

Quantifying and simulating carbon and nitrogen mineralization from diverse exogenous organic matters

Florent Levavasseur, Gwenaëlle Lashermes, Bruno Mary, Thierry Morvan, Bernard Nicolardot, Virginie Parnaudeau, Laurent Thuriès, Sabine S. Houot

▶ To cite this version:

Florent Levavasseur, Gwenaëlle Lashermes, Bruno Mary, Thierry Morvan, Bernard Nicolardot, et al.. Quantifying and simulating carbon and nitrogen mineralization from diverse exogenous organic matters. Soil Use and Management, 2022, 38 (1), pp.411-425. 10.1111/sum.12745 . hal-03329588

HAL Id: hal-03329588 https://hal.science/hal-03329588

Submitted on 24 Aug 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Quantifying and simulating carbon and nitrogen

2 mineralization from diverse exogenous organic matters

- 3 F. LEVAVASSEUR^{a,*}, G. LASHERMES^b, B. MARY^c, T. MORVAN^d, B. NICOLARDOT^e, V.
- 4 PARNAUDEAU^d, L. THURIÈS^g, S. HOUOT^a
- ^a INRAE, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, UMR ECOSYS, 78850 Thiverval-
- 6 Grignon, France
- 7 ^b Université de Reims Champagne Ardenne, INRAE, FARE, UMR A 614, 51097 Reims,
- 8 France
- 9 ^c BioEcoAgro Joint Research Unit, INRAE, Université de Liège, Université de Lille,
- 10 Université de Picardie Jules Verne, 02000 Barenton-Bugny, France
- 11 ^d UMR SAS, INRAE, Institut Agro, 35000 Rennes, France
- 12 ^e Agroécologie, AgroSup Dijon, INRAE, Univ. Bourgogne, Univ. Bourgogne Franche
- 13 Comté, 21000 Dijon, France
- 14 ^g CIRAD, UPR Recyclage et Risque, F-97743 Saint-Denis, Réunion, France, Recyclage et
- 15 Risque, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, Montpellier
- 16 ***Corresponding Author:** Florent Levavasseur. (E-mail: <u>florent.levavasseur@inrae.fr</u>).
- 17 **Running Title**: C and N mineralization from EOM
- 18

19 Abstract

The potential contributions of exogenous organic matters (EOMs) to soil organic C and mineral N supply depend on their C and N mineralization, which can be assessed in laboratory incubations. Such incubations are essential to calibrate decomposition models, because not all EOMs can be tested in the field. However, EOM incubations are resourceintensive. Therefore, easily measurable EOM characteristics that can be useful to predict EOM behavior are needed.

26 We quantified C and N mineralization during the incubation of 663 EOMs from five 27 groups (animal manures, composts, sewage sludges, digestates, and others). This represents one of the largest and diversified set of EOM incubations. The C and N 28 29 mineralization varied widely between and within EOM subgroups. We simulated C and N 30 mineralization with a simple generic decomposition model. Three calibration methods 31 were compared. Individual EOM calibration of the model yielded good model 32 performances, while the use of a unique parameter set per EOM subgroup decreased the 33 model performance, and the use of two EOM characteristics to estimate model parameters 34 gave an intermediate model performance (average RMSE-C values of 32, 99 and 65 mg C g⁻¹ added C and average RMSE-N values of 50, 126 and 110 mg N g⁻¹ added N, 35 36 respectively).

Because of the EOM variability, individual EOM calibration based on incubation remains
the recommended method for predicting most accurately the C and N mineralization of
EOMs. However, the two alternative calibration methods are sufficient for the simulation
of EOMs without incubation data to obtain reasonable model performances.

41 Keywords: organic amendment, fertilizer, model, organic matter, soil, decomposition, N
42 mineralization

43 **Highlights:**

- 44 C and N mineralization in 663 exogenous organic matters was quantified under controlled45 conditions
- 46 C and N mineralization varied widely between and among the subgroups of exogenous
- 47 organic matters
- 48 A simple generic model can predict the variability in C and N mineralization from EOMs
- 49 A calibration was proposed for 26 EOM subgroups using their biochemical characteristics.

50 1 Introduction

51 Exogenous organic matter (EOM) is characterized as various residual organic matters 52 applied to soil as organic fertilizers or organic amendments. They encompass animal 53 manures and urban and industrial organic wastes and can be used raw or after treatments 54 (e.g., composting or anaerobic digestion). The contribution of EOM carbon (C) to soil 55 organic carbon (SOC) and EOM nitrogen (N) to the mineral N supply available to crops 56 has been extensively studied, especially in long-term field experiments (Gerzabek et al., 57 1997; Gómez-Muñoz et al., 2017). A better characterization of the large diversity of EOM 58 is however needed to better quantify their potential for soil carbon storage, especially 59 EOMs that have been submitted to treatments such as composting or anaerobic digestion 60 (Chenu et al., 2019). Due to the limited number of EOMs, cropping systems, and climate 61 and soil conditions that can be tested *in situ*, many authors have used EOM incubations to 62 quantify the C and N mineralization of EOMs in soil under controlled conditions (Lazicki 63 et al., 2020; Mondini et al., 2017; Noirot-Cosson et al., 2017). The kinetics obtained during 64 laboratory incubations can be used to predict C and N mineralization in field or greenhouse 65 conditions with additional accounting for the effect of environmental factors (soil temperature, water content, etc.) (Delin et al., 2012; Gale et al., 2006; Pinto et al., 2020). 66

However, a comparison of the C and N mineralization kinetics of a wide range of common 67 68 types of EOM, integrating their variability, is still lacking. EOM incubations can also be used to calibrate EOM decomposition modules in soil-crop models (Noirot-Cosson et al., 69 70 2016), which allows us to predict the impact of EOM applications under field conditions. 71 Calibrations for many EOMs have yet to be proposed for the decomposition modules of 72 soil-crop models, which often do not consider the diversity of and variability in EOMs. 73 Due to the workload involved in laboratory incubations (several months of incubation are 74 needed), the use of easily available EOM characteristics (e.g. biochemical fractions and 75 C:N ratios) to predict C and N mineralization should be encouraged (Delin et al., 2012; 76 Lashermes et al., 2009, 2010; Lazicki et al., 2020; Morvan & Nicolardot, 2009; Pansu & 77 Thuriès, 2003; Parnaudeau et al., 2004). The use of these characteristics for calibrating 78 decomposition modules in soil-crop models should allow prediction not only of the final 79 mineralization but also the mineralization dynamics; therefore, they need to be validated 80 for a wide range of EOMs.

The aim of this work was to quantify the C and N mineralization of a wide range of EOMs during laboratory incubations, to test the ability of a simple generic decomposition model to simulate their C and N mineralization, and to propose some simple calibration methods of the model in order to avoid the use of long and costly laboratory incubations.

85 2 Materials and methods

86 2.1 EOM database

The EOM database compiles the results of 663 EOM incubations in soil over at least 90 days under controlled laboratory conditions. The EOM incubations were performed in various research projects for many years and were not all conducted with exactly the same procedure. However, most incubations were carried out according to a standard method

(AFNOR, 2009): the equivalent of 25 g of dry soil was mixed with the EOM added at a 91 92 rate corresponding to 2 g organic C kg⁻¹ dry soil. The EOM was dried and ground to a 93 particle size of 1 mm. Mineral N was added in excess to avoid any mineral N deficiency, 94 which could have limited the EOM decomposition rate, and to highlight the potential N 95 immobilization (Recous et al., 1995). The temperature was maintained at 28°C, and the 96 gravimetric water content was equivalent to the field capacity (pF = 2.5). A few 97 incubations were performed under different conditions (e.g., a temperature of 15°C and a 98 longer duration and/or with the addition of fresh EOM) (Table S1, Appendix B, online 99 supporting information). Most soils used in the incubations were loamy and noncalcareous with a low carbon content (mean soil carbon content equal to 11.6 g kg⁻¹, mean soil organic 100 101 C:N equal to 9.8) (Table S2, Appendix B, online supporting information).

