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Nitrous acid (HONO), as a key reservoir of hydroxyl radical (OH), plays a significant role in atmospheric chemistry. To study the sources and atmospheric influence of HONO, continuous observations of HONO and relevant air pollutants were performed from June to July 2019 at an urban site in Beijing. A comparative study on the ambient levels, diurnal variation, the sources in daytime and nighttime, and the formation mechanisms were investigated for a haze pollution period (Period I) and an ozone pollution period (Period II). The average hourly HONO concentrations during Period I, Period II, and the whole observation period, were 0.58±0.23, 0.54±0.19 and 0.44±0.24 ppb, respectively. The emission from vehicle exhaust was an important source of nocturnal HONO formation. During the nighttime, compared with the homogeneous reaction of NO with OH, the heterogeneous conversion from NO\(_2\) was the dominant pathway for HONO formation. The heterogeneous conversion frequency was 0.0075 h\(^{-1}\) during Period I, higher than that during Period II (0.0028 h\(^{-1}\)), suggesting a higher conversion potential to HONO formation during the haze episode. Based on the analysis of HONO budget, it was found that the daytime unknown source P\(_{\text{unknown}}\) during Period II was higher than that during Period I. Correlation analysis implied that the photo-enhanced NO\(_2\) conversion on the aerosol surface might be a potential source.
for daytime HONO. Without HONO constraint, the Observation-Based Model (OBM) would largely underestimate the averaged daytime atmospheric oxidative capacity (24%), OH production rate (57%), and net O$_3$ production rate (20%). The study results further demonstrated the necessity for clarifying the formation mechanism of HONO to improve the understanding of the influence of HONO to atmospheric chemical processes.
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### 1. Introduction

Nitrous acid (HONO) is recognized as a significant precursor of the hydroxyl radical (OH), the dominant oxidant in the atmosphere (Kleffmann 2007; Su et al. 2011; Villena et al. 2011). OH radicals can further initiate atmospheric photochemistry leading to the formation of ozone (O$_3$) and secondary organic aerosols (SOA) (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009). Previous studies showed that HONO could only be the source of OH in the early morning (Winer and Biermann, 1994), however, it had been found that HONO also contributed significantly (from 24% to 87%) to OH production during the entire daytime (Acker et al., 2006b; Czader et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2019; Kleffmann et al., 2005; Li et al., 2018b; Ren et al., 2003; Su et al., 2008b; Yun et al., 2017). Therefore, the better understanding of the variations, sources, and formation mechanisms of HONO is critical for obtaining more clear idea of the atmospheric oxidation processes.

Many field measurements have been carried out at urban, rural, and remote sites in the world in the recent years, indicating that ambient HONO concentrations varied from several ppt in clean areas up to 15 ppb in polluted areas (Elshorbany et al., 2009; Jia et al., 2020; Kang et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2020; Nakashima et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2020; Spataro and Ianniello, 2014; Spataro et al., 2013; Villena et al., 2011; Xue et al.,...
2020; Yu et al., 2009b; Zhang et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020). Qin et al. (2009) measured a nocturnal maximum HONO concentration of 8.4 ppb at an urban site in Guangzhou, with an unexpected high daytime concentration up to 2.0 ppb. In recent years, Spataro et al. (2013) observed a high level of HONO up to 9.71 ppb in urban sites of Beijing. Fu et al. (2019) found that concentration of HONO reached 8 ppb in a severe haze pollution episode in the Pearl River Delta of China. During a biomass burning period, overall high HONO concentration ranging from 0.01 ppb to 5.95 ppb were observed at a suburban site in Nanjing (Nie et al., 2015). Even in the remote Arctic area, HONO concentrations ranging between 0.04 and 37.9 ppt had been reported (Spataro et al., 2017). Generally, different levels of HONO were observed under different environmental conditions.

As far as we know, the sources for atmospheric HONO are still not fully understood. The gas-phase reaction of NO and OH is once thought to be the only predominant source of HONO during the daytime. However, such a mechanism could not explain the observed much higher daytime HONO concentrations, implying some unknown sources existing. To explain the abnormal high daytime HONO, several sources of HONO have been proposed, including direct emissions from vehicle exhaust (Kurtenbach et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2017; Nakashima and Kajii, 2017), heterogeneous formation on wet surfaces (Han et al., 2016; Monge et al., 2010; Ren et al., 2020), soil nitrite emission (Su et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2020), particulate nitrate photolysis (Shi et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2018a; Ye et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020) and conversion of nitric acid (Gall et al., 2016; Leong et al., 2016; Rutter et al., 2014; Ziemba et al., 2010). And the well-accepted new HONO source is the heterogeneous conversion of NO$_2$ on humid surfaces (Ma et al., 2017). The uptake coefficient ($\gamma$) relies on NO$_2$ concentrations and various parameters including types of surfaces, relative humidity, surface-to-volume ratio (S/V), and surface water content (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003; Stutz et al., 2004; Stutz et al., 2002). Liu et al. (2014) calculated that the $\gamma$ value for aerosol uptake of NO$_2$ could reach up to $\sim$10$^{-4}$ in the afternoon when HONO lifetime is the shortest due to the photolysis, suggesting the significant role of aerosols as reaction media.
In the past 10 years, some studies have been carried out on the ambient levels and formation mechanisms of HONO in China (Hendrick et al., 2014; Hou et al., 2016; Jia et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2014; Meng et al., 2020; Spataro et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2019a; Zhang et al., 2019b; Zhang et al., 2020). However, most studies focused on the characteristic and source of HONO during the severe haze period, the HONO observations over the ozone pollution period were limited in China. In this study, we performed the high time-resolved observation of HONO in an urban site of Beijing in summer of 2019. In the following sections, we first compared the atmospheric levels and variations of HONO and related species during a haze pollution period and an ozone pollution period. Then, we explored several sources of HONO in the two typical cases. Finally, the impacts of HONO on atmospheric oxidative capacity (AOC), primary OH radical production, and O₃ production rates were assessed.

