

Greater functional similarity in mobile compared to sessile assemblages colonizing artificial coastal habitats

Naily Nashira Figueroa, Antonio Brante, Frédérique Viard, Jean-Charles

Leclerc

► To cite this version:

Naily Nashira Figueroa, Antonio Brante, Frédérique Viard, Jean-Charles Leclerc. Greater functional similarity in mobile compared to sessile assemblages colonizing artificial coastal habitats. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 2021, 172, pp.112844. 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.112844. hal-03321975

HAL Id: hal-03321975 https://hal.science/hal-03321975

Submitted on 18 Aug 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Greater functional similarity in mobile compared to sessile assemblages colonizing
2	artificial coastal habitats
3	
4	Naily Nashira Figueroa ¹ , Antonio Brante ^{1,2} , Frédérique Viard ³ and Jean-Charles
5	Leclerc ^{1,2,4,*}
6	
7	¹ Universidad Católica de la Santísima Concepción, Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de
8	Ciencias, Concepción Chile
9	² Centro de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Ambientes Sustentables (CIBAS), Universidad
10	Católica de la Santísima Concepción, Concepción, Chile
11	³ ISEM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France
12	⁴ Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR 7144 AD2M, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Place
13	Georges Teissier, 29680 Roscoff, France
14 15	*Corresponding author: leclercjc@gmail.com

16

Abstract:

17 Among anthropogenic habitats built in the marine environment, floating and non-18 floating structures can be colonized by distinct assemblages. However, there is little 19 knowledge whether these differences are also reflected in the functional structure. This study compared the functional diversity of sessile and mobile invertebrate assemblages that settle 20 21 over 3 months on floating vs. non-floating artificial habitats, in two Chilean ports. Using 22 morphological, trophic, behavioral, and life history traits, we found differences between 23 mobile and sessile assemblages regarding the effect of the type of habitat on the functional 24 diversity. Compared to sessile assemblages, a greater functional similarity was observed for 25 mobile assemblages, which suggests that their dispersal capacity enables them to balance the 26 reduced connectivity between settlement structures. No traits, prevailing or selected in one 27 or the other habitat type, was however clearly identified; a result warranting for further 28 studies focusing on more advanced stages of community development.

29

30 Keywords: artificial structures, functional structure, mobile invertebrate, functional traits,
31 marine urbanization, diversity

32

Introduction

33 Increasing anthropogenic coastal activities around the world have resulted in the 34 construction of numerous man-made structures in the marine environment (Firth et al. 2016, 35 Bishop et al. 2017). These structures such as dikes, breakwaters, piers, mariculture lines, 36 offshore platforms, stand for a major driver of change in marine environments by replacing 37 many natural habitats (Airoldi et al. 2009, Bulleri and Chapman 2010, Firth et al. 2016). 38 While the rise of artificial structures in coastal and marine areas degrade, fragment, and 39 deplete natural habitats (Bulleri and Chapman 2010, Bishop et al. 2017), they provide new 40 surfaces available for the settlement, reproduction, and growth of many organisms.

41 Novel assemblages associated with marine artificial structures however commonly 42 differ from those found in natural habitats, and often include a large proportion of stress-43 resistant or opportunistic species (Connell 2000, Perkol-Finkel et al. 2006, Aguilera et al. 44 2014). Because they are embedded in an expanding propagule transport network, much of 45 these species also appear to be non-indigenous or cryptogenic species (Glasby et al. 2007, 46 Dafforn et al. 2009, Johnston et al. 2017, Leclerc et al. 2021). Overall, artificial structures 47 facilitate the dispersal of a range of neocosmopolitan (sensu Darling and Carlton 2018) 48 species (Mineur et al. 2012, Adams et al. 2014, Airoldi et al. 2015) contributing to the 49 homogenization of coastal biota at regional and global scales (Villéger et al. 2011, Simberloff 50 et al. 2013, Leclerc et al. 2020b). The physical characteristics of these artificial structures 51 (e.g., material, roughness, structural complexity, inertia vs. movement capacity), and the 52 levels disturbance in their surroundings (Bulleri and Chapman 2010, Airoldi and Bulleri 53 2011) influence the composition of biotic assemblages (Connell 2000, Glasby and Connell 54 2001, Holloway and Connell 2002, Firth et al. 2016).

55 Comparing natural vs. artificial habitats, some studies documented differing species 56 compositions (Connell 2000, Glasby and Connell 2001, Holloway and Connell 2002, Sedano 57 et al. 2020) and contrasting functional patterns between them (Mayer-Pinto et al. 2018, Janiak 58 and Branson 2021). Similarly, among different types of artificial structures, differences in 59 community composition and biotic interactions have also been shown (Connell 2001, Firth 60 et al. 2016, Rogers et al. 2016, Strain et al. 2018, Giachetti et al. 2020). For instance, floating 61 structures, such as buoys, pontoons or floating longlines, present contrasting species 62 assemblages to those found on non-floating structures, such as the pillars of piers or docks 63 (Connell 2001, Glasby 2001, Holloway and Connell 2002), and can differ in their β-diversity 64 across multiple spatial scales (Leclerc et al. 2020a). The various studies that have tried to 65 understand the mechanisms behind these differences have attributed them not only to the 66 aforementioned physical properties of the structures but also to those to which these 67 structures are subjected (e.g., water motion, connectivity with the benthos, exposure to 68 predators and scavengers, disturbance regimes; Glasby 2001, Holloway and Connell 2002, 69 Giachetti et al 2020). Hence, the differing conditions to which floating versus non-floating 70 structures are subjected could act as filters for certain functional traits among the resulting 71 assemblages (see Piola and Johnston 2009, Zhan et al. 2015, Aronson et al. 2016, Johnston 72 et al. 2017). For instance, the establishment of certain species in a given artificial habitat may 73 result from specific traits that favor the recruitment of those species and increase their local 74 fitness in this specific habitat (Beauchard et al. 2017, Córdova and Zambrano 2015). Traits 75 allowing for rapid colonization of novel empty patches (e.g., high reproductive and growth 76 rates, large dispersal ability) may notably be favored on floating structures, which are prone to physical disturbances (among others, Holloway and Connell 2002, Johnston et al. 2017) 77 78 due to their maintenance and replacement. Conversely, traits favoring competitive and

79

80

defensive abilities may rather be favored in non-floating structures, wherein stronger biotic interactions can take place (Leclerc et al. 2020b, López and Freestone 2021).

81 The communities colonizing these artificial habitats are generally composed of 82 abundant sessile species, including habitat-formers for associated mobile species. Despite the 83 importance of the interactions among these two species groups (Sellheim et al. 2010, 84 Thomsen et al. 2014), only few studies examined the variations in the composition of the 85 mobile species assemblage (e.g., Karalis et al. 2003, Leclerc and Viard 2018, Martínez-Laiz 86 et al. 2019, Carvalho et al. 2013, Sedano et al. 2020). Thus, several questions are still 87 unanswered, such as whether differences in the composition of sessile species and habitat 88 formers are mirrored by changes in the composition of mobile species in the assemblage, and 89 whether these changes are strong enough to be reflected in the functional structure of the 90 resulting community. The few studies available suggest that the diversity and traits of habitat-91 forming species affect the structure of their associated sessile and mobile species (Yakovis 92 et al. 2008, Sellheim et al. 2010, Leclerc and Viard 2018). However, unlike sessile species, 93 mobile species have the ability to disperse and relocate after settlement to colonize new 94 patches of habitat (e.g. Martins et al. 2017). This ability is advantageous under stressful 95 conditions or in variable environments, as it provides mobile species with a greater plasticity 96 in their use of habitats or micro-habitats. Therefore, variations in the mobile species 97 component, due to habitat types (exposed to differing conditions), are expected to be smaller 98 than in the sessile component of these communities.

99 The present study examined the species diversity and functional structure of sessile and 100 mobile invertebrate assemblages that settle on floating versus non-floating artificial habitats, 101 off the East Pacific coast, central Chile. Using morphological, trophic, behavioral, and life 102 history traits of sessile and mobile species, we particularly examined whether the functional 103 differences among sessile taxa between the two habitat types are mirrored among mobile 104 taxa. We predicted that the magnitude of the differences between habitats will be greater in 105 the assemblages of sessile species than in those of mobile species, given the post-settlement 106 dispersal capacity of the latter. To our knowledge, this is the first study dedicated to 107 comparing the functional structure of communities of different types of artificial coastal 108 habitats. The comparison of the composition, abundance, and diversity of functional traits 109 (functional diversity) among the assemblages colonizing these artificial habitats may reveal 110 mechanisms that determine the functional composition of these new arising man-made 111 ecosystems (see Bremner et al. 2006, Mouchet et al. 2010, Beauchard et al. 2017). This 112 knowledge could help predict how assemblages respond to habitat properties and aid 113 conservation managers in the prediction of changes in ecosystem functioning related to 114 coastal human activities.

115

117 Materials and methods:

118 Study area

119 The study area comprised two ports (separated by 30 linear km) of the Biobío Region, 120 Chile: San Vicente (36.7591° S, 73.1551°W) and Coliumo (36.5377°S, 72.9571°W). As a 121 sidenote, San Vicente is open to international trade, while Coliumo is only open to regional 122 traffic (see Leclerc et al. 2018, 2020b), although maritime traffic was not the scope of our 123 study. These two ports are located in two different bays (San Vicente and Coliumo bays) 124 characterized by distinct biotic compositions in either natural (e.g. for intertidal mollusks; 125 Aldea and Valdovinos, 2005) and artificial habitats, although little is known regarding soft 126 sediment habitats in ports (but see Leclerc et al. 2018 for rapid assessment surveys of 127 conspicuous taxa). Both ports present various types of artificial habitats that are part of the 128 coastal infrastructure, and which were categorized for this study as: (1) non-floating habitats: 129 those attached to the docks by rigid steel foundations/pillars and in direct contact with the 130 benthos; and (2) floating habitats: comprising longlines or buoys that remain in the water 131 column with a weaker, less prominent link to the benthos.