In each incubation, the CO₂ evolved and the soil mineral N were measured to determine the mineralized C and N. The net C and N mineralized from each EOM were computed by subtracting the mineralized C and N of an unamended soil (control). The proportions of the net mineralized C and N from the EOMs were obtained by dividing the net C and N mineralized from each EOM by the total amount of added organic C and organic N by the EOM, respectively. We thus hypothesized the absence of a priming effect (Bol et al., 2003).

The EOMs were classified into 5 groups: livestock manures (n=103), composts (n=337), anaerobic digestates (n=54), sewage sludges (n=70) and others (n=99). Each EOM group was divided into different EOM subgroups (from 1 to 8). Only digestates were not subdivided into different subgroups because of the limited number of digestates in the database compared to the diversity of digestates according to process (solid or liquid state), post-treatment (phase separation or not), and digested waste (animal manures, urban wastes) and the non-significant differences in C and N mineralization among these factors.

Each EOM was described by its organic C content, its organic and mineral N contents and its C_{org} : N_{org} ratio (Table 1). For 608 of the 663 incubated EOMs, the biochemical fractions of the EOM (Van Soest & Wine, 1967) were also available. These biochemical fractions combined with the proportion of EOM organic C mineralized during 3 days of incubation in soil allowed the computation of the I_{ROC} value, which is an indicator of the proportion of the EOM organic matter remaining in soils over the long term after application (Lashermes et al., 2009) (Equation 1).

123 $I_{ROC} = 44.5 + 0.5 SOL - 0.2 CEL + 0.7 LIC - 2.3 C_{3d}$ (1)

where *SOL*, *CEL*, and *LIC* are the soluble, cellulose-like and lignin-like fractions (percentage of the total organic matter) and C_{3d} is the percentage of organic C mineralized during 3 days of incubation in soil (percentage of the total C)

127 Finally, to estimate the contributions of organic C from the EOMs to the soil organic 128 matter and of organic N from the EOMs to the soil mineral N, the mineralized organic 129 carbon and the mineralized organic nitrogen after a period of incubation equivalent to one 130 year in field conditions based on mean annual temperature were computed. This equivalent 131 incubation duration was determined by using the concept of "normalized" time (Mary et 132 al., 1996), which modifies the incubation time with correction factors based on soil 133 temperature and soil water content. The correction factors of the STICS model were used 134 (Brisson et al., 2008) (see section 2.2 and Appendix A). The mean annual temperature in 135 the field applied was 12°C, corresponding to the mean annual temperature in central 136 France, while a constant soil water content equivalent to field capacity was used. The 137 observed quantity of C and N mineralized were not modified, only the corresponding 138 incubation time. For example, 89 days of incubation at 28°C and field capacity 139 corresponded to 365 days in the field at 12°C and field capacity.

140 2.2 EOM decomposition model

We used a simple generic decomposition model based on the residue decomposition module of the STICS model. This model was initially developed for crop residues (Nicolardot et al., 2001). In this study, we used a modified version of the STICS decomposition module, adapted from Levavasseur et al. (2021), which allowed a better simulation of C and N mineralization from EOMs of various origins.

146 The soil organic matter is subdivided into five pools (Figure 1). EOM C is divided into 147 labile (RES₁) and recalcitrant (RES₂) pools (with RES standing for "residues"). The 148 allocation of nitrogen between these two pools can be different, with each pool having its 149 own C:N ratio (CN_{res1} and CN_{res2}). This allocation is defined by a single parameter, a_{CN1} , 150 which is the ratio between CN_{res1} and CN_{res}, where CN_{res} is the C:N ratio of the EOM as a 151 whole. The labile pool decomposes according to first-order kinetics with the 152 decomposition constant K_{res} , while the recalcitrant pool is directly incorporated into the 153 active organic matter pool. The decomposed labile pool is either mineralized or assimilated 154 by the zymogenous microbial biomass pool (with an assimilation yield Y). Soil mineral N 155 may be immobilized if the C:N ratio of the labile pool (*CN_{res1}*) greatly exceeds that of the 156 microbial biomass (CN_{bio}). The microbial biomass decays according to first-order kinetics, 157 with the decomposition constant K_{bio} . The decomposed biomass is either mineralized or 158 incorporated in the active soil organic matter, with the humification yield H. The soil 159 mineral N may be immobilized during this decay process depending on the C:N ratio of the 160 newly formed active soil organic matter, which is set as equal to the initial C:N ratio of the 161 soil organic matter. The active soil organic matter decomposes according to first-order 162 kinetics, with the decomposition constant K_a that depends on soil type (Clivot et al., 2017). 163 In this study, however, the K_a constant was optimized to minimize the simulation error of 164 the mineralized C and N in the control treatment, which did not include EOM in the

incubation. The stable soil organic matter pool is assumed to be inert at the century time
scale. With this model, the proportion of mineralized C and N is the sum of three
exponential terms (Appendix A, online supporting information).

168 2.3 Model calibration

169 Three methods of calibration (i.e., the adjustment of the model parameters) were tested. 170 M1 included a calibration specific to each EOM, wherein the unknown decomposition 171 parameters were optimized for each EOM separately. M2 included a calibration per EOM subgroup, assuming that all EOMs of the same subgroup had the same optimized 172 173 decomposition parameters. M3 included a calibration per EOM subgroup based on 174 measured EOM characteristics. For the M3 method, the results of the M1 calibration 175 method were used to derive linear relationships between optimized decomposition 176 parameters and two EOM characteristics (I_{ROC} and C_{3d}) for all the EOMs together. The 177 parameters of these linear relationships were further optimized in calibration method M3, 178 i.e., the decomposition parameters were predicted by using linear relationships with EOM 179 characteristics. Simple linear functions with only I_{ROC} and C_{3d} were selected; other types of 180 functions and other EOM characteristics available in the database (e.g., lignin content) did 181 not significantly improve the prediction of the EOM parameters. As for the M2 method, 182 the interest of the M3 method is to avoid the need for an additional experimental 183 incubation for each new EOM (i.e., an EOM not in the database). In addition to M2, the 184 objective of the M3 method is to use easily available EOM characteristics to improve the 185 calibration within each EOM subgroup.

The upper and lower limits of the optimized parameters were mainly determined according to the literature. K_{res} varied between 0.005 and 0.7 day⁻¹. These values prevented both an accumulation of the labile pool in soil (which would not be consistent) and unrealistic decomposition in only a few days. K_{bio} was set at 0.0076 day⁻¹ according to Justes et al.

