



Kinetic derivation of Cahn-Hilliard fluid models

Vincent Giovangigli

► To cite this version:

| Vincent Giovangigli. Kinetic derivation of Cahn-Hilliard fluid models. 2021. hal-03323739v1

HAL Id: hal-03323739

<https://hal.science/hal-03323739v1>

Preprint submitted on 22 Aug 2021 (v1), last revised 8 Nov 2021 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Kinetic derivation of Cahn-Hilliard fluid models

Vincent Giovangigli

CMAP-CNRS, École Polytechnique, Palaiseau, FRANCE

Abstract

A compressible Cahn-Hilliard fluid model is derived from the kinetic theory of dense gas mixtures. The fluid model involves a van der Waals/Cahn-Hilliard gradient energy, a generalized Korteweg's tensor, a generalized Dunn and Serrin heat flux, and Cahn-Hilliard diffusive fluxes. Starting from the BBGKY hierarchy for gas mixtures, a Chapman-Enskog method is used—with a proper scaling of the generalized Boltzmann equations—as well as higher order Taylor expansions of pair distribution functions. An Euler/van der Waals model is obtained at zeroth order while the Cahn-Hilliard fluid model is obtained at first order involving viscous, heat and diffusive fluxes. The Cahn-Hilliard extra terms are associated with intermolecular forces and pair interaction potentials.

1 Introduction

Cahn-Hilliard models of fluid mixtures describe interphases—interfaces between phases—as regions with smooth variations of physical properties [1, 2, 3]. Such diffuse interface models generalize to fluid mixtures previous models developed for single species fluids [2, 3, 4, 5]. Diffuse interface models have been used successfully to describe spinodal decomposition, droplets dynamics, three phase contact lines, surface diffusion, as well as transcritical flames [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

For single species fluids, the thermodynamics of diffuse interface models has been built by van der Waals [4] using a gradient squared term in the free energy and the corresponding capillary tensor has been derived by Korteweg [12]. The proper heat flux has been obtained by Dunn and Serrin in the framework of rational thermodynamics [13]. These equations have alternatively been obtained from Hamiltonian considerations by Gavriluk and Shugrin [14] and deduced from the kinetic theory of dense gases by Giovangigli [15].

For fluid mixtures, Cahn and Hilliard [1] first used a mole fraction gradient squared term in the free energy and later a density gradient in order to develop a thermodynamic formalism [16]. The Cahn-Hilliard equations have then been obtained in isothermal situations without convection phenomena [1], and later coupled with Navier-Stokes type equations [17, 18]. The general situation of nonisothermal Cahn-Hilliard fluids with Navier-Stokes type equations has next been investigated using mainly thermodynamical considerations [2, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. In this work, we first rederive the Cahn-Hilliard fluid equations from rational thermodynamics for completeness and in order to serve as a comparison with the kinetic theory derivation. The model obtained from rational thermodynamics, that will be in agreement with the kinetic derivation, is found to differ from previous models of the literature [2, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. The capillary force terms

in diffusion fluxes and the capillary-diffusive contributions in the heat flux are found to differ. We also establish, however, that the resulting model *essentially* agrees with the those obtained in [2, 19, 20, 23, 24], while some other models are found to be unphysical. This establishes incidentally that thermodynamic methods using entropy production rates are ambiguous for diffuse interface models since there are various terms in the entropy production rate that involve products of several gradients as for single species fluids [15].

Aside from macroscopic thermodynamical arguments, it is important to investigate Cahn-Hilliard fluids *at the molecular level*. Statistical mechanics of equilibrium systems that are highly inhomogeneous on the scale of length of intermolecular forces has been used to investigate fluid interfaces [25, 26, 27, 28, 3]. The links between interface structure, stress tensor, surface tension, pair distribution functions, and intermolecular forces have been deepened and this has led to expressions for the interfacial energy, the capillary pressure tensor and the capillary coefficient [25, 26, 27, 28, 3].

Considering nonequilibrium systems, the kinetic theory of gases has been used in various contexts in order to investigate phase transitions as described in the review paper by Frezzotti and Barbante [29]. Liquid-vapor phase changes have notably been investigated by employing linearized Boltzmann equations with condensation-evaporation boundary conditions [30, 31, 32]. Vlasov-Enskog type equations have also been used to investigate spatial aspects of phase transition [33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45]. Detailed molecular dynamics of Lennard-Jones fluids have further been performed by Frezzotti et al. [46] and compared to capillary fluid models with a general very good agreement. Concerning the derivation from the kinetic theory of diffuse interface models for single species fluids, a notable achievement was that of Rocard who derived the capillary force acting locally in a fluid using a Taylor expansion of the pair distribution function [5, 47]. Rocard recovered the Korteweg tensor and expressed the capillary coefficient in term of the interaction potential. Piechór also investigated the links between kinetic nonlocal stresses and Korteweg’s tensor using an Enskog-Vlasov equation [37]. An elegant minimum BGK-Vlasov model has also been introduced by Takata and Noguchi [39] with nonideal effect mediated through a nonlocal self-consistent force term and collisions acting as a thermal bath. A gradient type energy has been obtained in the continuum limit as well a single-species Cahn-Hilliard type equation an isothermal framework [39] and these results have then been generalized to take into account temperature variations [45]. Still considering single species fluids, a full derivation of nonisothermal capillary fluid equations from the kinetic theory of dense gases has been obtained by the Giovangigli [15]. However, to the best of the author’s knowledge, a complete derivation of Cahn-Hilliard fluid mixture models from the kinetic theory of dense gas mixtures is still missing is the object of this work. The resulting model will then be compared with that obtained from rational thermodynamics.

The kinetic theory of dense gas mixtures is based on generalized Boltzmann equations for the species one-particle distribution functions [48, 49, 50], generalizing the situation of single species fluids [51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57]. Such Boltzmann equations are obtained from the two first BBGKY hierarchy equations and involve cluster expansions as well as Bogoliubov’s functional property. A key point in such generalized Boltzmann equations, allowing the derivation of Cahn-Hilliard fluids, is that the collision terms involve species one-particle distribution functions evaluated *at different spatial positions*.

With the aim of deriving fluid macroscopic equations from the kinetic model, we first introduce a new Enskog scaling for collision operators in the generalized Boltzmann equations. We next revisit and simplify the derivation of nonideal fluid equations—still without capillary effects—with viscous, heat and diffusion fluxes as investigated by Cohen

et al [49]. The new scaling clarifies the analysis of fluid equations and directly yields that the zeroth order one-particle distributions are Maxwellians. Assuming that repulsive potentials are hard, some collision integrals for dense gas mixtures are also simplified in such a way that the diffusive fluxes are directly expressed in terms of chemical potential gradients in agreement with thermodynamics. In comparison, linear system inversion have been used in previous work in order to transform fluxes expressed in terms of density gradients into fluxes expressed in terms of chemical potential gradients [49, 50]. The corresponding matrices, however, may be singular in the situation of thermodynamic instabilities. The resulting macroscopic equations are found to be that of nonideal Navier-Stokes-Fourier-Fick fluids with density dependent transport coefficients [48, 49, 50], generalizing the results obtained for single species fluids [51, 55, 56, 57, 53].

In order to recover diffuse interface models at the Euler level—the zeroth order of Enskog expansion, we introduce symmetrized zeroth order species pair distribution functions. Higher order Taylor expansions of pair distribution functions are then performed in the conservation equations. For the sake of simplicity, the kinetic derivation is performed in the situation where the capillary coefficients are independent of temperature. As a result, we obtain the Euler/van der Waals equations for fluid mixtures, extending previous results for single species fluids [15]. The internal energy includes density gradient terms, the pressure tensor involves a generalized Korteweg type tensor and the heat flux includes a Dunn and Serrin type contribution. The diffuse interface or capillary coefficients are also related to intermolecular forces and interaction potentials.

The Cahn-Hilliard fluid model is next obtained at the Navier-Stokes level—the first order of Enskog expansion—by using higher order expansions of pair distribution functions in the conservation equations and in the linearized Boltzmann equations. The symmetrized pair distribution functions already used at the Euler level yield all the relevant terms of the Cahn-Hilliard diffusion driving forces and conservation equations at first order. The alterations arising from the differences between the Bogoliubov and the symmetrized distribution functions are also investigated and shown to be negligible in the regime investigated. The resulting Cahn-Hilliard fluid equations then coincide with the model derived from rational thermodynamics, that is, the energy density, the zeroth order extra gradient terms in the pressure tensor and the heat flux coincide as well as the Cahn-Hilliard type fluxes and the capillary-diffusive heat fluxes. The energy conservation equations and the species diffusion driving forces differ from that of previous work but the differences with some previous models are found to be unessential. Finally, the Gibbsian entropy is found to coincide with the zeroth order kinetic entropy only in the absence of gradient capillary terms.

The equations governing Cahn-Hilliard fluids are investigated in Section 2 using rational thermodynamics. The kinetic theory of dense gas mixtures and the generalized Boltzmann equations are described in Section 3. The derivation of nonideal multicomponent fluid equations without capillary effects is presented in Section 4. The zeroth-order Euler/van der Waals equations are obtained in Section 5 and the first-order Cahn-Hilliard equations in Section 6. A discussion of the Cahn-Hilliard equations and their derivation is finally presented in Section 7.

2 Cahn-Hilliard fluids from rational thermodynamics

We first derive in this section the equations governing Cahn-Hilliard fluids from rational thermodynamics. These equations involve a generalized Cahn-Hilliard/van der Waals'

energy [4], a Korteweg's tensor [12], a Dunn and Serrin's heat flux [13] Cahn-Hilliard type diffusive fluxes, and a capillary-diffusive heat flux. We also address the ambiguity of rational thermodynamics—the thermodynamics of irreversible processes—for such *non-linear* problems, with the apparition of various gradients' products terms in the rate of entropy production, leading to artificial alternatives for the expression of transport fluxes.

2.1 A Cahn-Hilliard type free energy

The Cahn-Hilliard free energy per unit volume \mathcal{A} is written in the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^u + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \bar{\kappa}_{ij} \nabla \rho_i \cdot \nabla \rho_j, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathfrak{S} = \{1, \dots, n_s\}$ denotes the species indexing set, n_s the number of species, \mathcal{A}^u the bulk free energy per unit volume, ρ_i the partial density of the i th species, ∇ the usual differential operator and $\bar{\kappa}_{ij}$, $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, the mass based capillary coefficients that are symmetric $\bar{\kappa}_{ij} = \bar{\kappa}_{ji}$. The superscript u is used to denote standard or bulk phase thermodynamic properties that do not involve gradients, i.e., that are also valid for spatially uniform fluids. The free energy \mathcal{A}^u only depends on the partial densities ρ_1, \dots, ρ_n and the absolute temperature T whereas the gradient squared term $\sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \bar{\kappa}_{ij} \nabla \rho_i \cdot \nabla \rho_j$ in \mathcal{A} represents an excess free energy of the interfacial region. Such gradient energies (2.1) have notably been considered by Cahn [16], Rowlinson and Widom [3], Falk [19], Alt and Pawlow [24], Verschueren [20], Liu et al. [23], and Heida et al. [21] and they reduce to the van der Waals energy for a single species fluid [2, 3, 4, 5]. Energies involving mass or mole fraction gradients are also easily rewritten in the general form (2.1).

Denoting by \mathcal{S} the Gibbsian entropy per unit volume and \bar{g}_i the Gibbs function per unit mass of the i th species, we have the thermodynamic relation $d\mathcal{A}^u = -\mathcal{S}^u dT + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{g}_i^u d\rho_i$. Differentiating (2.1), it is then obtained that

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{A} = & - \left(\mathcal{S}^u - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \partial_T \bar{\kappa}_{ij} \nabla \rho_i \cdot \nabla \rho_j \right) dT \\ & + \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \left(\bar{g}_k^u + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\rho_k} \bar{\kappa}_{ij} \nabla \rho_i \cdot \nabla \rho_j \right) d\rho_k + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \cdot d\nabla \rho_i, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where d denotes the differentiation operator and where the mass based vectors $\bar{\gamma}_i$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, are defined by

$$\bar{\gamma}_i = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\kappa}_{ij} \nabla \rho_j, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}. \quad (2.3)$$

Using the thermodynamic relations $\partial_T \mathcal{A} = -\mathcal{S}$ and $\partial_{\rho_i} \mathcal{A} = \bar{g}_i$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, the identity (2.2) implies that the *Gibbsian* entropy \mathcal{S} and the species Gibbs functions per unit mass \bar{g}_k are given by

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^u - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \partial_T \bar{\kappa}_{ij} \nabla \rho_i \cdot \nabla \rho_j, \quad \bar{g}_k = \bar{g}_k^u + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\rho_k} \bar{\kappa}_{ij} \nabla \rho_i \cdot \nabla \rho_j. \quad (2.4)$$

The mixture Gibbs function per unit volume \mathcal{G} is then found to be

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}^u + \sum_{i,j,k \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \rho_k \partial_{\rho_k} \bar{\kappa}_{ij} \nabla \rho_i \cdot \nabla \rho_j, \quad (2.5)$$

and the pressure $p = \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{A}$ reads

$$p = p^u + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_k \partial_{\rho_k} \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{ij} - \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{ij} \right) \nabla \rho_i \cdot \nabla \rho_j. \quad (2.6)$$

The equality of the Gibbsian entropy \mathcal{S} with the bulk entropy \mathcal{S}^u when capillarity coefficients are independent of temperature is in agreement with van der Waals derivation in the situation of single species fluids [4, 3]. Denoting by \mathcal{E} the energy per unit volume and using the thermodynamic relation $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{A} + T\mathcal{S}$, it is further obtained that

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^u + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{ij} - T \partial_T \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{ij}) \nabla \rho_i \cdot \nabla \rho_j. \quad (2.7)$$

The generalized volumetric Gibbs relation is finally obtained in the form

$$T d\mathcal{S} = d\mathcal{E} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{g}_i d\rho_i - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \cdot d\nabla \rho_i, \quad (2.8)$$

and involves the extra gradient variables $\nabla \rho_i$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$.

2.2 Entropy production and fluid equations

The conservation equations for the partial densities ρ_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, are in the form

$$\partial_t \rho_i + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i = 0, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (2.9)$$

where \mathbf{v} denotes the fluid velocity and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_i$ the mass flux of the i th species. For the sake of simplicity, no chemical source terms have been considered in the species equations. The diffusive fluxes $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_i$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, satisfy the mass conservation constraints $\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i = 0$ so that summing the n_s species conservation equations (2.9) yields the total mass conservation equation $\partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$.

The momentum conservation equation reads

$$\partial_t (\rho \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P} = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where \otimes is the tensor product between vectors and \mathcal{P} the total pressure tensor, and the total energy conservation equation is in the form

$$\partial_t (\mathcal{E} + \frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{v}|^2) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} (\mathcal{E} + \frac{1}{2} \rho |\mathbf{v}|^2)) + \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{P} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

where \mathcal{Q} denotes the total heat flux. Multiplying the momentum conservation equation (2.10) by the velocity vector \mathbf{v} and subtracting the result from the total energy conservation equation (2.11) also yields a conservation equation for the internal energy \mathcal{E} as in standard fluids.

Combining the conservation equations and Gibbs relation (2.8), the following expression for the rate of entropy production (A.7) may be obtained as detailed in Appendix A

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{S} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{S}) + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{T} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\bar{\gamma}_i}{T} (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i) - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \left(\frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} - \frac{\nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i}{T} \right) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i \right) \\ = - \frac{1}{T} \left(\mathcal{P} - p \mathbf{I} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} (\nabla \rho_i \otimes \bar{\gamma}_i - \rho_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \mathbf{I}) \right) : \nabla \mathbf{v} \\ - \left(\mathcal{Q} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i) + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i \right) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{1}{T} \right) \\ - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i \cdot \left(\nabla \frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} - \frac{\nabla (\nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i)}{T} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

where \mathbf{I} denotes the unit tensor. Proceeding as in the thermodynamics of irreversible processes [58] and using the Curie principle, we deduce from the expression of entropy production (2.12) that the pressure tensor and the total heat flux are in the form

$$\mathcal{P} = p\mathbf{I} + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \rho_i \otimes \bar{\gamma}_i - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{P}^d, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i + \mathcal{Q}^d, \quad (2.14)$$

where \mathcal{P}^d is the viscous tensor and \mathcal{Q}^d the dissipative heat flux. The diffusion fluxes reduce to their dissipative part $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_i = \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i^d$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$ and the vectors $\bar{\gamma}_i$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, are given by (2.3). We also deduce that the dissipative fluxes \mathcal{P}^d , $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_i$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, and \mathcal{Q}^d are in the form

$$\mathcal{P}^d = -\mathfrak{v} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I} - \eta (\nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^t - \frac{2}{3} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I}), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_i = - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} L_{ij} \left(\nabla \left(\frac{\bar{g}_j}{T} \right) - \frac{\nabla \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i}{T} \right) - L_{ie} \nabla \left(\frac{-1}{T} \right), \quad (2.16)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}^d = - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} L_{ei} \left(\nabla \left(\frac{\bar{g}_j}{T} \right) - \frac{\nabla \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i}{T} \right) - L_{ee} \nabla \left(\frac{-1}{T} \right), \quad (2.17)$$

where \mathfrak{v} denotes the volume viscosity, η the shear viscosity and L_{ij} , $i, j \in \mathfrak{S} \cup \{e\}$, the mass and heat transport coefficients. The matrix of mass and heat transport coefficients L defined by $L = (L_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S} \cup \{e\}}$ is symmetric positive semi-definite with a nullspace spanned by the vector $(1, \dots, 1, 0)^t$ as for ordinary fluids [58, 59]. The fluxes $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_i = \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i^d$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, and \mathcal{Q}^d are obtained with (2.16) and (2.17) in their *thermodynamic form* [58, 60, 59] and the link with the usual form is discussed in Section 4.4.

In the following, we will denote for convenience by \mathcal{P}^{KO} the Korteweg type tensor, i.e., the zeroth order gradient part of the pressure tensor \mathcal{P} , and by \mathcal{Q}^{DS} the Dunn and Serrin type heat flux, i.e., the zeroth order gradient part of the total heat flux \mathcal{Q} , that are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^{\text{KO}} = & \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_k \partial_{\rho_k} \bar{\kappa}_{ij} - \bar{\kappa}_{ij} \right) \nabla \rho_i \cdot \nabla \rho_j \mathbf{I} \\ & + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\kappa}_{ij} \nabla \rho_i \otimes \nabla \rho_j - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_i \nabla \cdot (\bar{\kappa}_{ij} \nabla \rho_j) \mathbf{I}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\text{DS}} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\kappa}_{ij} \rho_i \nabla \rho_j \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}. \quad (2.19)$$

We will also denote by \mathcal{Q}^{CD} the extra *capillary-diffusive* first-order term given by

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\text{CD}} = - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i. \quad (2.20)$$

These fluxes \mathcal{P}^{KO} , \mathcal{Q}^{DS} , and \mathcal{Q}^{CD} will have to be recovered from the kinetic theory as well as the expression of the dissipative fluxes. Some simplifications may further be obtained in the situation of free energies expressed in terms of mass or mole fraction gradients as discussed in Appendix B.

The heat and mass transfer coefficients L_{ij} , $i, j \in \mathfrak{S} \cup \{e\}$, may be rescaled in the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{ij} = \frac{L_{ij}}{T \rho_i \rho_j}, \quad i, j \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{ei} = \mathcal{L}_{ie} = \frac{\hat{L}_{ie}}{T \rho_i} \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{ee} = \frac{\hat{L}_{ee}}{T^2}. \quad (2.21)$$

and we define the force terms \mathbf{X}_i , \mathbf{X}_i^{th} , and \mathbf{X}_i^{ch} as the opposite of the total force, the thermodynamic force, and the capillary force \mathbf{X}_i^{ch} of the i th species per unit volume

$$\mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{X}_i^{\text{th}} + \mathbf{X}_i^{\text{ch}}, \quad \mathbf{X}_i^{\text{th}} = \rho_i T \nabla \left(\frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} \right), \quad \mathbf{X}_i^{\text{ch}} = -\rho_i \nabla \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}. \quad (2.22)$$

The thermodynamic force per unit mass of the i th species is also given by $-T \nabla (\bar{g}_i/T)$ [58] and the capillary force per unit mass by $\nabla \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i$. Defining then the species diffusion velocities \mathbf{v}_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, by [55, 56]

$$\mathbf{v}_i = \frac{\mathcal{F}_i}{\rho_i}, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (2.23)$$

we may rewrite the mass and heat transport fluxes in the form

$$\mathbf{v}_i = - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{L}_{ij} \mathbf{X}_j - \mathcal{L}_{ie} \nabla \log T, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}^d = - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \mathcal{L}_{ei} \mathbf{X}_i - \mathcal{L}_{ee} \nabla T. \quad (2.25)$$

One may also introduce the total diffusion driving forces

$$\mathbf{d}_i = \mathbf{x}_i \left(\nabla \left(\frac{g_i}{T} \right) - \nabla \nabla \cdot \gamma_i \right), \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (2.26)$$

that are such that

$$\mathbf{X}_i = n k_B T \mathbf{d}_i, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (2.27)$$

and that may also be split between the thermodynamic diffusion driving force $\mathbf{d}_i^{\text{th}} = \mathbf{x}_i \nabla (g_i/T)$ and the Cahn-Hilliard diffusion driving force $\mathbf{d}_i^{\text{ch}} = \mathbf{x}_i \nabla \nabla \cdot \gamma_i$. These diffusion driving forces of the i th species are such that $\mathbf{X}^{\text{th}} = n k_B T \mathbf{d}^{\text{th}}$ and $\mathbf{X}^{\text{ch}} = n k_B T \mathbf{d}^{\text{ch}}$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$. The diffusion velocities are then easily rewritten in terms of these diffusion driving forces. The variant expressions (2.24)(2.25) may also be obtained by expressing the rate of entropy production due to heat and mass transfer in the form

$$-\frac{1}{T} \left(\mathcal{Q} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i) + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i \right) \cdot \nabla \log T - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{X}_i,$$

and proceeding as in the thermodynamic of irreversible processes. Finally, in order to relate the expression of dissipative fluxes (2.16)(2.17) or (2.24)(2.25) to more traditional expressions, it is necessary to subtract a temperature gradient from the thermodynamic force terms \mathbf{X}_i^{th} , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$. This generally involves pressure based thermodynamic functions that explode at mechanical unstable states [9] as addressed in Section 4.5.2.

2.3 Ambiguity of rational thermodynamics

An important difficulty associated with rational thermodynamics when applied to phase field models is the presence in the rate of entropy production of quantities involving *products of several gradients*. More specifically, in the expression (2.12) of entropy production, all terms in the form

$$\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \bar{\gamma}_i \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{-1}{T} \right), \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (2.28)$$

involve both temperature and velocity gradients. Considering these terms as *temperature gradient terms* one recovers the Korteweg's type tensor \mathcal{P}^{KO} and Dunn and Serrin's type

heat flux \mathcal{Q}^{DS} . However, using $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \nabla \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{I}$, these gradients' product terms (2.28) may also be considered as *velocity derivative terms*. This then leads to an alternative form of entropy production as well as to *unphysical transport fluxes* as already established in the situation of a single species fluid [15].

Similarly, various terms may be regrouped differently in the expression of the entropy production rate, as notably $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_i \cdot dx b(\nabla \cdot \overline{\gamma}_i)/T$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$. More specifically, the variant entropy production rate (A.6) has been used in the literature [2, 20, 23] as well as the other variant (A.8) in [19, 24]. The resulting flux expressions only differ in the first order capillary flux \mathcal{Q}^{CD} and in the force terms \mathbf{X}_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, or equivalently the diffusion driving forces \mathbf{d}_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, all the other terms being unchanged. Indeed, the first variant (A.6) leads to the flux

$$\mathcal{Q}'^{\text{CD}} = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \overline{\gamma}_i \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i, \quad (2.29)$$

with the terms $-\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \overline{\gamma}_i \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i$ missing, and to the force terms

$$\mathbf{X}'_i = \rho_i T \left(\nabla \frac{\overline{g}_i}{T} - \nabla \frac{\nabla \cdot \overline{\gamma}_i}{T} \right) = \rho_i T \nabla \left(\frac{\overline{g}_i}{T} - \frac{\nabla \cdot \overline{\gamma}_i}{T} \right), \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (2.30)$$

with the factor $1/T$ inside the gradient operator. The other variant (A.8) leads to the heat flux

$$\mathcal{Q}''^{\text{CD}} = 0, \quad (2.31)$$

with both terms $-\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \overline{\gamma}_i \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i$ and $\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \overline{\gamma}_i \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i$ missing, and to the force terms

$$\mathbf{X}''_i = \nabla \frac{\overline{g}_i}{T} - \nabla \nabla \cdot \frac{\overline{\gamma}_i}{T} = \nabla \left(\frac{\overline{g}_i}{T} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\overline{\gamma}_i}{T} \right), \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (2.32)$$

with the factor $1/T$ inside the divergence operators. Even though these fluxes differ from the results obtained from the kinetic theory, we will see in Section 7.1 that these models *essentially* agree since temperature variations are modest in interphase fronts.

Finally, the other variant (A.9) leads to the flux

$$\mathcal{Q}''^{\text{CD}} = - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \overline{g}_i \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \overline{\gamma}_i \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \overline{\gamma}_i \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i, \quad (2.33)$$

that contains the unphysical term $-\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \overline{g}_i \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i$. Such a term is physically absurd even in the absence of capillarity since $\mathcal{Q} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \overline{g}_i \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i$ is involved in the entropy flux and not with the heat fluxes that involve species enthalpies [58, 67, 68, 69, 59].

Rational thermodynamics, that is usually a faithful tool for linear-like problems, is here unable to determine *unambiguously* the proper transport fluxes. For such nonlinear problems, there is a failure of rational thermodynamics in the sense that simple algebraic reorderings of the entropy production rate seems to lead to different physical fluxes and thus to different physics. This is why *only finer physical theories*, like the kinetic theory of dense gases, may determine unambiguously the proper fluxes and equations.

2.4 Equilibrium interfaces

The structure of equilibrium phase interfaces may be obtained extremalizing the entropy \mathcal{S} for given amounts of species mass ρ_k , $k \in \mathfrak{S}$, and energy \mathcal{E} [61, 9]. One may alternatively minimize the free energy \mathcal{A} for given amounts of species mass assuming that the temperature is constant.

Using the method of Lagrange multipliers, the infinitesimal variation of interfacial entropy reads

$$\delta \int (\mathcal{S} - \alpha_e \mathcal{E} - \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \alpha_k \rho_k) d\mathbf{x} = 0, \quad (2.34)$$

where α_k , $k \in \mathfrak{S}$, and α_e are Lagrange multipliers and δ is the variational symbol. Using Gibbs relation (2.8) and a few integration by parts, it is obtained from (2.34) that

$$\int \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{T} - \alpha_e \right) \delta \mathcal{E} + \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \left(\frac{\bar{g}_k}{T} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\bar{\gamma}_k}{T} - \alpha_k \right) \delta \rho_k \right\} d\mathbf{x} = 0. \quad (2.35)$$

Since the variations $\delta \mathcal{E}$ and $\delta \rho_k$, $k \in \mathfrak{S}$, are arbitrary in (2.35), we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{T} - \alpha_e = 0 \quad (2.36)$$

$$\frac{\bar{g}_k}{T} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\bar{\gamma}_k}{T} - \alpha_k = 0, \quad k \in \mathfrak{S}. \quad (2.37)$$

We have thus recovered that the interface is isothermal, that the generalized chemical potentials $\bar{g}_k - \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_k$ are constants through the interface, and such interfaces may also be convected at any velocity.

Assuming then that capillarity coefficients $\bar{\alpha}_{ij}$, $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, are constant for the sake of simplicity, multiplying (2.37) by $\nabla \rho_k$, summing over $k \in \mathfrak{S}$, and keeping in mind that the interface is isothermal, we obtain that $\nabla \mathcal{A}^u - \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \rho_k \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_k = T \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \rho_k \alpha_k$. Multiplying (2.37) by ρ_k , summing over $k \in \mathfrak{S}$, we also deduce that $\mathcal{G}^u - \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_k \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_k = T \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_k \alpha_k$. Taking the gradient of this identity and subtracting the former relation then yields that $\nabla p^u - \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_k \nabla \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_k = 0$ that is the mechanical equilibrium condition. Such a structure of equilibrium fronts shows that, in a phase change interface, typically a vaporization front, density gradients may be very large, but we may assume that temperature variations remain modest in a macroscopic regime.

