



HAL
open science

High incidence of postoperative infections after pancreaticoduodenectomy: A need for perioperative anti-infectious strategies

P. Bortolotti, C. Delpierre, Rémi Le Guern, E. Kipnis, G. Lebuffe, X. Lenne, F.-R. Pruvot, S. Truant, A. Bignon, M. El Amrani

► To cite this version:

P. Bortolotti, C. Delpierre, Rémi Le Guern, E. Kipnis, G. Lebuffe, et al.. High incidence of postoperative infections after pancreaticoduodenectomy: A need for perioperative anti-infectious strategies. *Infectious Diseases Now*, 2021, pp.In Press, Corrected Proof. 10.1016/j.idnow.2021.01.001 . hal-03311398

HAL Id: hal-03311398

<https://hal.science/hal-03311398>

Submitted on 22 Aug 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Title

High incidence of postoperative infections after pancreaticoduodenectomy: a need for perioperative anti-infectious strategies.

Authors

Perrine Bortolotti^{a,b,¶} and Clément Delpierre^{a,¶}, Rémi Leguern^{b,c}, Eric Kipnis^{a,b}, Gilles Lebuffe^{a,d}, Xavier Lenne^e, Francois-René Pruvot^{f,g}, Stéphanie Truant^{f,g}, Anne Bignon^a, Mehdi El Amrani^{f,g}

^aCHU Lille, Pôle d'Anesthésie-Réanimation, F-59000 Lille, France

^bUniv. Lille, CNRS, Inserm, Institut Pasteur de Lille, U1019 - UMR 8204 - CIIL - Center for Infection and Immunity of Lille, F-59000 Lille, France

^cCHU Lille, Institut de Microbiologie, F-59000 Lille, France

^dUniv. Lille, EA 7365 - GRITA - Groupe de Recherche sur les formes Injectables et les Technologies Associées, F-59000 Lille, France

^eCHU Lille, Département d'information médicale, F-59000 Lille, France

^fCHU Lille, Département de Chirurgie Digestive et Transplantation, F-59000 Lille, France

^gUniv. Lille, CNRS, Inserm, CHU Lille, UMR9020 - UMR-S 1277 - Canther - Cancer Heterogeneity, Plasticity and Resistance to Therapies, F-59000, Lille, France

[¶]Contribute equally to this work

e-mail address of the authors

perrine.bortolotti@gmail.com. Corresponding author

clemdelp@gmail.com

remi.leguern@chru-lille.fr

ekipnis@gmail.com

gilles.lebuffe@chru-lille.fr

xavier.lenne@chru-lille.fr

francois-rene.pruvot@chru-lille.fr

stephanie.truant@chru-lille.fr

anne.bignon@chru-lille.fr

mehdi.elamrani@chru-lille.fr

Corresponding author

Perrine Bortolotti

perrine.bortolotti@gmail.com

Abstract

Objectives: Postoperative infections occur frequently after pancreaticoduodenectomy, especially in patients with bile colonization. Recommendations for perioperative anti-infectious treatment are lacking, and clinical practice is heterogenous. We have analyzed the effects of bile colonization and antibiotic prophylaxis on postoperative infection rates, types and therapeutic consequences.

Methods: Retrospective observational study in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy with intraoperative bile culture. Data on postoperative infections and non-infectious complications, bile cultures and antibiotic prophylaxis adequacy to biliary bacteria were collected.

Results: Among 129 patients, 53% had a positive bile culture and 23% had received appropriate antibiotic prophylaxis. Postoperative documented infection rate was over 40% in patients with or without bile colonization, but antibiotic therapy was more frequent in positive bile culture patients (77% vs 57%, $p=0,008$). The median duration of antibiotic therapy was 11 days and included a broad-spectrum molecule in 42% of cases. Two-thirds of documented postoperative infections involved one or more bacteria isolated in bile cultures, which was associated with a higher complication rate. While bile culture yielded Gram-negative bacilli (57%) and Gram-positive cocci (43%), fungal microorganisms were scarce. Adequate preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis according to bile culture was not associated with reduced infectious or non-infectious complication rates.

Conclusion: Patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy experience a high rate of postoperative infections, often involving bacteria from perioperative bile culture when positive, with no preventive effect of an adequate preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis. Increased post-operative complications in patients with bile colonization may render necessary a perioperative antibiotic treatment targeting bile microorganisms. Further prospective studies are needed to improve the anti-infectious strategy in these patients.

Keywords

Perioperative infection

Surgical site infection

Cephalic pancreaticoduodenectomy

Antimicrobial prophylaxis

Bile colonization

Introduction

Cephalic pancreaticoduodenectomy (CPD) is major surgery whose main indication is the treatment of pancreatic adenocarcinoma [1]. Progress in perioperative management has reduced mortality to less than 5% in expert centers [2-4]. However, postoperative morbidity remains high (30-60%), mainly attributed to infections with reported incidences of approximately 40-75% and 10-50% for all types of infections and surgical site infections (SSI) respectively [5-9].

