

EFFICACITY

L'Institut pour la transition énergétique de la ville

EFFICACITY Institute for the energy transition in the city



Efficacity partnership

VINC

- Participants with complementary skills:
 - 6 industrial leaders :

VEOLIA

ENVIRONNEMENT

- 7 engineering companies:
- SUE2 (Ingérop Setec

engie

IBM

o 15 academic structures:





CPD



THE QUESTION OF FUEL CELL DURABILITY "DYNAMIC MULTIFRACTALITY IN STACK VOLTAGE SIGNAL AS AN INDICATOR OF PEMFC Soh"

D. Benouioua^{1, 2, 4*}, **D.** Candusso^{1, 2, 4}, **F.** Harel^{3, 4}, **P.** Picard^{4,5}

¹ ITE EFFICACITY, France.

² IFSTTAR / COSYS / SATIE, UMR CNRS 8029, France.

³ Université de Lyon, IFSTTAR / AME / LTE, France.

⁴ FCLAB, FR CNRS 3539, France.

⁵ ENGIE.

* d.benouioua@efficacity.com

Battery Tech'2016, Dubai / UAE, December 8-9, 2016









Contents

- 1. Polymer Electrolyte Membrane (PEM) Fuel Cells
 - How do PEM Fuel Cells work?
 - Applications
 - Reliability & Durability
- 2. Synopsis of the investigated PEM Fuel Cells
- 3. Experimental process
- 4. Dynamic multifractality in the voltage signal
 - Method tools
 - Voltage Singularity Spectrum (VSS)
 - Voltage Singularity Spectrum as a non intrusive tool for PEM Fuel Cells diagnosis
 - Portability of the developped diagnosis tool
- 5. Conclusion & Future works

1. Polymer Electrolyte Membrane (PEM) Fuel Cells - How do PEM Fuel Cells work?-



<u>Overall reaction</u>: $H_2 + \frac{1}{2} O_2 \rightarrow H_2O + Current + Heat$

1. Polymer Electrolyte Membrane (PEM) Fuel Cells – Applications –



1. Polymer Electrolyte Membrane (PEM) Fuel Cells – Reliability & Durability–

- **Durability** the ability of the PEM Fuel Cells to resist to permanent changes in performance over time. Durability is restricted by degradation mechanisms.
- *Reliability* the ability of the PEM Fuel Cells to deliver the required power, under stated conditions, for a period of time.



Objective: Development of PEM Fuel Cells faults diagnosis tool using its stack voltage only

Son-intrusive, without Fuel Cells excitation and low cost tool

2. Synopsis of the investigated PEM Fuel Cells

Two experimented PEMFC

Table 1. Summary of the tested PEMFC characteristics

Characteristics	PEMFC _{Automotive}	$PEMFC_{\mu CHP}$
Number of cells	8	12
Electrode active surface	220 cm ²	250 cm ²
Gas distributor plates	Metallic plates	



The experimented 8 cell PEMFC (**operated with pure H2**) <u>Manufacturer</u> : CEA LITEN Grenoble-France

Experiments done in the frame of the ANR DIAPASON2 project

Table 2. Summary of the nominal operating conditions

Operating conditions	PEMFC _{Automotive}	$PEMFC_{\mu CHP}$		
Coolant flow: deionized water	2 l/min	3 l/min		
Anode stoichiometry (H2)	1.5	1.3		
Cathode stoichiometry (air)	2	2		
Absolute pressure for H2 inlet	150 kPa	11 kPa		
Absolute pressure for air inlet	150 kPa	5 kPa		
Maximal anode - cathode pressure gap	30 kPa	20 kPa?		
Temperature at cooling circuit outlet	80°C	70°C		
Anode relative humidity (80°C)	50 %	50%		
Cathode relative humidity (80°C)	50 %	50%		
Load current	110 A	80A		
Current density	0.5 A.cm ⁻²			

Design for automotive application

The experimented 12 cell PEMFC (operated with mixture gas of 75% H2 and 25% CO2). <u>Manufacturer</u> : Inhouse Engineering GmbH-Germany

Experiments done in the frame of microcogeneration project.

