

Drivers of tree community assembly during tropical forest post-fire succession in anthropogenic savannas

Grégoire Blanchard, Thomas Ibanez, François Munoz, David Bruy, Christelle Hély, Jérôme Munzinger, Philippe Birnbaum

► To cite this version:

Grégoire Blanchard, Thomas Ibanez, François Munoz, David Bruy, Christelle Hély, et al.. Drivers of tree community assembly during tropical forest post-fire succession in anthropogenic savannas. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics, 2021, 52, pp.125630. 10.1016/j.ppees.2021.125630. hal-03312889

HAL Id: hal-03312889 https://hal.science/hal-03312889v1

Submitted on 22 Aug2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1433831921000421 Manuscript 02c204f5956063bdeb6493446fc8aae9

- 1 Drivers of tree community assembly during tropical forest post-fire succession in
- 2 anthropogenic savannas
- 3
- 4 Grégoire Blanchard^{1,2,3}, Thomas Ibanez¹, François Munoz⁴, David Bruy^{1,3}, Christelle Hely^{5,6},
- 5 Jérôme Munzinger¹ and Philippe Birnbaum^{1,3,7}
- ⁶ ¹AMAP, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, CNRS, INRAE, IRD, Montpellier, France
- 7 ²Institut Agronomique neo-Caledonien (IAC), Equipe Sol & Vegetation (SolVeg), Nouméa, New
- 8 Caledonia
- 9 ³AMAP, IRD, Herbier de Nouvelle Calédonie, Nouméa, New Caledonia
- 10 ⁴University Grenoble-Alpes, Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire de Physique (LIPhy), Saint-Martin-
- 11 d'Hères, France
- ⁵ISEM, Université Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France
- 13 ⁶EPHE, PSL University, Paris, France
- ¹⁴ ⁷CIRAD, UMR AMAP, F 34398, Montpellier, France
- 15
- 16 Corresponding author: Grégoire Blanchard.
- 17 E-mail address: gregoire.blanchard@ird.fr
- 18 Postal address: Centre IRD de Nouméa, B.P. A5, 98848 Nouméa, Nouvelle-Calédonie
- 19

21 Abstract

In the context of global change, tropical forests are increasingly affected by fires. Understanding the
 ecological processes driving forest recovery in fire-modified landscapes is a critical issue.

We analyzed spatial and temporal (8 years) changes in functional and phylogenetic composition of tree communities during forest post-fire recovery in anthropogenic savannas. We used null models to infer the main assembly processes driving forest succession along three 90-m transects running from an advancing savanna-forest edge to forest interior in New Caledonia. We also evaluated if successional changes differed between large and small trees, or depend on the demography of remnant savanna trees.

30 We found coordinated shifts from drought and fire resistance towards shade-tolerance strategies, 31 involving leaf, stem, and architectural traits along transects. Our results indicate stronger 32 environmental filtering and faster temporal changes in composition of young edge communities. In 33 forest interior, our results suggest slower compositional changes, with an important role of light 34 limitation in community assembly. These non-random patterns depended on both the decline of 35 savanna trees and compositional changes among forest species. We also found contrasting community patterns depending on tree size, supporting a stronger influence of environmental 36 37 filtering on small trees.

Our work emphasized the dominance of deterministic assembly processes driving tropical forest post-fire succession. Our study suggests that fire and drought drive environmental filtering during early succession at the forest edge, entailing constraints on multiple functional dimensions. As succession progresses, light-limitation became a stronger driver of community assembly, and community composition became more stable in time. Our study provides insights for a better understanding of the processes guiding tropical forest succession in the particular context of postfire forest recovery. 45 Keywords: Tropical forest; Succession; Functional traits; Fire; Savanna; Assembly processes

46 1. Introduction

47 Tropical forest recovery maintains biodiversity and ecosystem services in human-modified landscapes (Arroyo-Rodríguez et al. 2017; Boukili and Chazdon 2017; Chai et al. 2016), and 48 49 studying ecological successions allows to better understand, predict, and assist forest recovery 50 (Buzzard et al., 2015, Cheesman et al., 2018). Specific successional pathways are often poorly 51 known and vary with environmental context (Arroyo-Rodríguez et al., 2017; Boukili and Chazdon, 52 2017; Lohbeck et al., 2013). Ongoing climate change and deforestation increase the influence of 53 droughts and wildfires on tropical forests (Allen et al., 2010; Brando et al., 2014). Understanding 54 how these factors affect the processes driving tropical tree assembly during forest recovery remains 55 challenging (Boukili and Chazdon, 2017; Buzzard et al., 2015).

56 The assembly of tropical tree community depends on a range of ecological mechanisms spanning 57 from deterministic, niche-based processes to neutral dynamics (Hubbell, 2001; Kraft et al., 2015). 58 Niche theories predict that community assembly rely on species niche differences regarding biotic (i.e., species interactions) and abiotic (i.e., environmental filtering) constraints, while neutral theory 59 60 assumes that community assembly depends only on stochastic immigration-drift dynamics (Adler et 61 al., 2007). If environmental filtering drives community assembly, species establishment and survival should depend on how their ecological strategies match with local environmental 62 63 conditions, and species occupying similar environments are expected to have similar, convergent strategies (Boukili and Chazdon, 2017, Kraft et al., 2015). Biotic interactions such as competition 64 may entail either limiting similarity, i.e., divergence in species strategies (Abrams, 1983), or 65 66 competitive dominance, i.e., the selection of species with similar competitive strategies and the exclusion of other species (Mayfield and Levine, 2010). Alternatively, without the influence of 67 68 deterministic drivers, tree community assembly would rely on the sole influence of stochastic 69 processes as predicted by the neutral theory, so that the composition of communities should be 70 random with respect to species strategies (Swenson et al., 2012).

71 The successional pathway of tropical forest generally begins with the establishment of fast-growing, light-demanding pioneer species with acquisitive strategies (Chazdon, 2008; Lohbeck et al., 2013; 72 73 Poorter et al., 2008; Swenson et al., 2012). Nonetheless, the influence of strong abiotic constraints 74 (e.g., dryer conditions) at the beginning of the succession can entail environmental filtering, 75 favoring species with conservative and resistance abilities (Buzzard et al., 2015; Fang et al., 2019; 76 Letcher et al., 2012; Lohbeck et al., 2013). As succession progresses, the number and size of 77 individuals increase and canopy closes, which causes an increase in the influence of the light 78 limitation on species recruitment (Buzzard et al., 2015; Chazdon, 2008; Pacala et al. 1996). As more 79 and more species establish during succession, biotic interactions are expected to play an increasing 80 role in community assembly, entailing either limiting similarity (Letcher et al., 2012; Lohbeck et al. 81 2014) or competitive dominance (Buzzard et al., 2015). If species strategies are sufficiently 82 conserved across phylogenies (i.e., phylogenetically related species tend to have more similar 83 strategies than less related species), niche-based assembly processes should likewise entail patterns of convergence or divergence in phylogenetic composition of communities (Cavender-Bares et al. 84 85 2009; Mayfield and Levine, 2010, Swenson et al., 2012, 2013; Webb et al., 2002). Hence, 86 investigating spatial and temporal changes in community phylogenetic structure can provide 87 additional information about deterministic processes driving community assembly (Mouquet et al. 88 2012, Swenson et al., 2012, 2013). Nonetheless, environmental filtering and competition can act together in shaping community composition, which can blur their respective signatures (Bernard-89 90 Verdier et al., 2012; Götzenberger et al., 2016). Processes driving forest succession can also act 91 differently depending on tree size. Notably, some studies suggested that small trees may undergo 92 stronger environmental filtering than older and larger canopy trees (Baldeck et al. 2013; Fang et al., 93 2019; Lasky et al., 2015). In addition, rapid shifts in constraining factors during forest succession 94 should entail rapid changes in community composition, while compositional changes should be 95 slower in late-successionnal communities where the environment is relatively constant (Swenson et 96 al., 2012).

97 Forest-savanna landscapes are characterized by highly contrasting environments with sharp spatial transitions (Charles-Dominique et al., 2018; Gignoux et al., 2016; Ibanez et al., 2013a). In 98 99 anthropogenic savannas, frequent anthropogenic fires maintains the coexistence of a flammable 100 grass layer with an open-canopy tree layer (Hoffmann et al., 2009; Staver et al., 2011). In such 101 environments, the establishment and survival of forest trees is strongly limited by fire (Cardoso et al., 2016, Hoffmann et al., 2009, 2012a, 2012b), while light is generally not a limiting factor 102 103 (Charles-Dominique et al., 2018; Geiger et al., 2011). However, prolonged fire-free periods (i.e., 10-15 years) allow forest saplings to establish in savanna (Geiger et al., 2011; Hoffmann et al., 104 105 2012a). The influence of drought, which is amplified by low tree cover, can also limit the 106 establishment of forest species in savanna (Hoffmann et al., 2012b; Ibanez et al., 2013a; Rossato et 107 al., 2013). In addition, soil nutrient availability is generally lower in savannas than in forest areas 108 (Silva et al., 2013). On the other hand, in forest interior, closed canopy provides wetter conditions 109 that prevent fire progression and light availability becomes more limiting for species recruitment 110 (Hoffmann et al., 2012b; Ibanez et al., 2013a). The boundary between these alternative ecosystem 111 states is generally constituted by a distinct forest edge (Geiger et al., 2011, Ibanez et al., 2013a). 112 Such environmental contrasts entail striking differences in strategies between savanna and forest 113 species (Charles-Dominique et al., 2018; Hoffmann et al., 2012a; Maracahipes et al., 2018; Ratnam 114 et al., 2011). Notably, the recruitment of light-demanding savanna species is limited by shading under a closed canopy, which can drive a progressive decline of remnant populations of savanna 115 116 trees in regenerating forests (Charles-Dominique et al., 2018; Geiger et al., 2011). However, few studies have explicitly addressed how the environmental context associated with anthropogenic 117 118 savannas influences the pathway of tropical forest succession according to species strategies 119 (Cardoso et al., 2021).

