towards the forest seems slower. It includes a sub association *allietosum schoenoprasi* that is common on most Corsican massifs occurring on the most eroded soils and is closely linked to strong grazing pressure. It is characterized by *Allium schoenoprasum* and the predominance of *Genista salzmannii* var. *lobelioides* and *Anthyllis hermanniae* subsp. *corsica*.

Oromediterranean grassland (*Plantaginion insularis*; Table 1, A1.2)

- *Ligusticetum corsici* Klein 1972 [Synonym: *Paronychio polygonifoliae-Armerietum multicepitis* Gamisans 1977 *armerietosum multicepitis* Gamisans 1977]: this plant community corresponds to oromediterranean grasslands on the southern and northern slopes. It develops on soils that are subject to strong wind erosion. They appear in a very punctual manner in the landscape and occupy very small surface areas. This plant association is dominated by *Mutellina corsica*, *Luzula spicata* subsp. *italica*, *Poa balbisii*, or *Plantago sarda*. The floristic composition includes many species of the *Saginetea piliferae* but is distinguished by the presence of *Cerastium soleirolii*, *Stachys corsica*, *Euphrasia nana*, and *Sedum alpestre*, i.e., characteristic species of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea salzmannii*.




Figure 3. Distribution map of the 10 plant communities of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea salzmannii*. The various colors correspond to alliances: green indicates the plant communities belonging to the *Genistion salzmannii*; red indicates the communities belonging to the *Plantaginion insularis* and blue indicates the plant communities belonging to the *Anthyllidion hermanniae*.


Environmental gradients

Ecological differences between the plant associations highlighted are shown in Figure 4. The PCA reveals that the two main bioclimatic factors are the maximum temperature of the warmest month (WC05 - axis 1) and the precipitation during the wettest quarter (WC16 - axis 2) (Figure 4, Table 2). The PCA positions the plant communities based on a bioclimatic gradient that distinguishes between supramediterranean vegetation (top Figure 4-b) and oromediterranean plant communities (bottom Figure 4-b). Supramediterranean plant communities are related to a warm climate, whereas oromediterranean plant communities are related to more humid climatic conditions. The PCA also highlights an elevation gradient reflecting the vegetation belts of Corsica: supramediterranean belt (*Helichryso italici-Genistetum salzmannii*, *Alysso robertiani-Genistetum salzmannii*), oromediterranean belt (*Thymo herbae-baronae-Genistetum lobelioidis*, *Juniperetum nanae*,

and *Ligusticetum corsici*, *Paronychio polygonifoliae-Genistetum lobelioidis*).

The *Alysso robertiani-Genistetum salzmannii* (Ag) appears to be separate from the other groups: it is an endemic plant association found on Cap Corse with a particular climate (heavy precipitation and high thermal amplitude). Moreover, the *Alysso robertiani-Genistetum salzmannii* is well separated from the *Helichryso italici-Genistetum salzmannii*: these plant communities are distinguished by different rainfall characteristics. The *Alysso robertiani-Genistetum salzmannii* receives more rain, 1042 mm per year on average versus 937 mm per year on average for the *Helichryso italici-Genistetum salzmannii*. With regards to the oromediterranean belts, the PCA shows that the *Thymo herbae-baronae-Genistetum lobelioidis*, the *Juniperetum nanae*, and the *Ligusticetum corsici* are more closely while the *Paronychio polygonifoliae-Genistetum lobelioidis* appears to be separated from them.

a)



b)




Figure 4. Principal component analysis based on vegetation plots using bioclimatic variables. For abbreviations on plant communities and bioclimatic variables see Tables 1 and 2.

Syntaxonomic scheme

We propose the following syntaxonomic scheme for the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea salzmannii* plant communities.

CARICI CARYOPHYLLEAE-GENISTETEA SALZMANNII Klein 1972 corr.

Carici caryophylleae-Genistetalia salzmannii Klein 1972 corr.

Genistion salzmannii Delbosc, Bioret et Panaïotis in Delbosc et al. 2021

Alyso robertiani-Genistetum salzmannii Ro. Molinier 1959 nom. invers. (Ag)

Helichryso italici-Genistetum salzmannii Gamisans 1977

helichrysetosum italici Gamisans 1977 (Hgh)

cerastietosum boissieri Gamisans 1977 (Hgc)

Anthyllidion hermanniae Klein 1972

Paronychio polygonifoliae-Genistetum lobelioidis Gamisans 1977 nom. invers. (Pg)

Thymo herbae-baronae-Genistetum lobelioidis Gamisans 1989 (Tg)

allietosum schoenoprasi Gamisans 1989 (Tga)

