

### Unpacking ecosystem service bundles: Towards predictive mapping of synergies and trade-offs between ecosystem services

Rebecca Spake, Rémy Lasseur, Emilie Crouzat, James Bullock, Sandra Lavorel, Katherine Parks, Marije Schaafsma, Elena Bennett, Joachim Maes, Mark Mulligan, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Rebecca Spake, Rémy Lasseur, Emilie Crouzat, James Bullock, Sandra Lavorel, et al.. Unpacking ecosystem service bundles: Towards predictive mapping of synergies and trade-offs between ecosystem services. Global Environmental Change, 2017, 47, pp.37-50. 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.08.004 . hal-03309700

### HAL Id: hal-03309700 https://hal.science/hal-03309700

Submitted on 18 Jan2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### **Unpacking ecosystem service bundles: towards predictive**

### 2 mapping of synergies and trade-offs between ecosystem

### 3 services

- 4 Rebecca Spake<sup>a,b</sup>, Rémy Lasseur<sup>c</sup>, Emilie Crouzat<sup>c</sup>, James M. Bullock<sup>d</sup>, Sandra Lavorel<sup>b</sup>, Katherine E.
- 5 Parks<sup>e</sup>, Marije Schaafsma<sup>a,b</sup>, Elena M. Bennett<sup>f</sup>, Joachim Maes<sup>g</sup>, Mark Mulligan<sup>h</sup>, Maud Mouchet<sup>i</sup>,
- 6 Garry D. Peterson<sup>j</sup>, Catharina J.E. Schulp<sup>k</sup>, Wilfried Thuiller<sup>b</sup>, Monica G. Turner<sup>l</sup>, Peter H. Verburg<sup>m</sup>,
- 7 Felix Eigenbrod<sup>a,b</sup>.
- 8 <sup>a</sup> Biological Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK. <u>r.spake@soton.ac.uk;</u>
- 9 <u>m.schaafsma@soton.ac.uk;f.eigenbrod@soton.ac.uk</u>
- <sup>10</sup> <sup>b</sup> Geography and the Environment, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK.
- 11 r.spake@soton.ac.uk; m.schaafsma@soton.ac.uk; f.eigenbrod@soton.ac.uk
- <sup>c</sup> Laboratoire d'Ecologie Alpine, CNRS Université Grenoble Alpes, CS 40700, 38058 Grenoble Cedex 9,
- 13 France. remy.lasseur@gmail.com; emiliecrouzat@gmail.com; sandra.lavorel@ujf-grenoble.fr;
- 14 <u>wilfried.thuiller@ujf-grenoble.fr</u>
- 15 <sup>d</sup> NERC Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Maclean Building, Benson Lane, Crowmarsh Gifford,
- 16 Wallingford, Oxfordshire, OX108BB, UK. jmbul@ceh.ac.uk
- 17 <sup>e</sup> Centre for Environmental Science, Faculty of Engineering and the Environment, University of
- 18 Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ <u>k.e.parks@soton.ac.uk;</u>
- <sup>19</sup> <sup>f</sup> Department of Natural Resource Sciences and McGill School of Environment, McGill University, Ste.
- 20 Anne-de-Bellevue, QC, 21111 Lakeshore H9X3V9, Canada. <u>elena.bennett@mcgill.ca</u>
- <sup>g</sup> European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Via E. Fermi, 2749, 21027 Ispra, VA, Italy.
- 22 joachim.maes@jrc.ec.europa.eu
- <sup>23</sup> <sup>h</sup> Environmental Dynamics Research Group, Department of Geography, King's College London, Strand,
- 24 London, WC2R 2LS, UK. <u>mark.mulligan@kcl.ac.uk</u>
- <sup>1</sup> UMR 7204 MNHN-UPMC-CNRS Centre d'Ecologie et des Sciences de la Conservation, CP135, 43 rue
- 26 Buffon, 75005 Paris, France. <u>maud.mouchet@mnhn.fr</u>
- <sup>j</sup> Stockholm Resilience Centre, Stockholm University, Kr€aftriket 2B, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden.
   garry.peterson@su.se
- 29 <sup>k</sup> Environmental Geography, Department of Earth Science, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan,
- 30 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands. nynke.schulp@vu.nl
- 31 <sup>1</sup> Department of Zoology, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA. <u>turnermg@wisc.edu</u>
- 32 <sup>m</sup> Swiss Federal Research Institute WSL, Research Unit Landscape Dynamics, Zürcherstrasse 111, CH-
- 33 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland peter.verburg@vu.nl
- 34
- 35 Corresponding author: Felix Eigenbrod, Geography and Environment, University of Southampton,
- 36 Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK. <u>F.Eigenbrod@soton.ac.uk</u>

# <sup>37</sup> Unpacking ecosystem service bundles: towards predictive <sup>38</sup> mapping of synergies and trade-offs between ecosystem <sup>39</sup> services

40

#### 41 Abstract

42 Multiple ecosystem services (ES) can respond similarly to social and ecological factors to form 43 bundles. Identifying key social-ecological variables and understanding how they co-vary to produce 44 these consistent sets of ES may ultimately allow the prediction and modelling of ES bundles, and 45 thus, help us understand critical synergies and trade-offs across landscapes. Such an understanding is 46 essential for informing better management of multi-functional landscapes and minimising costly 47 trade-offs. However, the relative importance of different social and biophysical drivers of ES bundles 48 in different types of social-ecological systems remains unclear. As such, a bottom-up understanding of 49 the determinants of ES bundles is a critical research gap in ES and sustainability science.

50 Here, we evaluate the current methods used in ES bundle science and synthesize these into four steps 51 that capture the plurality of methods used to examine predictors of ES bundles. We then apply these 52 four steps to a cross-study comparison (North and South French Alps) of relationships between social-53 ecological variables and ES bundles, as it is widely advocated that cross-study comparisons are 54 necessary for achieving a general understanding of predictors of ES associations. We use the results 55 of this case study to assess the strengths and limitations of current approaches for understanding 56 distributions of ES bundles. We conclude that inconsistency of spatial scale remains the primary 57 barrier for understanding and predicting ES bundles. We suggest a hypothesis-driven approach is 58 required to predict relationships between ES, and we outline the research required for such an 59 understanding to emerge.

Keywords: cross-study comparison, ecosystem services, French Alps, land use, social-ecological
systems, trade-off, natural capital, biodiversity.

#### 62 **1. Introduction**

Current understanding of how multiple ecosystems services (ES) are associated across heterogeneous landscapes remains limited (Bennett et al. 2009; Qui & Turner et al. 2013; Bennett et al. 2015). This understanding is essential for informing better management of multi-functional landscapes. Although the idea that the spatial distribution of ES and their associations are driven by the interplay between social and ecological variables is well-established (Reyers et al. 2013), the relative importance of different social and biophysical drivers of sets of ES and how these change across different socio69 ecological systems remains unclear (Bennett et al. 2015). Consequently, there have been calls to 70 achieve a greater understanding of the drivers of ES distributions and associations (Bennett et al.

71 2009, Howe et al. 2014, Bennett et al. 2015).

72 Associations among ES are understood to occur when multiple services respond to the same driver of 73 change or ecological process, or when interactions among the services themselves cause changes in 74 one service to alter the provision of another (Bennett et al. 2009). Such associations are commonly 75 referred to as ES interactions (Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010), with synergies and trade-offs being 76 routinely explored in multi-ES assessments (Howe et al. 2014). Synergies arise when multiple 77 services are enhanced simultaneously, while trade-offs occur when the provision of one service is 78 reduced due to increased use of another. While ES associations can be highly context-specific (Howe 79 et al. 2014), there have been calls for the development of general rules about the relationships among 80 ES (Bennett et al. 2009; Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010). In attempting to distinguish ES associations 81 that are context-specific from those that are universal, several authors have emphasised the need for 82 cross-study comparisons (e.g. Bennett et al. 2009; Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2014, Meacham et al. 83 2015). However, cross-study comparisons are hampered by differences in approaches, the services 84 covered, spatial scale, how ES are modelled and what drivers are used (Grêt-Regamey et al., 2014;

85 Queiroz et al. 2015).

86 The concept of 'ecosystem service bundles' has been operationalised to help in the search for general 87 rules determining ES associations (Bennett et al. 2009; Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010). While rather 88 confusingly the use of the term varies in the literature, with bundles and synergies used 89 interchangeably (Berry et al 2015; see Box 1 for definitions used here), the term has been widely used 90 in conjunction with the application of a spatially explicit framework developed by Raudsepp-Hearne 91 et al. (2010) for identifying and mapping ES associations based on cluster analysis. Raudsepp-Hearne 92 et al. (2010) defined ES bundles as coherent sets of ES repeatable in space or time. This clustering 93 approach has been applied across the world to facilitate cross-study comparisons of ES associations 94 and their drivers (Table 1; Fig 1). Maps of ES bundles delineated with this approach can indicate what 95 services can be expected to associate based on where we find services repeatedly occurring together 96 across a landscape (Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010). Their distributions have been typically interpreted with regards to known distributions of principal human activities or land use within the region (Table 97 98 1), and are therefore considered useful for communicating the potential impact of management 99 decisions to policy-makers (Crouzat et al. 2015). This qualitative interpretation of ES bundle 100 distribution provides some information about the drivers of ES associations and whether different 101 social-ecological systems have particular sets of ES associated with them (Bennett et al 2009). In addition to qualitative interpretation of ES bundles, recent studies have attempted a more

In addition to qualitative interpretation of ES bundles, recent studies have attempted a more
 mechanistic approach to understanding ES bundle distribution, based on the relative roles of different
 social-ecological drivers, with multi-variate approaches being increasingly used (Mouchet et al. 2014)

reviewed the quantitative methods that are available for such analyses. Raudsepp-Hearne et al. (2010)

- 106 suggested that spatially explicit analyses of the social-ecological variables driving ES bundles could
- 107 ultimately allow for the prediction and modelling of ES bundles and thus, critical trade-offs and

108 synergies across regions (Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010). Studies that aim to achieve such an

109 understanding typically infer ES associations from the analysis of spatial trends in the distribution of

110 two or more ES, and relate these to underlying social-ecological determinants (Mouchet et al. 2014).

111 Further, if widely accessible data on social-ecological drivers (such as land use and population

112 density) can predict ES bundles, this could potentially overcome problems associated with complex

- and data-intensive models that are required to produce ES maps (Meacham et al. 2015). Indeed, an
- ability to use limited variables to inform about the ES context is particularly important in data scarce
- 115 regions (Meacham et al. 2016).

116 Here, we critically assess the strengths and limitations of current approaches for explaining and/or

117 predicting the distribution of spatial associations between multiple ES. Most studies of this type to

118 date follow the spatially explicit ES bundle approach first outlined by Raudsepp-Hearne et al. (2010)

(Table 1). We first review studies that have applied this approach (Table 1; Fig. 1) and synthesise the

120 application of it into four steps (Fig. 2), that capture the plurality of methods currently used, and

121 illustrate them with a case study – a cross-study comparison of the North and South regions of the

122 French Alps. We then use the outcomes of this case study to assess the strengths and limitations of

- 123 current approaches for linking social ecological drivers to ES bundles. . Finally, we outline a roadmap
- 124 for research required to enable a general understanding of ES associations.

