## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

## Insights into the Preparation of Copper Catalysts Supported on LDH-Derived Mixed Oxides for Ethanol Dehydrogenation

Rodrigo M. M. Santos,<sup>†,‡,\*</sup> Valérie Briois,<sup>‡</sup> Leandro Martins,<sup>†</sup> and Celso V. Santilli<sup>†,\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup>São Paulo State University (UNESP), Institute of Chemistry, Rua Prof. Francisco Degni 55, 14800-060, Araraquara, SP, Brazil
<sup>‡</sup>SOLEIL Synchrotron, L'Orme des Merisiers, BP48, Saint-Aubin, 91192, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

\*rodrigo.morais@unesp.br (R.M.M. Santos) and cv.santilli@unesp.br (C.V. Santilli).



**Figure S1.** XRD patterns of (a) the as-prepared LDH samples and (b) the thermally decomposed LDH samples (MO), with different copper contents.



**Figure S2.** (a) N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms of the thermally decomposed LDH samples (MO), and pore size distributions determined by the BJH method from (b) adsorption and (c) desorption isotherms.



**Figure S3.** SEM micrographs of the as-prepared LDH and thermally decomposed LDH MO samples with different copper contents: (a) C5-HDL, (b) C5-MO, (c) C10-HDL, (d) C10-MO, (e) C20-HDL, and (f) C20-MO.



**Figure S4.** R<sub>f</sub> factor and LOF (lack of fit) values obtained by MCR-ALS analysis of the Zn Kedge data measured during thermal decomposition of the LDH in air. The minimizations are compared using 3 and 4 Zn-based species for (a) C0-LDH, (b) C5-LDH, (c) C10-LDH, and (d) C20-LDH.

LOF (%) was defined according to the equation S1:

LOF (%) = 100 
$$\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{ij} e_{ij}^2}{\sum_{ij} d_{ij}^2}}$$
 (S1)

where,  $d_{ij}$  is an element of the experimental matrix D (i<sup>th</sup> spectrum at the energy value j) and  $e_{ij}$  is the related residual value obtained from the difference between D and the rebuilt data over the 3 or 4 components from the C.S<sup>T</sup> matrix product obtained by MCR-ALS. The values of  $e_{ij}$  were calculated as follows:  $e_{ij} = d_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{ik} \cdot S_{kj}^{T}$ , where C<sub>ik</sub> is an element of C, S<sub>kj</sub> is an element of S, and the sub-index k is related to the index of the component isolated by MCR-ALS.

The R-factor (R<sub>f</sub>(i), %) is the LOF calculated for each spectrum, using the following equation:

$$R_f^{(i)}(\%) = 100 \sqrt{\frac{\sum_j e_{ij}^2}{\sum_j d_{ij}^2}}$$
(S2)



**Figure S5.** Concentration profiles of Zn-based species during thermal decomposition, in air, of (a) C0-LDH, (b) C5-LDH, (c) C10-LDH, and (d) C20-LDH, obtained by MCR-ALS analysis of the Zn K-edge data, considering only 3 species. The red lines indicate the maximum ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> concentrations permitted according to the LDH stoichiometry.



**Figure S6.** XANES spectra datasets at the Zn K-edge for the thermal decomposition, in air, of (a) C0-LDH, (b) C5-LDH, (c) C10-LDH, and (d) C20-LDH.



**Figure S7.** (a) XANES spectra dataset at the Cu K-edge for the thermal decomposition of C20-LDH in air; (b) XANES spectra obtained from the MCR-ALS analysis; and (c) FT of the EXAFS spectra for all the MO samples, in R space, compared to the crystalline CuO reference.



**Figure S8.** First derivative of the CuO composition, as a function of temperature, calculated from the MCR-ALS analysis (Fig. 4 in the main text), together with the DSC analysis, obtained during thermal decomposition of the LDH samples in air.



**Figure S9.** EXAFS fittings in R and k spaces of the spectra recorded for (a) the CuO reference and the thermally decomposed LDH samples (b) C5-MO, (c) C10-MO, and (d) C20-MO, measured at 40 °C.

**Table S1.** Structural parameters of copper oxides calculated from the EXAFS fittings for the CuO reference and the MO samples ( $S_0^2 = 0.72$ ).

