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## 1. General methods.

Solvents were purified with an MB SPS-800 purification system or dried with $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and distillated prior to use. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was filtered through alumina and stored under argon over molecular sieves. All chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used as received. Unless otherwise specified, reactions were carried out under argon atmosphere by employing standard Schlenk and vacuum-line techniques. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker GPX ( 400 MHz ) spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were referenced to residual protiated solvent ( $\delta=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were referenced to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ( $\delta=77.00 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). Abbreviations for signal couplings are: br, broad; s , singlet; d , doublet; t , triplet; q, quadruplet; p, pentuplet; hept, heptuplet; $m$, multiplet; dd, doublet of doublets; dt , triplet of doublets; td, doublet of triplets; tt , triplet of triplets; tdd, doublet of doublet of triplets. Coupling constants, J, were reported in hertz unit (Hz). The reactions were monitored by using a Shimadzu 2014 gas chromatograph equipped with an EquityTM-1 Fused Silica capillary column ( $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and an FID detector; conversion and selectivity were determined by using dodecane as internal standard. Ethyl (Z)-but-2-enoate was synthesized according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{[1]}$

## 2. Catalytic experiments.

General procedure: In a dry Schlenk tube under argon atmosphere, the vinyl derivative 1 ( 1 $\mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(p-\mathrm{cymene})\right]_{2}(0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 200 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and dry 1,2-dichloroethane ( 3 mL ) were added and the reaction mixture was placed in a preheated oil bath at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 24 h . Then, the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and further diluted with ethyl acetate 10 mL prior filtration over a pad of celite. $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the solution and then evaporated to dryness. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography with a mixture of heptane and ethyl acetate as the eluent affording the corresponding product 2.

Reaction optimization:


| Entry | Deviation from standard conditions | Conversion ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | None | >99 | 96 (90:10) |
| 2 | $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{o}\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(p \text {-cymene })\right]_{2}$ | 0 | - |
| 3 | w/o AgSbF6 | <5 | - |
| 4 | w/o Cu(OAc) $2 \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0 | - |
| 5 | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | <5 | - |
| 6 | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 28 | n.d. |
| 7 | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 67 | 55 (85:15) |
| 8 | $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 79 | 68 (84:16) |
| 9 | 1,4-dioxane instead of 1,2-DCE | 50 | 27 |
| 10 | toluene instead of 1,2-DCE | 0 | - |
| 11 | DEC instead of 1,2-DCE | 85 | n.d. |
| 12 | diethyl ether instead of 1,2-DCE | 65 | n.d. |
| 13 | DMF instead of 1,2-DCE | 0 | - |
| 14 | EtOH instead of 1,2-DCE | 0 | - |
| 15 | water instead of 1,2-DCE | 0 | - |
| 16 | acetone instead of 1,2-DCE | 0 | - |
| 17 | acetic acid instead of 1,2-DCE | <5 | - |
| 18 | w/o solvent | 0 | - |
| 19 | air instead of argon | <5 | - |
| 20 | with [ $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} \mathrm{xH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ] (10 mol\%) | 0 | - |
| 21 | with $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NC}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{6}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | 0 | - |
| 22 | with $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{MesCO})_{2}\right)_{2}(p$-cymene) $]$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | >99 | 96 (90:10) |
| 23 | with $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(p \text {-cymene })\right]_{2}(2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | 47 | n.d. |
| 24 | 5 hours instead of 24 hours | 35 | 26 (82:18) |
| 25 | 10 hours instead of 24 hours | 40 | 35 (84:16) |

${ }^{a}$ Conversion estimated by GC, TLC and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.
${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield after purification by column chromatography, ratio of $E, E: Z, E$ isomers displayed in brackets.
n.d. $=$ not determined .

