



HAL
open science

Analysis of gravity effects during binary alloy directional solidification by comparison of microgravity and Earth experiments with in situ observation

Nathalie Bergeon, Guillaume Reinhart, Fatima L. Mota, Nathalie Mangelinck-Noël, Henri Nguyen-Thi

► To cite this version:

Nathalie Bergeon, Guillaume Reinhart, Fatima L. Mota, Nathalie Mangelinck-Noël, Henri Nguyen-Thi. Analysis of gravity effects during binary alloy directional solidification by comparison of microgravity and Earth experiments with in situ observation. *European Physical Journal E: Soft matter and biological physics*, 2021, 44 (7), 10.1140/epje/s10189-021-00102-0 . hal-03304049

HAL Id: hal-03304049

<https://hal.science/hal-03304049v1>

Submitted on 13 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Click here to view linked References](#)

Analysis of gravity effects during binary alloy directional solidification by comparison of
microgravity and Earth experiments with *in situ* observation

Nathalie Bergeon^{1,a}, Guillaume Reinhart¹, Fatima L. Mota¹, Nathalie Mangelinck-Noël¹, Henri
Nguyen-Thi^{1,b}

¹Aix Marseille Univ, Université de Toulon, CNRS, IM2NP, Avenue Escadrille Normandie
Niemen, 13397 Marseille cedex 20, France

^ae-mail : nathalie.bergeon@im2np.fr

^be-mail : henri.nguyen-thi@im2np.fr

Corresponding authors : Nathalie Bergeon (nathalie.bergeon@im2np.fr) and Henri Nguyen-Thi
(henri.nguyen-thi@im2np.fr), Aix Marseille Univ, Université de Toulon, CNRS, IM2NP,
Avenue Escadrille Normandie Niemen, 13397 Marseille cedex 20, France

Abstract: Under terrestrial conditions, solidification processes are influenced to a large degree
by the gravity effects such as natural convection or buoyancy force, which can dramatically
modify the final characteristics of the grown solid. In the last decades, the coupling of *in situ*
observation of growth from the melt, that enables the study of microstructure formation
dynamics, and microgravity experimentation, that allows to approach diffusive conditions, has
been implemented for both transparent and metallic materials. The results of these
investigations enable to test the validity of advanced solidification theories, to validate or
develop numerical models and sometimes to reveal unexpected phenomena. The aim of this
paper is to present a selection of conclusive experiments obtained with this combined approach
in our group to highlight the gravity effects by a comparative study of experiments carried out
on earth and in microgravity conditions.

1 **1. Introduction**

2 **1.1. Solidification microstructures**

3 The fundamental understanding of microstructure formation during solidification from the melt
4 is crucial since microstructures are the strategic link between elaboration and properties of
5 materials [1, 2]. During industrial processes, such as casting or welding, solidification or crystal
6 growth can occur in two basic modes: (i) free growth in which an initially spherical or globular
7 crystal becomes unstable during growth and gives rise to equiaxed dendritic grain [3]. As a
8 result, the final solid consists of a huge number of grains randomly oriented, with uniform and
9 isotropic properties [4, 5]. (ii) The second mode is directional growth in which an alloy is
10 solidified unidirectionally in a temperature gradient G . In this case, the final product consists
11 of a limited number of elongated grains, with anisotropic properties. Creep-resistant turbine
12 blades and vanes are produced by directional solidification, enabling an accurate control of the
13 microstructure and the manufacturing of single-crystal parts [6, 7]. For fixed alloy composition
14 (defined by the solute concentration C_0), and temperature gradient G , like in a Bridgman-
15 Stockbarger furnace, the solid-liquid interface undergoes transitions from planar to cellular to
16 dendritic patterns as the growth velocity is increased [8, 9]. For cellular growth, significant
17 solute and temperature field interactions occur between neighboring cells in the array, which
18 strongly influence cell shape and tip characteristics (radius, temperature and composition) [9-
19 11]. For dendritic growth in directional solidification, steady-state dendrite tip characteristics
20 have been predicted for a dendritic array in which solute interaction between the neighboring
21 dendrites is negligible. In this case, the shape of the dendrite tip is shown to be very close to a
22 parabola [9, 12-14].

23

24

1.2. Convection effects in Bridgman growth

Despite the importance of cellular or dendritic microstructures in commercial products, many key fundamental aspects that govern microstructural scales and accompanying segregation pattern have not been clearly established [15]. In this paper, we focus on the impact of gravity-driven phenomena on the microstructure dynamics during vertical Bridgman growth because most directional solidification experiments are carried out in this arrangement. The first and most studied gravity effect is natural or buoyancy-driven convection that occurs practically in every melt growth system because melt density varies both with the temperature distribution and the solute concentration. [16-19]. Vertical gradients of melt density can be either stabilizing or destabilizing regarding convection, however, any gradient of melt density that is not exactly parallel to the gravity vector can potentially cause the onset of buoyancy flow so that natural convection is expected in all experiments. To avoid natural convection in the melt, it seems reasonable to ensure that the density gradient is solutally stable, i.e. vertical everywhere and with the heaviest material being at the bottom. This situation is more or less approached when growth takes place upwards in an alloy system in which the rejected solute is denser than the solvent like for Al-Cu alloys. Actually, even in this experimental configuration, flow patterns adjacent to the front are known to develop as a result of residual horizontal density gradients [20-23]. A second important gravity-driven phenomenon is the buoyancy forces acting on solid, which can be dendrite fragments [24, 25], equiaxed grains [26, 27] but also secondary dendrite arms [28-30]. Actually, densities of solid crystals and of the surrounding melt are almost always different, so that a non-zero force acts on the growing solid. As a result, free solid fragments are expected to float or to sediment during growth process.

Gravity-driven effects can be strongly damped during solidification experiments by reducing sample dimensions and performing solidification horizontally [31]. Diffusive growth conditions can be nearly achieved in very thin flat samples of thickness in the 10 μm range or

1 in cylindrical capillaries of inner diameter of less than 1 mm for metallic alloys [32].
2 Suppressing convection motions in bulk samples (also referred to as three-dimensional, or 3D,
3 samples) during solidification is a much more challenging task. Numerous experiments in
4 microgravity conditions have shown that microgravity (μg) environment is a unique and
5 efficient way to eliminate buoyancy and convection to provide benchmark data for the
6 validation of models and numerical simulations [31]. For these reasons, materials science and
7 more particularly solidification of metal alloys has been a prominent topic of research in
8 microgravity field since the early stages of microgravity experimentation. Considering the large
9 characteristic times of diffusion-controlled growth, long-duration microgravity conditions are
10 required. Accordingly, dedicated *in situ* solidification facilities have been installed on board of
11 various space orbiting platforms (e.g. the now retired space shuttles) and more recently in the
12 International Space Station (ISS) or sounding rocket (MASER). In microgravity environment,
13 transport phenomena are essentially diffusive and buoyancy forces vanish, which highly
14 simplifies the experimental analysis by decoupling the phenomena at stake as for example
15 solute distribution in the melt that is modified when convection is present or motion of grain or
16 dendrite fragment due to buoyancy force. Moreover, microgravity experimentation allows a
17 more direct and precise comparison with advanced theoretical models [33-35]. Indeed, most of
18 the current theoretical models do not consider the convective phenomena or grain motion as
19 they primarily aim at exploring the mechanisms of solidification, development and selection of
20 the microstructure; this fundamental basis is required to add the very complex influence of fluid
21 flow that also needs to be characterized experimentally. Even in the case of models or
22 numerical simulations that have been developed to consider convection, a first validation step
23 restricted to diffusive conditions is much valuable because of the complex interplay of
24 convection with all other mechanisms at stake. Besides, comparative studies of solidification
25 experiments performed in normal gravity (1g) with experiments conducted either in

1 microgravity conditions [20, 36] or enhanced gravity [37-40] can be very helpful to enlighten
2 the effects of gravity. The influence of gravity on the structural transitions (dendritic/eutectic
3 and cell-dendrite transitions), the difference between the space and ground samples primary
4 spacing and the dendritic array morphology were thoroughly analyzed, showing a strong impact
5 of the transport mode in the melt. In this paper, we present a review of several successful real-
6 time solidification experiments under microgravity, in bulk transparent (visible-light optical
7 diagnostics) and metallic (microfocus X-ray radiography) alloys. The highlights that are
8 presented include spacing selection for cells and the dynamics of a planar front in bulk samples,
9 the influence of microscale flow structures on the dynamics of columnar dendrite tips, and
10 dendrite fragment generation in metallic samples. Most of these results have been published
11 before but the value and appeal of this paper are that it gathers and reviews the key findings on
12 gravity effects obtained by using *in situ* and real-time observation during directional
13 solidification of binary alloys.