In the situation of single species fluids, we may further investigate the structure of a one-dimensional vaporization front [4, 3, 6]. Denoting by l and g the indices of the liquid and its vapor at equilibrium, the equilibrium relations yields $T_l = T_g$, $p_l = p_g$, and $\bar{g}_l = \bar{g}_g$. Denoting by z the normal coordinate, the isothermal interface is such that $\frac{1}{2} \kappa (d\rho/dz)^2 = \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_g - \bar{g}_g(\rho - \rho_g)$. The function $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_g - \bar{g}_g(\rho - \rho_g)$ is the difference between \mathcal{A} and its bi-tangent line, since $\mathcal{A}_l = \mathcal{A}_g - \bar{g}_g(\rho_l - \rho_g)$ from the equality of pressures and since $\partial_\rho \mathcal{A} = \bar{g}$ at constant temperature. Approximating the excess function $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_g - \bar{g}_g(\rho - \rho_g)$ as $\bar{\mathcal{A}}(\rho - \rho_l)^2(\rho - \rho_g)^2$, where $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is a constant, the density is found in the form $\rho(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_l + \rho_g) + \frac{1}{2}(\rho_l - \rho_g) \tanh(z/2\bar{z})$ with the characteristic length $\bar{z} = (\kappa/2\bar{\mathcal{A}})^{1/2}/(\rho_l - \rho_g)$.

2.5 Terminology

The traditional terminology used for fluids involving gradient terms in free energies is not without criticisms. The word *capillary* is traditionally used to denote the extra gradient terms in the energy, the pressure tensor, and the heat or mass fluxes. Historically, this terminology is associated with fluid rising in thin tubes or capillaries. However, there are not necessary thin tubes in a given fluid and discontinuous multiphase models also imply the rise of liquids in thin tubes provided the three point boundary conditions are applied at contact lines. In other words, the rise of fluids in thin tubes is also due to Laplace's

law with curvature effects and to the interaction with tube walls [3], instead of being a property of the bulk fluid.

A much better terminology is that of *diffuse interface*. The higher order derivative terms indeed lead to transition zones between phases where thermodynamic and fluid properties are smooth, thereby the terminology *diffuse*. Such terms are also mainly important in thin transition zones between phases and thus the vocable *interface* is also an excellent denomination. The gradient energy terms, that are due to potential interaction between particles, are also present in a supercritical fluid where in principle, strictly speaking, there are no interfaces, but where one may still find sharp transition zones [9].

Another very good terminology is that of *cohesive* fluids. All the gradient terms are indeed due to cohesive forces that are also independent of the state of the fluid. This terminology is often used with *cohesion* of a fluid or *cohesive forces* and could also be used for such capillary or diffuse interface fluids. The only possible ambiguity is that attractive terms in van der Waals type equations are also sometimes termed cohesion coefficients. In this work, we will equivalently use *capillary*, *diffuse interface* or *cohesive*.

3 A dense gas mixture kinetic model

A kinetic theory describing moderately dense gas mixtures is summarized in this section as well as the corresponding macroscopic fluid equations [49].

3.1 The BBGKY hierarchy

We consider a dense gas mixture and the associated BBGKY hierarchy—also termed the YBG hierarchy [3]—governing multiple-particle distribution functions [49]. It is assumed, for the sake of simplicity, that there are no external forces acting on the particles, that the species are monatomic, and that no chemical reactions are involved between the species. The two first equations of the BBGKY hierarchy for fluid mixtures are then in the form

$$\partial_t f_i + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} f_i = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \theta_{ij} f_{ij} d\mathbf{x}_j, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\partial_t f_{ij} + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} f_{ij} + \mathbf{c}_j \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_j} f_{ij} - \theta_{ij} f_{ij} = \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \int (\theta_{ik} + \theta_{jk}) f_{ijk} d\mathbf{x}_k, \quad (3.2)$$

where ∂_t denotes the time derivative operator, f_i the one-point distribution of the i th species, \mathbf{r}_i the spatial coordinates of a particle of the i th species, \mathbf{c}_i the velocity of a particle of the i th species, f_{ij} the pair distribution of the (i, j) th pair of species, f_{ijk} the triplet distribution of the (i, j, k) th triplet of species, $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i}$ the derivative operator with respect to the spatial coordinate \mathbf{r}_i , and $\nabla_{\mathbf{c}_i}$ the derivative operator with respect to the velocity \mathbf{c}_i . We also denote for short by \mathbf{x}_i the pair of vectors $\mathbf{x}_i = (\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i)$ and by $d\mathbf{x}_i$ the volume element $d\mathbf{r}_i d\mathbf{c}_i$. The differential operator θ_{ij} is given by

$$\theta_{ij} = \frac{1}{m_i} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} \varphi_{ij} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{c}_i} + \frac{1}{m_j} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_j} \varphi_{ij} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{c}_j}, \quad (3.3)$$

where m_i denotes the mass of a particle of the i th species and φ_{ij} the interaction potential between particles of the i th and j th species that only depends on the interparticle distance

$r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i|$ and we denote by $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$ the difference between the particle spatial positions. Strictly speaking, we should denote differently the spatial positions of two colliding particles when $i = j$, but these subtleties will be left implicit for the sake of notational simplicity [49]. We will also denote sometimes by \mathbf{r} a common coordinate for all distributions f_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$. The traditional distribution functions are $f_i = f_i(\mathbf{x}_i, t) = f_i(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t)$, the particle pair distribution functions are $f_{ij} = f_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j, t)$ and satisfy the symmetry relations $f_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j, t) = f_{ji}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_i, t)$. The triplet particle distribution functions f_{ijk} similarly depend on $(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_k)$ but triple collision effects are not taken into account in this work. For a single gas, the equations of the BBGKY hierarchy have been derived independently by Bogoliubov [62], Born and Green [63], Kirkwood [64], and introduced by Yvon [65], and we refer to the literature [49, 50, 55, 56, 66] for more details.

The aim of this work being the derivation of macroscopic equations governing Cahn-Hilliard type fluids, we only use in this work a simplified kinetic theory for moderately dense gas mixtures. In particular internal states, triple collisions or chemical reactions are not taken into account and we will also simplify some of the collision integrals associated with dense gases. We refer to the literature for various difficulties associated with dense gases like bound states, divergences with quadruple collisions in three dimensions, long time tails for time correlation functions [51, 55, 56, 57, 53] as well as for internal states and chemical reactions [67, 68, 69].

3.2 Macroscopic equations

The gas number density of the i th species n_i is given by

$$n_i(\mathbf{r}_i, t) = \int f_i(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) d\mathbf{c}_i, \quad (3.4)$$

the total number density n by $n(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and the pair number densities n_{ij} read

$$n_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j, t) = \int f(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j, t) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{c}_j. \quad (3.5)$$

The partial density of the i th species ρ_i is given by $\rho_i = m_i n_i$, the total mass density by $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the gas velocity \mathbf{v} is defined by

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int m_i \mathbf{c}_i f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, t) d\mathbf{c}_i, \quad (3.6)$$

where the distributions are evaluated at a common value $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_i$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$.

The internal energy per unit volume of the fluid is decomposed into

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^K + \mathcal{E}^P, \quad (3.7)$$

where \mathcal{E}^K is the kinetic part and originates from the peculiar motion of the particles whereas \mathcal{E}^P is the potential part due to the species pair interaction potential [49, 55, 56]. The kinetic part \mathcal{E}^K and the potential part \mathcal{E}^P of the internal energy density may be written

$$\mathcal{E}^K(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, t) d\mathbf{c}_i, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\mathcal{E}^P(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{ij}(r_{ij}) n_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, t) d\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \quad (3.9)$$

where the interaction potential φ_{ij} only depends on $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i|$ and use has been made of $d\mathbf{x}_j = d\mathbf{r}_j d\mathbf{c}_j = d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j$.

The general macroscopic equations in unclosed form may further be obtained by taking appropriate moments of (3.1) and (3.2) as detailed in Appendix C. The mass conservation equation is derived by integrating (3.1) with respect to \mathbf{c}_i and reads

$$\partial_t \rho_i + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

where the mass diffusion flux of the i th species $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_i$ is given by

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_i = \int m_i (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_i d\mathbf{c}_i. \quad (3.11)$$

The momentum equation, obtained by multiplying (3.1) by $m_i \mathbf{c}_i$, integrating with respect to \mathbf{c}_i , and summing over $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, is in the form

$$\partial_t (\rho \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P} = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

and the total pressure tensor \mathcal{P} is decomposed into

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}^K + \mathcal{P}^P, \quad (3.13)$$

where \mathcal{P}^K is the traditional kinetic part

$$\mathcal{P}^K(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int m_i (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \otimes (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, t) d\mathbf{c}_i, \quad (3.14)$$

whereas \mathcal{P}^P is the potential part

$$\mathcal{P}^P(\mathbf{r}, t) = - \sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} n_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r} + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}, t) d\alpha d\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \quad (3.15)$$

and the scalar α must be integrated over $(0, 1)$. The potential part of the pressure tensor $\mathcal{P}^P(\mathbf{r}, t)$ has been obtained in a form similar to that of single species fluids [56] that is more convenient than the expression presented by Cohen et al. [49]. The tensor \mathcal{P}^K corresponds to the transfer of momentum due to the flow of particles and \mathcal{P}^P to the transfer of momentum among particles due to intermolecular forces between species pairs [55, 56].

The conservation equation for internal energy \mathcal{E} is next derived in several steps and may also be combined with the kinetic energy conservation equation in order to derive the total energy conservation equation. One first multiply (3.1) by $\frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2$, integrate with respect to \mathbf{c}_i , and sum over $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, in order to obtain a balance equation for the kinetic part \mathcal{E}^K of the internal energy. The balance equation for the potential part of the energy \mathcal{E}^P is then obtained by multiplying the two point distribution function equation (3.2) by the potential energy $\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{ij}(r_{ij})$, integrating with respect to \mathbf{c}_i , \mathbf{c}_j , and $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$, and summing over $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$. After lengthy calculations, the governing equation for $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^K + \mathcal{E}^P$ is found in the form

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{E}) + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{Q} = -\mathcal{P} : \nabla \mathbf{v}, \quad (3.16)$$

and the total heat flux is decomposed into

$$\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^K + \mathcal{Q}_1^P + \mathcal{Q}_2^P, \quad (3.17)$$

where the kinetic part \mathcal{Q}^K is in the form

$$\mathcal{Q}^K(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, t) d\mathbf{c}_i, \quad (3.18)$$

and the potential parts \mathcal{Q}_1^P and \mathcal{Q}_2^P are given by

$$\mathcal{Q}_1^P(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi_{ij}(r_{ij}) (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_2^P(\mathbf{r}, t) = & - \sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \\ & \times f_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) d\alpha d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

and the scalar α must be integrated over $(0, 1)$. The potential parts of the heat flux \mathcal{Q}_2^P is written here as for of single species fluids [56] that is more convenient than the expression presented by Cohen et al. [49]. The heat flow vector \mathcal{Q}^K is similar to that of a dilute gas and represents the transfer of thermal energy due to the flow of particles. The first potential part \mathcal{Q}_1^P represents the flow of potential energy carried by the particles and \mathcal{Q}_2^P represents the flux of energy associated with long range forces between species pairs and thermal agitation. The scalar product of \mathcal{Q}_2^P with a normal vector to a surface element represents the work per unit time done on all species particles on one side, that move with their peculiar motion, by all species particles on the other side, and both fluxes \mathcal{Q}_1^P and \mathcal{Q}_2^P only depend on the species peculiar velocities $\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}$.

3.3 Generalized Boltzmann equations

The kinetic theory of dense gas mixtures involves generalized Boltzmann equations governing the species one-particle distribution functions f_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$. These equations are obtained by expanding multiple distribution functions with clusters of independant particles and using Bogoliubov's method [48, 49, 50] in a similar way as for single species fluids [51, 55, 56, 57, 53].

Neglecting the contributions arising from triple distributions or more [56, 53], the pair distribution functions f_{ij} are first written in the form $f_{ij} = \mathfrak{S}_{ij} f_i f_j$ where the streaming operators \mathfrak{S}_{ij} read

$$\mathfrak{S}_{ij} = \exp(-t\mathfrak{H}_{ij}) \exp(t\mathfrak{H}_i) \exp(t\mathfrak{H}_j), \quad (3.21)$$

with $\mathfrak{H}_i = \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i}$ denoting the Hamiltonian operator of the i th particle alone and

$$\mathfrak{H}_{ij} = \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} + \mathbf{c}_j \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_j} - \theta_{ij},$$

the Hamiltonian operator of the (i, j) th pair of particles. The streaming operators $\exp(t\mathfrak{H}_s)$ form Abelian one parameter groups of operators and correspond to the streaming of systems of s independant particle under the influence of the Hamiltonian \mathfrak{H}_s . It has been assumed that the initial distribution is a product of uncorrelated distributions $f_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j, 0) = f_i(\mathbf{x}_i, 0) f_j(\mathbf{x}_j, 0)$. A fundamental assumption in the model is that the operators $\mathfrak{S}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j, t)$ have finite limits as t is large with respect to the collision time

$$\tau_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{S}_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j, t), \quad (3.22)$$

so that the pair distribution functions are finally in the form

$$f_{ij} = \tau_{ij} f_i f_j, \quad i, j \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (3.23)$$

and we refer for more details to Bennett and Curtiss [48], Cohen et al. [49] and Braun et al. [50] as well as to Choh and Uhlenbeck [51], Ferziger and Kaper [56], Dorfman and van Beijeren [57], and García-Colín et al. [53] for the single species situation.

The generalized Boltzmann equations governing one-particle distribution functions f_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, are then in the form

$$\partial_t f_i + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} f_i = \mathcal{J}_i(f), \quad (3.24)$$

where $f = (f_i)_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ and the i th collision operator \mathcal{J}_i reads

$$\mathcal{J}_i(f) = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \theta_{ij} \tau_{ij} f_i(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j, t) d\mathbf{x}_j. \quad (3.25)$$

A key point in these equations is that the one-particle species distribution functions are taken at different positions \mathbf{r}_i and \mathbf{r}_j in the collision operator (3.25).

The action of the operators τ_{ij} is notably discussed in [51, 52, 53, 56, 57, 70] and we let for convenience

$$\mathbf{r}'_i = \tau_{ij} \mathbf{r}_i, \quad \mathbf{r}'_j = \tau_{ij} \mathbf{r}_j, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\mathbf{c}'_i = \tau_{ij} \mathbf{c}_i, \quad \mathbf{c}'_j = \tau_{ij} \mathbf{c}_j, \quad (3.27)$$

so that from the conservation of energy in a collision we have

$$\frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_j |\mathbf{c}_j|^2 + \varphi_{ij}(r_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}'_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_j |\mathbf{c}'_j|^2. \quad (3.28)$$

From the relation $f_{ij} = \tau_{ij} f_i f_j$ we further obtain the Bogoliubov distribution [62]

$$f_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j) = f_i(\mathbf{r}'_i, \mathbf{c}'_i) f_j(\mathbf{r}'_j, \mathbf{c}'_j), \quad (3.29)$$

and we define $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$ and $\mathbf{r}'_{ij} = \mathbf{r}'_j - \mathbf{r}'_i$. Note that when r_{ij} is large, there is no interaction between the particles, in such a way that τ_{ij} reduces to the identity operator and the pair distribution function $f_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j, t)$ is then the product of two independant distributions $f_i(\mathbf{x}_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{x}_j, t)$.

Decomposing f_{ij} in the form

$$f_{ij} = f_i(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i) f_j(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_j) + (f_i(\mathbf{r}'_i, \mathbf{c}'_i) f_j(\mathbf{r}'_j, \mathbf{c}'_j) - f_i(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i) f_j(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_j)), \quad (3.30)$$

we may accordingly split the operator $\mathcal{J}_i(f)$ into

$$\mathcal{J}_i(f) = \mathcal{J}_i^{(0)}(f) + \mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f), \quad (3.31)$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_i^{(0)}(f) = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \theta_{ij} f_i(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_j, t) d\mathbf{x}_j, \quad (3.32)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f) = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \theta_{ij} (f_i(\mathbf{r}'_i, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}'_j, \mathbf{c}'_j, t) - f_i(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_j, t)) d\mathbf{x}_j. \quad (3.33)$$

The generalized Boltzmann equations may finally be written in the form

$$\partial_t f_i + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} f_i = \mathcal{J}_i^{(0)}(f) + \mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f), \quad (3.34)$$

and Bogoliubov has established that the operators $\mathcal{J}_i^{(0)}(f)$ coincide with Boltzmann operators [62, 56]. One may note that the operator $\mathcal{J}_i^{(0)}$ only involves the particular distribution $f_i(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_j, t)$ and the terms $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f)$ may also be interpreted as the perturbations arising from the difference of spatial positions.

3.4 Differential expressions for $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}$

We rewrite in this section the perturbed collision operators $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, as sums of integro-differential operators for future use. To this aim, we first describe the traditional splitting of \mathbf{r}'_i and \mathbf{r}'_j using the invariance of the center of mass during a collision [56, 49]. The center of mass during collisions \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m may be written

$$\mathbf{r}_{ij}^m = \mu_i \mathbf{r}_i + \mu_j \mathbf{r}_j,$$

where μ_i and μ_j denote the mass ratios for the (i, j) th pair

$$\mu_i = \frac{m_i}{m_i + m_j}, \quad \mu_j = \frac{m_j}{m_i + m_j}. \quad (3.35)$$

From the invariance of \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m in a collision, we have $\tau_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m = \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m$ and from $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$ we also have $\mathbf{r}_{ij}^m = \mathbf{r}_i + \mu_j \mathbf{r}_{ij}$. After some algebra, it is obtained from $\tau_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m = \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m$ that

$$\mathbf{r}'_i = \mathbf{r}_i + \mu_j \mathbf{r}_{ij} - \mu_j \mathbf{r}'_{ij} \quad (3.36)$$

$$\mathbf{r}'_j = \mathbf{r}_i + \mu_j \mathbf{r}_{ij} + \mu_i \mathbf{r}'_{ij}, \quad (3.37)$$

where $\mathbf{r}'_{ij} = \mathbf{r}'_j - \mathbf{r}'_i$. In order to split $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, we write that

$$\delta \mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}'_i - \mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}'_i - \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m + \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m - \mathbf{r}_i, \quad (3.38)$$

$$\delta \mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{r}'_j - \mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}'_j - \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m + \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m - \mathbf{r}_i, \quad (3.39)$$

and use that $\mathbf{r}_{ij}^m - \mathbf{r}_i = \mu_j \mathbf{r}_{ij}$ whereas $\mathbf{r}'_i - \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m = -\mu_j \mathbf{r}'_{ij}$ and $\mathbf{r}'_j - \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m = \mu_i \mathbf{r}'_{ij}$.

From the expression (3.33) of $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f)$ as well as (3.38) and (3.39), we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f) = \mathcal{J}_i^{(1),s}(f) + \mathcal{J}_i^{(1),a}(f), \quad (3.40)$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_i^{(1),a}(f) = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \theta_{ij} (f_i(\mathbf{r}'_i, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}'_j, \mathbf{c}'_j, t) - f_i(\mathbf{r}_{ij}^m, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}_{ij}^m, \mathbf{c}'_j, t)) d\mathbf{x}_j, \quad (3.41)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_i^{(1),s}(f) = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \theta_{ij} (f_i(\mathbf{r}_{ij}^m, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}_{ij}^m, \mathbf{c}'_j, t) - f_i(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_j, t)) d\mathbf{x}_j. \quad (3.42)$$

The operators $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1),a}$ measure the collision effects in the centers of mass \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m whereas the operators $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1),s}$ measure the shift between the local coordinates \mathbf{r}_i and the centers of mass \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m .

Letting for convenience

$$f_{ij}^{\text{cl}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j, t) = f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}'_j, t), \quad (3.43)$$

and using that $\mathbf{r}_{ij}^m - \mathbf{r}_i = \mu_j \mathbf{r}_{ij}$, we obtain after some calculus

$$\mathcal{J}_i^{(1),s}(f) = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \mu_j \int \theta_{ij} \partial_{\mathbf{r}} f_{ij}^{\text{cl}}(\alpha \mathbf{r}_i + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{r}_{ij}^m, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j, t) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} d\alpha d\mathbf{x}_j. \quad (3.44)$$

Similarly, since $\mathbf{r}'_i - \mathbf{r}^m_{ij} = -\mu_j \mathbf{r}'_{ij}$ and $\mathbf{r}'_j - \mathbf{r}^m_{ij} = \mu_i \mathbf{r}'_{ij}$, we have

$$\mathcal{J}_i^{(1),a}(f) = - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \mu_{ij} \int \theta_{ij} \Xi_{ij}(\alpha, \mathbf{r}^m_{ij}, \mathbf{r}'_{ij}, \mathbf{c}'_i, \mathbf{c}'_j, t) \cdot \mathbf{r}'_{ij} d\alpha d\mathbf{x}_j, \quad (3.45)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{ij}(\alpha, \mathbf{r}^m_{ij}, \mathbf{r}'_{ij}, \mathbf{c}'_i, \mathbf{c}'_j, t) &= \frac{1}{m_i} \partial_{\mathbf{r}} f_i(\mathbf{r}^m_{ij} - \alpha \mu_j \mathbf{r}'_{ij}, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}^m_{ij} + \alpha \mu_i \mathbf{r}'_{ij}, \mathbf{c}'_j, t) \\ &\quad - f_i(\mathbf{r}^m_{ij} - \alpha \mu_j \mathbf{r}'_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_i, t) \frac{1}{m_j} \partial_{\mathbf{r}} f_j(\mathbf{r}^m_{ij} + \alpha \mu_j \mathbf{r}'_{ij}, \mathbf{c}'_j, t). \end{aligned}$$

The perturbed collision operator $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f)$ may thus be written as the sum of the two integro-differential operators $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1),a}$ and $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1),s}$.

The transformed coordinates \mathbf{r}'_i and \mathbf{r}'_j , and the transformed velocities \mathbf{c}'_i and \mathbf{c}'_j , are often used in kinetic developments concerning dense gases because they cannot be expressed simply in terms of \mathbf{r}_i , \mathbf{r}_j , \mathbf{c}_i and \mathbf{c}_j . Only the terms arising from the center of mass \mathbf{r}^m_{ij} and associated with $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1),s}$ appear to be convenient to manipulate. Incidentally, letting for convenience $\mathbf{c}_{ij} = \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{c}_i$, $\mathbf{c}^m_{ij} = \mu_i \mathbf{c}_i + \mu_j \mathbf{c}_j$, and $\mu_{ij} = m_i m_j / (m_i + m_j)$, all the transformations

$$(\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) \mapsto (\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}^m_{ij}, \mu_{ij} \mathbf{c}_{ij}) \mapsto (\mathbf{r}'_{ij}, \mathbf{c}^m_{ij}, \mu_{ij} \mathbf{c}'_{ij}) \mapsto (\mathbf{r}'_{ij}, \mathbf{c}'_i, \mathbf{c}'_j),$$

have unity jacobians from the result of Hoffman and Curtiss [71] and from the relations $\mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{c}^m_{ij} - \mu_j \mathbf{c}_{ij}$ and $\mathbf{c}_j = \mathbf{c}^m_{ij} + \mu_j \mathbf{c}_{ij}$, in such a way that $d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{c}_j = d\mathbf{r}'_{ij} d\mathbf{c}'_i d\mathbf{c}'_j$.

3.5 Kinetic entropy

A kinetic entropy for dense gas mixtures has been introduced by Cohen et al. [49] and reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^K &= - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} k_B \int f_i (\log(h_P^3 f_i / m_i^3) - 1) d\mathbf{c}_i \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} k_B \int \left(f_{ij} (\log(f_{ij} / f_i f_j) - 1) + f_i f_j \right) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j, \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

where h_P is the Planck constant. In the situation of single species fluids, related entropies have been introduced in a pioneering work by Stratonovich [72] and investigated by Nettleton and Green [73], and an entropy similar to (3.46) has been used by Klimontovich [74]

An important point about such kinetic entropies is that, contrarily to the case of dilute fluids, there is no known H theorem for spatially nonuniform systems governed by the generalized Boltzmann equations (3.24). An H-theorem has only been established for single species fluids in the special situation of *spatially homogeneous* fluids by Klimontovich [74]. The entropies of systems of particles for the BBGKY hierarchy have also been investigated by Martynov who established that there are entropy exchanges between the different levels of approximations [75] and by Singer who also discussed different truncations of Kirkwood type for the BBGKY hierarchy [76]

4 Nonideal multicomponent fluids with normal diffusion

We investigate in this section the equation governing nonideal multicomponent fluids with normal diffusive processes, i.e., *without diffuse interface terms*. To this aim, we introduce a proper scaling for the generalized Boltzmann equations (3.34) and use the Chapman-Enskog method. This new scaling clarifies the apparition of nonzero contributions from the collision terms \mathcal{J}_i in the fluid equations. It also directly yields that equilibrium distributions are Maxwellian distributions at zeroth order and will allow the derivation of Cahn-Hilliard fluid equations. This derivation of nonideal fluid governing equations only uses first order Taylor expansions of pair distribution functions in the fluxes. We also simplify some of the collision integrals for dense gas mixtures, typically assuming that repulsive potentials between pair of particles are hard. As a result, the diffusive fluxes are directly expressed in terms of chemical potential gradients, as expected from thermodynamics, without any linear system inversion as in previous work [49, 50]. We also avoid the use of pressure based thermodynamic functions—like the species enthalpies or entropies—for expressing the diffusion fluxes [49, 50], since such thermodynamic functions may explode at unstable thermodynamic states [9].

4.1 A new Enskog scaling

The generalized Boltzmann equations (3.34) are written in the form

$$\partial_t f_i + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} f_i = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathcal{J}_i^{(0)}(f) + \mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f), \quad (4.1)$$

where ϵ is the formal parameter associated with Enskog expansion. The distributions f_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, are correspondingly expanded in the form

$$f_i = f_i^{(0)}(1 + \epsilon \phi_i^{(1)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)), \quad (4.2)$$

where $\phi_i^{(1)}$ is the perturbed distribution for the i th species in the Navier-Stokes regime.

The traditional scaling of the collision operators \mathcal{J}_i used in previous work has been in the form \mathcal{J}_i/ϵ instead of $\mathcal{J}_i^{(0)}/\epsilon$. This leads to conceptual difficulties since there are invariably nonzero gradient terms arising from \mathcal{J}_i in the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations [51, 55, 56, 57, 53]. Since these terms arise from $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}$, the new scaling leads to a clearer derivation. Moreover, with the traditional scaling, it is necessary to *assume* at zeroth order that the distributions are Maxwellians [55, 56, 49], whereas it will be simply derived by using (4.1). Finally, we do not use a distinguished limit where the Enskog parameter is taken to be equal to a typical rescaled macroscopic gradient [51, 55, 56, 57, 53] and this will allow the derivation of Cahn-Hilliard type equations where typically first order derivatives are mixed with third order derivatives.

4.2 The Euler regime

We investigate in this section the zeroth order or Euler regime and the corresponding kinetic equations are

$$\mathcal{J}_i^{(0)}(f^{(0)}) = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

where $f^{(0)} = (f_i^{(0)})_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$. Keeping in mind that $\mathcal{J}_i^{(0)}$ coincides with the Boltzmann collision operator [62, 56], and using Boltzmann H theorem, we obtain that the distributions $f_i^{(0)}$ are local Maxwellians

$$f_i^{(0)} = n_i \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi k_B T} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left(- \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2k_B T} \right), \quad (4.4)$$

where n_i denotes the number density of the i th species, m_i the mass of the particles of the i th species, \mathbf{v} the local fluid velocity and T the local fluid temperature. The temperature T insures that the local value of the internal energy density \mathcal{E} is recovered with $f^{(0)} = (f_i^{(0)})_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$, including its potential part [49, 50, 53, 56].