# Box 1. Definitions of key concepts surrounding ecosystem services (ES) used in this article

| ES<br>associations              | Arise when two or more services respond to the same driver of change or ecological process or when true interactions among the services themselves cause changes in one service to alter the provision of another (Bennett et al. 2009). Commonly referred to as ES interactions (Mouchet et al. 2014) and are inferred from spatial overlaps or lack thereof. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| ES bundle                       | "Sets of ES that appear together repeatedly across space or time" (Raudsepp-<br>Hearne et al., 2010). Have been delineated and mapped using cluster analysis<br>following Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010 (Table 1). In a bundle, ES can be positively<br>(synergy) or negatively (trade-off) associated (Mouchet et al. 2014).                                    |
| ES demand                       | "the amount of a service required or desired by society" (Villamagna et al., 2013).<br>Different sectors of society can have different, and even conflicting demands.  |
| ES flow                         | "the service actually received by people, which can be measured directly as the amount of a service delivered, or indirectly as the number of beneficiaries served" (Villamagna et al., 2013).   |
| ES supply                       | The capacity of the structures and processes of a particular ecosystem to provide ES within a given time period (modified from Burkhard et al., 2012).   |
| ES use                          | Refers to an ecosystem being accessed/altered/managed/protected due to ES demand (Turkelboom et al. 2015).   |
| ES indicator                    | Proxy measures derived from empirical data or modelled estimates of ES.  |
| Realised ES                     | By definition, an ES is only realised if there is a human benefit. Without human beneficiaries and demand for an ES, ecosystem functions and processes are not services (Fisher et al., 2009).   |
| Social-<br>ecological<br>system | A set of social and ecological components that interact in a constantly evolving and interdependent manner (Berkes and Folke, 1998).   |
| Synergy                         | Arises when multiple services are enhanced simultaneously by the use of an ES.<br>Typically inferred from positive spatial overlaps.   |
| Trade-off                       | When the provision of one service is reduced as a consequence of increased use of another, such as the case of crop production diminishing water quality. Inferred from negative spatial overlaps.   |
| Win-win                         | A situation (or area) where a synergy occurs.  |

126Table 1.Examples of studies that have assessed social-ecological drivers of spatially explicit ES bundles. The studies included here identified and127produced maps of bundles of ecosystem services derived from spatially explicit multivariate analyses of ES\*.

| Study                             | Region  | Service<br>categories<br>(total number<br>of variables) <sup>#</sup> | Grain  | Method used to obtain bundles | Interpretation of ES bundles   |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Raudsepp-<br>Hearne<br>(2010)     | Quebec,<br>Canada                                     | P,C,R(12)  | Municipality   | <i>k</i> -means clustering    | Qualitatively interpreted with regards to coincidence with social-<br>ecological systems as defined by dominant land uses.   |  |
| Haines-<br>Young et al.<br>(2011) | Part of<br>Europe                                     | P,C,R(15)<br>(Not just ES)   | NUTS-2 regions   | Unknown                       | Mean service loadings and marginal impacts of land use and<br>cover change for four services across two time periods were<br>clustered to define groupings of NUTS-2 regions with similar<br>change trajectories.  |  |
| Martin-Lopez<br>et al. (2012)     | Iberian<br>Peninsula,<br>Spain                        | P,C,R(14)  | Respondents  | Hierarchical clustering       | Used redundancy analysis to analyse associations between the relative importance of ecosystem services perceived by people and three types of explanatory variables: stakeholders' characteristics (e.g. education, income), land management strategy (e.g. protection level) and ecosystem type (e.g. presence of mountains). First three axes of the RDA were clustered to obtain bundles. |  |
| Qiu and<br>Turner<br>(2013)*      | Yahara<br>Watershed<br>southern<br>Wisconsin<br>(USA) | P, C, R (10)   | 30-m grid cells<br>(within 1,336<br>km <sup>2</sup> watershed) | Factor analysis               | Identified three orthogonal axes that represented synergies as<br>well as trade-offs for ES supply. Interpreted interactions by<br>mapping factor scores that represented synergies and trade-offs<br>in ES.   |  |
| Hanspach et<br>al. (2014)         | Southern<br>Transylvan<br>ia,<br>Romania              | P, C,R,B(9)  | Village  | Hierarchical clustering       | Qualitatively interpreted with regards to spatial coincidence with socio-demographic data, derived from commune level statistics, including e.g. total population size, proportions of the main ethnic groups, unemployment, migration levels.   |  |

| Plieninger et<br>al. (2014) | Guttau,<br>Germany                  | C(11)<br>(includes<br>disservices) | 'land cover unit'  | Hierarchical clustering<br>of PCA scores    | Bundles in the perception of cultural services obtained by clustering PCA axes of ES variables by land cover units. Qualitatively interpreted with regards to land cover type of the land cover unit.                  |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Turner et al.<br>(2014)     | Denmark                             | P,C,R (11)                         | 10 km × 10 km  | <i>k</i> -means clustering of PCA scores    | Qualitatively interpreted with regards to overlap with social-<br>ecological systems as defined by dominant land uses.   |  |
| Derkzen et al.<br>(2015)    | Rotterdam,<br>Netherland<br>s       | R,C(6)                             | Neighbourhood<br>District                                      | k-means clustering                          | Qualitatively interpreted with regards to overlap with water bodies and urban green spaces.  |  |
| Renard et al.<br>(2015)     | Quebec,<br>Canada                   | P,C,R(9)                           | Municipality   | <i>k</i> -means clustering                  | Used redundancy analysis to analyse the relationship between<br>the provision of ES and socioeconomic (population density,<br>distance from urban center) and biophysical (agricultural land<br>capability) variables. |  |
| Crouzat et al.<br>(2015)    | French<br>Alps,<br>France           | P,C,R,B(18)                        | 1 km × 1 km  | Self-organizing map                         | Qualitatively analysed the geographical distributions, elevation<br>and land cover patterns of different ES bundles.   |  |
| Hamann et al.<br>(2015)     | South<br>Africa                     | P(6)                               | Municipality   | <i>k</i> -means clustering                  | Multinomial logistic regression used to identify the most<br>important social-ecological predictors of the spatial pattern<br>observed in the distribution of ES bundle types.   |  |
| Quieroz et al.<br>(2015)    | Sweden                              | P,C,R(16)                          | Municipality   | <i>k</i> -means clustering                  | Qualitatively interpreted with regards to overlap with social-<br>ecological systems as defined by dominant land uses,<br>management intensity and soil types.   |  |
| Yang et al.<br>(2015)       | Yangtze<br>River<br>Delta,<br>China | P,C,R(12)                          | "Urban-rural<br>complexes" as<br>defined by city<br>boundaries | Hierarchical clustering                     | Qualitatively interpreted with regards to overlap with social-<br>ecological systems as defined by dominant land uses and<br>human activities.   |  |
| Meacham et<br>al. (2016)    | Sweden                              | P,C,R(16)                          | Municipality   | Bundles identified by Quieroz et al. (2015) | Used random forest analysis to identify best combinations of social-ecological variables to best predict ES bundle types.  |  |
| Schulze et al. (2016)       | Germany                             | P, R, B(6)                         | 500 m × 500 m  | k-means clustering                          | Binomial logistic regression used to assess relative importance<br>of variables in determining the occurrence of different bundles   |  |

| Raudsepp-<br>Hearne &<br>Peterson<br>(2016) | Quebec,<br>Canada              | P,C,R (12)  | 1 km × 1 km<br>3 km × 3 km<br>Municipality | k-means clustering                            | Assessed how interactions among ES as characterised using correlation and cluster analysis varied across three grain sizes  |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|--|---|---|
| Hamann et al.<br>(2016)                     | South<br>Africa                | P(6)        | Municipality                               | Bundles identified by<br>Hamann et al. (2015) | Assessed spatial overlap with 'well-being bundles', as identified<br>using cluster analysis of social and demographic factors such as<br>income and education.  |
| Lamy et al.<br>(2016)                       | Quebec,<br>Canada              | P,C,R(10)   | Municipality                               | Multivariate regression<br>tree (MRT)         | Used eight landscape variables (composition and configuration metrics) as a constraint in the clustering. Performed an RDA analysis to explore relationship between ES covariation and landscape structural variables.  |
| Depellegrin et<br>al. (2016)                | Lithuania                      | P,C,R(31)   | 100 m × 100 m                              | PCA   | Identified five orthogonal axes that represented synergies as well<br>as trade-offs for ES potential (ES were derived using a look-up<br>table and a land cover map). Interpreted interactions by mapping<br>factor scores that represented synergies and trade-offs in ES. |
| Yao et al.<br>(2016)                        | Liaoning<br>Province,<br>China | P,R(11)     | Watershed                                  | Cluster analysis                              | Qualitatively interpreted with regards to dominant land uses.   |
| Mouchet et<br>al. (2017)                    | Europe                         | P, C, R(11) | 1 km × 1 km                                | Self-organizing map                           | Used redundancy analysis to identify combinations of social-<br>ecological variables that explained the co-variation of ES<br>indicators within each cluster.   |

128 \* These are studies that have delineated and mapped ES bundles using cluster analysis or PCA/factor analysis. Studies were identified by a key word search

129 in the ISI Web of Science ("ecosystem service\*" AND bundle\*), followed by a 'snowballing' approach, searching for references within retrieved articles and

130 pertinent reviews e.g. Lee and Lautenbach (2016).

<sup>131</sup> <sup>#</sup> Ecosystem service categories: P, provisioning; C, cultural; R, regulating; B, biodiversity.



133 Figure 1. Distribution of 21 case studies that have mapped ES bundles based on cluster

134 analysis. Three studies at the European scale (extent) are not plotted. See Table 1.

#### 136 **2.** Current approaches to understanding spatially explicit ES

#### 137 associations



138

139 Figure 2. Approach of the spatially explicit analyses of ES associations, organized into four

140 conceptual steps.

#### 141 **2.1 Step 1: Assessment, aggregation and harmonisation of ecosystem service indicators**

142 Studies that have examined drivers of spatial ES bundles exhibit considerable variation regarding the

number and types of ES considered, and in how individual ES are quantified (Table 1). Studies have

144 typically considered a relatively large number of ES (averaging ~12 ES), encompassing a range of

145 provisioning, regulating and cultural ES, and also biodiversity metrics (Table 1). Contrasting large

146 numbers of ES within different ES categories can contribute to a better understanding of ES trade-offs

147 (Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010; Crossman et al. 2013).

148 ES maps often vary in the units, range of output values, and spatial resolution. To enable bivariate or

149 multivariate analyses, ES datasets have been aggregated to a common resolution. While studies have

150 mapped ES at scales ranging from local to global (see Crossman et al. 2013 and Malinga et al. 2015

151 for recent reviews), studies mapping ES bundles tend to be conducted for parts of countries at the

- spatial resolution of administrative boundaries, typically the smallest political units such as
- 153 municipalities (Table 1). The use of administrative boundaries has been advocated as relevant for
- 154 multi-ES studies (Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010), as municipalities represent the smallest scale of

- 155 governance (in most areas of Europe) where many decisions regarding planning and landscape
- 156 management are taken (Hamann et al. 2015; Queiroz et al. 2015). The selected grain for multi-ES
- 157 research is also likely to have been driven by data availability; municipalities often are the finest scale
- at which some ES (such as provisioning ES) and potential social data are available (e.g. census data).
- 159 We consider the potential limitations of municipality-level analyses in the discussion.
- 160 Following collation and aggregation of multi-ES datasets, data are usually harmonised to a common
- 161 range and unit to allow for comparison prior to data analysis. The methods used such as
- 162 standardisation (transformation to z-scores by centring and scaling), serve to adjust the magnitude and
- 163 variability of the variables to make them compatible for analysis (Legendre & Legendre 2012).

#### 164 Application of step 1 to French Alps case study

165 The French Alps represent a relatively large, highly socially and ecologically diverse region

- 166 characterized by excellent ES data over this large extent (e.g. Crouzat et al. 2015). Within the region,
- 167 elevation, climate and vegetation gradients have had historical influenced social dynamics and
- 168 economic activities, resulting in the conventional separation into the North and the South Alps
- 169 (Crouzat et al. 2015; a detailed description of study system is given in SI). This social-ecological
- 170 divide is also recognised by an administrative boundary at the NUTS II level (Nomenclature of
- 171 Territorial Units For Statistics by Eurostat [http://ec.europa.eu/Eurostat], basic regions for the
- 172 application of regional policies).

173 We selected nine ES that have been quantified and mapped in the French Alps previously by Crouzat 174 et al. (2015). These services were deemed socially, ecologically, and economically relevant to the 175 region following consultation with scientists and local collaborators (Crouzat et al. 2015), and 176 included three provisioning (crop [crop], fodder [fodd] and wood [wood] production) three cultural 177 (hunting [hunt], recreation [rec] and tourism [tour]) and three regulating ES (water quantity regulation 178 [wqt], carbon storage [cstock], erosion mitigation [eros]; see Table S1. These ES are mixed indicators, 179 ranging from potential capacity to actual use values, as is the case in the majority of ES bundle 180 analyses (Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010; Crouzat et al. 2015; Queiroz et al. 2015; Meacham et al. 181 2016). By using the same ES for both the North and South Alps we were able to control for the effect 182 of choice of the ES selected in our bundles in our cross-study comparison. All ES were based on 183 either primary data or bespoke modelled surfaces of ES based on primary data. Full details of these 184 ES are in Crouzat et al. 2015 and Appendix S1. Our analyses were conducted at the municipality scale 185 (a total of 2336 municipalities; 1498 in North Alps and 838 in the South, ranging in area from 0.52 to 246.20 km<sup>2</sup>, averaging 22.19 km<sup>2</sup> (SD 23.98km<sup>2</sup>)). To minimise skew and make the ES variables 186

- 187 dimensionless and comparable in terms of their magnitudes and variability, Box-Cox transformation
- 188 (Box & Cox, 1964), centring and scaling was applied.