Ecological strategies can be characterized based on species functional traits (Violle et al., 2007). Bark has a major functional role in protecting stem against external damage from fire, as well as various factors as drought and herbivory (Pausas, 2015; Rosell, 2016). Notably, thicker bark is 123 associated with higher survival rate in fire-prone areas (Charles-Dominique et al., 2017; Hoffmann et al., 2012a; Silva and Batalha, 2010). The leaf economic spectrum is a key functional dimension 124 125 opposing acquisitive, fast-growing strategies based on low-cost, thin leaves with high 126 photosynthetic rates, to conservative strategies with thick and long-lived leaves, more adapted to 127 environments with low water and nutrient availability (Baraloto et al., 2010; Moles, 2018; Wright et 128 al., 2004). In fire-prone landscapes, thicker and denser leaves also reduce leaf flammability (Pausas 129 et al. 2017). Leaf area determines species transpiration surface and is negatively related with 130 drought resistance (Wright et al., 2017). Furthermore, higher leaf area increases species capacity to 131 intercept light, conferring greater competitive ability in light-limiting conditions (Díaz et al., 2016; 132 Moles, 2018; Pierce et al., 2013). Another key functional trait of trees is wood density. Light wood is associated with high hydraulic efficiency, fast growth, but high mortality rate, while dense wood 133 134 is associated with high drought resistance and mechanical support, slow growth, and low mortality rate (Chave et al., 2009). Finally, plant architecture determines light capture strategy, through 135 horizontal and/or vertical space exploration, and should be related to competitive ability and shade 136 137 tolerance (Ford, 2014; Küppers, 1989; Poorter et al., 2006). Specifically, vertical growth allows species to rapidly reach the canopy and have greater access to light, while lateral growth optimizes 138 139 light capture under low light availability by both avoiding self-shading and increasing shading for 140 adjacent competitors (Charles-Dominique et al., 2018; Gignoux et al., 2016; Millet et al., 1999). In 141 a savanna context, trees have more space and light is less limiting, so that savanna species are 142 generally smaller and invest less in vertical exploration than forest species (Charles-Dominique et 143 al., 2018; Gignoux et al., 2016). In savannas, investing in vertical growth allows trees to quickly 144 produce leaves and buds higher than flame height, and thus escape from fire injuries (Gignoux et 145 al., 2016). Yet, although the influence of species architecture on their ability to compete has been suggested since three decades (Givnish, 1988; Küppers, 1989), little is known about its role 146 regarding community-level assembly processes (Charles-Dominique et al., 2018; Ford, 2014; 147 148 Subedi et al., 2019; Verbeeck et al., 2019).

149 In this study, we investigated the patterns of spatial (i.e., edge to interior) and temporal (i.e., 8 years 150 interval) variations in the functional and phylogenetic composition of tree communities along a 151 savanna-to-forest transition in New Caledonia. We focused on key functional traits representing 152 drought and fire resistance, resource-use and light capture strategies to characterize the successional 153 pathways leading to forest expansion in savanna. In light of these patterns, we inferred the 154 processes that are likely to drive forest recovery and succession in anthropogenic savannas. Our 155 general hypothesis was that the prevailing drivers of community assembly shift from environmental 156 filtering related to fire and drought at the forest edge, where post-fire succession starts, to increasing light limitation in forest interior communities. Using a null model approach, we addressed several 157 158 expectations in line with this hypothesis. We expected spatial trait patterns to reflect a shift from 159 fire- and drought-resistance, as well as light-demanding strategies in young edge communities, to 160 shade-tolerance strategies in forest interior. If constraints generated by environmental filtering are 161 relaxed during succession, we should observe an increase in functional and phylogenetic diversity from the edge to forest interior. Then, if succession progressed during the studied time interval, we 162 163 expected temporal change in community mean trait values to reflect a successional pathway similar 164 to the one revealed by spatial patterns. Finally, we expected temporal change in taxonomic, 165 functional and phylogenetic composition to be faster in younger edge communities than in forest 166 interior, where recruitment limitation should be stronger and environmental conditions should be 167 more stable. Because different tree size classes may undergo different constraints during succession, 168 we evaluated if the observed spatial and temporal changes in community composition differed between small and large trees. As areas of recent forest recolonization included remnant savanna 169 170 trees (Melaleuca quinquenervia, Myrtaceae), we also assessed whether the signature of changing 171 assembly processes relied on the traits and demography of savanna species or if they reflect 172 congruent compositional shifts among forest species.

173

174 **2. Material and Methods**

176 The study site is located at 450m a.s.l. on the western side of the Aoupinié mountain reserve (21° 11 0 S, 165° 15 0 E), in the central mountain chain of New Caledonia's main Island (southwest pacific, 177 20-23° S, 164-167° E, 0-1628m a.s.l.). The site receives a mean annual rainfall of 2500 mm and 178 mean annual temperature is approximately 20C° (Météo France Nouvelle-Calédonie, 2012). 179 180 Seasonality is characterized by a short dry season (3-4 months) with increased fire frequency, which 181 can be accentuated by the El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomenon (Curt et al., 2015). The vegetation of the studied area consists in a mosaic of forest and savanna maintained by frequent 182 183 anthropogenic fires (Ibanez et al., 2013b, 2013c), and is representative of low to middle elevation vegetation found on volcano-sedimentary soils in New Caledonia (Jaffré et al., 1998). 184

185 The tree layer of New Caledonian savanna is almost exclusively dominated by the savanna-186 specialist Melaleuca quinquenervia (Myrtaceae, native of New Caledonia and the east coast of 187 Australia and Papua New Guinea), while the flammable grass layer is dominated by a mixture of 188 exotic species (Ibanez et al., 2013c; Jaffré et al., 1994). We revisited and surveyed three transects (120-m long, 20-m wide, and spaced 50m apart) set up by Ibanez et al. (2013b) in 2010. The 189 190 transects were disposed perpendicularly to the savanna-forest edge, and set 30m from the edge in 191 the savanna to 90m from the edge in the forest (see Ibanez et al., 2013b for detailed protocol). The 192 forest area extending within the first 40m from the edge results from recent forest recovery on 193 savanna. This is supported both by the analysis of a time series of aerial photographs showing forest 194 margin expansion on savanna (Ibanez et al., 2013c), and by the presence of remnant Melaleuca 195 quinquenervia in the forest canopy as a legacy of the former savanna (Ibanez, et al., 2013b).

In 2010, along each transects, all trees with a diameter at breast height (DBH) \geq 5cm were identified at the species level, tagged, and mapped (see Ibanez et al., 2013b), resulting in a total of 1435 individuals recorded in the forest part of the three transects (478 on average). In 2018, each transect was re-surveyed following the exact same protocol. During this second census, 118 trees that were previously recorded in 2010 were dead, and 126 new trees (DBH \geq 5cm) were recorded in 201 the transects. Between 2010 and 2018, a total of 112 tree species were identified (palms, ferns and 202 lianas were not considered in the analyses). It is important to note that we observed fire marks 203 indicating savanna fire occurrence near the forest edge between 2010 and 2018. In order to analyze 204 variation of community composition along transects, we subdivided the forest part of each transect 205 (i.e., from the edge to 90m towards the forest core) into nine $200m^2$ plots ($10m \times 20m$), resulting in 206 a total of 27 forest subsamples (Fig. 1), with an average an standard deviation of 21 ± 5 species and 207 53±10 individuals per subsamples (data from 2010). This subdivision in 27 subsamples provided a 208 good compromise between sample size and spatial resolution. These 27 subsamples distributed 209 along transects were considered as distinct tree communities in further analyses. We expected 210 spatial and temporal compositional changes within and among these communities to reflect the 211 influence of processes driving forest succession.

212

213 2.2. Groups of edge and forest interior communities

214 In order to test if different local and temporal diversity patterns occurred in communities located near the edge and in communities of forest interior, we performed a preliminary analysis to 215 216 differentiate groups of communities (S1). We computed a floristic dissimilarity matrix using the 217 Bray-Curtis index based on species abundances in communities (see Ibanez et al., 2013c). As the 218 successional status was known to vary across communities depending on the distance from the edge 219 (Ibanez et al., 2013c), we then applied a hierarchical clustering on the dissimilarity matrix with the 220 Ward method to discriminate groups between younger edge communities and forest interior 221 communities (S1). We tested the significance of groups by performing a similarity profile test (SIMPROF, Clarke et al. 2008) with 1000 permutations (abundances of each species in 222 223 communities are permuted independently and similarity profiles are recalculated, R package clustsig, Whitaker and Christman, 2014). As floristic composition varies among transects (mean 224 225 Bray-Curtis dissimilarity is 0.48, see Ibanez et al., 2013c for more details), this method was applied separately within each transect. This yielded identical clustering patterns among transect with 226

respect to distance from edge: the four communities located between 0-40m from edge were classified as edge communities and the five communities located between 40-90m from edge were classified as forest interior communities (S1). Note that, in transect 2, two sub-groups of communities were significantly different within forest interior communities, but were not considered as different in further analyses.

232

233 2.3. Spatial and temporal turnover in species composition

234 To contextualize our functional and phylogenetic analyses, we used Bray-Curtis dissimilarities to assessed the spatial and temporal changes in species presence/absence and abundance. We 235 computed Bray-Curtis dissimilarities (function *vegdist* from *vegan* R package, Oksanen et al. 2020) 236 between pairs of communities located in each transect to evaluate the spatial taxonomic turnover. In 237 238 order to estimate the temporal turnover in taxonomic composition, we also computed Bray-Curtis dissimilarities by comparing the compositions of each community between 2010 and 2018. To 239 240 evaluate if the changes in taxonomic composition relied on species turnover or only on changes in species abundances, spatial and temporal dissimilarities were computed either by considering 241 species abundance (i.e., abundance-based dissimilarities) or presence-absence (i.e., species-based 242 243 dissimilarities). Melaleuca quinquenervia was excluded from this analysis in order to focus only on 244 the turnover occurring among forest species. We then used linear models to test the relationships between spatial Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and the log-transformed distance between pairs of 245 communities of each transect, and to test the relationships between temporal Bray-Curtis 246 dissimilarity within communities and log-transformed distance from forest edge. We also used 247 248 Wilcoxon signed rank tests to evaluate if temporal dissimilarity significantly differed between edge 249 communities (i.e., communities located from the edge to 40m inside the forest) and forest interior 250 communities (i.e., communities located further than 40m from the edge).

252 2.4. Species traits

We measured six functional traits involved in drought resistance, fire resistance, light capture, and resource-use strategies. Detailed functions associated with each traits are listed in Table 1. Traits were collected and measured during the 2018 survey, following the standardized protocols recommended by Pérez-Harguindeguy et al. (2013). We aggregated trait values at the species level as our study focused on species successional turnover.