Currently, only preoperative, single-dose surgical antibiotic prophylaxis with cefazolin or ceftiofloxacin is recommended by the French Society of Anesthesia and Resuscitation (SFAR) [4]. The recommendations have recently evolved to exclude patients with preoperative biliary drainage and stenting, that is to say half of the patients. These patients frequently present bile colonization with bacteria that are resistant in more than 2/3 of cases to the molecules recommended in surgical antibiotic prophylaxis [5,6]. Multiple retrospective studies report an over 50% increase in the incidence of postoperative infections in this specific population, and suggest a benefit of broad-spectrum perioperative probabilistic antibiotic therapy rather than surgical antibiotic prophylaxis [2,3,5-11]. Some physicians have adopted this strategy in clinical practice.

The main objective of this retrospective study is to describe the nature and incidence of postoperative infections after CPD according to bile culture and the adequacy of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis relative to microorganisms isolated in bile culture. The secondary objectives are to describe the incidence of non-infectious postoperative complications in the same study groups, and to describe bacterial and fungal microorganisms found in intraoperative bile cultures.

Patients and method

Patients

In this observational, retrospective, monocentric study conducted in a high-volume expert center, all patients aged 18 years or more undergoing elective CPD for benign or malignant peri-ampullary tumors in the Department of Digestive Surgery and Transplantation of the Lille University Hospital between January 2014 and December 2018 were included.

The exclusion criteria were age < 18 years, pancreatic resections other than CPD or extended to the entire pancreas (total pancreaticoduodenectomy) or to neighboring organs, emergency surgery, patients operated in another hospital and transferred for management of a complication.

Method

The main demographic, clinical and biological preoperative characteristics of the patients were collected. All patients received surgical antibiotic prophylaxis administered 30 minutes before incision by cefazolin (2g then 1g every 4 hours, double dose if BMI > 35kg/m² and bodyweight > 100kg) according to the SFAR guidelines or by ceftiofloxacin (2g then 1g/2h, double dose if BMI > 35kg/m² and bodyweight > 100kg). In case of allergy to β -lactams, patients received a combination of clindamycin 600mg (reinjection 600mg if duration > 4h, induction dose modified to 900mg following the 2017 guidelines) and gentamicin 5 mg/kg as a single injection [4].

The surgical technique consisted, after midline laparotomy, of resection by a two-stage procedure of the head of the pancreas, gastric antrum, first jejunal loop, gall bladder, bile duct and duodenum. The excision step consisted of a single-piece resection with locoregional lymph node dissection in the case of pancreatic or periampullary malignancy. The reconstruction step consisted in restoring pancreatic, biliary and digestive continuity and it required anastomoses, which were most often

pancreatico-jejunal, hepatic and gastroenteroanastomoses. A feeding jejunostomy was performed as required case-by-case. Suction drainage of pancreatico-jejunal and biliodigestive anastomoses was performed systematically. A trans-wirsung drain was placed if patients had risk factors for pancreatic fistula (soft pancreas, small pancreatic duct diameter [1]). Surgery duration, blood loss, and volume of blood transfusion were recorded.

Intraoperative bile sampling by trans-vesicular route or after section of the main bile duct was systematically performed for bacteriological analysis by the Bacteriology Laboratory of the Lille University Hospital. In the presence of yeasts, the sample was sent to the Mycology Laboratory for identification and an antifongigram. The antibiograms of all bacteria isolated in bile samples were analyzed, thereby assessing the adequacy of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis relative to isolated biliary microorganisms. In case of polymicrobial bile culture, surgical antibiotic prophylaxis was deemed inappropriate if at least one of the isolated bacteria was resistant.

A postoperative infection was defined by isolation of a pathogen from a microbiological sample culture resulting in the prescription of antibiotic therapy (peritoneal fluid, abscess, collection, blood culture, catheter culture, sputum, urine culture), need for drainage of a superficial or deep collection and appearance of a pancreatic fistula within 30 days of surgery. SSIs were defined according to the CDC recommendations as the presence of a superficial or deep incisional infection, an intra-abdominal organ or space infection requiring surgery, or the presence of a pancreatic fistula, defined according to the recommendations of the International Study Group of Pancreatic Surgery (ISGPF) [12,13].

The frequency of postoperative antibiotic therapy and its main characteristics, including median duration of treatment, antibiotic therapies lasting more than 7 days, use of broad-spectrum molecules (piperacillin-tazobactam, carbapenem) and combinations with an aminoglycoside or a fluoroquinolone were noted. Isolation from a microbiological culture of the same microorganism as the one found in the bile was studied at different sampling sites (blood, peritoneal fluid or abscess, catheter culture, sputum, urine).

The severity of postoperative complications was assessed according to the Clavien-Dindo classification [14]. Serious complications were defined by a score ≥ 3 . Gastroparesis (defined according to ISGPS [15]), hemorrhage, surgical revision procedures as well as all surgical or radiological drainage procedures were systematically identified. Postoperative mortality was defined as death occurring within 90 days of the procedure. The need for intensive care and the total length of hospital stay were also recorded.

Statistical analysis:

Qualitative variables are expressed as percentages and quantitative variables as mean +/- standard deviation if the distribution is normal, median with interquartile range otherwise. A Chi-square test was used to compare the qualitative variables. A multinomial logistic regression was performed to explain the risk factors for serious complications. All p-values presented were bilateral and the significance level was set at $p < 0.05$. Statistical analyses were performed with STATA 13 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

Results

One hundred and twenty-nine patients with available intraoperative bile sample culture results were included in the study among the 158 patients initially eligible. Two groups were constituted according to the presence ($n=69/129$, 53%) or absence ($n=60/129$, 47%) of bile colonization. In

patients with bile colonization, surgical antibiotic prophylaxis was adequate according to colonizing biliary microorganisms in 23% (16/69) of cases (Figure 1).