#### 189 2.2 Step 2: Assessment of ecosystem service associations and delineation of ES bundles

190 ES associations have typically been assessed by mapping multiple ES across broad regions, and any

- 191 spatial overlaps (or absence of overlaps) are assumed to signify a particular type of ES association;
- 192 positively correlated ES are assumed to be synergistic, while negative correlations infer trade-offs
- 193 (Tomscha & Gergel, 2016). Spatial overlaps between multiple ES have been most commonly
- 194 quantified through assessments of pairwise correlations or PCA (Mouchet et al. 2014); a correlation
- biplot from a PCA (scaling type 2; Borcard et al. 2011) is considered a useful way to visualise the
- strength of correlations between multiple ES indicators (e.g. Maes et al. 2012; Turner et al. 2014).
- 197 Raudsepp-Hearne et al. (2010) developed an approach for identifying ES bundles based on cluster
- analysis, which has since been widely applied to social-ecological systems across the world (Table 1;
- 199 Fig 1). In this approach, clustering algorithms (e.g. *k*-means, self-organizing maps) have been applied

to define groups of ES that are associated in space by delineating spatial units supplying the same

- 201 magnitude and types of ES (Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010; Mouchet et al. 2014). As such, ES bundles 202 as defined by cluster analysis are emergent properties of the maps of different ES that are used in the 203 cluster analysis and will often result from the distribution of underlying driver variables that drive 204 more than one ES. Following clustering, ES associations have frequently been visualized using star 205 diagrams (Mouchet et al. 2014), showing the relative delivery of different ES within each bundle. 206 Clustering approaches also underpin many current methodologies for mapping social-ecological
- 207 systems (Ellis and Ramankutty 2008; Asselan and Verburg 2012; Levers et al. 2015), by identifying
- 208 localities that have similar sets of multiple social-ecological variables.

#### 209 Application of step 2 to French Alps case study

200

210 Following the spatially explicit ES bundle approach of Raudsepp-Hearne et al. (2010) we used k-211 means cluster analysis to delineate ES bundles across the N and S French Alps separately (Full 212 Methods in Appendix S2). Briefly, for both the North and South regions, a two step clustering 213 approach was adopted (Turner et al. 2014). A PCA was firstly used to quantify the main multivariate 214 relationships between the ES variables to assess whether ES co-occur in spatial bundles. As a 215 precursor to cluster analysis, PCA can serve to separate signal from noise and lead to a more stable 216 clustering solution (Husson et al. 2010). We applied k-means clustering to the relevant PCA axes 217 (selected according to the Kaiser-Guttman criterion; Legendre and Legendre, 2012; Turner et al. 2014), to delineate ES bundles with 1000 random starts and 10,000 iterations to find a solution with 218 219 the lowest within-cluster sum of squares according to the relevant PCA axes. K-means clusters 220 municipalities so that the composition of ES values are more alike within than between clusters. 221 Following Renard et al. (2015), we quantified the effective number of ES provided in each bundle 222 using a transformation (*H*) of the Gini–Simpson's index (*S*): H = 1/(1 - S), (Jost, 2006; Appendix S2).

- 223 In both the North and South Alps, three ecosystem service bundles (ESBs) were identified. In both
- regions, bundles were identified that were characterized by high crop production and far below average levels of most other services (ESB1(N) and ESB1(S)). Crop production was negatively
- correlated with most services across both study regions, except for water quantity regulation in the south (Appendix S2). In both the north and south, these crop-dominated bundles had the lowest
- diversity (*H*=2.8 for the north Alps and 1.8 for the south Alps). In the north and south regions,
- bundles were identified that were characterised by a high delivery of forest ecosystem services
- 230 (carbon storage, wood production), and relatively high provision of other services but a complete lack
- of crop production (ESB2(N) and ESB2(S)). These forest ES-dominated bundles had the highest
- 232 diversity in both the North and South regions. In the North Alps, multifunctionality was higher
- 233 (H=9.0) than in the South Alps (H=6.0). A third ESB had a more intermediate mix of ecosystem
- services in the north and South Alps. In the north, ESB3(N) exhibited intermediate levels of crop
- 235 production while remaining relatively multi-functional, delivering other services including high levels
- of tourism and intermediate hunting and recreation (Fig. 3; H = 6.9). In the South, ESB3(S) was
- dominated by delivery of hunting, erosion mitigation, and carbon storage (H=5.9; Fig. 3).





Figure 3. Distributions of ecosystem service bundles (ESBs) for the North and South French Alps. Barplots indicate the relative provision of ES within each bundle type. Values are ES z-scores averaged across all municipalities belonging to a specific bundle. Positive *z*-scores refer to aboveaverage, negative *z*-scores to below- average values regarding the ES for the regions.

#### 245 **2.3 Step 3: Identification of social-ecological determinants of ES bundles**

246 Understanding the spatial distribution of ES associations means identifying key drivers and their 247 interactions that produce coherent sets of ES across landscapes (Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010; 248 Meacham et al. 2016). Several studies have mapped ES associations to allow for their qualitative 249 interpretation by association with broad social-ecological systems (Table 1). The results of cluster 250 analysis are made spatially explicit when the spatial units (typically administrative units or grid cells, 251 Table 1) are classified into groups (bundles) and projected onto maps (Fig 3), allowing the researcher 252 to identify which localities exhibit similar ES associations (Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010; Mouchet et 253 al. 2014). ES interactions have also been visualised by mapping the site scores of factor analysis and 254 PCA of multiple ES (Qiu & Turner, 2013; Turner et al. 2014). This approach has allowed for the 255 identification of where trade-offs and synergies are the most pronounced in the landscape. Mapping 256 ES associations in these ways has enabled qualitative interpretation of mapped bundles with respect to

known distributions of dominant land uses or principal human activities within regions (e.g.

258 Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010; Quieroz et al. 2015; Turner et al. 2014; Crouzat et al. 2015).

259 In addition to qualitative interpretation, several quantitative methods are available for analysing ES

bundles in relation to potential social-ecological determinants or predictors (Mouchet et al. 2014).

261 Widely used methods include those frequently used in community ecology to study the relationships

between ecological communities and the environment, through the coupling of two data tables, a site

263 × environmental variable table and a site × species table (Doledec & Chessel, 1994). Studies are

264 increasingly applying these techniques in ES research to determine how drivers and ES are related to

one another, by replacing the latter table with a site  $\times$  ES table (Mouchet et al. 2014; Meacham et al.

266 2016), including, for example, redundancy analysis and canonical correspondence analysis. Other

approaches have used regression-based or machine-learning methods with a single response variable,

such as ES bundle type (e.g. Hamann et al. 2015; Meacham et al. 2016; Schulze et al. 2016), or

269 whether a locality represents a win-win or not (Qui & Turner et al. 2013).

270 Whichever quantitative method is used, a critical step is the identification of candidate social-

271 ecological variables that are important in explaining or predicting different ES bundles. This initial

selection is based either on relationships demonstrated in the primary literature or on expert

knowledge, and of course depends on the ES considered in the study. Meacham et al. (2016) explored

four theories of the driving forces behind human impact on ecosystems and tested their relative ability

to predict ES bundles. The four models were created by distilling the different driver variables that

each theory emphasises. Using random forest analysis, they found that models based on

277 socioeconomic variables performed better than those based on land use. Hamann et al. (2015) used

278 multiple logistic regression to predict the distribution of three ES bundles characterised by low,

279 medium and high levels of direct ES use across South Africa. Drivers were chosen based on variables

thought to contribute to the use of natural resources at the household level. They found bundle

- distribution was determined by social factors, such as household income, gender of the household head, and land tenure, and only partly determined by the supply of natural resources. Qui and Turner (2013) used logistic regression to determine social-ecological determinants of win-win areas, with candidate variables including land use, population density, slope and soil properties. See Mouchet et
- al. (2014) and Table 1 for a review of quantitative methods for identifying drivers of ES associations.

#### 286 Application of step 3 to the French Alps case study

287 In our case study, potential social-ecological drivers included social and ecological components used 288 in the modelling or quantification of the ES in question (including land cover, elevation, climatic 289 factors), in addition to variables that directly or indirectly drive individual ES and their associations as 290 identified in the literature (biodiversity, NPP) (Table S1). Land cover variables and population density 291 are frequently cited drivers of ES magnitude and distribution (Kienast et al. 2009), including 292 mountainous regions (Grêt-Regamey et al. 2012) and have been widely used as a proxy of ES demand 293 and supply in ES assessments (e.g. Burkhard et al. 2012). Protected area coverage relates to an 294 ecosystem's governance and accessibility, has been used as a proxy for spiritual, aesthetic and 295 recreational services (van Jaarsveld et al. 2005) and has been shown to be positively correlated with 296 measures of aggregated ecosystem service supply across Europe (Maes et al. 2012). Full details are 297 given in Appendix S3.

- To identify candidate variables significantly affecting the co-variation of multiple ES, we performed a preliminary redundancy analysis (RDA) with all potential social-ecological driver variables followed by forward stepwise selection to select the model with the combination of variables with the highest
- 301 R<sup>2</sup> and *p*-value (Legendre and Legendre, 2012). This stepwise procedure defined which variables are
- 302 relevant in exploring relationships among ES. RDA and the stepwise selection of variables were
- 303 performed using the "vegan" and "packfor" R packages (Oksanen et al., 2013; Dray et al. 2011).

304 RDA revealed that the combinations of the following variables significantly explained the co-

variation of ES indicators within the North and South Alps ( $p \le 0.001$ ): the coverage of grassland,

306 forest, semi-natural, urban land area, protected area coverage, elevation, NPP, plant species richness

and population density. The adjusted  $R^2$  values, representing the amount of variance of ES indicators

308 explained by the social-ecological variables were 0.46 for the north and 0.42 for the south. Full

309 methodological details and results are in Appendix S3.

310

#### 312 **2.4 Step 4: Assessing whether ES bundles are associated with different social-ecological**

#### 313 systems

314 In a call to develop general rules about ES relationships and their implications for management of ES, 315 Bennett et al. (2009) asked whether there exist consistent sets of ES associated with particular social-316 ecological systems. As these systems are not only defined by land cover type, Bennett et al. (2009) 317 suggested that the 'anthrome' approach of Ellis and Ramankutty (2008) might be useful for 318 identifying a social-ecological system classifications, with distinct systems derived from overlays of 319 social and land use/land cover (LULC) data. Hamann et al. (2015) tested this assertion and quantified 320 the percentage of land area occupied by different anthrome types (derived from overlays of population 321 and LULC data) and bundles of locally derived provisioning ES across South Africa. Hamann et al. 322 (2016) also assessed the spatial overlap with 'well-being bundles', as identified using cluster analysis 323 of social and demographic factors such as income and education. We include this last step, as it 324 represents a logical progression from testing the relative predictive power of individual social-

325 ecological variables.

#### 326 Application of step 4 to the French Alps case study

327 We followed the approach of Hamann et al. (2015, 2016) to ascertain whether ES bundles were 328 congruent with social-ecological systems. Having identified the most important social-ecological 329 determinants of ES bundles in step 3 using RDA, we used the k-means algorithm to cluster these 330 variables into social-ecological bundles (SEBs). SEBs delineate spatial units supplying the same 331 magnitude and types of social-ecological variables. Hamann et al. (2015) found that anthromes 332 offered little predictive power for provisioning service bundles in South Africa. We therefore used the 333 variables deemed important from the RDA to delineate SEBs, as opposed to those used in the original construction of anthromes (Ellis & Ramunkutty, 2008). 334

- 335 To assess whether particular ES bundles are associated with SEBs, or whether SEBs can act as
- proxies for ES bundles, the spatial congruence between SEBs and ES bundles was assessed using
- 337 overlap analysis, a simple and intuitive way to run a spatially explicit detection of possible
- 338 associations (Mouchet et al. 2014). We calculated overlap as the percentage of municipalities of a
- 339 particular bundle category that overlapped with each SEB category.
- 340 The crop-dominated bundles in the North and South (ESB1(N) and ESB1(S)) overlapped with SEBs
- 341 characterised by agricultural land coverage at low elevation and low to intermediate cover of other
- 342 land uses (Figs. 4 and 5; SEB1(N) and SEB1(S)). In the north, the bundle characterised by high
- 343 provision of forest services (ESB2(N)) broadly overlapped with a bundle characterised by high forest
- 344 cover (SEB2(N)). The North ES bundle dominated by tourism (ESB3N) did not overlap neatly with
- any SEB (Fig. 5), except in the north-east of the region (Fig. 4), dominated by high elevation
- 346 grasslands and semi-natural areas with high levels of protected area coverage. However, in the South,

- 347 the forest bundle (high wood production and carbon storage) (ESB2(S)) does not overlap with forest
- 348 cover, but with high elevation areas with grassland and semi-natural coverage).



