



HAL
open science

Chemically-induced epimutagenesis allows bypassing reproductive barriers in hybrid seeds

Jonathan Huc, Katarzyna Dziasek, Kannan Pachamuthu, Tristan Woh,
Claudia Köhler, Filipe Borges

► **To cite this version:**

Jonathan Huc, Katarzyna Dziasek, Kannan Pachamuthu, Tristan Woh, Claudia Köhler, et al..
Chemically-induced epimutagenesis allows bypassing reproductive barriers in hybrid seeds. 2021.
hal-03295512v1

HAL Id: hal-03295512

<https://hal.science/hal-03295512v1>

Preprint submitted on 22 Jul 2021 (v1), last revised 23 Jul 2021 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Chemically-induced epimutagenesis allows bypassing**
2 **reproductive barriers in hybrid seeds**

3

4 Jonathan Huc¹, Katarzyna Dziasek², Kannan Pachamuthu¹, Tristan Woh¹, Claudia Köhler^{2,3},
5 Filipe Borges^{1,*}

6

7 ¹ Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Université Paris-Saclay, 78000,
8 Versailles, France

9 ² Department of Plant Biology, Uppsala Biocenter, Swedish University of Agricultural
10 Sciences, Linnean Center of Plant Biology, Uppsala, Sweden

11 ³ Max Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, Potsdam-Golm, Germany

12

13 * Correspondence to: filipe.borges@inrae.fr

14

15 **Short title:** Bypassing the triploid block with 5-Azacytidine

16

17 **One sentence summary:** Genome-wide loss of DNA methylation induced by 5-Azacytidine
18 allows bypassing interploidy and interspecific hybridization barriers in Arabidopsis and
19 Capsella.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

1 **Abstract**

2

3 The "triploid block" prevents interploidy hybridizations in flowering plants, and is
4 characterized by failure in endosperm development, arrest in embryogenesis, and seed
5 collapse. Many genetic components of triploid seed lethality have been successfully identified
6 in the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*, most notably the paternally expressed imprinted
7 genes (PEGs) that are up-regulated in the tetraploid endosperm with paternal excess. Previous
8 studies have shown that the paternal epigenome is a key determinant of the triploid block
9 response, as the loss of DNA methylation in diploid pollen suppresses the triploid block
10 almost completely. Here, we demonstrate that triploid seed collapse is bypassed in
11 *Arabidopsis* plants treated with the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor 5-Azacytidine during
12 seed germination and early growth. We have identified strong suppressor lines showing stable
13 transgenerational inheritance of hypomethylation in CG context, as well as normalized
14 expression of PEGs in triploid seeds. Importantly, differentially methylated loci segregate in
15 the progeny of "epimutagenized" plants, which may allow the identification of epialleles
16 involved in the triploid block response in future studies. Finally, we demonstrate that
17 chemically-induced epimutagenesis allows bypassing hybridization barriers in crosses
18 between different *Capsella* species, thus potentially emerging as a novel strategy for
19 producing triploids and interspecific hybrids with high agronomical interest.

20

21 **Introduction**

22

23 Early studies in plants showed some of the first evidence of distinctive phenotypes
24 dependent on the nature and dosage of parental chromosomes (Belling and Blakeslee, 1923;
25 Blakeslee et al., 1920). This phenomenon, currently known as heterosis, is often observed in
26 interploid and interspecific hybrids that display phenotypic values exceeding those in their
27 parents, but its genetic and epigenetic basis remain poorly understood (Birchler et al., 2010;
28 Hochholdinger and Baldauf, 2018). Plant breeders have been exploiting heterosis for
29 thousands of years to create elite varieties of domesticated crops with enhanced growth and
30 yield. However, more progress has been hindered by the existence of strong reproductive
31 barriers that prevent heterosis, and a faster introgression of valuable alleles from wild species
32 into domesticated cultivars (Kaneko and Bang, 2014).