Study population. The pre- and intraoperative patient characteristics are presented in Table I according to the presence or absence of bile colonization. Preoperative biliary drainage was performed in 44% (57/129) of the patients. Bile colonization was significantly associated with preoperative biliary drainage, 88% (50/57) of drained patients had a positive bile culture versus 26% (19/72) of non-drained patients ($p=0.001$).

Postoperative infections and antibiotic therapies. No significant difference was found between colonized patients and those with negative bile cultures in the incidence of documented SSI and other postoperative infections (Table II). The main characteristics of postoperative antibiotic therapies are reported in Table III. Patients with bile colonization more frequently received postoperative antibiotic therapy for documented or clinically suspected infection (77% vs. 57%, $p=0.008$), with a non-significant trend towards longer treatment durations. The use of broad-spectrum molecules or a combination of antibiotics was similar between the two groups.

Involvement of biliary microorganisms in postoperative infections. Thirty-eight percent (26/69) of patients with bile colonization developed a documented postoperative infection, 65% (17/26) of whom were infected with at least one of the bacteria isolated in bile cultures. Biliary bacteria were found in 80% (8/10) of patients with intra-abdominal infection and 46% (5/11) of bacteremic patients (Appendix 1).

Non-infectious complications. No significant difference in the incidence of non-infectious complications was found between colonized patients and those with negative bile cultures (Appendix 2).

Impact of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis adequacy relative to biliary colonization on the incidence of complications. Surgical antibiotic prophylaxis with cefazolin or cefoxitin was adequate to biliary microorganisms in only 23% (16/69) of cases. There was no significant difference in the incidence of SSI, other postoperative infections, surgical morbidity or postoperative antibiotic therapies, regardless of adequacy relative to bile colonization (Table IV). The risk factors for presenting a severe complication (Clavien-Dindo score ≥ 3) in multivariate analysis are presented in Table V. Preoperative biliary drainage was a protective factor (OR=0.241 [0.063-0.919], $p=0.037$), while postoperative infection involving bacteria found in bile cultures increased the risk of severe complications 7.7-fold (OR=7.66 [1.94-30.23], $p=0.004$).

Microbiological analysis of bile cultures. Bacteriological analysis is detailed in Appendix 3. The samples were polymicrobial in 83% (57/69) of the patients. The most frequently isolated microorganisms were Gram-negative bacilli (GNB) (57%) with a predominance of *Escherichia coli* (19%) and *Klebsiella* spp. (14%), followed by Gram-positive cocci (GPC) (43%) with a predominance of *Enterococci* (29%) and *Streptococci* (10%). There was no significant difference in the distribution of GPC (42% vs 48% respectively) and GNB (58% vs 52% respectively, $p=0.44$) between patients with and without preoperative biliary drainage, and the distribution of the main species was similar. In contrast, bile colonization was more frequently polymicrobial in patients with preoperative biliary drainage (90% vs 63%, $p= 0.01$). There was no significant difference in antimicrobial resistance between drained and non-drained patients, the percentage of GNB resistant to third-generation cephalosporins being 11.7% vs 12.5% respectively. *Staphylococcus aureus*, only found in 3 drained patients, were all sensitive to methicillin. The proportion of *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* was similar between the two groups. Four percent (5/129) of the patients had bile colonization with *Candida* spp.. This was always associated with a polymicrobial bacterial culture.

Discussion

We report a high incidence of postoperative infections with a high consumption of antibiotics after CPD, confirming the need for a perioperative strategy designed to prevent infectious morbidity in this specific surgery. Postoperative antibiotic therapy for documented or clinically suspected infection was more frequent in patients with bile colonization, and 2/3 of documented postoperative infections involved one or more bacteria isolated in bile culture with an increased risk of severe complications. Taken together, these results suggest that perioperative antibiotic therapy may need to be adapted to biliary bacterial ecology. The high incidence of surgical site infections even when surgical antibiotic prophylaxis is deemed adequate relative to bile sampling suggests the ineffectiveness of this single-dose approach in CPD. The prevalence of *Enterococcus faecium* and yeasts remains low, suggesting that in the probabilistic treatment, they may not be necessary except in severe cases.

The reduction of postoperative infectious morbidity is a major issue in CPD, as it is associated with an increase in overall morbimortality and indirectly impairs long-term survival by delaying access to adjuvant therapies in the neoplastic context [16-18]. In our study, more than 60% of patients had a documented or suspected postoperative infection leading to the prescription of antibiotic therapy. These incidences are similar to those reported in the literature [3,7,8,16]. Postoperative infections are also responsible for antibiotic overuse, as observed in our work where the median duration of treatment was 11 days and included a broad-spectrum molecule in 40% of cases. These data suggest the need for a preventive anti-infectious strategy to reduce postoperative morbidity and to forestall extended exposure to antibiotics.

Preoperative biliary drainage is a known risk factor for bile colonization, and was found in 88% of drained patients compared with only 24% of non-drained patients in our study [7,10]. Numerous studies clearly identify drainage and the resulting bile colonization as risk factors for postoperative infections [2,10,17,19-21]. However, this association was not found in our study, in which preoperative biliary drainage appeared to be a protective factor against the occurrence of serious complications. The protective effect of bile drainage, also found by others [3,18,22], could be the consequence of various confounding mechanisms resulting from the reduction in preoperative jaundice. Indeed, jaundice promotes complications through various mechanisms such as malnutrition, altered immune function and renal or hepatic dysfunction [23,24]. However, bile colonization was associated with a higher rate of postoperative antibiotic therapy compared with patients with sterile bile culture (77% vs 57%, $p = 0,008$), suggesting a higher incidence of clinically suspected although not microbiologically documented infection.