132

133

3 Dataset overview, study design, sampling and species identification

Our study capitalized on the sampling carried out between March and June, 2017, by Leclerc et al. (2020b), who focused on sessile assemblages. In brief, the sampling consisted of the deployment of a series of 15 cm x 15 cm black polypropylene settlement plates, arranged in structures (experimental units) held by PVC tubes (for details, see Leclerc et al. 2020b). The plates were used to have a standardized substrate (surface and material) and new available surface area (which is a main limiting resource, e.g. Sellheim et al. 2010) to becolonized by sessile and mobile taxa (flora and invertebrates).

141 In each of the study ports and on the two types of habitats (floating vs. non-floating), 142 two experimental units bearing plates were placed vertically, separated by 20 m to 50 m, and 143 at approximately 3 m to 4 m depth. In the non-floating habitats of both ports, these were 144 placed on the pillars of the pier, while in floating habitats they were attached to buoys (San 145 Vicente) or longline (Coliumo) by ropes. Four plates per experimental unit were removed 146 three months after installation, which is sufficient time for the settlement and growth of the 147 sessile and mobile assemblages to take place on the plates (see Leclerc and Viard 2018, 148 Sellheim et al. 2010). The plates were individually transferred underwater in polypropylene 149 rubble bags (mesh size < 0.5 mm) to minimize the loss of the mobile fauna, and were kept 150 for 4 h in a tank with seawater until they were processed in the laboratory.

151 In the laboratory, sessile (Leclerc et al. 2020b) and mobile taxa (this study) were 152 identified under a dissecting microscope at the lowest possible taxonomic level. The 153 abundance of the sessile taxa was estimated as the coverage at 100 intersection points in an 154 area of 120 cm \times 120 cm, as detailed in Leclerc et al. (2020b), while the abundance of the 155 mobile taxa was estimated by counting the number of individuals per plate. The mobile fauna 156 was sampled, after the identification of the sessile taxa, by washing the plate using a 500 µm 157 mesh sieve. The samples were preserved in 95 % EtOH for later identification. Most mobile 158 taxa were identified morphologically to the genus or family level due to a lack of taxonomic 159 literature for the South East Pacific taxa of many abundant groups (e.g., amphipods).

161 Functional traits categorization

162 After identification, the sessile and mobile taxa were classified according to several 163 functional traits related to their life history, behavior, and feeding habits. In this work, sessile 164 taxa were considered to be those species with no or low mobility, those considered as habitat 165 formers, and those which, after their settlement, do not migrate between plates. Their 166 functional traits were subdivided into different modalities as proposed by Bremner et al. 167 (2003) and Beauchard et al. (2017). The information on life history traits of the individual 168 taxa was extracted from different online sources, such as GBIF, NEMESIS, NIMPIS, 169 MarLIN, NAS, MSIP, BIOTIC, Polytraits, as well as bibliographic sources. Each trait was 170 categorized into three to five modalities (e.g., the trait "Larval development" was given three 171 modalities: pelagic planktotrophic, pelagic lecithotrophic or direct benthic) obtaining a total 172 of 11 traits and 46 modalities of traits, as detailed in the table 1. The affinity of each taxon 173 with the modalities of each trait was assigned, so that the "total affinity" of each trait equaled 174 1 (Chevenet et al. 1994). This fuzzy coding allows a taxon to display modalities of a trait to 175 different degrees (Chevenet et al. 1994). When information about a particular trait in a taxon 176 could not be obtained, the affinity value of a similar taxon within its taxonomic group (genus 177 or family, whichever closest available) was used as a proxy, however only whenever 178 variations of the trait within the taxonomic group chosen had not been reported. Otherwise, 179 an equal affinity value was assigned for all modalities of that trait for the taxon.

Functional trait data was analyzed separately for each assemblage (mobile vs. sessile). From the trait matrix ("Traits-taxon matrix") of the sessile and mobile assemblages, respectively, an analysis of biological traits was performed (BTA; Bremner et al. 2003, 2006). This was based on combining this matrix with the taxa abundance matrix ("Taxonplate matrix") by means of a canonical scalar product that transforms and weights the scores (between 0 and 1 following the fuzzy coding) of each trait modality by the abundance of each taxon. This procedure allowed for the generation of a functional trait abundance matrix ("Traits-plate matrix"), on which the subsequent functional structure analyses were based.

188

189 Data analysis

190 The functional diversity of the community was estimated using the functional diversity 191 indices wFDc and FDc (Petchey and Gaston 2006), based on the Gower similarity matrix of 192 the FDiversity package (Casanoves et al. 2011), in R (R Development Core Team 2010). 193 These indices are estimated by summing the length of the branches of a functional 194 dendrogram of all the species from a cluster analysis, which uses profiles of multiple traits 195 either by including their abundance (wFDc) or excluding their abundance (FDc). At the taxon 196 level, we also estimated the richness (S) and the taxonomic diversity (Hill number 2 : $1 / \lambda$; 197 Hill 1973) of the sessile and mobile assemblages. To compare both the taxonomic and the 198 functional diversities between the factor levels, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was 199 performed using the same, previously specified design. In addition, the effect size of each 200 factor was estimated using the Omega squared index (ω^2 , Hays 1963). Prior to each ANOVA, 201 normality and homogeneity of variances were checked using Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's 202 tests, respectively. The data were transformed (square root transformation) when these tests 203 resulted significant. These analyzes were performed using R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team 204 2016).

The relationship between richness and functional diversity can further inform about the functional redundancy of communities (Micheli and Halpern 2005, Córdova and Zambrano 207 2015). Instead of summarizing the associated information in a single index (e.g. van der 208 Linden et al. 2016), we herein characterized these relationships in both habitats and compared 209 them using the Tsutakawa and Hewett Test (Tsutakawa and Hewett 1977) as a substitute for 210 the ANCOVA test due to the non-fulfillment of the assumption of independence between the 211 Habitat factor and the covariate richness of the species. This test allows to evaluate the 212 equality of two populations when the observations are bivariate (XY; in this study X: 213 Richness Y: Functional diversity) by determining whether the values of Y given X for one 214 population dominate the other for every value of X (Williams 1977). The test involved fitting 215 a regression line (the one that best fits using the Akaike criterion (AIC); in this case, a linear 216 regression, see results) to the entire data set, and then comparing, through a contingency table analysis (using the χ^2 distribution), the distribution of bivariate data above and below the 217 218 regression line (Williams 1977, Muñoz and George-Nacimiento 2008). These analyzes were 219 performed using the PAST program, version 3.14 (Hammer et al. 2001).

220 To compare the functional structure between habitats of the sessile and mobile 221 assemblages, Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA, Anderson 222 2001) was performed with 4999 permutations. A three-way design was applied, considering 223 the following factors: "Habitat" (two fixed levels: floating vs. non-floating), "Port" (two 224 random levels: Coliumo vs. San Vicente), and "Experimental unit" (two random levels nested 225 within "Port" and "Habitat"). Separate analyses were performed for sessile and mobile 226 assemblages to avoid violation of independency, and because abundance was not estimated 227 in the same way. The analyses were based on the Bray-Curtis similarity matrices generated 228 from transformed data in order to downplay the importance of the most abundant traits and 229 homogenize multivariate dispersion. We used the fourth root for the abundance of traits of 230 mobile taxa and the square root for that of sessile taxa. Multivariate trait data were also

231 analyzed for their composition using a Jaccard's similarity matrix (i.e., presence-absence 232 data). The homogeneity in the dispersion of the data was verified between the levels of the factors "Habitat" and "Port", using a Permutational Multivariate Dispersion Analysis 233 234 (PERMDISP; Anderson et al. 2008). Principal coordinate analysis (PCO) and cluster analysis 235 were performed to visualize and determine group similarity, respectively (Anderson et al. 236 2008). To determine if there are significant differences between the levels of each factor in 237 certain traits of the sessile and mobile assemblages, multivariate PERMANOVAs were 238 performed for each of the traits separately using trait modalities as response variables. The 239 analyzes were based on the Bray-Curtis similarity matrix generated from transformed data 240 using the fourth root for the abundance of traits of mobile taxa, the square root for that of 241 sessile taxa. All of these analyzes were performed using the PRIMER 6 program (Anderson 242 et al. 2008).

- 243 **Results**
- 244

Overall assessment of taxonomic diversity and functional traits

245 A total of 126 taxa were found, including 43 sessile and 83 mobile taxa (Supporting 246 information appendix S1). Sessile taxa were represented by 10 phyla (10 bryozoans, 9 247 cnidarians, 7 chordates, 6 rhodophytes, 5 mollusks, 2 arthropods, 1 annelid, 1 sponge and 1 248 chlorophyte, 1 ochrophyte), while mobile taxa comprised 5 phyla (43 arthropods, 24 249 annelids, 13 mollusks, 2 nemerteans, and 1 echinoderm). Of the sessile taxa, the most 250 represented taxonomic groups in terms of numbers of taxa and coverage were bryozoans (10 251 taxa, for an average of 31% coverage per plate), followed by hydroids (9 taxa and 27 % of 252 the coverage). Of the mobile taxa, the most represented taxonomic groups in terms of 253 numbers of taxa and abundance were amphipods (29 taxa, for an average of 54 % of the total 254 abundance per plate), followed by polychaetes (23 taxa and 21 % of abundance) and 255 gastropods (13 taxa and 5 % of abundance). Oppositely, copepods, isopods, tanaidaceans, 256 and echinoderms were the least present (only 1 or 2 taxa and less than 8 % of abundance).