Figure 4. Distributions of SEBs for the North and South French Alps. Barplots indicate the relative magnitude of social-ecological variables within each bundle type. Values are variable z-scores averaged across all municipalities belonging to a specific SEB. Positive z-scores refer to aboveaverage, negative z-scores to below- average values regarding the variables for the region. See appendix S2 for variable descriptions).





**360 3. Discussion** 

357

361 A multitude of methods are available to analyse and explore ES associations relative to possible 362 social-ecological predictors (Mouchet et al. 2014). Here, we have reviewed the application of a widely 363 (Fig 1) and increasingly used (Table 1) method that analyses the spatial distribution of ES bundles, 364 delineated by cluster analysis, in relation to possible socio-ecological predictors. A common theme 365 across all such studies is the reliance on the spatial coincidence of ES and driver variables (Crouzat et 366 al. 2015), assuming that consistency in the spatial congruency between ES likely emerges from 367 common social-ecological drivers. While comparison among multiple studies, such as cross-site 368 comparisons, could help disentangle the effect of context-dependent drivers from interactions between 369 services within bundles (Queiroz et al. 2015), such comparisons are made difficult by study 370 differences in scale (i.e. grain and extent), and methodology, in terms of how ES are modelled and what drivers are used (Grêt-Regamey et al., 2014; Queiroz et al. 2015). It is also widely 371 372 acknowledged that which ES are selected is critical because conclusions are highly influenced by 373 which indicators are considered in a decision making context (Rodríguez-Loinaz et al. 2012). 374 Thereofore, studies that have bundled different ES, or measured or modelled ES in different ways, are not straightforward to compare, or necessarily generalisable to other regions. We attempted to 375 376 overcome both issues in our French Alps case study by comparing two regions using the same ES and 377 social-ecological datasets, and do so using a widely used method to analyseES bundles. However, we 378 show that even within the French Alps, there is enormous variation in the degree to which different

social-ecological variables can explain the distributions of ES bundles (See Appendix S4 for morediscussion on the findings from the case study).

381 Importantly, our case study – which is based on the current state of the science – does not enable us to 382 identify why the explanatory power of different social and ecological variables considered here differs 383 so much between our two regions. As such, current approaches based on readily available data that 384 may have little relationship to underpinning mechanisms may not provide an effective basis for 385 predicting ES bundles across space or time, as is required for effective sustainable management of ES. 386 Here we discuss why current approaches for analysing ES bundles are poorly suited to enabling sound 387 understanding and prediction of ES bundles and propose a roadmap to guide future studies aimed at 388 understanding, mapping or predicting ES associations.

#### 389 **3.1** Issues of scale in understanding determinants of ES associations

Here we detail issues of scale related to the ES bundle approach. We address two key components of scale: i) grain, the size of the spatial unit of analysis; and ii) extent, the size of the study area.

#### 392 **3.1.1 Spatial unit and grain**

393 ES associations are often analysed using municipalities or similar administrative spatial units (e.g. 394 Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010; Table 1), justified by the fact that municipalities are expected to be a 395 grain at which synergies and trade-offs between ES are observed (Rodríguez-Loinaz et al. 2012), and 396 as while ES synergies and trade-offs can be causally linked, they do not necessarily occur in close 397 proximity (Berry et al. 2015). However, municipality boundaries could be relevant for some ES, such 398 as cultural ES, but arbitrary for others in management terms, such as for managing water quality. 399 Boundaries may often dissect ecologically meaningful units, such as watersheds, that could be 400 appropriate for measuring and managing some ES.

The choice of municipality-level analysis is also often driven by data availability; municipalities often are the finest scale at which some ES (namely provisioning ES) and social variables are available (census data). Despite some good reasons for municipality-scale analyses, several considerations must inform their interpretation. At such coarse scales, the identification of ES bundles relies on spatial coincidence (Crouzat et al. 2015), and cannot show direct causal relationships between ES and socialecological variables. This is a key assumption with the approach; that consistency in the spatial

- 407 congruency between ES likely emerges from common social-ecological drivers. In actuality, the fine-
- 408 scale processes that some ES respond to might not be represented at this scale.
- 409 As one moves across different grain sizes, different processes are responsible for apparent synergies
- 410 and trade-offs between ES and relationships to social-ecological drivers. At coarse grains such as
- 411 municipalities, spatial units are highly heterogeneous, encompassing multiple LULC types. ES
- 412 relationships are likely to be largely driven by fractional land cover of the large spatial units, due to its

413 representation of i) natural conditions; e.g. natural land cover and soil conditions as well as ii) human 414 impacts; mainly via land use (Burkhard et al. 2012). ES relationships will, therefore, principally 415 reflect land use distribution. For example, ES may trade-off against each other simply because they 416 compete for space (e.g. a negative relationship between timber and crop production; Lautenbach et al. 417 2010). At smaller grain sizes, where individual spatial units are less heterogeneous and likely to 418 comprise a principal land cover type, the main drivers of ES variation are still likely to be land use. If 419 ES within a single land cover type are analysed at small grains, however, such as individual forest 420 plots or stands, then it is possible that a more useful understanding might be obtained. By analysing a 421 single land cover type, one can understand drivers of ES variation in relation to land use activities that 422 result in 'land modifications', changes that occur within the same LULC type (e.g. Lavorel et al. 423 2011). These remain much less studied than multi-ES relationships to LULC, but data are becoming 424 increasingly available (Erb et al. 2016).

425 Another well-documented scale effect related to spatial unit is the modifiable area unit problem, in 426 which statistical results can depend on the size and shape of spatial units in which a variable is 427 aggregated (Openshaw & Taylor, 1979). Grain size-dependence in the direction of correlations of ES 428 has been demonstrated in several studies (e.g. Naidoo et al., 2008; Anderson et al. 2009). Various 429 processes can cause this phenomenon. Aggregation obscures ES trade-offs particularly when ES 430 compete for space. For example, different crop competing for productive floodplain soils could be 431 seen as spatially concurrent in aggregated datasets, thereby suggesting a synergistic relationship 432 (Tomscha & Gergel, 2016).

433 When administrative units are used, the degree of variation in the grain size among units is likely to 434 be an issue for the interpretation of relationships, as the mechanisms essential to an ES at one grain 435 can be less important or absent at another. Significant variation in areal size could then reduce the specificity of the measured associations, and also decrease their strength (Arsenault et al. 2013). Such 436 437 a phenomenon could affect the apparent relationships between ES or social-ecological variables, e.g. population density could appear to be inversely related to landscape multi-functionality, but in 438 439 actuality, this could be a function of municipality size, as densely populated areas often divided into 440 smaller administrative units for health care and mail delivery (Arsenault et al. 2013). Raudsepp-441 Hearne & Peterson (2016) showed that bundles delineated at three grain sizes ( $1 \times 1$  km,  $3 \times 3$  km and 442 municipality) exhibited contrasting patterns across the study area and varied in their composition in 443 terms of the magnitude and types of ES. They concluded that individual ES that exhibit strongly 444 clumped or sparse distributions are likely to vary significantly as one moves from smaller to larger 445 grain sizes, and therefore are more likely to influence bundling in a larger study area if they are 446 present in multiple areas, which is more likely at a larger scale of observation (Raudsepp-Hearne & 447 Peterson (2016).

#### 448 **3.1.2 Study spatial extent and context-dependency**

449 The spatial extent of the study region can impact ES relationships. At present, most studies have 450 delineated ES bundles at regional scale (Table 1), likely due to data availability, but also due to the 451 relevance to management of considering variation in ES bundles across municipalities within a 452 region. However, regions will differ in the variability of both the ES and social-ecological drivers that 453 may underpin these ES, as seen, for example in our case study, confusing our results. The relative 454 importance of social-ecological variables in driving ES variation can change across regions, and 455 therefore study extent. For example, Holland et al., (2011) found a negative relationship between agricultural production and river habitat quality at the extent of Britain, due to the negative effects of 456 457 agriculture on aquatic ecosystems. However, within some heavily urbanized sub-regions of Britain, a positive relationship was observed; this was attributed to urban land cover having a larger negative 458 459 effect on aquatic ecosystems than agricultural land. Variability of predictor and response variables 460 also affects the degree of statistical power that is available to detect relationships between spatial 461 variables (Eigenbrod et al. 2011). Moreover, the types of social-ecological driver variables considered 462 will likely vary with spatial extent. For example, over larger study regions, it is possible to analyse the 463 effect of slow variables, that exhibit variation at larger extents, but remain homogeneous across spatial units at small extents. Given these issues, cross-study comparisons will not necessarily enable 464 465 meaningful comparisons of the relative explanatory power of different drivers between regions, even when the same ES and the same explanatory variables are considered (as in this study). 466

#### 467 **3.2 Careful selection of ES indicators in multi-ES analyses is critical for interpretation**

The studies that have delineated ES bundles based on spatial associations in Table 1 exhibit 468 469 considerable variation in the number (mean  $\sim 12$  ES) and types of ES considered, and in how 470 individual ES are quantified. It is important to distinguish what aspect of a service is being measured 471 by an ES indicator; the potential value provided by an ecosystem, or the service that is actually 472 realised by humans (Jones et al. 2016). Most previous ES bundle analyses, including this study, have 473 mixed indicators ranging from potential supply to actual use values. Two key problems with mixing 474 indicators make attribution and prediction difficult. Firstly, because the ES indicators may be 475 anywhere along a spectrum from ecological stocks to flows to benefits in support of human well-476 being, some ES indicators may not respond to the influence of social factors (Hamann et al. 2015). 477 Indeed, supply and demand bundles are likely to exhibit very different dynamics and respond to 478 different drivers, potentially making mixed-indicator bundles more difficult to interpret or predict, as 479 in this and previous studies (Hamann et al. 2015; Meacham et al. 2016). Hamann et al. (2015) focused 480 on bundles of one type of ES, direct use of locally available ES in South Africa (e.g. wood for 481 heating), potentially allowing for a deeper understanding of linkages between ES use and human well-482 being. There is a second difficulty of interpreting bundles of mixed ES indicators: Crouzat et al.

(2015) highlighted that positive associations between ES that are actual or potential do not necessarily
 reflect synergies and can even represent conflicts once the ES are utilised.

485 The selection of which ES are analysed jointly is particularly critical to cross-study comparisons; studies that have analysed associations of different ES, or ES measured or modelled in different ways, 486 487 are not straightforward to compare. Ultimately, ES bundles delineated by cluster analysis are not 488 generalizable to other regions because a clustering solution is entirely dependent upon the variables 489 used. This issue is already recognised as a limitation for the use of composite indicators of ES 490 (Rodríguez-Loinaz et al. 2012). Raudsepp-Hearne & Peterson (2016) demonstrated that ES bundle 491 spatial patterns were highly dependent on the numbers and types of ES included in the cluster 492 analysis.

# 493 3.3 Careful selection of social-ecological variables in multi-ES analyses is critical for 494 attribution

495 There have been several calls for ES analysts to improve understanding of ES associations, to allow for knowledge of how to minimize trade-offs and enhance synergies (Bennett et al. 2009; Bennett et 496 497 al. 2015). This understanding requires identifying key social-ecological variables that determine the 498 co-variation in ES. Other authors have suggested the potential benefit of predicting ES associations 499 from widely available social-ecological datasets, that are not necessarily causal (Meacham et al. 500 2015). If widely accessible data on social-ecological drivers (such as land use and population density) 501 can predict ES associations, this may overcome problems associated with complex and data-intensive 502 models that are required to produce ES maps in data scarce regions (Meacham et al. 2016). While 503 causal relationships are predictive (within similar contexts), prediction of ES associations does not 504 necessarily require causative links. We emphasise that causal social-ecological predictors for multi-505 ES analysis are likely to be more robust and less-context dependent (see also Mouchet et al. 2014).

