

Non-linear stiffness modeling of multi-link compliant serial manipulator composed of multiple tensegrity segments

Wanda Zhao, Anatol Pashkevich, Damien Chablat

▶ To cite this version:

Wanda Zhao, Anatol Pashkevich, Damien Chablat. Non-linear stiffness modeling of multi-link compliant serial manipulator composed of multiple tensegrity segments. IEEE 2021 International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering, Aug 2021, Lyon, France. hal-03311948

HAL Id: hal-03311948 https://hal.science/hal-03311948

Submitted on 2 Aug 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Non-linear stiffness modeling of multi-link compliant serial manipulator composed of multiple tensegrity segments

Wanda Zhao¹, Anatol Pashkevich^{1,2}, Damien Chablat^{1,3} ¹ Laboratoire des Sciences du Numérique de Nantes (LS2N), UMR CNRS 6004, Nantes, France ²IMT Atlantique Nantes ³Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) <u>Wanda.Zhao@ls2n.fr, Anatol.Pashkevich@imt-atlantique.fr, Damien.Chablat@cnrs.fr</u>.

Abstract—The paper focuses on the stiffness modeling of a new type of compliant manipulator and its non-linear behavior while interacting with the environment. The manipulator under study is a serial mechanical structure composed of dualtriangle segments. The main attention is paid to the initial straight configuration which may suddenly change its shape under the loading. It was discovered that under the external loading such manipulator may have six equilibrium configurations but only two of them are stable. In the neighborhood of these configurations, the manipulator behavior was analyzed using the Virtual Joint Method (VJM). This approach allowed us to propose an analytical technique for computing a critical force causing the buckling and evaluate the manipulator shape under the loading. A relevant simulation study confirmed the validity of the developed technique and its advantages in non-linear stiffness analysis.

Keywords – compliant manipulator; stiffness analysis; equilibrium configurations; robot buckling.

I. INTRODUCTION

Compliant serial manipulators have been currently used in many fields due to their flexibility, modularized construction, and low weight. Many new mechanical structures were studied in this area [1], which shown quite good performances compared with traditional rigid robots. Particular attention is attracted by tensegrity mechanisms, which are made up of a series of similar segments composed of compressive and tensile elements (cables or springs) [5], [6]. One of such structures is studied in this paper.

Some kinds of tensegrity mechanisms have been already studied carefully. In [7], the authors considered the mechanism composed of two springs and two lengthchangeable bars. They analyzed the mechanism stiffness using the energy method, demonstrated that the mechanism stiffness is always decreasing under external loading with the actuators locked, which may lead to "buckling" phenomenon. Also, in [8][9], the cable-driven X-shape tensegrity structures were considered; here the authors investigated the influence of cable lengths on the mechanism equilibrium configurations, which may be both stable and unstable. The relevant analysis of the equilibrium configurations as well as the stability and singularity study can be found in [10]. For robotics, the buckling phenomenon is not typical and was rarely studied before. Usually, while designing a robot, engineers prefer to avoid buckling. However, in some cases the buckling occurred but does not cause the mechanical failure. Nevertheless, such property can be also used for optimization of the mechanism architecture and useful in some other fields [12]. For this reason, for many applications, the buckling phenomenon should be obligatory taken into account in stiffness analysis. It is worth mentioning that in mechanics buckling is usually associated with the Euler column, which suddenly changes its shape when the loading force exceeds some critical value. Here, this phenomenon is studied with respect to the compliant serial structure composed of dual-triangle mechanisms.

This paper is an extension of our previous results in [11], [12][14], which concentrated on the stiffness analysis of the simplest manipulator of such type composed of two and three dual-triangle segments. It was assumed that each segment is a composition of two rigid triangle parts, which are connected by a passive joint in the center and two elastic edges on each side with controllable preload. In contrast to the previous results, here we consider a general case with an arbitrary number of segments and concentrate on the socalled "straight" initial configuration for which the external loading may cause a sudden change of the manipulator shape (i.e. buckling). For this manipulator, an analytical technique for computing a critical force causing the buckling is proposed, which was rarely mentioned before in this field. Also, the manipulator shape under the loading is analyzed in detail. The proposed approach is validated via simulation using the straightforward energy method.