190 (2009), assuming that the zymogenous biomass had the same decay rate for EOMs and 191 crop residues. The assimilation yield (Y) varied between 0.1 and 0.6 (Lee & Schmidt, 192 2014; Sauvadet et al., 2018; Spohn et al., 2016). The mean value of the humification yield 193 (H) optimized with calibration method M1 was 0.88. Preliminary tests indicated that fixing 194 *H* at this value for all EOMs did not significantly decrease model performances (Table S3, 195 Appendix B, online supporting information) due to compensation between H, Y and RES_{1} . 196 This high and constant H value is consistent with the primary role of microbial necromass 197 in soil organic matter formation (Miltner et al., 2012). CN_{bio} was fixed at 7, according to 198 the mean value reported in the literature and because the C:N ratio of microbial biomass is 199 not expected to change markedly (Mooshammer et al., 2014). Fixing CN_{bio} at this value for 200 all EOMs did not significantly decrease model performances (Table S3, Appendix B, 201 online supporting information). RES1 and RES2 varied between 0 and 1, allowing EOM to 202 be completely labile or recalcitrant. CN_{res1} and CN_{res2} were defined as positive and to 203 ensure the nitrogen mass balance (relative to the total amount of N in the EOMs).

For each method of model calibration, the parameters were optimized to minimize the sum of the root mean square errors (*RMSEs*) for the mineralized C and N (Equation 2).

206
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} (O_i - S_i)^2}$$
 (2)

where S_i and O_i are the simulated and observed values for the same measurement date (*i*) and *n* is the number of measurement dates. The optimized (K_{res}) or fixed (K_{bio}) decomposition rates were corrected with the temperature and soil water content functions (Brisson et al., 2008; Mary et al., 1996) (Appendix A) to take into account the effect of these factors.

The "optim" function in R with the "L-BFGS-B" method was used for optimization (Byrdet al., 1995), giving a lower and an upper bound to each parameter, as mentioned above.

214 2.4 Model validation

215 Calibration method M1 could not be validated on an independent dataset, and the 216 calibration was specific to each EOM. We assessed the performances of calibration methods M2 and M3 by using V-fold cross-validation with three replicates. Each EOM 217 218 subgroup was randomly divided into three different subsamples. Two subsamples were 219 used for calibration, and the third subsample was used for validation. We repeated this 220 operation three times, changing the validation subsample each time, and then repeated 221 these three operations three times by changing the random division of the sample to avoid 222 any sampling effects in the results.

The performances of the model for calibration and validation were assessed with three different statistical criteria: the *RMSE*, the mean error (*ME*), and the coefficient of determination (R^2) (Equations 2, 3 and 4). These criteria were computed for each incubation and then averaged.

227
$$ME = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} (O_i - S_i)$$
 (3)

228
$$R^{2} = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} ((O_{i} - \bar{O}) \cdot (S_{i} - \bar{S}))}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (O_{i} - \bar{O})^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (S_{i} - \bar{S})^{2}}}\right)^{2}$$
(4)

where S_i and O_i are the simulated and observed values for the same measurement date (*i*) and *n* is the number of measurement dates. \overline{O} and \overline{S} are the means of the observations and simulations, respectively.

232 **3 Results**

233 3.1 Observed C and N mineralization

For a given EOM group, the C and N mineralization varied widely between the different

EOMs (Figure 2). For example, for animal manures, the mineralized C varied between 0

and 500 mg C g⁻¹ added C and the mineralized N varied between -500 and 500 mg N g⁻¹ added N after twenty days of incubation. Among animal manures, chicken droppings (AM_CD) produced the greatest net mineralization, while horse manure decomposition resulted in a net N immobilization.

240 The calculation of mineralized C after an incubation duration equivalent to one year in the 241 field allows an easy assessment of the potential EOM contribution to C storage in soil (the 242 lower the mineralized C is, the higher the contribution) (Figure 3). Compared to all other 243 EOMs, the lowest values were obtained for composts, with a median C mineralization equal to 181, 270, 372, 403 and 456 mg C g⁻¹ added C for composts, digestates, animal 244 245 manures, sewage sludges and other EOMs, respectively. However, a large variability was 246 found within the EOM groups. For example, composts of municipal solid waste (C_MSW) exhibited a higher C mineralization (median value of 324 mg C g⁻¹ added C) than the 247 248 average, while composts of green waste (C_GW) showed a very low C mineralization, equal to 108 mg C g⁻¹ added C. Differences in the mineralized C after an incubation 249 250 duration equivalent to one year in the field also appeared among animal manures: chicken 251 droppings, pig slurries and horse manures had median values of 545, 448 and 432 mg C g⁻ 252 ¹ added C, respectively, and were more mineralizable than bovine manure (258 mg C g^{-1} ¹ added C). If we consider all the EOM subgroups, the mineralized C after an incubation 253 254 duration equivalent to one year in the field appeared to be well correlated with the I_{ROC} (R² 255 = 0.67, Table S4, Appendix B, online supporting information). For specific EOM 256 subgroups, the *I_{ROC}* was also significantly correlated with mineralized C, except for some 257 EOM subgroups with low mineralization (e.g., green waste compost (C_GW), Table S4, 258 Appendix B, online supporting information).

The calculation of net mineralized N after an incubation duration equivalent to one year inthe field allowed us to compare the fertilizing values of the various EOMs (Figure 3).

261 Composts and digestates were characterized by a small net mineralization, which varied between -104 and 76 mg N g⁻¹ added N. The short-term N fertilizing value of these EOMs 262 263 thus relied on their mineral nitrogen content only, which was very low for most composts 264 (except, e.g., C GWS and C PIS) but high for digestates (Table 1). Wide variability was 265 found among the animal manures: horse manure decomposition resulted in strong N immobilization (-419 mg N g⁻¹ added N), while chicken droppings produced important net 266 N mineralization (304 mg N g⁻¹ added N). Moderate amounts of N were mineralized from 267 bovine and pig manures (52 and 100 mg N g⁻¹ added N, respectively), which had small 268 269 mineral N contents. The three sewage sludge subgroups exhibited a high mineralized N (278 to 432 mg N g⁻¹ added N). The last group of EOMs ("others") exhibited variable N 270 271 mineralization, which is consistent with the highly diverse EOMs within this group, 272 ranging from vegetal residues (e.g., bark) that mainly immobilized N to algae and animal 273 residues (e.g., feather meal) that mainly mineralized N. The variability in the net 274 mineralized N after an incubation duration equivalent to one year in the field for all the 275 EOM subgroups was partly related to that of $C:N_{org}$ (R² = 0.32, Table S4, Appendix B, 276 online supporting information). However, the relationship between the mineralized N and $C:N_{org}$ varied widely among the EOM subgroups: a good correlation was observed for 277 278 some EOM subgroups (e.g., $R^2 = 0.89$ for C PIS and $R^2 = 0.92$ for OTH AR) to the 279 absence of a relationship for other EOMs (e.g., $R^2 = 0$ for AM HM and SS AGR).

280 3.2 Prediction of C and N mineralization

The simulation of C and N mineralization with a calibration specific to each EOM (M1) gave very good results. The observed variability was very well accounted for (Figure 4): the determination coefficient was 0.92 for C and 0.68 for N, the bias was very low (5 mg C g⁻¹ added C and 2 mg N g⁻¹ added N) and the *RMSE* values were 32 mg C g⁻¹

285	¹ added C and 50 mg N g^{-1} added N (Table 2), which were less than twofold the mean
286	standard deviation of the observations (17 mg C g^{-1} added C and 39 mg N g^{-1} added N).
287	When using method M2, which included a calibration per EOM subgroup (i.e., all the
288	EOMs within the same EOM subgroup had the same optimized parameters), the observed
289	variability in C and N mineralization was still reproduced by the model (Figure 4), but the
290	R^2 of the cross-validation for N mineralization decreased to 0.52. A slight bias was
291	observed for C (-11 mg C g ⁻¹ added C), and the <i>RMSE</i> increased by a 2- to 3-fold factor for
292	both C and N (Table 2).
293	When the calibration was based on EOM characteristics (including EOM subgroup) (M3),

2/5 When the earloration was based on EOW characteristics (meruding EOW subgroup) (W5),

the model performance based on the validation dataset was better than the performance

- 295 observed for method M2, since the *RMSE* of mineralized C dropped from 99 to 65 mg C g⁻
- ¹ added C and the *RMSE* of mineralized N decreased from 126 to 110 mg N g^{-1} added N.