258 We measured three leaf traits on five leaves per individual and five individuals per species. We collected healthy and sun-exposed leaves (or leaves most exposed to direct sunlight for understory 259 260 species). For each species, leaves were collected from adult trees located at different distance from the edge, in order to account as much as possible for potential intraspecific variation. For compound 261 leaves, we considered a leaflet as the laminar unit. Petioles and petiolules were removed from 262 263 leaves before measurement. We measured Leaf Area (LA, the area of a leaf in cm²), Specific Leaf Area (SLA, the leaf area per dry mass in $\text{cm}^2 \times \text{g}^{-1}$), and Leaf Dry-Matter Content (LDMC the leaf 264 dry mass per fresh mass in mg \times g⁻¹). LA values were log-transformed to correct for non-normality 265 266 prior to analysis. We also collected two stem traits at breast height (i.e., 1.3 m above ground): Wood Density (WD) by collecting one wood sample (5.15mm diameter core) per individual on five 267 268 individuals per species, and relative Bark Thickness (i.e., relative to stem radius, BT) by 269 systematically measuring bark thickness at two opposite points on all individuals. Trait data were partially completed with data from the NC-PIPPN database (for 44 species for leaf traits, i.e., 50% 270 271 of the dataset, and for 66 species for WD, i.e., 75% of the dataset) in which traits were collected in 272 the same region and following the same standardized protocols (Blanchard et al., 2019, 2020; 273 Ibanez et al., 2017). Branch orientation was determined for each species in the field (following 274 methods described by Barthélémy and Caraglio, 2007). Species with horizontal branch growth and bilateral symmetry were denoted as "plagiotropic", and species with vertical branch growth and 275 276 radial symmetry were denoted as "orthotropic" (Barthelemy and Caraglio, 2007). For the few

species for which the orientation of branches vary through ontogeny, the modality of adult trees wasconsidered.

Complete trait data were finally available for 88 species representing 79% of all identified tree species (n = 112), and 95% of all recorded individuals (n = 1561), including trees characterized as dead or recruits during the 2018 survey (see S2). At the community level, trait data were complete for a least 79 % (92% on average) of the species and 83% (94% on average) of the individuals. We used this species subset in further analyses.

284

285 2.5. Community mean trait values

For each of the 27 communities and each trait, we computed the Community Weighted Mean 286 287 (CWM), i.e., the mean trait value of communities weighted by species abundances, at t0 (2010, see S3) and t8 (2018). CWM is a widely used trait-based statistic aiming to track changes in community 288 289 mean trait values, which is expected to reflect trait-environment relationships (Ackerly and 290 Cornwell, 2007; Bernard-Verdier et al., 2012). To analyze temporal variation of community mean 291 trait values, we computed Δ CWM, as the difference between CWM at t8 and CWM at t0. In order 292 to assess if spatial and temporal variation in mean trait values depended only on the distribution and 293 dynamics of the savanna species M. quinquenervia, CWM and Δ CWM were computed either with 294 and without this species in the dataset (see S3).

295

296 2.6. Functional and phylogenetic dendrograms

To quantify functional dissimilarity between species, we first computed a Gower's distance matrix of species trait values (traits were standardized by subtracting mean value and dividing by the mean absolute deviation), as recommended by Mouchet et al. (2008) for a mixture of quantitative and qualitative traits. Then, we built a dendrogram based on functional dissimilarities by applying a hierarchical clustering with the group average method on the distance matrix (see S4a), which
preserves most of the initial distances from the multidimensional trait space (Mouchet et al. 2008).

303 To quantify community phylogenetic diversity, we built a phylogenetic tree at the species level. We 304 used the molecular angiosperm family dated tree of Magallón et al. (2015) to assess all angiosperm familial relationships and divergence times. We pruned the phylogenetic tree to retain only families 305 306 that occurred in our dataset. We then added tips corresponding to all genus present in our dataset. As 307 nodes located between family and genus were undated, their ages were evenly interpolated between 308 family nodes and tips. Finally, we built a species tree by adding species to their corresponding 309 genus (see S4b). Polytomies were used within genus including several species (37.5% species were 310 in polytomies). Species divergence times were set as half the age of the corresponding genus with a 311 maximum of 37 Myrs (i.e. corresponding to the estimated date of final emergence of New Caledonia) based on the phylogenetic hypothesis that New Caledonia's flora mostly results from 312 313 post-emergence colonization events (Schlessman et al., 2014).

314

315 2.7. Phylogenetic signal

316 To assess if functional relatedness was related to phylogenetic distance between species, we 317 evaluated the phylogenetic signal in species traits (Mayfield and Levine, 2010; Münkemüller et al., 318 2012). If measured traits show low phylogenetic signal, community phylogenetic patterns may 319 reflect assembly processes acting on unmeasured traits (Swenson et al. 2012). We therefore 320 computed Pagel's λ (Pagel 1999), which is robust to polytomies (Molina-Venegas and Rodríguez, 321 2017), using the phytools R package (Revell, 2012). For Pagel's λ , a value of one indicates a 322 distribution of trait corresponding to a Brownian motion of evolution. Values higher than one indicate stronger phylogenetic signal than expected under a Brownian motion, while values close to 323 324 zero suggest phylogenetic independence (Münkemüller et al., 2012; Pagel, 1999). We tested phylogenetic signal in plagiotropy using the D-statistic of Fritz and Purvis (2010) for binary traits. 325

326 For the *D*-statistic, a value close to or higher than one indicates weak phylogenetic signal, while a 327 value close to or lower than zero indicates strong phylogenetic signal.

328

329 2.8. Functional and phylogenetic diversity

In order to evaluate changes in functional and phylogenetic diversity along transects, we computed functional and phylogenetic abundance-weighted mean pairwise distance between species (FMPD and PMPD, respectively) within each community. FMPD and PMPD represent the mean functional and phylogenetic distances between species occurring in a given community, as measured using functional and phylogenetic dendrograms, weighted by species abundances (Webb et al., 2002).

335

336 2.9. Functional and phylogenetic temporal changes

337 To evaluate the rate of temporal changes in community composition, we used the abundance-338 weighted mean pairwise functional and phylogenetic dissimilarity indexes (FD_{*pw*} and PD_{*pw*}, 339 respectively) proposed by Swenson et al. (2012):

$$D_{pw} = f_A \sum_{i=1}^{S_A} f_i \,\overline{\delta_{ib}} + f_B \sum_{j=1}^{S_B} f_j \,\overline{\delta_{ja}}$$

where S_A and S_B are the number of species in the community at time A and B, f_i and f_j are the relative abundances of species *i* in the community at time A and of species *j* in the community at time B, respectively. $\overline{\delta_{ib}}$ is the mean pairwise functional or phylogenetic distance between species i in the community at time A and all species in the community at time B, and $\overline{\delta_{ja}}$ is the mean pairwise functional or phylogenetic distance between species *j* in the community at time B and all species in the community at time A. f_A and f_B are the relative abundances of individuals in the community at time A and B, respectively. f_A is therefore defined as the number of individuals in the community at time A divided by the sum of the number of individuals in community at time A and the number ofindividuals in community at time B (Swenson et al., 2012).

349

350 2.10. Null model testing

351 We devised two null models to evaluate if spatial and temporal variation in community composition 352 diverged from defined null hypotheses of random community assembly (Fig. 2, Götzenberger et al., 2012; Bernard-Verdier et al., 2012). Each null model consisted in the permutation of species 353 354 attributes (i.e., functional traits and phylogenetic position) and were used to obtain null distributions of community statistics describing the functional and phylognetic composition of communities 355 (CWM, FMPD, PMPD) or temporal changes in this composition (Δ CWM, FD_{pw} and PD_{pw}). This 356 357 procedure allows testing if the observed spatial and temporal changes in community composition 358 are non-random with respect to species attributes (Swenson et al., 2012). Non-randomness should 359 indicate that niche-based processes are dominant in driving community assembly, while no 360 deviation from randomness would suggest that community assembly is predominantly driven by stochastic processes (Swenson et al., 2012). Both functional and phylogenetic information are used 361 362 at the species level, implying that any change in functional or phylogenetic composition reflect a change in species composition. For each null model, we performed 9999 randomizations and 363 species attributes were always permuted jointly, that is, keeping the same sets of trait value and 364 phylogenetic position. 365

The first null model (NM1) was used to test if spatial changes in community composition observed in 2010 along transects occurred randomly with respect to species attributes. In NM1, the attributes (traits and phylogenetic position) of species occurring in 2010 were jointly permuted between species at the transect level (Fig. 2). Such randomization has been recommended to test for the influence of environmental filtering and limiting similarity along environmental gradients (Götzenberger et al., 2012). NM1 was used to obtain null distributions of CWM, FMPD and PMPD. 372 Non-random spatial variations in CWM along the transects were expected to reflect spatial changes in environmental filtering and/or biotic interactions constraining species traits distribution in 373 374 communities. We expected that CWM would shift from trait values associated with fire and drought 375 resistance at the edge, to trait values related to shade tolerance in forest interior (Fig. 2). Lower FMPD or PMPD than expected (i.e., functional or phylogenetic clustering) were interpreted as a 376 377 convergence of species ecological strategies, which should occur under the dominant influence of 378 environmental filtering. Inversely, higher FMPD or PMPD than null model expectation should 379 indicate divergence in species strategies, as expected under the dominant influence of limiting 380 similarity. If environmental constraints are relaxed during the succession FMPD and PMPD should 381 increase from younger edge communities to forest interior.

382 The second null model (NM2) was used to test if temporal changes in species composition within 383 communities between 2010 and 2018 occurred randomly with respect to species attributes. In NM2, species attributes (traits and phylogenetic position) were jointly permuted among the list of species 384 385 occurring within each community in either 2010 or 2018 (Fig. 2). This broke the link between 386 species attributes and temporal changes in abundances within communities while maintaining 387 species identity and attributes between the two censuses at each permutation. We used NM2 to produce null distributions of ΔCWM , FD_{pw} and PD_{pw}. We interpreted non-random variation in 388 389 Δ CWM as temporal changes in the influence of environmental filtering and biotic interactions on 390 species traits within communities. If succession progressed during the studied time interval, ΔCWM 391 should shift towards less fire- and drought-resistant trait values in edge communities (Fig. 2). We 392 interpreted higher or lower FD_{pw} and PD_{pw} than expected by chance as respectively faster or slower 393 functional and phylogenetic temporal changes in communities (i.e., replacement of older trees by 394 functionally and phylogenetically more dissimilar, or more similar species, Swenson et al., 2012). Thus, we expected FD_{pw} and PD_{pw} to be higher in communities near the edge where changing 395 396 environmental constraints should entail rapid changes in species strategies (Fig. 2).

