

Density Functional Study of Spin State in CpM(NO)X 2 (M = Mo, Cr; X = Cl, NH 2, CH 3): Spectrochemical and Nephelauxetic Effects in Organometallic Compounds 1

Peter Legzdins, W. Stephen Mcneil, Kevin Smith, Rinaldo Poli

► To cite this version:

Peter Legzdins, W. Stephen Mcneil, Kevin Smith, Rinaldo Poli. Density Functional Study of Spin State in CpM(NO)X 2 (M = Mo, Cr; X = Cl, NH 2 , CH 3): Spectrochemical and Nephelauxetic Effects in Organometallic Compounds 1. Organometallics, 1998, 17 (4), pp.615-622. 10.1021/om970973g . hal-03313674

HAL Id: hal-03313674 https://hal.science/hal-03313674

Submitted on 8 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Density Functional Study of Spin State in CpM(NO)X₂ (M = Mo, Cr; X = Cl, NH₂, CH₃): Spectrochemical and Nephelauxetic Effects in Organometallic Compounds¹

Peter Legzdins,* W. Stephen McNeil, and Kevin M. Smith

Department of Chemistry, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V6T 1Z1

Rinaldo Poli*

Laboratoire de Synthèse et d'Electrosyntèse Organométallique, Université de Bourgogne, Faculté de Sciences "Gabriel", 6 boulevard Gabriel, 21100 Dijon Cedex, France

Received November 6, 1997

The relationship between spin state and metal-ligand bonding interactions in CpM(NO)- X_2 species was investigated using density functional computational techniques. The geometries of CpM(NO)Cl₂ (M = Cr, Mo), CpCr(NO)(NH₂)X, and CpCr(NO)(CH₃)X (X = Cl, CH_3) were optimized at the DFT-B3LYP level for both the diamagnetic (S = 0) and paramagnetic (S = 1) electronic configurations. While the geometric parameters of the singlet compounds matched well with structures determined experimentally, the Cr-NO bond lengths in the triplet species exceeded the experimentally observed range by a significant margin, thereby indicating a propensity for nitrosyl-ligand dissociation from the high-spin complexes. The order of relative singlet vs triplet spin-state stability (expressed as ΔE_{s-t} (kcal/mol)) was determined to be $CpCr(NO)Cl_2$ (8.20) > $CpCr(NO)(CH_3)Cl$ (1.52) $\approx CpCr$ - $(NO)(NH_2)Cl (0.95) > CpCr(NO)(CH_3)_2 (-2.37) > CpCr(NO)(NH_2)CH_3 (-9.55) > CpMo(NO) Cl_2$ (-17.62). The amide π -donation increases the HOMO-LUMO energy splitting, thus favoring the diamagnetic configuration. The alkyl ligand reduces the electron-electron repulsion through orbital expansion, thereby lowering the relative energy of the singlet state. Extended Hückel molecular-orbital calculations were performed on the DFT-optimized structures to help rationalize the metal-ligand bonding interactions, and interelectron repulsions were quantified by evaluation of the Coulomb (\mathcal{J}) and exchange (K) integrals based on the B3LYP-optimized triplet spin-state geometries.

Introduction

Much of the distinctive character of transition-metal chemistry is derived from the variable energies, occupancies, and bonding interactions of the d orbitals. Evaluating the effect of ligands on these electronic properties and the resulting ground and excited spin states of a complex has long been a fascinating and challenging area of study. Over the course of decades, developments in various spectroscopic and theoretical techniques have determined both the types of compounds which may be examined in this manner and the nature of the questions which may be investigated. Despite these changes, the two most important fundamental properties that determine the relative spin-state stabilities in transition-metal species have always been the frontier-orbital splitting energy and the interelectron-repulsion energy. Because these underlying principles remain constant, the intuitive concepts originally developed to describe and explain how ligands influence these parameters may be successfully applied to more sophisticated problems of current interest.

Early work in this area was conducted on pseudooctahedral Werner-type coordination compounds. Magnetic susceptibility measurements allowed the ground spin state of these species to be determined, and UV/ visible spectroscopy provided information about excited electronic configurations. The predominantly ionic bonding present in these compounds encourages the formation of mid-valent compounds with accessible high-spin configurations. The orbitals are essentially metal-centered, allowing electronic transitions to be readily assigned, as well as rendering the complexes amenable to theoretical treatment using relatively simple crystal field or ligand field descriptions. The systematic investigation of a large number of compounds demonstrated the effects of ligands on the splitting energy between the t_{2g} and e_g orbitals, Δ_0 , and the interelectron-repulsion energy, represented by the Racah parameters, B and C^2 . Common ligands were ranked in the spectrochemical and nephelauxetic series, which reflected their empirically determined effects on Δ_0 and on the Racah parameters, respectively.

⁽¹⁾ π -Bonding and Reactivity in Transition Metal Nitrosyl Complexes. 5. Part 4: Reference 33c.

⁽²⁾ Shriver, D. F.; Atkins, P.; Langford, C. H. *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2nd ed.; W. H. Freeman: New York, 1994; pp 581–595.

In contrast, application of the concepts of orbitalsplitting and spin-pairing energies to organometallic transition-metal complexes has generally been neglected. This is in part due to the covalent bonding and π -acceptor ligands typical of organometallic compounds, which tend to enforce a low-valent, diamagnetic configuration. The increased ligand character of the frontier orbitals hampers the assignment of the electronic transitions of the UV/vis spectra and limits the applicability of simple ligand field theory. Thus, magnetic susceptibility and UV/vis were supplanted by IR and NMR spectroscopy as the primary analytical tools for organometallic complexes, with subsequent investigations proceeding down avenues of inquiry suitable to the latter techniques.³

Recent improvements in X-ray crystallography and theoretical methods have contributed to the current reevaluation of the relevance of spin state to organometallic chemistry. The dramatic increase in wellcharacterized high-spin organometallic species⁴ can be attributed in part to the increased application of X-ray crystallography as a characterization technique⁵ and the attendant shift away from the diamagnetic bias inherent in NMR spectroscopy. Open-shell compounds have demonstrated their utility in olefin polymerization⁶ and dinitrogen cleavage⁷ reactions. While accurate evaluation of energetically similar electronic states has long been a formidable challenge, advances in *ab initio*⁸ and hybrid density functional⁹ computational techniques have helped theoretical chemists address these neardegeneracy problems.¹⁰ With the application of theoretical methods to the examination of unsaturated organometallic species too transient or unstable to be observed experimentally,¹¹ it is becoming increasingly apparent that the relative energies of the spin states of these intermediates constitute a critical but previously unappreciated factor in several important reactions.¹² For example, calculations indicate that a low-lying

(8) (a) Koga, N.; Morokuma, K. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 823. (b) Frenking, G.; Pidun, U. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1997, 1653. (9) Ziegler, T. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 651.