297 Regardless of the calibration method, the model performance strongly varied with EOM 298 subgroup (Tables S3 and S4, Appendix B, online supporting information). For example,

299 the highest *RMSE* was often associated with the EOM that had the highest mineralization

300 (e.g. algae (OTH_ALG) and agri-industrial wastewater (OTH_AGRWW)).

301 3.3 Parameters of the calibration per EOM subgroup

294

For calibration method M2, a set of parameters was proposed for each EOM subgroup (Table 3). In the absence of a specific incubation for a given EOM or of its characteristics (e.g., I_{ROC}), these parameters can be used to simulate C and N mineralization with acceptable accuracy (section 3.2). The parameters greatly varied according to EOM subgroup. The labile fraction of the residues (*RES1*) varied between 0.13 for the green waste compost (C_GW) and 1.00 for vinasse (OTH_VIN) and algae (OTH_ALG). The microbial assimilation yield (*Y*) ranged from 0.10 (for example, in chicken droppings

309 (AM_CD)) to 0.60 in green waste and sludge compost (C_GWS). The parameter aCN_1 was 310 greater than 1 for all EOMs except SS_AGR, indicating that the C:N ratio of the labile 311 pool (CN_{res1}) was greater than the C:N ratio of the recalcitrant pool (CN_{res2}). The 312 corresponding simulated C and N mineralization dynamics for the different EOM 313 subgroups are presented in Figure S1 (Appendix C, online supporting information).

314 3.4 Parameters of the calibration per EOM subgroup and with EOM characteristics

315 For calibration method M3, the relationships between the model parameters and EOM 316 characteristics were established by considering the significant relationships observed 317 between the optimized parameters of EOM in the first calibration method (M1) and EOM 318 characteristics (Figure S2). The labile fractions of the EOMs (RES1) decreased with 319 increasing values of I_{ROC} (R²=0.61 with EOM subgroup as a covariable, $R^2 = 0.49$ for all 320 the EOMs together). The decomposition rate (K_{res}) increased with C_{3d} according to a 321 logarithmic relationship ($R^2 = 0.34$ with EOM subgroup as a covariable, $R^2 = 0.21$ for all 322 the EOMs together). The other significant relationships were not considered because they 323 did not improve the residual variance. The aforementioned linear relationships were 324 introduced in calibration method M3 to predict the EOM parameters of the model (Table 4 325 and Table S7, Appendix B, online supporting information). EOM subgroup was added as a 326 covariable due to the systematic improvement in R^2 when using it.

327 **4 Discussion**

328 4.1 Variability in EOM C and N mineralization

The comparison of a wide range of EOMs highlighted some important differences in C and N mineralization among the EOMs (Figure 2, Figure 3). While C mineralization from the EOMs was generally low for composts, C mineralization can be high for certain EOMs, such as chicken droppings, animal residues or vinasses. The rate of N mineralization from

333 the EOMs exhibited even more variability between EOM subgroups (e.g., important net N 334 mineralization for chicken droppings and strong net N immobilization for horse manure). 335 Considering the organic N content of these two EOMs (Table 1), their typical water 336 content (60% and 30%, respectively, personal data) and their typical application rate (20 337 and 3 t ha⁻¹, respectively, personal data), the input of organic N would represent approximately 100 kg ha⁻¹ in both cases, thus leading to a net immobilization of 338 339 42 kg N ha⁻¹ for horse manure and a net mineralization of 30 kg N ha⁻¹ for chicken 340 droppings after one year in the field. Despite the high immobilization caused by horse 341 manure in the first phase, this EOM could have a fertilizing value during the second phase, 342 in which N is slowly released (Figure 2). Moreover, it is important to highlight that these 343 high values of N immobilization represents only the potential immobilization, which can 344 be reached only when the soil mineral N content is large enough and is a nonlimiting factor 345 in decomposition. The hierarchy of amendment and fertilizing values between the EOM 346 subgroups is in line with existing literature (Lazicki et al., 2020; Mondini et al., 2017; 347 Noirot-Cosson et al., 2017), even though the comparison of so many EOM subgroups is 348 rare. The hierarchy of mineralized C and N after an incubation duration equivalent to one 349 year in the field between the EOM subgroups also reflected their contribution to the SOC 350 (inverse relationship) observed in the field by various authors (Gerzabek et al., 1997; 351 Levavasseur et al., 2020) and to N short-term supply (Gutser et al., 2005).

In addition to the differences between EOM subgroups, a high variability in C and N mineralization existed inside each EOM subgroup (Figure 3). This result highlighted the need for a detailed characterization of EOMs, either through laboratory incubations, or with indicators that are easier to retrieve, such as the I_{ROC} indicator based on biochemical fractionation (Lashermes et al., 2009), to determine the contribution of EOMs to SOC. We found a strong correlation between the mineralized C after an incubation duration

358 equivalent to one year in the field and I_{ROC} ($R^2 = 0.67$), which was expected because I_{ROC} 359 was defined as a predictor of residual C in soils under laboratory incubation. Our study, 360 however, validates the interest of IROC for a wider range of EOMs, including for some 361 EOM subgroups not used in the I_{ROC} calibration such as digestates (R^2 between mineralized 362 C and *I_{ROC}* equal to 0.62). The mineralized N after an incubation duration equivalent to one 363 year in the field was less but significantly correlated with EOM characteristics (R^2 with 364 *CN_{res}* equal to 0.32), and the correlation was higher for some EOM subgroups (e.g., animal 365 residues (OTH AR)). This result contradicts a study showing that CN_{res} was a good 366 predictor of N mineralization ($R^2 = 0.94$) (Lazicki et al., 2020) but confirms another study 367 pointing out that CN_{res} is not sufficient for predicting the N mineralization of EOMs of 368 various qualities (Bonanomi et al., 2019). The limited capacity of this parameter to predict 369 N mineralization could be explained by the great diversity of EOMs used in our study. For 370 example, some anaerobic digestates exhibited low CN_{res} and induced N immobilization, in 371 opposition to other EOM with low CN_{res} like sewage sludge. Moreover, the use of 372 incubations realized in different experimental conditions in our study (temperature, water 373 content) and their "standardization" using the concept of normalized time (Mary et al., 374 1996) could partly weaken the relationship between EOM characteristics and EOM 375 mineralization for all the incubation taken together, even if most of the EOM incubation 376 that we used were realized in similar conditions (Tables S1 and S2).

377 Despite the interest in EOM characteristics, they were not sufficient to predict the 378 dynamics of C and N mineralization in various soil and climate conditions, which required 379 the use of a well-calibrated decomposition model.