33

1 In many angiosperms, interploidy crosses between diploid females and tetraploid males
2 lead to abnormal endosperm development and seed collapse, which is known as the “triploid
3 block” response (Köhler et al., 2021). The endosperm of most flowering plants is an essential
4 triploid tissue that nourishes early embryo development in the seed, and is formed in the
5 embryo sac by fertilization of the diploid central cell by an haploid sperm cell (Dresselhaus et
6 al., 2016). Endosperm cellularization is a critical event for seed development, and relies on
7 the correct balance between the dosage of maternal and paternal genomes (2m:1p), and
8 distinct epigenetic features that are pre-established in the gametes to control genomic
9 imprinting after fertilization (Gehring and Satyaki, 2017; Kawashima and Berger, 2014).
10 Maternally expressed genes (MEGs) encode components of the Polycomb Repressive
11 Complex 2 (PRC2), which is essential to silence the maternal allele of paternally expressed
12 genes (PEGs) via deposition of tri-methylation at lysine 27 of histone 3 (H3K27me3)
13 (Gehring and Satyaki, 2017; Köhler and Lafon-Placette, 2015). For a long time, this
14 mechanism was sufficient to explain how imprinted gene expression is established in the
15 endosperm. However, additional models emerged with the discovery of a paternally-inherited
16 small RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) pathway that is also involved in genomic
17 imprinting (Vu et al., 2013; Calarco et al., 2012).

18
19 The triploid block traces back to the Endosperm Balance Number (EBN) hypothesis,
20 which was developed in the early 80’s in potato and then extended to many other crops
21 (Johnston and Hanneman, 1982; Ehlenfeldt and Hanneman, 1984). The EBN, or “effective
22 ploidy”, is the ratio between maternal and paternal chromosomes or genetic factors required
23 for development of a normal seed (Carputo et al., 1999, 1997; Johnston and Hanneman, 1982;
24 Ehlenfeldt and Hanneman, 1984). These studies are highly relevant for plant breeding, as the
25 success of interspecific and intergeneric hybridizations may be predicted and manipulated
26 based on the EBN of each parent (Tonosaki et al., 2018), although this system seems to be
27 restricted to only certain genera (Carputo et al., 1999). Additional breeding strategies to
28 overcome hybridization barriers require ovule/ovary cultures and embryo rescue techniques
29 prior to seed collapse, which have limited efficiency depending on the species (Cisneros and
30 Tel-Zur, 2010; Sauer and Friml, 2008; Eeckhaut et al., 2006). In the model plant *Arabidopsis*
31 *thaliana*, loss-of-function mutations in PEGs are able to suppress the triploid block (Kradolfer
32 et al., 2013; Batista et al., 2019; Wolff et al., 2015). This clearly indicates that endosperm
33 failure during interploidy hybridization results from up-regulation of PEGs, which is also
34 observed in crosses between different *Arabidopsis* species (Kirkbride et al., 2015; Josefsson

1 et al., 2006), and may have role in establishing interspecific hybridization barriers as well
2 (Lafon-Placette et al., 2018). However, its potential application in plant breeding is limited, as
3 imprinted genes are generally not well conserved (Kradolfer et al., 2013; Rodrigues and
4 Zilberman, 2015), in a way that studies in Arabidopsis cannot be directly tested in crops.
5 More recently, several studies have shown that the paternal epigenome triggers the triploid
6 block response in Arabidopsis, which provided new ideas for plant breeding. Loss of DNA
7 and histone methylation, as well as small-interfering RNAs (siRNAs) in diploid pollen
8 restored viability of triploid seeds almost completely (Martinez et al., 2018; Borges et al.,
9 2018; Erdmann et al., 2017; Schatlowski et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2017; Satyaki and Gehring,
10 2019), suggesting that the paternal epigenome mediates genomic imprinting and endosperm
11 balance in the developing seed.

12

13 Here, we show that transient genome-wide epimutagenesis induced by the chemical
14 inhibitor of DNA methylation 5-Azacytidine allows bypassing the triploid block in
15 Arabidopsis. We identified and characterized strong epigenetic suppressors of the triploid
16 block response, showing stable transgenerational loss of CG methylation, and down-
17 regulation of imprinted genes that are well-known triggers of triploid seed collapse. Finally,
18 we demonstrate that epimutagenesis induced by 5-Azacytidine allows bypassing hybridization
19 barriers in crosses between Capsella species, thus potentially emerging as a new method to
20 facilitate the production of triploid plants and interspecific hybrids with high socio-
21 economical interest for agriculture and crop improvement.