506 Land-use change is a management intervention that can drive demand and supply in one or more ES 507 (Bennett et al. 2009), and therefore land use/land cover (LULC) has been considered as a determinant 508 of individual ES or ES bundles in this study and many others (e.g. Hamann et al. 2015; Meacham et 509 al. 2016; Schulze et al. 2016). There are several issues with using LULC as a determinant in multi-ES 510 analyses. In this study and others, land cover categories were treated as homogeneous across study 511 regions, ignoring significant variations due to management and biophysical gradients (e.g. tree species 512 and age structure in forests). In our study, forest cover was correlated with forest services (wood 513 production, carbon storage) in the North (Figs 3 and S6), but not in the South (Figs 4 and S7). This is 514 because the French South Alps experienced extensive afforestation during the last century due to both 515 natural regeneration and deliberate planting on abandoned agricultural land. The secondary forests are 516 not widely harvested because their uniform and dense structure makes cutting expensive, and because 517 local populations are concerned for their conservation (Douguédroit, 1981). By using forest cover as a

518 driver, we gained no fine understanding of ecological processes and interactions. We only considered 519 variables for which continuous spatial data were available in the French Alps, but other unmeasured 520 factors or practices (relating to management history, age of abandonment, or forest age structure) 521 could affect synergies and trade-offs among ES in the regions. This emphasises the need for careful 522 consideration of what actually constitutes a driver of individual ES and ES bundles. Bennett et al. 523 (2009) considered many drivers as finer scale management interventions; for example, exogeneous 524 drivers (e.g. industrial production) causing environmental change in the social-ecological system, and 525 pressures (e.g. use of fertilizers) quantifying the effect of exogenous drivers on a given social-526 ecological system (Mouchet et al. 2014). By using LULC as a determinant, much ES research states 527 the obvious about LULC-ES relationships. A danger of circularity exists in such associations, as when 528 crop yield is necessarily associated with agricultural lands, and forest-based recreational services can 529 only be provided by forests.

#### 530 **3.4 Issues relevant to using cluster analysis for modelling ES associations**

531 Cluster analysis is considered a useful first step when no prior knowledge about existing relationships 532 in a multivariate dataset exists (Bennett et al. 2009; Dheng et al. 2016). However, its exploratory 533 nature makes it unsuitable for understanding causality in ES assocations. Cluster analysis requires 534 somewhat subjective decisions including the clustering algorithm and the number of clusters, which is 535 not straightforward (Legendre & Legendre 2012). The clustering solution is also entirely dependent 536 on the input variables, rendering the results ungeneralizable to other regions. In summary, the 537 subjectivity of cluster analysis makes it poorly suited to cross-study comparisons that are required for 538 understanding general socio-ecological causes of ES associations. This will likely have led to the poor 539 congruence between ES-bundles and social-ecological bundles as found in this study (Fig. 5). Maps 540 produced in this way should therefore be used with caution when presented to stakeholders. The 'air 541 of authority' (Hauck et al. 2013) imparted by these maps and their associated star diagrams 542 completely mask any uncertainty and could lead to erroneous management decisions.

# 543 3.5 Summary: ES bundles display pattern-based multifunctionality, but not process-based 544 multifunctionality

545 The visualisation of relationships among multiple ES is considered a challenge to ES analysts

(Birkhofer et al., 2015) and for effectively communicating with policy makers (Crouzat et al. 2015).

547 Maps of ES bundles are therefore useful for visualising the joint spatial distributions of multiple ES.

- 548 They can be used to identify 'pattern-based multifunctionality', the joint supply of multiple ES in
- space, without regard for the ecological processes underlying the pattern (Mastrangelo et al. 2014),
- and help guide land management decisions, such as where to allocate urban development or prioritise
- 551 conservation efforts. This is possible when the scale of analysis (spatial unit type, grain and extent)
- are close to the desired scale required by key stakeholders (Scholes, et al. 2013). We suggest that

analyses that wish to map ES bundles compare multiple scales corresponding to a portfolio of
management policies (Qiu et al. 2017), focussing for example on biophysically bounded spatial units
such as watersheds of different size (e.g., Qiu and Turner 2013).

556 However, while such correlational analysis is a logical first step in assessing ES associations, it cannot 557 allow for a mechanistic understanding (Bennett et al. 2009). When ES bundles are delineated using 558 correlation at coarse resolutions, with spatial units exhibiting high within-unit heterogeneity in land 559 cover and thus ES, and with each ES mapped at the same resolution and extent, the approach cannot 560 help ES analysts understand general rules of mechanistic relationships between key drivers and ES. 561 They therefore cannot provide 'process-based multifunctionality', the joint supply of ES in space 562 caused by well-understood relationships (Mastrangelo et al. 2014). Such a mechanistic understanding 563 of relationships between ES and management will allow the transferral of management 564 recommendations outside the context where data were collected (Birkhofer et al., 2015).

# 4. A roadmap for predictive mapping of bundles of ecosystem services

567 Determining the cause of a relationship among ES based on studies that track only their spatial 568 concordance is difficult (Bennett et al. 2009). Here, we outline three key requirements for 569 improvements to current approaches to understanding and predicting ES associations. The theme that 570 underlies all these requirements is that studies that aim to explain or predict associations between ES 571 must be designed to have a clear mechanistic basis in order to be confident about any relationships 572 found.

# 4.1 Requirement 1: Design studies to test specific hypotheses about specific predictors of key relationships between key ES of interest.

575 The quantification and mapping of associations between a wide range of ES including provisioning, 576 cultural, and regulating services, is thought to enable the identification of a diverse range of trade-offs 577 and synergies that might be missed if only individual ES, or a few more commonly quantified ES are 578 considered (Lee & Lautenbach 2016). However, as outlined earlier, differences in the distributions 579 and types of ES found in different regions mean that determining causal drivers of bundles of all 580 available ES is likely impossible.

- 581 Given the diversity and complexity of drivers that affect different ES, a promising approach for
- 582 understanding the degree of generality of different predictors of relationships between ES may be to
- 583 test specific predictions about the importance of specific drivers of relationships of key policy-
- relevant ES, based on putative mechanistic relationships. For example, a study might set out to test the
- 585 relative importance of forest management history and forest age in determining the value of multiple
- 586 ES across heterogeneous stands (as in Sutherland et al. 2016). Such 'unpacking' of ES bundles into

- 587 series of specific, focused studies should enable a bottom-up understanding of ES bundles in a way
- that studies that consider all ES simultaneously like this case study cannot. Mitchell et al's (2015)
- 589 recent framework and set of specific predictions about how habitat fragmentation will affect ES
- 590 provides an excellent example of the types of clearly defined questions that are required for a
- 591 predictive science for ES. The need for formulating specific questions and hypotheses in ES research
- 592 is also relevant to the generation of policy-relevant knowledge. Indeed, designing problem-oriented
- 593 ES assessments, which focus on the information demands of decision-makers, can help make ES
- 594 studies more decision relevant (Förster et al. 2015; Willcock et al. 2016).

# 4.2 Requirement 2: The testing of specific research questions requires bespoke study designs

597 Observational studies of the relationships between ES and their drivers are unlike experimental 598 studies in that the identity, crossing, replication and interspersion of variables are, by definition, 599 outside the control of the observer (Smart et al. 2012). Careful study designs can help to deal with 600 these challenges and generate meaningful tests of very specific and focused predictions about 601 relationships between ES. Here, ES science should build on the large literature examining the effects 602 of habitat loss and fragmentation on biodiversity (Fahrig, 2003; McGarigal and Cushman's 2002). Of 603 key importance is the need to account for habitat amount before considering effects of habitat 604 configuration when attributing effects. For example, Qiu & Turner (2015) examined whether adding 605 configuration variables could significantly improve the explanatory power of models explaining water 606 quality after accounting for the effect of composition. Using this two-step procedure, they found 607 forests to be more effective at retaining nutrients when more dispersed across subwatersheds.

- 608 One major consideration in designing studies to test predictors of relationships between ES is the 609 issue of scale (section 3.1). Multi-scale assessments of social-ecological relationships with individual 610 ES are vital to understanding scale-dependent social and ecological processes and causality (Scholes 611 et al. 2013; Eigenbrod, 2016). Multi-scale assessments may not be possible, for example when the 612 highest spatial resolution of the data is the municipality as with census-derived socioeconomic
- variables (Raudsepp-Hearne et al. 2010, Hamann et al. 2015, Queiroz et al. 2015). Recent
- 614 developments in downscaling or disaggregating datasets hold promise for higher resolution analyses
- 615 with available datasets (e.g. Keil & Jetz 2014; Lamboni et al. 2016).

#### 616 **4.3 Requirement 3: Utilize a wider range of statistical and modelling approaches**

- 617 While statistical techniques cannot compensate for poor study design (e.g. Hurlbert 1984), taking
- advantage of the best statistical approaches will maximize the inferential strength of a given study
- design. As such, a predictive science for ES should take advantage of recent advances from ecological
- 620 modelling including models that take account of biases in data, confounding variables, and
- 621 mechanistic relationships (e.g. Sugihara et al. 2012; Warton et al. 2015).

- 622 One approach with potential to provide major insights in refining our hypotheses about how different
- 623 predictor variables may affect relationships between ES is simulation modelling. For example, the
- 624 creation of artificial landscapes could enable researchers to control and tease apart variables that are
- 625 inherently confounded in real landscapes. Such studies have led to major insights in landscape
- 626 ecology (e.g. With and King 1997; Gardner et al. 1989), macroecology (e.g. Lennon, 2000), but also
- 627 in our understanding of how landscape structure might affect ES at different spatial scales (Mitchell et
- al. 2015). Simulation models can also be linked with future scenarios in which effects of changing
- drivers, such as land-use patterns and climate, on spatial dynamics of ecosystem services are explored
- 630 (e.g., Carpenter et al. 2015).

#### 631 **4.4** The use of primary data or process models rather than land cover based proxies

632 A major issue for understanding causal drivers of relationships between ES is that most available 633 maps of ES are themselves modelled rather than measured. For example, regulating services such as 634 pollination and erosion mitigation are typically and necessarily quantified using models that 635 incorporate causal relationships between social-ecological variables (Martínez-Harms & Balvanera, 636 2012). An element of circularity therefore exists in ours and most other studies from having assessed the relationship between social-ecological variables and modelled surfaces of ES derived from exactly 637 638 such variables. As such, a true understanding of determinant predictors of ES will only come through 639 increased availability of primary data on actual services rather than LULC surrogates, including from 640 remote sensing (Ayanu et al. 2012) and field studies that measure ES indicators such as water quality 641 and carbon storage. That said, understanding the degree to which widely accessible social-ecological 642 data can be used to predict ES associations, composed of ES that are either data-intensive or complex 643 to model is still useful (Meacham et al. 2016), as it facilitates modelling of such ES associations in 644 data-poor regions.

#### 645 The consideration of temporal changes in ES and drivers

646 Inferring interactions from spatial co-incidence is loosely analogous to a space-for-time substitution in 647 that spatial relationships are used to infer dynamics over time (Tomscha & Gergel, 2016). A major 648 limitation of this approach is that most spatial studies use ES snapshot data to assess ES associations 649 and relationships with drivers. Mismatches in the timing between change in a driver (including 650 demand) and the supply of an ES may cause relationships to be misinterpreted or overlooked, 651 particularly in transitioning landscapes. This can also be due to mismatches in the time series of 652 available datasets. ES are not static but spatially and temporally dynamic in terms of their delivery 653 and associations with other services.; Municipalities have been found to change in the bundles of 654 services they provide over time raising concerns about using snapshots of ES provision to build 655 understanding of ES relationships in complex and dynamic social-ecological systems (Renard et al. 656 2015), Long-term monitoring studies could potentially capture complex long-term ES interactions

and help us avoid or minimize trade-offs and adequately track synergies that simultaneously support
 multiple ES (Tomscha & Gergel, 2016).

#### 659 Acknowledgements

A University of Southampton IfLS Research Stimulus Fund to RS, MS, KP, JMB and FE supported 660 661 RS. RS, RL and FE received support from ERC Starting Grant 'SCALEFORES' (Grant number 680176). RS and JMB were funded by CEH project NEC05264. RL, EC and SL received funding 662 663 from OPERAs (grant number FP7-ENV-2012-two-stage-308393). MS received funding from an 664 ESPA Early Career Fellowship Grant (grant number FELL-2014–104) with support from the Ecosystem Services for Poverty Alleviation (ESPA) programme. The ESPA programme is funded by 665 the Department for International Development (DFID), the Economic and Social Research Council 666 667 (ESRC) and the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC). PV received support from ERC Grant 'GLOLAND' (grant number 311819). Funding for MGT was provided by the US National 668 Science Foundation (grant numbers DEB-1038759, DEB-1440297, and DEB-1440485). EB received 669 670 funding from Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (grant number RGPIN 671 327077-2013) and NSERC EWR Steacie Fellowship. GDP was funded by Social-ecological dynamics 672 of ecosystem services in the Norrström basin (SEEN) project, financed by the Swedish Research 673 Council Formas (grant number 2012-1058) and Swedish Research Council MISTRA (through a core 674 grant to the Stockholm Resilience Centre). We are grateful for constructive feedback from two

anonymous reviewers.