2. Experimental

2.1 Site description

The observation campaign was conducted from 13 June to 4 July 2019. The observation site is on the roof (about 8 m above ground) of the Laboratory of Atmospheric Photochemical Simulation of Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences (CRAES, 40.04° N, 116.42° E), in the north of Chaoyang District of Beijing (Fig. S1). Chaoyang District is one of the six main urban districts (Haidian, Chaoyang, Dongcheng, Xicheng, Shijingshan and Fengtai) of Beijing and is located at the eastern area of Beijing. The CRAES site is to the north (about 2 km) of the North Fifth Ring Road with high level of heavy traffic. It is located in a residential and commercial area, without obvious industrial pollution sources nearby (Cheng et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2017). Thus, CRAES site could be considered as an urban site that can represent the urban environment of Beijing.

2.2 Measurements

2.2.1 HONO measurement
HONO was measured by an online commercial long path absorption photometer instrument (LOPAP-03, QUMA, Germany) by wet chemical sampling and photometric detection. A detailed description of the HONO instrument has been described previously (Li et al., 2012). Briefly, gaseous HONO are sampled by the absorption solution (0.06 mol/L sulfanilamide in 0.1 mol/L HCl) in an external sampling unit. Then the solution can react with 0.4 mmol/L N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine-dihydrochloride solution to generate a stable diazonium salt which can be detected photo-metrically. The LOPAP is designed as a two-channel system to minimize potential interferences (e.g. PAN and NO$_2$+SO$_2$) (Heland et al., 2001). In channel 1, all HONO as well as the possible interferences are detected, while in channel 2 the same amount of interferences without HONO are quantified. The absorption spectra are recorded in 30 s intervals and the absorbance at 550 nm is used to evaluate the concentration of HONO. The sampled airflow is set to 1000 ml/min. With the above settings, the HONO sampling efficiency is determined to be near 100%. Calibration by using the known nitrite standard solution was performed every three or four days (Monday and Thursday) during the campaign. Zero measurements by sampling pure nitrogen were conducted automatically every 8 hours. The detection limit of the measurement is 4 ppt with an accuracy of 10%. The maximum detection limit is 2 ppm and the observed HONO concentrations are within the range.

2.2.2 Other measurements

The NO, NO$_2$ and NOx concentrations were measured by a chemiluminescence instrument (Thermo 17i, USA) coupled with a molybdenum converter. It is noted that NO$_2$ can be overestimated due to the potential conversion of other nitrogen-contained species (e.g., peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN)). However, Xu et al. (2013) have reported that the overestimation was small at the urban sites affected by fresh emissions. Additionally, the interference was also considered to be small because of the weak photochemical reactions at night (Nie et al., 2015). The O$_3$ concentration was monitored by a UV photometric O$_3$ analyzer (Thermo 49i, USA). CO was monitored by the infrared absorption method using a CO analyzer (Thermo 48i, USA). Zero air was injected into the CO analyzer to check zero drift every night and calibrate the analyzer once the zero
drift being bigger than 0.1ppm. The PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration was detected by the
model 5030 sharp PM$_{2.5}$ monitor (Thermo, USA). Routine maintenance has been
carried out to ensure the accuracy of these data. The detection limits for Model 17i,
Model 49i, Model 48i, Model 5030 are 1.0 ppb, 1.0 ppb, 0.04 ppm, 0.5 μg/m$^3$,
respectively.

The VOCs species were measured by an AirmoVOC online analyzer
(Chromatotec Group, Bordeaux, France) with the flame ionization detectors (FID).
Eighty-four VOCs species including 26 alkanes, 15 alkenes, 1 alkyne, 17 aromatic
hydrocarbons, and 25 halogenated hydrocarbons were detected with a time resolution
of 1 hour. Further detailed information could be found elsewhere (Cheng et al., 2018;
Zhang et al., 2017). The carbonyl compounds were collected into 2,4-
dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) coated silica cartridges (Agela Technologies, China)
and analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) based on the EPA
TO-11A method (USEPA, 1999). The detailed procedures of sampling and analytical
method can refer to our previous study (Zhang et al., 2019c). The carbonyl compounds
were measured from 22 to 26 June 2019, and the sampling resolution was two hours
during the campaign. Meteorological parameters consisting of wind speed (WS), wind
direction (WD), temperature (T), and relative humidity (RH) were measured by an
automatic weather station (MAWS301, Vaisala, Finland).

2.3 Model simulation

2.3.1 Observation-based Model

An Observation-Based Model (OBM) incorporating the nearly explicit chemical
mechanism, Master Chemical Mechanisms (MCM, v3.3.1), has been widely employed
in previous studies to dissect the atmospheric oxidative capacity (AOC) and the
contributions of HONO to OH and O$_3$ production (Jiang et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2016;
Xue et al., 2014; Xue et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2018b; Yang et al., 2017). The MCM
described the detailed degradation reactions of 143 primary VOCs and the latest
inorganic reactions (Jenkin et al., 2003; Saunders et al., 2003). The heterogeneous
chemistry processes, dry deposition and dilution mixing within the boundary layer are
also included in the model. A more detailed description of this model configuration has been provided elsewhere (Xue et al., 2016; Xue et al., 2014; Xue et al., 2013). The model was constrained by the measured concentrations of O$_3$, SO$_2$, CO, NO, NO$_2$, HONO, VOCs, carbonyls, $J_{\text{NO}_2}$, T, P and RH at a time resolution of 5 min. For VOCs and carbonyl compounds, which were not measured in real-time, the time-dependent data were linearly interpolated into 5 min. Such approximation may lead to some uncertainties but should not significantly affect the estimation of the contribution of HONO to OH production (Jiang et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2018b).