Sample	Ν	R (nm)	$\sigma^2 (10^{-2} \text{ nm}^2)$	Rf
CuO	4 O	0.197	0.00416	
	2 O	0.282	0.00416	
	4 Cu	0.294	0.00612	0.0039
	4 Cu	0.312	0.00612	
	2 Cu	0.326	0.00612	
	2 Cu	0.342	0.00612	
C5-MO	$4.0\pm0.2$ O	0.197	0.00558	
	$2.4\pm0.8$ O	0.300	0.01610	0.0086
	$4.2\pm2.0$ Cu	0.344	0.02563	
С10-МО	$4.0 \pm 0.1$ O	0.196	0.00586	
	$2.2\pm0.8$ O	0.295	0.01663	0.0063
	$4.0 \pm 1.6$ Cu	0.343	0.02488	
С20-МО	$4.0 \pm 0.1$ O	0.196	0.00583	
	$2.3\pm0.7$ O	0.291	0.01623	0.0065
	$4.3 \pm 1.5$ Cu	0.341	0.02555	



**Figure S10.** (a) XANES spectra dataset at the Cu K-edge for C20-MO activation in a 5%H<sub>2</sub>/He atmosphere. (b) XANES spectra obtained from the MCR-ALS analysis.



**Figure S11.** EXAFS fittings in R and k spaces for (a) the Cu<sub>2</sub>O reference and (b) the nano-Cu<sub>2</sub>O species, obtained using the MCR-ALS method.

Sample	Ν	R (nm)	$\sigma^2 (10^{-2} \text{ nm}^2)$	Rf
Cu <sub>2</sub> O	2 O 12 Cu	0.185 0.303	0.00407 0.02343	0.02091
nano-Cu <sub>2</sub> O	$2.7 \pm 0.1$ O $2.9 \pm 0.8$ Cu	0.189 0.281	0.00646 0.02857	0.00759

**Table S2.** Structural parameters of copper oxides, calculated from the EXAFS fittings for the Cu<sub>2</sub>O reference and nano-Cu<sub>2</sub>O species, obtained using the MCR-ALS method ( $S_0^2 = 0.72$ ).



**Figure S12.** Concentration profiles of copper species determined by MCR-ALS analysis of the quick-EXAFS data recorded during TPR (5% H<sub>2</sub>/He) for the thermally decomposed LDH samples: (a) C5-MO, (b) C10-MO, and (c) C20-MO.

**Table S3.** Parameters determined using the JMA model for the speciation of  $Cu^0$  during isothermal activation of the thermally decomposed LDH samples in 5% H<sub>2</sub>/He.

Sample	n	Nucleation rate, $\Gamma$ (min <sup>-1</sup> )	$\mathbb{R}^2$
C5-MO	0.987	0.534	0.999
С10-МО	1.13	0.468	0.999
С20-МО	1.41	0.486	0.999



Figure S13. XRD patterns of the activated catalysts with different copper contents.



**Figure S14.** HAADF-STEM micrographs of the thermally decomposed LDH samples with different copper contents, activated in 5% H<sub>2</sub>/He: (a) C5-MO, (c) C10-MO, and (e) C20-MO. The corresponding particle size histograms for (b) C5, (d) C10, and (f) C20 were obtained by measuring the diameter of at least 160 particles for each sample.



**Figure S15.** Concentration profiles of Zn-based species during the EDR at different temperatures for (a) C5-MO, (b) C10-MO, and (c) C20-MO, obtained using MCR-ALS analysis of the Zn K-edge data.



**Figure S16.** Fourier transforms of the EXAFS spectra at (a) the Zn K-edge and (b) the Cu K-edge for samples IMP-REF and C20-MO at 450 °C.



**Figure S17.** Evolution of copper species composition during isothermal activation of the IMP-REF catalyst at 350 °C, obtained using MCR-ALS analysis of quick-XAS measurements. The continuous line represents the JMA model fit of nano-Cu<sup>0</sup> evolution.



**Figure S18.** XRD patterns of the IMP-REF catalyst, before and after 6 h of reaction at 300 and 350 °C. Peaks marked as \* and \*\* are related to the ZnO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> phases, respectively. The average crystallite size of  $Cu^0$  increased from 18.7 nm (before EDR) to 19.1 and 20.9 nm after EDR at 300 and 350 °C, respectively.