The zeroth order pair distributions $f_{ij}^{(0)}$ are then taken in the form [56, 53, 54, 49]

$$f_{ij}^{(0),\text{cl}} = f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_j, t),$$

with both distributions $f_i^{(0)}$ and $f_j^{(0)}$ evaluated at $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_j$ as suggested by the kernel of $\mathcal{J}^{(0)} = (\mathcal{J}_i^{(0)})_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ [51, 56, 53, 49]. From the conservation of energy (3.28) and the conservation of momentum $m_i \mathbf{c}_i + m_j \mathbf{c}_j = m_i \mathbf{c}'_i + m_j \mathbf{c}'_j$, it is then obtained that

$$f_{ij}^{(0),\text{cl}} = f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_j, t) g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_{ij}), \quad (4.5)$$

where $g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_{ij})$ denotes the equilibrium correlation function

$$g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, r_{ij}) = \exp \left(- \frac{\varphi_{ij}(r_{ij})}{k_B T(\mathbf{r}_i)} \right), \quad (4.6)$$

evaluated at temperature $T(\mathbf{r}_i)$.

The nonideal multicomponent Euler fluid equations are then obtained at zeroth order [51, 55, 56, 57, 53, 49]. In order to derive these equations, the most convenient method is to use the Maxwellian distributions (4.4), the pair correlation functions (4.5), and the macroscopic equations of Section 3.2 with first order Taylor expansions of pair distribution functions. This method is equivalent to directly take moments of the kinetic equations and use the differential expressions of the perturbed collision operators in order to evaluate $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)})$. The multicomponent Euler equations are obtained in the form [49]

$$\partial_t \rho_i + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \mathbf{v}) = 0, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\partial_t (\rho \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \nabla p = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{E}) + p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

where the internal energy \mathcal{E} and the pressure p are detailed in the next section. The zeroth order pressure tensor thus reduces to $\mathcal{P}^{(0)} = p \mathbf{I}$ and the zeroth order mass and heat diffusion fluxes vanish $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_i^{(0)} = 0$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, and $\mathcal{Q}^{(0)} = 0$.

4.3 Thermodynamic properties

Using expressions of the one and two point distribution functions (4.4) and (4.5), and the macroscopic equations of Section (3.2), the pressure is found in the form

$$p = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i k_B T - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6} n_i n_j \int \varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij}) r_{ij} g_{ij}(r_{ij}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij}. \quad (4.10)$$

Integrating by parts the integral in (4.10), it is obtained that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{6} \int \varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij}) r_{ij} g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, r_{ij}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij} &= \frac{2\pi}{3} \int \varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij}) r_{ij}^3 g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, r_{ij}) dr_{ij} \\ &= 2\pi k_B T \int \mathbf{f}_{ij} r_{ij}^2 dr_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} k_B T \int \mathbf{f}_{ij} d\mathbf{r}_{ij},\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{f}_{ij} denote the (i, j) th species pair Mayer function

$$\mathbf{f}_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_{ij}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\varphi_{ij}(r_{ij})}{k_B T(\mathbf{r}_i)}\right) - 1. \quad (4.11)$$

Denoting by β_{ij} the (i, j) th species pair second virial coefficients

$$\beta_{ij} = \int \mathbf{f}_{ij} d\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \quad (4.12)$$

the pressure is then in the form [49]

$$p = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i k_B T - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} n_i n_j \beta_{ij} k_B T. \quad (4.13)$$

The internal energy is derived with a similar procedure and reads

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i k_B T + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i n_j \int \varphi_{ij}(r_{ij}) g_{ij}(r_{ij}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \quad (4.14)$$

so that [49]

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i k_B T + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i n_j \beta'_{ij} (k_B T)^2, \quad (4.15)$$

where $\beta'_{ij} = d\beta_{ij}/d(k_B T)$.

The fluid entropy is obtained by evaluating the kinetic entropy (3.46) with Maxwellian distributions (4.4) and the pair distribution functions (4.5). After some algebra, it is found that [49]

$$\mathcal{S}^{(0)} = - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} k_B n_i \left(\log(n_i \Lambda_i^3) - \frac{5}{2} \right) + \sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} k_B n_i n_j (\beta_{ij} + k_B T \beta'_{ij}), \quad (4.16)$$

where Λ_i is the De Broglie thermal wavelength $\Lambda_i = h_P(2\pi m_i k_B T)^{-1/2}$. This entropy has been shown to be second order accurate by Cohen et al. [49], that is, one may establish that formally $\int \mathcal{S}^K d\mathbf{c}_i - \mathcal{S}^{(0)} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$.

The corresponding species Gibbs functions per molecule are next obtained in the form

$$g_i = k_B T \log(n_i \Lambda_i^3) - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} k_B T n_j \beta_{ij}, \quad (4.17)$$

and play an important role in diffusive processes. Cohen et al. [49] have also established that the volumetric Gibbs relation $d\mathcal{S}^{(0)} = d\mathcal{E} - \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} g_k dn_k$ holds at second order.

Another interesting property of the species Gibbs functions g_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, is that they are naturally pressure based, that is, the derivative of $g = \mathcal{G}/n$ with respect to the mole fraction of the i th species $x_i = n_i/n$ at fixed temperature, pressure, and other mole fractions coincides with g_i [77]. These species Gibbs functions g_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, notably remain finite at states of mechanical instability when $\partial_n p = 0$. On the contrary, various

traditional *pressure-based* thermodynamic functions like species enthalpies, entropies, or specific heats explode at unstable thermodynamic state where $\partial_n p = 0$, that is, when $\sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i n_j \beta_{ij} = n$ [9]. Such exploding thermodynamic functions have notably been used by Cohen et al. [49] and Braun et al. [50] in order to express the mass and heat fluxes, so that such expressions are only valid when thermodynamic stability holds.

4.4 Linearized equations

At first order, taking into account the operator decomposition (3.31), the linearized equations governing the perturbed distribution functions $\phi^{(1)} = (\phi_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ are in the form

$$\mathcal{I}_i(\phi^{(1)}) = \psi_i^{(1)}, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (4.18)$$

where the i th linearized operator \mathcal{I}_i reads

$$\mathcal{I}_i(\phi^{(1)}) = -\frac{1}{f_i^{(0)}} \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \theta_{ij} f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_j, t) \left(\phi_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) + \phi_j^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_j, t) \right) d\mathbf{x}_j, \quad (4.19)$$

and the right hand sides ψ_i are

$$\psi_i^{(1)} = -\left(\partial_t \log f_i^{(0)} + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} \log f_i^{(0)} \right) + \frac{1}{f_i^{(0)}} \mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)}), \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}. \quad (4.20)$$

A fundamental property is now that the linearized operators coincide with Boltzmann linearized collision operators [62, 56].

The right hand sides $\psi^{(1)} = (\psi_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ are evaluated in Appendix D and may be written as

$$\psi_i^{(1)} = -\psi_i^\eta : \nabla \mathbf{v} - \psi_i^\kappa \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \psi_i^{D_j} \cdot \mathbf{X}_j^{\text{th}} - \psi_i^{\hat{\lambda}} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{-1}{k_B T} \right), \quad (4.21)$$

where ψ_i^η are symmetric traceless second order tensors, ϕ_i^κ are scalars, and ψ^{D_j} , $j \in \mathfrak{S}$, $\psi_i^{\hat{\lambda}}$, are vectors. The quantity \mathbf{X}_i^{th} denotes the normal force term of the i th species arising solely from thermodynamics, i.e., without capillary effects, given by

$$\mathbf{X}_i^{\text{th}} = n_i k_B T \nabla \left(\frac{g_i}{k_B T} \right) = \rho_i T \nabla \left(\frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} \right), \quad (4.22)$$

where g_i is the Gibbs function of the i th species per particle and $\bar{g}_i = g_i/m_i$ the Gibbs function per unit mass. This force term \mathbf{X}_i^{th} is the thermodynamic part of the total force term \mathbf{X}_i obtained in (2.22). This force term \mathbf{X}_i^{th} is also directly related to the thermodynamic diffusion driving force

$$\mathbf{d}_i^{\text{th}} = \mathbf{x}_i \nabla \left(\frac{g_i}{k_B T} \right) = \mathbf{x}_i m_i \nabla \left(\frac{\bar{g}_i}{k_B T} \right), \quad (4.23)$$

since $\mathbf{X}_i^{\text{th}} = n k_B T \mathbf{d}_i^{\text{th}}$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$. As detailed in Appendix D, the functions ψ_i^η , ψ_i^κ , $\psi_i^{D_j}$,

$j \in \mathfrak{S}$, and $\psi_i^{\hat{\lambda}}$, that depend on \mathbf{c}_i , are given by

$$\psi_i^\eta = \frac{m_i}{k_B T} \left((\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \otimes (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) - \frac{1}{3} |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 \mathbf{I} \right) \left(1 - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{n_j m_j \beta_{ij}}{m_i + m_j} \right) + \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \mu_{ij} n_j \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^\eta, \quad (4.24)$$

$$\psi_i^\kappa = - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2 k_B T} \right) \left(\frac{2}{3} \left(1 - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{n_j m_j \beta_{ij}}{m_i + m_j} \right) \right. \quad (4.25)$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{T \partial_T \mathcal{E}} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathfrak{S}} n_l \partial_{n_l} \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E} - p \right) \right) + \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \mu_{ij} n_j \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^\kappa, \quad (4.26)$$

$$\psi_i^{Dj} = \frac{1}{n_i k_B T} (\delta_{ij} - y_i) (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}), \quad (4.27)$$

$$\psi_i^{\hat{\lambda}} = - (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \left(\frac{3}{2} k_B T - \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2} \right) \left(1 - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{n_j m_j \beta_{ij}}{m_i + m_j} \right) \quad (4.28)$$

$$+ (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \left(\frac{3}{2} k_B T + \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{n_j m_i}{m_i + m_j} \beta_{ij}' (k_B T)^2 - \frac{m_i}{\rho} (\mathcal{E} + p) \right) + \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \mu_{ij} n_j \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^{\hat{\lambda}}, \quad (4.29)$$

where $\mu_{ij} = m_i m_j / (m_i + m_j)$ denotes the reduced mass of the (i, j) th pair of particles and $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^\eta$, $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^\kappa$ and $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^{\hat{\lambda}}$ are collision integrals associated with dense gas mixtures. Some collision integrals associated with dense gases $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^D$, $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, have been simplified by assuming that interaction potentials between pairs of particles are hard (D.10) as detailed in Appendix D. The first order equations (4.18)–(4.21) are essentially similar to classical first order relations obtained for dilute gases, except that there are extra gradient terms arising from the perturbed collision operators $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}$ and that the force terms \mathbf{X}_i^{th} , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, involve chemical potential gradients.

The distributions $\phi_i^{(1)}$ are then uniquely determined by imposing Enskog type constraints so that the true value of the local macroscopic variables n_i , \mathbf{v} and T , or equivalently \mathcal{E} , are determined by the distributions $f_i^{(0)}$ alone [56, 53]. These constraints, summarized in Appendix E, have been shown to reduce to [53, 78, 49]

$$\int f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(1)} d\mathbf{c}_i = 0, \quad (4.30)$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int m_i (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(1)} d\mathbf{c}_i = 0, \quad (4.31)$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(1)} d\mathbf{c}_i = 0. \quad (4.32)$$

In particular, the potential and gradient parts are eliminated in the constraints [49]. By linearity and isotropy of the collision operator, we may decompose $\phi_i^{(1)}$ in the form

$$\phi_i = -\phi_i^\eta : \nabla \mathbf{v} - \phi_i^\kappa \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \phi_i^{Dj} \cdot \mathbf{X}_j^{\text{th}} - \phi_i^{\hat{\lambda}} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{-1}{k_B T} \right), \quad (4.33)$$

where ϕ_i^η are traceless second order symmetric tensor, ϕ_i^κ are scalars, ϕ_i^{Dj} , $j \in \mathfrak{S}$, and $\phi_i^{\hat{\lambda}}$, are vectors.

Each unknown $\phi^\mu = (\phi_i^\mu)_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ for $\mu \in \{\eta, \kappa, D_j, \hat{\lambda}\}$ then satisfies a system of tensorial integral equations $\mathcal{I}_i(\phi^\mu) = \psi_i^\mu$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, and the $\phi^\mu = (\phi_i^\mu)_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ have the following structure [49]

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_i^\eta &= \varphi_i^\eta(|\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2) \left((\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \otimes (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) - \frac{1}{3} |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 \mathbf{I} \right), \\ \phi_i^\kappa &= \varphi_i^\kappa(|\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2), \\ \phi_i^{D_j} &= \varphi_i^{D_j}(|\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2) (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}), \quad j \in \mathfrak{S}, \\ \phi_i^{\hat{\lambda}} &= \varphi_i^{\hat{\lambda}}(|\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2) (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}),\end{aligned}$$

where φ_i^η , φ_i^κ , $\varphi_i^{D_j}$ and $\varphi_i^{\hat{\lambda}}$ are scalar isotropic functions of the velocities, i.e., that only depend on $|\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2$. The tensorial distributions $\phi^\mu = (\phi_i^\mu)_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ further satisfy the induced constraints derived from (4.30)–(4.32) according to their tensorial rank

$$\int f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^\kappa d\mathbf{c}_i = 0, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^\kappa d\mathbf{c}_i = 0, \quad (4.34)$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int m_i (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{D_j} d\mathbf{c}_i = 0, \quad j \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (4.35)$$

$$\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int m_i (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{\hat{\lambda}} d\mathbf{c}_i = 0. \quad (4.36)$$

4.5 The Navier-Stokes-Fourier-Fick regime

4.5.1 Dissipative fluxes for all thermodynamic states

The resulting macroscopic equations at first order of the Enskog expansion are of Navier-Stokes-Fourier-Fick type [49]. The situation of single species fluids, that only involves the fluid and energy equations, has also been discussed in [51, 55, 56, 57, 53].

By substituting the expansion (4.33) into the expression of diffusion fluxes (3.11), pressure tensors (3.14)(3.15), and heat fluxes (3.19)(3.20), it is obtained after some algebra that

$$\mathcal{P} = p\mathbf{I} + \mathcal{P}^d, \quad \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i = \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i^d, \quad \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^d, \quad (4.37)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}^d = -\mathbf{v} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I} - \eta (\nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{v}^t - \frac{2}{3} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I}), \quad (4.38)$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_i^d = - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} L_{ij} \nabla \left(\frac{\overline{g}_j}{T} \right) - L_{ie} \nabla \left(\frac{-1}{T} \right), \quad (4.39)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}^d = - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} L_{ei} \nabla \left(\frac{\overline{g}_i}{T} \right) - L_{ee} \nabla \left(\frac{-1}{T} \right), \quad (4.40)$$

where \mathbf{v} denotes the volume viscosity, η the shear viscosity, and L_{ij} , $i, j \in \mathfrak{S} \cup \{e\}$ the thermodynamic mass-heat diffusion coefficients, and \overline{g}_i the Gibbs function per unit mass of the i th species. This thermodynamic form (4.39) and (4.40) for the diffusion and heat fluxes is then fully compatible with rational thermodynamics [58, 60].

Focusing in more details on mass fluxes $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_i = \int m_i(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(1)} d\mathbf{c}_i$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, using the expression of the right hand sides (4.27), and using standard kinetic theory techniques, it is first obtained that

$$\mathcal{V}_i = - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{3} k_B T [\phi^{D_i}, \phi^{D_j}] \mathbf{X}_j^{\text{th}} - \frac{1}{3} [\phi^{D_i}, \phi^{\hat{\lambda}}], \frac{-1}{k_B T}, \quad (4.41)$$

where for any $\xi = (\xi_i)_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ and $\zeta = (\zeta_i)_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$, with ξ_i and ζ_i functions of \mathbf{c}_i , we have defined the bracket product by

$$[\xi, \zeta] = \langle\langle f^{(0)} \xi, \mathcal{I} \zeta \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle f^{(0)} \mathcal{I} \xi, \zeta \rangle\rangle, \quad (4.42)$$

with $\mathcal{I} \zeta = (\mathcal{I}_i(\zeta))_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$, $f^{(0)} \xi = (f_i^{(0)} \xi_i)_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$, and the scalar product is given by

$$\langle\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle\rangle = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \xi_i \odot \zeta_i d\mathbf{c}_i, \quad (4.43)$$

where \odot is the tensor maximum contraction symbol. The expressions (4.39) and then directly obtained upon letting

$$L_{ij} = \frac{1}{3} \rho_i \rho_j k_B T^2 [\phi^{D_i}, \phi^{D_j}], \quad i, j \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (4.44)$$

and

$$L_{ie} = \frac{1}{3} \rho_i T [\phi^{D_i}, \phi^{\hat{\lambda}}], \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}. \quad (4.45)$$

The resulting expressions for transport coefficients in dense gases are generally highly complex and we refer to the literature for more details [49]. The symmetry relations have notably been investigated by Ernst [79], also with triple collisions.

The coefficients $L = (L_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S} \cup \{e\}}$ are usually termed phenomenological coefficients in the thermodynamic literature but are not anymore phenomenological when obtained from the kinetic theory of dense gases and the link with the traditional transport coefficients is addressed in the next section.

4.5.2 Dissipative fluxes with thermodynamic stability

We discuss in this section the link between the matrix L and the traditional fluid mixture transport coefficients. In order to define the standard diffusion coefficients, it is necessary to subtract a temperature gradient from the forces \mathbf{X}_i^{th} , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, and *this procedure traditionally involves pressure based thermodynamic property*. Such transport coefficients thus requires mechanical stability, that is, the inequalities $\partial_\rho p < 0$ or $\partial_\nu p > 0$ must hold where $\nu = 1/\rho$ is the specific volume.

In the situation of mechanical stability, the map $(T, \rho, y_1, \dots, y_{n_s}) \mapsto (T, p, y_1, \dots, y_{n_s})$ is locally invertible and one may introduce pressure based thermodynamic properties, that is, thermodynamic properties as functions of $(T, p, y_1, \dots, y_{n_s})$. For any thermodynamic function $\overline{\mathcal{A}}(T, \rho, y_1, \dots, y_{n_s})$ we may then define the pressure based thermodynamic property

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(T, p, y_1, \dots, y_{n_s}) = \overline{\mathcal{A}}(T, \rho, y_1, \dots, y_{n_s}),$$

and the pressure-based Gibbs relation is in the form

$$d\tilde{g} = \tilde{\nu} dp - \tilde{s} dT + \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \tilde{g}_k dy_k. \quad (4.46)$$

Letting then for any thermodynamic property \mathcal{A}

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_k = \partial_{y_k} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(T, p, y_1, \dots, y_{n_s}),$$

we recover from (4.46) that the Gibbs functions \bar{g}_k , $k \in \mathfrak{S}$, are naturally pressure based $\tilde{g}_k = \bar{g}_k$, $k \in \mathfrak{S}$. From Gibbs relation (4.46), we also obtain after some calculus that

$$d\left(\frac{\tilde{g}_k}{T}\right) = \frac{\tilde{v}_k}{T} dp - \frac{\tilde{h}_k}{T^2} dT + \sum_{l \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\partial_{y_l} \tilde{g}_k}{T} dy_l,$$

where $\tilde{h}_k = \partial_{y_k} h(T, p, y_1, \dots, y_{n_s})$ is the enthalpy of the k th species and $h = e + p\nu$ denoting the mixture enthalpy per unit mass with $\mathcal{H} = \rho h$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E} + p$. The classical diffusion driving forces \mathbf{d}_i^{cl} , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, are then obtained by subtracting from the thermodynamic diffusion driving forces $\mathbf{d}_i^{\text{th}} = \mathbf{x}_i m_i \nabla (\bar{g}/k_B T)$ (4.23) the proper temperature gradient terms

$$\mathbf{d}_i^{\text{th}} = \mathbf{d}_i^{\text{cl}} - \frac{\mathbf{x}_i m_i \tilde{h}_i}{k_B T^2} \nabla T, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}. \quad (4.47)$$

The resulting diffusion driving forces \mathbf{d}_i^{cl} are then linear combinations of mole fractions and pressure gradients.

In order to relate the heat and mass transport coefficients L_{ij} , $i, j \in \mathfrak{S} \cup \{e\}$, to the traditional transport coefficients, we denote by L the matrix

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & \cdots & L_{1n_s} & L_{1e} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ L_{n_s 1} & \cdots & L_{n_s n_s} & L_{n_s e} \\ L_{e1} & \cdots & L_{en_s} & L_{ee} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.48)$$

The matrix L is symmetric positive semi-definite and has a one-dimensional nullspace spanned by $(0, 1, \dots, 1)^t$. We introduce then the modified matrix $\hat{L} = A^t L A$ with

$$\hat{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{L}_{11} & \cdots & \hat{L}_{1n_s} & \hat{L}_{1e} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hat{L}_{n_s 1} & \cdots & \hat{L}_{n_s n_s} & \hat{L}_{n_s e} \\ \hat{L}_{e1} & \cdots & \hat{L}_{en_s} & \hat{L}_{ee} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} & -\tilde{h}_1 \\ & \vdots \\ \mathbb{I}_{n_s} & -\tilde{h}_{n_s} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.49)$$

where \mathbb{I}_{n_s} denotes the identity matrix in \mathbb{R}^{n_s} . The high pressure multicomponent diffusion coefficients D_{ij} , $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, thermal diffusion coefficients θ_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, and partial thermal conductivity $\hat{\lambda}$ are next defined by [80, 59]

$$D_{ij} = \frac{n k_B \hat{L}_{ij}}{\rho_i \rho_j}, \quad i, j \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad \theta_i = \frac{\hat{L}_{ie}}{\rho_i T} = \frac{\hat{L}_{ei}}{\rho_i T}, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad \hat{\lambda} = \frac{\hat{L}_{ee}}{T^2}. \quad (4.50)$$

Using the high pressure coefficients (4.50) and the traditional diffusion driving forces (4.47), the fluxes \mathcal{F}_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, and \mathcal{Q} may be rewritten in the more familiar form

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_i = - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_j D_{ij} \mathbf{d}_j^{\text{cl}} - \rho_i \theta_i \nabla \ln T, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (4.51)$$

$$\mathcal{Q} = -n k_B T \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \theta_j \mathbf{d}_j^{\text{cl}} - \hat{\lambda} \nabla T + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \tilde{h}_i \mathcal{F}_i. \quad (4.52)$$

The high pressure symmetric multicomponent diffusion coefficients D_{ij} , $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, have notably been considered by Kurochkin et al. [80] and generalize the symmetric coefficients introduced for dilute gases by Waldmann [81, 82, 55].

4.6 Van der Waals equation of state

In order to recover the van der Waals equation of state, we may assume that the interaction potentials φ_{ij} are such that

$$\varphi_{ij}(r_{ij}) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } 0 \leq r_{ij} \leq \sigma_{ij}, \\ \varphi_{ij}(r_{ij}) < \infty & \text{if } \sigma_{ij} < r_{ij}, \end{cases} \quad (4.53)$$

for some positive collision diameter σ_{ij} depending on the species pair (i, j) . One may also assume that φ_{ij} is attractive $\varphi_{ij} < 0$ for $2\sigma_{ij} < r_{ij}$, and increases towards zero as $r_{ij} \rightarrow \infty$. One may then recover the van der Waals equation of state by decomposing the integrals $2\pi \int \mathbf{f}_{ij} dr_{ij}$ in two zones and proceeding as for single species fluids [83, 84, 85]. More specifically, one may write that

$$\int \mathbf{f}_{ij} r_{ij}^2 dr_{ij} = \int_0^{2\sigma_{ij}} \mathbf{f}_{ij} r_{ij}^2 dr_{ij} + \int_{2\sigma_{ij}}^{\infty} \mathbf{f}_{ij} r_{ij}^2 dr_{ij},$$

use $\mathbf{f}_{ij} = -1$ over $(0, 2\sigma_{ij})$, linearize the Mayer functions $\mathbf{f}_{ij} \approx -\varphi_{ij}/k_B T$ for $2\sigma_{ij} < r_{ij}$, to get that

$$2\pi k_B T \int \mathbf{f}_{ij} dr_{ij} \approx -4k_B T \frac{4\pi\sigma_{ij}^3}{3} - 2\pi \int_{2\sigma_{ij}}^{\infty} \varphi_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) r_{ij}^2 dr_{ij},$$

and the corresponding pressure law reads

$$p = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i k_B T + \sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i n_j k_B T \frac{16\pi\sigma_{ij}^3}{3} + \sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i n_j 2\pi \int_{2\sigma_{ij}}^{\infty} \varphi_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) r_{ij}^2 dr_{ij}.$$

Assuming naturally that σ_{ij} is in the form $\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_i + \sigma_j)$, $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, where σ_i is a typical collision diameter of the i th species, the sum $\sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i n_j \sigma_{ij}^3$ may be rewritten $\sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} n_i n_j (\sigma_j^3 + 3\sigma_j^2 \sigma_i)$ and approximated as $\sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i n_j \sigma_j^3$. Assuming then that the volume occupied by the particules is small

$$\sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_j \frac{4\pi\sigma_j^3}{3} \ll 1,$$

we obtain the state law

$$p = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{n_i k_B T}{1 - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \mathbf{b}_j n_j} - \sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i n_j \mathbf{a}_{ij} \quad (4.54)$$

where

$$\mathbf{b}_j = 4 \frac{4\pi\sigma_j^3}{3}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{ij} = -2\pi \int_{2\sigma_{ij}}^{\infty} \varphi_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) r_{ij}^2 dr_{ij},$$

and the van der Waals equation of state for mixtures has been recovered from (4.10) in a similar way as in statistical physics [83, 84, 85]. Moreover, we have also obtained from (4.10) the traditional mixing rules for the covolume $\sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \mathbf{b}_j n_j$ as well as for the attractive term $\sum_{i, j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i n_j \mathbf{a}_{ij}$ introduced by Berthelot [86].

4.7 Orders of magnitude

We estimate in this section the order of magnitude of nonideal terms in thermodynamic functions. To this aim, we introduce a characteristic temperature T^* , a characteristic interparticle distance r^* , and a characteristic particle mass m^* . The characteristic number density is then $n^* = 1/r^{*3}$ and the particle thermal velocity c^* is such that $m^*c^{*2} = k_B T^*$. We also denote by τ_K^* a characteristic collision time, by $l_K^* = \tau_K^* c^*$ the mean free path, by τ_H^* a characteristic hydrodynamic time or fluid time and by $l_H^* = \tau_H^* c^*$ the corresponding fluid length. We also introduce a characteristic collision diameter σ^* , also typical of the range of the interaction potentials, and we generally have $\sigma^* \ll r^* \ll l_K^* \ll l_H^*$ as well as $\sigma^{*2} l_K^* = r^{*3}$, and the Knudsen number is given by l_K^*/l_H^* .

From the expression (4.12) of the second virial coefficients β_{ij} , $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, we then obtain that $\beta^* = \beta_{ij}^* = \sigma^{*3}$. We may next estimate that the nonideal terms in the pressure (4.13) are typically of the order $k_B T^* \sigma^{*3}/r^{*6}$. The ratio of the nonideal terms $\sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} n_i n_j \beta_{ij} k_B T$ to the ideal terms $\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i k_B T$ may then be estimated to be of the order $(\sigma^*/r^*)^3$ [66]. The nonideal term are thus usually modest but increase with the fluid density and are ultimately of order unity for liquid like states, where the interparticle distance r^* is of the order of the collision diameter σ^* .

5 Euler/van-der-Waals fluid equations

We derive in this section the van der Waals/Cahn-Hilliard fluid equations at zeroth order of the Chapman-Enskog method. The method combines symmetrized zeroth order pair distribution functions as well as higher order Taylor expansions of pair distribution functions.

5.1 The symmetrized pair correlation functions

Previous work concerning single species fluids has shown that higher order expansions of pair distribution functions are required in order to derive diffuse interface models [15]. It is thus important to select proper pair distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0)}$.