Here our emphasis is placed on the computation of AOC, OH production rates, and O$_3$ budget. AOC is defined here as the sum of oxidation rates of VOCs and CO by the dominant atmospheric oxidants including OH, O$_3$, and NO$_3$ (Xue et al., 2016). The major primary sources of OH production include photolysis of O$_3$, HONO, and OVOCs as well as reactions of O$_3$+VOCs (Jiang et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2016). The ozone formation rates refer to the formation rates of the total oxidant (O$_x$=O$_3$+NO$_2$) instead of O$_3$ alone (Xue et al., 2014; Xue et al., 2013). The situ O$_3$ photochemical formation mechanism can be clarified into three pathways, HO$_2$+NO, CH$_3$O$_2$+NO, and other RO$_2$+NO reactions. The O$_3$ loss pathways include NO$_2$+OH, RO$_2$+NO$_2$, O$_3$+OH/HO$_2$, O$_3$ photolysis, and other reactions (VOCs+O$_3$, VOCs+NO$_3$, Heter.lossN$_2$O$_5$).

Considering the availability of carbonyls data, the model was only performed from 22 to 26 June (an ozone pollution period) with 00:00 local time (LT) as the initial time. Two model cases with and without HONO constraints were run to assess the role of HONO to AOC, OH production rate, and ozone budget. A six-day pre-run was made to stabilize the unconstrained compounds and the final outputs were extracted to further analyses.

### 2.3.2 Photolysis rates and OH concentration

The photolysis rates of HONO and O(1D) were not measured directly in this work. The $J_{\text{HONO}}$ and $J_{\text{O1D}}$ values can be calculated by the Tropospheric Ultraviolet and Visible (TUV) radiation model developed by the Nation Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) (http://www.acd.ucar.edu/TUV). To reflect the influence of aerosols on $J_{\text{HONO}}$ and $J_{\text{O1D}}$ values, aerosol vertical optical depth (AOD), single scattering albedo (SSA),
and angstrom exponent values ($\alpha$) were input into the TUV model. The AOD, $\alpha$, O$_3$

column concentration, and cloud optical thickness were determined by Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) satellite (https://neo.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/blog/). In our work, the value of 0.91 was used as SSA for the Beijing summer period (Spataro et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2009a).

As OH concentration is not available in our study, the daytime OH concentration can be estimated by using the empirical power-law Eq. (1) (Rohrer and Berresheim, 2006) below:

$$[\text{OH}] = a \times (J_{O1D}/10^5 \text{s}^{-1})^b + c$$

(a = 4.2 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}, b = 1.0, c = 0.6 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3})

Where [OH] represents the OH concentration, $J_{O1D}$ is the photolysis frequencies of O^1D modelled by the TUV model. The daytime OH concentration ranged from 6.5 \times 10^5 to 9.2 \times 10^6 molecule cm$^{-3}$ with the mean value of 4.4 \times 10^6 molecule cm$^{-3}$, which is within the range of those measured in Beijing (Lu et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2018). The correlations between the daytime calculated OH concentrations and the modelled concentrations by the OBM from 22 to 26 June were displayed in Fig. S2. The good correlations ($R^2=0.88$) showed the reliability of the OH concentrations calculated by the empirical power-law equation.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Overview of measurements

The time series of HONO, O$_3$, CO, NO, NO$_2$, PM$_{2.5}$ and meteorological parameters from 13 June to 4 July are displayed in Fig. 1. During the observation period, the temperature ranged from 18 to 38°C, with average values of 28±4°C; the RH ranged from 16% to 92%, with average values of 52±18%. The wind direction during the observation period was dominated by the south wind and the average WS was 2.73±1.65 m/s. Occasionally on 29 and 30 June, the dominant wind direction was north, and the hourly WS exceeded 7 m/s.

The average hourly levels of NO, NO$_2$, and CO were 2.7±1.9 ppb (1.0-14.2 ppb),
15.5±8.8 ppb (1.7-54.5 ppb), and 1.20±0.32 ppm (0.47-2.57 ppm), respectively. The levels of PM$_{2.5}$ and O$_3$ ranged from 5.5 to 125.1 μg/m$^3$ and from 1.0 to 157.7 ppb, and averaged at 41.6±26.8 μg/m$^3$ and 61.3±35.4 ppb, respectively. The measured hourly concentrations of HONO ranged from 0.10 to 1.39 ppb, with an average of 0.44±0.24 ppb. The highest HONO concentration in the present study was comparable to the level reported in a northwestern urban area of Beijing (Wang et al., 2017), but lower than the levels in other urban areas in China, such as Beijing (3.24 ppb, (Hou et al., 2016); 3.69 ppb, (Spataro et al., 2013)), Xi’an (4.3 ppb, (Huang et al., 2017)), Shanghai (5.84 ppb, (Cui et al., 2018)), and Hong Kong (13.9 ppb, (Yun et al., 2017)).