15 **2. *In situ* observation of microstructure formation**

16 The fundamental understanding of solidification microstructure formation in alloys is
17 intrinsically difficult, insofar as it involves simultaneously a great number of phenomena, at
18 different time and spatial scales [9]. Moreover, microstructure pattern selection occurs under
19 dynamic conditions of growth in which the unstable pattern goes through the process of
20 reorganization into a rather periodic array. The progress of *in situ* and real-time observation of
21 the microstructure formation represents a critical step in the field of solidification as it provides
22 a detailed knowledge of the entire time-evolution of the interface pattern [15, 31].

24 **2.1. Transparent alloys**

1 The first breakthrough in experimental solidification research was the utilization of transparent
2 organic materials proposed by Jackson and Hunt in 1965 [41]. These organic materials can
3 solidify with typical cellular and dendritic morphology like metals during solidification. The
4 main advantage of these materials is their transparency, so that the dynamics of the propagating
5 solid-liquid interface can be directly observed with an optical microscope. Binary alloys based
6 on Succinonitrile - SCN ($C_4H_4N_2$) or Carbontetrabromide (CBr_4) are among the most
7 commonly used organic systems for researches of dendritic growth during solidification
8 because of the accurate knowledge of their physicochemical properties and phase diagrams. In
9 addition, the use of very thin samples allows to drastically damp natural convection effects and
10 then to be closer to conditions assumed in the most advanced theoretical models [9, 42-47].
11 Even if such configurations have led to step forward in understanding the dynamics of
12 solidification, they do not perfectly represent 3D samples. Indeed, quantitative data extracted
13 from 2D systems cannot be extrapolated to 3D ones [48-50] and 3D studies reveal the critical
14 influence of some specific features that are absent or drastically reduced in thin configurations
15 [51, 52]. To eliminate the significant convection unavoidable during growth of 3D organic
16 samples, the reduced-gravity environment of space is mandatory.

17 The present results rely on experiments performed in the Directional Solidification Insert
18 (DSI) dedicated to *in situ* and real-time characterization of the dynamical selection of the solid-
19 liquid interface morphology in bulk samples of transparent materials. It was developed by the
20 French Space Agency (CNES) in the framework of the DECLIC project (DEvice for the study
21 of Critical Liquids and Crystallization). The DECLIC facility was installed onboard the
22 International Space Station (ISS) as part of a joint NASA/CNES research program. Two series
23 of microgravity experiments were performed in 2010-2011 and in 2017-2018 on samples of
24 different compositions (succinonitrile based alloys with respectively 0.24 and 0.46 wt% of
25 camphor).

1 In the DECLIC-DSI (Directional Solidification Insert), the experimental cartridge is
2 inserted in a Bridgman furnace. The cartridge comprises a quartz crucible and a system of
3 volume compensation to accommodate the specimen volume variations associated with phase
4 changes. The cylindrical crucible has an inner diameter of 10 mm and a length that enables
5 about 10 cm of solidification, allowing the study of the whole development of extended patterns
6 from their initial stages up to the steady growth regime.

7 Figure 1 is a scheme of the optical observation modes. The main observation mode (axial)
8 takes advantage of the complete transparency of the experimental cartridge: the light coming
9 from LEDs passes through the cartridge from the bottom to the top, crossing the interface whose
10 image is formed on a CCD camera. An example of dendritic pattern imaged with this diagnostic
11 is given on the top-right of the figure. Such top-view images of the interface are used to study
12 array dynamics and characteristics. On the same axis, a Mach-Zehnder interferometer with a
13 He-Ne laser produces interferometric images used for three-dimensional reconstruction of
14 microstructures [53, 54]. Additionally, the transverse observation mode provides side-view
15 images of the interface, which allow a real-time characterization of interface motion and
16 macroscopic shape (see example of dendritic pattern imaging with this diagnostic on the
17 bottom-right of fig.1). Solidification was performed by pulling the experimental cartridge from
18 the hot zone towards the cold zone at a constant rate V within a range between 0.1 and 30 $\mu\text{m/s}$.
19 A temperature gradient G ranging from 10 to 30 K/cm was imposed by regulated hot and cold
20 zones, located above and below the adiabatic area where the interface is positioned. Further
21 details about the experimental procedure can be found in previous articles [51, 55].

22 **2.2. Metallic alloys**

23 Real-time observation of the solidification process in non-transparent materials (metals and
24 semiconductors) has been a critical issue for a long time. Standard investigation techniques,
25

1 such as quenching or decanting, do not provide the interface evolution over time in 3D, but
2 gives only a frozen picture of the solid microstructure. This difficulty was partly circumvented
3 by applying Peltier interface demarcation technique applied e.g. to the study of faceted growth
4 of semiconductors like Bi-Sb alloys [56, 57]. For deeper insight into metallic-alloy
5 solidification, a major breakthrough was the use of high-brilliance X-ray sources. Indeed, the
6 beam intensity associated with modern synchrotron radiation result in a substantial extension
7 of X-ray imaging capabilities to high spatial and temporal resolutions, allowing one to gain key
8 information on crystal growth-related phenomena that was not available hitherto. Among the
9 different synchrotron radiation X-ray imaging techniques [58], X-radiography is currently the
10 most widely used because it is the simplest one to implement. This technique is based on the
11 local changes in the amplitude of a transmitted X-ray beam due to differential absorption by an
12 inhomogeneous sample [59, 60]. In Al-based alloys, contrast firstly results from segregation of
13 the chemical species and secondly from the difference of density between the solid and liquid
14 phases. Recently, the development of microfocus X-ray sources along with increasingly
15 sensitive detectors has led to vast improvements in the performance of laboratory radiography
16 devices [25, 61-63]. While synchrotron sources mostly provide horizontal parallel beams, a
17 microfocus source delivers a cone-shaped beam, and enables an image magnification up to a
18 factor of 5, depending on the source-to-sample and source-to-detector distances. Figure 2 shows
19 a schematic layout of the XRMON-GF set-up that was developed in the framework of the ESA
20 XRMON project to perform directional solidification with *in situ* X-ray radiography
21 observation in microgravity conditions. A detailed description of the facility has been given in
22 previous papers [25, 64] and only the main features are summarized below. The dimensions of
23 the sheet-like samples were 5 mm in width, 50 mm in length and 0.25 mm in thickness. The
24 sample thickness was chosen to have a good transmission of the X-ray beam and prevent the
25 superimposing of several grains at the same location so that the interpretation of radiographs is

1 unambiguous. The sample was placed into stainless-steel spacers, sandwiched between two
2 flexible glassy carbon sheets sewn together with a silica thread. The sample-crucible assembly
3 was then installed inside the Bridgman-type furnace. The furnace consists of two identical
4 heaters that are independently regulated by a PID-regulator. This feature allows a temperature
5 gradient G to be applied by fixing the temperature of the two zones (fig.2a). During the
6 experiments, the samples were directionally solidified by applying the same cooling rate R on
7 both heater elements, ensuring a constant applied temperature gradient G during the entire
8 experiment. The furnace enables directional solidification with temperature gradients within
9 the range of 2.5–15 K/mm and cooling rates R within the range of 0.01–1.5 K/s. The X-
10 radiography system is based on a microfocus X-ray source with a molybdenum target and a 3
11 mm focal spot. It provides a photon flux with two peaks of energy at 17.4 keV and 19.6 keV
12 that ensure a good image contrast to study Al-Cu based alloys. The camera system is made of
13 a scintillator plate that converts X-ray radiation to visible light and a digital camera with a CCD
14 sensor. In this work, a Field-of-View (FoV) of about $5 \times 5 \text{ mm}^2$ and an effective pixel size of
15 $\sim 4 \times 4 \text{ }\mu\text{m}^2$ were used and the acquisition rate was 2 frames/second. These spatial and temporal
16 resolutions are sufficient to observe the various steps of the microstructure development from
17 the radiographs. Gray level variations in the radiographs are related to the difference in X-ray
18 absorption in the sample regions, which depends mainly on the local density and composition.
19 Image quality was enhanced by applying an image processing consisting in dividing each
20 recorded frame by a reference picture recorded just before the beginning of the solidification
21 [22]. After image processing, radiographs showed the microstructure formed during the
22 directional solidification. In the case of Al-Cu alloys, liquid regions of high copper
23 concentration appear as dark regions in the images, while α -Al dendritic grains with low copper
24 concentration appear as bright regions (fig.2b).