(12) (a) Abugidieri, F.; Keogh, D. W.; Poli, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 2317. (b) Abugidieri, F.; Fettinger, J. C.; Keogh, D. W.; Poli, R. Organometallics **1996**, *15*, 4407. (c) Keogh, D. W.; Poli, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, *119*, 2516. triplet excited state assists the intermolecular C-H bond activation reactions exhibited by CpM(CO) (M = Rh, Ir) and related complexes, yet because CpCo(CO) possesses a triplet *ground* spin state, it neither binds nor activates alkanes.¹³

Recent investigations of CpM(NO)X₂ (Cp = η^5 -C₅H₅; M = Cr, Mo; X = halide, alkyl, amide) species suggest that spin state plays a critical role in the stability of transition-metal nitrosyl complexes. CpMo(NO)X₂ compounds are derived from treatment of CpMo(NO)(CO)₂ with $I_2^{14,15}$ or PCl_5^{16} and subsequent metathesis reactions of the dihalide species.¹⁷ The 16e diamagnetic CpMo(NO)(alkyl)₂ species are of particular interest due to their unusual bonding,^{18a} synthesis,^{18b} thermolytic decomposition,^{18c} and subsequent derivatization.^{18d} In contrast, no CpCr(NO)X₂ compounds are isolable from analogous halogenation reactions of CpCr(NO)(CO)₂.¹⁹ Chemical or electrochemical oxidation of [NEt₄][CpCr-(NO)Cl₂] instead results in the isolation of products consistent with the loss of NO from the initially formed neutral CpCr(NO)Cl₂ intermediate.²⁰ Complexes such $CpCr(NO)(NPh_2)I^{21}$ and $CpCr(NO)(N^{2}Pr_2)(CH_2$ as SiMe₃),²² however, have been demonstrated to be stable.

We have previously proposed that these observations are best accounted for by spin-state considerations. Unlike the stable, diamagnetic CpMo(NO)X₂ species, CpCr(NO)Cl₂ might adopt a high-spin, triplet configuration. If this configuration involves either the population of M–NO π -antibonding orbitals or the removal of electrons from M–NO π -bonding orbitals, the resultant weakening of the metal-nitrosyl bond may render the complex prone to NO dissociation.²⁰ The strong Cr-N(amide) π -bonding interaction observed for diamagnetic CpCr(NO)(NR₂)X species may prevent this mode of decomposition by stabilizing the singlet state with respect to the triplet.²²

This paper describes the results of a density functional study undertaken in order to address the following four questions. (1) What is the source of the discontinuity in CpM(NO)X₂ chemistry between Mo and Cr? (2) Does $CpCr(NO)Cl_2$ have an accessible high-spin state? (3) Does adopting an S = 1 configuration labilize the nitrosyl ligand? (4) How does varying the X ligands affect the relative energies of the singlet and triplet spin

(22) Kuzelka, J.; Legzdins, P.; Rettig, S. J.; Smith, K. M. Organo-metallics **1997**, *16*, 3569.

⁽³⁾ For example, the availability of NMR and IR spectroscopy permitted the investigation of fluxional organometallic species. For a retrospective account of this research, see: Cotton, F. A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1997, 256, 177 and references contained therein.

⁽⁴⁾ Poli, R. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 2135.
(5) Jolly, P. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 1996, 29, 544.

^{(6) (}a) Thomas, B. J.; Theopold, K. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 5902. (b) Theopold, K. H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1990**, *23*, 263. (c) Thomas, B. J.; Noh, S.-K.; Schulte, G. K.; Sendlinger, S. C.; Theopold, K. H. *J.* Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 893. (d) Bhandari, G.; Kim, Y.; McFarland, J. M.; Rheingold, A. L.; Theopold, K. H. Organometallics 1995, 14, 738. (e) Bhandari, G.; Rheingold, A. L.; Theopold, K. H. Chem. Eur. J. 1995, *1*, 199. (f) Liang, Y.; Yap, G. P. A.; Rheingold, A. L.; Theopold, K. H. Organometallics 1996, 15, 5284. (g) White, P. A.; Calabrese, J.; Theopold, K. H. Organometallics 1996, 15, 5473. (h) Jensen, V. R.; Børve, K. J. Organometallics 1997, 16, 2514.

^{(7) (}a) Laplaza, C. E.; Cummins, C. C. *Science* **1995**, *268*, 861. (b) Cui, Q.; Musaev, D. G.; Svensson, M.; Sieber, S.; Morokuma, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, *117*, 12366. (c) Laplaza, C. E.; Johnson, M. J. A.; Peters, J. C.; Odom, A. L.; Kim, E.; Cummins, C. C.; George, G. N.; Pickering, I. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 8623. (d) Neyman, K. M.; Nasluzov, V. A.; Hahn, J.; Landis, C. R.; Rösch, N. Organometallics 1997, 16, 995.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Siegbahn, P. E. M. Adv. Chem. Phys. 1996, 93, 333.

^{(11) (}a) Benson, M. T.; Cundari, T. R.; Moody, E. W. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, *504*, 1. (b) Detrich, J. L.; Konecný, R.; Vetter, W. M.; Doren, D.; Rheingold, A. L.; Theopold, K. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1703.

^{(13) (}a) Su, M.-D. Inorg. Chem. 1995, 34, 3829. (b) Siegbahn, P. E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 1487. (c) Su, M.-D.; Chu, S.-Y. Organometallics **1997**, 16, 1621. (d) Su, M.-D.; Chu, S.-Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 5373.