397 An effect size was used to evaluate the divergence of the observed values of each community398 statistics from their null distributions :

399

$$ES = \left(\left(\frac{number(I_{null} < I_{obs}) + \frac{number(I_{null} = I_{obs})}{2}}{10000} \right) - 0.5 \right) \times 2$$

400 where I_{obs} was a given observed community statistics and I_{null} was its null distribution computed 401 from 9999 values under a given null model (see Bernard-Verdier et al., 2012). ES is the probability that the observed value is lower than expected minus 0.5 and multiplied by 2, and thus varies 402 403 between -1 and 1. Therefore, negative or positive values of ES respectively indicate lower or higher 404 observed values of community statistics than expected under null models. Since non-random 405 distribution of species abundances across phylogeny can bias such null model testing for 406 phylogenetic statistics (Hardy, 2008), we have preliminary tested for phylogenetic signal in species 407 abundances (using the same method than for traits), which was not significant (Pagel's $\lambda = 0.31$, p-408 value = 0.69).

409

410 2.11. Statistical analyses

411 In order to assess if significant spatial and temporal changes in community composition occurred 412 along succession, we tested the relationships between ES values of each community statistics and 413 the log-transformed distance from forest edge (Fig. 2) using linear models (residuals of all models were normally distributed and homoscedastic). In addition, we used one-sample Wilcoxon signed 414 415 rank tests to evaluate if ES values of all edge communities (i.e., communities located from the edge 416 to 40m inside the forest) or all forest interior communities (i.e., communities located at more than 417 40m from the edge) significantly diverged from zero (i.e., diverged from random species 418 composition with respect to species attributes as expected in either NM1 or NM2). This approach was conducted separately for all trees, small trees ($5 \text{cm} \le \text{DBH} < 10 \text{cm}$, 45.7% of individuals) and large trees ($\text{DBH} \ge 10 \text{cm}$, 54.3% of individuals) in order to evaluate if spatial and temporal changes in community composition differ among tree size classes. Note that only 15 species, representing 6% of all individuals, were exclusively inventoried among small trees (see S2). To assess if variation in ES resulted solely from the presence and demography of remnant savanna trees (M. *quinquenervia*) in forest communities or reflect significant compositional shifts among forest species, we applied the procedure with or without M. *quinquenervia* in the dataset.

426

427 **3. Results**

428 3.1. Species traits and phylogenetic signal

429 We found substantial variation among species for all measured traits (see S2 and S5). M. 430 Quinquenervia, the savanna-specialist species, had lower LA, lower SLA, and higher BT than most forest species (i.e., LA < 92%, SLA < 98%, and BT > 98% of forest species, see S2 and S5). Two 431 432 thirds of forest species as well as *M. Quinquenervia*, had orthotropic branch orientation (see S2 and 433 S5). For LDMC, LA, WD and BT, phylogenetic signals were low to moderate (i.e., $0.29 \le \lambda \le 0.84$, Table 2). Phylogenetic signal of SLA was not significant ($\lambda = 0$, indicating phylogenetic 434 435 independence). Branch orientation was the only trait for which we found a strong signal (D < 0,436 Table 2).

437

438 3.2 Spatial and temporal taxonomic turnover

We found substantial species turnover either for spatial and temporal community composition along transects. Abundance-based and species-based spatial dissimilarities between communities ranged from 0.2 to 1 and both showed significant positive relationships with distance between pairs of communities along transects (Fig. 3). Temporal dissimilarity was higher at the edge when 443 considering species abundances, and significantly decreased toward forest interior either when444 considering species abundance or presence-absence (Fig. 3).

445

446 3.3. Spatial variation in community mean trait values

447 Overall, we found significant non-random patterns of community weighted-mean trait values for all 448 measured traits (data from 2010, Fig. 4). When considering all trees, effect sizes of leaf area and 449 SLA were lower than expected in edge communities, and increased with increasing distance from 450 the edge. For all trees and small trees, these relationships were still significant when excluding the 451 savanna species (M. quinquenervia), but not for large trees. For all size class, effect sizes of 452 plagiotropy were lower than expected in edge communities and increased with distance from the 453 edge, whether including *M. quinquenervia* or not (Fig. 4). On the contrary, for all size class, effect 454 sizes of bark thickness were higher than expected in edge communities, and decreased with distance 455 from edge. When excluding *M. quinquenervia*, this relationship was still significant only for small 456 trees. Effect sizes of wood density were higher than expected in forest interior communities for all trees, and significantly increased with distance to edge for large trees (Fig. 4). Effect sizes of 457 458 LDMC were lower than expected in forest interior communities for all trees, and higher than expected in edge communities for large trees. 459

460

461 3.4. Spatial patterns of functional and phylogenetic diversity

For each size class, effect sizes of functional diversity (FMPD) significantly increased with distance to edge with respect to NM1 (Fig. 5). In addition, edge communities showed higher functional clustering than expected under NM1. In contrast, effect sizes of phylogenetic diversity (PMPD) did not show significant variation with distance to edge. However, both edge and forest interior communities showed phylogenetic clustering with respect to NM1, except when considering only large trees. These trends were almost the same when excluding *M. quinquenervia*.

469 3.5. Temporal variation in community mean trait values

Effect sizes of temporal changes in community mean trait values between 2010 and 2018 (Δ CWM) showed generally consistent but weakly significant patterns compared to the spatial changes observed in 2010 (i.e., compositional changes towards less drought- and fire-resistant trait values, S6). However, temporal variation in mean trait values were only significant when we included *M*. *quinquenervia* in the analysis, indicating no significant temporal shifts in community mean traits among forest species between the two censuses.

476

477 3.6. Functional and phylogenetic temporal changes

478 Effect sizes of temporal dissimilarity in functional composition (FD_{pw}) within communities 479 significantly decreased from the edge to the interior for large trees (Fig. 5, right panel), even when 480 excluding *M. quinquenervia*, but this pattern was lost when considering all trees or small trees (Fig. 481 5, left and center panels). However, temporal change in functional composition was lower than 482 expected under NM2 for all trees in forest interior communities. For both all trees and large trees, 483 we observed a decrease in effect sizes of temporal phylogenetic dissimilarity (PD_{pw}) with increasing 484 distance to edge. When excluding *M. quinquenervia*, this relationship was still significant for all 485 trees. Finally, temporal phylogenetic dissimilarities were lower than expected under NM2 in forest 486 interior communities for all class sizes.

487

488 4. Discussion

489 We evaluated spatio-temporal changes in functional and phylogenetic composition of tree 490 communities with null models to infer the main processes driving tropical forest post-fire 491 succession in anthropogenic savannas. In agreement with our expectations, our results revealed 492 coordinated shifts in functional and phylogenetic compositions during the succession, suggesting a 493 primary role of environmental filtering related to fire and drought in young edge communities, 494 followed by an increasing influence of light limitation. Furthermore, despite the short time interval 495 studied (8 years), the observed temporal changes in community patterns were also partly consistent 496 with our expectations, showing faster compositional changes at the beginning of the succession than 497 in older communities of forest interior. Importantly, our study also suggests substantial contrasts in 498 assembly processes operating on small and large trees during the succession.

499

500 4.1. Fire and drought drive environmental filtering in edge communities

501 Most functional studies investigating savanna-forest transitions have focused on comparing species groups from these two biomes (Maracahipes et al., 2018; Ratman et al., 2011). Our work indicates 502 503 that forest communities located at the edge exhibit a particular set of traits associated with more drought- and fire-resistance strategies in comparison to forest interior communities, as recently 504 suggested by recent studies (Cardoso et al. 2021; Flake et al. 2021). On our study site, the presence 505 of the savanna specialist *M. quinquenervia* up to 40m inside the forest suggests a relatively low fire 506 frequency and intensity during the last decades, which has allowed recent forest expansion (Ibanez 507 508 et al. 2013c). However, the proximity of savanna entails dryer microclimatic conditions at the forest 509 edge, notably during extreme drought periods (e.g., El Niño episodes), incurring higher probability 510 of sporadic fire incursion (Brando et al., 2014; Cochrane, 2003; Hoffmann et al., 2012a; Ibanez et 511 al., 2013a, Pausas et al., 2017). Our results suggest that sporadic fires may constrain species survival in young forest edge communities, favoring higher stem protection among small forest 512 513 trees (i.e., higher bark thickness, Fig. 4), which is consistent with the study of Cardoso et al. (2021). 514 Despite the limited resistance to fire of New Caledonian forest species (see Ibanez et al., 2013d), this indicates a significant role of fire-resistance in the establishment of species at the forest edge 515 516 (see also Blanchard et al., 2020).

517 Interestingly, we found that orthotropic species were strongly favored in young edge communities, where plagiotropic species were almost absent (Fig. 4, S3). Plagiotropic growth could be disfavored 518 519 at the savanna-forest boundary, because lower self-shading may increase leaf water loss and heat 520 load in dryer conditions (Givnish, 1988). Furthermore, plagiotropic growth can increase fire damage for young trees, while orthotropic growth allows tree top to reach a size higher than flame 521 522 height more quickly (Gignoux et al., 2016; Ratnam et al., 2011). In line with recent works (Charles-523 Dominique et al., 2018; Gignoux et al., 2016), our results thus suggest a substantial role of 524 architectural traits in driving tree community assembly during forest expansion over savanna.