257 The sessile assemblage, regardless of the habitat (non-floating and floating), was 258 mainly dominated in terms of numbers of taxa and cover by suspension-feeders (35 taxa and 259 97 % of the average total coverage per plate), as compared to autotrophs (8 and 3 %). These 260 assemblages were dominated by colonial taxa (22 taxa and 67 % of cover), erect taxa (23 and 261 81 %) and smaller than 50 mm taxa (31 and 91 %). These taxa had diverse reproductive 262 modes: asexual (30 taxa and 35 % of cover), sexual with spawning events (23 and 24 %) or 263 broadcasted developing larvae (22 and 41 %). Most larvae were lecithotrophic (35 taxa and 264 86 % of cover), with a pelagic larval duration most often of less than 1 day (30 and 72 %). 265 The longevity of the taxa was generally less than 1 year (30 taxa and 77 % of cover).

266 The assemblage of mobile invertebrates was equally distributed by predators (40 taxa 267 and 32 % of the average total abundance per plate), detritivores (49 and 30 %) and filter-268 feeders (34 and 32 %). Their mobility was either low (46 taxa and 48 % of abundance) or 269 moderate (42 and 42 %) and associated with behavior as burrowing in micro-refuges (42 and 270 40 %), crawling (44 and 29 %) or crawling-swimming (36 and 30 %). Relatively elongated 271 individuals predominated (68 taxa), with sizes less than 10 mm (49 taxa and 77 % of 272 abundance), with a longevity less than 1 year (33 and 38 %) and 1 to 3 years (77 and 53 %). 273 Different reproductive modes were observed, but most of them (51 taxa and 59 % of 274 abundance) were sexual reproduction with parental egg care and the development of juvenile 275 phases. Most taxa developed directly in the benthos (54 taxa and 62 % of abundance) and of 276 the 38 % with larval development (49 taxa), 24 % had a pelagic larval duration of less than 277 1 day (18 taxa).

278 Contrasting effects of the type of habitat (floating vs. non-floating) on the taxonomic 279 and functional diversity according to the assemblages (mobile vs. sessile)

280 The taxonomic and functional diversity of the two categories of assemblages (i.e., 281 mobile vs. sessile) varied between non-floating and floating habitats, whatever the variable 282 examined, and in the two ports (Fig. 1, Table 2). In sessile assemblages the differences 283 between habitats were contrasting between the two ports, as evidenced by significant 284 interactions (and associated effect sizes) Habitat and Port for all response variables (Table 285 2). In San Vicente, sessile richness, diversity and both functional diversity indices were 286 higher in the non-floating habitat, while the opposite was observed in Coliumo (Fig. 1). Conversely, for mobile assemblages, the differences observed between habitats were 287 288 comparatively far more consistent in the two studied ports. With the exception of wFCD that showed significant interaction, higher taxonomic and functional diversity (FDc only) of
mobile assemblages were observed in floating than in non-floating habitats in both ports
(Table 2, Fig. 1).

292 The functional diversity in non-floating and floating habitats showed a general positive linear relationship with taxa richness for both sessile and mobile assemblages (Fig. 2), 293 although this relationship was better supported for sessile ($r^2 = 0.58 \text{ p} < 0.001$) than for 294 mobile assemblage ($r^2 = 0.16 p = 0.023$, Fig. 2). According to the bivariate relationships 295 296 between taxa richness and functional diversity, a contrasting functional redundancy between habitats for sessile assemblages was supported by the Tsutakawa and Hewett tests ($\chi^2 = 8.33$ 297 df = 1; p = 0.004). A lower slope for the linear relationships in floating habitats than in non-298 floating habitats was observed (Fig. 2; non-floating: slope = 0.29, $r^2 = 0.73$, floating: slope = 299 0.09, $r^2 = 0.73$). On the contrary, for mobile assemblage the bivariate relationships (richness, 300 functional diversity) suggested a similar functional redundancy between habitats ($\chi 2 = 1$ df 301 = 1; p = 0.317), with a similar slope between floating and non-floating habitats (Fig. 2; non-302 floating: slope = 0.12, $r^2 = 0.22$, floating: slope = 0.04, $r^2 = 0.01$). 303

304 Stronger effects of the type of habitat on the functional structure of sessile assemblages 305 compared to mobile assemblages

The functional compositions (presence-absence) of the sessile and mobile assemblages did not show significant differences between non-floating and floating habitats (Table 3). However, the functional structure weighted by the abundance (wFDc) showed a significant interaction between the factors, *Habitat* and *Port*, in both assemblages (Table 3). The PCO results also showed distinct clusters, for both composition and abundance (Fig. 3). Interestingly, in the sessile assemblages, when compared to the mobile, a greater 312 differentiation in the functional structure weighted by the abundance was observed between 313 both habitats (cf. similarity thresholds in Fig. 3), as well as a greater similarity within and 314 between the floating habitats of both ports. Likewise, the variation between plates in the 315 abundance of the functional traits of the sessile assemblage was significantly greater in non-316 floating habitats than in floating habitats (PERMDISP F = 32.69 p < 0.001). In addition, 317 nested within habitat categories, experimental units display significant (and sizable) effects 318 only for the sessile assemblages, in their (functional composition and abundance structure; 319 Table 3). Overall, the mobile assemblages presented higher similarity in their composition 320 and functional abundance than the sessile assemblages, regardless of the habitat or port (80 % similarity, Fig. 3). 321

322 When analyzing the functional traits of the sessile assemblage separately, no effect of 323 the Habitat factor was detected on the functional structure of the traits. However, significant 324 interactions between Habitat and Port were observed for five (out of nine examined) traits, 325 namely body form, individual/colony size, lifespan, reproductive mode, and pelagic larval 326 duration (Table 4). It is also noteworthy that for the feeding trait (represented by two 327 modalities), the factor *Habitat* had a high effect size in the multivariate analysis. Although a 328 dominance of filter-feeders was observed in both habitats and ports (Fig. 4), their absolute 329 coverage on the plates was greater in floating habitats than in non-floating ones (Fig. S1). 330 Among the other functional traits evaluated, although the proportions of modalities varied 331 between habitats, the differences were not consistent between ports for which these traits did 332 not contribute to any further differentiation between the habitats, floating vs. non-floating 333 (Fig. 4). Consistently with the analysis of functional composition and abundance structure,

334 significant spatial effects of the experimental units were observed on the modalities, only for335 the sessile assemblages (Table 4).

For the mobile assemblages, although all the traits had a high effect size of the *Habitat* factor, this effect was only significant in interaction with the factor *Port* (Table 5). This is also illustrated by the modality proportions of abundance within each functional trait across habitats and ports (Fig. 5, Fig. S2), for which variations were observed, although these were not as marked as for the sessile assemblage.

342 **Discussion**

343 The results of our study show that the differences reported by various authors in the 344 community structure between different types of artificial habitats (Connell 2001, Glasby 345 2001, Firth et al. 2016, Strain et al. 2018), including in the study area (Leclerc et al. 2020b), 346 are also found in the functional structure of sessile and mobile marine assemblages. However, 347 and in accordance with our predictions, these differences were not as noticeable in mobile 348 assemblages as opposed to sessile assemblages. Our results showed that the mobile 349 assemblages, unlike sessile ones, present a compositional structure and abundance of 350 functional traits more similar than that of sessile assemblages, suggesting that the functional 351 structure of these mobile assemblages is less affected by the type of artificial coastal 352 structures (floating vs. non-floating) than that of sessile assemblages.

353 Floating and non-floating man-made marine structures have the potential to create 354 habitats that attract diverse organisms, including habitat-formers that develop on the empty 355 foundations of these structures (Connell 2000, Holloway and Connell 2002, Leclerc and 356 Viard 2018). These habitat-forming organisms, most often sessile, facilitate the recruitment 357 and the subsequent establishment of diverse sets of other species that use these taxa as a 358 source of food and/or shelter (see Bruno and Bertness 2001, Karalis et al. 2003, Sellheim et 359 al. 2010). Given these biotic interactions, changes in the species composition of sessile 360 assemblages may further determine the composition and changes of the associated mobile 361 assemblages (Sellheim et al. 2010, Sueiro et al. 2011, Leclerc and Viard 2018). For example, 362 on floating structures, Leclerc and Viard (2018) found correlations between the richness and 363 abundance of mobile fauna and the volume of interstices created by the sessile fauna. Other 364 studies in ports however only reported few correlations between the compositions of the

365 sessile and mobile assemblages (see Birdsey et al. 2011, Lavender et al. 2017), and variables 366 such as habitat cover, identity, and functional diversity of sessile assemblages did not predict 367 the variation of the associated mobile assemblages (Birdsey et al. 2011). In our study, 368 contrasting patterns were observed between sessile and mobile assemblages. In sessile 369 assemblages, the functional structure varied between habitats within each port, and among 370 experimental units (plots), with contrasting abundance of the functional traits. This pattern 371 could be explained because sessile assemblages may be influenced by local settlement 372 dynamics (e.g. Hedge and Johnston 2012, Leclerc et al. 2021), especially where taxa with 373 propagules displaying short pelagic duration (notably lecithotrophic larvae) dominate, as 374 upon our settlement plates. Conversely, in the mobile assemblages, the functional structure 375 was more similar and the modalities of the traits showed similar proportions of abundance in 376 habitats and ports, and seldom varied among experimental plots. Considering the prominent 377 role of species abilities to post-settlement dispersal on the community structure of novel 378 habitats (Palmer et al. 1996, Martins et al 2017), the intrinsic capability of movement among 379 taxa is likely to be a critical factor explaining the observed greater functional similarity 380 between habitats in mobile compared to sessile assemblages.