II. MECHANICS OF DUAL-TRIANGLE MECHANISM

Let us present first a single segment of the compliant serial manipulator. It consists of two rigid triangles connected by a passive joint whose rotation is constrained by two linear springs as shown in Fig. 1, where the mechanism is symmetrical here for convenient analysis. It is assumed that the mechanism geometry is described by the triangle parameters (a, b), and the mechanism shape is defined by the central angle q, which is adjusted through two control inputs influencing on the springs L_1 and L_2 . Let



Figure 1. Geometry of a single dual-triangle mechanism.



Figure 2. The torque-angle curves of the dual-triangle mechanism

us denote the spring lengths in the non-stress state as L_1^0 and L_2^0 , and the spring stiffness coefficient k.

The mechanism configuration angle q corresponding to the given control inputs L_1^0 and L_2^0 can be computed through the static equilibrium equation, which can be easily derived using the forces generated by the springs: $F_i = k_i (L_i - L_i^0)$, where the lengths L_i are computed using the formulas $L_i = \sqrt{2c^2 + 2c^2 \cos(\theta_i)}$, where $c = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ $\theta_1 = 2\beta + q$, $\theta_2 = 2\beta - q$, and $\beta = \operatorname{atan}(a/b)$. It can be proved that the corresponding torques are expressed as

$$M_{1}(q) = +k_{1}(1 - L_{1}^{0}/L_{1}(\theta_{1})) c^{2} \sin(\theta_{1})$$

$$M_{2}(q) = -k_{2}(1 - L_{2}^{0}/L_{2}(\theta_{2})) c^{2} \sin(\theta_{2}),$$
(1)

Further, taking into account the external torque M_{ext} applied to the moving platform, the static equilibrium equation for the considered mechanism can be written as $M(q)+M_{\text{ext}}=0$, where $M(q)=M_1(q)+M_2(q)$ and

$$M(q) = 2ck \left[c \cos(2\beta) \sin q - L^0 \cos \beta \sin(q/2) \right]$$
(2)

It should be noted that the static stability of this mechanism highly depends on the equilibrium configuration defined by the angle q. As follows from the relevant analysis, the function M(q) can be either a monotonic or non-monotonic one, so the single-segment mechanism may have multiple stable and unstable equilibriums, which are studied in detail[11], [12], [14]. As Fig. 2 shows, the torque-angle curves M(q) that can be either monotonic or two-



model one, the considered stability condition can be simplified and reduced to the derivative sign verification at the zero point, i.e. $M'(q)|_{q=0} < 0$, which is easy to verify in practice. So, the relevant analytical expression for the derivative

$$M'(q) = ck \left[2c \cos(2\beta) \cos q - L^0 \cos \beta \cos(q/2) \right] (3)$$

allows us to present the condition of the torque-angle curve monotonicity as follows

$$L^{0} > 2b \cdot \left(1 - (a/b)^{2}\right), \tag{4}$$

which is extensively used below.

III. MECHANICS OF MULTI-SEGMENT MANIPULATOR

The serial manipulator considered in this paper is composed of n similar sections connected in series as shown in Fig. 3, where the left-hand-side is assumed to be fixed. First, let us concentrate on the stiffness analysis of the "straight" initial unloaded configuration for which $q_i = 0, \forall i, (x, y) = (2nb, 0)$. This configuration is achieved by applying equal control inputs $(L_{i1}^0, L_{i2}^0, i=1, 2, ..., n)$ to all mechanism segments. Under such assumptions, it is necessary to investigate the influence of the external forces $F_e = (F_x, F_y)$, which causes the end-effector displacements in the neighborhood of (x, y) = (2nb, 0), moving it to а new equilibrium location $(x, y) = (2n \cdot b - \delta_x, \delta_y)$ corresponding to some nonzero configuration variables $(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n)$.