525 The observed smaller and more conservative leaves (lower SLA) at the beginning of the succession (i.e., in edge communities, Fig. 4) contrasts with other studies of tropical forest succession, which 526 527 have found opposite trends in non-fire-prone landscapes (Craven et al., 2018; Lohbeck et al., 2013; 528 Muscarella et al., 2016; Subedi et al., 2019). The specific conditions generated by the proximity of savanna may explain such differences. Indeed, higher drought intensity and potential fire incursion 529 530 at the forest edge could favour smaller and thicker leaves, which limit water loss (Wright et al., 531 2017) and leaf flammability (Pausas et al., 2017). Nonetheless, the absence of strong variations in 532 wood density and LDMC during succession, traits known to positively correlate to drought 533 resistance (Chave et al., 2009; Markesteijn et al., 2011), does not fully support this hypothesis. 534 Another factor potentially explaining the prevalence of conservative leaf strategies in young edge 535 communities is the lower nutrient availability in savanna (Flake et al. 2021; Geiger et al., 2011; Rossatto et al., 2013; Silva et al., 2013). In addition, this result could reflect a trade-off in leaf 536 biomass allocation, as higher biomass per leaf area can improve light-capture in high-light 537 conditions of the forest edge (Poorter et al., 2009), which is also consistent with our expectations. 538

We found a marked increase in functional diversity from edge to forest interior, with the edge communities being both functionally and phylogenetically clustered with respect to null expectations (Fig. 4). This indicates a stronger influence of environmental filtering during the early succession, in accordance with some studies of tropical forest succession (Letcher et al., 2012; 543 Lohbeck et al., 2014), but in contrast with some others (Craven et al., 2018; Muscarella et al., 2016). These results comfort our hypothesis that the specific environmental conditions related to the 544 545 post-fire context entails strong filtering on species establishment at the beginning of the succession. 546 Among forest species, spatial variations in mean traits were generally stronger for small trees than 547 for large trees (Fig. 4), suggesting that they underwent stronger shifts in limiting factors between 548 forest edge and interior. In addition, small trees tend to be more phylogenetically clustered than 549 under null expectations all along the succession, while the phylogenetic structure of large trees did 550 not deviate from random. This supports that the dynamics of small trees is more constrained by 551 environmental filtering than that of large trees. As large trees can represent an older successional 552 cohort (Baldeck et al., 2013; Letcher, 2010), this may reflect a shift from abiotic to biotic 553 constraints during ontogeny, with stronger neighborhood interactions among large and older canopy trees, as revealed by previous works (Fang et al., 2019; Lasky et al., 2015; Swenson et al., 2007). 554

555 Despite the short time interval considered in this study (8 yrs), our results showed significant contrasts regarding temporal changes in composition within communities. The temporal 556 557 dissimilarity within communities was higher in edge communities than in forest interior (Fig. 3), 558 indicating faster taxonomic turnover at the beginning of the succession. Our results also indicate 559 faster temporal changes in both functional and phylogenetic composition of edge communities than 560 in forest interior (Fig. 6). However, these changes did not deviated from the null expectations and 561 we did not find any temporal changes in mean trait values of forest species between the two census 562 (see S6), contrary to our expectation. Therefore, although the turnover in species taxonomic, 563 functional and phylogenetic composition was faster at the edge, there were no successional shift in 564 species function during the studied time interval. These results may reflect the partial influence of 565 stochastic demography among forest species, as well as the influence of drought and sporadic fires on tree recruitment at the edge. Observing a successional shift in species functions in edge 566 communities may thus require longer studies and/or strict absence of fire influence. The specific 567 568 post-fire environment could thus incur slower successional dynamics compared to fire-free

569 contexts, as recently suggested by Flake et al. (2021). It worth noting that no *M. quinquenervia* 570 were recruited in forest communities, supporting a rapid exclusion of savanna species after forest 571 expansion, as suggested by other studies in Africa and South America (Charles-Dominique et al., 572 2018; Geiger et al., 2011).

573

574 4.2. Light limitation and slow temporal changes in forest interior communities

The absence of remnant savanna-specialist trees (M. quinquenervia) in forest interior communities 575 576 (i.e., > 50m from the edge) indicates that this part of the forest is older than edge communities, and 577 thus represents a latter successional stage (Ibanez et al., 2013b). Our findings indicate that species with larger and more acquisitive leaves were favored in these older communities of forest interior 578 579 (Fig. 4). This can be due to moister, cooler micro-climate (Ibanez et al., 2013a), and probably higher 580 soil fertility in forest interior. In addition, thinner and larger leaves also maximize light capture 581 under shade conditions, providing a competitive advantage in low-light environment of forest 582 interior, where light-demanding species tend to be excluded (Chazdon, 2008; Givnish, 1987; Pinho et al., 2018; Poorter et al., 2009). Thus, our study supports the key role of the sharp light gradient 583 584 running from savanna to forest interior in shaping the functional composition of tree communities 585 during forest expansion (Cardoso et al., 2016; Charles-Dominique et al., 2018; Ratnam et al., 2011). This pattern is also in agreement with the 'productivity filtering hypothesis', which predicts that 586 587 increasing water and nutrient availability and decreasing light availability drives a slow-to-fast shift in species strategies along succession (Buzzard et al., 2015). 588

Next, our results showed a strong shift in species architecture, with an increase in plagiotropic branch orientation from the edge to forest interior, which also supports the hypothesis of increasing influence of light-limitation during the succession. Both orthotropic and plagiotropic species occurred in forest interior (see S3). Such alternative growth strategies could be associated with different forest strata. Canopy species could have more vertical growth to reach the light, while understory species may have more horizontal growth, hence limiting self-shading and improving light interception (Poorter et al., 2006; Subedi et al., 2019). Large trees had higher wood density in forest interior than at the edge, suggesting the need of denser wood to support taller canopy trees with longer life-span when light is more limiting (Maracahipes et al., 2018; Poorter et al., 2010).

598 We found no functional or phylogenetic overdispersion in forest interior communities, as it would 599 be expected under an overwhelming influence of limiting similarity (Letcher et al., 2012; Mayfield 600 and Levine, 2010). On the contrary, functional and diversity of forest interior communities did not 601 deviate from random and phylogenetic diversity tended to be clustered (Fig. 5). Moreover, functional and phylogenetic temporal dissimilarities in forest interior were lower than expected 602 603 under the null model (Fig. 6), supporting that temporal change in species strategies slows down during the succession (Cequinel et al., 2018; Fang et al., 2019; Swenson et al., 2012). Together, 604 605 these patterns could reflect an increasing influence of asymmetric competition for light (i.e., competitive dominance of shade-adapted species), as suggested by other studies (Buzzard et al., 606 2015; Craven et al., 2018). Such phylogenetic clustering and slow temporal changes may also 607 608 reflect constraints operating on unmeasured traits. For instance, reproductive and seed traits could 609 be more constrained in shadier conditions of old-growth forest interior (Lasky et al., 2015; Swenson 610 et al., 2012). Likewise, Cavender-Bares et al. (2009) suggested that generalist herbivore pressure 611 could lead to phylogenetic clustering if defense strategies are conserved through evolutionary history. Nonetheless, the relative influence of specific assembly processes cannot be disentangled 612 613 here, as environmental filtering and biotic interactions can both lead to phylogenetic convergence and can jointly interact to shape community patterns (Kraft et al., 2015; Mayfield and Levine, 614 615 2010).

616

617 5. Conclusion

By analyzing spatial and temporal variations in functional and phylogenetic composition of tree 618 communities, we inferred the main factors driving tropical forest post-fire succession in 619 620 anthropogenic savannas. In accordance with classical literature on tropical forests, our study 621 showed that increasing light limitation is a primary factor of post-fire succession. However, our work also underlined a substantial role of fire and drought in filtering forest species establishing in 622 623 young edge communities. Importantly, such filtering operates on distinct functional dimensions, 624 involving leaves, stem and tree architecture, entailing a functional trajectory differing from typical 625 tropical forest succession. This strongly suggests that, in the specific post-fire context, tropical forest recovery relies on the establishment of forest species with a particular set of traits. The 626 627 limited rate of temporal change in functional and phylogenetic composition we found also suggests that forest successional dynamics may be slower than in fire-free contexts. Our study improve the 628 understanding of the ecological processes driving tropical forest recovery in anthropogenic fire-629 630 prone landscapes and should help to better restore forest in these areas.

631

632 Acknowledgements

We thank the Institut Agronomique néo-Calédonien (IAC) for co-funding G. Blanchard's Ph.D and for logistical support. We address special thanks to Hervé Vandrot and Vanessa Hequet for their help on the field and species identification, Chloé Delassus for her help on the field, and to the team of the Nouméa herbarium (NOU) for technical support. We thank landowners of the Gohapin tribe for their help and for providing access to the study area.

638 References

Abrams, P., 1983. The Theory of Limiting Similarity. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 14, 359–376. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.14.110183.002043

Ackerly, D.D., and Cornwell, W.K., 2007. A trait-based approach to community assembly: partitioning of species trait values into within- and among-community components. Ecology Letters 10, 135–145. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.01006.x