Other data argue for an association between bile colonization and postoperative infections. The involvement of bacteria isolated from bile cultures in postoperative infections has been reported by other teams [16,17,25]. In our study, biliary bacteria were found in two thirds of colonized patients with documented postoperative infection. Infections with biliary bacteria were associated with an increased risk of severe complications. In a randomized controlled trial, Okamura et al. showed that a 2-day postoperative antibiotic treatment targeting bacteria found in preoperative bile cultures reduced the incidence of SSIs by almost 50% [11]. Similarly, Mohammed and al. reported in retrospective work a reduction in the incidence of SSIs and in the severity of complications in patients receiving a 10-day postoperative antibiotic therapy targeting bacteria from intraoperative bile cultures [6]. Taken altogether, these results suggest a role for perioperative antibiotic therapy targeting biliary bacteria rather than narrow-spectrum, single dose antibiotic prophylaxis.

In our work, antibiotic prophylaxis as recommended by the SFAR was adequate relative to bile microorganisms in only 23% of cases, the biliary ecology being mainly composed of *Enterococci* and group 3 *Enterobacteria* naturally resistant to C1G and C2G. These results are consistent with data from the literature, in which antibiotics recommended in surgical antibiotic prophylaxis cover biliary bacteria in less than 30% of cases [26]. However, when adequate, surgical antibiotic prophylaxis was not associated with a reduction in SSIs or other complications. The failure of preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis to reduce SSIs may be due to an overly narrow spectrum and/or short duration of the treatment. Indeed, some studies have reported that changing surgical antibiotic prophylaxis from a narrow to a broad-spectrum antibiotic was associated with a reduction in SSIs, along with a reduction in postoperative antibiotic consumption [8,22]. Furthermore, compared with a 24-hour treatment, extending perioperative antibiotic therapy up to 72 hours reduced the occurrence of SSI [5].

Regarding the microbial ecology of our center, a probabilistic short-term per- and post-operative antibiotic therapy targeting GNB of groups 1 to 3, GPC including *Enterococcus faecalis* and anaerobes may be proposed. Bile colonization was polymicrobial in more than 80% of cases, similar to the incidence reported by others [26]. Biliary ecology was similar between drained and non-drained patients, suggesting a colonization mechanism “a retro” from the digestive tract to bile ducts by a community commensal flora. Although rarely isolated from cultures, the presence of anaerobic bacteria in the bile was confirmed in a study of the biliary microbiota by metagenomic analysis based on 16S RNA[27]. The pathogenicity of *Enterococci* is still uncertain. However, Belmouhand et al. found an increased incidence of postoperative fistulas in cases of intra-abdominal enterococcal infection, arguing for their consideration in the probabilistic treatment [28]. The probabilistic use of a molecule targeting *Enterococcus faecium* is debatable, this species being found in only 7% of the biliary samples and 8% of the postoperative infections documented in our study (3 intra-abdominal samples and one blood culture). This is also true for yeasts, whose prevalence was low in bile cultures (<7%) and in the documented postoperative infections (7%; 1 blood culture and 3 intra-abdominal samples). However, some teams have decided to include these microorganisms in their probabilistic perioperative antibiotic therapy, for example by using tigecycline as an alternative to piperacillin-tazobactam [22] or a combination of piperacillin-tazobactam and vancomycin [8]. Others have decided to add fluconazole to the antibiotic treatment [5].

The target population for this perioperative anti-infectious strategy has yet to be defined. It could preferentially be applied in patients with biliary stenting, considered to be at higher risk of infections. In this group of patients with a high rate of bile colonization, pancreaticoduodenectomy may be considered as a class 3 surgery of the Altemeier surgical wound classification. All in all, a probabilistic perioperative antibiotic therapy rather than prophylaxis may be more suitable when bile is colonized. This strategy has been studied retrospectively and prospectively in observational works in France in comparison with the preoperative surgical antibiotic prophylaxis proposed by the SFAR. An antibiotic therapy with piperacillin-tazobactam, started preoperatively and continued for 5 days if bile cultures were positive, reduced the incidence of SSIs and other postoperative infections. It also reduced the length of hospital stay [9]. The same strategy, including an adaptation of antibiotic therapy to bile cultures at 48 hours, showed comparable results [7]. A third retrospective tricentric study (oral communication) compared the use of piperacillin-tazobactam associated with gentamicin as a perioperative antibiotic therapy, continued for 5 days and adapted to biliary sampling, to the conventional antibiotic prophylaxis. This antibiotic regimen was associated with decreased incidence of postoperative intra-abdominal infections, surgical revision and length of hospital stay in the “at-risk” drained patients [26]. In addition, other studies have reported a decrease in the total duration of postoperative antibiotic therapy when using broad-spectrum perioperative molecules instead of

narrow-spectrum preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis [2,8]. While the exact modalities of perioperative antibiotic therapy have yet to be defined, shorter durations and narrower spectrum should be preferred in order to limit the emergence of bacterial resistance and the occurrence of adverse events [29,30]. Finally, molecules with a good penetration into the biliary tract may be preferred, although this has not specifically been studied [31]. To date, this strategy has not been assessed in patients without preoperative biliary drainage, who are considered to be at lower risk. The rationale for antibiotic therapy rather than single surgical prophylaxis is more debatable in this class 2 surgery of the Altemeier classification. However, several studies, including ours, have reported an incidence of postoperative infections close to "at risk" patients, suggesting that non-drained patients may also benefit from an optimized anti-infectious strategy.