For this manipulator, the desired force-deflection relations $F_x(\delta_x, \delta_y)$ and $F_y(\delta_x, \delta_y)$ can be derived from the manipulator mutual kinematic and elastostatic analysis. The kinematic equations are written here as

$$2n \cdot b - \delta_{x} = b + 2b \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\cos(\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}) \right) + b \cos(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i})$$

$$\delta_{y} = 2b \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sin(\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}) \right) + b \sin(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i})$$
(5)



Figure 4. The energy functions $E(q_1,q_4)$, $E(q_1,q_2)$ and their critical points corresponding to the static equilibriums



Figure 5. The contour plots of the energy functions $E(q_1, q_4)$, for different manipulator configurations

where the parameter *b* defines the length of separate segments (see Fig. 1). It is clear that these two equations include *n* unknown variables $(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n)$, which create redundancy of the order *n*-2. This redundancy will be resolved below by applying the minimum elastic energy principle allowing to find the desired equilibrium configuration angles using the following matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_{q1} \\ \dots \\ M_{qn} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 2} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} F_{x} \\ F_{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(6)

which includes the manipulator Jacobian

where $\eta_j = 2$ for j < n and $\eta_j = 1$ for j = n. So totally, combining both geometric and elastostatic equations (5) and (6) one can obtain n+2 nonlinear equations for n+2 unknowns $q_i, \forall i$ and F_x, F_y , assuming that (δ_x, δ_y) are known.

Obviously, in the general cases such a nonlinear system can only be solved numerically, using Newton's method for example. However, for relatively small *n* it is possible to apply the semi-analytical technique. For instance, if n=4 the geometric model (5) allows us to reduce analytically the number of unknown variables down to two. In particular, if the angles q_1 and q_2 are assumed to be known, the remaining ones q_3 and q_4 can be computed from the classical inverse kinematics of the two-link manipulator. Further, it is possible to compute the two-variable energy function $E(q_i,q_j)$ and find its minimums and maximums numerically, which define the stable and unstable equilibriums respectively. Examples of such computations are presented in Figs. 4 and 5, where the independent variables are (q_1,q_4) and (q_1,q_2) .

IV. MANIPULATOR STIFFNESS BEHAVIOR

As follows from the energy plots presented in Fig. 4, in each case there are two global maximums and two global minimums corresponding to the stable and unstable equilibriums respectively. Besides, there are also two saddle points here that were discovered after numerical analysis of the energy function gradient. These saddle points also correspond to the unstable equilibriums. So in this case study, the energy functions $E(q_i,q_j)$ allow detecting six equilibriums: two stable ones with "U-shape" of the manipulator, two unstable with "Z-shape" and two unstable with "ZU-shape". However, it is worth mentioning that for other combinations of the geometric parameters (a/b, L^o/b) and end-effector deflections (δx , δy), the energy function may have a higher number of critical points.

This approach allows us also to obtain the forcedeflection relations. In fact, the energy minimums defining stable equilibriums provide us with the configuration angles $(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n)$ allowing to find the force (F_x, F_y) corresponding to the given displacement (δ_x, δ_y) . From the expression

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{x} \\ F_{y} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{q}} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} M_{q1} \\ \dots \\ M_{qn} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

which is based on the Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse of the manipulator Jacobian \mathbf{J}_{q} and the joint torques expressions M_{qi} from (2) for the configuration angles of the stable equilibriums. Applying such techniques for different (δ_x, δ_y) one can get the desired force-deflection relations $F_x(\delta_x, \delta_y)$ and $F_y(\delta_x, \delta_y)$.

Examples of such curves for the case n=4 and $(\delta x=var, \delta y=0)$ are presented in Fig. 6, which clearly demonstrate the jump discontinuity at the beginning of the curve $F_x(\delta_x, 0)$. Hence, the stiffness properties of the considered multi-segment manipulator are essentially nonlinear and force-deflection relations are discontinuous, which is observed physically as the "buckling" phenomenon.