The zeroth order pair distribution functions (4.5) of Section 4.2

$$f_{ij}^{(0),cl} = f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_j, t) = f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_j, t) g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_{ij}),$$

have traditionally been used in the literature for investigating gas mixtures [48, 49, 50] as well as for investigating single species fluids [51, 55, 56, 57, 53]. When substituted in the governing equations and when using *first order* Taylor expansions, the nonideal fluid equations with normal diffusive processes [49], presented in Section 4, are obtained. However, this pair distribution function $f_{ij}^{(0),cl}$ is not without criticism. A first point is that $f_{ij}^{(0),cl}$ is not symmetric in its arguments as it should be for a pair distribution function. Moreover, when \mathbf{r}_{ij} is large, $g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_{ij})$ become unity and $f_{ij}^{(0),cl}$ reduces to $f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_j, t)$ that has no physical meaning.

In this section, instead of using the distributions $f_{ij}^{(0),cl}$, the following symmetrized pair distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0),sy}$ are used [15]

$$f_{ij}^{(0),sy} = f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j, t) g_{ij}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}, r_{ij}), \quad i, j \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{i,j}$ denotes a symmetric average of \mathbf{r}_i and \mathbf{r}_j like the center of mass $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{i,j} = \mu_i \mathbf{r}_i + \mu_j \mathbf{r}_j$ or the midpoint $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_j)$. We first note that $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ and $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{cl}}$ coincide at zeroth order when $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_j = \bar{\mathbf{r}}_{i,j}$ so that $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ is also in the kernel of the operator $\mathcal{J}_i^{(0)}$ that only consider the values of f_{ij} on the linear subspace $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_j$. Moreover, $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ is symmetric in its argument and the limit for large \mathbf{r}_{ij} is the natural uncorrelated pair distribution function $f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j, t)$. Previous work has also shown that zeroth order diffuse interface fluid models may be recovered from such symmetrized distributions in the situation of single species fluids [15]. In order to derive the macroscopic equations, the symmetrized zeroth order pair distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ are thus used with the general balance equations established in Section 3.2 as well as with *higher order* Taylor expansions of pair distribution functions.

Although a nonequilibrium correlation function g_{ij} could in principle also be used, we will nevertheless use a correlation function (4.6) in the simplified form $g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, r_{ij})$ as if the temperature were locally uniform. There is indeed no clear expression for a nonequilibrium correlation function at interfaces and many solutions have been proposed like using various average temperatures, or the center of mass temperature, or else solving integral equations [3, 87, 88]. In addition, temperature variations during phase change are modest, keeping in mind that equilibrium fronts are isotherms, at variance with large density variations. Therefore, using either \mathbf{r}_i or a symmetric average $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{i,j}$ would yield the same results and we will frequently denote by $g_{ij}(r_{ij})$ the corresponding function, the dependence on \mathbf{r}_i being implicit. Using a locally uniform correlation function g_{ij} will imply that the capillary coefficients are independent of temperature in agreement with the van der Waals and Rayleigh approximate expressions.

In summary, we have to revisit the Chapman-Enskog method for mixtures of dense gases using the Enskog scaling (4.1), the zeroth pair distribution function (5.1), as well as higher order Taylor expansions of pair distribution functions, following the derivation of single species diffuse interface fluid equations [15]. Since new thermodynamic properties depending on derivatives will be obtained, we will denote with the superscript ^u the nonideal bulk phase thermodynamic properties obtained in Section 4 that do not involve gradients. As a general result, when pair distribution functions are expanded in the potential parts of the internal energy or the pressure tensor, nonideal mixture are obtained at zeroth order whereas diffuse interface terms involving density gradients are recovered at second order.

5.2 A generalized Korteweg tensor

We consider the tensor \mathcal{P}^p arising from interaction potentials (3.15) and look for *extra terms* with *two spatial derivatives* \mathcal{P}^{ex} arising from second order expansions of the distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j, t) = f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j, t) g_{ij}(r_{ij})$. We use Taylor expansions of $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ and only keep the extra terms involving two derivatives.

The relevant integrand factors in (3.15) may first be expanded in the form

$$\begin{aligned} n_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r}_i + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}, t) \approx \\ (n_i(\mathbf{r}_i) - (1 - \alpha)\nabla n_i(\mathbf{r}_i) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha)^2 \nabla^2 n_i(\mathbf{r}_i) : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij})) \\ \times (n_j(\mathbf{r}_i) + \alpha \nabla n_j(\mathbf{r}_i) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 \nabla^2 n_j(\mathbf{r}_i) : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij})) \times g_{ij}(r_{ij}), \end{aligned}$$

where the dependencies on time t have been left implicit. Extracting the terms with two

derivatives, the relevant integrands involve the factors

$$-\alpha(1-\alpha) \nabla n_i(\mathbf{r}_i) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \nabla n_j(\mathbf{r}_i) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)^2 n_j(\mathbf{r}_i) \nabla^2 n_i(\mathbf{r}_i) : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}) + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 n_i(\mathbf{r}_i) \nabla^2 n_j(\mathbf{r}_i) : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}).$$

Incidentally, the products $n_i(\mathbf{r}_i) n_j(\mathbf{r}_i)$ are already taken into account in the state law (4.13) whereas the odd terms yield zero contributions. Odd terms only contribute in first order linearized equations and thus in the dissipative fluxes [56, 53, 49].

Focusing on the first integrands, we note that

$$\nabla n_i(\mathbf{r}_i) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \nabla n_j(\mathbf{r}_i) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} = \nabla n_i(\mathbf{r}_i) \otimes \nabla n_j(\mathbf{r}_i) : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}),$$

and using $\int_0^1 \alpha(1-\alpha) d\alpha = \frac{1}{6}$ we obtain a first extra contribution \mathbf{p}_1 to the pressure tensor in the form

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{12} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} g_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} \nabla n_i \otimes \nabla n_j : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij}.$$

We may now use the identity (F.3) from Appendix F in order to perform the integrations over $\mathbf{r}_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and, using elementary symmetries, we obtain that

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\varkappa_{ij}}{6} \left(2 \nabla n_i \otimes \nabla n_j + \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j \mathbf{I} \right),$$

where the diffuse interface or capillarity coefficients \varkappa_{ij} are defined by

$$\varkappa_{ij} = \frac{1}{30} \int \varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij}) g_{ij}(r_{ij}) r_{ij}^3 d\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \frac{2\pi}{15} \int \varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij}) g_{ij}(r_{ij}) r_{ij}^5 dr_{ij}. \quad (5.2)$$

Focusing next on integrands from the Hessian matrices $\nabla^2 n_i$, using $\int_0^1 \alpha^2 d\alpha = \int_0^1 (1-\alpha)^2 d\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$, and using elementary symmetries, we obtain a second extra contribution \mathbf{p}_2 to the pressure tensor in the form

$$\mathbf{p}_2 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{n_i}{6} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} g_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} \nabla^2 n_j : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij}.$$

Using again the identity (F.3) in order to perform the integrations over $\mathbf{r}_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and using elementary symmetries, we obtain that

$$\mathbf{p}_2 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\varkappa_{ij}}{3} \left(2 n_i \nabla^2 n_j + n_i \Delta n_j \mathbf{I} \right).$$

Collecting previous results, the extra pressure tensor \mathcal{P}^{ex} is found in the form

$$\mathcal{P}^{\text{ex}} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\varkappa_{ij}}{6} \left(2 \nabla n_i \otimes \nabla n_j + \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j \mathbf{I} - 4 n_i \nabla^2 n_j - 2 n_i \Delta n_j \mathbf{I} \right).$$

We may then simplify \mathcal{P}^{ex} with an equivalent expression $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{ex}}$, that is, we seek $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{ex}}$ such that

$$\nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}^{\text{ex}} = \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{ex}}.$$

Expressing the divergence of the Hessian matrices contributions $n_i \nabla^2 n_j$ with the help of differential identity (F.7), we indeed obtain the simplified pressure tensor

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{ex}} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \varkappa_{ij} \left(\nabla n_i \otimes \nabla n_j - \frac{1}{2} \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j \mathbf{I} - n_i \Delta n_j \mathbf{I} \right). \quad (5.3)$$

Introducing the mass based diffuse interface coefficient $\bar{\varkappa}_{ij} = \varkappa_{ij}/m_i m_j$ and using $\rho_i = m_i n_i$ we may also rewrite the extra pressure tensor $\nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{ex}}$ as

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{ex}} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\varkappa}_{ij} \left(\nabla \rho_i \otimes \nabla \rho_j - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \rho_i \cdot \nabla \rho_j \mathbf{I} - \rho_i \Delta \rho_j \mathbf{I} \right), \quad (5.4)$$

that coincides with the generalized Korteweg tensor $\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{ex}} = \mathcal{P}^{\text{KO}}$ given in (2.18) and derived from rational thermodynamics in the simplified situation of constant diffuse interface coefficients \varkappa_{ij} , $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$. This tensor also coincide with the traditional Korteweg tensor derived for single species fluids [15].

5.3 Diffuse interface coefficients

The diffuse interface or capillary coefficients \varkappa_{ij} have been found in the form (5.2) where $g_{ij}(r_{ij}) = \exp(-\varphi_{ij}(r_{ij})/k_B T(\mathbf{r}_i))$. We may integrate by part the integral in (5.2) to get that

$$\varkappa_{ij} = \frac{1}{6} k_B T \int \mathfrak{f}_{ij} r_{ij}^2 d\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \frac{2\pi}{3} k_B T \int \mathfrak{f}_{ij} r_{ij}^4 dr_{ij}, \quad (5.5)$$

where \mathfrak{f}_{ij} is the Mayer function (4.11). The first moments of the mayer functions thus yields the second virial coefficients β_{ij} whereas the second moments yields the diffuse interface coefficients \varkappa_{ij} , $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, up to the $\frac{1}{6} k_B T$ factor. A notable property of the diffuse interface coefficients \varkappa_{ij} given by (5.2) is that they are independent of the number densities n_k , $k \in \mathfrak{S}$.

We may also simplify (5.5) in order to recover the van der Waals and Rayleigh capillarity coefficient. To this aim, we assume that the interaction potentials are like (4.53). We may simplify then the integral in (5.5) by neglecting the integrals over $(0, \sigma_{ij})$ and by linearizing \mathfrak{f}_{ij} in the form $\mathfrak{f}_{ij} \approx -\varphi_{ij}/k_B T$ for $r_{ij} > \sigma_{ij}$, and this yields

$$\varkappa_{ij} = -\frac{1}{6} \int_{r_{ij} > \sigma_{ij}} \varphi_{ij} r_{ij}^2 d\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \quad i, j \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (5.6)$$

that coincide with the van der Waals and Rayleigh formula for single species fluids [3].

The van der Waals and Rayleigh simplified capillarity coefficients (5.6) are then independent of number densities and of temperature. In a kinetic framework, the independence on temperature notably requires to neglect temperature derivative contributions of capillarity that would arise through the correlation function g_{ij} , and that are typically in the form $\int \varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij}) \varphi_{ij}^k(r_{ij}) \exp(-\frac{\varphi_{ij}}{k_B T}) r_{ij}^3 d\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ where $k \geq 1$ is an integer. We will assume in the kinetic derivation of Cahn-Hilliard equations that the diffuse interface coefficients are independent of temperature for the sake of simplicity.

From the expression (5.5) we further deduce that the diffuse interface coefficients \varkappa_{ij} are of the order of $\varkappa^* = \varkappa_{ij}^* = k_B T^* \sigma^{*5}$ and thus vary like the fifth power of the collision diameter σ^* whereas the second virial coefficients scale as $\beta^* = \sigma^{*3}$. We may next estimate that the diffuse interface terms in the pressure (5.3) are typically of the order $\varkappa^* (\nabla n)^{*2} = k_B T^* n^* (\frac{\sigma^*}{r^*})^3 (\frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla n}^*})^2$ where $l_{\nabla n}^*$ is a characteristic length typical of

density gradients. The ratio of the diffuse interface terms $\sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \chi_{ij} \nabla n_i \otimes \nabla n_j$ to the ideal terms $\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i k_B T$ may then be estimated to be

$$\left(\frac{\sigma^*}{r^*}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla n}^*}\right)^2. \quad (5.7)$$

The capillary terms are thus generally very small unless the interparticle distance r^* is becoming close to the collision diameter σ^* , i.e., within a liquid-like fluid, and, simultaneously, the density gradients length $l_{\nabla n}^*$ is also becoming close to σ^* , i.e., in a phase change interface like a vaporization front.

5.4 The energy density

We first investigate the extra terms \mathcal{E}^{ex} arising from energy density \mathcal{E}^{P} of potential origin (3.9) that involve integrals of the pair distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$. Expanding the zeroth order two point distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}} = f_i(\mathbf{x}_i, t) f_i(\mathbf{x}_j, t) g_{ij}(r_{ij})$ around $\mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{r}_{ij}$, the relevant extra terms involving two derivatives are in the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \int \varphi_{ij}(r_{ij}) g_{ij}(r_{ij}) n_i(\mathbf{r}_i) \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 n_j(\mathbf{r}_i) : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij}.$$

After some algebra, using the van der Waals and Rayleigh expression (5.6) for the capillarity coefficient, the resulting extra volumetric energy density \mathcal{E}^{ex} reads

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{ex}} = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} n_i \Delta n_j. \quad (5.8)$$

With the aim of simplifying (5.8), we may use the identity

$$- \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} n_i \Delta n_j = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (\chi_{ij} n_i \nabla n_j),$$

in order to recover the energy density

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{ex}} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j, \quad (5.9)$$

in agreement with (2.7), *provided we take into account the residual terms*

$$- \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot (\chi_{ij} n_i \nabla n_j).$$

By changing the energy density from \mathcal{E}^{ex} into $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{ex}}$ we indeed have to add an extra corrector term to the energy equation in the form

$$\Xi = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_t \nabla \cdot (\chi_{ij} n_i \nabla n_j) + \nabla \cdot (\nabla \cdot (\chi_{ij} n_i \nabla n_j) \mathbf{v}) \right). \quad (5.10)$$

We may rewrite this corrector as the divergence of a corrector flux \mathbf{q}_0 by using the zeroth order species mass conservation equations $\partial_t n_i = -\nabla \cdot (n_i \mathbf{v})$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, and keeping in mind

that the capillarity coefficients κ_{ij} are taken to be constant. After some algebra, the corrector term Ξ is obtained in the form $\Xi = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_0$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_0 = & \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \kappa_{ij} n_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \nabla n_j + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ij} n_i n_j \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ij} n_i (\nabla \mathbf{v})^t \cdot \nabla n_j \\ & + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ij} \left(\nabla n_i \otimes \nabla n_j + n_i \nabla^2 n_j - \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j \mathbf{I} - n_i \Delta n_j \mathbf{I} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

The change of energy density from $\mathcal{E}^{\text{ex}} = -\sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ij} n_i \Delta n_j$ into the gradient expression $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{ex}} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ij} \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j$ has been clarified when investigating diffuse interface single species fluids [15]. Aside from this derivation [15], it has been advocated in previous work that \mathcal{E}^{ex} may be transformed into $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{ex}}$ by integrating by parts over ‘a large volume’ with boundary conditions insuring a null contribution of boundary terms. This is unsatisfactory since an energy density must be a *local* quantity, not defined over ‘a large volume’ or else under the nonlocal influence of a far boundary with unclear definition and unclear boundary conditions. Following the derivation of single species diffuse interface fluid models [15], *by properly taking into account the correction heat flux \mathbf{q}_0* , we clarify the *local* change of Cahn-Hilliard energy density from \mathcal{E}^{ex} to $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{ex}}$ for mixtures of fluids.

5.5 A generalized Dunn and Serrin heat flux

In order to evaluate the extra heat flux \mathbf{Q}^{ex} arising from Taylor expansions of the zeroth pair distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ in \mathcal{Q}_1^{p} and \mathcal{Q}_2^{p} and using (5.1), we need to evaluate the gradients of $f_i^{(0)}$. After some algebra we note that

$$\frac{\nabla f_i^{(0)}}{f_i^{(0)}} = \frac{m_i}{k_{\text{B}} T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \frac{\nabla n_i}{n_i} - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2k_{\text{B}} T} \right) \frac{\nabla T}{T}, \quad (5.12)$$

where all gradients are of course evaluated at \mathbf{r}_i and similarly that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nabla^2 f_i^{(0)}}{f_i^{(0)}} = & \frac{\nabla f_i^{(0)} \otimes \nabla f_i^{(0)}}{(f_i^{(0)})^2} - \frac{m_i}{k_{\text{B}} T} \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \frac{m_i}{k_{\text{B}} T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} \\ & - \frac{m_i}{k_{\text{B}} T^2} ((\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) \otimes \nabla T - \frac{m_i}{k_{\text{B}} T^2} \nabla T \otimes ((\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) + \frac{\nabla^2 n_i}{n_i} \\ & - \frac{\nabla n_i \otimes \nabla n_i}{n_i^2} - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2k_{\text{B}} T} \right) \frac{\nabla^2 T}{T} + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{k_{\text{B}} T} \right) \frac{\nabla T \otimes \nabla T}{T^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

With \mathcal{Q}_1^{p} given by (3.19), we first need to select the integrands obtained from a Taylor expansion of $f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j, t)$ that involve the peculiar velocity of the first particle $\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}$ as well as two spatial derivatives and that are even in \mathbf{r}_{ij} . It appears, however, that there are not such terms in the Taylor expansion of $f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j, t)$ so that there is no extra capillary heat flux arising from \mathcal{Q}_1^{p} .

On the other hand, with \mathcal{Q}_2^{p} given by (3.20), from the isotropy of space and velocity space, we need to select the integrands in the Taylor expansion of

$$f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) g_{ij}(r_{ij}),$$

that involve two derivatives, are even with respect to \mathbf{r}_{ij} , and odd with respect to either $\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}$ or $\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}$. We first focus of integrands having such properties arising from the cross products of two first order derivatives of $f_i^{(0)}$ and $f_j^{(0)}$ at different points. These integrands are

$$-\alpha(1-\alpha)\frac{m_i}{k_B T}(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \frac{\nabla n_j \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{n_j} - \alpha(1-\alpha)\frac{m_j}{k_B T}(\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \frac{\nabla n_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{n_i},$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(1-\alpha)\frac{m_i}{k_B T}(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_j |\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2k_B T} \right) \frac{\nabla T \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{T} \\ & + \alpha(1-\alpha)\frac{m_j}{k_B T}(\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2k_B T} \right) \frac{\nabla T \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{T}. \end{aligned}$$

The last integrands yield vanishing contributions for each species pair since

$$\int \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2k_B T} \right) f_i^{(0)} d\mathbf{c}_i = 0, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}.$$

Using then $\int_0^1 \alpha(1-\alpha) d\alpha = \frac{1}{6}$ as well as the isotropy of the velocity space and elementary symmetries, we next obtain from the first integrands a contribution \mathbf{q}_1 for the heat flux in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_1 = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{12} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \frac{m_i}{k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \frac{\nabla n_j \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{n_j} \\ \times f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i) f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) g_{ij}(r_{ij}) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j. \end{aligned}$$

The integration over \mathbf{c}_j is trivial and yields factors n_j , the integration over \mathbf{c}_i is performed using the reduced velocity $(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v})(m_i/2k_B T)^{1/2}$ and (F.1) from Appendix F, and this yields

$$\mathbf{q}_1 = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{12} n_i \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} \nabla \mathbf{v} : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}) g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij} \nabla n_j.$$

Using the differential identity (F.3) we then obtain that \mathbf{q}_1 is in the form

$$\mathbf{q}_1 = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\varkappa_{ij}}{6} n_i \left(\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I} \right) \nabla n_j, \quad (5.14)$$

with the cohesion coefficients \varkappa_{ij} , $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, given by (5.2).

We now focus on contributions arising from the second order derivatives $\nabla^2 f_i^{(0)}$ in the Taylor expansion of $f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i - (1-\alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_i, t)$ $f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t)$. The relevant integrands are those even with respect to \mathbf{r}_{ij} and odd with respect to either $\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}$ or $\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}$. These integrands are first

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha^2 \frac{2m_i}{k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \frac{\nabla n_j \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{n_j} + (1-\alpha)^2 \frac{2m_j}{k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \frac{\nabla n_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{n_i}, \\ & + \alpha^2 \frac{m_i}{k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}) + (1-\alpha)^2 \frac{m_j}{k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

The two first integrands yields a contribution \mathbf{q}_2 similar to \mathbf{q}_1 obtained in (5.14) but with the coefficient $-\frac{1}{3}$ instead on $\frac{1}{6}$.

$$\mathbf{q}_2 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\chi_{ij}}{3} n_i \left(\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I} \right) \nabla n_j. \quad (5.15)$$

Using the isotropy of space and elementary symmetries, the integrands associated with $\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}$ further yield the contributions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_3 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{12} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \frac{m_i}{k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}) \\ \times f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i) f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_j) g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j. \end{aligned}$$

The integration over \mathbf{c}_j yield the factors n_j , the integration over \mathbf{c}_i is obtained with (F.1) from Appendix F so that

$$\mathbf{q}_3 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{12} n_i n_j \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}) g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij}.$$

We may then use the identity (F.6) with $\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}) = \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} : (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij})$ to deduce that

$$\mathbf{q}_3 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6} n_i n_j \chi_{ij} \left(\Delta \mathbf{v} + 2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \right). \quad (5.16)$$

Finally, there are also integrands associated with temperature gradients in the form

$$-\frac{2m_i}{k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2k_B T} \right) \frac{\nabla T \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{T} - \frac{2m_i}{k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \frac{\nabla T \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}{T},$$

with a similar expression in terms of $(\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v})$ but all these terms yield vanishing contributions since

$$\int |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 \left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2k_B T} \right) f_i^{(0)} d\mathbf{c}_i = 0.$$

Collecting previous results, we have obtained an extra total energy flux $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{ex}} + \mathcal{P}^{\text{ex}} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ in the form

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\text{ex}} + \mathcal{P}^{\text{ex}} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{q}_0 + \mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_3 + \mathcal{P}^{\text{ex}} \cdot \mathbf{v},$$

where \mathbf{q}_0 arise from the change of energy density. The extra total energy flux $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{ex}} + \mathcal{P}^{\text{ex}} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ thus first reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}^{\text{ex}} + \mathcal{P}^{\text{ex}} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \chi_{ij} n_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \nabla n_j + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} n_i n_j \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} n_i (\nabla \mathbf{v})^t \cdot \nabla n_j \\ + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} \left(\nabla n_i \otimes \nabla n_j + n_i \nabla^2 n_j - \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j \mathbf{I} - n_i \Delta n_j \right) \cdot \mathbf{v} \\ - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\chi_{ij}}{6} n_i \left(\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \mathbf{I} \right) \nabla n_j - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\chi_{ij}}{6} n_i n_j \left(\Delta \mathbf{v} + 2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \right) \\ + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\chi_{ij}}{6} \left(2 \nabla n_i \otimes \nabla n_j + \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j \mathbf{I} - 4 n_i \nabla^2 n_j - 2 n_i \Delta n_j \mathbf{I} \right) \cdot \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to simplify this expression we seek an *equivalent expression* $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{\text{ex}}$ of \mathcal{Q}^{ex} , that is, we seek a flux $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{\text{ex}}$ such that

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathcal{Q}^{\text{ex}} + \mathcal{P}^{\text{ex}} \cdot \mathbf{v}) = \nabla \cdot (\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{\text{ex}} + \bar{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{ex}} \cdot \mathbf{v}),$$

allowing the simultaneous use of the simplified heat flux $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{\text{ex}}$ and of the simplified pressure tensor $\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{ex}}$. In order to simplify the divergence of $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{ex}} + \mathcal{P}^{\text{ex}} \cdot \mathbf{v}$, the first terms $\kappa_{ij} n_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \nabla n_j$ are left unchanged since they corresponds to a generalized Dunn and Serin heat flux, all terms proportional to \mathbf{v} are regrouped, and the differential identity (F.8) is used to transform the divergence of all the terms $-\frac{1}{6} \kappa_{ij} n_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \nabla n_j$ in the third line that originates from $\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2$. After some lengthy algebra this yields the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{Q}^{\text{ex}} + \mathcal{P}^{\text{ex}} \cdot \mathbf{v}) &= \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \left(\kappa_{ij} n_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \nabla n_j - \frac{1}{3} \kappa_{ij} n_i \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n_j \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \kappa_{ij} n_i (\nabla \mathbf{v})^t \cdot \nabla n_j - \frac{1}{6} \kappa_{ij} n_i n_j \Delta \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{6} \kappa_{ij} n_i n_j \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}) \\ &\quad \left. + \kappa_{ij} (\nabla n_i \otimes \nabla n_j - \frac{1}{2} \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j \mathbf{I} - n_i \Delta n_j \mathbf{I}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using then the differential identity (F.9), the divergence of the second to fifth terms (four terms) on the right hand side vanishes for each species pair (i, j) , and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{Q}^{\text{ex}} + \mathcal{P}^{\text{ex}} \cdot \mathbf{v}) &= \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \left(\kappa_{ij} n_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \nabla n_j + \kappa_{ij} (\nabla n_i \otimes \nabla n_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j \mathbf{I} - n_i \Delta n_j \mathbf{I}) \cdot \mathbf{v} \right), \end{aligned}$$

so that the equivalent heat flux $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{\text{ex}}$ is given by

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{\text{ex}} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \kappa_{ij} n_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \nabla n_j. \quad (5.17)$$

Using the mass based capillarity coefficients $\bar{\kappa}_{ij} = \kappa_{ij}/m_i m_j$, we obtain that the extra flux $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{\text{ex}}$ coincides with the generalized Dunn and Serrin heat flux $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{\text{ex}} = \mathcal{Q}^{\text{DS}}$ as derived from rational thermodynamics. A key point in the simplification of the zeroth order capillary heat flux was notably the use of the modified density energy $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{ex}}$ in order to compensate complex corrections arising from \mathcal{Q}_2^{P} by similar terms arising from \mathbf{q}_0 .

In summary, the generalized Korteweg tensor (2.18) has been recovered as well as the generalized Dunn and Serrin heat flux (2.19) at zeroth order. We have also established that the energy density is in the form $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{\text{u}} + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ij} \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j$ and the pressure $p = p^{\text{u}} - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ij} \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j$. The full capillary equations have thus been recovered at zeroth order and we now have to investigate the first order regime, that is, we have to recover force terms \mathbf{X}_i that include the Cahn-Hilliard extra forces \mathbf{X}_i^{ch} , for $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, as well as the extra capillary-diffusive heat flux \mathcal{Q}^{CD} .

6 Cahn-Hilliard fluid equations

We derive in this section the Cahn-Hilliard fluid equations at first order of the Chapman-Enskog method. To this aim, we investigate higher order derivative terms in the linearized

equations and in governing equations at first order of the Enskog expansion. This is done by using the out of equilibrium symmetrized pair distribution functions f_{ij}^{sy} as well as higher order Taylor expansions. The resulting equations then coincide with the model derived from rational thermodynamics. We also address the use of the pair distribution functions f_{ij}^{Bo} suggested by Bogoliubov theory and establish that the deviations arising from the differences $f_{ij}^{\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{\text{sy}}$ may be seen as a perturbations in some regime.

6.1 Cahn-Hilliard diffusion driving forces

The rescaled generalized Boltzmann equations (4.1) and the use of higher order Taylor expansions of pair distribution functions have led to the Euler/van der Waals equations at zeroth order. At first order of Enskog expansion (4.2), we next need to evaluate the linearized equations governing the perturbed distribution functions $\phi^{(1)} = (\phi_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$. The linearized equations have been obtained in the form (4.18) with the linearized operator (4.19) and right hand sides (4.20)(4.21). The right hand sides (4.21) have only been evaluated using first order Taylor expansions of pair distribution functions in Section 4 or Appendix D. We must therefore reevaluate these right hand sides using higher order Taylor expansions of zeroth order pair distribution functions. We again denote with the superscript ^u the bulk phase thermodynamic properties obtained in Section 4 that do not involve gradients. The higher order derivative terms are again evaluated by using the symmetrized zeroth order pair distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$, $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$.