- Adler, P. B., HilleRisLambers, J., and Levine, J. M., 2007. A niche for neutrality. Ecology Letters, 10(2), 95-104. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00996.x
- Allen, C.D., Macalady, A.K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M., Kitzberger, T., Rigling, A., Breshears, D.D., Hogg, E.H. (Ted), et al., 2010. A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. Forest Ecology and Management 259, 660–684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001
- Arroyo-Rodríguez, V., Melo, F.P.L., Martínez-Ramos, M., Bongers, F., Chazdon, R.L., Meave, J.A., Norden, N., Santos, B.A., Leal, I.R., and Tabarelli, M., 2017. Multiple successional pathways in human-modified tropical landscapes: new insights from forest succession, forest fragmentation and landscape ecology research. Biological Reviews 92, 326–340. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-016-1411-6
- Baldeck, C.A., Harms, K.E., Yavitt, J.B., John, R., Turner, B.L., Valencia, R., Navarrete, H., Bunyavejchewin, S., Kiratiprayoon, S., Yaacob, A., et al., 2013. Habitat filtering across tree life stages in tropical forest communities. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 280, 20130548–20130548. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.0548
- Baraloto, C., Timothy Paine, C.E., Poorter, L., Beauchêne, J., Bonal, D., Domenach, A.-M., Hérault, B., Patiño, S., Roggy, J.-C., and Chave, J., 2010. Decoupled leaf and stem economics in rain forest trees: Decoupled leaf and stem economics spectra. Ecology Letters 13, 1338–1347. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01517.x
- Barthélémy, D., and Caraglio, Y., 2007. Plant Architecture: A Dynamic, Multilevel and Comprehensive Approach to Plant Form, Structure and Ontogeny. Annals of Botany 99, 375–407. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcl260
- Bernard-Verdier, M., Navas, M.-L., Vellend, M., Violle, C., Fayolle, A., and Garnier, E., 2012. Community assembly along a soil depth gradient: contrasting patterns of plant trait convergence and divergence in a Mediterranean rangeland. Journal of Ecology 100, 1422– 1433. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12003
- Blanchard, G., Birnbaum, P., and Munoz, F., 2020. Extinction–immigration dynamics lag behind environmental filtering in shaping the composition of tropical dry forests within a changing landscape. Ecography 43, 869-881. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12781
- Blanchard, G., Munoz, F., Ibanez, T., Hequet, V., Vandrot, H., Girardi, J., and Birnbaum, P., 2019. Regional rainfall and local topography jointly drive tree community assembly in lowland tropical forests of New Caledonia. Journal of Vegetation Science 30, 845–856. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.04870
- Boukili, V.K., and Chazdon, R.L., 2017. Environmental filtering, local site factors and landscape context drive changes in functional trait composition during tropical forest succession. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 24, 37–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2016.11.003
- Brando, P.M., Balch, J.K., Nepstad, D.C., Morton, D.C., Putz, F.E., Coe, M.T., Silvério, D., Macedo, M.N., Davidson, E.A., Nóbrega, C.C., et al., 2014. Abrupt increases in Amazonian tree mortality due to drought–fire interactions. PNAS 111, 6347–6352. https://doi.org/
- Buzzard, V., Hulshof, C.M., Birt, T., Violle, C., and Enquist, B.J., 2015. Re-growing a tropical dry forest: functional plant trait composition and community assembly during succession. Functional Ecology 30, 1006–1013. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12579
- Cardoso, A. W., Medina-Vega, J. A., Malhi, Y., Adu-Bredu, S., Ametsitsi, G. K. D., Djagbletey, G., Langevelde, F. van, Veenendaal, E., and Oliveras, I., 2016. Winners and losers: tropical forest tree seedling survival across a West African forest–savanna transition. Ecology and Evolution, 6(10), 3417–3429. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2133
- Cardoso, A. W., Oliveras, I., Abernethy, K. A., Jeffery, K. J., Glover, S., Lehmann, D., Ndong, J. E., White, L. J. T., Bond, W. J., and Malhi, Y., 2021. A distinct ecotonal tree community exists at central African forest–savanna transitions. Journal of Ecology, 109(3), 1170-1183. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13549

- Cavender-Bares, J., Kozak, K.H., Fine, P.V.A., and Kembel, S.W., 2009. The merging of community ecology and phylogenetic biology. Ecology Letters 12, 693–715. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01314.x
- Cequinel, A., Capellesso, E.S., Marcilio-Silva, V., Cardoso, F.C.G., and Marques, M.C.M., 2018. Determinism in tree turnover during the succession of a tropical forest. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 34, 120–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2018.08.007
- Chai, Y., Yue, M., Wang, M., Xu, J., Liu, X., Zhang, R., and Wan, P., 2016. Plant functional traits suggest a change in novel ecological strategies for dominant species in the stages of forest succession. Oecologia 180, 771–783. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3483-3
- Charles-Dominique, T., Midgley, G.F., and Bond, W.J., 2017. Fire frequency filters species by bark traits in a savanna–forest mosaic. Journal of Vegetation Science 28, 728–735. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12528
- Charles-Dominique, T., Midgley, G.F., Tomlinson, K.W., and Bond, W.J., 2018. Steal the light: shade vs fire adapted vegetation in forest-savanna mosaics. New Phytologist 218, 1419–1429. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15117
- Chave, J., Coomes, D., Jansen, S., Lewis, S.L., Swenson, N.G., and Zanne, A.E., 2009. Towards a worldwide wood economics spectrum. Ecology Letters 12, 351–366. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01285.x
- Chazdon, R., 2008. Chance and determinism in tropical forest succession. In: Schnitzer, S.A., Carson, W.P. (Eds.) Tropical forest community ecology. Wiley-Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, pp. 384–408.
- Cheesman, A.W., Preece, N.D., van Oosterzee, P., Erskine, P.D., and Cernusak, L.A., 2018. The role of topography and plant functional traits in determining tropical reforestation success. Journal of Applied Ecology 55, 1029–1039. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12980
- Clarke, K.R., Somerfield, P.J., and Gorley, R.N., 2008. Testing of null hypotheses in exploratory community analyses: similarity profiles and biota-environment linkage. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 366, 56–69.
- Cochrane, M.A., 2003. Fire science for rainforests. Nature 421, 913–919. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01437
- Craven, D., Hall, J.S., Berlyn, G.P., Ashton, M.S., and van Breugel, M., 2018. Environmental filtering limits functional diversity during succession in a seasonally wet tropical secondary forest. Journal of Vegetation Science 29, 511–520. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12632
- Curt, Thomas, Laurent Borgniet, Thomas Ibanez, Vincent Moron, and Christelle Hély, 2015. Understanding Fire Patterns and Fire Drivers for Setting a Sustainable Management Policy of the New-Caledonian Biodiversity Hotspot. Forest Ecology and Management 337: 48–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.10.032
- Díaz, S., Kattge, J., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Wright, I.J., Lavorel, S., Dray, S., Reu, B., Kleyer, M., Wirth, C., Colin Prentice, I., et al., 2016. The global spectrum of plant form and function. Nature 529, 167–171. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16489
- Fang, S., Munoz, F., Ye, J., Lin, F., Yuan, Z., Kuang, X., Hao, Z., and Wang, X., 2019. Deterministic processes drive functional and phylogenetic temporal changes of woody species in temperate forests in Northeast China. Annals of Forest Science 76, 42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-019-0830-2
- Flake, S. W., Abreu, R. C. R., Durigan, G., & Hoffmann, W. A. (2021). Savannas are not old fields : Functional trajectories of forest expansion in a fire-suppressed Brazilian savanna are driven by habitat generalists. *Functional Ecology, in press.* https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13818
- Ford, E.D., 2014. The dynamic relationship between plant architecture and competition. Frontiers in Plant Science 5, 275. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00275

- Fritz, S.A., and Purvis, A., 2010. Selectivity in mammalian extinction risk and threat types: a new measure of phylogenetic signal strength in binary traits. Conservation Biology 24, 1042–1051. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2010.01455.x
- Geiger, E.L., Gotsch, S.G., Damasco, G., Haridasan, M., Franco, A.C., and Hoffmann, W.A., 2011. Distinct roles of savanna and forest tree species in regeneration under fire suppression in a Brazilian savanna. Journal of Vegetation Science 22, 312–321. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2011.01252.x
- Gignoux, J., Konaté, S., Lahoreau, G., Le Roux, X., and Simioni, G., 2016. Allocation strategies of savanna and forest tree seedlings in response to fire and shading: outcomes of a field experiment. Scientific Reports 6, 38838. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep38838
- Givnish, T.J., 1987. Comparative Studies of Leaf Form: Assessing the Relative Roles of Selective Pressures and Phylogenetic Constraints. New Phytologist 106, 131–160. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1987.tb04687.x
- Givnish, T.J., 1988. Adaptation to Sun and Shade: a Whole-Plant Perspective. Functional Plant Biology 15, 63–92. https://doi.org/10.1071/pp9880063
- Götzenberger, L., Botta-Dukát, Z., Lepš, J., Pärtel, M., Zobel, M., and Bello, F. de, 2016. Which randomizations detect convergence and divergence in trait-based community assembly? A test of commonly used null models. Journal of Vegetation Science 27, 1275–1287.
- Hardy, O.J., 2008. Testing the spatial phylogenetic structure of local communities: statistical performances of different null models and test statistics on a locally neutral community: Testing spatial community phylogenetic structure. Journal of Ecology 96, 914–926. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01421.x
- Hodgson, J.G., Montserrat-Martí, G., Charles, M., Jones, G., Wilson, P., Shipley, B., Sharafi, M., Cerabolini, B.E.L., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Band, S.R., et al., 2011. Is leaf dry matter content a better predictor of soil fertility than specific leaf area? Annals of Botany 108, 1337–1345.
- Hoffmann, W.A., and Franco, A.C., 2003. Comparative growth analysis of tropical forest and savanna woody plants using phylogenetically independent contrasts. Journal of Ecology 91, 475–484. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2003.00777.x
- Hoffmann, W.A., Adasme, R., Haridasan, M., Carvalho, M.T. de, Geiger, E.L., Pereira, M.A.B., Gotsch, S.G., and Franco, A.C., 2009. Tree topkill, not mortality, governs the dynamics of savanna–forest boundaries under frequent fire in central Brazil. Ecology 90, 1326–1337. https://doi.org/10.1890/08-0741.1
- Hoffmann, W.A., Geiger, E.L., Gotsch, S.G., Rossatto, D.R., Silva, L.C.R., Lau, O.L., Haridasan, M., and Franco, A.C., 2012a. Ecological thresholds at the savanna-forest boundary: how plant traits, resources and fire govern the distribution of tropical biomes. Ecology Letters 15, 759–768. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01789.x
- Hoffmann, W.A., Jaconis, S.Y., Mckinley, K.L., Geiger, E.L., Gotsch, S.G., and Franco, A.C., 2012b. Fuels or microclimate? Understanding the drivers of fire feedbacks at savanna–forest boundaries. Austral Ecology 37, 634–643. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2011.02324.x
- Hubbell, S.P., 2001. The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography (Princeton University Press, NJ.
- Ibanez, T., Hély, C., and Gaucherel, C., 2013a. Sharp transitions in microclimatic conditions between savanna and forest in New Caledonia: Insights into the vulnerability of forest edges to fire: Microclimate at the Forest Edge. Austral Ecology 38, 680–687. https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12015
- Ibanez, T., Borgniet, L., Mangeas, M., Gaucherel, C., Géraux, H., and Hély, C., 2013b. Rainforest and savanna landscape dynamics in New Caledonia: Towards a mosaic of stable rainforest and savanna states?: rainforest landscape dynamics in New-Caledonia. Austral Ecology 38, 33–45. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2012.02369.x
- Ibanez, T., Munzinger, J., Gaucherel, C., Curt, T., and Hély, C., 2013c. Inferring savannah-rainforest boundary dynamics from vegetation structure and composition: a case study in New Caledonia. Australian Journal of Botany 61, 128. https://doi.org/10.1071/BT12255