Moreover, while we observed complex variations in the richness and diversity (taxonomic and functional) of the sessile assemblages between habitats and ports, the mobile assemblages showed more consistent results, with the greatest richness and diversity found in the floating habitats at both ports. This indicates that despite the putative lower connectivity with the benthos of the floating habitats for many groups (especially nonswimming taxa), a substantial dispersal of mobile species towards floating habitats and between them is warranted. Considering that 60 % of the taxa has a direct development in 388 these habitats, dispersal of these mobile species is likely due to the migration of adults and 389 juveniles. However, larval dispersal and recruitment of post-larval stages, either from nearby 390 benthic habitats or from other artificial habitats (see Susick et al. 2020, Leclerc and Viard 391 2018) is likely to play an important role for bentho-pelagic or holo-pelagic species (Hudson 392 et al. 2016). In our experiments, the plates were deployed over 3 months, a time long enough 393 for colonization by mobile species (including tube-dwelling taxa) from rocky subtidal 394 habitats, especially during summer (Norderhaug et al. 2002, Waage-Nielsen et al. 2003). 395 Additionally, these mobile assemblages can redistribute after settlement, colonize new 396 patches of habitat in interconnected or nearby areas, becoming more diverse and abundant in 397 areas further away from their source habitat/reef (Virnstein and Curran 1986, Martins et al. 398 2017).

399 Floating habitats, being less accessible to non-swimming species, in particular non-400 swimming predators, show a lower predation pressure (Dumont et al. 2011, Rogers et al. 401 2016, Leclerc et al. 2020a), which may in turn influence the diversity and structure of the 402 community. For instance, in temperate marinas, low predation pressure by benthic predators 403 in floating habitats had been shown to increase the biotic complexity of sessile assemblages, 404 which in turn could favor colonization by mobile species (Leclerc and Viard 2018). In our 405 study, the sessile assemblage had a 40 % greater coverage on the floating structures than on 406 the non-floating ones, and we also found a higher percentage of empty patches in the 407 settlement plates deployed on the non-floating structures. Although the present dataset does 408 not allow to evaluate the relationship between the richness/diversity of the mobile 409 assemblage and the functional composition of the sessile assemblage, it is likely that a greater 410 coverage of the sessile assemblage favors the associated mobile assemblage of floating 411 habitats by providing an increased availability of microhabitats and refuges, decreasing 412 predator-prey encounters for mobile species (Grabowski 2004, Scyphers and Powers 2013, 413 Leclerc and Viard 2018). Nonetheless, other mechanisms to the aforementioned, such as 414 differences of the biotic and abiotic stress gradients among habitats, may be responsible for 415 the richer and more functionally diverse mobile fauna we measured on floating structures, 416 for which further investigation is required. It is noteworthy that although remarkable 417 differences in taxonomic diversity and functional richness were found for mobile 418 assemblages between habitats, no difference in the functional structure could be detected 419 when abundances (here the number of individuals) were considered. As suggested elsewhere 420 (e.g. Hillebrand et al 2018, Boyé et al. 2020), this result warrants caution regarding blind 421 uses of diversity metrics and encourages more comprehensive analyses incorporating species 422 identity and the influence of their traits on the system dynamics to detect changes in 423 biodiversity and ecosystem functioning.

424 Floating habitats differ from their non-floating counterparts in a considerable number 425 of abiotic and biotic conditions (e.g., connection/link with the benthos, exposure to predators 426 and scavengers, orientation to currents, and disturbance regimes). These conditions could act 427 as a filter for certain functional traits (e.g., r-selected traits and/or tolerance to disturbance, 428 see Piola and Johnston 2009, Zhan et al. 2015, Johnston et al. 2017, Leclerc et al. 2020b). 429 When the filters are characteristic to a certain environment, then through similar selective 430 processes, large scale patterns in the composition and functions of the assemblages of these 431 environments may arise (Poff 1997, Statzner et al. 2004). However, among most of the traits 432 considered in this study, more differences were found among structures or harbors than 433 between habitats, and this may suggest that there was no differential selection of particular

434 traits between the types of habitats studied. However, our results showed a high percentage 435 of taxa with traits characteristics of early successional stages such as small sizes (sessile: 436 79% < 50 mm, mobile: 60% < 10 mm), short longevity (sessile 70% < 1 year, mobile: 93% < 3437 years) and short pelagic larval duration (70% sessile <1 day, movile 69 % none or <1 day) 438 (Giangrade et al. 1994, Odum 1969). Thus, the early stage of maturity of the study 439 communities or the broad array of putative processes influencing spatial patterns in coastal 440 biodiversity (see Benedetti-Cecchi 2001, Fraschetti et al. 2005, Chang and Marshall 2016) 441 could also generate such results. Hence, considering that a greater complexity of interactions 442 could occur in more advanced successional stages (e.g. Aguilera and Navarrete 2012), 443 evaluating changes in functional traits later during succession may give a better 444 approximation of the functional structure of these communities.

445 The dissimilarity of the relationships between the functional diversity of sessile taxa 446 and their richness suggests that floating habitats present a functional redundancy superior to 447 that of non-floating ones. Likewise, our results showed a greater functional similarity of the 448 sessile assemblages among the plates of the floating habitats and a greater multivariate 449 dispersion among those of the non-floating habitats. In general, disturbed environments can 450 favor the establishment of species with more similar combinations of traits (Poff 1997, 451 Leibold et al. 2004, Statzner et al. 2004, Valdivia et al. 2017, Leclerc 2018). However, our 452 results do not allow us to know whether or not the environmental conditions in floating 453 habitats, may be responsible for the similarity we found among the traits of these habitats. 454 For example, the greater variability in the composition of traits among the plates of non-455 floating habitats could possibly be linked to the more intense predation pressure reported in 456 these types of habitats (see Diaz and McQuaid 2011, Valdivia et al. 2017). In these habitats,

457 important variation in the effect of predation among patches and pillars had been previously 458 reported (Leclerc et al. 2020a). As any other disturbance (Sousa 1984, Connell and Slatyer 459 1977, Sousa 1979), predation at the microhabitat scale (within a single plate) on the one hand 460 can reduce the richness and abundance of species (and proportionally the functional diversity 461 of the community/assemblage), while on the other hand, the freed space on the plates, caused 462 by predation, can increase the richness and abundance of species by repeatedly opening new 463 patches/substrate available for the colonization by new species.

464 Likewise, it should be considered that greater similarities in the community structure 465 of sessile assemblages in floating versus non-floating habitats have been reported (Holloway 466 and Connell 2002, Leclerc et al. 2020), including on a regional scale (Leclerc et al. 2020b). 467 According to many authors, floating structures have assemblages of particular species, where 468 there is generally a greater abundance and richness of non-native species compared to no-469 floating structures (Holloway and Connell 2002, Glasby et al. 2007, Dafforn et al. 2009, 470 Johnston et al. 2017, Leclerc and Viard 2018, Leclerc et al. 2020b). The susceptibility to 471 invasion and to biotic homogenization of these floating structures (Leclerc et al. 2020b) 472 might explain the greater similarity in the functional structure, diversity and redundancy, of 473 the sessile assemblages in floating habitats, a hypothesis to be further tested, for instance by 474 distinguishing native and non-native taxa at broader scales.

To conclude, our data showed that the functional structure of both sessile and mobile assemblages varies between floating and non-floating artificial habitats. However, such variations are minor among mobile assemblages as opposed to sessile ones. Dispersal ability of mobile species is likely to be a major determinant of this pattern. Our results suggest that despite the (almost) absence of any physical link between floating habitats and the benthos, 480 the dispersal capacity of much mobile species leads to richer and more diverse assemblages 481 than expected in these habitats. In this study, we however did not find a clear suite of functional traits prevailing in one or the other habitat type, in either assemblages. As we only 482 483 examined functional traits at an early successional state of these communities, we recommend evaluating their composition in more advanced stages of the community's 484 485 development to evaluate 1) whether the low functional differences in the mobile assemblage 486 are maintained, and 2) whether there is a selection over time of certain functional traits that 487 differs between the types of habitats, floating vs. non-floating.

489

Ackowledgements

490 This study is part of Master's thesis in Marine Ecology funded by the Postgraduate 491 Department of the Universidad Católica de la Santísima Concepción. We are grateful to The 492 Centro de Investigaciones en Biodiversidad y Ambientes Sustentables (CIBAS) for the 493 funding received for processing the samples. We are thankful to Francisco Silva for the 494 support in the barcoding DNA analysis, to Angel Urzúa for his support in the identification 495 of decapod crustaceans, to Oscar Díaz and Nicolás Rozbaczylo of FAUNAMAR for their support in the identification of the polychaetes, to Rodrigo Riera for his guidance with the 496 497 functional diversity analysis. We also thanks to Mario George-Nacimiento, Patricio Camus 498 and Moises Aguilera for their valuable and helpful comments on this study. This study was 499 based on the samplings carried out under JCL FONDECYT project (n°3160172). This is the 500 ISEM XXXX-XXX SUD publication

501

Author's contributions

JCL, NF, FV and AB conceived the working hypotheses and designed the study. JCL led the fieldwork, sample collection and conducted the taxonomic analyses of the sessile assemblages. NF realized the taxonomic analyses of the mobile assemblages. NF did literature research to fill in the trait matrices and conducted all data analyses (taxonomic and functional diversities, statistics). NF led the writing, with substantial contributions from the other co-authors. All authors critically revised and edited the manuscript drafts.

508

Data accessibility

509 Some of the data are provided in the supplementary material associated with the 510 manuscript. Detailed data will be made publicly available in the Dryad repository.

511 **References**

512	Adams, T.P., Miller, R.G., Aleynik, D., Burrows, M.T., 2014. Offshore marine renewable
513	energy devices as stepping stones across biogeographical boundaries. J. Appl. Ecol. 51, 330-
514	338. https://doi.org/10/ghmfbj
515	Aguilera, M.A., Navarrete, S.A., 2012. Functional identity and functional structure
516	change through succession in a rocky intertidal marine herbivore assemblage. Ecology 93,
517	75-89. https://doi.org/10.1890/11-0434.1
518	Aguilera, M.A., Broitman, B.R., Thiel, M., 2014. Spatial variability in community
519	composition on a granite breakwater versus natural rocky shores: lack of microhabitats
520	suppresses intertidal biodiversity. Mar. Pollut. Bull. https://doi.org/10/f6n43z
521	Airoldi, L., Bulleri, F., 2011. Anthropogenic Disturbance Can Determine the Magnitude
522	of Opportunistic Species Responses on Marine Urban Infrastructures. PLoS One 6, e22985.
523	https://doi.org/10/bq4gww
524	Airoldi, L., Connell, S.D., Beck, M.W., 2009. The Loss of Natural Habitats and the
525	Addition of Artificial Substrata, In: Wahl, M. (Ed.), Marine Hard Bottom Communities:
526	Patterns, Dynamics, Diversity, and Change, Ecological Studies. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg,
527	рр. 269–280.
528	Airoldi, L., Turon, X., Perkol-Finkel, S., Rius, M., 2015. Corridors for aliens but not for
529	natives: effects of marine urban sprawl at a regional scale. Divers. Distrib. 21, 755-768.