From the general expression of the right hand sides (4.20), we need to evaluate the extra terms arising from the material derivatives $\partial_t \log f_i^{(0)} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \log f_i^{(0)}$ as well as those arising from the potential parts $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)})/f_i^{(0)}$. From Euler equations, derived by using the pair distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$, there are new terms arising in the material derivatives $\partial_t \log f^{(0)} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \log f^{(0)}$. More specifically, using (5.4), (5.8), (5.17), we obtain after some calculus the multicomponent Euler equations with diffuse interface terms in the form

$$\partial_t n_i + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n}_i = -n_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (6.1)$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = -\frac{\nabla p^u}{\rho} + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\varkappa_{ij} n_i}{\rho} \nabla \Delta n_j, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla T = \frac{1}{\partial_T \mathcal{E}^u} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathfrak{S}} n_l \partial_{n_l} \mathcal{E}^u - \mathcal{E}^u - p^u \right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad (6.3)$$

where the energy \mathcal{E}^u and pressure p^u have been obtained in Section 4, instead of the standard Euler equations (D.2)–(D.4). In particular, there is a cancellation of diffusive interface terms in the energy equation at zeroth order $\partial_t \mathcal{E}^u + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{E}^u) + p^u \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ as well as in the corresponding temperature equation (6.3). There is, however, a diffuse interface term in the momentum conservation equation (6.2) involving the capillary forces.

In order to evaluate the material derivative of $\log f_i^{(0)}$, we may use the expression of Maxwellian distributions as well as (6.1)–(6.3) and is is obtained that

$$-(\partial_t \log f_i^{(0)} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \log f_i^{(0)}) = \Psi_i^{\text{K},1} + \Psi_i^{\text{K},3}, \quad (6.4)$$

where $\Psi_i^{\text{K},1}$ has already been evaluated in Section 4 and Appendix D and where $\Psi_i^{\text{K},3}$ is

obtained from (6.1)–(6.3) in the form

$$\Psi_i^{K,3} = -\frac{m_i(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v})}{\rho k_B T} \cdot \sum_{k,l \in \mathfrak{S}} \varkappa_{kl} n_k \nabla \Delta n_l, \quad (6.5)$$

with the third order derivative terms solely arising from the force term in (6.2).

We next need to investigate the higher order terms arising from the potential parts $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)})/f_i^{(0)}$ of the right hand sides $\psi_i^{(1)}$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$. Using the general definition of the perturbed operator (3.33), we may write

$$\frac{1}{f_i^{(0)}} \mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)}) = \Psi_i^{P,1} + \Psi_i^{P,3}, \quad (6.6)$$

where the first order terms $\Psi_i^{P,1}$ have already been investigated in Section 4 and Appendix D whereas the contributions $\Psi_i^{P,3}$ arise from third order terms in Taylor expansion of pair distribution functions. All quadratic terms are indeed even in \mathbf{r}_{ij} or and \mathbf{r}'_{ij} yield zero contributions in the expansion of $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)})$.

In order to evaluate the third order derivative contributions $\Psi_i^{P,3}$ arising from the perturbed source term $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)})$, for consistency with the Euler equations, and for the sake of simplicity, we again use the symmetrized pair distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0),sy}$. In other words, keeping in mind the identity (4.5), the corrector terms $f_{ij}^{(0),Bo} - f_{ij}^{(0),cl}$ in the decompositions (3.30), used in $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)})$ are replaced by $f_{ij}^{(0),sy} - f_{ij}^{(0),cl}$, where $f_{ij}^{(0),Bo} = f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}'_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}'_j, t)$ and $f_{ij}^{(0),sy} = g_{ij}(r_{ij}) f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}_j, t)$. The deviations arising from the differences between the Bogoliubov zeroth order distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0),Bo}$ and the symmetrized zeroth order distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0),sy}$ will be shown to be negligible in some regime in Section 6.3. Using the symmetrized pair distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0),sy}$, the third order corrections in $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)})$ are then obtained in the form

$$\Psi_i^{P,3} = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6 f_i^{(0)}} \int \theta_{ij} \partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^3 f_{ij}^{(0),sy} : (0, \mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 3} d\mathbf{x}_j, \quad (6.7)$$

so that

$$\Psi_i^{P,3} = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \cdot \frac{(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v})}{k_B T} f_i^{(0)} f_j^{(0)} g_{ij} \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j}^3 n_j^{(0)} : \mathbf{r}_{ij}^{\otimes 3} d\mathbf{x}_j,$$

where $f_i^{(0)}$, $f_j^{(0)}$, and g_{ij} are evaluated at \mathbf{r}_i . After some algebra, using the identity (F.5) of Appendix F, as well as the definition (5.2), it is obtained that

$$\Psi_i^{P,3} = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\varkappa_{ij}}{k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \Delta n_j. \quad (6.8)$$

Combining the results without capillarity derived in Appendix D as well as the extra contributions in $\Psi_i^{K,3}$ and that of $\Psi_i^{P,3}$, we finally obtain new right hand sides $\psi_i^{(1)}$ with the same structure as (4.21) with *unchanged* functions ψ_i^η , ψ_i^κ , ψ^{Dj} , $j \in \mathfrak{S}$, and $\psi_i^{\hat{\lambda}}$, but with the force terms

$$\mathbf{X}_i = n_i k_B T \left(\nabla \left(\frac{g_i}{k_B T} \right) - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\varkappa_{ij}}{k_B T} \nabla \Delta n_j \right). \quad (6.9)$$

These force terms include both the thermodynamics \mathbf{X}_i^{th} and Cahn-Hilliard \mathbf{X}_i^{ch} contributions, generalizing (4.23). These force terms \mathbf{X}_i also coincide with those obtained from rational thermodynamics in (2.16) and (2.17). The corresponding perturbed distribution functions $\phi^{(1)} = (\phi_i^{(1)})_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ also satisfy Enskog type constraints that are found to be similar to that of normal diffusion processes as detailed in Appendix E. Therefore, we still have the expansions (4.21) and (4.33) with the new forces (6.9) but with *unchanged* functions ϕ_i^η , ϕ_i^κ , ϕ^{Dj} , $j \in \mathfrak{S}$, and $\phi_i^{\hat{\lambda}}$. We have thus recovered the Cahn-Hilliard force terms \mathbf{X}_i^{ch} and diffusive fluxes and we must now investigate diffuse interface terms in first order conservation equations.

6.2 Conservation equations at first order

The conservation equations at first order are obtained by adding all first order contributions to the already derived conservation equations at the Euler level.

In order to investigate the extra capillary terms arising at first order of the Enskog expansion we use the symmetrized pair nonequilibrium distribution functions in the form

$$f_{ij}^{\text{sy}} = f_i(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j, t) g_{ij}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{i,j}, r_{ij}), \quad i, j \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (6.10)$$

where g_{ij} is the correlation function (4.6) evaluated at a symmetric average $\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{i,j}$ of \mathbf{r}_i and \mathbf{r}_j . This distribution first coincide with the zeroth order distribution $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ when evaluated for Maxwellian distribution, it is also symmetric in its arguments and the limit for large \mathbf{r}_{ij} is the natural uncorrelated nonequilibrium pair distribution function $f_i(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j, t)$. The Euler equations for capillary fluids have also been evaluated by using $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$. The correlation functions $g_{ij}(\bar{\mathbf{r}}_{i,j}, r_{ij})$ are also simplified in the form $g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, r_{ij})$ as in the zeroth order derivation, and will be denoted by $g_{ij}(r_{ij})$. In order to derive the macroscopic equations at first order, the symmetrized pair distribution functions f_{ij}^{sy} are then used with $f_i = f_i^{(0)} + f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(1)}$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, with the general balance equations established in Section 3.2, using again higher order Taylor expansions. Denoting Bogoliubov pair distribution functions (3.29) by $f_{ij}^{\text{Bo}} = f_i(\mathbf{r}'_i, \mathbf{c}'_i) f_j(\mathbf{r}'_j, \mathbf{c}'_j)$, the deviations arising from the difference $f_{ij}^{\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{\text{sy}}$ are addressed in the next section. In addition, we only need to consider the higher order terms in the Taylor expansions in order to evaluate the capillary contributions to the transport fluxes since the lower order terms have already been taken into account.

We first consider the tensor \mathcal{P}^{P} and look for *new extra terms* with *two spatial derivatives* arising from the first order Enskog contributions. The new terms—at first order of Enskog expansion—are in the form

$$- \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} g_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i^\alpha) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j^\alpha) (\phi_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_i^\alpha) + \phi_j^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_j^\alpha)) d\alpha d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j,$$

where we have denoted $\mathbf{r}_i^\alpha = \mathbf{r}_i - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ and $\mathbf{r}_j^\alpha = \mathbf{r}_i + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ and have left implicit the dependence on the velocities \mathbf{c}_i and \mathbf{c}_j . These extra terms vanish since the average value with respect to \mathbf{c}_i of $\phi_i^{(1)}$ at \mathbf{r}_i^α vanishes as well as the average value with respect to \mathbf{c}_j of $\phi_j^{(1)}$ at \mathbf{r}_j^α . As a consequence, there are no new contributions to the pressure tensor at first order, and in particular no extra capillary terms.

We now investigate the new contributions to the heat fluxes \mathcal{Q}_1^{P} and \mathcal{Q}_2^{P} at first order of Enskog expansion as well as those arising from the internal energy. Indeed,

even though there is no first order corrector to the internal energy \mathcal{E} , by definition of the Enskog's constraints, there is nevertheless a first order corrector when transforming $\mathcal{E}^{\text{ex}} = -\sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} n_i \Delta n_j$ into $\bar{\mathcal{E}}^{\text{ex}} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j$. At first order of Enskog expansion, we indeed have to use the first order governing equation $\partial_t n_i = -\nabla \cdot (n_i \mathbf{v}) - \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, instead of $\partial_t n_i = -\nabla \cdot (n_i \mathbf{v})$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, where $\mathcal{F}_i = \int (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_i^{(0)} \phi^{(1)} d\mathbf{c}_i$ is the diffusive flux or particles with $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_i = m_i \mathcal{F}_i$. The corrector Ξ , given in the general form by (5.10), yields new terms solely arising from the time derivatives. Since the time derivatives in Ξ arise through the divergence of $-\frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} (\partial_t n_i + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n_i) \nabla n_j$ and $-\frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} n_i \nabla (\partial_t n_j + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n_j)$, and keeping in mind that the capillarity coefficients χ_{ij} are taken to be constant, we obtain after some algebra that the corrector Ξ is in the form $\Xi = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{q}_0 + \mathbf{q}'_0)$ with a new first-order corrective flux \mathbf{q}'_0 given by

$$\mathbf{q}'_0 = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i \nabla n_j + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} n_i \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i). \quad (6.11)$$

Concentrating then on the flux \mathcal{Q}_1^{p} , we have to investigate extra terms with second order derivatives arising from

$$\sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi_{ij}(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) g_{ij} f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j) (\phi_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_i) + \phi_j^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_j)) d\alpha d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j. \quad (6.12)$$

Using the zero average constraint for $\phi_j^{(1)}$ at \mathbf{r}_j , using the definition of \mathcal{F}_i as well as that of χ_{ij} , this contribution may be rewritten in the form

$$\sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi_{ij} g_{ij} n_j(\mathbf{r}_j) \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbf{r}_i) d\mathbf{r}_{ij}.$$

Using a Taylor expansion of $n_j(\mathbf{r}_j)$, the diffuse interface extra second order derivatives terms are finally obtained in the form

$$\mathbf{q}'_1 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \chi_{ij} \Delta n_j \mathcal{F}_i, \quad (6.13)$$

whereas the zeroth order terms in (6.12) are taken into account in the standard dissipative heat flux.

Concentrating then on the flux \mathcal{Q}_2^{p} , we must extract second derivative terms from the contributions

$$- \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} g_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i^\alpha) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j^\alpha) (\phi_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_i^\alpha) + \phi_j^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}_j^\alpha)) d\alpha d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j.$$

Using the definition of diffusive fluxes, these terms may be rewritten

$$- \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} g_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot (n_j(\mathbf{r}_j^\alpha) \mathcal{F}_i(\mathbf{r}_i^\alpha) + n_i(\mathbf{r}_i^\alpha) \mathcal{F}_j(\mathbf{r}_j^\alpha)) d\alpha d\mathbf{r}_{ij}. \quad (6.14)$$

We next use Taylor expansions for both number densities and diffusion fluxes and extract all the diffuse interface terms with two spatial derivatives. Considering first the integrands

associated with the second order derivatives of number densities in (6.14), we obtain a corrector term in the form

$$\mathbf{q}'_2 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} g_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^2 n_j : (\delta \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha)^{\otimes 2} \mathcal{F}_i + \nabla^2 n_i : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i^\alpha)^{\otimes 2} \mathcal{F}_j) d\alpha d\mathbf{r}_{ij},$$

We may then integrate with respect to α by using $\int (\delta \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha)^{\otimes 2} d\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}$ as well as $\int (\delta \mathbf{r}_i^\alpha)^{\otimes 2} d\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}$ and this yields

$$\mathbf{q}'_2 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{12} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} g_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^2 n_j : (\mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 2} \mathcal{F}_i + \nabla^2 n_i : (\mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 2} \mathcal{F}_j) d\mathbf{r}_{ij}.$$

Symmetrizing the sum and using the differential identity (F.3) we then obtain that \mathbf{q}'_2 is in the form

$$\mathbf{q}'_2 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\varkappa_{ij}}{6} \left(2 \nabla^2 n_i + \Delta n_i \mathbf{I} \right) \mathcal{F}_i, \quad (6.15)$$

with the cohesion coefficients \varkappa_{ij} , $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, given by (5.2). Considering then the integrands arising from products of first order derivatives of number densities and diffusive fluxes in (6.14) we obtain an extra corrector term in the form

$$\mathbf{q}'_3 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} g_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot (\nabla n_j \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha \nabla \mathcal{F}_i \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_i^\alpha + \nabla n_i \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_i^\alpha \nabla \mathcal{F}_j \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha) d\alpha d\mathbf{r}_{ij}.$$

We may integrate with respect to α by using $\int (\delta \mathbf{r}_i^\alpha) \otimes (\delta \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha) d\alpha = -\frac{1}{6} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}$ as well as $\int (\delta \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha) \otimes (\delta \mathbf{r}_i^\alpha) d\alpha = -\frac{1}{6} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}$ and this yields

$$\mathbf{q}'_3 = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{12} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} g_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \nabla n_j \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{r}_{ij}.$$

We may next use again the differential identity (F.3) and after some algebra, it is obtained that

$$\mathbf{q}'_3 = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6} \varkappa_{ij} \left(\nabla \mathcal{F}_i + (\nabla \mathcal{F}_i)^t + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i \mathbf{I} \right) \nabla n_j. \quad (6.16)$$

Considering finally the integrands involving second derivatives of diffusive fluxes in (6.14) we obtain an extra corrector term in the form

$$\mathbf{q}'_4 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} g_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (n_j \nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_i : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i^\alpha)^{\otimes 2} + n_i \nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_j : (\delta \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha)^{\otimes 2}) d\alpha d\mathbf{r}_{ij}.$$

We may then integrate with respect to α by using $\int (\delta \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha)^{\otimes 2} d\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}$ as well as $\int (\delta \mathbf{r}_i^\alpha)^{\otimes 2} d\alpha = \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij}$ and this yields

$$\mathbf{q}'_4 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{12} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} g_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot \frac{1}{2} (n_j \nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_i : (\mathbf{r}_i^\alpha)^{\otimes 2} + n_i \nabla^2 \mathcal{F}_j : (\mathbf{r}_j^\alpha)^{\otimes 2}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij}.$$

Using then the identity (F.6) it is obtained after some algebra that

$$\mathbf{q}'_4 = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6} \varkappa_{ij} \left(\Delta \mathcal{F}_i + 2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i) \right) n_j. \quad (6.17)$$

The new capillary heat flux at first order \mathcal{Q}'^{ex} may now be evaluated from

$$\mathcal{Q}'^{\text{ex}} = \mathbf{q}'_0 + \mathbf{q}'_1 + \mathbf{q}'_2 + \mathbf{q}'_3 + \mathbf{q}'_4,$$

with the terms evaluated with (6.11), (6.13), (6.15), (6.16), and (6.17). The extra heat flux \mathcal{Q}'^{ex} is thus given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}'^{\text{ex}} = & \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ij} \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i \nabla n_j + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ij} n_i \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i) - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ij} \Delta n_j \mathcal{F}_i \\ & - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6} \kappa_{ij} \left(2 \nabla^2 n_i + \Delta n_i \mathbf{I} \right) \mathcal{F}_i + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6} \kappa_{ij} \left(\nabla \mathcal{F}_i + (\nabla \mathcal{F}_i)^t + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i \mathbf{I} \right) \nabla n_j \\ & - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6} \kappa_{ij} \left(\Delta \mathcal{F}_i + 2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i) \right) n_j. \end{aligned}$$

In order to simplify this expression we seek an *equivalent expression* $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}'^{\text{ex}}$ of \mathcal{Q}'^{ex} , that is, we seek a flux $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}'^{\text{ex}}$ such that

$$\nabla \cdot \mathcal{Q}'^{\text{ex}} = \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{Q}}'^{\text{ex}}.$$

To this aim, we use the identity (F.8) in order to eliminate the contributions involving $\nabla^2 n_j \mathcal{F}_i$ and we regroup similar terms and this yields

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \mathcal{Q}'^{\text{ex}} = & \nabla \cdot \left(- \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \kappa_{ij} \Delta n_j \mathcal{F}_i + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \kappa_{ij} \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i \nabla n_j - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6} \kappa_{ij} \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i \nabla n_j \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6} \kappa_{ij} (\nabla \mathcal{F}_i)^t \nabla n_j + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6} \kappa_{ij} n_i \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i) - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\kappa_{ij}}{6} n_j \Delta \mathcal{F}_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using then the differential identity (F.10), for each species pair (i, j) , the divergence of the second to fifth terms in the right hand side vanish, and we obtain that

$$\nabla \cdot \mathcal{Q}'^{\text{ex}} = \nabla \cdot \left(- \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \kappa_{ij} \Delta n_j \mathcal{F}_i + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \kappa_{ij} \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i \nabla n_j \right),$$

and finally that

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}'^{\text{ex}} = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \kappa_{ij} \Delta n_j \mathcal{F}_i + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \kappa_{ij} \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i \nabla n_j. \quad (6.18)$$

Using then the mass based diffuse interface coefficient $\bar{\kappa}_{ij} = \kappa_{ij}/m_i m_j$ with the relations $\rho_i = m_i n_i$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_i = m_i \mathcal{F}_i$, we may rewrite the extra first order heat flux $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}'^{\text{ex}}$ in the form $-\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i$ so that $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}'^{\text{ex}}$ coincides with \mathcal{Q}^{CD} . A key point in the simplification of the capillary-diffusive flux was the use of the modified energy density (5.9) instead of (5.8), as already observed at zeroth order.

In summary, the generalized Korteweg tensor (2.18), the generalized Dunn and Serrin heat flux (2.19), the cohesive-dissipative heat flux (2.20), the energy density (2.7), the pressure (2.6), the Cahn-Hilliard diffusive fluxes, as well as nonideal fluid thermodynamics have been recovered from the kinetic theory.

6.3 Agreement with Bogoliubov distributions

The higher order diffuse interface contributions in the conservation equations and the linearized equations have been derived by using the naturally symmetrized zeroth order pair distribution functions f_{ij}^{sy} , $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$. More specifically, the Euler/van der Waals equations including the generalized Korteweg tensor (2.18), the generalized Dunn and Serrin heat flux (2.19), and the energy density (2.7) have been derived by using the zeroth order $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ pair distribution functions. Similarly, the Cahn-Hilliard diffusive fluxes and the capillary-diffusive heat flux (2.20) have been obtained by using the symmetrized pair distribution functions f_{ij}^{sy} . The symmetrized distributions are natural and Bogoliubov pair distribution functions are unfortunately not practical as typically shown by the explicit use of streaming operators in the dense gas mixture collision integrals $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^\eta$, $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^\kappa$, $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^\lambda$ of the linearized right hand sides (4.24)–(4.28). Nevertheless, the Bogoliubov pair distribution functions have been used in order to evaluate the normal diffusive fluxes following Cohen et al. [49]. As a consequence, in order to establish that the model derived with the symmetrized distributions is in agreement with Bogoliubov distributions, we have to establish that the deviations in diffuse interface terms arising from the difference of distributions may be neglected in some regime. Since Bogoliubov pair distribution functions are not practical, their moments cannot unfortunately be evaluated, and it is inevitable to introduce some approximations.

We investigate the deviations in diffuse interfaces terms arising from the differences $f_{ij}^{\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{\text{sy}}$ in a regime where the dominant terms arise from the density derivatives and we make use of hard potential approximations. That is, we establish that the deviations arising from $f_{ij}^{\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{\text{sy}}$ may be neglected in a regime where temperature gradients and relative Mach numbers *inside interphase fronts* are small. To this aim, we introduce a characteristic length of temperature gradients $l_{\nabla T}^*$, a characteristic length of velocity gradients $l_{\nabla v}^*$, a typical macroscopic velocity variation inside interphase fronts δv^* , and the corresponding relative Mach number $\text{Ma}^* = \delta v^* / \sqrt{k_B T^* / m^*}$. The Bogoliubov corrections are then estimated in a regime where density gradients dominate the dynamics of interphase fronts, that is, in the regime where

$$\left(\frac{\sigma^*}{r^*}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla n}^*}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla T}^*}\right) \ll 1, \quad (6.19)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\sigma^*}{r^*}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla n}^*}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla v}^*}\right) \left(\frac{\delta v^*}{\sqrt{k_B T^* / m^*}}\right) \ll 1. \quad (6.20)$$

The first condition (6.19) insure that all terms in the form $\varkappa_{ij} n_i \nabla n_j \cdot \nabla T$ are negligible with respect to the density gradient terms $\varkappa_{ij} \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j$ within interphase fronts, and a similar condition holds for the gradient of these quantities. Similarly, the second condition (6.20) insure that all terms in the form $\varkappa_{ij} n_i \nabla n_j \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} / \sqrt{k_B T / m}$ are negligible with respect to the density gradient terms $\varkappa_{ij} \nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j$ within interphase fronts, and the same rule applies to gradients of these quantities.

We may next introduce the decomposition

$$f_i^{(0)} = n_i \tilde{f}_i^{(0)}, \quad \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} = \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi k_B T}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2k_B T}\right), \quad (6.21)$$

such that

$$\nabla f_i^{(0)} = \nabla n_i \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} + n_i \nabla \tilde{f}_i^{(0)},$$

and

$$\nabla^2 f_i^{(0)} = \nabla^2 n_i \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} + \nabla n_i \otimes \nabla \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} + \nabla \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \otimes \nabla n_i + n_i \nabla^2 \tilde{f}_i^{(0)}.$$

From the expression

$$\nabla \log \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} = \frac{m_i}{k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2k_B T} \right) \frac{\nabla T}{T},$$

we then note that the ratio of the terms in $\nabla \log \tilde{f}_i^{(0)}$ with respect to $\nabla \log n_i$ is of the order of $\frac{l_{\nabla n}}{l_{\nabla v}} \text{Ma}^*$ or $\frac{l_{\nabla n}}{l_{\nabla T}}$ is such a way that $\nabla \log n_i$ is the main contribution in $\nabla \log \tilde{f}_i^{(0)}$ inside interphase fronts where $\sigma^* \approx l_{\nabla n}^*$, $\sigma^* \ll l_{\nabla T}^*$ and $\sigma^* \times \delta v^* \ll l_{\nabla v}^* \times (k_B T^*/m^*)^{1/2}$. The conditions (6.19) and (6.20) thus insure that the main contributions in the gradients $\nabla \tilde{f}_i^{(0)}$ in interphase fronts are those associated with ∇n_i .

The number densities n_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, and the fluid velocity \mathbf{v} are unchanged by correction terms concerning pair distribution functions but there is first a difference of internal energies in the form

$$\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{E} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{ij} (f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j, \quad (6.22)$$

where we have denoted by $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}}$ the zeroth order Bogoliubov distribution functions

$$f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} = f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}'_i, \mathbf{c}'_i) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}'_j, \mathbf{c}'_j). \quad (6.23)$$

With second order expansions of the pair distribution functions, the difference in internal energy reads

$$\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{E} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} \int \varphi_{ij} \left(\partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i, \delta \mathbf{r}_j)^{\otimes 2} - \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j}^2 f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}} : \mathbf{r}_{ij}^{\otimes 2} \right) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j,$$

where for any vector $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we denote for short by $\mathbf{z}^{\otimes 2}$ the tensor product $\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z}$. The leading terms in $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{E}$ are thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} \int \varphi_{ij} g_{ij} \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \tilde{f}_j^{(0)} & \left(n_j \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i}^2 n_i : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i)^{\otimes 2} + 2 \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} n_i \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_i \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} n_j \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_j \right. \\ & \left. + n_i \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j}^2 n_j : (\delta \mathbf{r}_j)^{\otimes 2} - n_i \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j}^2 n_j : \mathbf{r}_{ij}^{\otimes 2} \right) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j, \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

and all these terms vanish from the integral relations (D.11) for hard potentials that are simply obtained by letting $\delta \mathbf{r}_i = 0$ $\delta \mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{r}_{ij}$ inside the integrals. The remaining correction terms are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} \int \varphi_{ij} g_{ij} n_i n_j & \left(\partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 \tilde{f}_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i, \delta \mathbf{r}_j)^{\otimes 2} - \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j}^2 \tilde{f}_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}} : \mathbf{r}_{ij}^{\otimes 2} \right) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j \\ & + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi_{ij} g_{ij} \left((n_j \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} n_i \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_i + n_i \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} n_j \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_j) \partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)} \tilde{f}_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i, \delta \mathbf{r}_j) \right. \\ & \left. - \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} n_j \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} \tilde{f}_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}} : \mathbf{r}_{ij} \right) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{f}_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} = \tilde{f}_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}'_i, \mathbf{c}'_i) \tilde{f}_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}'_j, \mathbf{c}'_j)$ and $\tilde{f}_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}} = g_{ij} \tilde{f}_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i) \tilde{f}_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j)$. These corrections are thus negligible keeping in mind that $\kappa^* = k_B T^* \sigma^{*5}$ as established in Section 5.3, and the ratio $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{E} / \mathcal{E}$ is majorized by $(\frac{\sigma^*}{r^*})^3 \frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla n}^*} \frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla T}^*} \ll 1$ or $(\frac{\sigma^*}{r^*})^3 \frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla n}^*} \frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla v}^*} \text{Ma}^* \ll 1$ in such a way that from (6.19) and (6.20) the correction term $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{E}$ may be neglected.