- Ibanez, T., Curt, T., and Hely, C., 2013d. Low tolerance of New Caledonian secondary forest species to savanna fires. Journal of Vegetation Science 24, 177–188. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2012.01448.x
- Ibanez, T., Chave, J., Barrabé, L., Elodie, B., Boutreux, T., Trueba, S., Vandrot, H., and Birnbaum, P., 2017. Community variation in wood density along a bioclimatic gradient on a hyperdiverse tropical island. Journal of Vegetation Science 28, 19–33. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12456
- Jaffré, T., Morat, P., and Veillon, J.-M., 1994. New Caledonia Special. La flore : caractéristiques et composition floristique des principales formations végétales. Bois et Forêts des Tropiques 242, 7–30. https://doi.org/10.19182/bft1994.242.a19805
- Kitajima, K., and Poorter, L., 2010. Tissue-level leaf toughness, but not lamina thickness, predicts sapling leaf lifespan and shade tolerance of tropical tree species. New Phytologist, 186(3), 708-721. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03212.x
- Kraft, N.J.B., Adler, P.B., Godoy, O., James, E.C., Fuller, S., and Levine, J.M., 2015. Community assembly, coexistence and the environmental filtering metaphor. Functional Ecology 29, 592–599. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12345
- Kunstler, G., Lavergne, S., Courbaud, B., Thuiller, W., Vieilledent, G., Zimmermann, N.E., Kattge, J., and Coomes, D.A., 2012. Competitive interactions between forest trees are driven by species' trait hierarchy, not phylogenetic or functional similarity: implications for forest community assembly. Ecology Letters 15, 831–840. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01803.x
- Küppers, M., 1989. Ecological significance of above-ground architectural patterns in woody plants: A question of cost-benefit relationships. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 4, 375–379. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(89)90103-1
- Lasky, J.R., Bachelot, B., Muscarella, R., Schwartz, N., Forero-Montaña, J., Nytch, C.J., Swenson, N.G., Thompson, J., Zimmerman, J.K., and Uriarte, M., 2015. Ontogenetic shifts in traitmediated mechanisms of plant community assembly. Ecology 96, 2157–2169. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12322
- Letcher, S.G., Chazdon, R.L., Andrade, A.C.S., Bongers, F., van Breugel, M., Finegan, B., Laurance, S.G., Mesquita, R.C.G., Martínez-Ramos, M., and Williamson, G.B., 2012. Phylogenetic community structure during succession: Evidence from three Neotropical forest sites. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 14, 79–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2011.09.005
- Letcher S.G., 2010. Phylogenetic structure of angiosperm communities during tropical forest succession. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 277, 97–104. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0865
- Lohbeck, M., Poorter, L., Lebrija-Trejos, E., Martínez-Ramos, M., Meave, J.A., Paz, H., Pérez-García, E.A., Romero-Pérez, I.E., Tauro, A., and Bongers, F., 2013. Successional changes in functional composition contrast for dry and wet tropical forest. Ecology 94, 1211–1216. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1850.1
- Lohbeck, M., Poorter, L., Martínez-Ramos, M., Rodriguez-Velázquez, J., van Breugel, M., and Bongers, F., 2014. Changing drivers of species dominance during tropical forest succession. Funct Ecol 28, 1052–1058.
- Magallón, S., Gómez-Acevedo, S., Sánchez-Reyes, L.L., and Hernández-Hernández, T., 2015. A metacalibrated time-tree documents the early rise of flowering plant phylogenetic diversity. New Phytologist 207, 437–453. https://doi.org/10.1890/12-1850.1
- Maracahipes, L., Carlucci, M.B., Lenza, E., Marimon, B.S., Marimon, B.H., Guimarães, F.A.G., and Cianciaruso, M.V., 2018. How to live in contrasting habitats? Acquisitive and conservative strategies emerge at inter- and intraspecific levels in savanna and forest woody plants. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 34, 17–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2018.07.006

- Markesteijn, L., Poorter, L., Bongers, F., Paz, H., and Sack, L., 2011. Hydraulics and life history of tropical dry forest tree species: coordination of species' drought and shade tolerance. New Phytologist, 191(2), 480–495. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03708.x
- Mason, N. W. H., Frazao, C., Buxton, R. P., and Richardson, S. J., 2016. Fire form and function : Evidence for exaptive flammability in the New Zealand flora. Plant Ecology, 217(6), 645-659. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-016-0618-5
- Mayfield, M.M., and Levine, J.M., 2010. Opposing effects of competitive exclusion on the phylogenetic structure of communities: Phylogeny and coexistence. Ecology Letters 13, 1085–1093. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01509.x
- Millet, J., Bouchard, A., and Édelin, C., 1999. Relationship between architecture and successional status of trees in the temperate deciduous forest. Écoscience 6, 187–203. https://doi.org/10.1080/11956860.1999.11682520
- Moles, A.T., 2018. Being John Harper: Using evolutionary ideas to improve understanding of global patterns in plant traits. Journal of Ecology 106, 1–18. https://doi.org/http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/1365-2745.12887
- Molina-Venegas, R., and Rodríguez, M. Á., 2017. Revisiting phylogenetic signal; strong or negligible impacts of polytomies and branch length information? BMC Evolutionary Biology, 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-017-0898-y
- Mouchet, M., Guilhaumon, F., Villéger, S., Mason, N.W.H., Tomasini, J.-A., and Mouillot, D., 2008. Towards a consensus for calculating dendrogram-based functional diversity indices. Oikos 117, 794–800. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01695.x
- Mouquet, N., Devictor, V., Meynard, C.N., Munoz, F., Bersier, L.-F., Chave, J., Couteron, P., Dalecky, A., Fontaine, C., Gravel, D., et al., 2012. Ecophylogenetics: advances and perspectives. Biological Reviews 87, 769–785. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2012.00224.x
- Münkemüller, T., Lavergne, S., Bzeznik B., Dray S., Jombart T., Schiffers K. and Thuiller W. 2012 How to Measure and Test Phylogenetic Signal: How to Measure and Test Phylogenetic Signal. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 3, 743–756. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2012.00196.x
- Muscarella, R., Uriarte, M., Aide, T. M., Erickson, D. L., Forero-Montaña, J., Kress, W. J., Swenson, N. G., and Zimmerman, J. K., 2016. Functional convergence and phylogenetic divergence during secondary succession of subtropical wet forests in Puerto Rico. Journal of Vegetation Science, 27(2), 283-294. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12354
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, G., Friendly M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P., O'Hara, R. B., Simpson, G., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., Szoecs, E., and Wagner, H., (2020). vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-7. https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=vegan
- Pacala, S.W., Canham, C.D., Saponara, J., Silander, J.A., Kobe, R.K., and Ribbens, E., 1996. Forest Models Defined by Field Measurements: Estimation, Error Analysis and Dynamics. Ecological Monographs 66, 1–43. https://doi.org/10.2307/2963479
- Pagel, M., 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature 401, 877–884. https://doi.org/10.1038/44766
- Pausas, J.G., 2015. Bark thickness and fire regime. Functional Ecology 29, 315–327. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12372
- Pausas, J.G., Keeley, J.E., and Schwilk, D.W., 2017. Flammability as an ecological and evolutionary driver. Journal of Ecology 105, 289–297. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14277
- Pérez-Harguindeguy, N., Díaz, S., Garnier, E., Lavorel, S., Poorter, H., Jaureguiberry, P., Bret-Harte, M.S., Cornwell, W.K., Craine, J.M., Gurvich, D.E., et al., 2013. New handbook for standardised measurement of plant functional traits worldwide. Australian Journal of Botany 61, 167–234. https://doi.org/10.1071/BT12225

- Pierce, S., Brusa, G., Vagge, I., and Cerabolini, B.E.L., 2013. Allocating CSR plant functional types: the use of leaf economics and size traits to classify woody and herbaceous vascular plants. Functional Ecology 27, 1002–1010. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12095
- Pinho, B. X., Melo, F. P. L. de, Arroyo-Rodríguez, V., Pierce, S., Lohbeck, M., and Tabarelli, M., 2018. Soil-mediated filtering organizes tree assemblages in regenerating tropical forests. Journal of Ecology, 106(1), 137–147. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12843
- Poorter, H., Niinemets, Ü., Poorter, L., Wright, I. J., and Villar, R., 2009. Causes and consequences of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a meta-analysis. New Phytologist, 182(3), 565– 588. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02830.x
- Poorter, L., Bongers, L., and Bongers, F., 2006. Architecture of 54 Moist-Forest Tree Species: Traits, Trade-Offs, and Functional Groups. Ecology 87, 1289–1301. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[1733:LTAGPO]2.0.CO;2
- Poorter, L., McDonald, I., Alarcón, A., Fichtler, E., Licona, J.-C., Peña-Claros, M., Sterck, F., Villegas, Z., and Sass-Klaassen, U., 2010. The importance of wood traits and hydraulic conductance for the performance and life history strategies of 42 rainforest tree species. New Phytologist, 185(2), 481-492. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03092.x
- Poorter, L., McNeil, A., Hurtado, V.-H., Prins, H. H. T., and Putz, F. E., 2014. Bark traits and lifehistory strategies of tropical dry- and moist forest trees. Functional Ecology, 28(1), 232-242. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12158
- Raevel, V., Violle, C., and Munoz, F., 2012. Mechanisms of ecological succession: insights from plant functional strategies. Oikos 121, 1761–1770. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2012.20261.x
- Revell, L.J., 2012. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). Methods in Ecology and Evolution 3, 217–223. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00169.x
- Rosell, J.A., 2016. Bark thickness across the angiosperms: more than just fire. New Phytologist 211, 90–102. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13889
- Rossatto, D.R., Hoffmann, W.A., de Carvalho Ramos Silva, L., Haridasan, M., Sternberg, L.S.L., and Franco, A.C., 2013. Seasonal variation in leaf traits between congeneric savanna and forest trees in Central Brazil: implications for forest expansion into savanna. Trees 27, 1139–1150. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-013-0864-2
- Schlessman, M.A., Vary, L.B., Munzinger, J., and Lowry, P.P., 2014. Incidence, Correlates, and Origins of Dioecy in the Island Flora of New Caledonia. International Journal of Plant Sciences 175, 271–286. https://doi.org/10.1086/674452
- Silva, I.A., and Batalha, M.A., 2010. Phylogenetic structure of Brazilian savannas under different fire regimes: Phylogenetic structure of Brazilian savannas. Journal of Vegetation Science 21, 1003–1013. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2010.01208.x
- Silva, L.C.R., Hoffmann, W.A., Rossatto, D.R., Haridasan, M., Franco, A.C., and Horwath, W.R., 2013. Can savannas become forests? A coupled analysis of nutrient stocks and fire thresholds in central Brazil. Plant Soil 373, 829–842. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1822-x
- Staver, A.C., Archibald, S., and Levin, S.A., 2011. The Global Extent and Determinants of Savanna and Forest as Alternative Biome States. Science 334, 230–232. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1210465
- Subedi, S.C., Ross, M.S., Sah, J.P., Redwine, J., and Baraloto, C., 2019. Trait-based community assembly pattern along a forest succession gradient in a seasonally dry tropical forest. Ecosphere 10, e02719.
- Swenson, N. G., Enquist, B. J., Thompson, J., and Zimmerman, J. K., 2007. The Influence of Spatial and Size Scale on Phylogenetic Relatedness in Tropical Forest Communities. Ecology, 88(7), 1770–1780. https://doi.org/10.1890/06-1499.1
- Swenson, N.G., Stegen, J.C., Davies, S.J., Erickson, D.L., Forero-Montaña, J., Hurlbert, A.H., Kress, W.J., Thompson, J., Uriarte, M., Wright, S.J., et al., 2012. Temporal turnover in the

composition of tropical tree communities: functional determinism and phylogenetic stochasticity. Ecology 93, 490–499. https://doi.org/10.1890/11-1180.1