530 https://doi.org/10/gkms2t

531	Aldea, C., Valdovinos, C., 2005. Moluscos del intermareal rocoso del centro-sur de Chile
532	(36° - 38°s): taxonomía y clave de identificación. Gayana (Concepción) 69, 364-396.
533	https://doi.org/10/c7sq4z

- Anderson, M., 2001. Permutation tests for univariate or multivariate analysis and regression. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 58, 626–639. https://doi.org/10/fscj4n
- Anderson, M.J., Gorley, R.N., Clarke, K.R., 2008. PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: Guide
 to Software and Statistical Methods. Plymouth, UK: PRIMER-E
- 538 Aronson, M.F.J., Nilon, C.H., Lepczyk, C.A., Parker, T.S., Warren, P.S., Cilliers, S.S.,
- 539 Goddard, M.A., Hahs, A.K., Herzog, C., Katti, M., La Sorte, F.A., Williams, N.S.G.,
- 540 Zipperer, W., 2016. Hierarchical filters determine community assembly of urban species

541 pools. Ecology 97, 2952–2963. https://doi.org/10/f9bss6

- Beauchard, O., Veríssimo, H., Queirós, A.M., Herman, P.M.J., 2017. The use of multiple
 biological traits in marine community ecology and its potential in ecological indicator
 development. Ecol. Indic. 76, 81–96. https://doi.org/10/gbrzw5
- 545 Benedetti-Cecchi, L., 2001. Variability in abundance of algae and invertebrates at 546 different spatial scales on rocky sea shores. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 215, 79–92. 547 https://doi.org/10/fsb6tr
- Birdsey, E.M., Johnston, E.L., Poore, A.G.B., 2012. Diversity and cover of a sessile
 animal assemblage does not predict its associated mobile fauna. Mar. Biol. 159, 551–560.
 https://doi.org/10/bbj8ps

551	Bishop, M.J., Mayer-Pinto, M., Airoldi, L., Firth, L.B., Morris, R.L., Loke, L.H.L.,
552	Hawkins, S.J., Naylor, L.A., Coleman, R.A., Chee, S.Y., Dafforn, K.A., 2017. Effects of
553	ocean sprawl on ecological connectivity: impacts and solutions. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol., 492,
554	7-30. https://doi.org/10/gbn3wg
555	Boyé, A., Thiébaut, E., Grall, J., Legendre, P., Broudin, C., Houbin, C., Le Garrec, V.,
556	Maguer, M., Droual, G., Gauthier, O., 2019. Trait-based approach to monitoring marine
557	benthic data along 500 km of coastline. Diversity and Distributions 25,1879-1896.
558	https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12987

Bremner, J., Rogers, S.I., Frid, C.L.J., 2003. Assessing functional diversity in marine
benthic ecosystems: a comparison of approaches. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 254, 11–25.
https://doi.org/10/b6srw3

Bremner, J., Rogers, S.I., Frid, C.L.J., 2006. Methods for describing ecological
functioning of marine benthic assemblages using biological traits analysis (BTA). Ecol.
Indic. 6, 609–622. https://doi.org/10/fh4d23

565 Bruno, J., Bertness, M. D., 2001. Positive interactions, facilitations and foundation 566 species. In: Bertness, M.D. Gaines, S. and Hay, M. (eds) Marine community ecology. Sinauer 567 Associates, Inc Publishers, Sunderland, Massachusetts, 201-218.

568 Bulleri, F., Chapman, M.G., 2010. The introduction of coastal infrastructure as a driver

of change in marine environments. J. Appl. Ecol. 47, 26–35. https://doi.org/10/dqnpwc

570	Carvalho, S., Moura, A., Cúrdia, J., da Fonseca, L. C., and Santos, M. N., 2013. How
571	complementary are epibenthic assemblages in artificial and nearby natural rocky reefs? Mar.
572	Environ. Res. 92, 170-177. https://doi.org/10/f5jrqj
573	Casanoves, F., Pla, L., Di Rienzo, J.A., 2011. Valoración y análisis de la diversidad
574	funcional y su relación con los servicios ecosistémicos. Serie técnica, Informe técnico, 384.
575	Chang, C., Marshall, D.J., 2016. Spatial pattern of distribution of marine invertebrates
576	within a subtidal community: do communities vary more among patches or plots? Ecol. Evol.
577	6, 8330–8337. https://doi.org/10/f9b8jz
578	Chevenet, F., Doléadec, S., Chessel, D., 1994. A fuzzy coding approach for the analysis
579	of long-term ecological data. Freshw. Biol. 31, 295–309. https://doi.org/10/dzbfv6

Connell, J.H., Slatyer, R.O., 1977. Mechanisms of Succession in Natural Communities
and Their Role in Community Stability and Organization. Am. Nat. 111, 1119–1144.
https://doi.org/10/db44n5

- 583 Connell, null, 2000. Floating pontoons create novel habitats for subtidal epibiota. J. Exp.
 584 Mar. Bio. Ecol. 247, 183–194. https://doi.org/10/dx8z4j
- 585 Connell, S.D., 2001. Urban structures as marine habitats: an experimental comparison of
- 586 the composition and abundance of subtidal epibiota among pilings, pontoons and rocky reefs.
- 587 Mar. Environ. Res. 52, 115–125. https://doi.org/10/fsm97r
- 588 Córdova-Tapia, F., Zambrano, L., 2015. La diversidad funcional en la ecología de
 589 comunidades. Ecosistemas 24, 78–87. https://doi.org/10/gkms3h

	590	Dafforn,	K.A	Johnston.	E.L.,	Glasby	, T.M.	, 2009.	Shallow	moving	structures	promote
--	-----	----------	-----	-----------	-------	--------	--------	---------	---------	--------	------------	---------

591 marine invader dominance. Biofouling 25, 277–287. https://doi.org/10/cww5b7

592 Darling, J.A., Carlton, J.T., 2018. A framework for understanding marine 593 cosmopolitanism in the Anthropocene. Frontiers in Marine Science 5, 293. 594 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00293

- 595 Díaz, E.R., McQuaid, C.D., 2011. A spatially explicit approach to trophic interactions 596 and landscape formation: patchiness in small-scale variability of grazing effects along an 597 intertidal stress gradient. J. Ecol. 99, 416–430. https://doi.org/10/bmd7qs
- Dumont, C.P., Gaymer, C.F., Thiel, M., 2011. Predation contributes to invasion
 resistance of benthic communities against the non-indigenous tunicate *Ciona intestinalis*.
 Biol. Invasions 13, 2023–2034. https://doi.org/10/djg8fh
- Firth, L.B., Knights, A.M., Bridger, D., Evans, A.J., Mieszkowska, N., Moore, P.,
 O'Connor, N., Sheehan, E., Thompson, R.C., Hawkins, S.J., 2016. Ocean sprawl: challenges
- and opportunities for biodiversity management in a changing world, Oceanogr. Mar. Biol.54, 189-262.
- Fraschetti, S., Terlizzi, A., Benedetti-Cecchi, L., 2005. Patterns of distribution of marine
 assemblages from rocky shores: Evidence of relevant scales of variation. Mar. Ecol. Ser. 296,
 13–29. https://doi.org/10/b5wrdf
- Giachetti, C.B., Battini, N., Castro, K.L., Schwindt, E., 2020. Invasive ascidians: How
 predators reduce their dominance in artificial structures in cold temperate areas. J. Exp. Mar.
 Bio. Ecol. 533, 151459. https://doi.org/10/gjwdnx

611	Giangrande, A., Geraci, S., Belmonte, G., 1994. Life-cycle and life-history diversity in
612	marine invertebrates and the implications in community dynamics. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. 32,
613	305-333.

- Glasby, T.M., 2001. Development of sessile marine assemblages on fixed versus moving
 substrata. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 215, 37–47. https://doi.org/10/ch6tnr
- Glasby, T.M., Connell, S.D., 2001. Orientation and position of substrata have large
 effects on epibiotic assemblages. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 214, 127–135.
 https://doi.org/10/fn29vg
- Glasby, T., Connell, S., Holloway, M., Hewitt, C., 2007. Nonindigenous biota on
 artificial structures: Could habitat creation facilitate biological invasions? Mar. Biol. 151,
 887–895. https://doi.org/10/dfsnzm
- 622 Grabowski, J.H., 2004. Habitat Complexity Disrupts Predator-Prey Interactions but Not
- the Trophic Cascade on Oyster Reefs. Ecology 85, 995–1004. https://doi.org/10/cqkqxv
- 624 Hammer, O., Harper, D., Ryan, P., 2001. PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software
- 625 Package for Education and Data Analysis. Palaeontol. Electron. 4, 1–9.
- Hays, W.L., 1963. Statistics for Psychologists. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York.
- 627 Hedge, L.H., Johnston, E.L., 2012. Propagule pressure determines recruitment from a
- 628 commercial shipping pier. Biofouling 28:73-
- 629 85.https://doi.org.10.1080/08927014.2011.652622.