3. Selected results concerning the solidification in 3D transparent samples

In this section, the microgravity (referred as μg) experiments conducted on a sample of Succinonitrile – 0.24wt% Camphor are compared to Earth (referred as 1g) experiments performed after the return on Earth of the sample. The experimental conditions used were the same for both experiments so that the influence of convection can be emphasized. In the experiments described in the following, two different temperature gradients were used (12 and 19 K/cm) and the pulling rate varies from 0.35 to 30 $\mu m/s$.

The transverse observation mode is used to characterize the interface shape, motion and evolution. At rest, the planar solid-liquid interface is located at the liquidus alloy temperature and its shape follows the isotherm shape, usually curved in 3D geometries. Images show that the interface at rest is convex for both temperature gradients, which means that the liquid close to the crucible is hotter than in the center.

Once a pulling rate is applied, the interface temperature decreases and moves closer to the cold zone where isotherms are concave. Moreover, due to the low thermal conductivity of the alloy compared to the quartz crucible, evacuation of latent heat generated during growth mainly occurs through the crucible, thus inducing a temperature radial gradient from the periphery (colder) to the center (hotter) of the crucible. Thus, the interface progressively becomes more concave as velocity increases. Lastly, isotherms are shifted downwards by heat transport in the cartridge, also leading to an increase of concavity. Both effects are roughly proportional to pulling rate as detailed in a previous study [51]. On Earth, the radial temperature gradient induces thermal convection [65]. For convex interfaces, the hotter liquid with lower density located at the periphery is driven upwards by buoyancy, so that a toric convection loop, ascending at the periphery and descending in the center, is formed. For concave interfaces, the liquid in the center is hotter, so that fluid flow rises in the center of the crucible. The fluid flow

1 direction is schematically represented in the top-left and bottom-right insets of fig.3a,
2 respectively for convex and concave interfaces. Two different aspects of the thermal convection
3 influence will be illustrated in the following. First, we will analyze its effect in terms of pattern
4 development and characteristics; the average primary spacing of microstructures as well as their
5 spatial homogeneity, will be compared on ground and in μg . Second, we will evidence the
6 convection effect on axial macrosegregation.

7 **3.1. Pattern development and characteristics**

8 In a previous work, the microstructure formation was studied starting from the interface at
9 rest until the stationary state, for a temperature gradient of 19 K/cm and pulling rates from 0.5
10 to 16 $\mu m/s$ [66]. The original results presented in this work concern a temperature gradient of
11 12 K/cm and pulling rates ranging from 0.35 to 30 $\mu m/s$. The first stages of solidification have
12 fast dynamics with the transient development of microstructure, followed by a stationary state
13 growth characterized by a stable spacing even if the patterns keep evolving in terms of
14 topological order. Detailed description of microstructures, primary spacing evolution and
15 mechanisms of adjustment can be found elsewhere [52].

16 The first striking point comparing μg and 1g experiments is the shift of morphological
17 stability threshold associated to convection. On ground, the solid-liquid front remains planar
18 for pulling rates up to 1 $\mu m/s$, whereas morphological instability occurs below 0.35 $\mu m/s$ in μg .
19 Such difference was already evidenced theoretically [67, 68] and experimentally [32, 67]. This
20 effect is classically explained considering a diffusive boundary layer in the liquid close to the
21 solid-liquid interface, as initially introduced by Burton, Prim, and Slichter [69]. Fluid flow
22 reduces the thickness of the boundary layer; the concentration ahead of this boundary layer is
23 considered homogeneous, well-mixed at the nominal concentration. Solute conservation then
24 implies the decrease of the liquid solute concentration at the interface compared to pure
25 diffusive conditions. The solute concentration in the solid also decreases since it is determined

1 by interfacial equilibrium and is then proportional to the concentration in the liquid by the
2 partition coefficient k . The interfacial concentration gradient, which drives the interface
3 instability, is thus reduced inducing a stabilization of the interface and an increase of the critical
4 velocity in the presence of fluid flow on ground.

5 The average primary spacing variation with pulling rate, for 1g and μg experiments, is
6 given in fig.3a. The interface shapes are given in the insets, thus evidencing two different
7 domains: below $V = 4 \mu\text{m/s}$, the interface is convex and the primary spacing at 1g is lower than
8 in μg ; whereas above $4\mu\text{m/s}$, the interface is concave and the primary spacing at 1g is larger
9 than in μg . At $4 \mu\text{m/s}$, the interface is macroscopically flat and the average primary spacing is
10 identical in 1g and in μg . It should be reminded here that the macroscopic interface shape is a
11 consequence of the isotherm shape that are curved. The isotherm curvature and thus, the
12 interface shape, is related to its position in the thermal field. The interface adapts to the more
13 concave isotherms as its moves closer to the cold zone. A detailed discussion about the
14 evolution of the interface curvature can be found in [51]. The histogram of the primary spacing
15 (fig.3b) is also comparable. Such results may seem surprising since previous studies on metallic
16 systems pointed out spacing in microgravity larger than on ground with a convergence when
17 the pulling rate increases [20, 36]. The difference comes from the different origin of convection.
18 In the studied transparent system, the radial temperature gradient, and associated concavity,
19 increase with latent heat release, so that fluid flow and its effect increase with pulling rate. In
20 metallic systems, thermal convection stems from the difference of conductivities between the
21 solid and the liquid, which promotes interface convexity. The latent heat effects are negligible
22 because thermal diffusion is fast in metallic samples. When the pulling rate becomes large
23 enough, the effect of fluid flow turns negligible and the primary spacing is no longer affected
24 by fluid flow. The current opposite effect of fluid flow on primary spacing can be attributed to
25 the flow direction, resulting from the concavity or convexity of the interface: in metallic

1 systems, the liquid sinks in the center and rises on the border, whereas it is the opposite in the
2 presently studied transparent system.

3 The inversion of the convection effect on primary spacing below and above 4 $\mu\text{m/s}$
4 (observed in fig.3a) results from the change of flow direction. Below 4 $\mu\text{m/s}$, the interface is
5 convex and we can use the approach of Lehmann et al. [70] developed for metallic systems
6 (convex interface with a downwards central flow). They propose a relationship between the
7 component of fluid flow velocity U_{\parallel} , parallel to the pulling velocity (taken negative for a
8 downwards component) and the primary spacing λ and λ_0 , respectively with and without
9 influence of convection:

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0}\right)^2 = \left(1 - \frac{U_{\parallel}}{V}\right) \quad (1)$$

11 This equation is valid as long as the right term is positive, so as long as $U_{\parallel} \leq V$. For a
12 convex interface, flow is descending in the center so $U_{\parallel} < 0$ and $\lambda_0 > \lambda$, as observed in
13 experiments in metallic systems and in our case for pulling rates lower than 4 $\mu\text{m/s}$. We used
14 equation (1) considering $U_{\parallel} > 0$ for upward flows associated to the concave interfaces obtained
15 above 4 $\mu\text{m/s}$, with $\lambda_0 < \lambda$. Using primary spacing data of fig.3, we can evaluate the fluid flow
16 velocity for the range of pulling velocity: it appears to vary almost linearly with V , starting
17 from $U_{\parallel} = -3.9 \mu\text{m/s}$ at $V = 1 \mu\text{m/s}$ to $U_{\parallel} = 20 \mu\text{m/s}$ for $V = 30 \mu\text{m/s}$. These results are in good
18 agreement with the ones found in our previous work dealing with a higher temperature gradient
19 [66].