380 4.2 Model performance

381 The calibration of individual EOMs in the model yielded good model performances for all 382 the EOM subgroups, with *RMSE* values equal to 32 mg C g^{-1} added C and 50 mg N g^{-1}

¹ added N and an *R*² equal to 0.92 and 0.68 for C and N mineralization, respectively. These 383 384 performances are similar to those reported for other models for a less diverse groups of 385 EOMs (Gale et al., 2006; Mohanty et al., 2011; Mondini et al., 2017). It is also comparable 386 to the performance obtained with the STICS model for crop residues (Justes et al., 2009). 387 When the same set of parameters was used for all the EOMs of the same EOM subgroup, 388 the model performances decreased for C and N, due to the diversity of EOMs within each 389 subgroup. The simulation of C mineralization was improved when the EOM characteristics 390 (*I*_{ROC} and C_{3ds}) were accounted for in the model parameterization. However, the EOM 391 characteristics were not sufficient for the determination of decomposition parameters 392 capable of simulating the N mineralization kinetics very accurately. Such a result was 393 found by Noirot-Cosson et al. (2017) and Monhanty et al. (2011).

394 Regarding the model performances within the three calibration methods, we recommend 395 that a specific EOM laboratory incubation is used to calibrate the model (M1 method) and 396 to most accurately predict its potential behavior in the field, when the user considers a 397 specific EOM (Figure 5). However, model users often do not have any information on the particular EOM, except the quantity applied. In that case, we showed that a calibration 398 399 depending only on its subgroup (M2 method) bring some insights that are sufficient to test 400 some global EOM application scenarios. We do not recommend to use the M2 "default" 401 method to simulate accurately the effects of a specific EOM in case of repeated application 402 so as not to accumulate over time the error in the estimates. As an alternative to the latter 403 case, we highly recommend the addition of EOM easily measurable characteristics to calibrate the model (M3 method), as tested in Levavasseur et al. (2021) for the simulation 404 405 of a long term experiment. The quality of the calibration methods M2 and M3 varied 406 according to the considered EOM subgroup (Table S5 and S6). We recommend the user to 407 consider the EOM subgroup to determine whether a specific calibration of the modeled

408 EOM (M1) is required or not and to put it in perspective with the objective and 409 characteristics of the modeling study (e.g., importance of EOM application in the 410 scenarios).

411 Other EOM characteristics not considered here might contribute to improving the 412 prediction of EOM model parameters and, subsequently, the simulation of C and N 413 mineralization. For example, the C:N ratio of biochemical fractions has been shown to be 414 useful in predicting N mineralization (Morvan & Nicolardot, 2009; Parnaudeau et al., 415 2004) as well as 13C-CPMAS NMR spectral regions (Bonanomi et al., 2019; Pansu et al., 416 2017). However, these characteristics were not available in the EOM database we used and 417 are not usually available outside research laboratories. The identification of readily 418 available EOM characteristics that can be used to calibrate decomposition models remains 419 a challenge.

420 4.3 Model hypotheses

421 The modification of the STICS model proposed by Levavasseur et al. (2021) to model 422 EOM decomposition was used to simulate a wide range of EOM decomposition levels in 423 our study. This modification includes the addition of a recalcitrant pool of EOM that is 424 directly incorporated in the soil active OM and is not assimilated by the microbial biomass, 425 assuming that this recalcitrant pool results from the previous digestion of raw matter by 426 microbial biomass (in animal guts or during treatments). This pool is considered to have 427 the same dynamics as the active pool in the STICS model. Several authors have 428 hypothesized that EOM is composed of at least two dynamic pools (Gijsman et al., 2002; 429 Mondini et al., 2017) to simulate EOM decomposition; however, this distinction was not 430 necessary for simulating crop residue decomposition in the STICS model, which 431 considered a single dynamic pool (Justes et al., 2009). Whereas the decay rate of the recalcitrant pool of EOM was optimized and inferior to the decay rate of the soil active 432

433 OM in the study of Levavasseur et al. (2021), this decay rate was set equal to that of the 434 soil active OM in the present study. This simplification caused some overestimation of C 435 mineralization for very stable EOMs, such as composts (Figure 4). The plateau of C 436 mineralization usually observed in laboratory incubations could not be properly simulated 437 with this model. However, this modification was made to limit the number of parameters in 438 the STICS model. Moreover, several authors have suggested that SOM stability after EOM 439 application is not modified (Liu et al., 2018; Luan et al., 2019), even though another study 440 suggested the opposite (Peltre et al., 2017). Levavasseur et al. (2020) successfully 441 simulated carbon storage in long-term field experiments with EOM application using the 442 AMG model without assuming a greater stability of EOM in comparison to that of SOM. 443 Because the STICS and AMG formalisms for SOC are very similar (i.e., SOC is divided 444 into an active and a stable pool, and the mineralization function is the same) (Clivot et al., 445 2019), the STICS model should also allow the simulation of C and N dynamics of EOMs 446 without assuming a greater stability of those EOMs, i.e., by allocating EOMs only to soil 447 active OM (either directly, or after soil microbial biomass assimilation, Figure 1). Directly 448 allocating the recalcitrant fractions of EOMs to soil active OM could change the soil C:N 449 ratio after repeated applications of the EOMs. This effect is consistent with the fact that soil C:N usually increases with SOC content (Mooshammer et al., 2014). Other 450 451 simplifications made in our study include the use of a constant humification yield (H) of 452 microbial necromass and a constant C:N ratio of microbial biomass (CN_{bio}), in line with 453 recent knowledge about SOM formation (Miltner et al., 2012; Mooshammer et al., 2014). 454 However, the *H* value retained in our study is higher than the value reported in the study of 455 Miltner et al. (2012). Concerning the decay rate of the labile pool K_{res} , the calibration method M1 gave some optimized values equal to the minimal (0.005 day⁻¹) or maximal 456 457 (0.7 day⁻¹) value for some EOM (Figure S2). The use of lower minimal and higher

maximal values could improve the simulation. However, we decided to keep these limits to
prevent both an accumulation of the labile pool in soil (which would not be consistent) and
unrealistic decomposition in only a few days.

461 The use of EOM incubations to calibrate the decomposition module of the STICS model 462 and predict EOM decomposition under field conditions requires some correction factors 463 regarding water content and temperature. The use of EOM incubations run under different 464 conditions (temperature, soil water content, soil type) could have introduced errors into the 465 EOM calibration, because of the uncertainty associated with these correction factors. 466 However, most of the EOM incubations used in this study were realized in similar conditions (loamy soil, 28°C, water content close to or equal to field capacity, Tables S1 467 468 and S2). The effects of soil type, water content, temperature and N mineral availability are 469 already taken into account in the STICS soil-crop model to calculate EOM mineralization 470 in situ (Brisson et al., 2008). The correction factors should allow the extrapolation of 471 laboratory incubations to field conditions (Gale et al., 2006). However, laboratory 472 incubations are usually realized with dried and crushed EOM, which can influence the 473 mineralization of C and, especially, N (Le Roux et al., 2016). Correction factors that 474 consider EOM preparation before incubation should also be proposed.

475 Finally, beyond the STICS users, the decomposition module (Appendix A) and the
476 calibrations proposed in this paper could be used outside the STICS model to predict the C
477 and N mineralization of a large diversity of EOMs.