The corrections for the pressure tensor $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{P} = \delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{P}^{\text{P}}$ are next in the form

$$\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{P} = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{G}} \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} (f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}) (\mathbf{r}_i^\alpha, \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha) d\alpha d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j,$$

where we have denoted for convenience $\mathbf{r}_i^\alpha = \mathbf{r}_i - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ and $\mathbf{r}_j^\alpha = \mathbf{r}_j + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ and have left implicit the dependence of $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ on the velocities \mathbf{c}_i and \mathbf{c}_j . We may thus write that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{P} = & - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{G}} \int \frac{1}{4} \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} \left(\partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i^\alpha, \delta \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha)^{\otimes 2} \right. \\ & \left. - \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j}^2 f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}} : (-\mathbf{r}_{ij} + \alpha \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \alpha \mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 2} \right) d\alpha d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta \mathbf{r}_i^\alpha = \mathbf{r}_i'^\alpha - \mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_i' - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}' - \mathbf{r}_i$ and $\delta \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha = \mathbf{r}_j'^\alpha - \mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{r}_j' + \alpha \mathbf{r}_{ij}' - \mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{r}_j - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}' - \mathbf{r}_i$. Using the identity

$$\int_0^1 (a + \alpha b)(c + \alpha d) d\alpha = (a + \frac{1}{2}b)(c + \frac{1}{2}d) + \frac{1}{12}bd,$$

and integrating with respect to α , it is obtained that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{P} = & - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{G}} \int \frac{1}{4} \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} \left(\partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij}', \delta \mathbf{r}_i + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij}')^{\otimes 2} \right. \\ & - \partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}} : (-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 2} \\ & + \frac{1}{12} \partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} : (\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij}', \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij}')^{\otimes 2} \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{12} \partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}} : (\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 2} \right) d\alpha d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j. \end{aligned}$$

The dominant correction terms in $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{P}$ may then be split into $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{P}_1$ and $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{P}_2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{P}_1 = & - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{G}} \int \frac{1}{4} \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} g_{ij} f_i^{(0)} f_j^{(0)} \left(\frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_i}^2 n_i}{n_i} : ((\delta \mathbf{r}_i - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij}')^{\otimes 2} - (-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 2}) \right. \\ & 2 \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} n_i}{n_i} \cdot (\delta \mathbf{r}_i - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij}') \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} n_j}{n_j} \cdot (\delta \mathbf{r}_i + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij}') - 2 \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} n_i}{n_i} \cdot (-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij}) \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} n_j}{n_j} \cdot (+\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij}) \\ & \left. + \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_j}^2 n_j}{n_j} : ((\delta \mathbf{r}_i + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij}')^{\otimes 2} - (\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 2}) \right) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{P}_2 = & - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{48} \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} g_{ij} f_i^{(0)} f_j^{(0)} \left(\frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_i}^2 n_i}{n_i} : ((\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}'_{ij})^{\otimes 2} - (\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 2}) \right. \\ & 2 \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} n_i}{n_i} \cdot (\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}'_{ij}) \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} n_j}{n_j} \cdot (\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}'_{ij}) - 2 \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} n_i}{n_i} \cdot (\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_{ij}) \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} n_j}{n_j} \cdot (\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_{ij}) \\ & \left. + \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_j}^2 n_j}{n_j} : ((\delta \mathbf{r}_i + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}'_{ij})^{\otimes 2} - \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{r}_j}^2 n_j}{n_j} : (\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 2}) \right) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j, \end{aligned}$$

and both contributions vanish from the integral relations (D.11). The remaining corrections are then necessarily involve derivatives of $\tilde{f}_i^{(0)}$ or $\tilde{f}_j^{(0)}$ and are thus negligible assuming (6.19) and (6.20).

The estimates for the deviations of the heat fluxes $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{Q}_1^p$ and $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{Q}_2^p$ arising from $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ are discussed in Appendix G. These estimates are obtained in a similar way as for the energy and the pressure tensor. The result is that the corrections arising from $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ may also be neglected under the regime (6.19) and (6.20) and also assuming that the interaction potentials are hard in such a way that (D.10) and (D.11) hold. The first order corrections in the conservation equations, that are of multiplied by the knudsen number, are also negligible. The Bogoliubov corrections in the conservation equations are thus negligible in the regime under consideration and it is thus legitimate to use $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ instead of $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ in the derivation of the conservation equations. In order to complete the analysis, we now have to investigate the deviations arising from $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ in the linearized equations

The differences in the right hand sides of the linearized equations $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \psi_i$, arise from the material derivatives $\partial_t f_i^{(0)} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} f_i^{(0)}$ and the perturbed source terms $J_i^{(1)}$. From the estimates of pressure tensor, energy and heat fluxes, the differences in $\partial_t f_i^{(0)} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} f_i^{(0)}$ due to $f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}$ in ψ_i are negligible and we must solely examine the differences arising from the perturbed operators $J_i^{(1)}$. We have introduced in (6.6) the decomposition $\frac{1}{f_i^{(0)}} \mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)}) = \Psi_i^{\text{P},1} + \Psi_i^{\text{P},3}$ and the first order terms

$$\Psi_i^{\text{P},1} = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{f_i^{(0)}} \int \theta_{ij} \partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)} f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} \cdot (\delta \mathbf{r}_i, \delta \mathbf{r}_j) d\mathbf{x}_j, \quad (6.25)$$

have already been taken into account in the linearized equations so that $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \Psi_i^{\text{P},1} = 0$. We have next to investigate the differences in the third order terms

$$\delta^{\text{Bo}} \Psi_i^{\text{P},3} = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{6 f_i^{(0)}} \int \theta_{ij} \left(\partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^3 f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i, \delta \mathbf{r}_j)^{\otimes 3} - \partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^3 f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}} : (0, \mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 3} \right) d\mathbf{x}_j, \quad (6.26)$$

where $\partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}$ denotes the differential operator with respect to the pair coordinates $(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)$, where $\delta \mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}'_i - \mathbf{r}_i = \mu_j \mathbf{r}_{ij} - \mu_j \mathbf{r}'_{ij}$ and $\delta \mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{r}'_j - \mathbf{r}_j = \mu_j \mathbf{r}_{ij} + \mu_i \mathbf{r}'_{ij}$, and with the differentials $\partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^3 f_{ij}^{(0)}$ evaluated at $(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_i)$. All terms that are quadratic in the increments $\delta \mathbf{r}_i$ and $\delta \mathbf{r}_j$ indeed yield zero contributions in the expansion of $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)})$, being even with respect to \mathbf{r}_{ij} and \mathbf{r}_{ij} and keeping in mind that θ_{ij} is even with respect to \mathbf{r}_{ij} . The higher order density derivatives contributions then vanish with the hard potentials approximation (D.10) and (D.11) as in the analysis of the pressure tensor. The remaining

terms then involve derivatives of the rescaled Maxwellians that are negligible in the regime under consideration (6.19)(6.20).

In summary, we have established that the equations derived with the symmetrized distribution functions also agree with Bogoliubov distribution functions in a regime where density gradients dominate the dynamics of interphase fronts and when interaction potentials are hard.

7 Comparison and discussion

We discuss in the section the model derives from the kinetic theory, the models derived from thermodynamics in the literature as well as the domain of validity of the resulting equations.

7.1 Derivation from thermodynamics

The derivation from rational mechanics presented in Section 2 differ from that [2, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. The kinetic theory indeed yields an heat flux in the form

$$\mathcal{Q} = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i + \mathcal{Q}^d, \quad (7.1)$$

and the forces terms

$$\mathbf{X}_i = n_i k_B T \left(\nabla \frac{g_i}{k_B T} - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\nabla \nabla \cdot (\chi_{ij} \nabla n_j)}{k_B T} \right). \quad (7.2)$$

These results obtained from the kinetic theory of dense gas coincide with the results obtained from rational thermodynamics when using the expression (A.7) of the entropy production rate.

On the other hand, by using the expression (A.6) for the entropy production rate, the following heat flux and diffusion driving forces

$$\mathcal{Q}' = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i + \mathcal{Q}^d, \quad (7.3)$$

$$\mathbf{X}'_i = n_i k_B T \nabla \left(\frac{g_i}{k_B T} - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\nabla \cdot (\chi_{ij} \nabla n_j)}{k_B T} \right). \quad (7.4)$$

These equations have notably been derived by Anderson et al. [2], Verschueren [20] and Liu et al. [23]. Similarly, by using the expression (A.8) for the entropy production rate, the following heat flux and diffusion driving forces are obtained

$$\mathcal{Q}'' = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathcal{Q}^d, \quad (7.5)$$

$$\mathbf{X}''_i = n_i k_B T \nabla \left(\frac{g_i}{k_B T} - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\chi_{ij} \nabla n_j}{k_B T} \right) \right). \quad (7.6)$$

These expressions have notably been obtained by Falk [19], and by Alt and Pawlow [24] without convection phenomena. Both these formulations (7.3)–(7.4) and (7.5)–(7.6) from

the literature have missing terms in the first order heat flux and their species diffusion driving forces differ from the kinetic theory result.

We may first note, however, that the models (7.3)–(7.4) and (7.5)–(7.6) exactly agree with (7.1)–(7.2) when all capillary coefficients are equal $\bar{\kappa}_{ij} = \bar{\kappa}$ since then all difference terms automatically vanish from mass conservation constraints. Indeed, in this situation, the vectors $\bar{\gamma}_i$ are then given by $\bar{\gamma}_i = \bar{\kappa} \nabla \rho$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, are all equal so that $\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i = \bar{\kappa} \nabla \rho \nabla \cdot (\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i) = 0$ from the mass conservation constraint, $\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i = 0$ and similarly $\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i = \nabla \cdot \bar{\kappa} \nabla \rho \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i = 0$. This was the model used in particular by Gaillard et al. [9] in order to simulate hydrogen-air transcritical flames. In this situation the capillary terms in the Cahn-Hilliard diffusive fluxes also vanish [9]. The resulting model may be seen as a generalization to mixtures of the van der Waals model with a simplified $\bar{\kappa} |\nabla \rho|^2$ gradient energy in the free energy [9].

More generally, we note that these models *essentially* agree in the regimes under consideration. Indeed, the differences between the forces terms may be written $\mathbf{X}'_i - \mathbf{X}_i = n_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \nabla T / T$ and $\mathbf{X}''_i - \mathbf{X}'_i = -n_i T \nabla (\bar{\gamma}_i \cdot \nabla T / T^2)$. All these differences thus involve temperature gradients and the relative errors scale as $(\frac{\sigma^*}{r^*})^3 \frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla n}^*} \frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla T}^*} \ll 1$ where σ^* denotes a typical collision diameter, r^* the average interparticle distance, $l_{\nabla n}^*$ a characteristic length of density gradients, and $l_{\nabla T}^*$ a characteristic length of velocity or temperature gradients, and may thus be neglected since temperature gradients remain modest in interphase fronts. For similar reasons, the first order contributions in the heat fluxes may be estimated to be negligible in the regime under consideration. The heat flux and species diffusion driving forces (7.5) and (7.6) may also be seen as obtained when neglecting nonlocalities in the fluxes. With these approximations, the three models (7.3)–(7.4), (7.5)–(7.6), and (7.1)–(7.2) are essentially similar.

Finally, various authors have further extracted the natural $1/T$ factor in the thermodynamic fluxes. The resulting expression of the entropy production rate (A.9) then leads to the heat flux

$$\mathcal{Q} = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} (\bar{g}_i - \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i + \mathcal{Q}^d, \quad (7.7)$$

with an unphysical component $\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{g}_i \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i$, and such fluxes have been considered in particular by Heida et al. [21] and Guo et al. [22]. Letting notably the capillary coefficient to zero, and considering a standard dilute gas mixture, the unphysical heat flux is in the form $-\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{g}_i \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i + \mathcal{Q}^d$, in contradiction with all books on gas mixtures [55, 56, 67, 68, 69] where there enthalpies are obtained instead of Gibbs functions.

7.2 Entropic considerations

The macroscopic entropy $\mathcal{S}^{K,(0)}$ obtained from the kinetic model *when using higher order Taylor expansion of pair distribution functions* may be shown to be in the form

$$\mathcal{S}^{K,(0)} = \mathcal{S}^u + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\kappa_{ij}}{2T} \rho_i \Delta \rho_j, \quad (7.8)$$

where $\mathcal{S}^u = \mathcal{S}^{(0)}$ is the nonideal entropy obtained in from the kinetic theory (4.16) *in the absence of diffuse interface effects*. In the situation of constant capillary coefficients, this entropy $\mathcal{S}^u = \mathcal{S}^{(0)}$ has also been shown to be the Gibbsian entropy of the diffuse interface model. We may also transform this entropy (7.8) into

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{K,(0)} = \mathcal{S}^u - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\kappa_{ij}}{2T} \nabla \rho_i \cdot \nabla \rho_j, \quad (7.9)$$

by modifying the entropy flux.

We thus conclude that the macroscopic entropies $\mathcal{S}^{\kappa,(0)}$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{\kappa,(0)}$ obtained from the kinetic theory by using higher order Taylor expansion of pair distribution functions *differ* from the Gibbsian entropy \mathcal{S}^u naturally arising from the structure of the governing equations. This Gibbsian entropy \mathcal{S}^u may be seen as a thermodynamic *mathematical construction* derived from the structure of the system of partial differential equations. This difference between $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{\kappa,(0)}$ and $\mathcal{S}^u = \mathcal{S}^{(0)}$ may also be interpreted as an indication that there is no H theorem in general for the generalized Boltzmann equations derived from the BBGKY hierarchy.

A similar situation is that of second order entropies in the Enskog expansions, i.e., entropies obtained in Burnett regimes, discussed notably by de Groot and Mazur [58] and Giovangigli [89, 90]. These entropies also differ from the Gibbsian entropies associated with the fluid equations [58].

7.3 Nonideal fluid thermodynamics and transport

The derivation of the van der Waals equation of state from the kinetic theory of gas mixtures has used the assumption that the volume ratio is small $\sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_j \mathbf{b}_j \ll 1$. This property then implies that $(1 - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_j \mathbf{b}_j)^{-1} \approx 1 + \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_j \mathbf{b}_j$ as in the traditional derivation from equilibrium statistical mechanics for a single gas [83, 84, 85]. The domain of validity of van der Waals equation of state, however, is *much larger* than that of the hypotheses made in the derivation and notably includes liquids where the volume ratio $\sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_j \mathbf{b}_j$ is of order unity. Another derivation of the van der Waals equation of state is also possible by directly including factors in the form $(1 - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_j \mathbf{b}_j)^{-1}$ in the correlation function g_{ij} as first suggested by Sobrino for single species fluids [33] and used in various work [39, 41]. This would have the advantage of directly giving factors $(1 - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_j \mathbf{b}_j)^{-1}$ in the repulsive part but would also yield such factors in the attractive part of the equation of state and in all capillary terms without further simplifications. This is why we have used the equilibrium correlation function given by statistical mechanics (4.6) and to follow the traditional derivation of van der Waals equation of state from statistical mechanics [83, 84, 85].

The traditional multicomponent diffusion driving forces \mathbf{d}_i^{cl} are defined by subtracting temperature gradients from thermodynamic diffusion driving forces \mathbf{d}_i^{th} naturally related to chemical potential gradients (4.47). The traditional multicomponent diffusion coefficients are then obtained as detailed in Section 4.5.2. However, these traditional expressions may only be used when mechanical stability holds and only the thermodynamic formulation is generally valid. The pressure based species enthalpies and specific volume even explode at mechanical instability limits as detailed in Gaillard et al. [9].

7.4 Cahn-Hilliard fluids

We have presented in previous sections the first molecular derivation of Cahn-Hilliard fluids models in the framework of the kinetic theory of dense gas mixtures. This resulting model notably includes generalized van der Waals/Cahn-Hilliard gradient energy, Korteweg tensor, Dunn and Serrin type heat flux, as well as Cahn-Hilliard multicomponent diffusive type fluxes and first order capillary contribution in the heat flux. The capillarity coefficients have also been related to intermolecular forces and the van der Waals equation

of state has also been derived. We now address the validity domain of the derivation from the kinetic theory.

The capillary fluid equations have been derived within the kinetic theory of moderately dense gas mixtures essentially assuming that $\sigma^* \ll r^* \ll l_K^* \ll l_H^*$, where σ^* is a typical collision diameter or equivalently a typical range of the interaction potentials φ_{ij} , r^* a characteristic interparticle distance, l_K^* the mean free path, and l_H^* a hydrodynamic length. We have also assumed that the interaction potentials are hard in order to simplify some of the collision integrals associated with dense gases. We have also assumed that the temperature gradients remain of macroscopic scale as well as and that the velocity gradients multiplied by the relative Mach number are small in interphase fronts when estimating the deviations arising from Bogoliubov distributions. However, these capillary equation also apply to liquids where $\sigma^* = r^* = l_K^*$ and are exactly the equations derived from thermodynamics. Therefore, as for the van der Waals equation of state, the domain of validity of the resulting equations is *much larger* than that of the kinetic theory of moderately dense gases since it also applies to liquids.

We have also used a new Enskog type scaling of the generalized Boltzmann equations. This scaling clarifies the derivation of the macroscopic equations and directly yields the equilibrium Maxwellian distributions. This scaling also yields the Euler capillary fluid equations at zeroth order whereas in previous work for single species fluids the diffuse interface terms were only obtained at first order [15]. In other words, the diffuse interface terms in Euler equations, as well as the nonideal thermodynamic functions, are direct consequences of the perturbed source terms $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$. The importance of diffuse interface Euler fluid equations in conjunction with Hilbert's sixth problem has notably been emphasized by Gorban and Karlin [91, 92] and Slemrod [93].

The derivation from the kinetic theory has been performed by simplifying the capillarity coefficients \varkappa_{ij} so that it is independant of T and from the number densities n_i , $i \in \mathfrak{S}$. The temperature dependence of the capillary coefficients could next be investigated by using a nonequilibrium correlation function g_{ij} . However, there is no clear expression for a nonequilibrium correlation function at interfaces so that it should be chosen carefully [3, 87, 88].

Finally, a key argument in the derivation is the use of a generalized Boltzmann equation with distribution functions are evaluated *at different spatial positions*.

8 Conclusion

We have derived the Cahn Hilliard fluid equations from the kinetic theory of dense gas mixtures. Investigating more refined models like polyatomic gases, reactive mixtures or the situation of temperature dependent diffuse interface coefficients would be of high scientific interest. Boundary conditions at solid walls and three phase lines could also be investigated by kinetic methods. Numerical simulations would also be an outstanding tool for comparing kinetic models and their corresponding macroscopic diffuse interface equations.

A Entropy production in fluid models

We derive in this section the rate of entropy production (2.12) from Gibbs relation (2.8) and the fluid governing equations (2.9)–(2.11). The species conservation equations are first written in the form

$$\partial_t \rho_i + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \rho_i = -\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and we have the differential identity

$$(\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \rho_i = \nabla (\partial_t \rho_i + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \rho_i) - (\nabla \mathbf{v})^t \nabla \rho_i, \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Multiplying the momentum conservation equation (2.10) by the velocity vector \mathbf{v} and subtracting the result from the total energy conservation equation (2.11) also yields the balance equation for internal energy \mathcal{E} that may be written

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{E} = -\mathcal{E} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \nabla \cdot \mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{P} : \nabla \mathbf{v}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Using Gibbs relation (2.8) we further obtain that

$$T(\partial_t \mathcal{S} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{S}) = \partial_t \mathcal{E} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{E} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{g}_i (\partial_t \rho_i + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \rho_i) - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i \cdot (\partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \rho_i,$$

and combining this with relations (A.1)–(A.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{S} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{S} = & -\frac{1}{T} (\mathcal{E} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{P} : \nabla \mathbf{v}) \\ & + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i) \\ & + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\bar{\gamma}_i}{T} \cdot (\nabla (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i) + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^t \nabla \rho_i). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The aim is now to decompose the right hand side of (A.4) into an entropy flux and a nonnegative production term. A key argument is that derivatives may take arbitrary values so that *only products of derivatives of the same order may have a sign*. We may also regroup all terms proportional to $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$ by using $(\mathcal{G} - \mathcal{E})/T = p/T - \mathcal{S}$.

Since the divergence of the total heat flux $\nabla \cdot \mathcal{Q}$ involves second order derivatives of temperature, it must be integrated by part. Similarly, the terms $\nabla (\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i)$ involves fifth order derivatives with Cahn-Hilliard type fluxes—third order with standard diffusion—and must also be integrated by parts. The terms $\bar{\gamma}_i \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{v})^t \nabla \rho_i$ may further be regrouped with the velocity gradient terms and these operations yield

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathcal{S} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{S}) + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{T} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\bar{\gamma}_i}{T} (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i) \right) \\ = -\frac{1}{T} \left(\mathcal{P} - pI - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \rho_i \otimes \bar{\gamma}_i \right) : \nabla \mathbf{v} - \mathcal{Q} \cdot \frac{\nabla T}{T^2} \\ + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \left(\frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\bar{\gamma}_i}{T} \right) \nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \frac{\bar{\gamma}_i}{T} \rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The derivatives $\nabla \cdot (\bar{\gamma}_i/T)$ appearing in the two last sums on the right hand side are then developed and the resulting terms split between temperature and velocity gradients, as

for a single species fluid [15]. The diffusive fluxes are also integrated by parts and these operations yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \mathcal{S} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{S}) + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{T} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\bar{\gamma}_i}{T} (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i) - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \left(\frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} - \frac{\nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i}{T} \right) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{T} \left(\mathcal{P} - p \mathbf{I} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} (\nabla \rho_i \otimes \bar{\gamma}_i - \rho_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \mathbf{I}) \right) : \nabla \mathbf{v} \\
&\quad - \left(\mathcal{Q} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i) \right) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{-1}{T} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \left(\frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} - \frac{\nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i}{T} \right) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i. \tag{A.6}
\end{aligned}$$

We may finally develop the terms $\nabla \frac{\nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i}{T}$ in the diffusion driving forces to finally obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \mathcal{S} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{S}) + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{T} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\bar{\gamma}_i}{T} (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i) - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \left(\frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} - \frac{\nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i}{T} \right) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{T} \left(\mathcal{P} - p \mathbf{I} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} (\nabla \rho_i \otimes \bar{\gamma}_i - \rho_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \mathbf{I}) \right) : \nabla \mathbf{v} \\
&\quad - \left(\mathcal{Q} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i) + \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i \right) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{-1}{T} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \left(\nabla \frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} - \frac{\nabla (\nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i)}{T} \right) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i. \tag{A.7}
\end{aligned}$$

This is the balance of entropy used in Section 2.2 involving notably the diffusion driving forces $\nabla(\bar{g}_i/T) - \nabla(\nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i/T)$, a Korteweg type tensor and a Dunn and Serrin type heat flux.

Another variant is then to integrate by part the diffusion terms in (A.5) to get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \mathcal{S} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{S}) + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{T} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\bar{\gamma}_i}{T} (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i) - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \left(\frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\bar{\gamma}_i}{T} \right) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{T} \left(\mathcal{P} - p \mathbf{I} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} (\nabla \rho_i \otimes \bar{\gamma}_i - \rho_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \mathbf{I}) \right) : \nabla \mathbf{v} \\
&\quad - \left(\mathcal{Q} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_i \bar{\gamma}_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{-1}{T} \right) - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \left(\frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\bar{\gamma}_i}{T} \right) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i. \tag{A.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, a fourth variant is obtained from by developing the derivatives $\nabla(\bar{g}_i/T)$ in the diffusion driving forces of (A.7) and the result reads

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \mathcal{S} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{S}) + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{T} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{\bar{\gamma}_i}{T} (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i) - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \left(\frac{\bar{g}_i}{T} - \frac{\nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i}{T} \right) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{T} \left(\mathcal{P} - p \mathbf{I} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} (\nabla \rho_i \otimes \bar{\gamma}_i - \rho_i \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i \mathbf{I}) \right) : \nabla \mathbf{v} \\
&\quad - \left(\mathcal{Q} - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\gamma}_i (\rho_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i) - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} (\bar{g}_i - \nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i \right) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{-1}{T} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{T} \left(\nabla \bar{g}_i - \nabla (\nabla \cdot \bar{\gamma}_i) \right) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i. \tag{A.9}
\end{aligned}$$

The four expressions (A.6) (A.7), (A.8) and (A.9) are discussed in Section 7.1 and also reveals the ambiguity of rational thermodynamics.

B Cahn-Hilliard models with mass fraction gradients

The general form for free energies \mathcal{A} in Cahn-Hilliard models has been written in Section 2.1 using partial density gradients (2.1). Such energies have notably been used by Cahn [16], Rowlinson and Widom [3], Verschueren [20], Liu et al. [23], and Heida et al. [21] and reduce to the traditional van der Waals energy for a single species fluid.

However, historically, gradient energies in terms of mole fraction gradients have first been considered by Cahn and Hilliard. We thus briefly address this type of situation in this section, easily derived from the general situation of density gradients. More specifically, we consider energies written in terms of mass fraction gradients as Anderson et al. [2], that are more convenient than mole fractions for multicomponent mixtures and mass based diffusion fluxes. Note still mole and mass also coincide for isomass mixtures. We have to establish in particular that there are not anymore second derivatives in the generalized Korteweg Pressure tensor as traditionally established with such energies [2].

We consider thus an energy written in the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^u + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}_{ij} \nabla y_i \cdot \nabla y_j, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where $y_i = \rho_i / \rho$ denotes the mass fraction of the i th species and $\rho = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_i$ the mixture mass density. Noting then that

$$\nabla y_i = \frac{1}{\rho} (\nabla \rho_i - y_i \nabla \rho) = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} (\delta_{ik} - y_i) \nabla \rho_k,$$

we may rewrite the energy (B.1) in the general form (2.1) provided the mass-based capillary coefficients $\bar{\chi}_{ij}$ are in the special form

$$\bar{\chi}_{kl} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\chi}_{ij} \frac{1}{\rho^2} (\delta_{ik} - y_i) (\delta_{jl} - y_j), \quad k, l \in \mathfrak{S}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

We may now evaluate the Korteweg tensor \mathcal{P}^{ko} by first noting that

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{k,l \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_k \nabla \cdot (\bar{\chi}_{kl} \nabla \rho_l) &= - \sum_{i,j,k,l \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_k \nabla \cdot (\bar{\chi}_{ij} \frac{1}{\rho^2} (\delta_{ik} - y_i) (\delta_{jl} - y_j) \nabla \rho_l) \\ &= - \sum_{i,j,k \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_k \nabla \cdot (\bar{\chi}_{ij} \frac{1}{\rho} (\delta_{ik} - y_i) \nabla y_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\chi}_{ij} \nabla y_i \cdot \nabla y_j, \end{aligned}$$

where use has been made of $\sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_k (\delta_{ik} - y_i) = 0$ after expanding the divergence term. On the other hand, a direct calculations yields

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l \in \mathfrak{S}} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_m \partial_{\rho_m} \bar{\chi}_{kl} - \bar{\chi}_{kl} \right) \nabla \rho_k \cdot \nabla \rho_l = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_m \partial_{\rho_m} \bar{\chi}_{ij} - 3 \bar{\chi}_{ij} \right) \nabla y_i \cdot \nabla y_j,$$

in such a way that

$$\mathcal{P}^{\text{KO}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} \rho_k \partial_{\rho_k} \bar{\chi}_{ij} - \bar{\chi}_{ij} \right) \nabla y_i \cdot \nabla y_j \mathbf{I} + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \bar{\chi}_{ij} \nabla y_i \otimes \nabla y_j. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

In particular, there are not anymore second derivatives in the pressure tensor \mathcal{P}^{KO} as traditionally obtained [2] when using mass or mole fractions gradients in the free energy as in (B.1).

Finally, a direct calculation yields that the generalized Dunn and Serrin heat flux vanishes $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{DS}} = 0$ and we also have

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\text{CD}} = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\rho} \bar{\chi}_{ij} \nabla y_j \right) \mathcal{F}_i + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{\rho} \bar{\chi}_{ij} \nabla y_j \cdot \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

C Macroscopic equations from the kinetic theory

C.1 Derivation from the hierarchy

Multiplying by m_i the Boltzmann equation of the i th species (3.1), integrating with respect to \mathbf{c}_i , and decomposing $\mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}$ yields

$$\partial_t \rho_i + \nabla \cdot (\rho_i \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot \bar{\mathcal{F}}_i = 0, \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where the diffusive mass flux of the i th species $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_i$ is defined by

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int m_i (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, t) d\mathbf{c}_i. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Multiplying by $m_i \mathbf{c}_i$ the Boltzmann equation (3.1), integrating with respect to \mathbf{c}_i , summing over the species $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, and using the definition of \mathbf{v} then yields

$$\partial_t (\rho \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}^{\text{K}} + \mathcal{R}^{\text{v}} = 0,$$

where \mathcal{P}^{K} is the traditional kinetic part of the pressure tensor

$$\mathcal{P}^{\text{K}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int m_i (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \otimes (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, t) d\mathbf{c}_i, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

and the term \mathcal{R}^{v} reads

$$\mathcal{R}^{\text{v}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int m_i (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \theta_{ij} f_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j,$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$. Using the expression of θ_{ij} , integrating by parts with respect to \mathbf{c}_i and \mathbf{c}_j , using the definition of n_{ij} and $\partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} \varphi_{ij} = -\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij}/r_{ij}$, it is obtained that

$$\mathcal{R}^{\text{v}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} n_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, t) d\mathbf{r}_{ij}.$$

We may now use that $n_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, t) = n_{ji}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r}, t)$, letting $\mathbf{r}_{ji} = -\mathbf{r}_{ij}$, and exchanging i and j in the resulting sum, we get

$$\mathcal{R}^{\text{v}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} n_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r}, t) d\mathbf{r}_{ij},$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{R}^v(\mathbf{r}, t) = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} (n_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, t) - n_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r}, t)) d\mathbf{r}_{ij},$$

Letting then $\bar{n}_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \alpha) = n_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r} + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}, t)$ we have

$$\bar{n}_{ij}(1) - \bar{n}_{ij}(0) = n_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, t) - n_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r}, t) = \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha \bar{n}_{ij}(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Since $\partial_\alpha \bar{n}_{ij}(\alpha) = \partial_{\mathbf{r}} \bar{n}_{ij} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}$ we finally obtain that

$$\mathcal{R}^v(\mathbf{r}, t) = \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}^v(\mathbf{r}, t),$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}^v(\mathbf{r}, t) = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} n_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r} + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}, t) d\alpha d\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \quad (\text{C.4})$$

and the scalar α must be integrated over $(0, 1)$. The tensor \mathcal{P}^K corresponds to the transfer of momentum due to the flow of particles and \mathcal{P}^v to the transfer of momentum between the species particles due to intermolecular forces [55, 56].