Juniperetum nanae Litard. & Malcuit 1926 (Jn)

Plantaginion insularis Klein 1972

Ligusticetum corsici Klein 1972 (Lc)

Discussion

Re-evaluation of the syntaxonomic scheme for the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* Klein 1972 at the alliance level

We propose some changes in the syntaxonomic scheme of EuroVegChecklist. From a synsystematic and a floristic point of view, the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* and the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetalia lobelii* proposed by Klein (1972) should be modified as per article 43 of the ICPN. According to the recent literature (Jeamonod & Gamisans, 2013; Tison & de Foucault, 2014), *Genista lobelii* is absent from Corsica; *Genista salzmannii* is the species that has been retained for Corsica. In Table 2, we suggest correcting the class name to the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea salzmannii* and the order name to the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetalia salzmannii* (i.e. the order name proposed by Gamisans (1977) is a later synonym and is therefore considered to be illegitimate).

The *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* class described by Klein (1972) concerned plant communities developing on scorched slopes and represented by scrublands with spiny xerophytes. Klein (1972) proposed a single order, the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetalia lobelii* divided into two alliances: the *Anthyllidion hermanniae* (supra- and oromediterranean scrub) and the *Plantaginion insularis* (oromediterranean grasslands). It is complex to develop the synsystem for this class because the initial descriptions of this

vegetation were too broad and included grassland species very similar to the *Saginetea piliferae* species (Gamisans, 1977; Reymann et al., 2016). In France, this classification was first reviewed as part of the Prodrome of French Vegetation (PVF1) within which the authors only retained the *Anthyllidion hermanniae* (Bardat et al., 2004). Reymann et al. (2016) selected the *Anthyllidion hermanniae* alliance and integrated the *Caricion caryophylleae* alliance, which corresponds to supra- and oromediterranean grasslands as proposed by Gamisans (1975). Our analyses did not find any plant associations of the *Caricion caryophylleae*: vegetation plots assigned by the authors to the *Saginetea piliferae-Caricetum caryophylleae* or the *Anthoxantho odorati-Brachypodietum rupestris* belong to the class *Saginetea piliferae*. As a result, our analyses are in line with Mucina et al. (2016) since we assume that the *Caricion caryophylleae* should not be included in this class but rather in the *Saginetea piliferae*. On the other hand, our analyses do not correspond to the traditional classification which only recognizes two alliances: the *Anthyllidion hermanniae* (Cyrno-Sardian supra- and oromediterranean cushion-tragacanthic scrub on exposed and windy crests) and the *Plantaginion insularis* (Cyrno-Sardian oromediterranean grasslands in snow-carrying depressions). Our analyses have shown the existence of a pool group (1 to 7) corresponding to supramediterranean vegetation characterized by a floristic composition of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* (*Viola corsica* subsp. *corsica*, *Hypochaeris cretensis*, *Cerastium boissierianum*, *Rosa serafinii*) and which are distinguishable from the two alliances mentioned above. Article 24 of the ICPN implies that one of the two alliances should keep the original name: the *Anthyllidion hermanniae* Klein was attributed to the group of syntaxa described by Klein (1972) [*Genisteto-Carlinetum macrocephalae typicum* Klein 1972] and which corresponds in our analyses to pools 9 to 15 (Fig. 3). We, therefore, propose to create a new alliance for supramediterranean plant communities which could be named the *Genistion salzmannii*.

Brullo et al. (2001) proposed a new Tyrrhenian alliance: the *Berberidion aetnensis* considered to be synonymous with the *Anthyllidion hermanniae* Klein 1972. These authors divide this alliance into two sub-alliances, the first, the *Pinenion calabrica*, limited geographically to Sicily, and the second, the *Roso serafinii-Juniperenion nanae* (Brullo et al., 2001) limited geographically to Corsica and Sardinia. We did not retain the name *Berberidion aetnensis* because it is considered to be illegitimate according to article 29b of the ICPN (Theurillat et al., 2020). The same applies to the sub-alliance the *Roso serafinii-Juniperenion nanae* typified by Brullo et al. (2001), which represents the diversity of the scrubs found on the Corsican and Sardinian siliceous substrates and the floristic composition of which is characterized by *Rosa serafinii* and *Juniperus communis* subsp. *nana*.