## Reluctant substrates:



Cross-coupling studies between butyl acrylate and benzyl acrylate: In a dry Schlenk tube under argon atmosphere, butyl acrylate ( $71 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl acrylate ( $73 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5$ $\mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(p-\mathrm{cymene})\right]_{2}(0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 200 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and dry 1,2-dichloroethane ( 3 mL ) were added and the reaction mixture was placed in a preheated oil bath at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 24 h . Then, the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and further diluted with ethyl acetate 10 mL prior filtration over a pad of celite. $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the solution and then evaporated to dryness. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography with a mixture of heptane and ethyl acetate as the eluent affording a mixture of products $\mathbf{2}$ in a combined $68 \%$ yield with a ratio of $\mathbf{2 c}: \mathbf{2 k}$ : cross-coupled product of $8: 31: 29$ (see also Scheme 3B in the main text). We were unable to isolate pure samples of each product due to the similar polarity (see NMR below):


Cross-coupling studies between butyl acrylate and styrene: In a dry Schlenk tube under argon atmosphere, butyl acrylate ( $71 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), styrene ( $57 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(p \text {-cymene })\right]_{2}(0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 200 mg ) and dry 1,2-dichloroethane ( 3 mL ) were added and the reaction mixture was placed in a preheated oil bath at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 24 h . Then, the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and further diluted with ethyl acetate 10 mL prior filtration over a pad of celite. $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the solution and then evaporated to dryness. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography with a mixture of heptane and ethyl acetate as the eluent affording exclusively the product derived from homo-coupling of butyl acrylate (2c).

Mechanistic investigations: In a dry Schlenk tube under argon atmosphere, dibutyl maleate $(228 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(p-c y m e n e)\right]_{2}(0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \mathrm{mg})$, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 200 \mathrm{mg})$ and dry 1,2 -dichloroethane $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and the reaction mixture was placed in a preheated oil bath at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 24 h . Then, the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and further diluted with ethyl acetate 10 mL prior filtration over a pad of celite. $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the solution and then evaporated to dryness. The crude residue was analysed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy showing a 87:13 ratio of dibutyl maleate : fumarate species (see below). Note: the reaction performed in the absence of $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(p \text {-cymene })\right]_{2}$ led to no isomerization.


General procedure for the tandem ruthenium-catalyzed oxidative coupling/hydrogenation: In a dry Schlenk tube under argon atmosphere, the vinyl derivative $2(1 \mathrm{mmol})$, $[\mathrm{RuCl} 2(p-$ cymene) $]_{2}(0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 69 \mathrm{mg}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{mmol}, 200 \mathrm{mg})$ and dry 1,2-dichloroethane ( 3 mL ) were added and the reaction mixture was placed in a preheated oil bath at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 24 h . Then, the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature and further diluted with ethyl acetate 10 mL prior filtration over a pad of celite. The remaining solution was placed in a dry Schlenk tube capped with a balloon filled with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (1 bar) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . Back at to room temperature, the pressure was slowly released and the solvents were evaporated under vacuum. The crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography with a mixture of heptane and ethyl acetate (90:10) to afford the corresponding product 3.

## 3. Characterization of products.


dimethyl (2E,4E)-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2a)

dimethyl ( $2 Z, 4 E$ )-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2a)
2a ( $163 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ yield) was obtained as an inseparable mixture of $E, E$ and $Z, E$ isomers in a 90:10 ratio according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[2]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,10.0, Z, E$, minor), $7.35-7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, E, E$, major), $6.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,10.0, Z, E$, minor), 6.23-6.18 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, E, E$, major), $6.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $15.0, Z, E$, minor), $6.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=10.0, Z, E$, minor), $3.82(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, Z, E$, minor), $3.78(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, E, E$, major) ppm.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=166.4$ ( $Z, E$, minor), 166.2 ( $E, E$, major), 165.6 ( $Z, E$, minor), 140.9 ( $E, E$, major), 140.6 ( $Z, E$, minor), 138.6 ( $Z, E$, minor), 128.6 ( $Z, E$, minor), 128.0 ( $E, E$, major), 124.2 ( $Z, E$, minor), 51.9 ( $E, E$, major), 51.8 ( $Z, E$, minor), 51.6 ( $Z, E$, minor) ppm.

diethyl $(2 E, 4 E)$-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2b)

diethyl (2Z,4E)-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2b)
2b ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ yield) was obtained as an inseparable mixture of $E, E$ and $Z, E$ isomers in a 85:15 ratio according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[3]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.40$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.6,10.5, \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{E}$, minor), 7.32 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.5$, $3.2, E, E$, major), $6.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.5, Z, E$, minor), $6.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,3.2, E, E$, major), 6.10 (2H, dd, $J=11.5,3.2, E, E$, major), 5.95 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.5, Z, E$, minor), 4.27-4.22 (4H, q, $J=7.1, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor), 1.33 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.1, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor) ppm.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=166.0$ ( $Z, E$, minor), 165.9 ( $E, E$, major), 165.2 (Z,E, minor), 140.8 ( $E, E$, major), 140.4 ( $Z, E$, minor), 138.4 ( $Z, E$, minor), 128.9 ( $Z, E$, minor), 128.4 ( $E, E$, major), 124.6 ( $Z, E$, minor), 60.8 ( $E, E$, major), 60.7 ( $Z, E$, minor), 60.5 ( $Z, E$, minor), 14.2 ( $E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor) ppm.