676

#### 677 Literature cited

Ayanu, Y.Z., Conrad, C., Nauss, T., Wegmann, M. and T. Koellner. 2012. Quantifying and mapping
ecosystem services supplies and demands: a review of remote sensing applications. Environmental
Science & Technology, 46:8529-8541.

Anderson, B.J., Armsworth, P.R., Eigenbrod, F., Thomas, C.D., Gillings, S., Heinemeyer, A., Roy,

682 D.B., and K. J. Gaston. 2009. Spatial covariance between ecosystem services & biodiversity priorities.

- 583 Journal of Applied Ecology, 46:88-896.
- Arsenault, J., Michel, P., Berke, O., Ravel, A., and P. Gosselin. 2013. How to choose geographical
- units in ecological studies: Proposal and application to campylobacteriosis. Spatial and Spatio-
- temporal Epidemiology, 7:11-24.
- 687 Bennett, E.M., Peterson, G.D., and L.J. Gordon. 2009. Understanding relationships among multiple
- 688 ecosystem services. Ecology Letters, 12:1394–1404.

- 689 Bennett, E. M., W. Cramer, A. Begossi, G. Cundill, S. Diaz, B. N. Egoh, I. R. Geijzendorffer, C. B.
- 690 Krug, S. Lavorel, E. Lazos, L. Lebel, B. Martin-Lopez, P. Meyfroidt, H. A. Mooney, J. L. Nel, U.
- 691 Pascual, K. Payet, N. P. Harguindeguy, G. D. Peterson, A. H. N. Prieur-Richard, B. Reyers, P.
- 692 Roebeling, R. Seppelt, M. Solan, P. Tschakert, T. Tscharntke, B. L. Turner, P. H. Verburg, E. F.
- 693 Viglizzo, P. C. L., White, G. Woodward. 2015. Linking biodiversity, ecosystem services, and human
- 694 well-being: three challenges for designing research for sustainability. Current Opinion in
- 695 Environmental Sustainability, 14:76-85.
- 696 Berkes, F., Folke, C. 1998. Linking social and ecological systems: management practices and social
- 697 mechanisms for building resilience. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- 698 Berry, P., Turkelboom, F., Verheyden, W., and B. Martín-López. 2015. Ecosystem services bundles.
- In: Potschin, M. and K. Jax (eds): OpenNESS Reference Book. EC FP7 Grant Agreement no. 308428.
- 700 Available via: www.openness-project.eu/library/reference-book.
- Borcard, D., F. Gillet and P. Legendre, 2011. Numerical ecology with R. Springer Science & BusinessMedia, New York.
- Burkhard, B., F. Kroll, S. Nedkov, and F. Muller. 2012. Mapping ecosystem service supply, demandand budgets. Ecological Indicators, 21:17-29.
- 705 Carpenter, S. R., E. G. Booth, S. Gillon, C. J. Kucharik, S. Loheide, A. S. Mase, M. Motew, J. Qiu, A.
- 706 R. Rissman, J. Seifert, E. Soylu, M. G. Turner and C. B. Wardropper. 2015. Plausible futures of a
- social-ecological system: Yahara Watershed, Wisconsin, USA. Ecology and Society, 20(2): 10.
- 708 Crossman, N.D., Burkhard, B., Nedkov, S., Willemen, L., Petz, K., Palomo, I., Drakou, E.G., Martín-
- Lopez, B., McPhearson, T., Boyanova, K., Alkemade, R., Egoh, B., Dunbar, M.B., Maes, J. et al.
- 710 2013. A blueprint for mapping and modelling ecosystem services. Ecosystem Services, 4:4–14.
- 711 Crouzat, E., M. Mouchet, F. Turkelboom, C. Byczek, J. Meersmans, F. Berger, P. J. Verkerk, and S.
- T12 Lavorel. 2015. Assessing bundles of ecosystem services from regional to landscape scale: insights
- 713 from the French Alps. Journal of Applied Ecology, 52:1145-1155.
- 714 Dheng, X. Li, Z. and J. Gibson, 2016. A review on trade-off analysis of ecosystem services for
- sustainable land-use management. Journal of Geographical Sciences, 26:953–968.
- 716 Doledec, S., and D. Chessel. 1994. Co-Inertia Analysis an alternative method for studying species
- 717 environment relationships. Freshwater Biology, 31:277-294.
- 718 Douguédroit, A. 1981. Reafforestation in the French South Alps. Mountain Research and
- 719 Development, 1:245–25.
- 720 Dray, S., P. Legendre, and G. Blanchet. 2011. packfor: Forward Selection with permutation (Canoco
- 721 p.46).

- 722 EEA. 2012. Corine land cover 2006 (CLC2006) raster data version 16 (04/2012). Available from
- 723 http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/corine-land-cover-2006-raster-3/clc-2006-
- 724 100m/g100\_06.zip (accessed 01/02/2016).
- 725 Eigenbrod, F., Hecnar, S.J., and L. Fahrig. 2011. Sub-optimal study design has major impacts on
- 126 landscape-scale inference, Biological Conservation, 144:298–305.
- 727 Eigenbrod, F. 2016. Redefining Landscape Structure for Ecosystem Services. Current Landscape
- Ecology Reports, 1:80–86.
- 729 Ellis, E.C. and N. Ramankutty. 2008. Putting people in the map: Anthropogenic biomes of the world.
- Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 6:439–447.
- 731 Fisher, B., R. K. Turner, and P. Morling. 2009. Defining and classifying ecosystem services for
- 732 decision making. Ecological Economics, 68:643-653.
- 733 Förster, J., J. Barkmann, R. Fricke, S. Hotes, M. Kleyer, S. Kobbe, D. Kübler, C. Rumbaur, M.
- 734 Siegmund-Schultze, R. Seppelt, J. Settele, J. H. Spangenberg, V. Tekken, T. Václavík, and H.
- 735 Wittmer. 2015. Assessing ecosystem services for informing land-use decisions: a problem-oriented
- approach. Ecology and Society, 20:31.
- 737 Grêt-Regamey, A., S. H. Brunner, and F. Kienast. 2012. Muntain ecosystem services: who cares?
- 738 Mountain Research and Development, 32:S23-S34.
- 739 Grêt-Regamey, A., B. Weibel, K. J. Bagstad, M. Ferrari, D. Geneletti, H. Klug, U. Schirpke, and U.
- Tappeiner. 2014. On the effects of scale for ecosystem services mapping. Plos One 9:e112601
- 741 Hauck, J., et al. 2013. Maps have an air of authority: potential benefits and challenges of ecosystem
- service maps at different levels of decision making. Ecosystem Services, 4: 25–32.
- 743 Hamann, M., R. Biggs, and B. Reyers. 2015. Mapping social-ecological systems: Identifying 'green-
- 744 loop' and 'red-loop' dynamics based on characteristic bundles of ecosystem service use. Global
  745 Environmental Change, 34:218-226.
- Holland, R. A, Eigenbrod, F. Armsworth, P. R. Anderson, B. J. Thomas, C. D. Heinemeyer, A.
- 747 Gillings S. Roy, D. B. and K. J. Gaston (2011) Spatial covariation between freshwater and terrestrial
- recosystem services. Ecological Applications, 21:2034-2048.
- Howe, C., H. Suich, B. Vira, and G. M. Mace. 2014. Creating win-wins from trade-offs? Ecosystem
- 750 services for human well-being: A meta-analysis of ecosystem service trade-offs and synergies in the
- real world. Global Environmental Change, 28:263-275.
- 752 Hurlbert, S.H. 1984. Pseudoreplication and the design of ecological field experiments. Ecological
- 753 Monographs 54, 187-211.

- Jones, L.; Norton, L.; Austin, Z.; Browne, A. l.; Donovan, D.; Emmett, B. A.; Grabowski, Z. J.;
- Howard, D. C.; Jones, J. P. G.; Kenter, J. O.; Manley, W.; Morris, C.; Robinson, D. A.; Short, C.;
- 756 Siriwardena, G.M.; Stevens, C. J.; Storkey, J.; Waters, R. D.; Willis, G. F. 2016. Stocks and flows of
- natural and human-derived capital in ecosystem services. Land Use Policy, 52:151-162.
- 758 Jost, L. 2006. Entropy and diversity. Oikos, 113(2), 363–375.
- 759 Keil, P. and W. Jetz. 2014. Downscaling the environmental associations and spatial patterns of species
- richness. Ecological Applications, 24:823–831.
- 761 Lamboni, M., Koeble, R., and A. Leip. 2016. Multi-scale land-use disaggregation modelling: Concept
- and application to EU countries. Environmental Modelling and Software, 82:183–217.
- 763 Lautenbach, S., Volk, M., Gruber B., Dormann, C. F., R. Seppelt. 2010. Quantifying ecosystem
- service trade-offs. In D. A. Swayne, W. Yang, A. A. Voinov, A. Rizzoli, and T. Filatova, editors.
- 765 International congress on environmental modelling and software modelling for environment's sake.
- 766 Ottawa, Canada.
- 767 Lavorel, S., K. Grigulis, P. Lamarque, M. P. Colace, D. Garden, J. Girel, G. Pellet, and R. Douzet.
- 2011. Using plant functional traits to understand the landscape distribution of multiple ecosystemservices. Journal of Ecology, 99:135-147.
- 770 Lee, H. and S. Lautenbach. 2016. A quantitative review of relationships between ecosystem services.
- 771 Ecological Indicators, 66, 340-351.
- Legendre, P. and L. Legendre. 2012. Numerical ecology, 3rd edition. Developments in Environmental
  Modelling, Vol. 24. Elsevier Science BV, Amsterdam.
- Levers, C., Müller, D., Erb, K., Haberl, H., Jepsen, M.R., Metzger, M.J., Meyfroidt, P., Plieninger, T.,
- 775 Plutzar, C., Stürck, J., Verburg, P.H., Verkerk, P.J., and T. Kuemmerle. 2015. Archetypical patterns
- and trajectories of land systems in Europe. Regional Environmental Change 1–18.
- 777 doi:10.1007/s10113
- 778 Maes J, Paracchini ML, Zulian G, Dunbar MB, Alkemade R 2012. Synergies and trade-offs between
- ecosystem service supply, biodiversity, and habitat conservation status in Europe. Biological
- 780 Conservation, 155:1-12
- Malinga, R., Gordon, L. J., Jewitt, G. and R. Lindborg. 2015. Mapping ecosystem services across
   scales and continents A review. Ecosystem Services, 13:57–63.
- 783 Martin-Lopez, B., Iniesta-Arandia, I., Garcia-Llorente, M., Palomo, I., Casado- Arzuaga, I., Del Amo,
- 784 D.G., Gomez-Baggethun, E., Oteros-Rozas, E., Palacios- Agundez, I., Willaarts, B., Gonzalez, J.A.,
- 785 Santos-Martin, F., Onaindia, M., Lopez- Santiago, C., and C. Montes. 2012. Uncovering ecosystem
- service bundles through social preferences. PLoS One, 6:e38970.

- 787 McGarigal, K. and S.A. Cushman. 2002. Comparative Evaluation of Experimental Approaches To the
- 788 Study of Habitat Fragmentation Effects. Ecological Applications, 12:335–345
- 789 Mastrangelo, M. E., F. Weyland, S. H. Villarino, M. P. Barral, L. Nahuelhual, and P. Laterra. 2014.
- 790 Concepts and methods for landscape multifunctionality and a unifying framework based on ecosystem
- revices. Landscape Ecology 29:345-358.
- 792 Meacham, M., Queiroz, C., Norström, A. V, and G. D. Peterson. 2016. Social-ecological drivers of
- multiple ecosystem services: what variables explain patterns of ecosystem services across the
- Norrström drainage basin? Ecology and Society 21:14.
- 795 Mitchell, M. G.E., Suarez-Castro, A.F., Martinez-Harms, M., Maron, M., McAlpine, C., Gaston, K.J.,
- Johansen, K. and J. R. Rhodes 2015. Reframing landscape fragmentation's effects on ecosystem
   services. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 30:190-198.
- Mouchet, M. A., P. Lamarque, B. Martin-Lopez, E. Crouzat, P. Gos, C. Byczek, and S. Lavorel. 2014.
- An interdisciplinary methodological guide for quantifying associations between ecosystem services.
- 800 Global Environmental Change 28:298-308.
- 801 Mouchet, M.A., Paracchini, M.L., Schulp, C.J.E., Stürck, J., Verkerk, P.J., Verburg, P.H. & Lavorel,
- 802 S. 2017. Bundles of ecosystem (dis-)services and multifunctionality across European landscapes.
- 803 Ecological Indicators, 73:23-28.
- 804 Openshaw, S. Taylor, P. 1979. A million or so correlation coefficients: three experiments on the
- modifiable areal unit problem. In Wrigley, N. (Ed.), Statistical Applications in the Spatial Sciences,
  Pion, London.
- 807 Queiroz, C., M. Meacham, K. Richter, A. V. Norstrom, E. Andersson, J. Norberg, and G. Peterson.
- 2015. Mapping bundles of ecosystem services reveals distinct types of multifunctionality within a
  Swedish landscape. Ambio 44:S89-S101.
- 810 Qiu, J. and M.G. Turner. 2013. Spatial interactions among ecosystem services in an urbanizing
- 811 agricultural watershed. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
- 812 America, 2013:12149–54.
- 813 Qiu, J. and M.G. Turner. 2015. Importance of landscape heterogeneity in sustaining hydrologic
- 814 ecosystem services in an agricultural watershed. Ecosphere, 6(11):229.
- 815 Qiu, J., C. B. Wardropper, A. R. Rissman, and M. G. Turner. 2017. Spatial fit between water quality
- 816 policies and hydrologic ecosystem services in an urbanizing agricultural landscape. Landscape
- 817 Ecology, 32:59-75.