Our results do not correspond with the classifications proposed by Arrigoni (1986), Arrigoni & Di Tommaso (1991), Rivas-Martínez et al. (2002), and Biondi et

Table 2. Correlations of bioclimatic variables to the first two axes of PCA. All p-values are < 0.001.

| Bioclimatic variables | Axis 1 Correlation | Axis 2 Correlation |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| BIO1 = Annual Mean Temperature | 0.9072566 | 0.4059744 |
| BIO2 = Mean Diurnal Range (Mean of monthly (max temp - min temp)) | 0.4516169 | -0.4734575 |
| BIO3 = Isothermality (BIO2/BIO7) ($\times 100$) | 0.4232154 | -0.6894381 |
| BIO4 = Temperature Seasonality (standard deviation $\times 100$) | 0.7410577 | 0.4147291 |
| BIO5 = Max Temperature of Warmest Month | 0.9431082 | 0.2960139 |
| BIO6 = Min Temperature of Coldest Month | 0.8793822 | 0.332204 |
| BIO7 = Temperature Annual Range (BIO5-BIO6) | 0.7767231 | 0.1661514 |
| BIO8 = Mean Temperature of Wettest Quarter | 0.850342 | 0.4713645 |
| BIO9 = Mean Temperature of Driest Quarter | 0.9070533 | 0.4164849 |
| BIO10 = Mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter | 0.907928 | 0.4146708 |
| BIO11 = Mean Temperature of Coldest Quarter | 0.884827 | 0.4126684 |
| BIO12 = Annual Precipitation | -0.6435118 | 0.719961 |
| BIO13 = Precipitation of Wettest Month | -0.3485328 | 0.8499362 |
| BIO14 = Precipitation of Driest Month | -0.7239439 | 0.6471142 |
| BIO15 = Precipitation Seasonality (Coefficient of Variation) | 0.7523971 | -0.3287167 |
| BIO16 = Precipitation of Wettest Quarter | -0.3328204 | 0.8872209 |
| BIO17 = Precipitation of Driest Quarter | -0.5326372 | 0.8158797 |
| BIO18 = Precipitation of Warmest Quarter | -0.2779185 | 0.7247949 |
| BIO19 = Precipitation of Coldest Quarter | -0.788708 | 0.2507507 |

al. (2012; 2014): the supramediterranean vegetation (*Anthyllidion hermanniae*) identified in our study has a little floristic affinity with the *Cisto-Lavanduletea stoechadis* (occasional presence of *Cistus salvifolius* and *C. creticus* and absence of *Lavandula stoechas*) and should therefore not be included in this class. The same is true for the oromediterranean vegetation: our results disagree with the proposal of Biondi *et al.* (2012, 2014) to integrate the supra- and oromediterranean low-scrubs within the *Junipero sabiniae-Pinetea sylvestris* because the floristic composition of the six plant associations that we highlighted here does not correspond to this class.

Re-evaluation of the syntaxonomic definition of the French Carici-Genistetea lobelii plant communities at the plant association scale

The typology of the plant associations was first reviewed within the Prodromus of Corsican Vegetation in which the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii* was divided into six plant associations (*Helichryso italici-Genistetum salzmannii*, *Alyso robertiani-Genistetum salzmannii*, *Berberido aetnensis-Genistetum lobelioidis*, *Thymo herbae-baronae-Genistetum lobelioidis*, *Anthoxantho odorati-Brachypodietum rupestris*, *Sagino piliferae-Caricetum caryophylleae*) (Reymann *et al.*, 2016). Our study confirmed three plant associations (*Alyso robertiani-Genistetum salzmannii*, *Helichryso italici-Genistetum salzmannii*, *Thymo herbae-baronae-Genistetum lobelioidis*) and three sub-associations (*Helichryso italici-Genistetum salzmannii helichrysetosum italici*, *Helichryso italici-Genistetum salzmannii cerastietosum boissierianii*, *Thymo herbae-baronae-Genistetum lobelioidis allietosum schoenoprasii*).

The *Paronychio polygonifoliae-Armerietum multicepitis genistetosum lobelioides* association, which was classified in the *Caricetea curvulae* by Reymann *et al.* (2016), should be included in the *Carici-Genistetea salzmannii* class. This vegetation is dominated by chamephytes (*Genista salzmannii* var. *lobelioides* in particular) and its floristic composition is characterized by typical species of this class such as *Astragalus genargenteus* var. *greuteri*, *Trisetum gracile* subsp. *conradiae*, *Trisetum gracile*, *Hypochaeris cretensis*, *Stachys corsica*). This subassociation should be elevated to a plant association following the *Paronychio polygonifoliae-Genistetum lobelioidis*.