- 630 Hill, M., 1973. Diversity and Evenness: A Unifying Notation and Its Consequences.
- 631 Ecology 54, 427–432. https://doi.org/10/d42q4v
- 632 Holloway, M.G., Connell, S., 2002. Why do floating structures create novel habitats for
- 633 subtidal epibiota? Mar. Ecol. Ser. Mar Ecol-Progr Ser 235, 43–52. https://doi.org/10/dvrprz
- Hudson, J., Viard, F., Roby, C., Rius, M., 2016. Anthropogenic transport of species across
- native ranges: unpredictable genetic and evolutionary consequences. Biol. Lett. 12,
 20160620. https://doi.org/10/gkms3x
- 537 Janiak, D.S., Branson, D., 2021. Impacts of habitat and predation on epifaunal

638 communities from seagrass beds and artificial structures. Mar. Environ. Res. 163, 105225.

- 639 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2020.105225
- 640 Johnston, E.L., Dafforn, K.A., Clark, G.F., Rius, M., Floerl, O., 2017. Anthropogenic
- 641 activities promoting the establishment and spread of marine non-indigenous species post-
- 642 arrival, In: Hawkins, S.J., Evans, A.J., Dale, A.C., Firth, L.B., Hughes, D.J., Smith, I.P.
- 643 (Eds.), Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review. CRC Press, pp. 389–419.
- Karalis, P., Chryssanthi, A., Chintiroglou, C., 2003. Structure of the artificial hard
 substrate assemblages in ports Thermaikos Gulf (North Aegean Sea). Enzym. Microb.
- 646 Technol. 26, 215–224. https://doi.org/10/bkg978
- Lavender, J.T., Dafforn, K.A., Bishop, M.J., Johnston, E.L., 2017. Small-scale habitat
 complexity of artificial turf influences the development of associated invertebrate
 assemblages. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 492, 105–112. https://doi.org/10/gbn3kv

650	Leclerc, JC., 2018. Patterns of spatial variability between contrasting substrata: a
651	boulder-field study. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 597, 23–38. https://doi.org/10/gdsc26
652	Leclerc, JC., Brante, A., Viard, F., 2021. Rapid recovery of native habitat-builders
653	following physical disturbance on pier pilings offsets colonization of cryptogenic and non-

654 indigenous species in a Chilean port. Mar. Environ. Res. 163, 105231.
655 https://doi.org/10/gkms5c

- 656 Leclerc, J.-C., Viard, F., Brante, A., 2019. Experimental and survey-based evidences for
- 657 effective biotic resistance by predators in ports. Biol. Invasions. https://doi.org/10/gg3k4w
- 658 Leclerc, J.-C., Viard, F., González Sepúlveda, E., Díaz, C., Neira Hinojosa, J., Pérez
- Araneda, K., Silva, F., Brante, A., 2018. Non-indigenous species contribute equally to
 biofouling communities in international vs local ports in the Biobío region, Chile. Biofouling
 34, 784–799. https://doi.org/10/gg3k4z
- Leclerc, J.-C., Viard, F., Sepúlveda, E., Díaz, C., Hinojosa, J., Araneda, K., Silva, F.,
 Brante, A., 2020. Habitat type drives the distribution of non-indigenous species in fouling
 communities regardless of associated maritime traffic. Divers. Distrib.
 https://doi.org/10/gg3k4v
- Leibold, M.A., Holyoak, M., Mouquet, N., Amarasekare, P., Chase, J.M., Hoopes, M.F.,
- Holt, R.D., Shurin, J.B., Law, R., Tilman, D., Loreau, M., Gonzalez, A., 2004. The metacommunity concept: a framework for multi-scale community ecology. Ecol. Lett. 7,
- 669 601–613. https://doi.org/10/bkg384

670	López, D.P., Freestone, A.L., 2021. History of co-occurrence shapes predation effects on
671	functional diversity and structure at low latitudes. Functional Ecology 35, 535-545.
672	https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13725

Martínez-Laiz, G., Ulman, A., Ros, M., Marchini, A., 2019. Is recreational boating a
potential vector for non-indigenous peracarid crustaceans in the Mediterranean Sea? A
combined biological and social approach. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 140, 403–415.
https://doi.org/10/gkms5d

- 677 Martins, G.M., Matias, M.G., Moniz, I., Rius, C., Sanderson, J., Neto, A.I., Jenkins, S.R.,
- 678 2017. Post-settlement dispersal ability determines structure of marine benthic
 679 metacommunities. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 569, 15–23. https://doi.org/10/f97p9m
- 680 Mayer-Pinto, M., Cole, V.J., Johnston, E.L., Bugnot, A., Hurst, H., Airoldi, L., Glasby,
- T.M., Dafforn, K.A., 2018. Functional and structural responses to marine urbanisation.
- 682 Environ. Res. Lett. 13, 14009. https://doi.org/10/gkms5p
- Micheli, F., Halpern, B.S., 2005. Low functional redundancy in coastal marine
 assemblages. Ecol. Lett. 8, 391–400. https://doi.org/10/bx6qcq
- Mineur, F., Cook, E.J., Minchin, D., Bohn, K., MacLeod, A., Maggs, C.A., 2012.
 Changing coasts: marine aliens and artificial structures. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. an Annu. Rev.
- 687 Vol. 50 189–233.
- 688 Mouchet, M., Villéger, S., Mason, N., Mouillot, D., 2010. Functional diversity measures:
- an overview of their redundancy and their ability to discriminate community assembly rules.
- 690 Funct. Ecol. 24, 867–876. https://doi.org/10/d3cknd

691	Muñoz, S.A., George-Nascimento, M., 2008. The effect of Anonchocephalus chilensis									
692	Riggenbach	n (Eucestoo	da: Bothriocepha	lidea) on infra	com	munity pattern	s in (Genypterus		
693	maculatus	Tschudi	(Osteichthyes:	Ophidiidae).	J.	Helminthol.	82,	221–226.		
694	https://doi.c	org/10/b9k4	łw7							

- Norderhaug, K., Christie, H., Rinde, E., 2002. Colonisation of kelp imitations by epiphyte
 and holdfast fauna; a study of mobility patterns. Mar. Biol. 141, 965–973.
 https://doi.org/10/c8z4vv
- 698 Odum, E.P., 1969. The Strategy of Ecosystem Development. Science 164:262-270
- 699 Palmer, M.A., Allan, J.D., Butman, C.A., 1996. Dispersal as a regional process affecting
- the local dynamics of marine and stream benthic invertebrates. Trends Ecol. Evol. 11, 322–
 326. https://doi.org/10/fp5bz8
- Perkol-Finkel, S., Shashar, N., Benayahu, Y., 2006. Can artificial reefs mimic natural reef
 communities? The roles of structural features and age. Mar. Environ. Res. 61, 121–135.
 https://doi.org/10/frqz38
- Petchey, O., Gaston, K., 2006. Petchey OL, Gaston KJ. Functional diversity: back to
 basics and looking forward. Ecol Lett 9: 741-758. Ecol. Lett. 9, 741-758.
 https://doi.org/10/fvgtw3
- Piola, R.F., Johnston, E.L., 2009. Comparing differential tolerance of native and nonindigenous marine species to metal pollution using novel assay techniques. Environ. Pollut.
 (Barking, Essex 1987) 157, 2853–2864. https://doi.org/10/d49g52

711	Poff, N.L., 1997. Landscape Filters and Species Traits: Towards Mechanis
712	Understanding and Prediction in Stream Ecology. J. North Am. Benthol. Soc. 16, 391–40
713	https://doi.org/10/d2nbw9

R Core Team. 2016. R A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: http://www.r-project.org

Rogers, T., Byrnes, J., Stachowicz, J., 2016. Native predators limit invasion of benthic
invertebrate communities in Bodega Harbor, California. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 545.
https://doi.org/10/f8ftz3

Scyphers, S.B., Powers, S.P., 2013. Context-dependent effects of a marine ecosystem
engineer on predator-prey interactions. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 491, 295–301.
https://doi.org/10/f5cw7x

Sedano, F., Navarro-Barranco, C., Guerra-García, J.M., Espinosa, F., 2020. From sessile

to vagile: Understanding the importance of epifauna to assess the environmental impacts of

coastal defence structures. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 235, 106616. https://doi.org/10/gkms5q

Sellheim, K., Stachowicz, J.J., Coates, R.C., 2009. Effects of a nonnative habitat-forming
species on mobile and sessile epifaunal communities. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 398, 69–80.
https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08341

Simberloff, D., Martin, J.L., Genovesi, P., Maris, V., Wardle, D.A., Aronson, J.,

729 Courchamp, F., Galil, B., García-Berthou, E., Pascal, M., Pyšek, P., Sousa, R., Tabacchi, E.,

730 Vilà, M., 2013. Impacts of biological invasions: What's what and the way forward. Trends

731 Ecol. Evol. 28, 58–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.07.013

732	Sousa,	W.P.,	1979.	Experimen	ntal Inv	vestigations	of Dist	urbance	and	Ecological
733	Succession	in a	Rocky	Intertidal	Algal	Community	y. Ecol.	Monogr	. 49,	228–254.
734	https://doi.c	org/10	/brszvt							

- Sousa, W. P., 1984. The role of disturbance in natural communities. Annu. Rev. Ecol.
- 736 Evol. Syst. 15, 353-391. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.15.110184.002033

Statzner, B., Dolédec, S., Hugueny, B., 2004. Biological trait composition of European
stream invertebrate communities: Assessing the effects of various trait filter types.
Ecography (Cop.). 27, 470–488. https://doi.org/10/bvdjwq

540 Strain, E.M.A., Olabarria, C., Mayer-Pinto, M., Cumbo, V., Morris, R.L., Bugnot, A.B.,

Dafforn, K.A., Heery, E., Firth, L.B., Brooks, P.R., Bishop, M.J., 2018. Eco-engineering
urban infrastructure for marine and coastal biodiversity: Which interventions have the
greatest ecological benefit? J. Appl. Ecol. 55, 426–441. https://doi.org/10/gfsqmx