dibutyl ( $2 E, 4 E$ )-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2c)

dibutyl ( $2 Z, 4 E$ )-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2c)
2c ( $188 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 74 \%$ yield) was obtained as an inseparable mixture of $E, E$ and $Z, E$ isomers in a 87:11 ratio according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[3]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.39$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.6,11.5, \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{E}$, minor), 7.31 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5$, $3.2, E, E$, major), $6.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.5, Z, E$, minor), $6.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,3.2, E, E$, major), 6.11 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.6, Z, E$ minor), $5.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.5, Z, E$, minor), $4.17(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.7, E, E$, major + $Z, E$, minor), $1.65(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor), $1.39(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor), 0.93 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor) ppm .
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=170.4$ ( $Z, E$, minor), 167.5 ( $Z, E$, minor), 165.9 ( $E, E$, major), 140.7 ( $E, E$, major), 140.3 ( $Z, E$, minor), 138.4 ( $Z, E$, minor), 130.7 ( $Z, E$, minor), 128.9 ( $Z, E$, minor), 128.4 ( $E, E$, major), 60.9 (Z,E, minor), 64.7 ( $E, E$, major), 60.6 (Z,E, minor), 30.7 (Z,E, minor), 30.6 ( $E, E$, major), 30.5 (Z,E, minor), 19.2 (Z,E, minor), 19.0 ( $E, E$, major), 18.9 ( $Z, E$, minor), 13.7 ( $Z, E$, minor), 13.6 ( $E, E$, major), 12.7 ( $Z, E$, minor) ppm.

dipentyl $(2 E, 4 E)$-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2d)

dipentyl (2Z,4E)-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2d)
2d ( $229 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ yield) was obtained as an inseparable mixture of $E, E$ and $Z, E$ isomers in a $93: 7$ ratio according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[4]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.38$ (1H, dd, $J=15.6,11.6, Z, E$, minor), 7.31 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.5$, $3.0, E, E$, major), $6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.5, Z, E$, minor), $6.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,3.1, E, E$, major), 6.10 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.6, Z, E$, minor), 5.96 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4, Z, E$, minor), 4.17 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8, E, E$, major), 4.38-4.08 (4H, m, Z,E, minor), 1.70-1.56 (4H, m, $E, E$, major + $Z, E$, minor), 1.37-1.23 ( $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor), $0.92(6 H, m, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor) ppm.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=166.0$ ( $E, E$, major), 140.8 ( $E, E$, major), 128.4 ( $E, E$, major), 65.1 ( $E, E$, major), 29.7 ( $E, E$, major), 28.3 ( $E, E$, major), 28.0 ( $Z, E$, minor), 22.3 ( $E, E$, major), 13.9 ( $E, E$, major) ppm.

dihexyl $(2 E, 4 E)$-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2e)

dihexyl ( $2 Z, 4 E$ )-hexa-2,4-dienedioate ( $\mathbf{2 e}$ )
2e ( $233 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%$ yield) was obtained as an inseparable mixture of $E, E$ and $Z, E$ isomers in a $96: 4$ ratio according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[4]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.37$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,11.6, Z, E$, minor), 7.29 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5$, 3.0, $E, E$, major), 6.61 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.5, Z, E$, minor), 6.18 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,3.1, E, E$, major), 6.08 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.6, Z, E$, minor), 5.94 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4, Z, E$, minor), 4.16 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8, E, E$, major), 4.37-4.06 (4H, m, Z,E, minor), 1.66 (4H, p, J = 6.8, $E, E$, major + Z,E, minor), 1.40-1.24 (12H, m, $E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor), $0.86(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.4, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor) ppm .
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=166.1$ ( $Z, E$, minor), 166.0 ( $E, E$, major), 165.4 ( $Z, E$, minor), 140.7 ( $E, E$, major), 140.4 ( $Z, E$, minor), 138.5 ( $Z, E$, minor), 129.0 ( $Z, E$, minor), 128.4 ( $E, E$, major), 124.7 (Z,E, minor), 65.1 ( $E, E$, major), 64.9 (Z,E, minor), 64.8 (Z,E, minor), 31.9 ( $Z, E$, minor), 31.4 ( $E, E$, major), 30.9 ( $Z, E$, minor), 29.7 ( $Z, E$, minor), 29.3 ( $Z, E$, minor), 28.5 ( $E, E$, major), 25.6 ( $E, E$, major), 22.7 ( $Z, E$, minor), 22.5 ( $E, E$, major), 14.1 ( $Z, E$, minor), 14.0 ( $E, E$, major) ppm.