This study has many limitations due to its observational, retrospective and monocentric nature with the bacterial and fungal ecologies specific to our center. Postoperative morbidity, defined as the occurrence of at least one complication, is higher than reported in the literature, concerning more than 70% of the patients. This difference may be explained by our population with more comorbidities, suggested by an ASA 3 score 25% higher than in similar studies [2,9]. Postoperative therapeutic management, including the collection of microbiological samples and the decision to administer documented or probabilistic antibiotic therapy, may vary from one physician to another and influence patient prognosis. Finally, there is a lack of statistical power, particularly for the study of the effect of an adequate, single dose antibiotic prophylaxis on SSIs incidence.

Conclusion

The findings of this study provide insights that may improve the infectious management of patients undergoing CPD. Postoperative infections after CPD occur in more than 50% of patients, leading to a high consumption of broad-spectrum antibiotics. Antibiotic therapy for clinically suspected or documented infections is more common in patients with bile colonization, reaching 75% of cases. In these patients, 2/3 of documented postoperative infections involve a microorganism isolated from the bile and seem to be associated with higher occurrence of severe complications. Surgical antibiotic prophylaxis even when appropriate to biliary bacteria does not seem effective in preventing SSIs or other postoperative infections. These results support the use of short-term probabilistic perioperative antibiotic therapy adapted to the biliary bacterial ecology. Patients with sterile bile culture may also benefit from this strategy as their postoperative infection rate remains high. Bile colonization and postoperative infections with *Enterococcus faecium* and *Candida* remain scarce, suggesting that their consideration in the spectrum of the probabilistic antimicrobial therapy may not be necessary in non-severe patients. Prospective multicenter trials evaluating different therapeutic strategies are needed to improve global management of these patients.

References

1. Farges O, Bendersky N, Truant S, Delpero J-R, Pruvot F-R, Sauvanet A. The Theory and Practice of Pancreatic Surgery in France. *Ann Surg.* 2017 Nov;266(5):797–804.
2. Cortes A, Sauvanet A, Bert F, Janny S, Sockeel P, Kianmanesh R, et al. Effect of Bile Contamination on Immediate Outcomes after Pancreaticoduodenectomy for Tumor. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2006 Jan;202(1):93–9.
3. Augenstein VA, Reuter NP, Bower MR, McMasters KM, Scoggins CR, Martin RCG. Bile cultures: A guide to infectious complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Journal of Surgical Oncology.* 2010 Jun 1;102(5):478–81.
4. Martin C, Auboyer C, Boisson M, Anesthésie HD, 2019. *Antibioprophylaxie en chirurgie et médecine interventionnelle (patients adultes). Actualisation 2017.* Elsevier
5. Fathi AH, Jackson T, Barati M, Eghbalieh B, Siegel KA, Siegel CT. Research Article Extended Perioperative Antibiotic Coverage in Conjunction with Intraoperative Bile Cultures Decreases Infectious Complications after Pancreaticoduodenectomy. *HPB Surgery.* Hindawi Publishing Corporation; 2016 Apr 11;:1–6.
6. Mohammed S, Evans C, VanBuren G, Hodges SE, Silberfein E, Artinyan A, et al. Treatment of bacteriobilia decreases wound infection rates after pancreaticoduodenectomy. *HPB.* 2014 Jun;16(6):592–8.
7. Sourrouille I, Gaujoux S, Lacave G, Bert F, Dokmak S, Belghiti J, et al. Five days of postoperative antimicrobial therapy decreases infectious complications following pancreaticoduodenectomy in patients at risk for bile contamination. *HPB.* 2013 Jun;15(6):473–80.
8. Tanaka K, Nakamura T, Imai S, Kushiya H, Miyasaka D, Nakanishi Y, et al. The use of broad-spectrum antibiotics reduces the incidence of surgical site infection after pancreatoduodenectomy. *Surg Today.* 2018 Sep;48(9):825–34.
9. Degrandi O, Buscail E, Martellotto S, Gronnier C, Collet D, Adam JP, et al. Perioperative antibiotherapy should replace prophylactic antibiotics in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy preceded by preoperative biliary drainage. *Journal of Surgical Oncology.* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2019 Jul 10;:1–7.
10. Sánchez Acedo P, Zazpe Ripa C, Eguaras Córdoba I, Herrera Cabezón J, Tarifa Castilla A, Camarero Triana B. The effect of a preoperative biliary prosthesis on the infectious complications of the pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig.* 2019;111:1–18.
11. Okamura K, Tanaka K, Miura T, Nakanishi Y, Noji T, Nakamura T, et al. Randomized controlled trial of perioperative antimicrobial therapy based on the results of preoperative bile cultures in patients undergoing biliary reconstruction. *Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences.* 2017 May 6;24(7):382–93.