To compute the critical force causing the buckling, let us apply the following analytical technique. First, let us linearize the geometric model (5)

$$\delta_{x}/b = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}\right)^{2}$$

$$\delta_{y}/b = 2\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}$$
(9)

and to present the Jacobian as

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{q}} = b \begin{bmatrix} -\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\eta_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i} \right) - \sum_{j=2}^{n} \left(\eta_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i} \right) \dots - \sum_{j=n}^{n} \left(\eta_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{j} q_{i} \right) \\ 2n-1 & 2n-3 \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times n} (10)$$

that can be also expressed in matrix form as follows

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{q}} @ b \cdot \left[\mathbf{S}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{q} \mid \mathbf{S}_{0} \right]^{\mathrm{I}}$$
(11)



Figure 6. The force-deflection curves for the 4-link manipulator with the geometric parameters a/b=1.0, $L^0/b=1.0$, k=1, ($\delta x=var$, $\delta y=0$).

For example, for n=4 the above Jacobian and the matrices S_1 , S_0 are written as

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{T}} = b \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -7q_{1} - 5q_{2} - 3q_{3} - q_{4} & 7\\ -5q_{1} - 5q_{2} - 3q_{3} - q_{4} & 5\\ -3q_{1} - 3q_{2} - 3q_{3} - q_{4} & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{S}_{1} = -\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 5 & 3 & 1\\ 5 & 5 & 3 & 1\\ 3 & 3 & 3 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(12)

and $\mathbf{S}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 5 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$. The latter allows us to rewrite the static equilibrium equation (6) as

$$K_{eq}b^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{q} \cdot F_x + \mathbf{S}_0 \cdot F_y = \mathbf{0}$$
(13)

where $K_{eq} = dM_{qi}/dq_i$, $\forall i$ is the equivalent stiffness of the manipulator joints computed by linearization $M_{qi} \approx K_{eqi} \cdot q_i$ of the torque expression (2) for $q_i \rightarrow 0$ which yields

$$K_{eq} = \frac{k}{b} \left[2 \left(b^2 - a^2 \right) - b L^0 \right] \tag{14}$$

Then, let us assume that $\delta y=0$ and combine corresponding constraints obtained from the geometric model (9)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2n-1 & 2n-3 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{q} \equiv \mathbf{S}_0^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{q} = 0$$
 (15)

with the static equilibrium condition (6), which yields the matrix equation with n+2 unknowns $q_1,...,q_n$ and F_x , F_y

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_{1} & \mathbf{0}_{n\times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1\times n} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ F_{y} \end{bmatrix} \cdot F_{x} + \begin{bmatrix} K_{eq}b^{-1}\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} & \mathbf{S}_{0} \\ \mathbf{S}_{0}^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ F_{y} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$
(16)

that can be further rewritten in the form

$$\left(\mathbf{A} \cdot F_x + \mathbf{B}\right) \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \tag{17}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{S}_1 & \mathbf{0}_{n\times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1\times n} & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{eq}b^{-1}\mathbf{I}_{n\times n} & \mathbf{S}_0 \\ \mathbf{S}_0^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q} \\ F_{y} \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

	λ	α_1	$lpha_2$	$\alpha_{_3}$	$lpha_{_4}$	$\alpha_{_5}$
#1	-1.746	0.525	-0.227	-0.719	-0.388	-0.075
#2	-0.734	0.352	-0.707	0.162	0.590	-0.050
#3	-0.520	0.124	-0.387	0.589	-0.699	-0.018

Table. 1 Nonzero eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors of matrix $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$ for 4-segment manipulator with the geometric parameters a/b=1.0, $L^0/b=1.0$, k=1.

It can be easily seen that, the obtained matrix equation (17) with unknowns $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ and $F_x \in \mathbf{R}^1$ is similar to the equation considered in the classical matrix analysis for computing the matrix eigenvectors and eigenvalues. In fact, it can be proved that the matrix **B** is invertible, so (17) can be presented in the standard form as

$$(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A} - \lambda \cdot \mathbf{I})\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}; \qquad \lambda = -1/F_x \qquad (19)$$

Hence, the desired critical force F_x^0 causing the buckling can be computed using the largest (in absolute value) eigenvalue of the matrix $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$

$$F_x^0 = -\left(\max_i \left|\lambda_i\right|\right)^{-1} \tag{20}$$

which corresponds to the smallest amplitude of the external force F_x ensuring the manipulator equilibrium with $\mathbf{q} \neq 0$. For example, for n=4 and $K_{eq}b^{-1}=1$, relevant computing yields the following eigenvalues

$$\lambda_i \in \{-1.746, -0.734, -0.520, 0, 0\}$$

whose eigenvectors are presented in Table 1. It can be also proved that for $n \ge 3$, there are exactly n-1 nonzero eigenvalues here.