The kinetic part of the internal energy conservation equation is first obtained by multiplying Boltzmann equation (3.1) by $\frac{1}{2}m_i|\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2$, integrating with respect to \mathbf{c}_i , and summing over the species $i \in \mathfrak{S}$. Using the definitions of \mathcal{E}^K and \mathcal{P}^K yields after some algebra

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}^K + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{E}^K) + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{Q}^K + \mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{E}} = -\mathcal{P}^K : \nabla \mathbf{v}, \quad (\text{C.5})$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q}^K(\mathbf{r}_i, t) = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, t) d\mathbf{c}_i, \quad (\text{C.6})$$

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{E}} = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 \theta_{ij} f_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j.$$

Using the definition of θ_{ij} , $\partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} \varphi_{ij} = -\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij}) \mathbf{r}_{ij} / r_{ij}$, integrating by parts with respect to \mathbf{c}_i and \mathbf{c}_j , we next obtain that

$$\mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{E}} = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} f_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j.$$

The governing equation for the potential part \mathcal{E}^P of the internal energy may then conveniently be obtained from the second equation of the BBGKY hierarchy. Multiplying the two point distribution function equations (3.2) by the potential energy $\frac{1}{2}\varphi_{ij}(r_{ij})$ integrating with respect to \mathbf{c}_i , \mathbf{c}_j , and $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$, and summing with respect to $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, the resulting equation is in the form

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}^P + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{E}^P) + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{Q}_1^P + \mathcal{R}_2^{\mathcal{E}} = 0, \quad (\text{C.7})$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q}_1^P(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{ij}(r_{ij}) (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j, t) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_j d\mathbf{c}_j,$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2^\mathcal{E} = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int (\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{c}_i) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} f_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j.$$

Adding both equations (C.5) and (C.7) for the conservation of $\mathcal{E}^\mathbf{K}$ and $\mathcal{E}^\mathbf{P}$ then yields

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E} + \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{E} \mathbf{v}) + \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{Q}^\mathbf{K} + \mathcal{Q}_1^\mathbf{P}) + \mathcal{R}_3^\mathcal{E} = -\mathcal{P}^\mathbf{K} : \nabla \mathbf{v},$$

where $\mathcal{R}_3^\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{R}_1^\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{R}_2^\mathcal{E}$ reads

$$\mathcal{R}_3^\mathcal{E} = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} f_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j.$$

In order to transform $\mathcal{R}_3^\mathcal{E}$ we may proceed as for the momentum equation. More specifically, using

$$f_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) = f_{ji}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, t),$$

letting $\mathbf{r}_{ji} = -\mathbf{r}_{ij}$, and exchanging i and j in the sum, we obtain that

$$\mathcal{R}_3^\mathcal{E} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} f_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j,$$

so that

$$\mathcal{R}_3^\mathcal{E} = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} \int (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} [f_{ij}] d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j,$$

with

$$[f_{ij}] = f_{ij}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) - f_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_j, t).$$

Letting then

$$\bar{f}_{ij}(\alpha) = f_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t),$$

we have

$$[f_{ij}] = \bar{f}_{ij}(1) - \bar{f}_{ij}(0) = \int_0^1 \partial_\alpha \bar{f}_{ij}(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

and since

$$\partial_\alpha [f_{ij}](\alpha) = \partial_{\mathbf{r}} f_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_3^\mathcal{E} = & - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} \int (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \\ & \times \partial_{\mathbf{r}} f_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} d\alpha d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j, \end{aligned}$$

and the scalar α must be integrated over $(0, 1)$. Finally, letting $\partial_{\mathbf{r}}$ in front of the integrals and using $\partial_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) = -2\nabla \mathbf{v}$ we obtain that

$$\mathcal{R}_3^\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}^\mathbf{P} : \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot \mathcal{Q}_2^\mathbf{P},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_2^\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t) = & - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{4} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \\ & \times f_{ij}(\mathbf{r} - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{r} + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{c}_j, t) d\alpha d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j, \end{aligned}$$

and the energy equation is established.

C.2 Derivation from generalized Boltzmann equations

The above derivation of the macroscopic equations has used the two first equations of the hierarchy. An equivalent derivation may be obtained by directly using the generalized Boltzmann equations (3.24). We denote by $\widehat{\psi}^l$, $1 \leq l \leq n_s + 3$ the $n_s + 3$ first collision invariants given by $\widehat{\psi}^l = (\delta_{il})_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$, $\widehat{\psi}^{n_s + \nu} = (m_i(c_{i\nu} - v_\nu))_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ for $1 \leq \nu \leq 3$, and by \mathcal{E}' the differential of the energy \mathcal{E} with respect to $f = (f_i)_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$, that is, \mathcal{E}' is such that for any increment distribution $\delta f = (\delta f_i)_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ we have

$$\delta \mathcal{E} = \langle \langle \mathcal{E}', \delta f \rangle \rangle = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 \delta f_i d\mathbf{c}_i + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi_{ij} \tau_{ij} (f_i \delta f_j + f_j \delta f_i) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j,$$

where $\langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle$ is the scalar product (4.42). The governing equations are then obtained by taking the scalar product of the generalized equations $(\partial_t f_i + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} f_i - J_i)_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ by the invariants $\widehat{\psi}^l$, $1 \leq l \leq n_s + 3$ and \mathcal{E}' . The derivation of the mass and momentum conservation from (3.24) is then unchanged but the derivation of the energy conservation equation is slightly modified.

The energy conservation equation first reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 (\partial_t f_i + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} f_i - J_i) d\mathbf{c}_i \\ & + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi_{ij} \tau_{ij} (f_i (\partial_t f_j + \mathbf{c}_j \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} f_j - J_j) \\ & \quad f_j (\partial_t f_i + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} f_i - J_i)) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.8})$$

The kinetic terms in this relation are easily transformed into

$$\partial \mathcal{E}^K + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{E}^K + \mathcal{Q}^K) + \mathcal{P}^K : \nabla \mathbf{v} + \mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{E}}, \quad (\text{C.9})$$

using standard kinetic theory manipulations. Considering next the potential part of the relation (C.8), we first note that

$$\Xi_{ij} = \int \varphi_{ij} \tau_{ij} (f_i J_j + f_j J_i) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j = 0.$$

Indeed, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{ij} &= - \int \varphi_{ij} \tau_{ij} (f_i (\partial_t f_j + \mathbf{c}_j \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} f_j) + f_j (\partial_t f_i + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} f_i)) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j \\ &= - \int \varphi_{ij} \tau_{ij} (\partial_t (f_i f_j) + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} (f_i f_j) + \mathbf{c}_j \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} (f_i f_j)) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j. \end{aligned}$$

We may then use the identity

$$\tau_{ij} \partial_t = \partial_t \tau_{ij}, \quad (\text{C.10})$$

since τ_{ij} is independent of time from (3.22) as well as the commutation relation

$$\tau_{ij} (\mathbf{c}_i \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} + \mathbf{c}_j \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j}) = (\mathbf{c}_i \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} + \mathbf{c}_j \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} - \theta_{ij}) \tau_{ij}, \quad (\text{C.11})$$

established by Green [94] using the definition (3.22). These relations now yields

$$\Xi_{ij} = - \int \varphi_{ij} (\partial_t + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} + \mathbf{c}_j \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} - \theta_{ij}) \tau_{ij} f_i f_j d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j = (\partial_t + \mathfrak{H}_{ij}) f_{ij} = 0$$

since by definition of $\tau_{ij}f_i f_j = \exp(-t\mathfrak{H}_{ij})f_i(0)f_j(0)$ we have $(\partial_t + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} + \mathbf{c}_j \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} - \theta_{ij})f_{ij} = 0$. The potential remainder of the energy equation (C.8) then reads after some algebra

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi_{ij} \tau_{ij} (f_i (\partial_t f_j + \mathbf{c}_j \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} f_j) + f_j (\partial_t f_i + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} f_i)) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{2} \int \varphi_{ij} (\partial_t + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} + \mathbf{c}_j \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} - \theta_{ij}) \tau_{ij} f_i f_j d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j \\ &= \partial_t \mathcal{E}^P + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} \mathcal{E}^P + \mathbf{Q}_2^P) + \mathcal{P}^P : \nabla \mathbf{v} - \mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{E}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.12})$$

and the equation for the conservation of energy is then a consequence of (C.8) with (C.9) and (C.12).

D Linearized kinetic equations with first order derivatives

We evaluate in this section the linearized equations for nonideal fluid with normal diffusive processes, that is, we evaluate the right hand sides by solely using first order Taylor expansions of pair distribution functions. The thermodynamic properties are those from Section 4 without diffuse interface terms.

The right hand sides (4.20) are in the form

$$\psi_i = - \left(\partial_t \log f_i^{(0)} + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} \log f_i^{(0)} \right) + \frac{1}{f_i^{(0)}} \mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)}), \quad i \in \mathfrak{S}, \quad (\text{D.1})$$

and the differential expression $\partial_t \log f_i^{(0)} + \mathbf{c}_i \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} \log f_i^{(0)}$ may be split as $\partial_t \log f_i^{(0)} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} \log f_i^{(0)} + (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} \log f_i^{(0)}$. The material derivatives $\partial_t \log f_i^{(0)} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} \log f_i^{(0)}$ are evaluated by using the expression of Maxwellian distributions and Euler equations

$$\partial_t n_i + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n_i = -n_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad (\text{D.2})$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = -\nabla p / \rho, \quad (\text{D.3})$$

$$\partial_t T + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla T = \frac{1}{\partial_T \mathcal{E}} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathfrak{S}} n_l \partial_{n_l} \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E} - p \right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}. \quad (\text{D.4})$$

The resulting differential expressions are in the form

$$\begin{aligned} -(\partial_t \log f_i^{(0)} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} \log f_i^{(0)}) &= \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{m_i}{\rho k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla p \\ &+ \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2k_B T} \right) \frac{1}{T \partial_T \mathcal{E}} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathfrak{S}} n_l \partial_{n_l} \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E} - p \right) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.5})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_i} \log f_i^{(0)} &= -(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \frac{\nabla n_i}{n_i} - \frac{m_i}{k_B T} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \otimes (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) : \nabla \mathbf{v} \\ &+ \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2}{2k_B T} \right) (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \frac{\nabla T}{T}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.6})$$

The terms arising from the collision operators $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1)}(f^{(0)})$ are then evaluated from the differential expressions (3.44) and (3.45) of Section 3.4. Indeed, we deduce from Section 3.4 and *at first order in the gradients*, that

$$\nabla f_{ij}^{(0),\text{cl}}(\alpha \mathbf{r}_i + (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{r}_{ij}^{\text{m}}, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j, t) = \nabla f_{ij}^{(0),\text{cl}}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{c}_j, t).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{J}_i^{(1),s}(f^{(0)})$ reads

$$\mathcal{J}_i^{(1),s}(f^{(0)}) = \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \nabla \cdot \int \theta_{ij} f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_j, t) g_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_i, r_i) \mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{x}_j,$$

and after some calculus

$$\mathcal{J}_i^{(1),s}(f^{(0)}) = \nabla \cdot \left(\sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{m_j n_j}{m_i + m_j} \beta_{ij} f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \right). \quad (\text{D.7})$$

Similarly, we have from Section 3.4 and *at first order in the gradients*, that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_i^{(1),a}(f^{(0)}) = & - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \mu_{ij} \int \theta_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{m_i} \partial_{\mathbf{r}} f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_j, t) \right. \\ & \left. - f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_i, t) \frac{1}{m_j} \partial_{\mathbf{r}} f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}'_j, t) \right) \cdot \mathbf{r}'_{ij} d\mathbf{x}_j. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.8})$$

After some calculus we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{f_i^{(0)}} \mathcal{J}_i^{(1),a}(f^{(0)}) = & - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \mu_{ij} n_j \left(\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^{\eta} : \nabla \mathbf{v} + \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^{\kappa} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^{\hat{\lambda}} \cdot \nabla T \right. \\ & \left. + \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^D \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla n_i}{m_i n_i} - \frac{\nabla n_j}{m_j n_j} \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.9})$$

where the collision integrals for dense gases $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^{\eta}$, $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^{\kappa}$, $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^{\hat{\lambda}}$, and $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^D$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^{\eta} &= \frac{1}{2k_{\text{B}} T} \int \theta_{ij} \tau_{ij} \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \tilde{f}_j^{(0)} (\mathbf{r}_{ij} \otimes (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{c}_j) + (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{c}_j) \otimes \mathbf{r}_{ij} - \frac{2}{3} (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{c}_j) \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{I}) d\mathbf{x}_j, \\ \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^{\kappa} &= \frac{1}{3k_{\text{B}} T} \int \theta_{ij} \tau_{ij} \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \tilde{f}_j^{(0)} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{c}_j) d\mathbf{x}_j, \\ \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^D &= \frac{1}{k_{\text{B}} T^2} \int \theta_{ij} \tau_{ij} \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \tilde{f}_j^{(0)} \mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{x}_j, \\ \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^{\hat{\lambda}} &= \int \theta_{ij} \tau_{ij} \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \tilde{f}_j^{(0)} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{k_{\text{B}} T}{m_j} - \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}|^2 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{k_{\text{B}} T}{m_i} + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 \right) d\mathbf{x}_j. \end{aligned}$$

The expressions (D.5) and (D.6) as well as (D.9) are essentially similar to those presented by Cohen et al. [49].

We now further assume that the repulsive potentials between particles are hard and use the corresponding value for the integrals $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^D$. The integrals $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^D$ may indeed be written

$$\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^D = \frac{1}{\tilde{f}_i^{(0)}} \int \theta_{ij} g_{ij} \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \tilde{f}_j^{(0)} \mathbf{r}'_{ij} d\mathbf{x}_j,$$

and assuming that the interaction potentials φ_{ij} are hard, we may use the rigid sphere relation $\mathbf{r}'_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_{ij}$ discussed in particular by Hoffman and Curtiss [71]. A straightforward calculation then yields

$$\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^D = \beta_{ij}(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}). \quad (\text{D.10})$$

More generally, for functions $A(\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r}'_{ij})$, depending on \mathbf{r}_{ij} and \mathbf{r}'_{ij} , we will occasionally use the hard potential relation

$$\int \theta_{ij} g_{ij} \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \tilde{f}_j^{(0)} A(\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r}'_{ij}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \int \theta_{ij} g_{ij} \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \tilde{f}_j^{(0)} A(\mathbf{r}_{ij}, \mathbf{r}_{ij}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij}. \quad (\text{D.11})$$

With the hard potential relation (D.10), there is then a simplification for density gradient and pressure terms in $\psi_i^{(1)}$ that are obtained in the form

$$-(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla n_i}{n_i} - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \beta_{ij} \nabla n_j - \frac{m_i}{\rho k_B T} \nabla p \right).$$

We may then use that

$$\nabla \left(\frac{g_i}{k_B T} \right) = \frac{\nabla n_i}{n_i} - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \beta_{ij} \nabla n_j - \left(\frac{3}{2} + \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_j \beta'_{ij} k_B T \right) \frac{\nabla T}{T},$$

and

$$\sum_{k \in \mathfrak{S}} n_k k_B T \nabla \left(\frac{g_k}{k_B T} \right) = \nabla p - (\mathcal{E} + p) \frac{\nabla T}{T},$$

in order to rewrite $\psi_i^{(1)}$ as well as the natural thermodynamic force terms for dissipative fluids without capillary effects

$$\mathbf{X}_i^{\text{th}} = n_i k_B T \nabla \left(\frac{g_i}{k_B T} \right) = \rho_i k_B T \nabla \left(\frac{\bar{g}_i}{k_B T} \right). \quad (\text{D.12})$$

With the thermodynamic properties obtained in Section 4.3, these force terms are given by

$$\nabla \left(\frac{g_i}{k_B T} \right) = \frac{\nabla n_i}{n_i} - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \beta_{ij} \nabla n_j - \left(\frac{3}{2} + \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_j \beta'_{ij} k_B T \right) \frac{\nabla T}{k_B T}, \quad (\text{D.13})$$

so that

$$\mathbf{X}_i^{\text{th}} = k_B T \nabla n_i - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \beta_{ij} n_i \nabla n_j k_B T - \left(\frac{3}{2} n_i + \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i n_j \beta'_{ij} k_B T \right) \nabla T. \quad (\text{D.14})$$

By combining the relations (D.5), (D.6), (D.9), with the approximations (D.10) and with (D.14) is is obtained after lengthy algebra that

$$\psi_i^{(1)} = -\psi_i^\eta : \nabla \mathbf{v} - \psi_i^\kappa \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \sum_{j \in \mathfrak{S}} \psi_i^{Dj} \cdot \mathbf{X}_j^{\text{th}} - \psi_i^{\hat{\lambda}} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{-1}{k_B T} \right),$$

which is relation (4.21), together with the expressions of ψ_i^η , ψ_i^κ , ψ^{Dj} , $j \in \mathfrak{S}$, and $\psi_i^{\hat{\lambda}}$, given in Section 4.4.

We denote by $\hat{\psi}^l$, $1 \leq l \leq n_s + 4$ the $n_s + 4$ collisional invariants given by $\hat{\psi}^l = (\delta_{il})_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$, $\hat{\psi}^{n_s + \nu} = (m_i(c_{i\nu} - v_\nu))_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$ for $1 \leq \nu \leq 3$, and $\hat{\psi}^{n_s + 4} = (\frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2)_{i \in \mathfrak{S}}$, and by $\langle\langle \rangle\rangle$ the

scalar product (4.42). The tensorial right hand sides ψ_i^η , ψ_i^κ , ψ^{D_j} , $j \in \mathfrak{S}$, and $\psi_i^{\hat{\lambda}}$, given in Section 4.4, then satisfy the orthogonality relations

$$\langle\langle \psi^\mu, \hat{\psi}^l \rangle\rangle = 0, \quad (\text{D.15})$$

for $\mu \in \eta, \kappa, D_j, \hat{\lambda}$ and $1 \leq l \leq \mathfrak{n}_s + 4$. These relations are easily established excepted for the energy for which it is necessary to use the approximation [49]

$$nk_B T + \frac{\frac{3}{2}nk_B}{\partial_T \mathcal{E}} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathfrak{S}} n_l \partial_{n_l} \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E} - p \right) = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i n_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta_{ij} k_B T + \frac{7}{6} \beta'_{ij} (k_B T)^2 + \frac{1}{3} \beta''_{ij} (k_B T)^3 \right),$$

as well as the identity [49]

$$\sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \mu_{ij} n_i n_j \int \frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 \tilde{f}_i^{(0)} \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}^\kappa d\mathbf{c}_i = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} n_i n_j \left(\frac{7}{6} n_i n_j \beta'_{ij} (k_B T)^2 + \frac{1}{3} n_i n_j \beta''_{ij} (k_B T)^3 \right),$$

notably established in Chaos and Colin [95].

E Constraints for perturbed distribution functions

The perturbed distribution functions $\phi_i^{(1)}$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, are uniquely determined by imposing Enskog type constraints. These constraints insure that the true value of the local macroscopic variables n_i , \mathbf{v} and T , or equivalently \mathcal{E} , are determined by $f_i^{(0)}$ alone [56, 53, 49].

The constraints associated with mass and momentum are identical to that for dilute gases $\int f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(1)} d\mathbf{c}_i = 0$ for $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, and $\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int m_i (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(1)} d\mathbf{c}_i = 0$ and corresponds to (4.30) and (4.31).

The constraint associated with internal energy is more complex since it involves pair distribution functions. The Enskog constraint for energy is generally in the form

$$\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(1)} d\mathbf{c}_i + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{ij} (f_{ij} - f_{ij}^{(0)}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{c}_j = 0, \quad (\text{E.1})$$

where the f_{ij} denote the nonequilibrium pair distribution functions, $f_{ij}^{(0)}$ the zeroth order pair distribution functions, and where the f_{ij} are evaluated with $f_i = f_i^{(0)} + f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(1)}$. The kinetic part of the constraint (E.1) is thus traditional whereas the potential part depends on the nonequilibrium pair distribution functions f_{ij} , the zeroth order pair distribution functions $f_{ij}^{(0)}$, as well as on the order of Taylor expansions.

Considering first the kinetic theory of nonideal fluids with normal diffusive processes presented in Section 4, the constraint is first in the form

$$\sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{ij} (f_{ij}^{\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0),\text{cl}}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{c}_j = 0,$$

with $f_{ij} = f_{ij}^{\text{Bo}} = \tau_{ij} f_i(\mathbf{r}_i) f_j(\mathbf{r}_j)$, $f_{ij}^{(0)} = f_{ij}^{(0),\text{cl}} = g_{ij} f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j)$ and $f_i = f_i^{(0)} + f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(1)}$, $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$. For such a kinetic theory of nonideal fluids, we may use first order Taylor expansions and neglect any gradient terms arising from first order terms [56, 53, 49]. This yields

$$f_{ij}^{\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0),\text{cl}} = g_{ij} f_i^{(0)} f_j^{(0)} (\phi_i^{(1)} + \phi_j^{(1)}) + g_{ij} f_i^{(0)} f_j^{(0)} (\nabla \log f_i^{(0)} \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_i + \nabla \log f_j^{(0)} \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_j),$$

where $\delta \mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}'_i - \mathbf{r}_i$ and $\delta \mathbf{r}_j = \mathbf{r}'_j - \mathbf{r}_i$. The Maxwellian gradient contributions then vanish in (E.1) since they are odd with respect to \mathbf{r}_{ij} or \mathbf{r}'_{ij} and the remaining terms, are localized at \mathbf{r}_i so that the constraint reads

$$\sum_{i \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}|^2 f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(1)} d\mathbf{c}_i + \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{ij} \tau_{ij} f_i^{(0)} f_j^{(0)} (\phi_i^{(1)} + \phi_j^{(1)}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{c}_j = 0.$$

This constraint is further simplified by using the structure of the perturbed distribution functions for fluid mixtures [49, 50] as for single species fluids [53, 56]. The only difficult terms are those associated with the potential part of the volume viscosity terms $\sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \varphi_{ij} g_{ij} f_i^{(0)} f_j^{(0)} (\phi_i^{(1)} + \phi_j^{(1)}) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j$ for which expansions with respect to density are generally used and the resulting constraint is obtained in the form (4.32) and is of the classical form [49, 50, 53, 56].

Considering next the kinetic theory using second order expansions of pair distribution functions that leads to capillary effects, the energy constraint is in the form

$$\sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{ij} (f_{ij}^{\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}}) d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{c}_j = 0,$$

with $f_{ij} = f_{ij}^{\text{Bo}} = \tau_{ij} f_i(\mathbf{r}_i) f_j(\mathbf{r}_j)$, $f_{ij}^{(0)} = f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}} = g_{ij} f_i(\mathbf{r}_i) f_j(\mathbf{r}_j)$ and $f_i = f_i^{(0)} + f_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(1)}$, $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$. For the kinetic theory of nonideal fluids including diffuse interface effects, we have to use second order Taylor expansions and write

$$f_{ij}^{\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0),\text{cl}} = f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}'_i) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}'_j) (1 + \phi_i^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}'_i)) (1 + \phi_j^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}'_j)) - g_{ij} f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j),$$

where the dependence on particle velocities has been left implicit. Considering first the terms arising solely from the Maxwellian distributions $f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}'_i) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}'_j) - g_{ij} f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j)$, and using a second order Taylor expansion, the zeroth order terms are zero, the first order terms yield zero contributions to the energy constraint since they are odd with respect to \mathbf{r}_{ij} or \mathbf{r}'_{ij} and we are left with the second order terms in the form

$$\partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0),\text{Bo}} : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i, \delta \mathbf{r}_j)^{\otimes 2} - \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j}^2 f_{ij}^{(0),\text{sy}} : \mathbf{r}_{ij}^{\otimes 2}.$$

The leading terms that only involve density gradients then vanish using the hard potential relations. The remaining part are then negligible in the regime under consideration when $\left(\frac{\sigma^*}{r^*}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\sigma^*}{l_n^*}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla T}^*}\right) \ll 1$ and $\left(\frac{\sigma^*}{r^*}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\sigma^*}{l_n^*}\right) \left(\frac{\sigma^*}{l_{\nabla v}^*}\right) \text{Ma}^* \ll 1$, using notably the decompositions (6.21) as well as the approximate collision integrals for dense gases (D.10).

Considering then the terms involving the first order perturbations $\phi_i^{(1)}$, $i \in \mathfrak{S}$, using second order Taylor expansions, and discarding quadratic terms in first order perturbations. The zeroth order terms are then zero from the zero average constraints of the $\phi_i^{(1)}$, the first order terms yields zero contributions since they are odd with respect to \mathbf{r}_{ij} or \mathbf{r}'_{ij} and we are left with second order terms in the form

$$g_{ij} f_i^{(0)} f_j^{(0)} \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} \phi_i^{(1)} \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_i \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} \log f_j^{(0)} \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_j$$

and

$$g_{ij} f_i^{(0)} f_j^{(0)} \partial_{\mathbf{r}_i}^2 \phi_i^{(1)} : \delta \mathbf{r}_i^{\otimes 2},$$

and similar terms obtained by permuting i and j . For the first type terms, we may replace $\partial_{\mathbf{r}_i} \log f_i^{(0)} \cdot \delta \mathbf{r}_j$ by $\nabla \log n_j$ and use the zero average constraint of $\phi_i^{(1)}$ and the same zero average argument also applies for the second type terms so that finally the energy constraint is again reduced to the classical constraint (4.32) as for fluid with standard diffusive processes.

F Tensorial integral and differential identities

We summarize in this Appendix various tensorial integral relations used in the derivation of the capillary fluid equations. We denote by $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ an integration variable that may either represents the rescaled particle velocity $(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v})(m_i/2k_B T)^{1/2}$ of the i th species or else the relative position $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$.

Letting $z = |\mathbf{z}|$ for $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ then for any isotropic function $\gamma(z)$ of \mathbf{z} , we have

$$\int z_i^2 \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{3} \int z^2 \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3,$$

and this implies

$$\int \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{a}) \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{3} \int z^2 \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z} \mathbf{a}, \quad (\text{F.1})$$

for any vector \mathbf{a} independent of \mathbf{z} . Similarly, we have [56]

$$\int \mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z} \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{3} \int z^2 \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z} \mathbf{I}, \quad (\text{F.2})$$

where \mathbf{I} is the identity tensor in \mathbb{R}^3 and $\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z}$ the tensor product of \mathbf{z} with itself having components $z_i z_j$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$.