- Sueiro, M.C., Bortolus, A., Schwindt, E., 2011. Habitat complexity and community
 composition: relationships between different ecosystem engineers and the associated
 macroinvertebrate assemblages. Helgol. Mar. Res. 65, 467–477. https://doi.org/10/fsh7dg
- Susick, K., Scianni, C., Mackie, J., 2020. Artificial structure density predicts fouling
 community diversity on settlement panels. Biol. Invasions 22, 1–22.
 https://doi.org/10/gkms53

Thomsen, M., Byers, J., Schiel, D., Bruno, J., Olden, J., Wernberg, T., Silliman, B., 2014.
Impacts of marine invaders n biodiversity depend on trophic position and functional
similarity. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 495, 39–47. https://doi.org/10/f5q5kv

753	Tsutakawa, R.K., Hewett, J.E., 1977. Quick test for comparing two populations with									
754	bivariate data. Biometrics 33, 215–219. https://doi.org/10.2307/2529314									
755	van der Linden, P., Marchini, A., Dolbeth, M., Patrício, J., Veríssimo, H., Marques, J.C.,									
756	2016. The performance of trait-based indices in an estuarine environment. Ecological									
757	Indicators. 61, 378-389. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.09.039									
758										
759	Valdivia, N., Segovia-Rivera, V., Fica, E., Bonta, C.C., Aguilera, M.A., Broitman, B.R.,									
760	2017. Context-dependent functional dispersion across similar ranges of trait space covered									
761	by intertidal rocky shore communities. Ecol. Evol. 7, 1882–1891. https://doi.org/10/f92rdc									
762	Villéger, S., Blanchet, S., Beauchard, O., Oberdorff, T., Brosse, S., 2011.									
763	Homogenization patterns of the world's freshwater fish faunas. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108,									
764	18003–18008. https://doi.org/10/cpm26h									
765	Virnstein, R. W., Curran, M. C., 1986. Colonization of artificial seagrass versus time and									
766	distance from source. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 29, 279-288 https://doi.org/10.3354/meps029279									
767	Waage-Nielsen, E., Christie, H., Rinde, E., 2003. Short-term dispersal of kelp fauna to									
768	cleared (kelp-harvested) areas. Hydrobiologia 503, 77-91.									
769	https://doi.org/10.1023/B:HYDR.0000008490.51745.a9									
770	Williams, J.S., Tsutakawa, R.K., Hewett, J.E., 1977. Quick test for comparing two									
771	populations with bivariate data. Biometrics 33, 215–219.									

772	Yakovis,	E.L., Arte	emieva, A	A. V, Shur	natova, N.I	N., Varfol	omeeva, M.A	A., 2008	3. Multiple
773	Foundation	Species	Shape	Benthic	Habitat	Islands.	Oecologia	155,	785–795.
774	https://doi.or	g/10/ddb8	57r						

- 775 Zhan, A., Briski, E., Bock, D., Ghabooli, S., MacIsaac, H., 2015. Ascidians as models for
- 576 studying invasion success. Mar. Biol. 2449–2470. https://doi.org/10/f724dn

777

779 Tables

Table 1. Selected biological traits and categories used to describe the functional structure of
 sessile and mobile invertebrates assemblages in artificial marine habitats, in the Southeast

782 Pacific, Chile; after Bremner et al., (2003) and Beauchard et al., (2017).

Traits	Modality	Traits	Modality
Feeding	Autotroph	Adult	None
Habit	Filter/suspension feeder	mobility	Low
	Deposit feeder		Medium
	Predator		High
	Opportunist/scavenger		
	Grazer		
Individual	1-10 mm	Lifespan	<1 year
/colonial	10-50 mm		>1-3 years
size	50-100 mm		3-5 years
	100-300 mm		>5 years
Body form	Flat	Reproductive	Asexual
	Mound	mode	Sexual (broadcast spawner)
	Erect		Sexual (planktonic larvae)
	Elongate		Sexual (direct-developer)
	Little elongate/ellipsoid		
Sociability	Solitary	Larval	Pelagic planktotrophic
	Colonial	development	Pelagic lecithotrophic
			Direct benthic
Degree of	None	Pelagic	None
attachment	Behavioral	larval	<1 day
	Temporary	duration	1-7days
	Permanent		7-30 days
			>30 days
Motility	Sessile		
	Burrower		
	Crawler		
	Crawler-swimmer		
	Swimmer		

783

Table 2. Results of the ANOVA for the difference of taxonomic and functional diversity of sessile and mobile invertebrate assemblages associated to artificial marine habitats in two ports of the Southeast Pacific, Chile. The value of F and ω^2 and its significance at $\alpha = 0.05$ in bold (*: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, ***: p < 0.001) is given for each factor (*Habitat* vs. *Port*), and their interactions. Tests of homogeneity of variances are summarized by their p values.

⁷⁹⁰

ANOVA Factor (df, total = 31)											
Response variable	Habitat	= H	Port =	= P	$H \times P$)	Experi Ur				
	$F \omega^2$		F	ω^2	F	ω^2	F	ω^2	р		
Richness(S)											
Sessile	0.90	0.00	2.92	0.03	34.74***	0.49	1.20	0.05	0,64		
Mobile	53.64***	0.63	0.19	0.00	2.04	0.01	0.80	0.03	0,63		
Taxa diversity (1/λ)											
Sessile (*)	1.35	0.00	19.02**	0.10	104.9***	0.58	1.27	0.06	0.11		
Mobile	45.62***	0.60	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.68	0.02	0.37		
Functional diversity (FDc)											
Sessile	1.12	0.00	2.39	0.02	33.08***	0.47	1.78	0.08	0.45		
Mobile	32.30***	0.60	0.02	0.00	3.76	0.04	1.62	0.08	0.51		
Functional diversity (wFDc)											
Sessile	4.47	0.03	0.23	0.26	91.32***	0.44	7.83	0.14	0.07		
Mobile	6.84*	0.41	2.88	0.02	33.84***	0.46	0.66	0.02	0.41		

791 (*) transformation Square-root

Table 3. PERMANOVA results for the differences in functional structure (composition and abundance) of the sessile and mobile

assemblages. The pseudo-F of PERMANOVA and PERMDISP (H \times P) are given along with significance at $\alpha = 0.05$ (*: p < 0.05, **:

Assemblage			Se	essile	sile			Mobile						
		Compositio	on		Abundance			Compositio	on	Abundance				
Transformation		None		-	Square-root			None			Fourth-root			
PERMDISP	F1,	₃₀ = 1.09 p =	0.354	F_1	F _{1,30} : 34.01 p < 0.001			$F_{1,30}$: 8.23 p = 0.012			$F_{1,30}$: 7.47 p = 0.013			
Source	df	Pseudo-F	ω^2	df	Pseudo-F	ω^2	df	Pseudo-F	ω^2	df	Pseudo-F	ω^2		
Habitat = H	1	0.65	0,05	1	1.01	0,26	1	4.31	0,15	1	1.25	0,39		
Port = P	1	3.02**	0,16	1	22.74**	0,50	1	6.97*	0,15	1	12.63*	0,08		
$H \times P$	1	1.19	0,05	1	10.87**	0,23	1	1.61	0,01	1	50.17**	0,32		
Experimental Unit (H × P)	4	3.17***	0,21	4	5.92***	0,07	4	1.15	0.07	4	1.01	0,02		
Res.	24			24			24			24				

p < 0.01, ***: p < 0.001) and the effect size (ω^2) of each factor.

798	Table 4. PERMANOVA results for the differences in the modality composition (weighted by abundances) of each functional trait of
799	taxa of the sessile assemblages of artificial marine habitats studied in two ports of the Southeast Pacific, Chile. The pseudo-F of
800	PERMANOVA and t value of PERMDISP (H × P) are given along with significance (in bold) at $\alpha = 0.05$ (*: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, ***:
801	$p < 0.001$) and the effect size (ω^2) of each factor.

		PFRMDISP							
Functional traits	Habitat = H		Port = P		$\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{P}$		Experimental units		
	Pseudo F	ω^2	Pseudo F	ω^2	Pseudo F	ω^2	Pseudo F	ω^2	_
Feeding habit	4.06	0.43	3.72	0.08	4.31	0.10	2.37*	0.09	4.27
Body form	1.31	0.26	7.89*	0.26	6.10*	0.20	6.41***	0.12	8.15**
Body size	0.33	0.16	14.01*	0.22	31.73**	0.49	6.47***	0.06	77.24***
Sociability	4.51	0.25	36.64**	0.60	3.38	0.05	11.86***	0.06	17.85***
Lifespan	0.64	0.14	23.69**	0.48	11.36**	0.23	7.87***	0.07	22.00***
Degree of attachment	7.14	0.59	1.16	0.03	2.44	0.07	5.91***	0.13	0.90
Reproductive mode	1.05	0.35	11.14**	0.17	21.93**	0.33	5.03***	0.06	1.13
Larval development	-	0.24	56.82**	0.68	-	0.03	5.75***	0.05	29.48***
Pelagic larval duration	0.00	0.05	106.37**	0.74	12.47*	0.08	2.30	0.03	44.92**

802 The data were previously transformed (square root transformation) and was used the Bray-Curtis Index to generate the similarity matrix.

Table 5. PERMANOVA results for the differences the functional structure (weighted by abundances) of each functional trait of the taxa of the mobile assemblages in non-floating and floating habitats. The pseudo-F of PERMANOVA and t value of PERMDISP (H × P) are given along with the respective significance (in bold) at $\alpha = 0.05$ (*: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, ***: p < 0.001) and the effect size (ω^2) of each factor.