12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN). Patient Safety Component Manual. Chapter 9: Surgical site infection (SSI) event. January 2017.
13. Bassi C, Marchegiani G, Dervenis C, Sarr M, Abu Hilal M, Adham M, et al. The 2016 update of the International Study Group (ISGPS) definition and grading of postoperative pancreatic fistula: 11 Years After. *Surgery*. 2017 Mar;161(3):584–91.
14. Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien P-A. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg*. 2004 Aug;240(2):205–13.
15. Wente MN, Bassi C, Dervenis C, Fingerhut A, Gouma DJ, Izbicki JR, et al. Delayed gastric emptying (DGE) after pancreatic surgery: A suggested definition by the International Study Group of Pancreatic Surgery (ISGPS). *Surgery*. 2007 Nov;142(5):761–8.
16. Herzog T, Belyaev O, Akkuzu R, Hölling J, Uhl W, Chromik AM. The Impact of Bile Duct Cultures on Surgical Site Infections in Pancreatic Surgery. *Surgical Infections*. 2015 Aug;16(4):443–9.
17. Fong ZV, McMillan MT, Marchegiani G, Sahora K, Malleo G, De Pastena M, et al. Discordance Between Perioperative Antibiotic Prophylaxis and Wound Infection Cultures in Patients Undergoing Pancreaticoduodenectomy. *JAMA Surg*. 2016 May 1;151(5):432–8.
18. Sugiura T, Mizuno T, Okamura Y, Ito T, Yamamoto Y, Kawamura I, et al. Impact of bacterial contamination of the abdominal cavity during pancreaticoduodenectomy on surgical-site infection. *Br J Surg*. 2015 Jul 21;102(12):1561–6.
19. Gavazzi F, Ridolfi C, Capretti G, Angiolini MR, Morelli P, Casari E, et al. Role of preoperative biliary stents, bile contamination and antibiotic prophylaxis in surgical site infections after pancreaticoduodenectomy. *BMC Gastroenterology*. *BMC Gastroenterology*; 2016 Mar 28;:1–11.
20. Poruk KE, Lin JA, Cooper MA, He J, Makary MA, Hirose K, et al. A novel, validated risk score to predict surgical site infection after pancreaticoduodenectomy. *HPB*. International Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Association Inc; 2016 Nov 1;18(11):893–9.
21. Takahashi Y, Takesue Y, Fujiwara M, Tatsumi S, Ichiki K, Fujimoto J, et al. Risk factors for surgical site infection after major hepatobiliary and pancreatic surgery. *Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy*. Elsevier Ltd; 2018 Jul 6;:1–5.
22. Donald GW, Sunjaya D, Lu X, Chen F, Clerkin B, Eibl G, et al. Perioperative antibiotics for surgical site infection in pancreaticoduodenectomy: Does the SCIP-approved regimen provide adequate coverage? *Surgery*. 2013 Aug;154(2):190–6.
23. Schmidt CM, Powell ES, Yiannoutsos CT, Howard TJ, Wiebke EA, Wiesenauer CA, et al. Pancreaticoduodenectomy: a 20-year experience in 516 patients. *Arch Surg*. 2004 Jul;139(7):718–25–discussion725–7.
24. Padillo FJ, Cruz A, Briceño J, Martín-Malo A, Pera-Madrado C, Sitges-Serra A. Multivariate analysis of factors associated with renal dysfunction in patients with obstructive jaundice. *Br J Surg*. 2005 Nov;92(11):1388–92.

25. Sandini M, Honselmann KC, Cereda M, Angrisani M, Gavazzi F, Wellner U, et al. The Relative Role of Bile Bacterial Isolation on Outcome in Stent-Bearing Patients Undergoing Pancreatoduodenectomy. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*; 2019 Sep 6;;1–8.
26. Fromentin M, Mullaert J, Ameto T, Benoit G, Pellegrin T. Duodenopancréatectomie céphalique (DPC) et modalités d'antibioprophylaxie une étude comparative. *Medmal*. 49(4):S8–S9.
27. Molinero N, Ruiz L, Milani C, Gutiérrez-Díaz I, Sánchez B, Mangifesta M, et al. The human gallbladder microbiome is related to the physiological state and the biliary metabolic profile. *Microbiome*. 2019 Jul 4;7(1):100.
28. Belmouhand M, Krohn PS, Svendsen LB, Henriksen A, Hansen CP, Achiam MP. The occurrence of *Enterococcus faecium* and *faecalis* Is significantly associated With anastomotic leakage After pancreaticoduodenectomy. *Scand J Surg*. 2018 Jun;107(2):107–13.
29. Raymond B. Five rules for resistance management in the antibiotic apocalypse, a road map for integrated microbial management. *Evol Appl*. 2019 Apr 24;12(6):1079–91.
30. Yamamoto T, Satoi S, Fujii T, Yamada S, Yanagimoto H, Yamaki S, et al. Dual-center randomized clinical trial exploring the optimal duration of antimicrobial prophylaxis in patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy following biliary drainage. *Ann Gastroenterol Surg*. 2018 Sep 17;2(6):442–50.
31. Thabit AK. Antibiotics in the Biliary Tract: A Review of the Pharmacokinetics and Clinical Outcomes of Antibiotics Penetrating the Bile and Gallbladder Wall. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2020 Jul;40(7):672–91.

Figure 1.