⁽¹⁴⁾ King, R. B. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 6, 30.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Seddon, D.; Kita, W. G.; Bray, J.; McCleverty, J. A. Inorg. Synth. 1976, 16, 24.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Dryden, N. H.; Legzdins, P.; Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B. Organometallics 1991, 10, 2077.

^{(17) (}a) McCleverty, J. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1983, 12, 331. (b) Alegre, B.; de Jesús, E.; Vázquez de Miguel, A.; Royo, P.; Lanfredi, A. M. M.; Tiripicchio, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1988**, 819. (c) Legzdins,

<sup>P.; Veltheer, J. E. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 41.
(18) (a) Legzdins, P.; Rettig, S. J.; Sánchez, L.; Bursten, B. E.;
Gatter, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1411. (b) Herring, F. G.;</sup> Legzdins, P.; Richter-Addo, G. B. *Organometallics* **1989**, *8*, 1485. (c) Legzdins, P.; Rettig, S. J.; Veltheer, J. E.; Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B. Organometallics **1993**, *12*, 3575. (d) Legzdins, P.; Veltheer, J. E.; Young, M. A.; Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B. Organometallics 1995, 14, 407.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Legzdins, P.; Nurse, C. R. Inorg. Chem. 1985, 24, 327.

⁽²⁰⁾ Legzdins, P.; McNeil, W. S.; Rettig, S. J.; Smith, K. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 3513.

⁽²¹⁾ Sim, G. A.; Woodhouse, D. I.; Knox, G. R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1979, 83.

states? The geometries of six model compounds of the formula $CpM(NO)X_2$ (M = Cr, Mo; X = Cl, NH₂, CH₃) were optimized at the B3LYP level in both singlet and triplet electronic configurations, and the overall energies of the two spin states were compared. The gradientcorrected density functional (B3LYP) computational approach has been used in dynamic studies of important transition-metal-mediated reactions such as intermolecular alkane C-H bond activation,13bc,23 dinitrogen bond cleavage,^{7b} and olefin polymerization^{6h,24} and has generally provided accurate geometries and energies.²⁴ Recent comparative studies have shown the B3LYP method to be remarkably effective in dealing with spinstate problems²⁵ and first-row transition metals,^{10,23b} including first-row CpM(NO)-containing species.²⁶ We are therefore confident that the computational approach chosen here is providing us with meaningful results, especially in terms of trends. The calculations indicate that the ligand effects on the orbital-splitting and spinpairing energies are critically important and that the familiar concepts developed to treat these parameters for classic coordination compounds translate remarkably smoothly to open-shell organometallic species. The theoretical determination of the combinations of alkyl, halide, and amide ligands which stabilize diamagnetic CpCr(NO)X₂ species will thus guide our future synthetic strategies.

Computational Details

All the calculations were performed using GAUSSIAN 9427 on the DEC/Alphastation 250 at the University of Maryland in College Park and on the SGI Power Challenge at the Université de Bourgogne. The LanL2DZ set was employed to perform complete geometry optimization with a Density Functional Theory (DFT) approach. The three-parameter form of the Becke, Lee, Yang, and Parr functional (B3LYP)²⁸ was employed. The LanL2DZ basis set includes both Dunning and Hay's D95 sets for H and C²⁹ and the relativistic electron core potential (ECP) sets of Hay and Wadt for the heavy atoms.³⁰ Electrons outside the core were all those of H, C, N, and O atoms, the 3s and 3p electrons in Cl, and the ns, np, nd, and (n + 1)s electrons in Cr (n = 3) and Mo (n = 4).

A C_s symmetry arrangement was imposed for the CpM(NO)- Cl_2 and $CpCr(NO)(CH_3)_2$ systems. For these systems, only the

(25) (a) Cacelli, I.; Keogh, D. W.; Poli, R.; Rizzo, A. New J. Chem. 1997, 21, 133. (b) Cacelli, I.; Keogh, D. W.; Poli, R.; Rizzo, A. J. Phys. Chem. A. 1997, 101, 9801

(26) (a) Niu, S.-Q.; Hall, M. B. J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101, 1360.
(b) Niu, S.-Q.; Hall, M. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 3077.

(27) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B. G.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Keith, T. A.; Petersson, G. A.; Montgomery, J. A.; Raghavachari, J. R.; Rethi, T. A.; Feer Soh, G. A.; Montgomery, J. A.; Raghavachari, K.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Ortiz, J. V.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Peng, C. Y.; Ayala, P. Y.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Replogle, E. S.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Binkley, J. S.; Defrees, D. J.; Baker, J.; Stewart, J. P.; Head-Gordon, M.; Gonzales, C.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 94 (Revision A1); Gaussian Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 1996.
 (28) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648.

 (20) Betree, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1935, 36, 5048.
 (29) Dunning, T. H., Jr.; Hay, P. J. In Modern Theoretical Chemistry, Schaefer, H. F., III, Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1976; p 1.
 (30) (a) Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 270. (c) Wadt, W. R.;
 Hay, P. J.; Wadt, W. R. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 270. (c) Wadt, W. R.; Hay, P. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 82, 284.

Cp configuration with the unique C-H group opposite to the NO ligand was considered. A recent theoretical study of $CpMCl_2(PH_3)$ (M = Cr, Mo) has shown that the relative configuration of the Cp ligand does not significantly affect the total energy of the system.²⁵ No constraints were imposed for the C_1 -symmetric systems. The starting geometries were constructed by adapting bond distances and angles from available X-ray structures. For singlet CpCr(NO)(NH₂)Cl, the optimization was carried out by starting from two different geometries, i.e., with the CrNH₂ moiety either coplanar or orthogonal with the N-Cr-NO plane; both converged to the same energy and geometry.