Design for µCHP application



3. Experimental process

Test bench of the fuel cells test platform in Belfort - France



Acquired data:

- Stack voltage
- Individual cell voltage
- Current
- Power
- Gas pressures
- Gas flows
- Temperatures
- Hygrometry rates
- Impedance spectra (stack & cells)

3. Experimental process

The various fault scenarios have been introduced by changing different parameter values from the nominal ones :

– cathode stoichiometry rate 'FSC' – anode stoichiometry rate 'FSA' – gas pressure 'P' –

- cooling circuit temperature 'T- Relative humidity level 'RH' - carbon monoxide 'CO' poisoning -

Parameters value	Ref		DFSC		DFSA		DP		DT		DH		DCO	
	PEMFC Automotive	PEMFC µCHP	PEMFC Automotive	PEMFC µCHP	PEMFC Automotive	PEMFC µCHP	PEMFC Automotive	PEMFC µCHP	PEMFC Automotive	PEMFC µCHP	PEMFC Automotive	PEMFC µCHP	PEMFC Automotive	PEMFC µCHP
FSC	2	2	<u>1.3</u>	<u>2.6</u> <u>1.6</u>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
FSA	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.3	<u>1.3</u>	<u>1.5</u> <u>1.2</u>	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.3
P(bars.abs)	1.5	0.1	1.5	0.1	1.5	0.1	<u>1.3</u>	<u>ND</u>	1.5	0.1	1.5	0.1	1.5	0.1
T(°C)	80	70	80	70	80	70	80	70	<u>75</u>	<u>72</u> <u>65</u>	80	70	80	70
RH (%)	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	<u>ND</u>	<u>46</u> 54	50	50
Presence of CO (ppm)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>10</u>	<u>ND</u>

3. Experimental process



4. Dynamic multifractality in the voltage signal – Method tools –

Proposed method = pointwise singularity analysis of the PEMFC voltage signal

To extract a latent information from the morphology of the stack voltage signal

- Pointwise regularity of the signal is measured by <u>Hölder exponent « h »</u>.
- The whole of the singularity strengths is represented by the <u>singularity spectrum (SS)</u>.



Analysis method:

Discrete wavelets + multifractal formalism

4. Dynamic multifractality in the voltage signal – Method tools –



- Voltage Singularity Spectrum (VSS)-



- Voltage Singularity Spectrum as a non intrusive tool for PEM Fuel Cells Diagnosis -



0.2 0.4 0.6 15 **h**

0

- Voltage Singularity Spectrum as a non intrusive tool for PEM Fuel Cells Diagnosis -



These results highlight a dynamic multifractality in the voltage signal produced by the stack at different operating conditions.

- Voltage Singularity Spectrum as a non intrusive tool for PEM Fuel Cells Diagnosis -



4. Dynamic multifractality in the voltage signal – Classification of the singularity spectrum pattern features –

Supervised learning method: k-Nearest Neighboor 'KNN' method

Number of class= 10. Training set= 200 observations. Test set = 100 new observations. Number of singularity features = 6.

Good classification rate = 89,61%

Ref : nominal conditions **DFSC** : cathode flow failure (O_2 starvation) **DFSA** : anode flow failure (H_2 starvation) **DP** : gases pressure failure (low gases pressure) **DT** : cooling circuit temperature failure (low T°) **DCO** : carbon monoxide poisoning (H_2 + CO)



PEMFC_{Automotive}

Class	C ₀	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇	C ₈	C ₉
Ref	<u>87.5</u>	0	0	12.5	0	0	0	0	0	0
DFSC	0	<u>100</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DFSA	0	0	<u>100</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DP	50	0	0	<u>50</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0
DT	0	0	0	0	<u>100</u>	0	0	0	0	0
DCO	0	0	0	0	0	<u>100</u>	0	0	0	0
DFSC & DP	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>100</u>	0	0	0
DFSA & DP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>71.43</u>	28.57	0
DFSC & DFSA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>100</u>	0
DFSC & DFSA & DP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>100</u>
$\mathbf{C_0} \equiv \widehat{Ref}, \mathbf{C_1} \equiv \widehat{DFSC}, \mathbf{C_2} \equiv \widehat{DFSA}, \mathbf{C_3} \equiv \widehat{DP}, \mathbf{C_4} \equiv \widehat{DT}, \mathbf{C_5} \equiv \widehat{DCO}, \mathbf{C_6} \equiv DF\widehat{CS\&DP},$										