Other associations were merged because their floristic composition was similar:

- This was the case for the *Ligusticetum corsici* described by Klein (1972) and the *Paronychio polygonifoliae-Armerietum multicepitis armerietosum multicepitis* described by Gamisans (1977): the modified TWINSpan classification showed that they were the same plant community because the vegetation plots from the princeps tables are in the same pool. This grassland is very similar to the *Saginetum piliferae*, which includes some characteristic taxa (*Sagina pilifera*, *Plantago sarda*, and *Luzula spicata* subsp. *italica*) but is distinguished by diagnostic taxa of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea salzmannii* (*Poa nemoralis* subsp. *balbisii*, *Stachys corsica* and *Sedum alpestre*).
- This was also the case for the *Juniperetum nanae* Litardière & Malcuit 1926, the *Genisto-Carlinetum macrocephalae* Klein (1972), and the *Berberido aetnensis-Genistetum lobelioidis* Gamisans 1977.

The modified TWINSPLAN classification showed that the records belonging to these three associations correspond to the same plant community. According to Article 22 of the Code of Phytosociological Nomenclature (Theurillat *et al.*, 2020), the *Berberido aetnensis-Genistetum lobelioidis* Gamisans 1977 [Phytocoenologia 4(1): 80, p.p.] is considered to be an illegitimate name. Therefore, the *Juniperetum nanae* Litardière and Malcuit 1926 could be the legitimate name of this plant association.

Ecological and geographical patterns of the plant communities

Our results show a distinction between the plant communities that occur under supramediterranean and oromediterranean thermotypes. The mean annual temperatures are higher and the continentality is not as distinct within the supramediterranean belt which is located between 600 and 1350 m asl and oromediterranean belts are found at high elevation (1300 m to 2200 m asl) and are characterized by a more humid climate with lower temperatures and a more rigorous general climate (winds, snow cover, insolation, etc.) (Jeanmonod & Gamisans, 2013). The high rainfall on Cap Corse can be explained by a long and narrow schist crest, which culminates at 1305 m asl. in its central part. In the north and south, with decreasing elevation, the rainfall decreases, and the tip of the peninsula only receives 500 to 600 mm of rainfall (Delbosc *et al.*, 2020). The climatic particularities of the Cap Corse region can be used to distinguish the *Alyso robertiani-Genistetum salzmannii* as an endemic plant association from other supramediterranean plant communities (Molinier, 1959; Gamisans, 2004). In the rest of the supramediterranean belt, when the ecological conditions are less extreme, the *Helichyro italici-Genistetum salzmannii* occurs and replaces the previous plant community.

The oromediterranean belt (1300 m - 2000 m asl) is mainly composed of low-scrub dominated by *Genista salzmannii* var. *lobelioides* and *Juniperus communis* subsp. *alpina*. This belt is subject to strong winds and a long period of snow cover and is also mainly composed of grasslands (*Ligusticetum corsici*) and open low-scrub (*Paronychio polygonifoliae-Genistetum lobelioides*).

There is also a difference in the distribution of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetum salzmannii* plant communities between the Haute-Corse and Corse-du-Sud departments of Corsica. This difference can be explained by changes in the agricultural-grazing society during the 20th century, which has profoundly modified the vegetation landscape of Corsica and represents one of the fundamental issues that need to be taken into account to understand the changes in the dynamic trajectories of the vegetation (Rota & Cancellieri, 2001; Ravis-Giordani *et al.*, 2004). The opening up of supra- and mountain and oromediterranean woodlands via fires, cutting, and grazing favors the development of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetum salzmannii* plant communities. The Haute-Corse department has been more marked by fires than the Corse-du-Sud department

whereas Southern Corsica comprises large areas of forest (IFN, 2010; INIGF, 2014). These observations explain the higher numbers and higher diversity of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetum salzmannii* plant communities in Haute-Corse than in Southern Corsica.

Advantages and limits of the method used

The main advantage of the methodological approach used in our study is that it centralizes all of the phytosociological data for a specific type of vegetation within one database. As a result, we were able to gather, under Turboveg, all the bibliographical vegetation plots, holotypes, original tables, and vegetation plots resulting from new field investigations. The second advantage is the definition of diagnostic, constant, and dominant species for each level of synsystem from plant communities to class. With this data, we were able to develop an expert system for all French vegetation in the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetum salzmannii* (Appendix S3). This expert system includes a group of diagnostic species for each plant association as well as a group of diagnostic species for the higher levels (alliance, order, and class). Our expert system also integrates groups of 'trees', 'scrubs' and 'low scrubs'. This increases the accuracy when recognizing vegetation plots belonging to the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetum salzmannii* plant community and avoids confusion with vegetation that is dominated by woody species because they are often found near thickets or forests and are colonized by species from these plant communities.