- 818 Raudsepp-Hearne, C., G. D. Peterson, and E. M. Bennett. 2010. Ecosystem service bundles for
- 819 analyzing tradeoffs in diverse landscapes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
- 820 United States of America 107:5242-5247.
- 821 Reyers, B., R. Biggs, G. S. Cumming, T. Elmqvist, A. P. Hejnowicz, and S. Polasky. 2013. Getting
- the measure of ecosystem services: a social-ecological approach. Frontiers in Ecology and the
- 823 Environment 11:268-273.
- 824 Scholes, R. J., B. Reyers, R. Biggs, M. J. Spierenburg, and A. Duriappah. 2013. Multi-scale and
- 825 cross-scale assessments of social-ecological systems and their ecosystem services. Current Opinion in
- 826 Environmental Sustainability 5:16-25.
- 827 Smart S.M., Henrys, PA, Purse, BV, Murphy, JM, Bailey, MJ, and R.H. Marrs. 2012. Clarity or
- 828 confusion? Problems in attributing large-scale ecological changes to anthropogenic drivers.
- 829 Ecological Indicators, 20: 51–56.
- 830 Schulp CJE, Burkhard B, Maes J, Van Vliet J, and P. H. Verburg 2014. Uncertainties in Ecosystem
- 831 Service Maps: A Comparison on the European Scale. PLoS ONE 9(10): e109643.
- 832 Schulze, J, Frank K, Priess J.A, and M. A. Meyer. 2016. Assessing Regional-Scale Impacts of Short
- 833 Rotation Coppices on Ecosystem Services by Modeling Land-Use Decisions. PLoS ONE 11(4),
- 834 e0153862.SPCA. 1991. Alpine Convention Framework Convention (ed. Permanent Secretariat of
- the Alpine Convention). SPCA, Salzbourg.
- 836 Sutherland, I.J., Gergel, S.E., and E.M. Bennett. 2016. Seeing the forest for its multiple ecosystem
- 837 services: Indicators for cultural services in heterogeneous forests. Ecological Indicators, 71:123–133.
- 838 Tomscha, S. A., and S. E. Gergel. 2016. Ecosystem service trade-offs and synergies misunderstood
- 839 without landscape history. Ecology and Society 21:43.
- Turner, B.L., Janetos, A.C., Verburg, P.H., and A.T. Murray. 2013. Land system architecture: Using
  land systems to adapt and mitigate global environmental change. Global Environmental Change, 23:
  395–397.
- 843 Turner, K. G., M. V. Odgaard, P. K. Bocher, T. Dalgaard, and J. C. Svenning. 2014. Bundling
- ecosystem services in Denmark: Trade-offs and synergies in a cultural landscape. Landscape and
  Urban Planning 125:89-104.
- 045 010an 1 ianning 125.09-104.
- van Asselen, S. and P. H. Verburg. 2012. A Land System representation for global assessments and
  land-use modeling. Global Change Biology 18:3125–3148.
- van Jaarsveld, A. S., R. Biggs, R. J. Scholes, E. Bohensky, B. Reyers, T. Lynam, C. Musvoto, and C.
- 849 Fabricius. 2005. Measuring conditions and trends in ecosystem services at multiple scales: the South

- 850 African Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (SAfMA) experience. Philosophical Transactions of the
- 851 Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, 360:425-441.
- 852 Warton, D.I. Blanchet, F.G., O'Hara, R., Ovaskainen, O., Taskinen, S., Walker, S.C., and F. K. C Hui,
- 853 2015. So Many Variables: Joint Modeling in Community Ecology. Trends in Ecology & Evolution,
- 854 30:766 779.
- 855 Willcock, S., Hooftman, D., Sitas, N., O'Farrell, P., Hudson, M.D., Reyers, B., Eigenbrod, F. and J.
- M. Bullock. (2016) Do ecosystem service maps and models meet stakeholders' needs? A preliminary
- 857 survey across sub-Saharan Africa. Ecosystem Services, 18:110-117.
- 858 Yang, G., Ge, Y., Xue, H., Yang, W. Shi, Y., Peng, C., and J. Chang. 2015. Using ecosystem service
- bundles to detect trade-offs and synergies across urban–rural complexes. Landscape and Urban
  Planning, 136:110–121.
- 861

## 862 Supplementary Information

| 864 | Contents  |
|-----|---|
| 865 | Appendix S1. Ecosystem service data and spatial characteristics of the case study area            |
| 866 | Appendix S2. Delineation of ES bundles across the study regions                                   |
| 867 | Appendix S3. Identification of social-ecological variables important in discriminating between    |
| 868 | ES bundles  |
| 869 | Details of redundancy analysis used to select social-ecological variables important in explaining |
| 870 | covariation of ES   |
| 871 | Appendix S4. Supplementary discussion of case study results                                       |
| 872 |   |
| 873 |   |
| 874 |   |

#### 875 Appendix S1. Ecosystem service data and spatial characteristics of the case study area

876 The French Alps study region (Figure S1) covers a total of 52,149 km<sup>2</sup> (SPCA 1991) and is

877 characterised by high variation in biodiversity, ecosystems and ES provision relative to European

878 averages (Tappeiner et al. 2008, Crouzat et al. 2015), typical of mountain regions (Grêt-Regamey et

al. 2012). The region is dominated by forests and semi-natural areas (67% of the region), with arable

- lands mainly concentrated in the western broad valleys and piedmonts (27% of the region), while
- artificial areas cover only 5% of the region. This leads to a clear distinction, typical for mountain
- regions, of low elevation high-density urban areas surrounded by intensive agriculture in the valleys,
- and more isolated rural areas (Tappeiner et al. 2008, Crouzat et al. 2015).



884

Figure S1. Location of the French Alps study region in France.

We selected nine ES that have been quantified and mapped in the French Alps previously by Crouzat et al. (2015). These are services that were deemed socially, ecologically and economically relevant to the region following consultation with scientists and local collaborators (Crouzat et al. 2015), and include three provisioning (crop, fodd, wood) three cultural (hunt, rec, tour) and three regulating ES (wqt, cstock, eros; see Table 1 for variable codes). All ES are based on either primary data or bespoke

modelled surfaces of ES. Full details of these ES are in Crouzat et al. 2015 and Appendix S1.

892 Within the region, elevation, climate and vegetation gradients have had historical consequences on

social dynamics and economic activities, resulting in the common separation into the North (Rhône-

Alpes) and the South Alps (Provence-Alps-Côte d'Azur; Crouzat et al. 2015). The social-ecological

895 north-south divide is also recognised by an administrative boundary at the NUTS II level, providing a

- spatial context that is relevant for decision making. The North and South Alps therefore lend
- themselves well to a cross-study comparison.

898 Table S1. Details of ecosystem services modelled in the French Alps case study

| ES<br>category | ES   | Code | Description   | Aggregation to municipality-level |
|----------------|--|------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Ρ              | Agricultural production                              | crop | Yields for annual crops, vineyards and orchards (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> )                                   | Median                            |
| Ρ              | Forage<br>production                                 | fodd | Yields of pastures, meadows and mountain grasslands (kg dry matter ha <sup>-1</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> )                    | Median                            |
| Ρ              | Wood<br>production                                   | wood | Potential woody biomass supply for<br>stemwood and logging residues (Gg dry<br>matter km <sup>-2</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> ) | Median                            |
| С              | Recreation potential                                 | rec  | Recreation potential for daily recreation (index)   | Median                            |
| С              | Tourism  | tour | Territorial capital of rural tourism involving overnight stays (index)  | Median                            |
| С              | Leisure<br>hunting                                   | hunt | Density of shot wild ungulates (number of animals km-2 year-1)  | Median                            |
| R              | Erosion<br>mitigation                                | eros | Biotic contribution to erosion risk mitigation (classes)  | Majority                          |
| R              | Physical<br>water<br>quantity<br>regulation<br>(wqt) | wqt  | Relative water retention enabling flood regulation (index)  | Median                            |
| R              | Carbon<br>storage                                    | csto | Sum of carbon stocks from above-ground<br>and below-ground biomass, dead organic<br>matter and soils (tC km <sup>-2</sup> ) | Median                            |

#### 900 Appendix S2. Delineation of ES bundles across the study regions

#### 901 Methods used to delineate ES bundles

902 Associations among individual ES were quantified using pairwise correlation coefficients based on 903 Spearman's rho (Fig. S2), as is frequently used to inder relationships between ES (Mouchet et al. 904 2014). We adopted the spatially explicit ES-bundle approach of Raudsepp-Hearne et al. (2010) and 905 used cluster analysis to delineate ES bundles across the N and S of the French Alps. A two step 906 clustering approach was adopted (Turner et al. 2015). To minimise skew and make the ES variables 907 dimensionless and comparable in terms of their magnitudes and variability, Box-Cox transformation (Box & Cox, 1964), centring and scaling was applied. First, a PCA was used to quantify the main 908 909 multivariate relationships between the ES variables to assess whether ES co-occur in spatial bundles. 910 The number of PCA axes deemed sufficient to characterize the non-random structure in the data in 911 both the N and S ES datasets was selected according to the Kaiser-Guttman criterion, which selects 912 the axes whose eigenvalues are greater than the mean of all eigenvalues (Legendre and Legendre, 913 1998; Turner et al. 2015). For both regions, the first three components were selected and accounted 914 for 61% and 57% of the total variation in ES in the N and S, respectively. As a precursor to cluster 915 analysis, PCA can serve to separate signal from noise and lead to a more stable clustering solution, 916 with the first axes extracting the essential information while the latter are restricted to noise (Husson 917 et al. 2010). Clustering of PCA axes i.e. uncorrelated components also means that correlated services 918 are not counted more than once or more heavily weighted (Turner et al. 2015). We applied k-means 919 clustering to the relevant PCA axes to delineate ES bundles with 1000 random starts and 10,000 920 iterations to find a solution with the lowest within-cluster sum of squares according to the relevant 921 PCA axes. K-means clusters municipalities so that the composition of ES values are more alike within 922 than between clusters. Three clusters was deemed appropriate for both the N and S datasets according 923 to a hierarchical cluster analysis using Ward's method and squared euclidean distance (with the 924 FactoMineR package; Lê et al. 2008) and qualitative assessment of ES bundles. Following Renard et 925 al. (2015), we quantified the effective number of ES provided in each bundle using a transformation 926 (*H*) of the Gini–Simpson's index (*S*): H = 1/(1 - S), where

927 
$$S = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2$$

928 for a bundle with N ES with varying proportions p of each service (i).

#### 930 Results of correlation and PCA analyses for the North and South Alps

#### 931 North French Alps



933 Figure S2. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients of pairs of ES across the North French Alps







Figure S3. Principal component analysis biplot for ES in the North French Alps. The first axis (PC1) represents a spatial trade-off between crop and most other services, most strongly with wood and cstock. The second axis (PC2) represents a synergy between high fodd and eros services, and their trade-off with rec. The third axis (PC3) represents a synergy between fodd and tourism, trade-off with wqt. The angles between ES represent the strength of their correlations. The first three components accounted for 61% of the total variation in ES.