22

23 **Results**

24

25 Exposure to 5-Azacytidine during seed germination and early growth allows bypassing the 26 triploid block

27

28 Chemical inhibition of DNA methyltransferases has been widely used to study the
29 function of DNA methylation in several plant systems (Pecinka and Liu, 2014). For instance,
30 cytosine analogs such as 5-Azacytidine and Zebularine are incorporated into newly replicated
31 DNA, but do not become methylated (Pecinka and Liu, 2014), in a way that DNA
32 methylation is passively erased during cell divisions (Jones and Taylor, 1980; Creusot et al.,
33 1982; Santi et al., 1984). Recent whole-genome analysis of DNA methylation in Arabidopsis
34 has shown that both chemicals lead to widespread loss of DNA methylation in all sequence

1 contexts, and in a dose-dependent manner (Griffin et al., 2016), which can be stably inherited
2 into subsequent generations or fully restored before fertilization (Baubec et al., 2009;
3 Akimoto et al., 2007).

4
5 In order to test if epigenetic variation induced by 5-Azacytidine allows suppressing
6 the triploid block response, we used the Arabidopsis mutant *jason* (Storme and Geelen, 2011;
7 Erilova et al., 2009). In our growth conditions, plants homozygous for the *jas-3* allele in Col-0
8 background produce 30-40% of diploid pollen (Supplemental Figure 1), while the female
9 gametophyte is haploid. This way, the triploid block response may be quantified after self-
10 fertilization. In untreated *jas-3* plants and DMSO-treated controls, triploid seed abortion
11 varied between 30 and 40% (Figure 1A), thus reflecting the amount of diploid pollen in these
12 plants (Supplemental Figure 1). Strikingly, plants treated with different concentrations of 5-
13 Azacytidine showed a variable and dose-dependent effect in the triploid block response
14 (Figure 1A), which was significantly reduced to ~20% in plants treated with 100µg/mL of the
15 chemical (Figure 1A). In particular lines (e.g. Aza1), seed collapse was reduced to less than
16 10% (Figure 1A), while the amount of diploid pollen remained unchanged (Supplemental
17 Figure 1), thus suggesting strong suppression of the triploid block response. Close inspection
18 of the seed set showed the presence of enlarged seeds (Figure 1B) that were routinely
19 confirmed to be triploids by flow cytometric analysis of ploidy (Supplemental Figure 2).

20
21 In Arabidopsis, known epigenetic suppressors of the triploid block show a suppressive
22 effect only when paternally inherited (Schatlowski et al., 2014; Borges et al., 2018; Martinez
23 et al., 2018; Satyaki and Gehring, 2019). We therefore asked if there is also a parental effect
24 in triploid block suppression caused by 5-Azacytidine treatment, and tested this hypothesis by
25 performing reciprocal crosses between DMSO control plants and the strong suppressor line
26 Aza1. Indeed, we found that the suppressive effect caused by 5-Azacytidine is transmitted via
27 the paternal genome (Supplemental Figure 3).

28
29 We then selected diploid seeds from individual 5-Azacytidine-treated lines to inspect
30 the stability of the suppressive effect in the next generation (Supplemental Figure 4), which
31 will be hereafter designated as eM1 (epimutagenized population 1). Seeds from six individual
32 plants of two suppressor lines (Aza1 and Aza14) and two non-suppressors (Aza5 and Aza26)
33 were compared to the DMSO controls, to show that seed abortion and germination rates were
34 similar to those observed in eM0 plants that had been directly exposed to the chemical (Figure

1 1C). Taken together, these results demonstrate that exposing *jas-3* plants to 5-Azacytidine
2 during seed germination and early growth allows bypassing the triploid block response at
3 variable levels, in a dose-dependent and transgenerational manner.

4 5 Genome-wide CG hypomethylation observed in suppressor lines

6
7 Whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) was performed to test whether
8 suppression of the triploid block in 5-Azacytidine-treated *jas-3* plants correlated with the loss
9 of DNA methylation (Supplemental Data Set 1). Therefore, comparative methylome analyses
10 were performed between the strong suppressor lines Aza1 (3,7% collapsed seeds), Aza14
11 (11,3%), Aza18 (6,6%) and Aza25 (6,3%), and the non-suppressor lines Aza5 (27,8%),
12 Aza10 (30,7%), Aza16 (26,9%) and Aza26 (28,7%) that showed levels of seed collapse closer
13 to the controls *jas-3* (mean 33,7%) and DMSO (mean 33,4%). Indeed, in suppressor lines,
14 strong loss of CG methylation was observed at protein-coding genes and transposable
15 elements (TEs), as compared to untreated *jas-3* and DMSO controls (Figure 2A and
16 Supplemental Figure 5). Analysis of differentially methylated regions (DMRs) allowed the
17 identification of 6393 hypomethylated CG DMRs among all suppressor lines (Figure 2B),
18 which occurred primarily at protein-coding genes (Figure 2C and Supplemental Data Set 2).
19 Interestingly, this analysis also showed variable patterns of hypomethylation in the different
20 suppressors (Figure 2B), as the overlap between the three strongest suppressors (Aza1, Aza18
21 and Aza25) is limited to ~1/10 of the amount of DMRs detected in each individual line
22 (Figure 2D). In contrast, CG methylation levels were mostly unchanged in all non-
23 suppressors (Figure 2A and Supplemental Figure 5), confirming that genome-wide levels of
24 CG methylation correlate with the triploid block response. Importantly, cytosine methylation
25 in CHG and CHH contexts was mostly unchanged in both suppressor and non-suppressor
26 lines (Supplemental Figure 5 and Supplemental Data Set 1). This indicates that non-CG
27 methylation was rapidly restored in the first generation after 5-Azacytidine treatment, and is
28 likely not responsible for the suppressive effect.