To better define the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetum salzmannii* plant communities, we also include lists of species in the expert system for the classes that are similar to the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetum salzmannii* such as the *Cisto ladaniferi-Lavanduletea stoechadis* (de Foucault *et al.*, 2012) and the *Saginetum piliferae*. Some errors are still possible between the *Saginetum piliferae* and the *Plantaginion insularis* grasslands in the dataset including both types of vegetation because we have proposed a formal definition for this class without considering all the *Saginetum piliferae* vegetation. It is therefore advisable to follow two methodological steps, as we have done in our study: first, select vegetation plots belonging to the target vegetation to be studied from the expert system provided by Mucina *et al.* (2016) for the class level, and then second, apply our expert system to assign vegetation plots to the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetum salzmannii* plant communities. Errors can occur when formal definitions are based on a small number of vegetation plots.

The significant advantages of an expert system are that it can be re-adjusted and can evolve as new vegetation plots are added to the database. This is also the case for species lists and formal definitions which can be modified according to new data.

Vegetation typology is an essential approach for describing vegetation communities and more broadly for describing habitats listed under the Habitats Directive (Gigante *et al.*, 2016; Rodwell *et al.*, 2018). The method we used allows us to cross-check between the

phytosociological typology and the definition for the habitats given in the Habitats Directive. The description of habitat type 4090 – ‘Endemic oromediterranean heaths with gorse’ refers only to the *Anthyllidion hermanniae* and 6170 ‘Alpine and subalpine calcareous grasslands’ refers to the *Pantaginion insularis* (Bensettiti *et al.*, 2005; Romao *et al.*, 2015). The separation into the three alliances that we have proposed provides further clarification that can be used to delimit these two habitats. Our results show that the *Pantaginion insularis* including the *Ligusticetum corsici*, has more floristic affinities with the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea salzmannii* (4090) than the *Saginetea piliferae* which are included in habitat type 6170. The *Pantaginion insularis* grasslands are distinguished from those of the 6170 habitat because they are dominated by hemicryptophytes (*Poa nemoralis* subsp. *balbisii*, *Thlaspi brevistylum*) and some nanophanerophytes such as *Juniperus communis* subsp. *alpina*. Moreover, these grasslands essentially develop at high elevations starting from 1900 m asl on the screes of south-facing upper slopes where a fine accumulation of substrates occur and are subject to a long period of snow cover. Its ecology does not correspond to that of habitat 6170 which only occupies the northern slopes and is not subject to a period of drought (Bensettiti *et al.*, 2005). We, therefore, suggest that the *Pantaginion insularis* should be integrated into habitat 4090. According to the European Commission, habitat 4090 is considered to have a favorable conservation status in the Mediterranean region.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Thierry Fernez for his help collecting bibliographic data, Bruno de Foucault for his recommendations regarding the syntaxonomic nomenclature, Olivier Argagnon for his advice on the description of vegetation units, and Guilhan Paradis for his suggestions on the taxonomic nomenclature and for reviewing this manuscript. Thank to Ladislav Mucina for their suggestions to improve this manuscript. The authors also thank Catherine Davies and Sara Mullin, from Brest University, for the revision of the English translation.