dioctyl $(2 E, 4 E)$-hexa-2,4-dienedioate ( $\mathbf{( 2 f}$ )

dioctyl (2Z,4E)-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2f)
$2 f(330 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ yield) was obtained as an inseparable mixture of $E, E$ and $Z, E$ isomers in a $95: 5$ ratio according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[4]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.38$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,11.6, Z, E$, minor), $7.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5$, 3.0, $E, E$, major), 6.63 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.5, Z, E$, minor), $6.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,3.1, E, E$, major), 6.10 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.6, Z, E$, minor), 5.95 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4, Z, E$, minor), 4.17 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8, E, E$, major), 4.18-4.03 (4H, m, Z,E, minor), 1.67 (4H, m, E,E, major + Z,E, minor), 1.40-1.25 (20H, m, E, E, major $+Z, E$, minor), $0.87(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor) ppm.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=166.0$ ( $E, E$, major), 140.8 ( $E, E$, major), 128.4 ( $E, E$, major), 65.1 ( $E, E$, major), 31.8 ( $E, E$, major), 29.2 ( $E, E$, major), 29.1 ( $E, E$, major), 28.6 ( $E, E$, major), 25.9 ( $E, E$, major), 22.6 ( $E, E$, major), 14.1 ( $E, E$, major) ppm.

bis(2-ethylbutyl) $(2 E, 4 E)$-hexa-2,4-dienedioate ( $\mathbf{2 g}$ )

$\mathbf{2 g}$ ( $227 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ yield) was obtained as an inseparable mixture of $E, E$ and $Z, E$ isomers in a 94:6 ratio according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[5]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.6,11.6, Z, E$, minor), $7.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.5$, 3.0, $E, E$, major), 6.63 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.5, Z, E$, minor), 6.21 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,3.1, E, E$, major), 6.11 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.6, Z, E$ minor), 5.96 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4, Z, E$, minor), 4.11 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.9, E, E$, major + $Z, E$, minor), $1.57(2 \mathrm{H}$, hept, $J=6.2, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor), $1.39(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, J=7.2, E, E$, major + $Z, E$, minor), 1.29-1.26 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor), 0.91 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5, E, E$, major), 0.880.86 (6H, Z,E, minor) ppm.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=166.1$ ( $E, E$, major), 140.7 ( $E, E$, major), 128.4 ( $E, E$, major), 67.0 ( $E, E$, major), 53.4 ( $E, E$, major), 40.3 ( $E, E$, major), 40.2 ( $Z, E$, minor), 31.9 ( $Z, E$, minor), 29.5 ( $Z, E$, minor), 29.4 ( $Z, E$, minor), 29.7 ( $E, E$, major), 23.3 ( $E, E$, major), 22.7 ( $Z, E$, minor), 14.1 ( $Z, E$, minor), 11.0 ( $E, E$, major) ppm.