946 Table S3. Loadings of ES onto each principal component.

|        | PC1   | PC2   | PC3   |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| cstock | -0.44 | -0.06 | -0.13 |
| eros   | -0.28 | -0.55 | -0.21 |
| fodd   | -0.2  | -0.44 | 0.54  |
| hunt   | -0.25 | -0.18 | -0.05 |
| rec    | -0.28 | 0.62  | -0.01 |
| tour   | -0.33 | 0.21  | 0.39  |
| wood   | -0.46 | 0.18  | -0.06 |
| wqt    | -0.23 | -0.06 | -0.67 |
| crop   | 0.42  | -0.01 | -0.19 |
|        |       |       |       |

#### 948 South French Alps



949

950 Figure S4. Spearman's rank correlation coefficients of pairs of ES across the South French Alps





953

Figure S5. Principal component analysis biplot for ES in the South French Alps. The first axis (PC1)

represents a spatial trade-off between crop and most other services, most strongly with wood, fodd

and cstock. The second axis (PC2) is highly descriptive of the distribution of hunt, and its synergy with

957 eros. The third axis (PC3) represents a synergy between hunt and tourism, trade-off with wqt. The

angles between ES represent the strength of their correlations. The first three components accounted

959 for 57% of the total variation in ES.

|        | PC1   | PC2   | PC3   |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| cstock | -0.50 | 0.23  | -0.14 |
| eros   | -0.26 | 0.46  | -0.41 |
| fodd   | -0.38 | -0.35 | -0.06 |
| hunt   | -0.07 | 0.63  | 0.26  |
| rec    | -0.27 | -0.33 | -0.15 |
| tour   | -0.28 | -0.20 | 0.31  |
| wood   | -0.45 | -0.20 | 0.06  |
| wqt    | 0.13  | -0.08 | -0.79 |
| crop   | 0.40  | -0.18 | -0.01 |

960 Table S4. Loadings of ES onto each principal component.

#### 961 Appendix S3. Identification of social-ecological variables important in discriminating

#### 962 **between ES bundles**

963 Table S2 gives the initial list of candidate variables deemed important for explaining ES co-variation,

964 after consulting with the literature.

Table S2. Details of social-ecological variables that are potentially important in distinguishing
 amongst ES bundles in the French Alps.

| Social-ecological<br>variable | Code   | Description  | Unit                | Source   |
|-------------------------------|--------|--|---------------------|--|
| Agricultural land             | agric  | Municipality land area occupied by area classed as agricultural  | %                   | CORINE   |
| Grazing land                  | grass  | Municipality land area occupied by area classed as grassland and pastures  | %                   | CORINE   |
| Forest land                   | forest | Municipality land area occupied by area classed as forest  | %                   | CORINE   |
| Urban land                    | urban  | Municipality land area occupied by area classed as urban   | %                   | CORINE   |
| Open semi-natural<br>land     | semi   | Municipality land area occupied by area classed as semi-natural, other than forest   | %                   | CORINE   |
| Protected area coverage       | natura | The percentage of area covered by<br>Natura 2000 sites designated by EU<br>Member States under the Birds<br>Directive (79/409/EEC) and the<br>Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) | %                   | EEA database   |
| Elevation                     | elev   | Derived from ASTER global digital elevation model  | m                   | Global digital<br>elevation<br>model (DEM)<br>derived from<br>GTOPO30. |
| NPP                           | npp    | Potential NPP  | tC/m²/yr            | Haberl et al.,<br>2007   |
| Biodiversity                  | plant  | Species richness of plants   | Species<br>richness | Maiorano et<br>al., 2013   |
| Annual mean                   | bio1   | Annual mean temperature for the 1950-  | °C                  | WorldClim<br>Global Climate<br>Data                                    |
| lemperalure                   |        |  |                     | Hijmans et al.,<br>2005  |
| Annual                        | bio12  | Annual trends of precipitation for the   | mm                  | WorldClim<br>Global Climate<br>Data                                    |
| ρισσιριτατίστι                |        |  |                     | Hijmans et al.,<br>2005  |

#### 967 Protected area coverage (natura)

- 968 Protected area coverage was calculated by taking the percentage of total land area of each
- 969 municipality occupied by Natura 2000 sites. Natura 2000 is an ecological network composed of sites
- 970 designated under the Birds Directive (Special Protection Areas, SPAs) and the Habitats Directive
- 971 (Sites of Community Importance, SCIs, and Special Areas of Conservation, SACs). Shapefiles for the
- 972 most recently available year (2014) were used (available from http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-
- 973 <u>maps/data/natura-6</u>).

#### 974 Agricultural land (agric)

- 975 Agricultural land was calculated by taking the percentage of total land area of each municipality
- 976 occupied by area classed as agricultural by CORINE.

#### 977 Grazing land (grass)

978 Grazing land was calculated by taking the percentage of total land area of each municipality occupied 979 by area classed as grasslands or pastures by CORINE.

#### 980 Forested land (forest)

Forest land was calculated by taking the percentage of total land area of each municipality occupiedby area classed as forest by CORINE.

#### 983 Urban land (urban)

984 Urban land was calculated by taking the percentage of total land area of each municipality occupied985 by area classed as urban by CORINE.

#### 986 Open semi-natural (semi)

Open semi-natural land was calculated by taking the percentage of total land area of each municipality
occupied by area classed as semi-natural, other than forest by CORINE.

#### 989 Elevation (elev)

- 990 Elevation values at 30-m resolution were taken from ASTER global digital elevation model raster
- files (GTOPO30; available at <u>https://asterweb.jpl.nasa.gov/gdem.asp</u>). The median value for each
- 992 municipality was used.

#### 993 Mean annual temperature (bio1)

We used the mean annual mean temperature (°C) for the 1950-2000 period using the variable 'bio1'
from WorldClim Global Climate Data (Hijmans et al., 2005). The median value for each municipality
was used.

#### 997 Annual precipitation (bio12)

Annual trends of precipitation for the 1950-2000 period in mm. 'bio12' from the WorldClim Global
Climate Data (Hijmans et al., 2005). The median value for each municipality was used.

#### 1000 Population density (pop)

1001 We used the log of population density per square kilometre, obtained by dividing the municipality1002 population size by its area. Data were compiled for the most recently available year (2007) (INSEE).

#### 1003 Details of redundancy analysis used to select social-ecological variables important in 1004 explaining covariation of ES

1005 We initially considered all of the variables included in Table S2 for explaining covariation in ES. We 1006 inspected pairwise correlations between the variables and removed variables with correlation 1007 coefficients of >0.80 to reduce multicollinearity (bio1 and bio12). We then computed a global RDA 1008 with the remaining potential candidate variables: agric, forest, grass, semi, urban, pop, elev, natura 1009 and plant (see above for variables codes). Linear dependencies were further explored by computing 1010 variables' variance inflation factors (VIF) for the global model. For both the North and South 1011 analyses, the variable agric (proportion of land area covered by agriculture) was not included in the 1012 global models to reduce variance inflation factors (all below 5 for the global models without agric). 1013 Forward selection using the packfor package (Dray et al. 2007) was run on the global model to select 1014 social-ecological variables important in explaining variation in ES. This procedure selects the model 1015 with the combination of variables with the highest  $R^2$  and *p*-value (Legendre and Legendre, 2012).

#### 1017 North French Alps



1019

1018

Figure S6. Redundancy analysis triplot of nine ES (red) constrained by the social-ecological variables(blue) across the North French Alps, scaling 2. The bottom and left-hand scales are for the ES, the

1022 top and right-hand scales are for the social-ecological drivers. The angles in the plot between ES and

1023 social-ecological variables, and between ES themselves, represent the strength of their correlations.

#### 1024 South French Alps



1025



1026

Figure S7. Redundancy analysis triplot of nine ES (red) constrained by the social-ecological variables (blue) across the southern French Alps, scaling 2. The bottom and left-hand scales are for the ES, the top and right-hand scales are for the social-ecological drivers. The angles in the plot between ES and social-ecological variables, and between ES themselves represent the strength of their correlations.

#### 1032 Appendix S4. Supplementary discussion of case study results

Here we discuss the correspondence between bundles of social-ecological variables and ES bundles, as revealed by RDA and cluster analysis, in order to test the extent to which social-ecological variables could be relevantly used in the French Alps to explain and predict ES bundles. Based on the qualitative interpretation of RDA site scores and cluster distribution, and expert knowledge, we show that results of cluster analysis are contrasted when comparing North to Southern Alps.

- 1038 In the North Alps, ESB1(N) is characterised by a high level of crop production and a below-average 1039 level of supply for all other ES (Fig. 2). Spatially, this bundle is clustered over the broadest lowland 1040 valleys and the fringe of the external Alps (Figures 2 and S6). This appears very consistent with its 1041 broad overlap with SEB1(N) (Fig. 5), where agricultural and artificial areas are overrepresented (Fig. 1042 4). ESB2(N) presents opposite patterns, as all ES are supplied at above-average levels except crop 1043 production which is supplied far below-average (Fig. 2). In particular, this bundle supplies the highest 1044 regional levels of forest-related ES (carbon stocks, erosion mitigation and wood stocks). This is 1045 coherent with its large overlap with SEB2(N) (Fig. 4), where forested areas are overrepresented 1046 compared to the two others (Fig. 4). In between, ESB3(N) supplies an average level of most ES except 1047 those specific to bundles ESB1(N) and ESB3(N) (Fig. 2). ESB3(N) is essentially concentrated over 1048 areas of intermediate altitude of the external Alps (e.g., the Chartreuse range), which can be captured 1049 at municipal scale as mosaic areas containing a mix of forests, grasslands, built-up and semi-natural 1050 open areas. This could explain the mixed overlap of ESB3(N) with the three SEB identified for the 1051 North Alps (Fig. 4).
- 1052 In the Southern Alps, ESB2(S) is characteristic of rural mosaic landscapes of the internal Alps, 1053 comprising forested and open areas at generally high altitudes (Figs 2 and S6). It supplies the highest 1054 regional levels of numerous ES, in particular fodder production and wood production, recreation and 1055 tourism, and carbon stocks (Fig. 2). This is consistent with its large overlap with SEB2(S) (Fig. 4), 1056 which over-represents high altitude grasslands and also contains a regional average level of forested 1057 areas (Fig. 4). ESB3(S) is a rich and diverse bundle as it supplies an average level of most ES, and the 1058 highest regional levels of erosion mitigation and leisure hunting in particular (Fig. 2). This high 1059 multifunctionality could be related to its heterogeneous spatial patterns, as inferred by the large 1060 combined overlaps of ESB3(S) with both SEB1(S) and SEB2(S) (Fig. 5), i.e. with areas of low to 1061 average elevations with contrasting land use features (Fig. 4). ESB1(S) echoes ESB1(N) in the North 1062 Alps and is characterised by a high level of crop production and an under-average level of supply for 1063 all other ES (Fig. 2). This mono-functional bundle is broadly located in the main intensive agricultural 1064 and urbanized valleys (Rhône and Durance rivers) but poorly overlaps with the Southern SEBs (Fig. 4).
- 1065 Overall, we highlight a discrepancy in our ability to understand, and further predict, ESBs from SEBs 1066 in comparing the results from the North versus the Southern Alps. We hypothesize that this could be

1067 linked to discrepancies in the clustering patterns between ES and social-ecological variables in these 1068 two regions, as captured at municipal scale. The North Alps can be characterised with easily 1069 distinguishable entities in terms of ES and ecological variables at the municipal scale, relying on 1070 aggregated land use and biophysical patterns (e.g., internal versus external Alps, large contrasts in terms 1071 of elevation and land uses). In contrast, the Southern Alps, and in particular the Southern pre-Alps, have 1072 more heterogeneous landscapes. Such landscapes are composed by a fine-grained mosaic of open spaces 1073 (pastures) and secondary forests, related to ecological secondary succession after agricultural 1074 abandonment (post World War II) (Hinojosa et al. 2016). We hypothesize that SEBs at municipal scale 1075 are too coarse in these heterogeneous landscapes for being predictive of aggregated ES. SEBs in the 1076 Southern Alps can be considered as a typology of land cover types, combined with elevation, and these 1077 appear not sufficient for predicting ES bundles in municipalities characterized by a high landscape 1078 heterogeneity – especially in the southern Pre-Alps (northwestern part of the map). ES were initially 1079 modelled at a finer resolution (1-km) and then aggregated at municipal scale to be coherent and 1080 comparable with SE variables. But in heterogeneous landscapes, the effect of fine landscape patterns 1081 on ES supply might not be negligible (Mitchell et al. 2015), explaining why SEBs at municipal scale 1082 cannot be good predictors of ESB. These results point to a discrepancy between the municipal scale 1083 required for many SE variables (in particular social ones), and the finer scale required for understanding 1084 ecological processes and ES patterns. As a conclusion, while the SEBs identified could be relevant 1085 predictors of ESBs at municipal scale in aggregated and contrasted landscape types as in the North Alps, 1086 they appear insufficiently comprehensive for heterogeneous areas as in the Southern Alps.

1087