References

- Arrigoni, P.V. 1986. Contributo alla conoscenza della vegetazione del Monte Gennargentu. *Boll. Soc. Sarda Sci. Nat.* 23: 63–96.
- Arrigoni, P.V. & Di Tommaso, P.L. 1991. La vegetazione delle montagne calcaree della Sardegna centro-orientale. *Boll. Soc. Sarda Sci. Nat.* 28: 201–310.
- Bardat, J., Bioret, F., Botineau, M., Boulet, V., Delpech, R., Géhu, J.M., Haury, J., Lacoste, A., Rameau, J.C., Royer, J.M., Roux, G. & Touffèt, J. 2004. *Prodrome des végétations de France. Collect. Patrimoines naturels*, Paris, France.
- Bensettiti, F., Boulet, V., Chavaudret-Laborie, C. & Deniaud, J. 2005. *Cahiers d’habitats Natura 2000: Habitats agropastoraux*. La Documentation Française, Paris.
- Biondi, E., Blasi, C., Allegrezza, M., Anzellotti, I., Azzella, M.M., Carli, E., Casavecchia, S., Copiz, R., Delvico, E., Facioni, L., Galdenzi, D., Gasparri, R., Lasen, C., Pesaresi, S., Poldini, L., Sburlino, G., Taffetani, F., Vagge, I., Zitti, S. & Zikovic, L. 2014. Plant communities of Italy: The Vegetation Prodrome. *Plant Biosyst.* 148 (4): 728–814. doi: 10.1080/11263504.2014.948527
- Biondi, E., Burrascano, S., Casavecchia, S., Copiz, R., Del Vico, E., Galdenzi, D., Gigante, D., Lasen, C., Spampinato, G., Venanzoni, R., Zivkovic, L. & Blasi, C. 2012. Diagnosis and syntaxonomic interpretation of Annex I Habitats (Dir. 92/43/ EEC) in Italy at the alliance level. *Plant Sociol.* 49(1): 5–37. doi: 10.7338/pls2012491/01
- Bioret, F., Gaudillat, V. & Royer, J.M. 2013. The Prodrome of French vegetation: a national synsystem for phytosociological knowledge and management issues. *Plant Sociol.* 50(1): 17–21. doi: 10.7338/pls2013501/02
- Borcard, D., Gillet, F. & Legendre, P. 2011. Numerical ecology with R. Springer, Dordrecht. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-7976-6
- Bruelheide, H. 1997. Using formal logic to classify vegetation. *Folia Geobot.* 32(1): 41–46. doi: 10.1007/BF02803883
- Brullo, S., Giusso Del Galdo, G. & Guarino, R. 2001. The orophilous communities of the Pino-Juniperetea class in the Central and Eastern Mediterranean area. *Feddes Repert.* 112(3–4): 261–308. doi: 10.1002/fedr.20011120308
- Chuman, T. & Romportl, D. 2010. Multivariate classification analysis of cultural landscapes: An example from the Czech Republic. *Landscape Urban Plann.* 98(3–4): 200–209. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2010.08.003
- Chytrý, M., Tichý, L., Holt, J. & Botta-Dukát, Z. 2002. Determination of diagnostic species with statistical fidelity measures. *J. Veg. Sci.* 13(1): 79–90. doi: 10.1111/j.1654-1103.2002.tb02025.x
- Comillon, P.-A., Guyader, A., Husson, F., Jégou, N., Josse, J., Kloareg, M., Matzner-Lober, E. & Rouvière, L. 2008. *Statistiques avec R*. Presses Universitaires, Rennes.
- Coulot, P. & Rabaute, P. 2016. *Monographie des Leguminosae de France: Tribus des Fabeae, des Cicereae et des Genisteae*. Société Botanique du Centre-Ouest, Bull. Soc. Bot. Centre-Ouest N.S. 46: 1–902.
- Delbosc, P. 2015. *Phytosociologie dynamico-caténale des végétations de la Corse: méthodologies typologique et cartographique*. Thèse de doctorat, Université de Bretagne occidentale, Brest.
- Delbosc, P., Bioret, F. & Panaïotis, C. 2020. *Plant landscape of Corsica: Typology and mapping plant landscape of Cap Corse Region and Biguglia Pond*. Springer International Publishing, Switzerland. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-35776-4
- Dengler, J. 2017. Phytosociology. In: Richardson, D., Castree, N., Goodchild, M.F., Kobayshi, A.L., Liu, W. & Marston, R. (Eds.). *The international*