478 **5** Conclusions

We quantified the C and N mineralization of a wide range of EOMs based on a database of
more than 600 EOM incubations, from five groups (animal manures, composts, sewage
sludges, digestates, and others) and 26 subgroups (e.g., bovine manure, green waste

482 compost). This represents one of the largest and most diversified syntheses of EOM 483 incubation data. The results indicated a wide diversity in EOM contributions to C storage 484 in soil and N supply for crops, both between EOM subgroups and within EOMs of the 485 same subgroup. The EOM incubations were used to calibrate a simple generic 486 decomposition model included in the STICS soil-crop model to simulate EOM 487 decomposition. Individual EOM calibration yielded the best model performances for the 488 simulation of C and N mineralization and is the recommended calibration method of the 489 STICS model for accurate simulations of scenarios of application of a specific EOM in 490 field conditions. In the absence of EOM incubation data, we proposed two calibration 491 methods for the 26 different subgroups of EOMs in the STICS model: using either a 492 unique calibration per EOM subgroup or EOM characteristics as predictors of model 493 parameters. Although these two calibration methods decreased model performances, they 494 allow the prediction with a reasonable performance of the EOM contribution to soil C 495 storage or to mineral N supply to determine the best practices for their use (amount, period 496 of application, fertilized crops, etc.). Future research is needed to better predict the EOM 497 parameters from easily available EOM characteristics and verify the extrapolation of 498 laboratory incubation to field conditions.

499 6 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the companies LDAR, Auréa, Rittmo and Frayssinet for providing someof the EOM incubation data.

503 **7 References**

- AFNOR. (2009). Norme XP U 44-163. Amendements organiques et supports de culture—
 Caractérisation de la matière organique par la minéralisation potentielle du carbone
 et de l'azote.
- Bol, R., Moering, J., Kuzyakov, Y., & Amelung, W. (2003). Quantification of priming and
 CO2 respiration sources following slurry-C incorporation into two grassland soils
 with different C content. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 17(23),
 2585–2590. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.1184
- 511 Bonanomi, G., Sarker, T. C., Zotti, M., Cesarano, G., Allevato, E., & Mazzoleni, S. (2019).
- 512 Predicting nitrogen mineralization from organic amendments: Beyond C/N ratio by
- 513
 13C-CPMAS
 NMR
 approach.
 Plant
 and
 Soil,
 441(1),
 129–146.

 514
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-019-04099-6

 <td
- 515 Brisson, N., Launay, M., Mary, B., & Beaudoin, N. (2008). Conceptual Basis,
 516 Formalisations and Parameterization of the STICS Crop Model (Editions Quae).
- 517 Byrd, R., Lu, P., Nocedal, J., & Zhu, C. (1995). A Limited Memory Algorithm for Bound
 518 Constrained Optimization. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 16(5), 1190–
 519 1208. https://doi.org/10.1137/0916069
- 520 Chenu, C., Angers, D. A., Barré, P., Derrien, D., Arrouays, D., & Balesdent, J. (2019).
 521 Increasing organic stocks in agricultural soils: Knowledge gaps and potential
 522 innovations. Soil and Tillage Research, 188, 41–52.
 523 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2018.04.011
- 524 Clivot, H., Mary, B., Valé, M., Cohan, J.-P., Champolivier, L., Piraux, F., Laurent, F., &
 525 Justes, E. (2017). Quantifying in situ and modeling net nitrogen mineralization
 526 from soil organic matter in arable cropping systems. Soil Biology and
 527 Biochemistry, 111, 44–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.03.010

- 528 Clivot, H., Mouny, J.-C., Duparque, A., Dinh, J.-L., Denoroy, P., Houot, S., Vertès, F.,
- 529 Trochard, R., Bouthier, A., Sagot, S., & Mary, B. (2019). Modeling soil organic
- 530 carbon evolution in long-term arable experiments with AMG model. Environmental
- 531 Modelling & Software, 118, 99–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2019.04.004
- 532 Delin, S., Stenberg, B., Nyberg, A., & Brohede, L. (2012). Potential methods for
 533 estimating nitrogen fertilizer value of organic residues. Soil Use and Management,

534 28(3), 283–291. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.2012.00417.x

- 535 Gale, E. S., Sullivan, D. M., Cogger, C. G., Bary, A. I., Hemphill, D. D., & Myhre, E. A.
- 536 (2006). Estimating Plant-Available Nitrogen Release from Manures, Composts, and
 537 Specialty Products. Journal of Environmental Quality, 35(6), 2321–2332.
 538 https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2006.0062
- Gerzabek, M. h., Pichlmayer, F., Kirchmann, H., & Haberhauer, G. (1997). The response
 of soil organic matter to manure amendments in a long-term experiment at Ultuna,
 Sweden. European Journal of Soil Science, 48(2), 273–282.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1997.tb00547.x
- Gijsman, A. J., Hoogenboom, G., Parton, W. J., & Kerridge, P. C. (2002). Modifying
 DSSAT Crop Models for Low-Input Agricultural Systems Using a Soil Organic
 Matter–Residue Module from CENTURY. Agronomy Journal, 94(3), 462–474.
 https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2002.4620
- 547 Gómez-Muñoz, B., Magid, J., & Jensen, L. S. (2017). Nitrogen turnover, crop use
 548 efficiency and soil fertility in a long-term field experiment amended with different
 549 qualities of urban and agricultural waste. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment,
- 550 240, 300–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.01.030
- 551 Gutser, R., Ebertseder, Th., Weber, A., Schraml, M., & Schmidhalter, U. (2005). Short-552 term and residual availability of nitrogen after long-term application of organic

553 fertilizers on arable land. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science, 168(4), 439–

554 446. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200520510

- Justes, E., Mary, B., & Nicolardot, B. (2009). Quantifying and modelling C and N
 mineralization kinetics of catch crop residues in soil: Parameterization of the
 residue decomposition module of STICS model for mature and non mature
 residues. Plant and Soil, 325(1–2), 171–185. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-0099966-4
- Lashermes, G., Nicolardot, B., Parnaudeau, V., Thuriès, L., Chaussod, R., Guillotin, M. L.,
 Linères, M., Mary, B., Metzger, L., Morvan, T., Tricaud, A., Villette, C., & Houot,
 S. (2009). Indicator of potential residual carbon in soils after exogenous organic
 matter application. European Journal of Soil Science, 60(2), 297–310.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2008.01110.x
- Lashermes, G., Nicolardot, B., Parnaudeau, V., Thuriès, L., Chaussod, R., Guillotin, M. L.,
 Linères, M., Mary, B., Metzger, L., Morvan, T., Tricaud, A., Villette, C., & Houot,
 S. (2010). Typology of exogenous organic matters based on chemical and
 biochemical composition to predict potential nitrogen mineralization. Bioresource
 Technology, 101(1), 157–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2009.08.025
- 570 Lazicki, P., Geisseler, D., & Lloyd, M. (2020). Nitrogen mineralization from organic
 571 amendments is variable but predictable. Journal of Environmental Quality, 49(2),
 572 483–495. https://doi.org/10.1002/jeq2.20030
- Le Roux, C., Damay, N., Servain, F., Machet, J. M., Houot, S., & Recous, S. (2016, June
 27). Effects of crushing and drying organic products on their nitrogen and carbon
 mineralization in soil incubations. 19 th Nitrogen Workshop Efficient use of
 different sources of Nitrogen in agriculture from theory to practice, Skara,
 Sweden.

- 578 Lee, Z. M., & Schmidt, T. M. (2014). Bacterial growth efficiency varies in soils under
 579 different land management practices. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 69, 282–290.
 580 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.11.012
- Levavasseur, F., Mary, B., Christensen, B. T., Duparque, A., Ferchaud, F., Kätterer, T.,
 Lagrange, H., Montenach, D., Resseguier, C., & Houot, S. (2020). The simple
 AMG model accurately simulates organic carbon storage in soils after repeated
 application of exogenous organic matter. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-020-10065-x
- Levavasseur, F., Mary, B., & Houot, S. (2021). C and N dynamics with repeated organic
 amendments can be simulated with the STICS model. Nutrient Cycling in
 Agroecosystems. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-020-10106-5
- Liu, H., Zhang, J., Ai, Z., Wu, Y., Xu, H., Li, Q., Xue, S., & Liu, G. (2018). 16-Year
 fertilization changes the dynamics of soil oxidizable organic carbon fractions and
 the stability of soil organic carbon in soybean-corn agroecosystem. Agriculture,
 Ecosystems & Environment, 265, 320–330.