The mean value of the spin of the first-order electronic wave function, which is not an exact eigenstate of S^2 for unrestricted calculations on open-shell systems, was considered suitable for the unambiguous identification of the spin state. Spin contamination was carefully monitored, and the energies shown in the Results and Discussion correspond to unrestricted B3LYP (UB3LYP) calculations. The value of $\langle S^2 \rangle$ for the UB3LYP calculations on the spin triplet system at convergence was 2.0189 for CpMo(NO)Cl₂, 2.775 for CpCr(NO)-Cl₂, 2.8242 for CpCr(NO)(NH₂)Cl, 2.6597 for CpCr(NO)(NH₂)-(CH₃), 2.6723 for CpCr(NO)(CH₃)Cl, and 2.6840 for CpCr(NO)-(CH₃)₂, indicating a slight spin contamination (greater for Cr than for Mo) which derives from mixing of states with higher spin.

The visualization of the orbital interactions and the orbital energy diagrams was assisted by extended Hückel molecularorbital calculations, which were performed on the B3LYPoptimized geometries using the commercially available HyperChem for Windows Release 3 and ChemPlus extensions for Hyperchem.^{20,31} An unweighted Hückel constant of 1.75 was used.

A monoelectronic HF analysis of the CpM(NO)X₂ complexes was performed according to the method developed by Simpson, Hall, and Guest.³² The calculation of the relevant Coulomb (J) and exchange (K) integrals was based on the results of the B3LYP calculations of the triplet states. Excess spin natural orbitals (φ_1^{α} and φ_2^{α} with energies ϵ_1 and ϵ_2) were extracted by diagonalizing $S^{1/2}P^{\alpha-\beta}S^{1/2}$, where S is the overlap matrix and $P^{\alpha-\beta}$ is the excess spin density matrix, as detailed in a previous contribution.²⁵ The values of the Coulomb integrals J_{11} and J_{12} and the exchange integral K_{12} were obtained from these orbitals.

Results and Discussion

The model compounds $CpM(NO)Cl_2$ (M = Cr, Mo), $CpCr(NO)(NH_2)X$, and $CpCr(NO)(CH_3)X$ (X = Cl, CH₃) were each geometry-optimized in both S = 0 and S = 1electronic configurations. The geometry parameters are collected in Tables 1-3. By comparison of the overall energies, the relative stability of singlet vs triplet states was determined. All the optimized geometries were then examined at the extended Hückel molecular-orbital level of theory, and the Coulomb (J) and exchange (K) integrals were evaluated according to the method of Hall et al.,³² as described in Computational Details.

Comparison of $CpM(NO)Cl_2$ (M = Cr, Mo). As shown in Figure 1, singlet CpMo(NO)Cl₂ is calculated to be considerably lower in energy than the triplet state. This is in agreement with experimental observations, since no triplet CpMo(NO)X₂ complexes are known,^{17,18} in contrast to high-spin Mo(II) complexes such as Cp*Mo(PMe₃)₂Cl.¹² Although high-spin CpCr(NO)X₂

^{(23) (}a) Siegbahn, P. E. M.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 4442. (b) Siegbahn, P. E. M.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997. 119. 3103.

^{(24) (}a) Musaev, D. J.; Froese, R. D. J.; Svensson, M.; Morokuma, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 367. (b) Musaev, D. G.; Svensson, M.; Morokuma, K.; Strömberg, S.; Zetterberg, K.; Siegbahn, P. E. M. Organometallics **1997**, *16*, 1933 and references contained therein.

⁽³¹⁾ Legzdins, P.; McNeil, W. S.; Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1995**, 117, 10521.

⁽³²⁾ Simpson, C. Q., II; Hall, M. B.; Guest, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 2898.

Table 1. DFT-B3LYP-Optimized Geometries and Energies for CpM(NO)Cl₂ (M = Mo, Cr)

structural	CpMo(NO)Cl ₂		CpCr(NO)Cl ₂	
param ^a	S = 0	S = 1	S = 0	S = 1
CNT-M	2.123	2.101	1.957	1.969
M-C(av)	2.449	2.432	2.305	2.318
M-Cl	2.395	2.405	2.272	2.296
M-NO	1.777	1.830	1.655	1.860
N-O	1.220	1.225	1.199	1.193
CNT-M-Cl	112.70	119.93	114.96	121.38
CNT-M-NO	123.10	112.11	126.42	119.22
Cl-M-Cl	114.95	94.62	108.36	98.14
Cl-M-NO	95.90	103.74	94.34	95.32
M-N-O	173.39	169.77	177.18	177.50
E (hartrees)	-420.9587	-420.9306	-439.6831	-439.6961

^{*a*} CNT = Cp ring centroid.

Table 2. DFT-B3LYP-Optimized Geometries and Energies for CpCr(NO)(NH₂)X (X = Cl, CH₃)

structural	CpCr(NO)(NH ₂)Cl ^b		CpCr(NO)(NH ₂)(CH ₃)		
param ^a	S = 0	S = 1	S = 0	S = 1	
CNT-Cr	1.978	1.978	1.984	2.024	
Cr-C(av)	2.324	2.391	2.329	2.364	
Cr-X	2.330	2.329	2.071	2.057	
Cr-NO	1.648	1.811	1.641	1.773	
Cr-NH ₂	1.796	1.927	1.803	1.924	
N-O	1.210	1.210	1.224	1.216	
CNT-Cr-X	113.01	119.53	111.66	117.60	
CNT-Cr-NO	126.26	126.57	127.61	127.37	
CNT-Cr-NH ₂	118.36	125.69	121.26	125.65	
X-Cr-NO	93.43	99.77	89.86	96.69	
X-Cr-NH ₂	104.22	92.81	100.70	91.87	
ON-Cr-NH ₂	97.09	82.86	98.85	88.65	
Cr-N-O	175.94	174.80	175.85	175.97	
$\Sigma CrNH_2$	360.00	358.55	359.93	358.50	
H–N–Cr–N	11.39, -169.36	-74.37, 91.00	7.52, -169.11	-76.66, 88.35	
E (hartrees)	-480.6751	-480.6766	-505.5853	-505.5701	
CNT - Cr.	المتعسمين سيباد	$b\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{c}\mathbf{l}$	$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{c}\mathbf{u}$		

aCNT = Cp ring centroid. b X = Cl. c X = CH₃.