- encyclopedia of geography: people, the Earth, environment, and technology. Wiley-Blackwell. doi: 10.1002/9781118786352.wbieg0136
- Fick, S.E. & Hijmans, R.J. 2017. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *Int. J. Climatol.* 37(12): 4302–4315. doi: 10.1002/joc.5086
- Foucault, B. (de) 2012. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les Nardetea strictae Rivas Goday in Rivas Goday & Rivas Mart. 1963. *J. Bot. Soc. Bot. France* 59: 241–344.
- Foucault, B. (de), Argagnon O. & Paradis G. 2012. Contribution au prodrome des végétations de France: les Cisto ladaniferi–Lavanduletea stoechadis Braun-Blanq. in Braun-Blanq., Molin. & Wagner 1940. *J. Bot. Soc. Bot. France* 57: 59–82.
- Gamisans, J. 1968. Étude phytosociologique de la zone montagneuse correspondant au projet de parc national de Corse. Thèse de troisième cycle, Marseille, France.
- Gamisans, J. 1973. Contribution à l'étude de la flore de la Corse, *V. Candollea* 28: 67–70.
- Gamisans, J. 1975. La végétation des montagnes corses. Thèse d'État, Université de Marseille, France.
- Gamisans, J. 1977. La végétation des montagnes corses, II. *Phytocoenologia* 4(1): 35–131. doi: 10.1127/phyto/4/1977/133
- Gamisans, J. 1979. Remarque sur quelques groupements végétaux assurant la transition entre les étages montagnards et subalpins en Corse. *Ecol. Médit.* 4: 33–43.
- Gamisans, J. 1989. La végétation de la vallée de Verghellu, son état actuel, son évolution probable et l'état actuel de sa flore. *Trav. Sci. Parc Nat. Rég. Rés. Nat. de Corse* 25: 53–104.
- Gamisans, J. 1990. Quelques aspects de la végétation et de la flore du Pianu di Cuscione (Corse). *Trav. Sci. Parc Nat. Rég. Rés. Nat. de Corse* 29: 62–75.
- Gamisans, J. 1991. La végétation de la Corse. Conservatoire et jardin botaniques de la ville de Genève, réédité en 1999. Édisud, Genève.
- Gamisans, J. 2004. Poursuite de l'inventaire des crêtes asylvatiques du Cap Corse dans le cadre plus général de l'étude d'impact des projets « sentier des crêtes » et « parc éolien », ainsi que de l'étude de la dynamique de la végétation après les incendies de 2003. Rapport technique, Corte.
- Gamisans, J. 2007. Évaluation des habitats montagnards et subalpins de Corse. Rapport d'expertise, Office de l'environnement de la Corse – Conservatoire botanique national de Corse, Corte.
- Gamisans, J. 2010. Le paysage végétal de la Corse. Albiana, Ajaccio.
- Gamisans, J. & Gruber, M. 1979. La végétation du Niolu (Corse). *Ecol. Médit.* 4: 141–156.
- Gamisans, J. & Jeanmonod, D. 1993. Catalogue des plantes vasculaires de la Corse (Seconde édition). Compléments au prodrome de la flore corse, Annexe n° 3. Editions des Conservatoire et jardin botaniques de la ville de Genève, Genève.
- Gigante, D., Attorre, F., Venanzoni, R., Acosta, A.T.R., Agrillo, E., Alef, M., Alessi, N., Allegrezza, M., Angelini, P., Angiolini, C., Assini, S., Azzella, M.M., Bagella, S., Biondi, E., Bolpagni, R., Bonari, G., Bracco, F., Brullo, S., Bufa, G., Carli, E., Caruso, G., Casavecchia, S., Casella, L., Cerabolini, B.E.L., Ciaschetti, G., Copiz, R., Cutini, M., Del Vecchio, S., Del Vico, E., Di Martino, L., Facioni, L., Fanelli, G., Foggi, B., Frattaroli, A.R., Galdenzi, D., Gangale, C., Gasparri, R., Genovesi, P., Gianguzzi, L., Gironi, F., Giusso del Galdo, G., Gualmini, M., Guarino, R., Lasen, C., Lastrucci, L., Maneli, F., Pasta, S., Paura, B., Perrino, E.V., Petraglia, A., Pirone, G., Poponessi, S., Prisco, I., Puglisi, M., Ravera, S., Sburliano, G., Sciandrello, S., Selvaggi, A., Spada, F., Spampinato, G., Strumia, S., Tomaselli, M., Tomaselli, V., Uzunov, D., Viciani, D., Villani, M., Wagensommer, R.P. & Zitti, S. 2016. A methodological protocol for Annex I Habitats monitoring: the contribution of vegetation science. *Plant Sociol.* 53(2): 77–87. doi: 10.7338/pls2016532/06
- Hennekens, S.M. & Schaminée, J.H.J. 2001. Turboveg, a comprehensive database management system for vegetation data. *J. Veg. Sci.* 12: 589–591. doi: 10.2307/3237010
- Jeanmonod, D. & Gamisans, J. 2007. Flora Corsica. Édisud, Aix-en-Provence, France.
- Jeanmonod, D. & Gamisans, J. 2013. Flora Corsica. *Bull. Soc. Bot. Centre-Ouest, NS*, 39: 1–1072.
- Klein, J.-C. 1972. Le Genisteto-Carlinetum macrocephalae ass. nov. de l'étage montagnard et le Ligusticetum corsici ass. nov. de l'étage subalpin des massifs du Cinto et du Campotile orientale. *Vegetatio* 25: 311–333. doi: 10.1007/BF02758249
- Kočí, M., Chytrý, M. & Tichý, L. 2003. Formalized reproduction of an expert-based phytosociological classification: a case study of subalpine tall-forb vegetation. *J. Veg. Sci.* 14(4): 601–610. doi: 10.1111/j.1654-1103.2003.tb02187.x
- Litardière, R. (de) & Malcuit, G. 1926. Contribution à l'étude phytosociologique de la Corse. Le massif du Renoso. Édition Lechevalier, Paris.
- Molinier, R. 1959. Étude des groupements végétaux terrestres du Cap Corse. *Bull. Mus. Hist. Nat. Marseille* 19: 5–75.
- Mucina, L., Bültmann, H., Dierßen, K., Theurillat, J.-P., Raus, T., Čarni, A., Šumberová, K., Willner, W., Dengler, J., Gavilán García, R., Chytrý, M., Hájek, M., Di Pietro, R., Iakushenko, D., Pallas, J., Daniëls, F.J.A., Bergmeier, E., Santos Guerra, A., Ermakov, N., Valachovič, M., Schaminée, J.H.J., Lysenko, T., Didukh, Y.P., Pignatti, S., Rodwell, J.S., Capelo, J., Weber, H.E., Solomeshch, A., Dimopoulos, P., Aguiar, C., Hennekens, S.M. & Tichý, L. 2016. Vegetation of Europe: hierarchical floristic classification system of vascular plant, bryophyte, lichen, and algal communities. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 19 (1): 3–264. doi: 10.1111/avsc.12257
- Ravis-Giordani, G., Casanova, A., Casta, M. & Pomponi, F. (eds) 2004. Atlas ethnohistorique de la Corse: 1770–2003. Édition du Comité des travaux historiques et scientifiques et MMSH, Paris.
- Reymann, J., Panaïotis, Ch., Bioret, F., Delbosc, P., Gamisans, J., Pioli, A., Gauberville, G., Piazza, C., O'Deye-Guizien, K. & Hugot, L. 2016. Prodrome des végétations de Corse. *Doc. Phytosociol.* 3e série, 4: 1–175.