Based on the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) (GB 3095-2012), only a haze day (19 June) occurred with daily PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations exceeding the Grade II of NAAQS (75 μg/m$^3$). Seventeen O$_3$ non-attainment days (except 14, 16, 29-30 June and 1 July) occurred with daily maximum-8h average concentrations exceeding the Grade II of NAAQS (75 ppb, corresponding to 160 μg/m$^3$). In this study, a haze pollution period from 17 to 21 June (Period I, the blue area in Fig. 1) and an ozone pollution period from 22 to 26 June (Period II, the orange area in Fig. 1) were selected as two typical case studies. Table 1 documented the average hourly HONO, NO$_2$, NO, PM$_{2.5}$, O$_3$ concentrations, and HONO/NO$_2$ ratio during the two periods. Given that the NOx analyzer probably overestimated the NO$_2$ concentrations, the HONO/NO$_2$ ratios discussed in Section 3 are lower limits for the values. The average hourly HONO level during Period I ($p<0.05$) was higher than that during Period II. The result of higher HONO level during Period I was consistent with the previous studies (Cui et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2019b). The average hourly ozone levels were similar in two periods. Compared with that during Period II, a higher HONO/NO$_2$ ratio was found during Period I. The HONO/NO$_2$ ratio was often applied to assess the contribution of NO$_2$ to HONO from the heterogeneous conversion (Li et al., 2012). It implied that the heterogeneous conversion from NO$_2$ might contribute to HONO formation.

The averaged daytime and nighttime HONO, NO$_2$ and HONO/NO$_2$ of our observation and other urban sites were summarized in Table S1. The higher HONO, NO$_2$, and HONO/NO$_2$ levels occurred at nighttime in all sites except Nanjing (Liu et
al., 2019) and Hong Kong (Xu et al., 2015). The average nighttime HONO/NO$_2$ ratios obtained by this study were lower than those in previous results of Beijing (Jia et al., 2020; Tong et al., 2015), but comparable to measurements at Shanghai (Bernard et al., 2016), Hong Kong (Xu et al., 2015), Roma (Acker et al., 2006a), and Paris (Michoud et al., 2014). The lower HONO/NO$_2$ ratios may suggest a less conversion from NO$_2$ to HONO formation in this study.
Fig. 1 Time series of HONO, O$_3$, CO, NO, NO$_2$, PM$_{2.5}$, and meteorological parameters from 13 June to 4 July 2019. Blue and orange areas are Period I (haze pollution) and II (ozone pollution), respectively. (Missing data are due to instrument maintenance)
Table 1 Average hourly HONO, NO₂, NO, PM₂.₅, O₃ concentrations, and HONO/NO₂ ratios during the haze pollution period and the ozone pollution period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Period I: Haze pollution period</th>
<th>Period II: Ozone pollution period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HONO</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>0.58±0.26 (0.25-1.39)</td>
<td>0.54±0.19 (0.24-1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>16.4±6.5 (7.4-38.7)</td>
<td>18.5±8.0 (6.1-44.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>3.6±2.5 (1.3-14.2)</td>
<td>2.6±1.5 (1.2-11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>μg/m³</td>
<td>69.5±29.7 (13.6-123.3)</td>
<td>43.5±13.4 (15.0-72.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>62.9±39.4 (1.0-157.7)</td>
<td>73.2±43.4 (1.0-145.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HONO/NO₂</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>3.8±1.6 (1.4-9.2)</td>
<td>3.1±0.9 (1.4-5.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Diurnal variations of HONO

The diurnal profiles of HONO, NO₂, O₃, and HONO/NO₂ ratio were illustrated in Fig. 2. The obvious diurnal variation of HONO resembled those at other urban sites in previous studies (Huang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018a; Michoud et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2017). After sunrise, HONO concentration dropped rapidly to ~0.33 ppb at noon due to photolysis and the elevated height of the boundary layer, the concentration of which remained at a low level until sunset. Then HONO concentration increased and accumulated during the night, reaching the peak value of 0.71 ppb at 04:00 LT. The diurnal cycle of NO₂ was similar to that of HONO. After sunset, NO₂ increased and maintained at a high level during the night. A maximum value of 23.0 ppb was also obtained at 04:00 LT. The diurnal cycle of O₃ was opposite to HONO and NO₂, with a maximum value of 101.7 ppb at 15:00 LT and a minimum value of 24.6 ppb at 04:00 LT. The HONO/NO₂ ratio started to increase gradually after sunset and reached the first weak peak during the night (04:00 LT). The ratio had a second rising process after 09:00 LT and climbed to the maximum at 13:00 LT. The values of HONO/NO₂ at noon (11:00-16:00 LT) were even higher than those during the night. If the sources of HONO were the same during the night and day, a low HONO/NO₂ ratio should be obtained at noon because of the strong photolysis of HONO. Thus, we can conclude the existence of an
additional source of HONO during the daytime, and further discuss the potential daytime source of HONO in Section 3.4.

Fig. 2 Diurnal profiles of HONO, NO\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{3}, and HONO/NO\textsubscript{2} ratio (error bar means standard deviation)

3.3 Nocturnal HONO sources

3.3.1 Direct vehicle emission

Previous studies have shown that HONO can be directly emitted into the atmosphere by combustion processes including vehicle exhaust and biomass burning (Burling et al., 2010; Spataro and Ianniello, 2014). As our sampling site is close to the five-ring road with high traffic volume, it is essential to assess the contribution of vehicle emission to ambient HONO concentrations. The HONO/NOx ratio was usually used to derive the emission factor of HONO in the freshly emitted air masses (Kurtenbach et al., 2001). A criterion of NO/NOx > 0.7 was often adopted to select the fresh air masses. However, in our study the relatively low NO/NOx ratio (from 0.09 to 0.53) suggested that the air mass had become aged before arriving at the sampling site. The HONO/NOx ratios of 0.0065 (Spataro et al., 2013; Tong et al., 2015) and 0.008 (Jia et al., 2020; Meng et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020) have been chosen as the emission
factor for the urban area of Beijing in previous studies. Based on the tunnel studies (Kleffmann et al., 2003; Kurtenbach et al., 2001), the ratios ranging from 0.3% to 0.8% in fresh vehicle exhaust had been reported. Thus, the values of 0.003, 0.0065 and 0.008 were adopted to estimate the contribution from traffic emission. The directly emitted HONO concentration can be calculated by Eq. (2) below:

\[
[HONO]_{emis} = [NOx] \times F \tag{2}
\]

where, \([HONO]_{emis}\) represents the HONO levels from the traffic emission, \([NOx]\) represents the NOx concentration, and \(F\) represents the emission factor. To avoid the influence of HONO photolysis, only the nocturnal data from 20:00 LT to the next 06:00 LT were considered. The statistical results of the ratios of \([HONO]_{emis}/[HONO]\) were shown in Fig. S3. The average calculated \([HONO]_{emis}\) levels contributed 15%, 31%, and 40% to the whole measured nocturnal HONO levels at the emission factor of 0.003, 0.0065 and 0.008, respectively. In previous studies, the contributions from vehicle emission ranged between 20.59% and 52% in urban areas of Beijing (Spataro et al., 2013; Tong et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019b). Therefore, direct emissions from vehicles could be an important HONO source in Beijing.

### 3.3.2 NO+OH homogeneous formation

The reaction of NO with OH was also anticipated as a dominant homogeneous production of HONO in nighttime (Li et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2014). Considering the reactions of R1 and R2, the net homogeneous HONO production \(P_{\text{net NO+OH}}\) can be calculated by the following Eq. (3):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NO} + \text{OH} & \rightarrow \text{HONO} \quad \text{R1} \\
\text{HONO} + \text{OH} & \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \text{R2}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
P_{\text{net NO+OH}} = k_{\text{NO+OH}}[\text{OH}][\text{NO}] - k_{\text{HONO+OH}}[\text{HONO}][\text{OH}] \tag{3}
\]

where the rate constants \(k_{\text{NO+OH}}\) and \(k_{\text{HONO+OH}}\) are \(7.2 \times 10^{-12}\) and \(5.0 \times 10^{-12}\) cm\(^3\) molecule\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) for reactions R1 and R2 at 298 K, respectively (Li et al., 2012); \([\text{NO}]\) and \([\text{HONO}]\) represents concentrations of NO and HONO, respectively. Since the rate constants of R1 and R2 are similar, the \(P_{\text{net NO+OH}}\) is determined by NO and HONO concentrations. The nighttime OH concentration with \(5 \times 10^5\) molecules cm\(^{-3}\) was
observed by Tan et al. (2017) in Wangdu in summer 2014. An average value of $5.0 \times 10^5$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ was assumed to the nighttime OH concentration of Beijing in this study (Cui et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2017; Spataro et al., 2013; Tong et al., 2015).

Fig. 3 Average nocturnal variations of $P_{\text{net}}^{\text{NO+OH}}$ during the whole observation period, Period I, and Period II (error bar means standard deviation)

Fig. 3 depicted the nocturnal variations of NO, HONO, and $P_{\text{net}}^{\text{NO+OH}}$ during the whole observation period, Period I, and Period II. Before midnight (20:00-00:00), the relatively low NO and HONO concentrations provided the low $P_{\text{net}}^{\text{NO+OH}}$ values of
0.03±0.01 ppb h\(^{-1}\) during the three periods. After midnight (01:00-06:00), the \(P_{\text{net NO}+\mathrm{OH}}\) values during the three periods showed increase trends due to the increases of NO and HONO concentrations, averaged at 0.03±0.03, 0.05±0.03 and 0.03±0.01 ppb h\(^{-1}\), respectively. Note that the \(P_{\text{net NO}+\mathrm{OH}}\) value averaged at 0.04±0.02 ppb h\(^{-1}\) during Period I were slightly higher than those during the whole observation period (0.03±0.02 ppb h\(^{-1}\)) and Period II (0.03±0.01 ppb h\(^{-1}\)). The calculated average \(P_{\text{net NO}+\mathrm{OH}}\) ranged from 0.002 to 0.17 ppb h\(^{-1}\), which was comparable to those obtained at an urban site of Western China in summer (0.04-0.15 ppb h\(^{-1}\)) (Huang et al., 2017), but lower than the results in severe haze periods in urban Beijing (0.98-2.18 ppb h\(^{-1}\)) (Tong et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2019b).

### 3.3.3 Heterogeneous conversion of NO\(_2\)

Numerous field measurements in urban sites reported that heterogeneous conversion reaction of NO\(_2\) on wet surfaces could be an important HONO source at night in the atmosphere (Sorgel et al., 2011; Spataro et al., 2013; Su et al., 2008a). The NO\(_2\) conversion efficiency mainly depends on the surface properties. The positive correlation between HONO and NO\(_2\) (\(R^2=0.31\), shown in Fig. S4) was also found in this study, implying that NO\(_2\) might be a precursor of HONO production (Huang et al., 2017; Qin et al., 2009).

The aerosol surface is considered as an important media for the heterogeneous conversions of NO\(_2\) in several studies (Huang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2014). As aerosol surface density is not measured, PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations are used as surrogates to identify the influences of aerosols on HONO formation. Fig. 4(a) showed the correlation of HONO/NO\(_2\) with PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations at night, and the positive correlation revealed the heterogeneous conversions of NO\(_2\) on aerosol surfaces. Additionally, it can be seen that the mean HONO/NO\(_2\) value increased gradually with the increasing PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations. The correlations between HONO/NO\(_2\) and PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations at night during Period I and Period II were displayed in Fig. S5. A higher correlation was found in Period I, indicating the possibility of a higher conversion frequency on aerosol surfaces in the haze pollution period than in the clean period.