20 Observing the histograms of primary spacing for $V=2\mu\text{m/s}$ given in fig.3b, we notice that
21 convection not only modifies the average primary spacing, but also enlarges the distribution.
22 This enlargement is the result of spatial microstructure heterogeneity. This is illustrated for
23 example on fig.4 with the comparison of cell size distribution in μg and 1g conditions for $V =$
24 4 $\mu\text{m/s}$ ($G = 19 \text{ K/cm}$). The corresponding interface shapes for these experiments reveal that
25 the concavity is much larger on ground than in μg . The origin of this difference in interface

1 curvature is well known: the solute rejected upon solidification is swept by the convection and
2 accumulated at the center for a concave interface, or at the border for a convex interface. The
3 liquid solute concentration then varies along the interface, thus modifying locally the
4 equilibrium temperature of the interface. Accumulation induces a decrease of the interface
5 temperature and a resulting increase of the curvature. The variation of solute concentration
6 along the interface directly affects microstructure formation and selection as it generates a
7 gradient of instability level. On the example of fig.4, on ground, larger cells are observed in the
8 center compared to the border, whereas cell size is more homogeneous in μg . This result is
9 typical of a toric convection ascending in the center and descending at the border, as already
10 reported elsewhere for the same geometries and succinonitrile based alloys [65, 71, 72].

11 **3.2. Macrosegregation**

12
13 When pulling of the sample at a constant velocity V is initiated, the interface recoils within
14 the temperature frame, and the solute concentration builds up on the liquid side of the interface.
15 This interface motion from rest to its steady state position is referred to as the front recoil.
16 During this stage, the interface velocity increases to reach the pulling velocity in the steady-
17 state. The planar front undergoes the Mullins-Sekerka instability [73, 74] when the solute
18 concentration gradient in front of the interface G_c exceeds the critical value G/m_L , with m_L the
19 alloy liquidus slope. This initial interface dynamics before the destabilization of the planar front
20 can usually be well predicted by the Warren-Langer model [75].

21
22 Interface recoil comparisons between results obtained on Earth (1g) and onboard the ISS
23 (μg) for different pulling rates and imposed temperature gradient of 12 K/cm are presented in
24 fig.5. The fast motion observed at the beginning of the solidification is similar on ground and
in μg but the interface decelerates earlier on Earth. Whatever the pulling rate is, front recoils

1 on ground are characterized by the absence of stabilization of the interface position, meaning
2 that instead of reaching a plateau, the interface keeps moving downwards.

3 Since the interface grows in local thermodynamic equilibrium, solute interfacial
4 composition variations in the liquid induce similar variations of composition in the solid. The
5 solute build-up during planar front recoil, and the subsequent transient development of patterns
6 of cells or dendrites before a steady-state growth regime is reached, is a first cause of axial
7 macrosegregation. If the interface temperature keeps evolving, meaning that steady-state is not
8 reached, an additional source of axial macrosegregation must be considered.

9 Stabilization (i.e. $\mu g - V = 4 \mu m/s$ in fig.5) corresponds to a plateau of concentration
10 reached after the initial transient. It is typical of a purely diffusive mode and most microgravity
11 results follow this tendency. Absence of stabilization corresponds to a characteristic “S-shape”
12 macrosegregation profile, typical of a convecto-diffusive growth mode [76]. This evolution is
13 observed for all ground experiments but also surprisingly for microgravity experiments
14 performed at the lowest pulling rate (i.e. $\mu g - V = 0.5 \mu m/s$ in fig.5). For these conditions, an
15 order of magnitude analysis of fluid flow [77, 78] shows that diffusive conditions can only be
16 obtained for a gravity level equal or lower than $10^{-6}g$ (fig.13 of Mota et al [51]) whereas the
17 actual gravity level during this experiment was much closer to 10^{-3} or $10^{-4}g$. The consequence
18 is that the microstructure of the experiments performed at low velocities in μg but for gravity
19 level from 10^{-3} or $10^{-4}g$ are affected by significant residual convection so that diffusive
20 conditions are not reached on the contrary to higher velocity μg experiments.

21 22 **4. Examples of results in metal alloys**

23 In this section, the influence of gravity-driven phenomena on columnar growth of Al-20wt%Cu
24 alloys will be presented. We will focus on two issues of great importance for industrial
25 application, namely dendrite fragmentation and plume formation.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

2 4.1. Effect of gravity on dendrite fragmentation

3 Dendrite fragmentation is a process of detachment of secondary or tertiary dendrite arms from
4 the trunk (or sometimes of the primary trunk itself) that can occur during solidification process.
5 As a consequence, this undesired event disturbs the dendritic growth and can generate defects
6 that are detrimental for the final product. A key question in solidification is to know which
7 mechanisms are at the origin of dendrite fragmentation during dendritic growth. It is now
8 accepted that the most potent effect is the remelting at the neck of dendrite arms due to a local
9 solute increase which modifies the equilibrium temperature [79]. This neck remelting leads
10 eventually to the secondary arm detachment from the primary trunk. Because of its transient
11 characteristics, fragmentation phenomenon is widely studied by synchrotron X-radiography
12 because this technique can reveal solidification dynamics and in particular, the dynamics of the
13 dendrite arm fragmentation and the subsequent behavior of the dendrite fragments after
14 detachment [80, 81]. Of course, X-radiography resolution does not allow to study the details of
15 the fragmentation at the level of the neck due to the required spatial resolution. Nevertheless, it
16 enables to detect the dendrite fragments when they move after their detachment and then to
17 determine accurately the number of events during the solidification process [24, 29]. In such
18 type of experiments carried out using synchrotron sources, the role of gravity could not be rid
19 of because of the horizontal beamline and thus the vertical position of the samples.
20 Consequently, the influence of gravity-driven phenomena (such as natural convection and solid
21 phase buoyancy) on dendrite fragmentation could not be excluded.

22 To tackle the problem of the influence of gravity-driven phenomena on dendrite fragmentation,
23 a qualitative comparison between experiments performed on Earth [82] and in microgravity
24 conditions on board of MASER-12 sounding rocket [25] was reported in [31]. It has been

1 pointed out that, in the absence of gravity dendritic fragments were detected only deeply into
2 the mushy, whereas numerous fragmentation events occurred in the upper part of the columnar
3 front zone and subsequently moved upward due to buoyancy. In this paper, a comparative
4 quantitative analysis of those experiments is presented and the effects of gravity on dendrite
5 fragmentation is emphasized. For the sake of relevant comparison, the experiments were carried
6 out with the same solidification parameters (average temperature gradient $G = 15$ K/mm
7 between the heaters and a cooling rate $R = 0.15$ K/s), which ease the analysis and give clear
8 conclusions.

9 Figure 6 displays the time evolution of the interface pattern during the solidification of an Al -
10 20 wt% Cu alloy in microgravity conditions (first row), and for two reference experiments at
11 normal gravity. The second row depicts the case of horizontal solidification configuration for
12 which the gravity effects are largely reduced. The third row is the upward solidification case
13 for which gravity effects are expected to be the strongest. For the latter, the most important
14 feature is the visible multiple fragmentations observed in the dendritic tip region, at the top of
15 the columnar front (fig.6c). After their detachment, most fragments moved upward due to the
16 buoyancy force because the solid density is lower than the density of the surrounding liquid.
17 Some of those fragments were free to float to the hot region of the sample (white arrows in
18 fig.6c). During their upward motion, the size of the dendrite fragments decreased because they
19 gradually melted, forming a final white cloud, which corresponded to the melting of the
20 aluminum-rich dendritic fragment. A direct consequence of dendrite fragmentation is a strong
21 macrosegregation along the sample because all Al-enriched dendrite fragments were
22 transported by buoyancy forces into the upper part of the sample and mixed in the liquid phase
23 after melting.