Figure 1. Study flowchart

TABLES

Table I. Preoperative and intraoperative patient characteristics

	Global population (n=129)	Positive bile culture (n=69)	Negative bile culture (n=60)	p
Sex ratio (M/W)	72/57	39/30	33/27 1,22	0,862
Age median [range]	64 [29-83]	66 [33-83]	63 [29-82]	0,039
ASA score n(%)				
1	9 (7.0%)	2 (2.9%)	7 (11.7%)	0.141
2	87 (67.4%)	49 (71.0%)	38 (63.3%)	
3	32 (24.8%)	18 (26.1%)	14 (23.3%)	
BMI* (kg/m²)	25.4 ± 4.8	25.3 ± 4.9	25.6 ± 4.8	0.601
Diabetes mellitus n(%)	32 (24.8%)	18 (26.1%)	14 (23.3%)	0.759
Renal failure n(%)	8 (6.2%)	6 (8.7%)	2 (3.3%)	0.216
Ischemic cardiomyopathy n(%)	16 (12.4%)	8 (11.6%)	8 (13.3%)	0.38
Histology n(%)				
Adenocarcinoma	66 (51.2%)	42 (60.9%)	24 (40.0%)	
IPMN	12 (9.3%)	2 (2.9%)	10 (16.7%)	
Cholangiocarcinoma	19 (14.7%)	11 (15.9%)	8 (13.3%)	
Ampullary carcinoma	6 (4.7%)	3 (4.3%)	3 (5.0%)	
Duodenal carcinoma	9 (7.0%)	2 (2.9%)	7 (11.7%)	
Chronic calcific pancreatitis	9 (7.0%)	6 (8.7%)	3 (5.0%)	
Other	8 (6.4%)	3 (4.3%)	5 (8.4%)	
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy n(%)	19 (14.7%)	13 (18.8%)	6 (10.0%)	0.158
Preoperative bile drainage n(%)	57 (44.2%)	50 (72.4%)	7 (11.7%)	<0.001
Operative time* (mn)	440±102	452±102	427±81	0.351
Blood loss* (ml)	792±861	830±937	661±677	0.171
Intraoperative transfusion n(%)	25 (19.4%)	13 (18.8%)	12 (20.0%)	0.868

mean ± SD; ASA : score of the American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI : body mass index; IPMN: intraductal papillary-mucinous neoplasm

Table II. Incidence of postoperative infections

	Global population (n=129)	Positive bile culture (n=69)	Negative bile culture (n=60)	p
All documented postoperative infection n(%)	52 (40.3%)	26 (37.7%)	26 (43.3%)	0.590
Intra-abdominal infection n(%)	18 (14%)	10 (14.5%)	8 (13.3%)	0.850
Abscess (wound and organ space) n(%)	1 (0.8%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.7%)	-
Bacteremia n(%)	21 (16.3%)	12(17.4%)	9 (15%)	0.714
CLABSI n(%)	7 (5.4%)	3 (4.3%)	4 (6.7%)	-
Respiratory tract infection n(%)	7 (5.4%)	4 (5.8)%	3 (5%)	-
Urinary tract infection (%)	20 (15.5%)	8 (11.6%)	12 (20%)	0.188
Collection drainage n(%)	20 (15,5%)	10 (14.5%)	10 (16.7%)	0.734
Pancreatic fistula n(%)	65 (50.4%)	32 (46.4%)	33 (55.0%)	0.329

CLABSI: central line associated bloodstream infection

Table III. Characteristics of postoperative antibiotic therapies

	Global population (n=129)	Positive bile culture (n=69)	Negative bile culture (n=60)	p
Postoperative antibiotic therapy n (%)	87 (67%)	53 (77%)	34 (57%)	0,008
Median duration (j)	11[7 - 17]	10[7 – 14]	14[7 – 22]	0,132
Duration >7days n(%)	63 (49%)	38 (55%)	25 (42%)	0,129
Antibiotic combination (%)	19 (15%)	11 (16%)	8 (13%)	0,678
Broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy n (%)	54 (42%)	29 (42%)	25 (42%)	0,967

Broad spectrum: piperacillin-tazobactam or carbapenem ; Antibiotic combination : a β -lactam associated with aminoglycoside or fluoroquinolone

Table IV. Impact of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis adequacy relative to biliary colonization on the incidence of complications

	Positive bile culture (n=69)		p
	Appropriate antibioprophylaxis (n=16)	Inappropriate antibioprophylaxis (n=53)	
Infections			
Documented postoperative infection n(%)	7 (43.7%)	19 (35.8%)	0.570
Collection drainage n(%)	2 (12.5%)	8 (15.1%)	1
Fistula n(%)	8 (50.0%)	24 (45.3%)	0.740
Antibiotic therapy			
Postoperative antibiotic therapy n (%)	13 (81.3%)	40 (75.5%)	0.746
Median duration (d)[§]	9 [7-14]	10 [7-17]	0.402
Duration >7days n(%)	12 (92.3%)	35 (87.5%)	1
Surgical complications			
Gastroparesis n(%)	8 (50.0%)	17 (32.1%)	0.191
Hemorrhagic complication n(%)	5 (31.3%)	7 (13.2%)	0.095
Reintervention under GA n(%)	5 (31.3%)	11 (20.8%)	0.383
Pronostic du patient			
Hospital length of stay (d)*	24,31±12	24,12±19	0.623
Hospitalisation in ICU n(%)	1 (6.3%)	4 (7.5%)	0.861
Death n(%)	1 (6.3%)	3 (5.7%)	0.930
Clavien Dindo ≥ 3 n(%)	6 (37.5%)	13 (24.5%)	0.309