- Rivas-Martínez, S., Diaz, T.E., Fernández-González, F., Izco, I., Loidi, J., Lousã, M. & Penas, A. 2002. Vascular plant communities of Spain and Portugal; addenda to the syntaxonomical checklist of 2001. *Itinera Geobot.* 15: 5–922. doi: 10.5616/gg.120001
- Rivas-Martínez, S., Rivas-Sáenz, S. & Penas-Merino, A. 2011. Worldwide bioclimatic classification system. *Global Geobot.* 1: 1–638. doi: 10.5616/gg.110001
- Rodwell, J.S., Evans, D. & Schaminée, J.H.J. 2018. Phytosociological relationships in European Union policy-related habitat classifications. *Rend. Fis. Acc. Lincei* 29: 237–249. doi: 10.1007/s12210-018-0690-y
- Roleček, J., Tichý, L., Zelený, D. & Chytrý, M. 2009. Modified TWINSpan classification in which the hierarchy respects cluster heterogeneity. *J. Veg. Sci.* 20(4): 596–602. doi: 10.1111/j.1654-1103.2009.01062.x
- Romão, C., Graf, A., Naumann, S., Davis, M., Gerdes, H., Evans, D., Richard, D., Bailly, J., Gaudillat-Sipkova, M., Gaudillat-Sipkova, Z., Ieronymidou, C. & Langhout, W. 2015. State of Nature in the EU. Results from Reporting Under the Nature Directives 2007–2012. EEA (European Environment Agency) Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Rota, M.-P. & Cancellieri, J.-A. 2001. De la nature à l'histoire: les forêts de la Corse. Éditions Alain Piazzola, Corse.
- Theurillat, J.-P., Willner, W., Fernández-González, F., Bültmann, H., Čarni, A., Gigante, D., Mucina, L. & Weber, H. 2020. International Code of Phytosociological Nomenclature. 4th edition. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* doi: 10.1111/avsc.12491.
- Tichý, L. 2002. JUICE, software for vegetation classification. *J. Veg. Sci.* 13(3): 451–453. doi: 10.1111/j.1654-1103.2002.tb02069.x
- Tichý, L. & Chytrý, M. 2006. Statistical determination of diagnostic species for site groups of unequal size. *J. Veg. Sci.* 17: 809–818. doi: 10.1111/j.1654-1103.2006.tb02504.x
- Tichý, L., Chytrý, M. & Landucci, F. 2019. GRIMP: A machine-learning method for improving groups of discriminating species in expert systems for vegetation classification. *J. Veg. Sci.* 30(1): 5–17. doi: 10.1111/jvs.12696
- Tison, J.M. & de Foucault, B. 2014. Flora gallica: flore de France. Biotope, Mèze.
- Tison, J.M., Jauzein, P. & Michaud, H. 2014. Flore de la France méditerranéenne continentale. Naturalia publications, Turriers.

Supplementary material

Appendix S1. Sources of the vegetation plots serving the synthesis of the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea lobelii*.

Appendix S2. Pool characteristics obtained from a modified TWINSpan classification.

Appendix S3. Expert system for the *Carici caryophylleae-Genistetea salzmannii* communities.

Appendix S4. Confusion matrix between the TWINSpan pools and the plant associations for which formal definitions were developed by our expert system.

Appendix S5. Diagnostic, constant, and dominant species for plant communities classified by an expert system.

Appendix S6. Photographs of the plant associations recognized in this paper.