The effects of RH on the heterogeneous formation of HONO are further
investigated. Stutz et al. (2004) asserted that the absorbed water on the surface participated in the heterogeneous conversion of NO$_2$ to HONO. The influence of RH on heterogeneous HONO formation at night was illustrated in Fig. 4(b). An increase of HONO/NO$_2$ along with the increasing RH was found when the RH was less than 60%. Further increase (>60%) of RH led to a decrease of the HONO/NO$_2$ value. This phenomenon can be associated with the number of water layers formed on aerosol surfaces. The excess water on the surface is a limiting factor for the NO$_2$ conversion. When the RH is larger than 60% in this study, the heterogeneous conversion efficiency seems to be depended negatively on RH. The similar phenomenon was also observed by Yu et al. (2009b) in Nepal, Li et al. (2012) and Wang et al. (2013) in China. Additionally, the water uptake processes could occur on aerosol/ground surfaces. Many studies have reported that the water droplets act as a role in the HONO sink when the RH exceeds 96% (Acker et al., 2005; He et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2009b; Zhou et al., 2007). Since the maximum RH is 92% in this study, the process of HONO uptake by water is not considered.

The conversion frequency ($C_{HONO}$) is widely used to estimate the conversion rate from NO$_2$. It was assumed that all measured HONO came from heterogeneous conversions of NO$_2$ (Hou et al., 2016), and the $C_{HONO}$ value could be calculated by Eqs. (4) and (5) (Su et al. 2008a; Wang et al. 2017a; Zhang et al. 2019b). To eliminate the influence of direct vehicle emission, the HONO concentration was corrected by Eq. (6) and was denoted as [HONO]$_{corr}$.

$$C_{HONO}^X = \frac{2\left([HONO]_{corr}|_{t2} \times [X]_{t2} - [HONO]_{corr}|_{t1} \times [X]_{t1}\right)}{(t_2-t_1)\left(|NO_2|_{t2} \times [X]_{t2} + |NO_2|_{t1} \times [X]_{t1}\right)}$$ (4)

$$C_{HONO} = \frac{2\left([HONO]_{corr}|_{t2} - [HONO]_{corr}|_{t1}\right)}{(t_2-t_1)\left(|NO_2|_{t2} - |NO_2|_{t1}\right)}$$ (5)

$$C_{HONO}^X = \frac{1}{3}(C_{HONO}^0 + C_{HONO}^{CO} + C_{HONO}^{NO_2})$$ (5)

$$[HONO]_{corr} = [HONO] - [HONO]_{emis}$$ (6)

where [HONO$_{corr}$], [NO$_2$], and [X]$_{t}$ represents the concentrations of the HONO$_{corr}$, NO$_2$ and reference gases at the time t, respectively. [X] is the averaged reference gases.
concentration during the time interval of $t_1$ and $t_2$. $C_{HONO}^X$ is the conversion frequency scaled with reference gases X (CO and NO$_2$) and $C_{HONO}^0$ is the conversion frequency which is not scaled. The emission factor is 0.0065. The heterogeneous conversion rates of NO$_2$ were 0.0036 h$^{-1}$, 0.0075 h$^{-1}$, and 0.0028 h$^{-1}$ on average during the whole observation period, Period I and Period II, respectively. The rates were comparable to the values of 0.0039 h$^{-1}$ in winter of Beijing in 2014 (Hou et al., 2016), 0.0058 h$^{-1}$ in winter of 2016 (Zhang et al., 2019b), and 0.0078 h$^{-1}$ in autumn of 2018 (Jia et al., 2020), but lower than the values obtained in other studies of Beijing, such as 0.010 h$^{-1}$ in summer of 2016 (Wang et al., 2017) and 0.016 h$^{-1}$ in spring of 2018 (Zhang et al., 2020).

Based on the study of Su et al. (2008a), the conversion rates could be affected by several factors including surface features, aerosol concentrations, and the environments. The discrepancies may be related to the different types of surface and aerosol concentrations in different environments. A heterogeneous production rate of HONO at night ($C_{HONO} \times [NO_2]$) of 0.06 ppb h$^{-1}$ was derived during the whole observation period, which is higher than the rate from homogeneous reaction of NO with OH. This showed that the heterogeneous conversion from NO$_2$ was more important for HONO formation at night during the observation period.

**Fig. 4** The correlations between HONO$_{corr}$/NO$_2$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentration (a) and RH (b) at night. Mean (blue circle), median (middle horizontal line), 25th and 75th percentiles (P25-P75, box), 10th and 90th percentiles (P10-P90, whiskers).
3.4 Daytime HONO budget

Several studies reported that the gas phase reaction of OH and NO was not sufficient to explain the HONO concentration in the daytime. An unknown daytime HONO source needs to be identified. Based on the source and sink pathways of HONO, the net daytime HONO formation rate can be calculated by a detailed budget Eq. (7)-(9) (Jia et al., 2020; Sorgel et al., 2011).

\[
\frac{d[HONO]}{dt} = P_{emis} + P_{OH+NO} + P_{unknown} - L_{HONO+OH} - L_{pho} - L_{dep}
\]

where, \(d[HONO]/dt\) means the variation of the observed HONO concentrations; \(P_{emis}\), \(P_{OH+NO}\), and \(P_{unknown}\) represent the contribution rates of direct vehicle emission, the homogeneous reaction of OH and NO and the unknown source, respectively. \(L_{HONO+OH}\), \(L_{pho}\), and \(L_{dep}\) donate the loss rate of the reaction of HONO with OH, the photolysis reaction of HONO, and the dry deposition of HONO, respectively. \(J_{HONO}\) is the photolysis frequency of HONO obtained by the TUV model simulation, varying from 3.69 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) to 5.13 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) s\(^{-1}\) during the daytime (10:00 LT-15:00 LT). According to previous studies (Hou et al., 2016; Li et al., 2011), the deposition velocity \(V_d\) and the daytime mixing height \(H\) in Beijing were assumed as 1.6 cm s\(^{-1}\) and 500 m, respectively.