Table 3. DFT-B3LYP-Optimized Geometries and Energies for CpCr(NO)(CH₃)X (X = Cl, CH₃)

structural	CpCr(NO)(CH ₃)Cl ^b		CpCr(NO)(CH ₃) ₂ ^c		
param ^a	S = 0	S = 1	S = 0	S=1	
CNT-Cr	1.959	2.004	1.983	2.026	
Cr-C(av)	2.307	2.347	2.328	2.365	
Cr-CH ₃	2.057	2.049	2.029	2.073	
Cr-Cl	2.254	2.298			
Cr-NO	1.647	1.825	1.640	1.792	
N-O	1.212	1.201	1.223	1.215	
CNT-Cr-NO	126.04	121.95	127.77	137.38	
CNT-Cr-CH ₃	110.78	117.89	114.40	117.36	
CNT-Cr-Cl	120.06	124.82			
X-Cr-CH ₃	105.07	94.44	110.92	95.69	
ON-Cr-Cl	100.41	94.12			
ON-Cr-CH ₃	88.85	96.78	93.09	90.61	
Cr-N-O	175.30	174.45	175.99	176.91	
E (hartrees)	-464.5993	-464.6017	-489.4986	-489.4948	

^{*a*} CNT = Cp ring centroid. ^{*b*} X = Cl. ^{*c*} X = CH₃.

complexes have never been observed directly, we recently proposed that the triplet spin state should at least be accessible for CpCr(NO)Cl₂.²⁰ Gratifyingly, the calculations afford a triplet ground state 8.20 kcal/mol lower in energy than the diamagnetic singlet state. The metal dependence of the ground spin state for CpM-



Figure 1. Relative energies and DFT-B3LYP-optimized geometries of ${}^{1}A'$ and ${}^{3}A'$ CpM(NO)Cl₂ (M = Mo, Cr).

 $(NO)Cl_2$ species is consistent with established periodic trends, since first-row transition-metal complexes are much more likely to adopt an open-shell configuration than their second- or third-row congeners.⁴

The M–NO bond length is the only structural parameter which changes dramatically between the highand low-spin configurations in both CpM(NO)Cl₂ complexes. For Mo, this difference is relatively subtle, with the Mo-NO bond being 0.053 Å longer in the triplet state than in the singlet. In the Cr complex, however, the change is more dramatic, from 1.655 Å in the singlet to 1.860 Å in the triplet. The significance of this lengthening is underscored by the constancy of experimentally determined Cr-NO bond lengths, which fall in the narrow range of 1.65-1.69 Å for CpCr mononitrosyl complexes with a variety of ancillary ligands, overall charges, and formal oxidation states.^{20–22,31,33} We interpret this 0.2 Å difference as signaling a critical weakening of the Cr–NO bond in the triplet configuration, consistent with the nitrosyl lability we proposed for high-spin CpCr(NO)Cl₂.²⁰

Comparison of CpCr(NO)(NH₂)X (X = Cl, CH₃). The instability of CpCr(NO)Cl₂ with respect to NO loss effectively precludes its use as a precursor to other CpCr(NO)X₂ species by metathesis routes analogous to those used for the congeneric Mo compounds.^{17,18} CpCr-(NO)(NPh₂)I has previously been synthesized in unreported yield from the reaction of CpCr(NO)₂Cl and LiNPh₂ in the presence of MeI.²¹ CpCr-(NO)(N'Pr₂)(η^{1} -O₂CPh) and CpCr(NO)(N'Pr₂)(CH₂SiMe₃) were recently synthesized from Cr(NO)(N'Pr₂)₃.²² The solid-state molecular structures of all three CpCr(NO)(NR₂)X complexes display short Cr–N(amide) distances of 1.83– 1.89 Å and planar Cr–NR₂ groups aligned with the Cr– NO axis, thereby suggesting a strong Cr–N(amide) π -interaction. Consequently, we examined the model

^{(33) (}a) Chin, T. T.; Legzdins, P.; Trotter, J.; Yee, V. C. Organometallics **1992**, *11*, 913. (b) Legzdins, P.; Shaw, M. J.; Batchelor, R. J.; Einstein, F. W. B. Organometallics **1995**, *14*, 4721. (c) Legzdins, P.; Rettig, S. J.; Smith, K. M.; Tong, V.; Young, V. G., Jr. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1997**, 3269.



Figure 2. Relative energies and DFT-B3LYP-optimized geometries of ¹A and ³A CpCr(NO)(NH₂)X (X = Cl, CH₃).

CpCr(NO)(NH₂)Cl to gauge the role of the Cr-N(amide) π -bond in conferring a low-spin configuration on these CpCr(NO)(NR₂)X species.

The optimized geometry of singlet CpCr(NO)(NH₂)-Cl, shown in Figure 2, conforms with the known molecular structures of CpCr(NO)(NR₂)X compounds. The amide ligand is planar, with the sum of the angles around N being 360°. The Cr-NH₂ bond length of 1.796 Å is slightly shorter than those determined experimentally, perhaps due to steric interaction between the Cp ring and the bulky NR₂ (R = Ph or *P*r) ligands of the amide complexes. The alignment of the amide along the Cr-NO axis indicated by the H-N-Cr-N torsion angles is consistent with the experimental results.^{21,22}

The optimized geometry for triplet CpCr(NO)(NH₂)-Cl is remarkably different from that of the singlet state. As in triplet CpCr(NO)Cl₂, the Cr–NO distance has extended beyond the range observed experimentally. The Cr–amide distance has also lengthened and while the Cr–NH₂ group remains essentially planar, the ligand has adopted a conformation roughly perpendicular to the Cr–NO axis.

Comparison of the total energies of singlet and triplet CpCr(NO)(NH₂)Cl shows that the two configurations are nearly degenerate. Although the replacement of Cl⁻ in $CpCr(NO)Cl_2$ with NH_2^- has increased the relative stability of the singlet vs the triplet spin state, the calculation still favors the triplet state by 0.95 kcal/mol. The known CpCr(NO)(N^{*i*}Pr₂)X complexes (X = η^1 -O₂-CPh, CH₂SiMe₃), on the other hand, are diamagnetic. Both compounds possess planar ON-Cr-NC₂ moieties in the solid state (X-ray crystallography), and the presence of four inequivalent amide CH₃ groups in the ¹H NMR spectra (room temperature, C₆D₆) suggests that this orientation is retained in solution. While S =1 complexes of the formula CpCr(NR₂)L₂ are known,³⁴ the long Cr-NO bond (1.811 Å) in triplet CpCr(NO)-(NH₂)Cl suggests that adopting a high-spin configura-

(34) Fryzuk, M. D.; Leznoff, D. B.; Rettig, S. J. Organometallics 1995, 14, 5193. tion would render $CpCr(NO)(NR_2)X$ species prone to decomposition via NO loss.