Using the obtained eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, ..., \mathbf{v}_{n-1}$ corresponding to the nonzero eigenvalues, it is possible to express in parametric form the variables q_i and F_y as

$$q_i = \alpha_i \cdot t, \ (i = 1, ..., n); \quad F_y = \alpha_{n+1} \cdot t$$
 (21)

where α_i are the components of the eigenvector $\mathbf{v} = [\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{n+1}]^T$ and *t* is some small number. This presentation allows us to express the manipulator elastostatic energy in equilibrium configuration as

$$E_{eq} = \frac{1}{2} K_{eq} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^2 \cdot t^2$$
 (22)

and also to compute the corresponding deflection δx

$$\delta_x / b = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j \alpha_i \right)^2 t^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right)^2 t^2 \quad @ \ \mu_x \cdot t^2 \quad (23)$$

The latter allows us to compare the elastostatic energy corresponding to different equilibriums with the same δ_x , which defines the parameters $t = \sqrt{\delta_x b^{-1} \mu_x^{-1}}$ and leads to the following expression for the energy

$$E_{eq} = \mu_{eq} \cdot K_{eq} \cdot \delta_x / 2b \tag{24}$$

where

$$\mu_{eq} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^2 / \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} \alpha_i \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \right)^2 \right)$$
(25)

Besides, such presentation allows us to express the forces F_x , F_y in the equilibrium neighborhood after buckling as

	<i>q</i> 1	<i>q</i> 2	<i>q</i> 3	<i>q</i> 4	Geometric shape	Stability	Energy factor μ_{eq}
Case #1 q1<0	-	+	+	+	U shape:	stable	1.1447
	_	+		+	Z shape: 7-	unstable	3.8429
	_	+		-	ZU shape:	unstable	2.7272
Case #2 q1>0	+			-	U shape:	stable	1.1447
	+	Ι	+	-	Z shape:	unstable	3.8429
	+	-	+	+	ZU shape: J	unstable	2.7272

Table 2 Possible manipulator shapes in static equilibrium after the buckling for n=4.

$$F_x \approx F_x^0; \quad F_y \approx \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\sqrt{b\mu_x}} \cdot \sqrt{\delta_x}$$
 (26)

which is in good agreement with Fig. 6, where the curve $F_x(\delta_x)$ is quasi-linear and the shape of the curve $F_y(\delta_x)$ follows to the shape of $\sqrt{\delta_x}$.

The parameterized presentation of the joint angles $q_i = \alpha_i \cdot t$ allows us also to evaluate the manipulator shape in the possible equilibrium configurations. In fact, the matrix $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$ provides us with n-1 different sets of $\{\alpha_1,...,\alpha_n\}$ corresponding to nonzero eigenvalues. Each of such set yields to two symmetrical equilibriums (for t > 0and t < 0), whose shape can be evaluated by analyzing the signs of α_i . Hence, the total number of the different equilibriums is equal to 2(n-1), but two of them providing the minimum of the elastostatic energy are globally stable. Examples of possible manipulator shapes in static equilibrium after the buckling for n=4 are presented in Table 2, where the "U-shape" is stable and "Z-shape" is unstable. Besides, here there is an additional "ZU-shape" that is also unstable.