24 For the 1g-horizontal and microgravity experiments, when looking at the mushy zone in details,
25 a few dendrite fragmentations were also observed but about several hundred micrometers below

1 the dendrite tip position. Of course, these rare events were difficult to be detected as the dendrite
2 fragments remained trapped between dendrites and could only move over very short distance.
3 Moreover, those dendrite fragments moved towards the cold part of the mushy zone, on contrary
4 to dendrite fragments generated at the top-region of the mushy zone. This change in direction
5 motion was ascribed to the downward liquid flow induced by the sample shrinkage [83].

6 A quantitative analysis of dendrite fragmentation was then performed by determining the
7 number of visible fragments for each experiment. For this characterization, the mushy zone
8 (MZ) was divided in two regions (fig.7a) : (i) the top-region of the mushy zone, which is the
9 region just behind the dendrite tips and where the liquid fraction is large, and thus where the
10 effects of gravity are expected to be strong, and (ii) the deep mushy zone, which is a region
11 where the liquid fraction tends toward zero, and thus where the effects of gravity are expected
12 to be weak. Figure 7b displays the bar chart drawn from our measurements. The red rectangles
13 correspond to the number of dendrite fragmentation events for the μg experiment, while the
14 blue rectangles are the value for the 1g-upward experiment. With this direct quantitative
15 comparison between 1g and μg experiments, it is thus possible for the first time to enlighten
16 the influence of gravity on fragmentation phenomenon.

17 The first observation was that, whatever the experiment (in normal gravity conditions or in
18 microgravity environment), the majority of dendrite fragmentations occurs in the top-region of
19 the mushy zone compared to the deep region. This was particularly true when comparing the
20 respective sizes of the two regions. Indeed, only a few rare events were detected in the deep
21 region of the dendritic network and there was no marked difference for fragmentation number
22 between the two experiments. This interesting observation suggested that, when the liquid
23 fraction is very low and solute transport mainly diffusive like in the deep region of the mushy
24 zone, dendrite fragmentation is scarce, in agreement with recent papers published by Liotti *et*
25 *al.* [81] and Gibbs *et al.* [84]. These two groups have performed similar measurements along

1 the whole mushy zone and they have found that the maximum of dendrite fragmentation occurs
2 behind the dendrite tips (about 1000 μm in their particular experimental case). The maximum
3 of dendrite fragmentation occurs in the region of the mushy zone where the permeability, which
4 decreases from unity at dendrite tips to zero at eutectic front, allows transport of hot and solute
5 enriched liquid. The mushy zone permeability depends on the primary and secondary dendrite
6 arm spacings and on the solid fraction and can be calculated e.g. by using the Carman-Kozeny
7 relationship [85, 86]. Moreover, the mushy zone permeability also changes depending on flow
8 direction, parallel or normal to the primary dendrite arms.

9 Figure 7b also emphasizes the marked difference between 1g and μg experiment for the number
10 of fragmentation events in the top-region of the mushy zone. The fragmentation number is four
11 times larger for the 1g experiment compared to the microgravity experiment, which clearly
12 indicates a strong effect of gravity conditions. To explain this marked difference due to the
13 gravity, two reasons can be put forward: (i) Firstly, in the top of the MZ, we expect to have
14 natural convection in the inter-dendritic liquid regions due to the solute rejection during
15 solidification. By transporting the rejected solute toward the secondary arm neck, these fluid
16 flows can enhance the local remelting of the neck by changing locally the equilibrium liquidus
17 temperature and thus can increase the fragmentation number (as indicated in fig.8a). (ii)
18 Secondly, for Al-20wt%Cu alloy, the buoyancy force that acts on secondary arm causes a torque
19 on the secondary arm (as illustrated in fig.8a), which promotes the dendrite fragmentation, as
20 we showed in [29, 30]. The combination of these two gravity-driven effects can explain the
21 factor four between the fragment amount at 1g-upward and in μg conditions and emphasizes
22 the critical role of gravity on dendrite fragmentation often ignored in models or numerical
23 simulations.

24 25 **4.2. Impact of solute plumes on dendrite growth**

1 As previously mentioned, natural convection that takes place in the melt is the source of various
2 disturbing effects, which can significantly modify or mask other physical mechanisms because
3 flows in the liquid modify the solute transport in the melt, and generally prevent the formation
4 of steady solidification patterns with uniform features. For Al-20wt%Cu alloy, these effects are
5 very important when solidification is carried out in a vertical configuration and with a
6 temperature gradient parallel to the gravity vector (hereafter referred as 1g-downward). In that
7 arrangement, the temperature field is destabilizing regarding convective instabilities as the hot
8 liquid was below the cold liquid. In addition, the rejected solute (Cu) is heavier than the solvent
9 (Al), yielding the solute to sink due to gravity and amplifying convective flow. In a previous
10 work [87], the influence of solute plumes on the dynamics of columnar dendrite tips was
11 presented in details. The original results presented in the present work concern an experiment
12 carried out on the same refined Al-20wt%Cu alloy sample but with different solidification
13 parameters ($G = 7.5$ K/mm and $R = 0.1$ K/s).

14 Figure 9 depicts a sequence of radiographs showing the representative behavior of this 1g-
15 downward solidification experiment. In this experiment, a porosity defect grew into a hole as
16 visible at the bottom of the FoV and indicated in the first radiograph. The first grains growing
17 from the cold region of the sample and then entering the FoV are visible fig.9b, as well as
18 downward flows of Cu-rich liquid coming from the solidification microstructure and moving
19 towards the bulk liquid (white arrows). These convective plumes are caused by the rejection of
20 heavy solute in the mushy zone during the solidification microstructure formation and were
21 visible long before the appearance of the first grains (fig.9a) since solidification in fact started
22 outside the FoV. In the radiographs, these plumes are visible as darker areas because they are
23 rich in solute and their X-ray absorption differs from the surrounding liquid at nominal
24 composition.

1 The solidification front moves toward the hot part of the sample with the elongation of the first
2 grains and from time to time the nucleation of new grains. The new solid grains float due to
3 buoyancy force and moved back against the existing solidification front stopping the growth of
4 existing grains (fig.9c and fig.9d) thus forming a dense and compact microstructure. The plumes
5 were drifting continuously along the existing growth front. This visually striking feature may
6 be attributed to the local change of the grain structure and to its permeability during the growth
7 process, yielding to a modification of the dissipative drag force exerted on the liquid and thus
8 on the solute plumes [88]. Similar observations were also reported by Copley *et al.* [89] or
9 Hellawell *et al.* [90] in transparent alloys, and more recently by Boden *et al.* [91] and
10 Shevchenko *et al.* [92] during upward vertical solidification of Ga-In samples or Nelson *et al.*
11 [93] during downward solidification of Al-Cu alloys. Moreover, solidification is delayed at the
12 root of the segregated channel due to the local increase of solute composition and the remelting
13 of some small equiaxed grains entering the Cu-rich channel was also observed.

14 The impact of solute plumes on dendrite growth kinetic was analyzed by means of *in situ* X-
15 radiography visualization, as described in details in [87]. It is possible to measure (i) the tip
16 position of selected dendrites and then to determine their growth velocities as a function of time
17 and (ii) the grey level in front of the dendrite tip (fig.10a). It was not possible to convert in a
18 straightforward manner the grey level into solute concentration. However, grey-level variations
19 in the liquid phase are representative of the change in density and composition: a brightness
20 decrease corresponds to an enrichment of the liquid in solute, allowing a qualitative
21 interpretation of the impact of solute concentration variations on the dendrite growth kinetic.