The discrepancy between experimental observations and theoretical results could be due to the differences between the actual compounds and the simplified complex we have chosen as a model. For instance, the presence of electron-donating alkyl substituents makes N/Pr_2^- a better π -donor than the NH_2^- ligand used in the model compound. Increased π -donation could enhance the stability of singlet CpCr(NO)(N/Pr_2)X complexes to a greater extent than the calculations indicate. In addition, the filled p orbitals of the Cl⁻ ligand may interfere with the Cr $-NH_2$ π -bonding interaction.^{35,36}

To more closely approximate the known CpCr(NO)(N^{*i*}-Pr₂)(CH₂SiMe₃) complex, the model compound CpCr-(NO)(NH₂)(CH₃) was also examined. The geometry optimization for the methyl derivative, in either spin state, was slower relative to the chloride systems due to a shallow energy surface along the Cr–C bond rotation parameter but eventually converged to final geometries that closely match those determined for CpCr(NO)(NH₂)Cl (Table 1). The calculated singlet geometry closely corresponds to the experimentally determined geometry for CpCr(NO)(NH₂)(CH₃) was calculated to be 9.55 kcal/mol *more stable* than the triplet state, as indicated in Figure 2.

The difference in the relative singlet stability of over 10 kcal/mol between the two CpCr(NO)(NH₂)X model complexes required further investigation. Indeed, formal metathesis of the Cl⁻ ligand in CpCr(NO)(NH₂)Cl with a CH₃⁻ group unexpectedly resulted in a significantly greater relative change than the initial replacement of NH₂⁻ for Cl⁻ in CpCr(NO)Cl₂ (7.25 kcal/mol). Calculations were thus conducted on methyl-containing compounds in order to identify the source of the enhanced singlet stability.

Comparison of CpCr(NO)(CH₃)X (X = Cl, CH₃). As shown in Figure 3, triplet CpCr(NO)(CH₃)Cl is calculated to be 1.52 kcal/mol lower in energy than the singlet state. While this constitutes an improvement in relative singlet stability of more than 6 kcal/mol over the CpCr(NO)Cl₂ species, the change in energies is larger between CpCr(NO)Cl₂ and CpCr(NO)(NH₂)Cl or between CpCr(NO)(NH₂)Cl and CpCr(NO)(NH₂)CH₃. Formal substitution of a second methyl group leads to an even smaller relative difference. Singlet CpCr(NO)-(CH₃)₂ is the ground spin state, but it is calculated to be only 2.37 kcal/mol more stable than the triplet state.

In summary, the diamagnetic S = 0 state is favored by the X ligands in the order $NH_2 > CH_3 > Cl$. The presence of a chloride ligand attenuates the stability conferred by amide ligation but does not hamper the effects imparted by an alkyl group. Addition of a second alkyl group causes only a minor improvement in the relative energy of the S = 0 state, while combining amide and alkyl ligands appears to have an additive stabilizing effect.

 π -Donation and Orbital-Splitting Energy. To identify the metal-ligand bonding interactions respon-

⁽³⁵⁾ Similar paramagnetic complexes containing weak, dual-faced π -donor ligands have been observed for Cp*Mo(PMe₃)₂Cl¹² and CpMo-(PMe₃)₂(OH).³⁶

⁽³⁶⁾ Fettinger, J. C.; Kraatz, H.-B.; Poli, R.; Quadrelli, E. A. Chem. Commun. 1997, 889.



Figure 3. Relative energies and DFT-B3LYP-optimized geometries of ¹A and ³A CpCr(NO)(CH₃)Cl and ¹A' and ³A'' $CpCr(NO)(CH_3)_2$.



Figure 4. Pictorial representation of the NO π -bonding interactions in $CpM(NO)X_2$ (M = Mo, Cr).

sible for the differences in singlet vs triplet relative energies, extended Hückel molecular orbital calculations were performed on the B3LYP-optimized geometries of the CpM(NO)X₂ species. We have previously employed these low-level calculations to correlate experimentally determined reactivity and ligand π -bonding properties in related CpCr(NO)(ligand)₂ complexes.^{20,31} By simplifying the bonding character of the frontier orbitals, the EHMO results provided valuable, qualitative insights into the source of the lengthened M-NO bonds calculated for the triplet CpM(NO)X₂ species and the ability of the amide ligand to stabilize the singlet state.

The spin-state dependence of the M-NO bond length can be explained by comparing the bonding character and occupancies of the valence orbitals of the generic CpM(NO)X₂ species. For the low-spin CpM(NO)X₂ complexes, the two highest occupied orbitals are both doubly occupied, mutually orthogonal, and strongly π -bonding to the nitrosyl ligand. All four of the valence electrons are therefore involved in the π -interaction that keeps the nitrosyl ligand bound to the metal. The LUMO is metal-centered, nonbonding, and roughly perpendicular to the M-NO axis. These orbitals are illustrated schematically in Figure 4. In the triplet $CpM(NO)X_2$ species, the metal-centered, nonbonding orbital is now singly occupied and the two M-NO π -bonding orbitals contain only *three* electrons. This reduction of M-NO bond order in the triplet state accounts for the relative lengthening and weakening of the M–NO bond. Understanding the underlying factors which trigger release of NO from transition metals is



Figure 5. Pictorial representation of the NO and NH₂ π -bonding interactions in singlet CpCr(NO)(NH₂)X (X = Cl, CH₃).

of potential significance to both the biological role of nitric oxide³⁷ and the rational design of transition-metal nitrosyl compounds as pharmaceutical agents.^{33c,38}

Figure 5 illustrates why the ON-Cr-NH₂ group must be planar for a full Cr-N(amide) π -bond to form in CpCr(NO)(NR₂)X species. As in the case of singlet CpM-(NO)X₂, two filled orbitals are engaged in π -bonding to the nitrosyl ligand. The orbital perpendicular to the Cr–NO axis is empty and can accept π -donation from the filled amide N p orbital only when the amide ligand is coplanar with the Cr-nitrosyl bond.²⁰⁻²² The π -antibonding orbital shown in Figure 5 forms the LUMO of singlet CpCr(NO)(NH₂)X. The Cr-NH₂ π -bonding interaction is expected to raise the energy of the empty orbital perpendicular to the Cr-NO axis in CpCr(NO)-(NH₂)X relative to the analogous orbital in CpCr(NO)-Cl₂, leading to a comparatively larger HOMO-LUMO gap and an increased preference for the low-spin, singlet electronic configuration.