Table 2 summarized the daytime HONO production rate from unknown source at different sites. The calculated average \(P_{unknown}\) was 0.59 ppb h\(^{-1}\) (ranging from 0.02 to 1.83 ppb h\(^{-1}\)) in our study, which was lower than those values in most urban sites, but comparable to the values (0.40 and 0.50 ppb h\(^{-1}\)) in sites of Paris and Germany. Interestingly, a larger \(P_{unknown}\) (0.80 ppb h\(^{-1}\)) was found during Period II than the value (0.73 ppb h\(^{-1}\)) calculated during Period I. The diurnal contributions of production and loss pathways to the HONO budget during the whole observation period, Period I, and Period II were illustrated in Fig. 5, Fig. S6(a), and Fig. S6(b), respectively. The \(P_{unknown}\) values are still about 5-6 times greater than the \(P_{OH+NO}\) values, suggesting that \(P_{unknown}\) is the dominant daytime HONO source during the observation period. As the largest
production process, the unknown source could account for up to 87% of the HONO production. The proportion could even reach up to 92% during Period II.

Table 2 Comparison of the HONO concentrations and the derived $P_{\text{unknown}}$ at different sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>HONO/ppb</th>
<th>$P_{\text{unknown}}$/ppb h$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beijing (suburban, China)</td>
<td>2006.8</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>(Yang et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing (urban, China)</td>
<td>2014.2-3</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.26-1.85</td>
<td>(Hou et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing (urban, China)</td>
<td>2015.9-2016.7</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing (urban, China)</td>
<td>2016.12</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.98-1.25</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2019b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing (urban, China)</td>
<td>2018.8-9</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>(Jia et al., 2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing (urban, China)</td>
<td>2019.6-7</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jinan (urban, China)</td>
<td>2015.9-2016.8</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>(Li et al., 2018a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xi’an (urban, China)</td>
<td>2015.7-8</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>(Huang et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai (urban, China)</td>
<td>2016.5</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.78-2.98</td>
<td>(Cui et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guangzhou (rural, China)</td>
<td>2004.10</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>(Su et al., 2008b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris (suburban, France)</td>
<td>2009.7</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>(Michoud et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jülich (rural, Germany)</td>
<td>2003.7-8</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>(Kleffmann et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Understanding the potential unknown daytime sources of HONO is a challenging task. Of note, as shown in Fig. 5, $P_{\text{unknown}}$ climbed to the maximum at noon (13:00 LT) and then gradually decreased. It could be inferred that the unknown source may be relevant to solar radiation. Correlation analysis of $P_{\text{unknown}}$ with several parameters related to the processes identified as HONO sources has been widely used to diagnose the unknown source. The correlations of $P_{\text{unknown}}$ against $J_{\text{NO}_2}$ and RH ($R^2<0.1$, Fig. S7 and S8) did not show any clear relationships. To characterize the effect of aerosol and photo-enhanced NO$_2$ conversion on unknown HONO sources, the relationships between $P_{\text{unknown}}$ and (a) PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, (b) PM$_{2.5}$×NO$_2$, (c) $J_{\text{NO}_2}$×NO$_2$, and (d) PM$_{2.5}$×$J_{\text{NO}_2}$×NO$_2$ were analyzed, respectively. As shown in Fig. 6, the $P_{\text{unknown}}$ increased gradually with the increase of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations, PM$_{2.5}$×NO$_2$ values, $J_{\text{NO}_2}$×NO$_2$ values and PM$_{2.5}$×$J_{\text{NO}_2}$×NO$_2$ values. Moreover, the positive correlations between $P_{\text{unknown}}$ and the above parameters signified that the photo-enhanced NO$_2$ conversion on aerosol surface could act as a missing source for daytime HONO. The correlation relationships obtained in this study were not as high as those in previous studies (Cui et al., 2018; Jia et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018b; Wang et al., 2017). Though the researches of Ziema et al (2010), Rutter et al (2014), Leong et al (2016) and Gall et al (2016), it can be learned that the heterogeneous conversion of HNO$_3$ on primary organic aerosol emitted by motor vehicles and the homogeneous VOCs-mediated conversion of HNO$_3$...
to HONO could also be the main HONO sources. Additionally, the photolysis of total
nitrate (HNO$_3$ and particle nitrate) have been considered as potential formation
pathways of ambient HONO in several other studies (Ye et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2020;
Zhou et al., 2011). However, it was a pity that HNO$_3$ and particulate nitrate were not
observed during the sampling period. The effect of total nitrate on HONO formation
could not be evaluated in this study. Considering the significant role of total nitrate, the
conversion of total nitrate to HONO in ozone pollution period will be studied in the
future.

![Fig. 6](image)

**Fig. 6** The correlations between $P_{\text{unknown}}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ (a), PM$_{2.5} \times$NO$_2$ (b), $J_{NO2} \times$NO$_2$ (c)
and PM$_{2.5} \times J_{NO2} \times$NO$_2$ (d). Mean (blue circle), median (middle horizontal line), 25th
and 75th percentiles (P25-P75, box), 10th and 90th percentiles (P10-P90, whiskers).