22 Like in [87], it is found for the experiment presented in this paper and carried out with different
23 solidification conditions that the dendrite tip velocity is significantly influenced by the solute
24 plumes passing in front of the dendrites. For the dendrite indicated in fig.10a, the measurements
25 reveal clearly the interaction between the dendrite tip growth velocity (fig.10b) and the solute

1 variation induced by solute plumes flows (fig.10c). The growth velocity oscillates around an
2 average value of 10 $\mu\text{m/s}$, with a period of around 20 seconds with well-marked minima and
3 maxima. Comparing the two plots in fig.10 highlights the close relationship between the
4 dendrite tip growth velocity and the grey-level variation. The variations of the dendrite tip
5 velocity are in phase with the liquid solute composition variations, and the minimum and
6 maximum of both curves occurs roughly simultaneously (dashed lines connecting the two
7 curves). A maximum of Cu concentration in front of the dendrite tip corresponds to a minimum
8 of dendrite tip velocity. Moreover, in addition to the synchronization of these two curves, the
9 oscillation amplitude is also in good accordance. The close link between dendrite tip velocity
10 and solute plume motion ahead of the dendrite tip has been already mentioned by Shevchenko
11 et al. [92] for the study of Ga-In, and more recently by Reinhart et al. [6] during directional
12 solidification of superalloys. The explanation for these cycles running concurrently is that
13 solute plumes locally increased the Cu concentration ahead of the dendrite tip, which reduces
14 the constitutional undercooling intensity and thus slows the dendrite tip growth [94].

15 **5. Conclusion**

16 The aim of this paper was to give some illustrative examples showing the great interest to
17 compare solidification experiments conducted in well-controlled conditions, on Earth and in
18 low-gravity environment, with *in situ* and real-time characterization for both liquid aluminum
19 alloys and transparent organic materials. It has been showed that microgravity experimentation
20 offers a unique and efficient means for in-depth analysis of the pattern formation during
21 directional solidification, in the limit of diffusive transport.

22 Obviously, *in situ* imaging methods (e.g. by optical method or X-radiography and tomography),
23 and microgravity experimentation will play a major role in near-future work. Indeed, due to the
24 limited microgravity opportunities afforded to date, only a very narrow range of experimental
25 parameters have been investigated yet. It is expected that more microgravity opportunities

1 (sounding rockets or space stations) will be run in the future, enabling expansion in the number
2 of cases studied, and the investigation of new phenomena. Experiments with long-duration
3 microgravity period like the ISS would make it possible to study solidifications in steady-state
4 conditions. It is already the case for transparent alloys with DECLIC-DSI but have to be
5 extended to metal alloys.

6 Finally, it is noteworthy that, if on the one hand, *in situ* characterization provides essential
7 information on the growth dynamics that could not be obtained by sample post-mortem analysis
8 on the other hand, many of the studies reported above have raised new questions and opened
9 still unexplored directions for future work in the domain of *in situ* solidification.

11 **Acknowledgements**

12 This work is supported by the XRMON project (AO-2004-046) of the MAP program of the
13 European Space Agency (ESA) and by the French National Space Agency (CNES). The authors
14 would also like to thank all the people who have contributed to these results at IM2NP (Drs G.
15 Salloum-Jaoude, L. Abou-Khalil, H. Soltani, F. Ngomesse, J. Pereda, B. Billia). Studies with
16 the DECLIC-DSI (Directional Solidification Insert of the DEvice for the study of Critical
17 LIquids and Crystallization) embarked on the ISS (International Space Station) were supported
18 by CNES (French Space Agency) and by NASA (National Aeronautics and Space
19 Administration) Grants No. NNX12AK54G and 80NSSC19K0135.

1 **Credit author statement:**

2 All authors conceived and performed the experiments. All authors contributed to the experiment
3
4 analysis and discussed the results. N.B., G.R. and H.N-T wrote the manuscript. F.L.M. and
5
6
7 N.M-N provided critical feedback to the final version of manuscript. N.B. and H.N-T
8
9
10 supervised the microgravity projects.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

6



References

- [1] H. Fredriksson and U. Åkerlind, *Materials Processing during Casting*, in, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2006.
- [2] J. A. Spittle, *International Materials Reviews*, 51 (2006) 247-269.
- [3] M. Rappaz and P. H. Thévoz, *Acta Metallurgica*, 35 (1987) 1487-1497.
- [4] N. J. Petch, *J. Iron Steel Inst.*, 174 (1953) 25.
- [5] E. O. Hall, *Proc. Phys. Soc., London*, B64 (1951) 747.
- [6] G. Reinhart, D. Grange, L. Abou-Khalil, N. Mangelinck-Noël, N. T. Niane, V. Maguin, G. Guillemot, C. A. Gandin and H. Nguyen-Thi, *Acta Materialia*, 194 (2020) 68-79.
- [7] J. W. Aveson, G. Reinhart, H. Nguyen-Thi, N. Mangelinck-Noel, A. Tandjaoui, B. Billia, K. Goodwin, T. A. Lafford, J. Baruchel, H. J. Stone and N. D'Souza, *Superalloys 2012*, (2012) 615-624.
- [8] W. W. Mullins and R. F. Sekerka, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 35 (1964) 444-451.
- [9] W. Kurz, D. J. Fisher and R. Trivedi, *International Materials Reviews*, 64 (2019) 311-354.
- [10] J. D. Hunt and S. Z. Lu, *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions a-Physical Metallurgy and Materials Science*, 27 (1996) 611-623.
- [11] N. Bergeon, D. Turrett, L. Chen, J. M. Debierre, R. Guerin, A. Ramirez, B. Billia, A. Karma and R. Trivedi, *Physical Review Letters*, 110 (2013) 226102.
- [12] G. P. Ivantsov, *Doklady Acad. Nauk. SSSR*, 58 (1947) 567.
- [13] J. C. Lacombe, M. B. Koss and M. E. Glicksman, *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions a-Physical Metallurgy and Materials Science*, 38A (2007) 116-126.
- [14] S. McFadden and D. J. Browne, *Computational Materials Science*, 55 (2012) 245-254.
- [15] W. Kurz, M. Rappaz and R. Trivedi, *International Materials Reviews*, (2020) 1-47.
- [16] M. E. Glicksman, S. R. Coriell and G. B. McFadden, *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 18 (1986) 307.
- [17] S. H. Davis, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 212 (1990) 241-262.
- [18] D. T. J. Hurle, *Interactive Dynamics of Convection and Solidification*, Kluwer Academic, Pays-Bas, 1992.
- [19] H. Nguyen-Thi, G. Reinhart and B. Billia, *Comptes Rendus Mécanique*, 345 (2017) 66-77.
- [20] H. Nguyen-Thi, Y. Dabo, B. Drevet, M. D. Dupouy, D. Camel, B. Billia, J. D. Hunt and A. Chilton, *J. of Crystal Growth*, 281 (2005) 654-668.
- [21] A. Bogno, G. Reinhart, A. Buffet, H. Nguyen Thi, B. Billia, T. Schenk, N. Mangelinck-Noël, N. Bergeon and J. Baruchel, *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 318 (2011) 1134-1138.
- [22] A. Bogno, H. Nguyen-Thi, A. Buffet, G. Reinhart, B. Billia, N. Mangelinck-Noël, N. Bergeon, J. Baruchel and T. Schenk, *Acta Materialia*, 59 (2011) 4356-4365.
- [23] M. H. Burden, D. J. Hebditch and J. D. Hunt, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 20 (1973) 121-124.
- [24] G. Salloum-Abou-Jaoude, H. Nguyen-Thi, G. Reinhart, R. H. Mathiesen, G. Zimmermann and D. Voss, *Materials Science Forum*, 790-791 (2014) 311-316.
- [25] H. Nguyen-Thi, G. Reinhart, G. S. Abou Jaoude, R. H. Mathiesen, G. Zimmermann, Y. Houltz, D. Voss, A. Verga, D. J. Browne and A. G. Murphy, *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 374 (2013) 23-30.
- [26] G. Salloum-Abou-Jaoude, J. Wang, L. Abou-Khalil, G. Reinhart, Z. Ren, N. Mangelinck-Noel, X. Li, Y. Fautrelle and H. Nguyen-Thi, *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 417 (2015) 25-30.
- [27] L. Abou-Khalil, G. Salloum-Abou-Jaoude, G. Reinhart, C. Pickmann, G. Zimmermann and H. Nguyen-Thi, *Acta Materialia*, 110 (2016) 44-52.
- [28] G. Reinhart, A. Buffet, H. Nguyen-Thi, B. Billia, H. Jung, N. Mangelinck-Noel, N. Bergeon, T. Schenk, J. Hartwig and J. Baruchel, *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions a-Physical Metallurgy and Materials Science*, 39A (2008) 865-874.
- [29] G. Reinhart, H. Nguyen-Thi, N. Mangelinck-Noel, J. Baruchel and B. Billia, *JOM*, 66 (2014) 1408-1414.
- [30] B. Billia, N. Bergeon, H. Nguyen Thi and H. Jamgotchian, *Phys. Rev Lett.*, 93 (2004) 126105.
- [31] S. Akamatsu and H. Nguyen-Thi, *Acta Materialia*, 108 (2016) 325-346.