	PERMANOVA Factor (df, total = 31)									
Functional traits	Habitat = H		Port = P		$\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{P}$		Experimental Unit		PERMDISP	
	Pseudo F	ω^2	Pseudo F	ω^2	Pseudo F	ω^2	Pseudo F	ω^2	_	
Feeding habit	0.95	0.36	9.41*	0.05	61.68**	0.25	0.97	0.01	0.17	
Body form	1.08	0.26	26.98**	0.23	27.23**	0.24	1.05	0.03	0.21	
Body size	1.73	0.44	6.49	0.04	34.90*	0.25	0.86	0.02	0.00	
Mobility	1.31	0.42	6.60*	0.04	45.86**	0.32	1.07	0.02	7.64**	
Adult mobility	0.93	0.34	29.36*	0.12	85.01**	0.28	0.79	0.01	0.77	
Lifespan	0.96	0.38	3.30	0.01	52.75*	0.40	1.38	0.02	1.13	
Degree of attachment	1.31	0.43	9.08*	0.04	55.93**	0.32	0.96	0.01	3.61	
Reproductive mode	1.64	0.46	9.79*	0.05	45.65**	0.27	0.98	0.43	5.79*	
Larval development	1.22	0.40	11.21*	0.06	57.85**	0.33	0.96	0.02	4.09*	
Pelagic larval duration	0.00	0.38	9.40*	0.07	36.40**	0.30	1.14	0.02	5.43*	

legends

809

810 Figure 1. Indices of taxonomic and functional diversity of the sessile and mobile 811 assemblages associated with non-floating vs floating habitats in the two ports: San Vicente 812 and Coliumo, Southeast Pacific, Chile. 813 814 Figure 2. Relationship between functional diversity and taxa richness of sessile and mobile 815 assemblages in non-floating vs. floating habitats. Data are pooled across ports. 816 817 Figure 3. Principal coordinates analysis (PCO) of the composition (presence-absence) and 818 abundance of functional features of sessile and mobile assemblages on non-floating vs. 819 floating habitats in two ports (San Vicente vs. Coliumo) in the Southeast Pacific, Chile. 820 821 Figure 4. Proportion of the average abundance of each category of functional traits of the 822 sessile assemblages of two types of habitats (NF: non-floating vs. F: floating) in two ports: 823 San Vicente vs. Coliumo, Southeast Pacific, Chile. 824 825 Figure 5. Proportion of the average abundance of each category of functional traits of the 826 mobile assemblages of two types of habitats (NF: non-floating vs. F: floating) in two ports: 827 San Vicente vs. Coliumo, Southeast Pacific, Chile. 828







Feeding Habit









1-10mm

Colonial

Sociability

Solitary

■ 50-100mm

Individual /colonial size

10-50mm

■ 100-300mm



Body form



Lifespan





Pelagic larval duration







100

50

Reproductive mode

- Sexual (direct-developer)
- Sexual (planktonic larvae)
- Sexual (broadcast spawner) Asexual





- Direct benthic
- Pelagic lecithotrophic Pelagic planktotrophic



Feeding Habit



Deposit feedingOpportunist/scavenger



Motility

- Burrower
 Crawler
- Crawler-swimmer



Reproductive mode

- Sexual (direct-developer)
- Sexual (planktonic larvae)
- Sexual (broadcast spawner)
 Asexual



Individual /colonial size



Body form





Lifespan



Pelagic larval duration





50

0



Adult mobility

Low Medium High

Larval development

- Direct benthic
- Pelagic lecithotrophic





Abundance proportion (%)

Supplementary material accompanying:

Greater functional similarity in mobile compared to sessile assemblages colonizing distinct artificial coastal habitats

Naily Nashira Figueroa¹, Antonio Brante^{1,2}, Frédérique Viard³ and Jean-Charles Leclerc^{1,2,4,*}

¹Universidad Católica de la Santísima Concepción, Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias, Concepción Chile

² Centro de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Ambientes Sustentables (CIBAS), Universidad Católica de la Santísima

Concepción, Concepción, Chile

³ISEM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France

⁴Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR 7144 AD2M, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Place Georges Teissier, 29680

Roscoff, France

*Corresponding author: lectercjc@gmail.com

Table S1. Complete list of sessile and mobile taxa settled on plates

Sessile taxa

Chlorophyta *Ulva* Linnaeus, 1753

Ochrophyta Diatoms and Ectocarpales

Rhodophyta

Antithamnionella Lyle, 1922 Calcareous crusts Ceramium Roth, 1797 Polysiphonia Greville, 1823 Red turf (other) Rhodymeniales Schmitz in Engler, 1892

Porifera

Leucosolenia Bowerbank, 1864

Cnidaria

Bougainvillia muscus (Allman, 1863) Amphisbetia operculata (Linnaeus, 1758) Coryne eximia Allman, 1859 Hydractinia Van Beneden, 1844 Obelia dichotoma (Linnaeus, 1758) Obelia geniculata (Linnaeus, 1758) Orthopyxis L. Agassiz, 1862 Phialella quadrata (Forbes, 1848) Plumularia setacea (Linnaeus, 1758)

Bryozoa

Alcyonidioides mytili (Dalyell, 1848) Amathia gracilis (Leidy, 1855) Bugula neritina (Linnaeus, 1758) Bugulina flabellata (Thompson in Gray, 1848) Celleporella hyalina (Linnaeus, 1767) Chaperia acanthina (Lamouroux, 1825) Exochella Jullien, 1888 Schizoporella maulina Moyano, 1983 Schizoporella Hincks, 1877 Scruparia ambigua (d'Orbigny, 1841)

Annelida

Spirorbis nordenskjoeldi Ehlers, 1900

Mollusca

Aulacomya atra (Molina, 1782) Mytilus galloprovincialis Lamarck, 1819 Semimytilus algosus (Gould, 1850) Hiatella Bosc, 1801 Crepipatella fecunda (Lamarck, 1822)

Crustacea

Austromegabalanus psittacus (Molina, 1788) Balanus laevis Bruguière, 1789

Chordata

Didemnum Savigny, 1816 Diplosoma listerianum (Milne Edwards, 1841) Asterocarpa humilis (Heller, 1878) Ciona robusta Hoshino & Tokioka, 1967 Corella eumyota Traustedt, 1882 Paramolgula Traustedt, 1885 Pyura chilensis Molina, 1782

Mobile taxa

Annelida

Chone rosea Hartmann-Schröder, 1965 Perkinsiana magalhaensis (Kinberg, 1867) Nereis callaona (Grube, 1857) Nereididae Blainville, 1818 Pholoe polymorpha (Hartmann-Schröder, 1962) Harmothoe magellanica (McIntosh, 1885) Paleanotus chrvsolepis Schmarda, 1861 Syllis pectinans Haswell, 1920 Autolytus Grube, 1850 Autolytus maclearanus McIntosh, 1885 Proceraea micropedata (Hartmann-Schröder, 1962) Sphaerosyllis hystrix Claparède, 1863 Dipolydora socialis (Schmarda, 1861) Polydora rickettsi Woodwick, 1961 Polycirrus multisetigerus Hartmann-Schröder, 1962 Nicolea chilensis (Schmarda, 1861) Terebellini Johnston, 1846 Terebellidae sp1 Johnston, 1846 Terebellidae sp2 Johnston, 1847 Nereiphylla Blainville, 1828 Phyllodoce longipes Kinberg, 1866 Eulalia Savigny, 1822

Diplocirrus Haase, 1915 Oligochaeta Grube, 1850

Mollusca

Doto sp1 Oken, 1815 Doto sp2 Oken, 1815

Phidiana lottini (Lesson, 1831) <u>Hancockia</u> Gosse, 1877
Orienthella trilineata (O'Donoghue, 1921) Nudibranchia sp1
Nudibranchia sp2
Thecacera darwini Pruvot-Fol, 1950
Tegula Lesson, 1832
Patellidae Rafinesque, 1815
Alia unifasciata (G. B. Sowerby I, 1832)
Mitrella Risso, 1826
Nodilittorina Martens, 1897

Crustacea

Amphilochidae sp1 Boeck, 1871 Amphilochidae sp2 Boeck, 1871 Amphilochidae sp3 Boeck, 1871 Stenothoidae Boeck, 1871 Aora Krøyer, 1845 Aoridae sp1 Stebbing, 1899 Aoridae sp2 Stebbing, 1899 Aoridae sp3 Stebbing, 1899 Aoroides Walker, 1898 Caprella equilibra Say, 1818 Deutella venenosa Mayer, 1890 Thoridae Kingsley, 1879

Pycnogonida Latreille, 1810

Echinodermata

Patiria chilensis (Lutken, 1859)

Monocorophium acherusicum (Costa, 1853) Corophiidae Leach, 1814 Eurystheus Spence Bate, 1856 Photidae sp1 Boeck, 1871 Photidae sp2 Boeck, 1871 Photidae sp3 Boeck, 1871 Photidae sp4 Boeck, 1871 Photidae sp5 Boeck, 1871 Photidae sp6 Boeck, 1871 Ischyroceridae Stebbing, 1899 Ischyrocerus sp1 Krøyer, 1838 Ischvrocerus sp2 Krøyer, 1838 Ischvrocerus sp3 Krøyer, 1838 Jassa slattervi Conlan, 1990 Jassa marmorata Holmes, 1905 Jassa justi Conlan, 1990 Elasmopus Costa, 1853 Dexaminidae Leach, 1814 Zeuxoides Sieg, 1980 Copepoda sp1 Milne Edwards, 1840 Copepoda sp2 Milne Edwards, 1840 Janiridae G. O. Sars, 1897 Munnidae G. O. Sars, 1897 Pachycheles Stimpson, 1858 Romaleon setosum (Molina, 1782) Halicarcinus planatus (J.C. Fabricius, 1775) Eurynome Leach, 1814 [in Leach, 1813-1815] Majidae Samouelle, 1819 Pilumnoides perlatus (Poeppig, 1836) Nauticaris magellanica (A.Milne-Edwards, 1891)

Nemertea

Nemertea sp1 Nemertea sp2



Figure S1. Absolute coverage of each category of functional traits of the sessile assemblages on two types of habitats (NF: non-floating vs. F: floating) in two ports: San Vicente vs. Coliumo, Southeast Pacific, Chile.



Figure S1. Absolute abundance of each category of functional traits of the mobile assemblages on two types of habitats (NF: non-floating vs. F: floating) in two ports: San Vicente vs. Coliumo, Southeast Pacific, Chile.