593 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.06.032

- Luan, H., Gao, W., Huang, S., Tang, J., Li, M., Zhang, H., & Chen, X. (2019). Partial
 substitution of chemical fertilizer with organic amendments affects soil organic
 carbon composition and stability in a greenhouse vegetable production system. Soil
 and Tillage Research, 191, 185–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2019.04.009
- Mary, B., Recous, S., Darwis, D., & Robin, D. (1996). Interactions between decomposition
 of plant residues and nitrogen cycling in soil. Plant and Soil, 181(1), 71–82.
- 600 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00011294

- 601 Miltner, A., Bombach, P., Schmidt-Brücken, B., & Kästner, M. (2012). SOM genesis:
- Microbial biomass as a significant source. Biogeochemistry, 111(1), 41–55.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-011-9658-z
- Mohanty, M., Reddy, K. S., Probert, M. E., Dalal, R. C., Rao, A. S., & Menzies, N. W.
- 605 (2011). Modelling N mineralization from green manure and farmyard manure from
 606 a laboratory incubation study. Ecological Modelling, 222(3), 719–726.
 607 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2010.10.027
- Mondini, C., Cayuela, M. L., Sinicco, T., Fornasier, F., Galvez, A., & Sánchez-Monedero,
- 609 M. A. (2017). Modification of the RothC model to simulate soil C mineralization of
- 610 exogenous organic matter. Biogeosciences, 14(13), 3253–3274.
 611 https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-3253-2017
- 612 Mooshammer, M., Wanek, W., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., & Richter, A. A. (2014).
- 614 resources: Mechanisms and implications of microbial adaptations to their resources.

Stoichiometric imbalances between terrestrial decomposer communities and their

615 Frontiers in Microbiology, 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00022

- 616 Morvan, T., & Nicolardot, B. (2009). Role of organic fractions on C decomposition and N
- 617 mineralization of animal wastes in soil. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 45(5), 477–
 618 486. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-009-0355-1
- 619 Nicolardot, B., Recous, S., & Mary, B. (2001). Simulation of C and N mineralisation 620 during crop residue decomposition: A simple dynamic model based on the C:N of 621 ratio the residues. Plant and Soil, 228(1),83-103. 622 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004813801728
- Noirot-Cosson, P. E., Dhaouadi, K., Etievant, V., Vaudour, E., & Houot, S. (2017).
 Parameterisation of the NCSOIL model to simulate C and N short-term

- 625 mineralisation of exogenous organic matter in different soils. Soil Biology and 626 Biochemistry, 104, 128–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.10.015
- 627 Noirot-Cosson, P. E., Vaudour, E., Gilliot, J. M., Gabrielle, B., & Houot, S. (2016).
- 628 Modelling the long-term effect of urban waste compost applications on carbon and 629 nitrogen dynamics in temperate cropland. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 94, 138–
- 630 153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.11.014
- Pansu, M., & Thuriès, L. (2003). Kinetics of C and N mineralization, N immobilization
 and N volatilization of organic inputs in soil. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 35(1),

633 37–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00234-1

- 634 Pansu, M., Thuriès, L. J.-M., Soares, V. F., Simões, M. L., & Neto, L. M. (2017). 635 Modelling the transformation of organic materials in soil with nuclear magnetic 636 European Journal of Soil Science, 68(1). resonance spectra. 90–104. 637 https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12405
- Parnaudeau, V., Nicolardot, B., & Pagès, J. (2004). Relevance of Organic Matter Fractions
 as Predictors of Wastewater Sludge Mineralization in Soil. Journal of
 Environmental Quality, 33(5), 1885–1894. https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2004.1885
- Peltre, C., Gregorich, E. G., Bruun, S., Jensen, L. S., & Magid, J. (2017). Repeated
 application of organic waste affects soil organic matter composition: Evidence from
 thermal analysis, FTIR-PAS, amino sugars and lignin biomarkers. Soil Biology and
 Biochemistry, 104, 117–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.10.016
- Pinto, R., Brito, L. M., & Coutinho, J. (2020). Nitrogen Mineralization from Organic
 Amendments Predicted by Laboratory and Field Incubations. Communications in
 Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 51(4), 515–526.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2020.1717510

- 649 Recous, S., Robin, D., Darwis, D., & Mary, B. (1995). Soil inorganic N availability: Effect
- on maize residue decomposition. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 27(12), 1529–
 1538. https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-0717(95)00096-W
- 652 Sauvadet, M., Lashermes, G., Alavoine, G., Recous, S., Chauvat, M., Maron, P.-A., &
- Bertrand, I. (2018). High carbon use efficiency and low priming effect promote soil
- 654 C stabilization under reduced tillage. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 123, 64–73.

655 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.04.026

- 656 Spohn, M., Klaus, K., Wanek, W., & Richter, A. (2016). Microbial carbon use efficiency
- and biomass turnover times depending on soil depth Implications for carbon
 cycling. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 96, 74–81.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.01.016
- 660 Van Soest, P. J., & Wine, R. H. (1967). Use of detergents in the analysis of fibrous feeds.
- 661 IV. Determination of plant cell-wall constituents. Journal of the Association of662 Official Analytical Chemists, 50, 50–55.
- 663

8 Tables

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Wiley in Soil Use and Management on 21 July 2021, available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12745