bis(2-ethylhexyl) (2E,4E)-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2h)

bis(2-ethylhexyl) (2Z,4E)-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2h)
$\mathbf{2 h}$ ( $268 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ yield) was obtained as an inseparable mixture of $E, E$ and $Z, E$ isomers in a 93:7 ratio according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[5]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.38$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,11.6, Z, E$, minor), $7.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5$, 3.0, $E, E$, major), 6.63 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.5, Z, E$, minor), 6.21 ( 2 H , dd, $J=11.5,3.1, E, E$, major), 6.11 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.6, Z, E$ minor), $5.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.4, Z, E$, minor), $4.10(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor), $1.62(2 \mathrm{H}$, hept, $J=6.0, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor $), 1.38(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{p}, J=7.2, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor), 1.35-1.26 (12H, m, $E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor), $0.91(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor), $0.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5, Z, E$, minor) ppm.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=166.1$ ( $E, E$, major), 140.7 ( $E, E$, major), 128.4 ( $E, E$, major), 67.3 ( $E, E$, major), 53.4 ( $E, E$, major), 38.8 ( $E, E$, major), 30.4 ( $E, E$, major), 29.7 ( $Z, E$, minor), 28.9 ( $E, E$, major), 23.8 ( $Z, E$, minor), 23.0 ( $E, E$, major), 14.0 ( $E, E$, major), 11.0 ( $E, E$, major) ppm.

dibenzyl $(2 E, 4 E)$-hexa-2,4-dienedioate (2j)

dibenzyl ( $2 Z, 4 E$ )-hexa-2,4-dienedioate ( $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}$ )
$2 \mathbf{j}(213 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%$ yield) was obtained as an inseparable mixture of $E, E$ and $Z, E$ isomers in a 87:13 ratio according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[6]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.47$ ( 1 H, ddd, $J=15.3,11.6,1.1, Z, E$, minor), 7.39 ( $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, E, E$, major $+Z, E$, minor), 6.66 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.7, Z, E$, minor), 6.25 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,3.1, E, E$, major), 6.15 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.7, Z, E$, minor), 6.01 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.5, Z, E$, minor), 5.24 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, Z, E$, minor), 5.23 (4H, s, E,E, major) ppm.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=165.7$ ( $E, E$, major), 141.2 ( $E, E$, major), 135.7 ( $E, E$, major), 128.8 ( $E, E$, major), 128.4 ( $E, E$, major), 128.3 ( $E, E$, major), 128.2 ( $E, E$, major), 66.7 ( $E, E$, major) ppm.

(1E,3E)-1,4-diphenylbuta-1,3-diene (2m)
$2 \mathbf{2 l}(41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \%$ yield) was obtained as light-sensitive material prone to decompose, as such only ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy is provided. The analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[7]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6), 7.34(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6), 7.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.9)$, 6.97 (2H, dd, J = 14.7, 8.9), 6.68 (2H, dd, J=14.8, 9.0) ppm.

dimethyl $(2 Z, 4 E)$-2,5-dimethylhexa-2,4-dienedioate (2m)

dimethyl (2E,4E)-2,5-dimethylhexa-2,4-dienedioate (2m)

dimethyl (2Z,4Z)-2,5-dimethylhexa-2,4-dienedioate (2m)
2 m ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%$ yield) was obtained as an inseparable mixture of $Z, E$ and $E, E$ and $Z, Z$ isomers in a 80:14:6 ratio according to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[8]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=7.99$ (1H, ddd, J = 11.9, 1.6, 0.7, Z, E, major), 7.44 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{Z}$, minor), $6.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J=11.8,1.6, Z, E$, major), $6.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.3, E, E$, minor), $5.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, E, E$, minor), 3.79 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, Z, E$, major), 3.78 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, E, E$, minor), 3.76 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, Z, E$, major), 3.74 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $E, E$, minor), 2.48 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, E, E$, minor), 2.06 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,0.8, Z, E$, major), 2.04 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, Z, Z$, minor) 1.98 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,0.8, Z, E$, major) ppm.


3a ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ yield) was obtained after purification by column chromatography and the analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[9]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=3.66(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.32(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.65(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=173.7,51.5,33.6,24.3 \mathrm{ppm}$.


3c ( $212 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ yield) was obtained after purification by column chromatography and the analytical data is in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{[10]}$
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=4.06(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.7), 2.31(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.65(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.59(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.36(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.92(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4) \mathrm{ppm}$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta=173.4,64.2,33.9,30.6,24.4,19.1,13.7 \mathrm{ppm}$.
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## 5. NMR spectra.


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 a}$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 a}$.
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 b}$.


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 b}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 c}$.


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 c}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 d}$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 d}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 e}$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 e}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 f}$.



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 g}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 h}$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 h}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 j}$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 j}$.


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 m}$.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{3 a}$.


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ spectrum of $\mathbf{3 c}$.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ JMOD spectrum of $\mathbf{3 c}$.
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