- 1 [32] R. Trivedi, H. Miyahara, P. Mazumder, E. Simsek and S. N. Tewari, *Journal of Crystal Growth*,
2 222 (2001) 365-379.
- 3 [33] M. E. Glicksman, J. E. Frei, J. C. LaCombe, M. B. Koss, A. O. Lupulescu and C. Giummarra, *Time-*
4 *dependent behavior of dendrites under diffusion-controlled conditions*, Springer, Dordrecht,
5 2001.
- 6 [34] J. C. LaCombe, M. B. Koss and M. E. Glicksman, *Physical Review Letters*, 83 (1999) 2997-3000.
- 7 [35] M. B. Koss, J. C. LaCombe, L. A. Tennenhouse, M. E. Glicksman and E. A. Winsa, *Metallurgical*
8 *and Materials Transactions a-Physical Metallurgy and Materials Science*, 30 (1999) 3177-3190.
- 9 [36] B. Drevet, H. Nguyen Thi, D. Camel, B. Billia and M. D. Dupouy, *J. Crystal Growth*, 218 (2000)
10 419-433.
- 11 [37] C. C. Battalle, R. N. Grugel, A. B. Hmelo and T. G. Wang, *Metallurgical and Materials*
12 *Transactions A*, 25 (1994) 865-870.
- 13 [38] I. Steinbach, *Acta Materialia*, 57 (2009) 2640-2645.
- 14 [39] A. Viardin, J. Zollinger, L. Sturz, M. Apel, J. Eiken, R. Berger and U. Hecht, *Computational*
15 *Materials Science*, 172 (2020) 109358.
- 16 [40] G. Zimmermann, M. Hamacher and L. Sturz, *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 512 (2019) 47-60.
- 17 [41] K. A. Jackson and J. D. Hunt, *Acta Metall.*, 13 (1965) 1212.
- 18 [42] R. Trivedi, *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 49 (1980) 219-232.
- 19 [43] M. A. Eshelman and R. Trivedi, *Acta Metallurgica*, 35 (1987) 2443-2452.
- 20 [44] K. Somboonsuk, J. T. Mason and R. Trivedi, *Met. Trans.*, A15 (1984) 967.
- 21 [45] J. Deschamps, M. Georgelin and A. Pocheau, *Physical Review E*, 78 (2008) 011605.
- 22 [46] S. Akamatsu, G. Faivre and T. Ihle, *Physical Review E*, 51 (1995) 4751.
- 23 [47] M. Georgelin and A. Pocheau, *Physical Review Letters*, 79 (1997) 2698-2701.
- 24 [48] B. Billia, H. Jamgotchian and H. N. Thi, *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 167 (1996) 265-276.
- 25 [49] N. Bergeon, R. Trivedi, B. Billia, B. Echebarria, A. Karma, S. Liu, C. Weiss and N. Mangelinck,
26 *Advances in Space Research*, 36 (2005) 80-85.
- 27 [50] S. Gurevich, A. Karma, M. Plapp and R. Trivedi, *Physical Review E*, 81 (2010) 011603
- 28 [51] F. L. Mota, N. Bergeon, D. Turret, A. Karma, R. Trivedi and B. Billia, *Acta Materialia*, 85 (2015)
29 362-377.
- 30 [52] F. L. Mota, J. Pereda, K. Ji, Y. Song, R. Trivedi, A. Karma and N. Bergeon, *Acta Materialia*, 204
31 (2021) 116500
- 32 [53] N. Bergeon, C. Weiss, N. Mangelinck-Noel and B. Billia, *Transactions of the Indian Institute of*
33 *Metals*, 62 (2009) 455-460.
- 34 [54] J. Pereda, F. L. Mota, J. M. Debierre, B. Billia, R. Trivedi, A. Karma and N. Bergeon, *Physical*
35 *Review E*, 102 (2020) 032804
- 36 [55] N. Bergeon, A. Ramirez, L. Chen, B. Billia, J. H. Gu and R. Trivedi, *Journal of Materials Science*,
37 46 (2011) 6191-6202.
- 38 [56] Y. Dabo, H. Nguyen Thi, S. R. Coriell, G. B. McFadden, Q. Li and B. Billia, *Journal of Crystal*
39 *Growth*, 216 (2000) 483-494.
- 40 [57] S. R. Coriell, G. B. McFadden, B. Billia, H. Nguyen Thi and Y. Dabo, *Journal of Crystal Growth*,
41 216 (2000) 495-500.
- 42 [58] J. Baruchel, M. Di Michiel, T. Lafford, P. Lhuissier, J. Meyssonier, H. Nguyen-Thi, A. Philip, P.
43 Pernot, L. Salvo and M. Scheel, *Comptes Rendus Physique*, 14 (2013) 208-220.
- 44 [59] H. Nguyen-Thi, L. Salvo, R. H. Mathiesen, L. Arnberg, B. Billia, M. Suery and G. Reinhart,
45 *Comptes Rendus Physique*, 13 (2012) 237-245.
- 46 [60] R. H. Mathiesen, L. Arnberg, H. Nguyen-Thi and B. Billia, *JOM*, 64 (2012) 76-82.
- 47 [61] A. G. Murphy, R. H. Mathiesen, Y. Houltz, J. Li, C. Lockowandt, K. Henriksson, G. Zimmermann,
48 N. Melville and D. J. Browne, *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 440 (2016) 38-46.
- 49 [62] C. Rakete, C. Baumbach, A. Goldschmidt, D. Samberg, C. G. Schroer, F. Breede, C. Stenzel, G.
50 Zimmermann, C. Pickmann, Y. Houltz, C. Lockowandt, O. Svenonius, P. Wiklund and R. H.
51 Mathiesen, *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 82 (2011).