667 **Table 1** Main characteristics of the EOM database

Group	Subgroup	Code	No. of	Corg (g kg ⁻¹ DM)		Norg (g kg ⁻¹ DM)		Corg:Norg		N_{min} (g kg ⁻¹ DM)		I_{ROC}^{*} (%)	
			samples	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Animal manures	Bovine manure	AM_BM	45	359	69	21.1	5.2	18.1	6.5	1.3	1.4	59	13
	Bovine slurry	AM_BS	3	360	25	33.7	11.2	12.0	5.2	25.6	11.3	51	6
	Chicken droppings	AM_CD	8	398	147	45.2	17.4	9.6	3.8	2.9	2.2	27	21
	Horse manure	AM_HM	8	407	41	12.4	2.4	33.6	5.5	0.5	0.5	50	5
	Other manures	AM_OTH	8	366	75	32.9	12.8	12.9	5.2	24.8	40.0	58	8
	Pig manure	AM_PIM	6	428	29	18.1	3.9	24.9	6.9	2.4	1.8	57	12
	Pig slurry	AM_PIS	10	409	106	37.8	17.1	11.9	3.6	30.7	30.2	47	10
	Poultry manure	AM_POM	15	353	66	26.1	8.5	14.2	3.0	4.0	4.6	47	19
Composts	Composted animal manure	C_AM	39	322	81	22.0	6.8	14.9	5.4	1.4	1.8	67	14
	Biowaste and green waste compost	C_BIO	52	212	61	16.3	4.5	13.1	2.4	0.4	0.6	75	9
	Green waste compost	C_GW	25	247	57	15.7	5.3	18.2	11.0	0.3	1.3	80	7
	Green waste and animal manure compost	C_GW+AM	9	213	98	17.6	8.7	12.4	2.1	2.1	2.1	62	12
	Green waste and sludge compost	C_GWS	71	257	64	20.0	5.2	13.6	4.8	2.2	1.8	79	10
	Municipal solid waste compost	C_MSW	89	253	72	13.1	4.1	20.1	5.8	0.8	1.0	55	15
	Other composts	C_OTH	41	299	112	17.5	8.7	23.4	22.6	1.0	1.5	69	15
	Pig slurry compost (various cosubstrates)	C_PIS	11	338	66	22.0	10.3	18.9	10.0	3.9	4.3	64	13
Digestates	All digestates	DIG	54	346	90	26.6	15.1	15.9	7.1	36.4	66.3	66	13
Sewage	Agro-industrial sludges	SS_AGR	11	235	143	28.6	24.4	24.5	33.8	3.3	6.2	52	15
sludges	Other sludges	SS_OTH	6	341	42	41.4	18.0	10.5	7.2	2.4	2.0	61	3
	Urban sludges	SS_URB	53	294	86	39.4	17.5	8.9	7.1	4.4	6.1	49	17
Others	Agro-industrial wastewater	OTH_AGRWW	23	312	158	8.7	0.7	23.4	19.1	10.0	8.3	-	-
	Algae	OTH_ALG	5	155	51	13.0	4.3	12.4	1.9	0.1	0.0	21	22
	Animal residues (feather meal, etc.)	OTH_AR	6	476	61	103.4	59.5	7.7	6.7	5.9	7.0	51	23
	Others	OTH_OTH	38	293	132	37.0	37.2	13.8	10.9	3.6	8.5	46	23
	Sugarbeet vinasse	OTH_VIN	8	352	26	34.0	7.9	11.9	2.9	1.2	0.7	-	-
	Vegetal residues (bark, grape marc, etc.)	OTH_VR	19	483	47	22.7	17.7	38.5	31.4	0.5	1.4	63	16

^{*} Indicator of residual organic carbon in soils (Lashermes et al., 2009)

- 669 **Table 2** Model performance per incubation for all the EOMs together according to the
- 670 calibration method (mean calibration and validation performance of the cross-validation
- 671 iterations for methods M2 and M3) (ME: mean error, RMSE: root mean square error, R²:
- 672 coefficient of determination).

	Evaluation	Carbon mit $(mg C g^{-1})$	N (n			
Calibration method	dataset	ME	RMSE	R ²	ME	RMSE	R²
M1: Individual EOM	Calibration	5	32	0.92	2	50	0.68
calibration							
M2: Calibration per	Calibration	-12	91	0.91	6	111	0.54
EOM subgroup	Validation	-11	99	0.91	4	126	0.52
M3: Calibration with	Calibration	-7	58	0.92	11	96	0.54
EOM characteristics	Validation	-7	65	0.92	11	110	0.52

673

- 675 **Table 3** Optimized parameters for the STICS decomposition module per EOM subgroup
- 676 (obtained with the whole EOM dataset used for calibration method M2). *K*_{bio}, *H* and *CN*_{bio}
- 677 were fixed at 0.0076 day⁻¹, 0.88 and 7.0, respectively. The meaning of the EOM subgroup can
- 678 be found in Table 1.

EOM subgroup	K_{res} (day ⁻¹)	RES_1	Y	a _{CN1}
AM_BM	0.025	0.24	0.32	3.09
AM_BS	0.062	0.42	0.39	2.14
AM_CD	0.077	0.46	0.10	1.37
AM_HM	0.028	0.48	0.31	10.00
AM_OTH	0.005	1.00	0.53	1.02
AM_PIM	0.011	0.25	0.35	1.14
AM_PIS	0.048	0.40	0.10	5.25
AM_POM	0.055	0.46	0.34	1.99
C_AM	0.005	0.33	0.44	2.07
C_BIO	0.005	0.16	0.50	10.00
C_GW	0.005	0.13	0.60	10.00
C_GW+AM	0.026	0.29	0.56	10.00
C_GWS	0.005	0.18	0.60	5.58
C_MSW	0.059	0.44	0.50	1.65
C_OTH	0.005	0.19	0.58	4.29
C_PIS	0.036	0.34	0.57	1.04
DIG	0.024	0.24	0.25	10.00
SS_AGR	0.090	0.29	0.20	0.76
SS_OTH	0.076	0.48	0.52	1.04
SS_URB	0.072	0.53	0.40	1.21
OTH_AGRWW	0.141	0.64	0.38	1.71
OTH_ALG	0.069	1.00	0.25	2.38
OTH_AR	0.068	0.77	0.45	1.27
OTH_OTH	0.069	0.45	0.33	1.45
OTH_VIN	0.114	1.00	0.45	1.12
OTH_VR	0.013	0.35	0.39	10.00

679

- **Table 4** Calibration for the STICS model per EOM subgroup and according to the EOM
- 682 characteristics (M3) (the numerical values of α_{res1} , β_{res1} , α_{kres} , β_{kres} , Y, α_{CN1} per EOM subgroup
- 683 are given in Table S7)

Parameter	Calibration per EOM subgroup
RES ₁	$\alpha_{res1} + \beta_{res1} \times I_{ROC}$
RES_2	$1 - RES_2$
Kres	$\exp(\alpha_{kres} + \beta_{kres} \times C_{3d})$
Kbio	0.0076
Y	Y
Н	0.88
CN _{bio}	7.0
acni	acni

686 9 Figure legends

- Figure 1 Decomposition model of EOM in the modified version of the STICS model. The C fluxes are represented as solid black lines, and the N fluxes are represented as dashed gray lines.
- 690 RES₁ and RES₂ are the proportions of C from the EOMs in the labile pool and recalcitrant
- 691 pools, respectively, with $RES_2 = 1 RES_1$
- 692 *CNres, CNres1* and *CNres2* are the C:N ratios of the EOM and the labile and recalcitrant pools,
- 693 respectively, and a_{CN1} is the ratio CN_{res1} : CN_{res}
- 694 *K*_{res1} is the decomposition rate of the labile pool
- 695 *Y* is the C assimilation yield of the labile pool
- 696 CN_{bio} , CN_a and CN_s are the C:N ratios of the microbial biomass, and active and stable pools, 697 respectively
- K_{bio} and K_a are the decay rates of the zymogenous biomass and the active soil organic matter pools, respectively
- 700 *H* is the C humification yield.

Figure 2 Observed mineralized organic carbon and nitrogen for the different groups of EOMs in laboratory incubations. Each gray line represents an EOM incubation, while the colored lines represent fitted local polynomial regressions per EOM subgroup (performed with the loess function in R). Very few data on N mineralization are lower than -100% and the Y-axis was cut to -100% to improve the visibility. The meaning of the EOM subgroup abbreviations can be found in Table 1.

- 707 **Figure 3** Observed mineralized carbon and net mineralized nitrogen for each EOM group and
- subgroup after incubation for a duration equivalent to one year under field conditions. The
- meaning of the EOM subgroup abbreviations can be found in Table 1.
- 710 **Figure 4** Observed and simulated values of carbon and nitrogen mineralization for each EOM
- and sampling date, according to the calibration method (results obtained by using the whole
- 712 EOM dataset for calibration).
- 713 **Figure 5**. Conceptual diagram for the calibration of an EOM in the decomposition model.







Net mineralized organic C

Carbon mineralization



Sewage sludges
 Other EOMs

Experimental data from laboratory incubation for the considered EOM ?