*mean ± SD ; [§] median and interquartile interval; GA: general anesthesia

Table V. Multivariate analysis of risk factors for severe postoperative complications (Clavien-Dindo ≥ 3)

	OR	p	95%CI	
Preoperative drainage	0.241	0.037	0.063	0.919
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy	1.243	0.783	0.264	5.851
Inappropriate antibioprophylaxis	0.419	0.208	0.108	1.624
Postoperative infection with bile microorganism	7.659	0.004	1.941	30.228

OR : odds ratio, 95%CI : 95%-confidence interval

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Involvement of biliary bacteria in postoperative infections

Site of infection	IAI	Bc	CLABSI	RTI	UTI	Total
Number of documented infections	23	20	3	6	9	61
Similar bacteria isolated in bile	11	6	0	2	5	24
Postoperative infection with biliary bacteria (%)	48	30	0	33	56	39

IAI : Intra-abdominal infection ; Bc : blood culture ; CLABSI : central-line associated bloodstream infection

Appendix 2. Incidence of non-infectious postoperative complications

	Global population (n=129)	Positive bile culture (n=69)	Negative bile culture (n=60)	p
Surgical complications				
Gastroparesis n(%)	50 (38,8%)	25 (36,2%)	25 (41,7%)	0,527
Hemorrhagic complication n(%)	23 (17,8%)	12 (17,4%)	11 (18,3%)	0,889
Reintervention under GA n(%)	29 (22,5%)	16 (23,2%)	13 (21,7%)	0,836
Prognosis				
Hospital length of stay (d)*	24,1±15	24,2±17,6	23,9±11,3	0,555
Hospitalisation in ICU n(%)	10 (7,8%)	5 (7,2%)	5 (8,3%)	0,818
Death n(%)	8 (6,2%)	4 (5,8%)	4 (6,7%)	0,838
Clavien Dindo ≥3 n(%)	41 (31,8%)	19 (27,5%)	22 (36,7%)	0,267

*mean ± SD; GA: general anesthesia

Appendix 3. Microorganisms isolated in peroperative bile cultures

Microorganisms isolated in bile cultures	Positive bile culture (69 patients) n=168 bacteria	Without bile drainage (19 patients) n= 31 bacteria	With preoperative bile drainage (50 patients) n= 137 bacteria
Gram Positive cocci			
<i>Enterococcus</i>	48 (29%)	9 (29%)	39 (28%)
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	29 (17%)	6 (19%)	23 (17%)
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	11 (7%)	2 (6%)	9 (7%)
Autres (<i>avium, durans, gallinarum, hirae, spp</i>)	8 (5%)	1 (3%)	7 (5%)
<i>Staphylococcus</i>	6 (4%)	2 (6%)	4 (3%)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	3 (2%)	-	3 (2%)
Autres (<i>epidermidis, haemolyticus, hominis</i>)	3 (2%)	2 (6%)	1 (1%)
<i>Streptococcus</i>	17 (10%)	3 (10%)	14 (10%)
<i>Streptococcus anginosus</i>	11 (7%)	1 (3%)	10 (7%)
Autres (<i>constellatus, lutetiensis, oralis, salivarius, spp</i>)	5 (3%)	2 (6%)	3 (2%)
<i>Granulicatella Adiacens</i>	1 (1%)	1 (3%)	-
Total GP	72 (43%)	15 (48%)	57 (42%)
Gram Negative bacilli			
<i>Enterobacteriaceae</i>			
Group 1			
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	32 (19%)	7 (23%)	25 (18%)
Group 2			
<i>Klebsiella</i>	24 (14%)	1 (3%)	23 (17%)
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	19 (11%)	1 (3%)	18 (13%)
<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>	4 (2%)	-	4 (3%)
<i>Klebsiella varicola</i>	1 (1%)	-	1 (1%)
<i>Proteus</i>	4 (2%)	1 (3%)	3 (2%)
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	2 (1%)	1 (3%)	1 (1%)
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	2 (1%)	-	2 (1%)
<i>Citrobacter koseri</i>	3 (2%)	-	3 (2%)
Group 3			
<i>Enterobacter</i>	11 (7%)	1 (3%)	10 (7%)
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	7 (4%)	1 (3%)	6 (4%)
<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	4 (2%)	-	4 (3%)
<i>Hafnia alvei</i>	5 (3%)	2 (6%)	3 (2%)
<i>Morganella Morganii</i>	2 (1%)	-	2 (1%)
<i>Serratia (marcescens, liquefaciens)</i>	2 (1%)	-	2 (1%)
<i>Citrobacter freundii</i>	4 (2%)	2 (6%)	2 (1%)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	3 (2%)	1 (3%)	2 (1%)
<i>Acinetobacter ursingii</i>	1 (1%)	1 (3%)	-
<i>Aeromonas (caviae, hydrophila, spp)</i>	4 (2%)	-	4 (3%)
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>	1 (1%)	-	1 (1%)
Total GN	96 (57%)	16 (52%)	80 (58%)
Polymicrobial culture, number of patients	57 (83%)	12 (63%)	45 (90%)
<i>Candida</i> , number of patients	5 (7%) patients	2 (10%)	3 (6%)