- Swenson, N.G., 2013. The assembly of tropical tree communities the advances and shortcomings of phylogenetic and functional trait analyses. Ecography 36, 264–276. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.00121.x
- Verbeeck, H., Bauters, M., Jackson, T., Shenkin, A., Disney, M., and Calders, K., 2019. Time for a Plant Structural Economics Spectrum. Frontiers in Forests and Global Change 2, 43. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2019.00043
- Violle, C., Navas, M.-L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I., and Garnier, E., 2007. Let the concept of trait be functional! Oikos 116, 882–892. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2007.15559.x
- Webb, C.O., Ackerly, D.D., McPeek, M.A., and Donoghue, M.J., 2002. Phylogenies and Community Ecology. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 33, 475–505. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150448
- Whitaker, D., Christman, M., 2014. Clustsig: Significant Cluster Analysis. R package version 1.1. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=clustsig
- Wright, I.J., Reich, P.B., Westoby, M., Ackerly, D.D., Baruch, Z., Bongers, F., Cavender-Bares, J., Chapin, T., Cornelissen, J.H., and Diemer, M., 2004. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature 428, 821–827. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02403
- Wright, I.J., Dong, N., Maire, V., Prentice, I.C., Westoby, M., Díaz, S., Gallagher, R.V., Jacobs, B.F., Kooyman, R., Law, E.A., et al., 2017. Global climatic drivers of leaf size. Science 357, 917– 921. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal4760



Figure 1. Map and aerial photographs showing the location of the studied site and the position of the three established transects (20×90m) running from savanna-forest edge to forest interior, on the west side of the Aoupinié mountain reserve, in New Caledonia (south-west Pacific). Dotted lines represent transect subdivisions corresponding to different successional communities (20×10m, n=27). Communities located between the edge and 40m inside the forest are considered as edge communities, while communities located at more than 40m from the edge are considered as forest interior communities.



Figure 2. Hypotheses tested and associated null models. Null model 1 (NM1), permutation of species attributes (i.e., trait values and phylogenetic position) between all species occurring in 2010 within each transect, was used to test for spatial changes in species trait values and divergence or convergence with respect to transect-level composition. Null model 2 (NM2), permutation of species attributes between all species occurring in either 2010 or 2018 within each community, was used to test for temporal change in mean trait values and functional and phylogenetic dissimilarity between species composition in 2010 and 2018. Species attributes were always permuted jointly.

655 Effect sizes were computed to compare the observed values of each metric with their null

656 distributions.

Traits	Fire-resistance	Drought-resistance	Shade-tolerance	Other important functions
Leaf area (LA)		 ↘ (Markesteijn et al. 2011; Moles 2018; Wright et al., 2017) 	 ✓ (Díaz et al. 2016; Givnish, 1987; Moles 2018; Pierce et al. 2013) 	Gas exchange surface (Moles 2018)
Leaf dry matter content (LDMC)				Resources conservation (Hodgson et al., 2011)
Specific leaf area (SLA)	∖ (Pausas et al. 2017)	∖ (Moles, 2018; Poorter et al. 2009)		Resources acquisition, Vulnerability to herbivory (Díaz et al. 2016; Markesteijn et al. 2011; Moles 2018, Poorter et al. 2009; Wright et al. 2004)
Orthotropy			↘ (Givnish, 1988)	
Plagiotropy	 ↘ (Charles-Dominique et al., 2018; Gignoux et al., 2016) 			
Wood density (WD)				Mechanical support (Chave et al. 2009; Moles 2018)
Bark thickness (BT)				Protection from herbivory and mechanical injuries (Rosell 2016)

657

Table 1. Correlations between measured functional traits and their related functions regarding the main species functions expected to play a role in community assembly during forest succession, based on literature (references are listed in the table). Upward or downward arrows indicate positive or negative correlation between trait values and related functions, respectively. Other important functions related to the measured traits are also listed in the right column.

Trait	LA	LDMC	SLA	Branch orientation	WD	BT
Pagel's λ	0.29*	0.84***	0		0.46***	0.34*
D				-0.70***		

Table 2. Phylogenetic signal (Pagel's λ and D values) of six measured traits (leaf area, LA; leaf dry matter content, LDMC; specific leaf area, SLA; Branch orientation; wood density, WD; bark thickness ratio, BT) for 89 species. Signal significance were obtained with a permutation test (*: pvalue < 0.05; **: p-value < 0.01; ***: p-value < 0.001).



669 Figure 3. Spatial and temporal changes in community taxonomic composition along transects. Left panels show the relationships between the abundance-based and species-based (i.e., presence-670 671 absence) Bray-Curtis dissimilarities and the distance between pairs of communities of each transect. The savanna species *M. quinquenervia* was excluded from these analyses. Right panels show the 672 673 relationships between abundance-based and species-based temporal dissimilarity between the two 674 censuses in 2010 and 2018 within each community and distance to forest edge. For the significant 675 relationships, the curves indicates the predictions of the linear model (model were fitted using log-676 transformed distance to edge) and R-squared is reported (ns: p-value > 0.05, *: p-value < 0.05; **: 677 p-value < 0.01; ***: p-value < 0.001). Black and grey points indicate edge and forest interior communities, respectively. For temporal dissimilarity, boxplots show differences between edge 678 679 (black) and forest interior (grey) communities, tested using two-sample Wilcoxon signed rank tests (ns: p-value > 0.05, *: p-value < 0.05; **: p-value < 0.01; ***: p-value < 0.001). 680



Figure 4. Relationship between effect size (ES) of community weighted mean trait (CWM) and distance from edge (data from 2010). ES were obtained by comparing observed values of CWM from their null distribution under NM1 (i.e., random community composition with respect to

684 species attributes at the transect level). Positive (or negative) ES values indicate that CWM are higher (or lower) than expected in NM1, respectively. For significant relationships, curves indicate 685 the predictions of linear models (models were fitted using log-transformed distance to edge but 686 predictions are plotted on a regular scale, resulting in non-linear relationships) and R-squared are 687 reported. Black and grey points indicate edge and forest interior communities, respectively. 688 Relationships were tested either with all species (dashed curves) and with only forest species (by 689 690 excluding *M. quinquenervia*, solid curves). Boxplots show divergences of ES of CWM from zero 691 for all edge communities (black) and all forest interior communities (grey), tested using one-sample Wilcoxon signed rank tests. (ns: p-value > 0.05, *: p-value < 0.05; **: p-value < 0.01; ***: p-value 692 693 < 0.001).



695 696 phylogenetic mean pairwise distances (MPD) and distance from edge (data from 2010). ES were 697 obtained by comparing observed values of MPD from their null distribution under NM1 (i.e., 698 random community composition with respect to species attributes at the transect level). Positive, or 699 negative ES values indicate that MPD are higher, or lower than expected in NM1, respectively. For significant relationships, curves indicate the predictions of linear models (models were fitted using 700 701 log-transformed distance to edge but predictions are plotted on a regular scale, resulting in nonlinear relationships) and R-squared are reported. Black and grey points indicate edge and forest 702 703 interior communities, respectively. Relationships were tested either with all species (solid curves) 704 and with only forest species (by excluding M. quinquenervia, dashed curves). Boxplots show divergences of ES of MPD from zero for all edge communities (black) and all forest interior 705 communities (grey), tested using one-sample Wilcoxon signed rank tests. (ns: p-value > 0.05, *: p-706 707 value < 0.05; **: p-value < 0.01; ***: p-value < 0.001).





709 Figure 6. Relationship between effect sizes (ES) of functional and phylogenetic mean pairwise 710 dissimilarity (D_{pw}) and distance from edge (change in composition between 2010 and 2018). ES 711 were obtained by comparing observed values of D_{pw} from their null distribution under NM2 (i.e., 712 random temporal change in composition with respect to species attributes at the community level). 713 Positive, or negative ES values indicate that D_{pw} are higher, or lower than expected in NM2, 714 respectively. For significant relationships, curves indicate the predictions of linear models (models 715 were fitted using log-transformed distance to edge but predictions are plotted on a regular scale, resulting in non-linear relationships) and R-squared are reported. Black and grey points indicate 716 717 edge and forest interior communities, respectively. Relationships were tested either with all species 718 (solid curves) and with only forest species (by excluding M. quinquenervia, dashed curves). 719 Boxplots show divergences of ES of D_{pw} from zero for all edge communities (black) and all forest 720 interior communities (grey), tested using one-sample Wilcoxon signed rank tests. (ns: p-value > 0.05, *: p-value < 0.05; **: p-value < 0.01; ***: p-value < 0.001). 721



723 Graphical abstract. Schematic representation of the successional pathway operating during forest 724 recovery in anthropogenic savannas of New Caledonia. Contrasts in functional and phylogenetic 725 composition, diversity, as well as in temporal change in composition between forest edge communities in forest interior communities are indicated. The influence of drought and fire favours 726 727 species with small and thicker leaves, thicker bark and orthotropic growth in edge communities, 728 while increasing light-limitation favours species with large and thinner leaves, thinner bark as well 729 as both plagiotropic and orthotropic growth. Functional and phylogenetic diversity increases during 730 succession, but the rate of temporal changes in composition slows down in forest interior.