 $\mathbf{C_7} \equiv DFSA \otimes DP, \mathbf{C_8} \equiv DFSC \otimes DFSA, \mathbf{C_9} \equiv DFSC \otimes \widehat{DFSA} \otimes DP$

4. Dynamic multifractality in the voltage signal – Classification of the singularity spectrum pattern features –

Supervised learning method: k-Nearest Neighboor 'KNN' method

Number of class = 9 Training set = 180 observations. Test set = 90 new observations. Number of singularity features = 6. **Ref** : nominal conditions **DFSC**[']: cathode flow failure (O_2 over-supply) **DFSC**_{\sigma} : cathode flow failure (O_2 starvation) **DFSA**['] : anode flow failure (H_2 over-supply) **DFSA**_{\sigma} : anode flow failure (H_2 starvation) **DT**['] : cooling circuit temperature failure (high T°) **DT**_{\sigma} : cooling circuit temperature failure (low T°) **DRH**[']: dew temperature failure (high dT°) **DRH**_{\sigma}: dew temperature failure (low dT°)



 $\text{PEMFC}_{\mu\text{CHP}}$

Good classification rate = 95.55%

Class **C**₃ C_4 C_5 C_6 C₀ C₁ **C**₂ C₈ Ref **DFSC**[^] **DFSC DFSA**[×] DFSA_\ DT[≁] DT_\ **DRH**[^] **DRH** $\mathbf{C}_0 \equiv \widehat{Ref}, \mathbf{C}_1 \equiv \widehat{DFSC}, \mathbf{C}_2 \equiv \widehat{DFSC}, \mathbf{C}_3 \equiv \widehat{DFSA}, \mathbf{C}_4 \equiv \widehat{DFSA}, \mathbf{C}_5 \equiv \widehat{DT}, \mathbf{C}_6 \equiv \widehat{DT}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}_6 \equiv \widehat{DT}, \mathbf{C}_6 \equiv \widehat{DT$

$$C_7 \equiv DRH^2$$
, $C_8 \equiv DRH_2$

4. Dynamic multifractality in the voltage signal – Portability of the developed diagnosis tool –

Stack voltage signal for various operating conditions 'OC'



DTR 1

20

5. Conclusion & Future Works

The singularity analysis based on wavelet leaders (mathematical microscope) highlights significant insights about the time dynamics of the fuel cell voltage during the considered operating conditions (fault scenarios).

The computed singularity features describe the fuel cell time dynamics efficiently. These parameters offer good performance indicators on its SoH.

The good classification rates obtained on the two experimented fuel cell (PEMFC_{Automotive} and PEMFC_{μ CHP}) confirm the relevance of the developed diagnosis tool.

The dynamic multifractality of the stack voltage can be considered as a pertinent non-intrusive tool for the monitoring of the fuel cell SoH.

In the near future:

Ton-line implementation of the diagnosis tool.

THE QUESTION OF THE FUEL CELL DURABILITY "DYNAMIC MULTIFRACTALITY IN STACK VOLTAGE SIGNAL AS AN INDICATOR OF PEMFC SoH"

THANK YOU EQB YOUR ATTENTION

D. Benouioua^{1, 2, 4*}, D. Candusso^{1, 2, 4}, F. Harel^{3, 4}, P. Picard^{4,5}
¹ ITE EFFICACITY, France.
² IFSTTAR / COSYS / SATIE, UMR CNRS 8029, France.
³ Université de Lyon, IFSTTAR / AME / LTE, France.
⁴ FCLAB, FR CNRS 3539, France.
⁵ ENGIE.
* d.benouioua@efficacity.com

Battery Tech'2016, Dubai / UAE, December 8-9, 2016



Legendre transform