In the same vein, for any isotropic function $\gamma(z)$ of \mathbf{z} we have [56]

$$\int z_i^4 \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{5} \int z^4 \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3,$$

as well as

$$\int z_i^2 z_j^2 \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{15} \int z^4 \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, \quad i \neq j.$$

As a consequence, for any second rank tensor \mathbf{w} independent of \mathbf{z} we have

$$\int \gamma(z) \mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z} (\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z} : \mathbf{w}) d\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{15} \int z^4 \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z} (\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}^t + \mathbf{w} : \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}), \quad (\text{F.3})$$

where $\mathbf{w} : \mathbf{v}$ is the full contraction between the two tensors \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{v} , so that $\mathbf{w} : \mathbf{I}$ is the trace of the tensor \mathbf{w} , and \mathbf{w}^t denotes the transpose of \mathbf{w} . The tensor $\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z}$ is also denoted for short by $\mathbf{z}^{\otimes 2}$ and the tensor $\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z}$ by $\mathbf{z}^{\otimes 3}$.

More generally, for any pair of second rank tensors \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{v} independent of \mathbf{z} , assuming that \mathbf{w} is symmetric, and for any isotropic function $\gamma(z)$ we have

$$\int (\gamma(z) \mathbf{w} : \mathbf{z}^{\otimes 2} \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{z}^{\otimes 2}) d\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{15} \int z^4 \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z} (\mathbf{w} : \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} : \mathbf{v}^t + \text{tr}(\mathbf{w}) \text{tr}(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{I}), \quad (\text{F.4})$$

where $\text{tr}(\mathbf{w})$ denote the trace of a tensor \mathbf{w} .

Moreover, for any third rank tensor \mathbf{A}_{ijk} independent of \mathbf{z} and for any isotropic function $\gamma(z)$ we have

$$\int (\gamma(z) \mathbf{z} : \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{z}^{\otimes 3}) d\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{15} \int z^4 \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z} \left(\sum_l \mathbf{A}_{lll} + \sum_l \mathbf{A}_{lil} + \sum_l \mathbf{A}_{lli} \right), \quad (\text{F.5})$$

where $\mathbf{A} : \mathbf{z}^{\otimes 3}$ is the total contraction of \mathbf{A} with the tensor product $\mathbf{z}^{\otimes 3} = \mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z}$ given by $\mathbf{A} : \mathbf{z}^{\otimes 3} = \sum_{ijk} \mathbf{A}_{ijk} z_i z_j z_k$. As a typical application, for any third order gradient tensor like $\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}$ independent of \mathbf{z} and for any isotropic function $\gamma(z)$ we have

$$\int \gamma(z) \mathbf{z} (\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} : (\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z})) d\mathbf{z} = \frac{1}{15} \int z^4 \gamma(z) d\mathbf{z} (\Delta \mathbf{v} + 2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})), \quad (\text{F.6})$$

where $\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z}$ is the total contraction of $\nabla^2 \mathbf{v}$ with the tensor product $\mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z}$ given by $\nabla^2 \mathbf{v} : \mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z} \otimes \mathbf{z} = \sum_{ijk} \partial_{\mathbf{r}_k} \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j} \mathbf{v}_i z_i z_j z_k$.

We now investigate various differential relations used in the derivation of the capillary fluid equations. The following relation is established for scalar functions $n_i(\mathbf{r})$ and $n_j(\mathbf{r})$, $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, of $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ after integrations by parts

$$\nabla \cdot (n_i \nabla^2 n_j) = \nabla \cdot (n_i \Delta n_j \mathbf{I} + \nabla n_i \cdot n_j \mathbf{I} - \nabla n_i \otimes \nabla n_j), \quad (\text{F.7})$$

where ∇n_i is the gradient of n_i , $\Delta n_i = \nabla \cdot \nabla n_i$ the Laplacian, and $\nabla^2 n_i$ the Hessian matrix with components $\partial_{\mathbf{r}_k} \partial_{\mathbf{r}_l} n_i$ for $1 \leq k, l \leq 3$.

In addition, for any scalar functions $n_i(\mathbf{r})$ and $n_j(\mathbf{r})$, $i, j \in \mathfrak{S}$, and any vector function $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})$ of $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ one may establish after a few integration by parts that

$$\nabla \cdot (n_i \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \nabla n_j) = \nabla \cdot (n_i \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n_j + (\nabla n_i \cdot \nabla n_j \mathbf{I} + n_i \Delta n_j \mathbf{I} - \nabla n_j \otimes \nabla n_i - n_i \nabla^2 n_j) \cdot \mathbf{v}). \quad (\text{F.8})$$

where $\nabla \mathbf{v}$ is the gradient matrix and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$ the divergence of \mathbf{v} . Similarly, one may establish the following identity

$$\nabla \cdot (n_i n_j \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})) = \nabla \cdot (n_i \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n_j + n_j \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla n_i - n_i \nabla \mathbf{v}^t \cdot \nabla n_j - n_j \nabla \mathbf{v}^t \cdot \nabla n_i + n_i n_j \Delta \mathbf{v}), \quad (\text{F.9})$$

where $\nabla \mathbf{v}^t$ denotes the transpose of the gradient matrix. Letting $n_j = 1$ in the last relation and denoting by \mathcal{F}_i a vector function of $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we finally obtain that

$$\nabla \cdot (n_i \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathcal{F}_i)) = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathcal{F}_i \cdot \nabla n_i - \nabla \mathcal{F}_i^t \cdot \nabla n_i + n_i \Delta \mathcal{F}_i). \quad (\text{F.10})$$

G Other Bogoliubov deviations terms

We complete in this Appendix the estimates of the deviations arising from the differences $f_{ij}^{\text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{\text{sy}}$ by investigating the heat fluxes \mathcal{Q}_1^{P} and \mathcal{Q}_2^{P} . Proceeding as for the energy \mathcal{E} , the difference $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{Q}_1^{\text{P}}$ in the first potential part of the heat flux \mathcal{Q}_1^{P} is obtained in the form

$$\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{Q}_1^{\text{P}} = \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{4} \varphi_{ij}(\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}) \left(\partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0), \text{Bo}} : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i, \delta \mathbf{r}_j)^{\otimes 2} - \partial_{\mathbf{r}_j}^2 f_{ij}^{(0), \text{sy}} : \mathbf{r}_{ij}^{\otimes 2} \right) d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j,$$

and all the main contributions associated with second order density derivatives vanish since they are odd with respect to the velocities $\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}$ and the remaining terms may then be estimated to be negligible as for $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{E}$ in the regime under consideration (6.19)(6.20).

Proceeding as for the the pressure tensor \mathcal{P} , the Bogoliubov correction $\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{Q}_2^{\text{P}}$ in the first potential part of the heat flux \mathcal{Q}_2^{P} is obtained in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{Q}_2^{\text{P}} = & - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{8} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \\ & \times (f_{ij}^{(0), \text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0), \text{sy}})(\mathbf{r}_i^\alpha, \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha) d\alpha d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j, \end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted for convenience $\mathbf{r}_i^\alpha = \mathbf{r}_i - (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ and $\mathbf{r}_j^\alpha = \mathbf{r}_j + \alpha\mathbf{r}_{ij}$ and have left implicit the dependence of $f_{ij}^{(0), \text{Bo}} - f_{ij}^{(0), \text{sy}}$ on the velocities \mathbf{c}_i and \mathbf{c}_j . We may thus

write that

$$\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{Q}_2^{\text{p}} = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \int \frac{1}{8} \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \left(\partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0), \text{Bo}} : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i^\alpha, \delta \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha)^{\otimes 2} \right. \\ \left. - \partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0), \text{sy}} : (-\mathbf{r}_{ij} + \alpha \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \alpha \mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 2} \right) d\alpha d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j,$$

where $\delta \mathbf{r}_i^\alpha = \mathbf{r}_i'^\alpha - \mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_i' - (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{r}_{ij}' - \mathbf{r}_i$ and $\delta \mathbf{r}_j^\alpha = \mathbf{r}_j'^\alpha - \mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_i' + \alpha \mathbf{r}_{ij}' - \mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_j - (1 - \alpha) \mathbf{r}_{ij}' - \mathbf{r}_i$. We may now proceed as for the pressure tensor using the identity $\int_0^1 (a + \alpha b)(c + \alpha d) d\alpha = (a + \frac{1}{2}b)(c + \frac{1}{2}d) + \frac{1}{12}bd$ in order to integrate with respect to α , and it is obtained that

$$\delta^{\text{Bo}} \mathcal{Q}_2^{\text{p}} = - \sum_{i,j \in \mathfrak{S}} \frac{1}{8} \int \frac{\varphi'_{ij}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}) \\ \left(\partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0), \text{Bo}} : (\delta \mathbf{r}_i - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_{ij}', \delta \mathbf{r}_i + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_{ij}')^{\otimes 2} - \partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0), \text{sy}} : (-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 2} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{12} \partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0), \text{Bo}} : (\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_{ij}', \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_{ij}')^{\otimes 2} - \frac{1}{12} \partial_{(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)}^2 f_{ij}^{(0), \text{sy}} : (\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_{ij}, \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}_{ij})^{\otimes 2} \right) d\alpha d\mathbf{c}_i d\mathbf{r}_{ij} d\mathbf{c}_j.$$

The dominant terms with respect to the density gradients then vanish being odd with respect to velocities $\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{v}$ and the remaining part involving the gradients of $\tilde{f}_i^{(0)}$ are evaluated as for the pressure tensor and are thus negligible in the regime under consideration (6.19)(6.20).

A less precise qualitative argument is that $f_{ij}^{(0), \text{Bo}}$ may be decomposed as

$$f_{ij}^{(0), \text{Bo}} = f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i', \mathbf{c}_i') f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j', \mathbf{c}_j') = n_i(\mathbf{r}_i') n_j(\mathbf{r}_j') \tilde{f}_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i', \mathbf{c}_i') \tilde{f}_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j', \mathbf{c}_j'),$$

and in the regime under consideration we may write $T(\mathbf{r}_i) = T(\mathbf{r}_i') = T(\mathbf{r}_j) = T(\mathbf{r}_j') = \bar{T}$ as well as $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_i) = \bar{\mathbf{v}} + \delta \mathbf{v}_i$, $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_i') = \bar{\mathbf{v}} + \delta \mathbf{v}_i'$, $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_j) = \bar{\mathbf{v}} + \delta \mathbf{v}_j$, $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_j') = \bar{\mathbf{v}} + \delta \mathbf{v}_j'$ where all relative Mach numbers as $\delta \mathbf{v}_i \sqrt{m_i/2k_{\text{B}}T(\mathbf{r})}$ are negligible. The modified Maxwellian distributions

$$\tilde{f}_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i) = \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi k_{\text{B}} T(\mathbf{r})} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})|^2}{2k_{\text{B}} T(\mathbf{r})} \right), \quad i \in \mathfrak{S},$$

may thus be rewritten

$$\tilde{f}_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}_i) = \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi k_{\text{B}} \bar{T}} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \bar{\mathbf{v}}|^2}{2k_{\text{B}} \bar{T}} \right),$$

and thus

$$\tilde{f}_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i', \mathbf{c}_i') \tilde{f}_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j', \mathbf{c}_j') = \left(\frac{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}{2\pi k_{\text{B}} \bar{T}} \right)^3 \exp\left(-\frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i' - \bar{\mathbf{v}}|^2 + m_j |\mathbf{c}_j' - \bar{\mathbf{v}}|^2}{2k_{\text{B}} \bar{T}} \right).$$

We may then use the conservation of energy (3.28) as well as the conservation of momentum $m_i \mathbf{c}_i + m_j \mathbf{c}_j = m_i \mathbf{c}_i' + m_j \mathbf{c}_j'$ in order to obtain that

$$\tilde{f}_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i', \mathbf{c}_i') \tilde{f}_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j', \mathbf{c}_j') = g_{ij} \left(\frac{\sqrt{m_i m_j}}{2\pi k_{\text{B}} \bar{T}} \right)^3 \exp\left(-\frac{m_i |\mathbf{c}_i - \bar{\mathbf{v}}|^2 + m_j |\mathbf{c}_j - \bar{\mathbf{v}}|^2}{2k_{\text{B}} \bar{T}} \right).$$

Using next the hard potential approximation $n_i(\mathbf{r}_i') n_j(\mathbf{r}_j') = n_i(\mathbf{r}_i) n_j(\mathbf{r}_j)$ we finally obtain that $f_{ij}^{(0), \text{Bo}} = g_{ij} f_i^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{c}_i) f_j^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{c}_j) = f_{ij}^{(0), \text{sy}}$ in the regime under consideration.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the ANR INSIDE project, grant ANR-19-CE05-0037-02 of the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche.

References

- [1] J. W. Cahn, and J. E. Hilliard, Free energy of a non uniform system I, Interfacial Free Energy, J. Chem. Phys., 28 (1958), pp. 258–267.
- [2] D.M. Anderson, G.B. McFadden and A.A. Wheeler, Diffuse Interface Methods in Fluid Mechanics, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech., 30 (1998), pp. 139–165.
- [3] J.S. Rowlinson and B. Widom, *Molecular Theory of Capillarity*, Dover publications, Mineola, 1989 and 2002.
- [4] J.D. van der Waals, Thermodynamische Theorie der Capillariteit in de Onderstelling van Continue Dichtheidsverandering Verhand. Kon. Akad. V Wetensch. Amst., (1893). (in Dutch; English translation in J. Stat. Phys., 20 (1979), pp. 197–244).
- [5] Y. Rocard, Equations hydrodynamiques avec termes capillaires, Théorie de la tension superficielle, J. Phys. Radium, 4 (1933), pp. 533–548.
- [6] P.G. de Gennes, Wetting: statics and dynamics, Rev. Mod. Phys., 57 (1985), pp. 827–863.
- [7] P. Seppecher, Moving contact line in the Cahn-Hilliard theory, Int. J. Eng. Sci., 34 (1996), pp. 977–992.
- [8] E. Bretin, S. Masnou, and E. Oudet, Phase-field approximations of the Willmore functional and flow, Numer. Math., 131 (2015), pp. 115–171.
- [9] P. Gaillard, V. Giovangigli and L. Matuszewski, A Diffuse Interface Lox/Hydrogen Transcritical Flame Model, Combust. Theory Model., 20 (2016), pp. 486–520.
- [10] H.G. Lee, J. Yang, S. Kim and J. Kim, Modeling and simulation of droplet evaporation using a modified Cahn-Hilliard equation, App. Math. Comp., 390 (2021), 125591.
- [11] D. Nayigizente, S. Ducruix, and T. Schmitt, Development of an interface thickening method for the direct numerical simulation of compressible liquid-vapor flows in the framework of the second gradient theory, Phys. Fluids, 33 (2021), 052119.
- [12] Korteweg, D.J., Sur la Forme que Prennent les Equations du Mouvement Fluide si l’on tient Compte de Forces Capillaires Causées par les Variations de Densité Considérables mais Continues et sur la Théorie de la Capillarité dans l’Hypothèse d’une Variations Continue de la Densité, Arch. Neerl. Sci Exactes, 6 (1901), pp. 1–20.
- [13] J.E. Dunn and J. Serrin, On the thermomechanics of interstitial working, Archive Rat. Mech. Anal. 133 (1985), pp. 95–133.
- [14] S. Gavriluk and S. Shugrin, Media with equations of state that depend on derivatives, J. Appl. Mech. Techn. Phys., 37 (1996), pp. 179–189.

- [15] V. Giovangigli, A kinetic derivation of diffuse interface fluid models, *Phys. Rev. E*, 102 (2020), 012110.
- [16] J. W. Cahn, and J. E. Hilliard, Free Energy of a Non Uniform System II, Thermodynamic Basis, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 30 (1959), pp. 1121–1124.
- [17] V.N. Starovoitov, Model of the motion of a two-component liquid with allowance of capillarity forces, *J. Applied Mech. Tech. Phys.*, 39 (1997), pp. 891–897.
- [18] J. Lowengrub and L. Truskinovsky, Quasi-incompressible Cahn-Hilliard fluids and topological transitions, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.*, 454 (1997), pp. 2617–2654.
- [19] F. Falk, Cahn-Hilliard theory and irreversible thermodynamics, *J. Non-Equil. Thermo.*, 17 (1992), pp. 53–65.
- [20] M. Verschueren, *A diffuse-interface model for the structure development in flow*, PhD, Eindhoven University (1999).
- [21] M. Heida, J. Málek and K.R. Rajagopal, On the development and generalizations of Cahn-Hilliard equations within a thermodynamic framework, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 63 (2012), pp. 145–169.
- [22] Z. Guo and P. Lin, A thermodynamically consistent phase-field model for two-phase flows with thermocapillarity effects, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 766 (2015), pp. 226–271.
- [23] J. Liu, G. Amberg, and M. Do-Quang, Diffuse interface method for a compressible binary fluid, *Phys. Rev. E*, 93 (2016), pp. 013121.
- [24] H.W. Alt and I. Pawlow, A mathematical model of dynamics of non-isothermal phase separation, *Phys. D*, 59 (1992), pp. 389–416.
- [25] J.G. Kirkwood and F.P. Buff, The statistical theory of surface tension, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 17, (1949), pp. 338–343.
- [26] S. Ono and S. Kondo, Molecular theory of surface tension in liquids, in: *Encyclopedia of Physics*, S. Flügge ed., Volume 10, (1960), pp. 134–280.
- [27] R. Evans, The nature of the liquid-vapour interface and other topics in the statistical mechanics of non-uniform, classical fluids, *Adv. Phys.*, 28 (1979), pp. 143–200.
- [28] H.T. Davis and L.E. Scriven, *Stress and structure in fluid interfaces*, *Adv. Chem. Phys.*, Vol. XLIX, I. Prigogine and S. A. Rice eds, 1982.
- [29] A. Frezzotti and P. Barbante, Kinetic theory aspects of non-equilibrium liquid-vapor flows, *Mech. Eng. Rev.*, 4 (2017), 16-00540.
- [30] K. Aoki, Y. Sone, and T. Yamada, Numerical analysis of gas flows condensing on its plane condensed phase on the basis of kinetic theory, *Phys. Fluids A*, 2 (1990), pp. 1867–1878.
- [31] C. Cercignani, *Rarefied Gas Dynamics*, Cambridge university press, (2000).
- [32] A. Frezzotti, Boundary conditions at the vapor-liquid interface, *Phys. Fluids*, 23 (2011), 030609.

- [33] L. de Sobrino, On a kinetic equation of a van der Waals gas, *Can. J. Phys.*, 45, (1967) pp. 363–385.
- [34] M. Grmela, Kinetic equation approach to phase transitions, *J. Stat. Phys.* 3, (1971) pp. 347–364.
- [35] J. Karkheck and G. Stell, Mean field kinetic theories, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 75 (1981), pp. 1475–1487.
- [36] A. Frezzotti, L. Gibelli, and S. Lorenzani, Mean field kinetic theory description of evaporation of a fluid into vacuum, *Phys. Fluids*, 17 (2005), 012102.
- [37] K. Piechór, Non-local Korteweg stresses from kinetic theory point of view, *Arch. Mech.*, 60 (2008), pp. 23–58.
- [38] E.S. Benilov and M.S. Benilov, Semiphenomenological model for gas-liquid phase transition, *Phys. Rev. E*, 93, (2016), 032148.
- [39] S. Takata and T. Noguchi, A Simple Kinetic Model for the Phase Transition of the van der Waals Fluid, *J. Stat. Phys.*, 172 (2018), pp. 880–903.
- [40] A. Frezzotti, L. Gibelli, D.A. Lockerby, and J.E. Sprittles, Mean field kinetic theory approach to evaporation of a binary liquid into vacuum, *Phys. Rev. Fluids*, 3 (2018), 054001.
- [41] S. Takata, T. Matsumoto, A. Hirahara, and M. Hattori, Kinetic theory for a simple modeling of a phase transition: Dynamics out of local equilibrium, *Phys. Rev. E*, 98, (2018), 052123.
- [42] A.C. Fowler, Phase transition in the Boltzmann-Vlasov equation, *J. Stat. Phys.*, 174, (2019) pp. 1011–1026.
- [43] E.S. Benilov and M.S. Benilov, The Enskog-Vlasov equation: a kinetic model describing gas, liquid, and solid, *J. Stat. Mech.*, (2019), 103205.
- [44] A. Frezzotti and H. Struchtrup, Grad’s 13 moments approximation for the Enskog-Vlasov equation, *AIP Conference Proceedings* 2132, (2019) 120007.
- [45] S. Takata, T. Matsumoto, and M. Hattori, Kinetic model for the phase transition of a van der Waals fluid, *Phys. Rev. E*, 103, (2021), 062110.
- [46] P. Barbante and A. Frezzotti, A comparison of models for the evaporation of a Lennard-Jones fluid, *Eur. J. Mech. B. Fluids*, 64 (2017), pp. 69–80.
- [47] Y. Rocard, *Thermodynamique*, Masson & Compagnie, Paris, 1952.
- [48] D.E. Bennett and C.F. Curtiss, Density effects on the transport coefficients of gaseous mixtures, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 51 (1969), pp.2811–2825.
- [49] E.G.D. Cohen, L.S. Garcia-Colin and M.H. Ernst, Kinetic theory of moderately dense gas mixtures I, *Physica*, 50 (1970), pp. 177–205.
- [50] Braun, E.; Flores, A.; García-Colín, L. S., On the nonequilibrium statistical mechanics of a binary mixture. I. The distribution functions, *J. Stat. Phys.*, 8 (1973), pp. 239–248.

- [51] S. T. Choh and G.E. Uhlenbeck, *The kinetic Theory of Phenomena in Dense Gases*, PhD dissertation, University of Michigan, (1958).
- [52] F. Andrews, On the solution of the BBGKY equations for a dense classical gas, J. Math. Phys., 6, (1965) pp. 1496–1505.
- [53] L.S. García-Colín, M.S. Green, and F. Chaos, The Chapman-Enskog solution of the generalized Boltzmann equation, Physica, 32, (1966) pp. 450–478.
- [54] R.A. Piccirelli, Some Properties of the Long-Time Values of the Probability Densities for Moderately Dense Gases, J. Math. Phys., 7 (1966), pp. 922–934.
- [55] S. Chapman and T.G. Cowling, *The Mathematical Theory of Non-Uniform Gases*, Cambridge University Press, (1970).
- [56] J.H. Ferziger, H.G. Kaper, *Mathematical theory of transport processes in gases*, North Holland, Amsterdam, (1972).
- [57] J.R. Dorfman and H. van Beijeren, The kinetic theory of gases, in: Statistical Mechanics, Part B, B.J. Berne, ed., Plenum Press, New York, (1977), pp. 65–179.
- [58] S.R. de Groot and P. Mazur, *Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics*, Dover publications, Mineola, 1984.
- [59] Giovangigli V. and Matuszewski L., Mathematical modeling of supercritical multi-component reactive fluids. Math. Mod. Meth. App. Sci. 23, 2193–2251 (2013).
- [60] J. Keizer, *Statistical Thermodynamics of Nonequilibrium Processes*, Springer Verlag, New York (1987)
- [61] D. Jamet, Diffuse interface models in fluid mechanics, Researchgate, (2005), https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Didier_Jamet/publication/254424182.
- [62] N.N. Bogolioubov, Problems of Dynamic Theory in Statistical Physics, State Technical Press, (1946). English traduction in : Studies in Statistical Mechanics, Volume 1, J. de Boer and G.E. Ullhenbeck eds, North Holland Pub. Company, (1962), and also in Report number: AEC-TR-3852, Argonne National Laboratory, (1960).
- [63] M. Born and H.S. Green, A General Kinetic Theory of Liquids, Cambridge University press, (1946).
- [64] J.G. Kirkwood, The Statistical Mechanical Theory of Transport Processes, J. Chem. Phys., 14 (1946), 180–201.
- [65] J. Yvon, *La Théorie Statistique des Fluides et de l'Équation d'État*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, Hermann, Paris, 1935.
- [66] E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Physical Kinetics*, Landau and Lifshitz course on theoretical physics, Volume 10, Elsevier, (1981).
- [67] V. Giovangigli, *Multicomponent Flow Modeling*, Birkhäuser, Boston, (1999).
- [68] E. Nagnibeda and E. Kustova, *Non-Equilibrium Reacting Gas Flows*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (2009).

- [69] M. Capitelli, D. Bruno, and A. Laricchiuta, *Fundamental Aspects of Plasma Chemical Physics, Transport*, Springer, (2013).
- [70] R.F. Snider and C.F. Curtiss, Kinetic theory of moderately dense gases, *Phys. Fluids*, 1 (1958), pp. 122–138.
- [71] D.K. Hoffman and C.F. Curtiss, Kinetic theory of dense gases. III. The generalized Enskog equation, *Phys. Fluids*, 7 (1964), pp. 1887–1897.
- [72] R.L. Stratonovich, The entropy of a system with a random number of particles, *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 1 (1955), pp. 254–261.
- [73] R.E. Nettleton and M.S. Green, Expression in terms of molecular distribution functions for the entropy density in an infinite system, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 29 (1958), pp. 1365–1370.
- [74] Yu.L. Klimontovich, The Boltzmann H-theorem for an imperfect gas, *Soviet Phys.*, 36 (1973), pp. 78–81.
- [75] G.A. Martynov, Liouville theorem and the problem of the increase of entropy, *JETP*, 80 (1995), pp. 1056–1062.
- [76] A. Singer, Maximum entropy formulation of the Kirkwood superposition approximation, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 121 (2004), pp. 3657–3666.
- [77] V. Giovangigli and L. Matuszewski, Supercritical fluid thermodynamics from equations of state, *Physica D* 241 (2012), pp. 649–670.
- [78] M.H. Ernst, Formal theory of transport coefficients to general order in the density, *Physica*, 32 (1966), pp. 209–243.
- [79] M.H. Ernst, Kinetic theory of moderately dense gas mixtures II, Symmetry relations for transport coefficients, *Physica*, 50 (1970), pp. 477–498.
- [80] V. I. Kurochkin, S. F. Makarenko, and G. A. Tirskii, *Transport coefficients and the Onsager relations in the kinetic theory of dense gas mixtures*, *J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys.*, 25 (1984), pp. 218–225.
- [81] L. Waldmann, *Transporterscheinungen in Gasen von mittlerem Druck*, *Handbuch der Physik*, 12 (1958), pp. 295–514.
- [82] J. Van de Ree, *On the definition of the diffusion coefficients in reacting gases*, *Physica*, 36 (1967), pp. 118–126.
- [83] R. Fowler and E.A. Guggenheim, *Statistical Thermodynamics*, Cambridge university press, (1956).
- [84] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics*, Landau and Lifshitz course on theoretical physics, Volume 5, Elsevier, (1980).
- [85] Diu, B., C. Guthmann, D. Lederer, and B. Roulet, *Éléments de Physique Statistique*, Hermann, Paris, (1989).
- [86] D. Berthelot, Sur le mélange des gaz, *Compte Rend. Acad. Sci.*, 126 (1898), pp. 1703–1706.

- [87] J. Fisher and M. Methfessel, Born-Green-Yvon approach to the local densities of a dilute fluid at interfaces, *Phys. Rev. A*, 22 (1980), pp. 2836–2843.
- [88] T.R. Osborn and C.A. Croxton, Monotonic and oscillatory profiles at the free liquid surface for simple atomic fluids, *Mol. Phys.*, 40 (1980), pp. 1489–1502.
- [89] V. Giovangigli, Higher Order Entropies, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 187, (2008), pp. 221–285.
- [90] V. Giovangigli, Persistence of Boltzmann Entropy in Fluid Models, *Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst.*, 24, (2009), pp. 95–114.
- [91] A.N. Gorban and I.V. Karlin, Beyond Navier-Stokes equations: Capillary of ideal fluids, *Contemp. Phys.* 58, (2017) pp. 70–90.
- [92] A.N. Gorban and I.V. Karlin, Hilbert’s sixth problem: Exact and approximate hydrodynamic manifolds for kinetic equations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51, (2014), pp. 187–246.
- [93] M. Slemrod, Hilbert’s sixth problem and the failure of the Boltzmann to Euler limit, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*, 376, (2018) 20170222.
- [94] M.S. Green, Surface integral from for three-body collision in the Boltzmann equation, *Phys. Rev.*, 136, (1964) pp. A905–A910.
- [95] F. Chaos and L.S. García-Colín, Density expansions of the transport coefficients for a moderately dense gas, *Phys. Fluids*, 9 (1966), pp. 382–389.