- 1 [63] S. Boden, B. Willers, S. Eckert and G. Gerbeth, Visualisation of the concentration distribution
 2 and the flow field in solidifying metallic melts by means of X-ray radioscopy, in, Sheffield, U.K.,
 3 2007, pp. 311-315.
- 4 [64] A. G. Murphy, G. Reinhart, H. Nguyen-Thi, G. S. Abou Jaoude and D. J. Browne, Journal of Alloys
 5 and Compounds, 573 (2013) 170-176.
- 6 [65] C. Weiss, N. Bergeon, N. Mangelinck-Noel and B. Billia, Materials Science and Engineering a-
 7 Structural Materials Properties Microstructure and Processing, 413 (2005) 296-301.
- 8 [66] F. L. Mota, Y. Song, J. Pereda, B. Billia, D. Tournet, J. M. Debierre, R. Trivedi, A. Karma and N.
 9 Bergeon, JOM, 69 (2017) 1280-1288.
- 10 [67] A. J. Clarke, D. Tournet, Y. Song, S. D. Imhoff, P. J. Gibbs, J. W. Gibbs, K. Fezzaa and A. Karma,
 11 Acta Materialia, 129 (2017) 203-216.
- 12 [68] S. R. Coriell, D. T. J. Hurle and R. F. Sekerka, Journal of Crystal Growth, 32 (1976) 1-7.
- 13 [69] J. A. Burton, R. C. Prim and W. P. Slichter, The Journal of Chemical Physics, 21 (1953) 1987-
 14 1991.
- 15 [70] P. Lehmann, R. Moreau, D. Camel and R. Bolcato, Journal of Crystal Growth, 183 (1998) 690-
 16 704.
- 17 [71] H. Jamgotchian, N. Bergeon, D. Benielli, P. Voge, B. Billia and R. Guerin, Physical Review
 18 Letters, 87 (2001) 166105.
- 19 [72] C. Weiss, N. Bergeon, N. Mangelinck-Noel and B. Billia, Physical Review E, 79 (2009) 011605.
- 20 [73] W. A. Tiller, K. A. Jackson, J. W. Rutter and B. Chalmers, Acta Metallurgica, 1 (1953) 428-437.
- 21 [74] W. Mullins and R. Sekerka, Journal of Applied Physics, 35 (1964) 444-451.
- 22 [75] J. A. Warren and J. S. Langer, Physical Review E, 47 (1993) 2702-2712.
- 23 [76] J. J. Favier, Acta Metallurgica, 29 (1981) 205-214.
- 24 [77] D. Camel and J. J. Favier, Journal of Crystal Growth, 67 (1984) 42-56.
- 25 [78] D. Camel and J. J. Favier, Journal of Crystal Growth, 67 (1984) 57-67.
- 26 [79] D. Ruvalcaba, R. H. Mathiesen, D. G. Eskin, L. Arnberg and L. Katgerman, Acta Materialia, 55
 27 (2007) 4287-4292.
- 28 [80] H. Jung, N. Mangelinck-Noel, H. Nguyen-Thi, N. Bergeon, B. Billia, A. Buffet, G. Reinhart, T.
 29 Schenk and J. Baruchel, International Journal of Cast Metals Research, 22 (2009) 208-211.
- 30 [81] E. Liotti, A. Lui, R. Vincent, S. Kumar, Z. Guo, T. Connolley, I. P. Dolbnya, M. Hart, L. Arnberg, R.
 31 H. Mathiesen and P. S. Grant, Acta Materialia, 70 (2014) 228-239.
- 32 [82] H. Nguyen-Thi, G. Reinhart, G. Salloum-Abou-Jaoude, D. J. Browne, A. G. Murphy, Y. Houltz, J.
 33 Li, D. Voss, A. Verga, R. H. Mathiesen and G. Zimmermann, Microgravity Science and
 34 Technology, 26 (2014) 37-50.
- 35 [83] A. Bhattacharya, Materials Research Express, 6 (2019) 126544.
- 36 [84] J. W. Gibbs, D. Tournet, P. J. Gibbs, S. D. Imhoff, M. J. Gibbs, B. A. Walker, K. Fezzaa and A. J.
 37 Clarke, Jom, 68 (2016) 170-177.
- 38 [85] B. Goyeau, D. Gobin, T. Benihaddadene, D. Gobin and M. Quintard, Metallurgical and Materials
 39 Transactions B, 30 (1999) 613-622.
- 40 [86] Y. Natsume, D. Takahashi, K. Kawashima, E. Tanigawa and K. Ohsasa, ISIJ International, 53
 41 (2013) 838-847.
- 42 [87] H. Soltani, F. Ngomesse, G. Reinhart, M. C. Benoudia, M. Zahzouh and H. Nguyen-Thi, Journal
 43 of Alloys and Compounds, 862 (2021) 158028.
- 44 [88] A. Saad, C.-A. Gandin, M. Bellet, N. Shevchenko and S. Eckert, Metallurgical and Materials
 45 Transactions A, 46 (2015) 4886-4897.
- 46 [89] S. M. Copley, A. F. Giamei, S. M. Johnson and M. F. Hornbecker, Metallurgical Transactions, 1
 47 (1970) 2193-2204.
- 48 [90] A. Hellawell, J. R. Sarazin and R. S. Steube, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of
 49 London Series a-Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences, 345 (1993) 507-544.
- 50 [91] S. Boden, S. Eckert and G. Gerbeth, Materials Letters, 64 (2010) 1340-1343.
- 51 [92] N. Shevchenko, O. Roshchupkina, O. Sokolova and S. Eckert, Journal of Crystal Growth, 417
 52 (2015) 1-8.

1 [93] T. Nelson, B. Cai, N. Warnken, P. D. Lee, E. Boller, O. V. Magdysyuk and N. R. Green, Scripta
2 Materialia, 180 (2020) 29-33.
3 [94] L. Yuan and P. D. Lee, Acta Materialia, 60 (2012) 4917-4926.
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65






Figure 1 :

Schematic of the optical diagnostics of the DECLIC-DSI. In this scheme, the cartridge is reduced to the crucible part containing the liquid at the bottom and the solid at the top, inserted in the thermal field of the furnace. Images of axial and transverse direct observations for dendritic patterns are given as examples (Microgravity campaign onboard ISS ; SCN – 0.46 wt% Camphor ; $G = 12 \text{ K/cm}$)






Figure 2 : (a) Schematic layout of the gradient furnace and X-radiography device developed in the framework of the ESA-XRMON project. (b) Example of radiograph recorded during the development of the microstructure in a refined Al-20wt.%Cu alloy.



(a)



(b)

Figure 3 : (a) Primary spacing as function of pulling rate under microgravity (■) and on Earth (Δ). Insets: examples of macroscopic interface shape with corresponding convection loops. (b)

Primary spacing histograms for $V = 2$ and $4 \mu\text{m/s}$ ($G = 12 \text{ K/cm}$).




Figure 4 : a) and b) correspond to steady-state images of the cellular interface, respectively in μg and 1g , for $V = 4 \mu\text{m/s}$ and $G = 19 \text{ K/cm}$. The corresponding cell size maps (displaying the cell surface) are given respectively in c) and d). The color scale corresponds to apparent surface area given in μm^2 .




Figure 5 : Interface position as a function of solidified length ($L=Vt$) at $G=12\text{K/cm}$ for different pulling rates onboard ISS (μg) and on ground (1g).




Figure 6: Columnar solidification of Al-20wt.%Cu with a temperature gradient of about 150 K/cm between the two heaters and a cooling rate of 0.15 K/s on both heaters: (a) in microgravity conditions, (b) sample in horizontal position and (c) sample in vertical position (same position of the solidification front for the three experiments). The white circles indicate grains that nucleated ahead of the solidification front. The white arrow pointing upward indicate the fragment motion. The reference time t_0 corresponds to the application of the cooling rate.




Figure 7: (a) sketch of the mushy zone (MZ) showing the so-called “top of MZ” end “deep MZ” regions, (b) Measured fragmentation density for those two regions for 1g-upward and μg experiments during columnar growth of Al-20wt%Cu alloy, in a temperature gradient $G = 15$ K/mm and for a cooling rate $R = 0.15$ K/s).




Figure 8 : Gravity effects influencing the dendrite fragmentation phenomenon: (a) natural convection in the top of the dendritic pattern and (b) buoyancy force acting on secondary arm.




Figure 9 : Sequence of radiographs recorded during downward solidification of a refined Al-20wt.%Cu ($R = 0.1$ K/s and $G = 7.5$ K/mm) showing the propagation of the solidification microstructure from the top-cold zone toward the bottom-hot zone of the sample. The plain white arrows in (b) show solute plume locations. The reference time $t = 0$ s is chosen at the beginning of the temperature decrease: (a) $t = 243$ s, (b) $t = 303$ s, (c) $t = 383$ s, (d) $t = 471$ s




Figure 10 : (a) Sketch showing a dendrite tip position and the area used to measure the grey-level ahead of the dendrite tip. (b) Corresponding variations of the tip velocity and (c) grey level as a function of time (refined Al-20wt.%Cu, $R = 0.1$ K/s, $G = 7.5$ K/mm).