The planar arrangement of amide and nitrosyl ligands in CpCr(NO)(NH₂)X species is reminiscent of the ligand orientations observed in related d^4 and d^2 complexes which contain both π -donor and π -acceptor ligands.^{39–42} The ability of π -donor ligands to stabilize unsaturated species has previously been noted for specific CpM(NO)- X_2 species^{20,22,43} and has been reviewed for organome-tallic complexes in general.⁴⁴ The present results demonstrate that ligand π -bonding effects can also affect the relative energies of the spin states available to organometallic species through their influence on the orbital-splitting energy. This phenomenon is directly analogous to the ligand effects previously observed in the magnetic properties and UV/visible spectra of Werner-type coordination compounds, as empirically ranked in the spectrochemical series.²

The relevant π -bonding orbitals for triplet CpCr(NO)-(NH₂)Cl are illustrated schematically in Figure 6. The

(42) Gibson, V. C. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1994, 1607.
(43) (a) Ashby, M. T.; Enemark, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 730. (b) Hubbard, J. L.; McVicar, W. K. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 910. (44) Caulton, K. G. New J. Chem. 1994, 18, 25.

⁽³⁷⁾ Culotta, E.; Koshland, D. E. Science 1992, 258, 1862.

 ^{(38) (}a) Clarke, M. J.; Gaul, J. B. Struct. Bonding (Berlin) 1993, 81, 147. (b) Legzdins, P.; Pang, C. C. Y.; Shaw, M. J. U.S. Patent 5 631 284, 1997

^{(39) (}a) Schilling, B. E. R.; Hoffmann, R.; Lichtenberger, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 585. (b) Schilling, B. E. R.; Hoffmann, R.; Faller, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 592. (c) Kubácek, P.; Hoffmann, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4320.

 ^{(40) (}a) Templeton, J. L.; Winston, P. B.; Ward, B. C. J. Am. Chem.
 Soc. 1981, 103, 7713. (b) Brower, D. C.; Templeton, J. L.; Mingos, D.
 M. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5203. (c) Templeton, J. L.;
 Caldarelli, J. L.; Feng, S. G.; Philipp, C. G.; Wells, M. B.; Wooodworth, B. E.; White, P. S. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1994**, *478*, 103. (41) (a) Su, F.-M.; Bryan, J. C.; Jang, S.; Mayer, J. M. Polyhedron

^{1989, 8, 1261. (}b) Atagi, L. M.; Mayer, J. M. Organometallics 1994, 13, 4794.



Figure 6. Pictorial representation of the NO and NH₂ π -bonding interactions in triplet CpCr(NO)(NH₂)X (X = Cl, CH₃).



Figure 7. Pictorial representation of the NO and Cl π -bonding interactions in CpM(NO)Cl₂ (M = Mo, Cr).

highest energy doubly occupied orbital is orthogonal to the amide and is Cr–NO π -bonding in character. The highest energy singly occupied orbital is orthogonal to the nitrosyl, is metal-centered, and is nonbonding. Between these two is a singly occupied orbital which is π -bonding to the NO and π -antibonding to the NH₂. The source of the lengthening of both the Cr-NH₂ and Cr-NO bonds in triplet CpCr(NO)(NH₂)Cl can be traced to the lower energy singly occupied orbital. Since this orbital is Cr-NH₂ antibonding, the Cr-amide bond order is decreased. Like triplet CpM(NO)X₂, there are only three electrons in the $Cr-NO \pi$ -bonding orbitals, which again leads to a weaker bond to the nitrosyl ligand. Note that the HOMO is metal-centered and nonbonding and that no purely metal-ligand antibonding orbitals are occupied.

While chloride ligands are weaker π -donors than amide groups, the inability of Cl⁻ to stabilize the singlet spin state in $CpCr(NO)Cl_2$ may be attributed to the nature of the Cr–Cl π -bonds rather than their insufficient strength. A Cl⁻ ligand is a double-faced π -donor and therefore establishes π -interactions with two metal orbitals (d_{xy} and d_{xz} in Figure 7). The result is that it is equally efficient in transferring π -electron density to the metal in both spin states (one net Cr-Cl π -interaction + one filled-filled π -repulsion in the singlet state vs two two-center-three-electron interactions in the triplet state).

M-X Bond Covalency and Spin-Pairing Energy. While the ligand π -bonding effects revealed by EHMO calculations help rationalize the calculated singlet vs triplet relative energies of complexes containing amide and chloride ligands, the alkyl ligand effects are not amenable to such an analysis. The discrepancy between CpCr(NO)Cl₂ (triplet state more stable by 8.20 kcal/ mol) and CpCr(NO)Me₂ (singlet state favored by 2.37 kcal/mol) in particular is difficult to reconcile with the known ability of chloride ligands to stabilize unsaturated compounds through π -donation.⁴⁴ The same counterintuitive trend was encountered for $TiX_2(dmpe)_2$, where the X = Cl species is paramagnetic⁴⁵ and the isostructural X = CH₃ compound is diamagnetic.⁴⁶ Hall and co-workers demonstrated that this surprising result was due to differences in electron-electron repulsions in the two complexes.³² The more electronegative Cl⁻ ligands increase the effective charge on the metal atom, leading to relatively contracted frontier orbitals and strong repulsions between paired electrons, resulting in a ground triplet state. The more covalent CH_3^{-} ligands cause the orbitals to be more diffuse, decreasing electron pairing energy and favoring the singlet state.