### 3.5 Impact of HONO on AOC, OH and O$_3$ budget

It is known that photolysis of HONO plays a crucial role for OH radical production
in the atmosphere. The elevated levels of daytime HONO indicated that a strong
atmospheric oxidizing capacity exists in the atmosphere in Beijing. Additionally, OH
radical acts as an important role in ozone photochemistry. Since the ozone pollution
was severe during the observation period, the production and loss rates of O$_3$ were also
quantified. Fig. 7 showed the simulated average diurnal profiles of AOC, primary
production rates of OH radical, O$_3$ production, and loss budget with and without HONO
data constraints. The meaning of “without HONO data constraints” is that the measured
HONO concentrations are not entered into the OBM model. The calculated AOC with
and without HONO constrained were up to $8.58 \times 10^7$ and $6.63 \times 10^7$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$
at noon, with average daytime (7:00-19:00 LT) values of $4.33 \times 10^7$ and $3.27 \times 10^7$
molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. The AOC levels were relatively lower than those
determined at the same site in 2008 (Yang et al. 2018), but comparable to the values at
a background site in Hong Kong (Li et al., 2018b). This implied the decreased oxidation
capacity due to the implementation of strict emission reduction measures taken in
Beijing in recent years. OH was the predominant oxidant, as expected, accounting for
88% and 85% of the AOC with and without HONO constrained, respectively. During
the nighttime, the contributions from O$_3$ and NO$_3$ to AOC began to increase due to low
OH levels.

Here we calculated the production rate of OH radicals from HONO photolysis and
compared it with those from other sources, including O$_3$ photolysis, OVOCs photolysis
as well as ozonolysis of alkenes (Fig. 7 (c) and (d)). In terms of the daytime average,
HONO photolysis was the largest contributor to OH production with an average value
of 0.93 ppb h$^{-1}$, followed by O$_3$ photolysis (0.36 ppb h$^{-1}$), and ozonolysis of alkenes
(0.16 ppb h$^{-1}$). The contribution of OVOCs photolysis could be neglected with an
average of 0.03 ppb h$^{-1}$. Even at noontime, HONO photolysis still presented the
dominant contributor of OH production, which was consistent with the conclusion in
the previous study (Li et al., 2018a). When HONO concentration was not constrained,
the contribution from HONO photolysis was much underestimated reaching up to 89%
(0.10 ppb h$^{-1}$).

To accurately evaluate the impacts of HONO on in-situ photochemical O$_3$
production, the diurnal variations of O$_3$ production and loss rates with and without HONO were depicted in Fig. 7 (e) and (f). The average O$_3$ production is dominated by the HO$_2$+NO and other RO$_2$+NO reactions. For the average O$_3$ loss, the predominate two pathways are NO$_2$+OH and NO$_2$+RO$_2$ reactions. Other reactions including VOCs+O$_3$, VOCs+NO$_3$, NO$_2$+RO$_2$, and Heter.lossN$_2$O$_5$ dominated the O$_3$ loss during the nighttime. All pathways except O$_3$ photolysis and other reactions were underestimated when HONO data were not constrained in the model. The net O$_3$ production rate can be obtained as the difference between the production and destruction rate. In this study, the daytime average net production rate was 10.19 ppb h$^{-1}$ with HONO constrained, which was 1.2 times higher than the value (8.18 ppb h$^{-1}$) without HONO constrained.

Note that the homogeneous reaction of OH+NO was the only source of HONO in the model now, the differences of the simulated results between the two cases could suggest the contribution of other additional sources of HONO. The model without observed HONO data constraint would largely underestimate the AOC, OH production rate and net ozone production rate. These results verified the significant roles of HONO and additional HONO sources in atmospheric photochemistry. However, the direct HONO observations are limited in photochemical monitoring networks in China and the unknown sources of HONO are still unclear. To accurately simulate atmospheric oxidation processes, further investigation is required to figure out the mechanisms of HONO sources in the future.
Fig. 7 Simulated average diurnal variations of AOC (a, b), major primary OH sources (c, d) and O$_3$ budget (e, f) with (left panel) and without (right panel) HONO data constraints during Period II.

4. Conclusions

High time-resolution field observation of HONO, together with other air pollutants and meteorological parameters were conducted at an urban site in Beijing from June to July 2019. A haze pollution period (Period I) and an ozone pollution period (Period II) were selected for a comparative study of two typical cases. Higher HONO concentrations and HONO/NO$_2$ ratios were found during Period I than those during Period II, implying that the heterogeneous conversion from NO$_2$ on aerosol surfaces may contribute to HONO formation. Direct vehicle emission exhibited significant contribution from 15% to 40% on ambient HONO at night. The calculated homogeneous formation rate and heterogeneous conversion frequency of NO$_2$ to HONO formation during Period I were higher than those during Period II. Compared
with the homogeneous reaction of NO with OH, the heterogeneous conversion from NO\(_2\) was the dominant source for nocturnal HONO formation. The calculation results found that the daytime unknown source \(P_{\text{unknown}}\) (0.80 ppb h\(^{-1}\)) during Period II was higher than that (0.73 ppb h\(^{-1}\)) during Period I. Correlations analysis presented that the photo-enhanced NO\(_2\) conversion on the aerosol surface appeared to be a missing HONO source. The model simulations showed that the oxidant reactions initiated by OH radicals accounted for 88% of the atmospheric oxidation capacity (AOC) during an ozone pollution period. HONO photolysis was the dominant source of daytime OH production, and the average \(O_3\) production was dominated by the HO\(_2\)+NO and other RO\(_2\)+NO reactions. It could be inferred that the model without the constraint of HONO data would largely underestimate the AOC, OH production rates, and \(O_3\) production rates in the urban atmosphere. This study provides some insight into the variation, sources and effect of HONO on atmospheric photochemistry in the summertime of Beijing. However, we will further investigate the possible sources of HONO during the ozone episodes and clarify the role of HONO in ozone formation by using a combination of field observation, experimental simulation and model simulation methods.
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