V. CONCLUSION

The paper focuses on the stiffness analysis of a new type of compliant serial manipulator composed of dual-triangle segments, which is a specific case of the tensegrity mechanisms that currently are widely used in soft robotics. The main attention is paid to the initial straight configuration of the manipulator, which may suddenly change its shape under the loading. A similar property is known from the Euler column mechanics where it is called buckling. It was proved that under the external loading such manipulator may have six equilibrium configurations but only two of them are stable. To find these equilibriums, both the straightforward energy method and the proposed analytical technique were used. The latter of them is based on the VJM approach and allowed to find the relations between the end-effector deflection and the external force, as well as to compute a critical force causing the buckling analytically. It should also mentioned that such method can be generally used for the similar structure serial manipulators. Besides, the manipulator shape under the loading was analyzed in detail. Relevant simulation study and comparison with the energy method confirmed the validity of the developed technique and demonstrated its advantages in non-linear stiffness analysis. In the future, this technique will be used for development of relevant control algorisms and related redundancy resolution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the China Scholarship Council (No. 201801810036).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. I. Frecker, G. K. Ananthasuresh, S. Nishiwaki, N. Kikuchi, and S. Kota, "Topological Synthesis of Compliant Mechanisms Using Multi-Criteria Optimization," Journal of Mechanical Design, vol. 119, no. 2, pp. 238–245, Jun. 1997, doi: 10.1115/1.2826242.
- [2] A. Albu-Schaffer et al., "Soft robotics," IEEE Robotics Automation Magazine, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 20–30, Sep. 2008, doi: 10.1109/MRA.2008.927979.
- [3] M. Y. Wang and S. Chen, "Compliant Mechanism Optimization: Analysis and Design with Intrinsic Characteristic Stiffness," Mechanics Based Design of Structures and Machines, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 183–200, May 2009, doi: 10.1080/15397730902761932.
- [4] L. L. Howell, "Compliant Mechanisms," in 21st Century Kinematics, London, 2013, pp. 189–216, doi: 10.1007/978-1-4471-4510-3_7.
- [5] R. E. Skelton and M. C. de Oliveira, Tensegrity systems. Berlin: Springer, 2009.
- [6] K. W. Moored, T. H. Kemp, N. E. Houle, and H. Bart-Smith, "Analytical predictions, optimization, and design of a tensegritybased artificial pectoral fin," International Journal of Solids and Structures, vol. 48, no. 22–23, pp. 3142–3159, Nov. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2011.07.008.
- [7] M. Arsenault and C. M. Gosselin, "Kinematic, static and dynamic analysis of a planar 2-DOF tensegrity mechanism," Mechanism and Machine Theory, vol. 41, no. 9, pp. 1072–1089, Sep. 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2005.10.014.
- [8] M. Furet, M. Lettl, and P. Wenger, "Kinematic Analysis of Planar Tensegrity 2-X Manipulators," in Advances in Robot Kinematics 2018, vol. 8, J. Lenarcic and V. Parenti-Castelli, Eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019, pp. 153–160.
- [9] M. Furet, M. Lettl, and P. Wenger, "Kinematic Analysis of Planar Tensegrity 2-X Manipulators," in Advances in Robot Kinematics 2018, vol. 8, J. Lenarcic and V. Parenti-Castelli, Eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019, pp. 153–160.
- [10] P. Wenger and D. Chablat, "Kinetostatic analysis and solution classification of a class of planar tensegrity mechanisms," Robotica, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 1214–1224, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1017/S026357471800070X.
- [11] Zhao, W., Pashkevich, A., Klimchik, A. and Chablat, D., "Stiffness Analysis of a New Tensegrity Mechanism based on Planar Dualtriangles". In Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Informatics in Control, Automation and Robotics - Vol 1: ICINCO, July. 2020, ISBN 978-989-758-442-8, pages 402-411. doi: 10.5220/0009803104020411
- [12] W. Zhao, A. Pashkevich, A. Klimchik, and D. Chablat, "The Stability and Stiffness Analysis of a Dual-Triangle Planar Rotation Mechanism," Volume 10: 44th Mechanisms and Robotics Conference (MR), 2020, doi: 10.1115/DETC2020-22076.
- [13] Goyal R, Skelton R E, Peraza Hernandez E A. "Efficient design of lightweight reinforced tensegrities under local and global failure constraints". Journal of Applied Mechanics, 2020, 87(11). https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4048049
- [14] Zhao W, Pashkevich A, Klimchik A, et al. "Kinematic Control of compliant serial manipulators composed of dual-triangles". 2021 International Conference on Computer, Control and Robotics (ICCCR). IEEE, 2021: 93-97. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCCR49711.2021.9349285.