A quantitative analysis of the interelectron-repulsion energy in the $CpM(NO)X_2$ complexes was performed using the method developed by Hall et al.³² The two relevant frontier orbitals $\varphi_1{}^{\alpha}$ and $\varphi_2{}^{\alpha}$ were extracted from the B3LYP calculations of the triplet state. The extremely long triplet M-NO bond lengths and the structural differences between the singlet and triplet optimized geometries preclude the accurate quantification of the singlet-triplet splitting from the values of $\Delta \epsilon$ (the orbital-splitting energy) and the Coulomb (J) and exchange (K) integrals, but the general trends exhibited by these integrals should yield important information regarding how the interelectron repulsion energy is influenced by the ligands. These J and K integrals are the "spin-pairing" relationships that the Racah parameters were originally formulated to approximate.^{2,47} The values obtained for J_{11} , J_{12} , and K_{12} are collected in Table 4.

The overall "pairing energy" can be roughly described by the relation $J_{11} - J_{12} + K_{12}$, which is the difference between the Coulombic repulsions experienced by two electrons paired in the lower energy orbital φ_1^{α} (J₁₁) compared to two electrons each in separate orbitals φ_1^{α} and φ_2^{α} (J₁₂), plus the exchange energy (K₁₂). As expected, the value of $J_{11} - J_{12} + K_{12}$ is much smaller for CpMo(NO)Cl₂ than for CpCr(NO)Cl₂, due to the larger orbitals of the second-row transition metal Mo compared to the first-row Cr. This accounts for the dramatic stabilization of the singlet ground state relative to that calculated for the chromium species and so for the observed diamagnetic configuration of the Mo compound. The considerable decrease in $J_{11} - J_{12} + J_{12}$ K_{12} along the series CpCr(NO)Cl₂ > CpCr(NO)(CH₃)Cl > $CpCr(NO)(CH_3)_2$ is consistent with the increasing covalency of the Cr-X bonds, leading to a decrease in pairing energy and subsequent relative stabilization of the singlet state. Hence, these results demonstrate that pairing energies mirror the intuitive picture of electron "cloud expansion" (i.e. the nephelauxetic effect), initially developed to explain the same phenomenon in Wernertype coordination compounds.⁴⁷ Somewhat expectedly, the J and K calculations yield inconsistent results for the amide complexes. The observed changes of conformation and electronic structure (e.g. $Cr-NH_2 \pi$ -bonding), in addition to the variation in Cr–NO bond length, render the approximation of the singlet configuration by changing the occupation of the triplet orbitals completely unacceptable.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Girolami, G. S.; Wilkinson, G.; Galas, A. M. R.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Hursthouse, M. B. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1985, 1339.
 (46) (a) Jensen, J. A.; Wilson, S. R.; Schultz, A. J.; Girolami, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 8094. (b) Morris, R. J.; Girolami, G. S.

Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 4167.

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Jørgensen, C. K. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1963, 4, 73.

	Cpm(no)	Cpm(NO) x I (M - M0, x - I - CI, M - CI, x, I - CI, CII3, NII2)				
	Mo/Cl ₂	Cr/Cl ₂	Cr/Cl/NH ₂	Cr/CH ₃ /NH ₂	Cr/Cl/CH ₃	Cr/(CH ₃) ₂
	268.80	1240.46	1251.60	1050.78	976.17	531.65
J_{12}	194.24	1134.98	805.95	797.88	966.79	697.64
K_{12}	20.16	67.36	41.70	97.95	65.35	27.07
$J_{11} - J_{12} + K_{12}$	94.72	172.84	487.35	350.85	74.73	-138.92

Table 4. Monoelectronic Analysis of the Interelectron Repulsion Energies for 16-Electron CpM(NO)XY (M = Mo, X = Y = Cl, M = Cr, X, Y = Cl, CH₃, NH₂)^{*a*}

^{*a*} All energies are expressed in kcal/mol.

Conclusions

The geometries and relative energies of CpM(NO)X₂ model compounds (M = Cr, Mo; X = Cl, NH₂, CH₃) have been calculated for both singlet (low spin, S = 0) and triplet (high spin, S = 1) electronic configurations using density functional techniques. The stability of compounds not yet directly observed (e.g. CpCr(NO)Cl₂) or even generated (e.g. CpCr(NO)(CH₃)₂) may thus be evaluated theoretically. The salient points resulting from this computational study are as follows:

(1) The discontinuity in $CpM(NO)X_2$ chemistry between Mo and Cr may be due to spin-state differences attributable to the increased interelectron repulsion present in the Cr species.

(2) $CpCr(NO)Cl_2$ is calculated to possess a triplet ground state.

(3) Adopting a high-spin, S = 1 configuration significantly lengthens the metal-nitrosyl bond in CpCr(NO)- X_2 species due to promotion of an electron from a Cr-NO π -bonding orbital. The concomitant weakening of the Cr-NO bond provides a rationale for the nitrosylloss reactivity postulated for high-spin CpCr(NO)Cl₂.

(4) Formal replacement of Cl^- with an amide ligand stabilizes the singlet state because of the enhanced Cr-

NR₂ π -bonding relative to the triplet state. To a first approximation, Cr–Cl π -bonding is equivalent in both spin states.

(5) Formal alkyl-for-chloride metathesis stabilizes the diamagnetic configuration because of a decrease in pairing energy.

(6) The singlet-stabilizing bonding effects of the alkyl and amide ligands are complementary.

(7) Despite its electronic unsaturation (absence of π -donating ligands), CpCr(NO)(CH₃)₂ is calculated to have a ground singlet spin state. Attempts to synthesize diamagnetic CpCr(NO)(alkyl)₂ complexes are currently in progress.

Acknowledgment. P.L. and W.S.M. are grateful to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for support of this work in the form of grants (P.L.) and a postgraduate scholarship (W.S.M.). R.P. thanks the National Science Foundation (Grant No. CHE-9508521) for support and Prof. Cary Miller for use of the computer at the University of Maryland. We are also grateful to Drs. Ivo Cacelli and Antonio Rizzo for assistance with the J/K analyses and for helpful discussion.