29
30 In DNA methylation mutants, such as *met1* and *ddm1*, ectopic CHG methylation is
31 often observed in gene bodies (Stroud et al., 2013), and may lead to transcriptional gene
32 silencing. Similarly, ectopic CHG methylation was observed at paternally expressed genes
33 down-regulated in the endosperm of viable triploid seeds after pollination with diploid *met1*
34 pollen (Schatlowski et al., 2014). It was hypothesized that ectopic CHG methylation at the

1 paternal *met1* genome leads to reduced expression of PEGs during endosperm development,
2 thus contributing to viability of seeds with paternal excess (Schatlowski et al., 2014).
3 Although this effect was not previously reported in plants treated with 5-Azacytidine (Griffin
4 et al., 2016), we detected 95 hypermethylated CHG DMRs in the progeny of the strongest
5 suppressor line Aza1 (Supplemental Figure 6). This indicates that newly formed epialleles
6 with ectopic CHG methylation are induced by 5-Azacytidine treatment, and are stably
7 inherited to the next generation. However, this effect was not consistently observed among
8 the four suppressor lines tested (Supplemental Figure 6), suggesting that ectopic CHG is also
9 not responsible for the suppressive effect.

11 The triploid block response and DNA methylation levels were partially restored two 12 generations after 5-Azacytidine treatment

13
14 Epigenetic variation induced by 5-Azacytidine is known to be a transient effect
15 (Pecinka and Liu, 2014), and only a few epialleles have been detected in subsequent
16 generations after treatment (Akimoto et al., 2007). However, we found approximately 3000
17 hypomethylated CG DMRs in the eM1 generation of independent suppressor lines (Figure
18 2C, Supplemental Data Set 2), which confirms that hypomethylation induced by 5-
19 Azacytidine is stable for at least one generation after treatment. In order to investigate further
20 the transgenerational stability of the suppressive effect, two diploid eM1 siblings from the
21 strongest suppressor Aza1 were selected and selfed (Aza1-1 and Aza1-2), and six diploid eM2
22 plants were analyzed individually for each line (Supplemental Figure 1). Seed abortion and
23 germination analysis showed clear signs of recovery of the triploid block response in both
24 lines, although only Aza1-2 was significantly higher compared to the levels detected in the
25 eM1 generation (Figure 3A). We also performed WGBS analysis of bulked seedlings from
26 each eM2 line, and confirmed that CG methylation was fully restored at many loci (Figure
27 3B), while ectopic CHG methylation was no longer detected (Supplemental Figure 6). This
28 strongly suggests that a progressive recovery of CG methylation contributes to a stronger
29 triploid block response in eM2 plants. However, additional analyses will be required to
30 determine if DNA methylation and triploid block response are fully restored to *jas-3* levels in
31 subsequent generations.

32
33 Interestingly, some DMRs segregated in the eM2 generation, including a large region
34 in chromosome 1 that restored CG methylation almost completely in Aza1-2, but remained

1 hypomethylated in Aza1-1 (Figure 3C). Perhaps such differences explain the higher levels of
2 triploid block in Aza1-2 (Figure 3A), although the majority of DMRs in all five chromosomes
3 restored CG methylation to similar levels in both lines (Figure 3B and 3C), thus indicating
4 that certain epigenetic states were already fixed. Further analyses with methylomes of
5 additional lines and in different generations will be required for a robust evaluation of
6 epiallele segregation. However, since the triploid block response remained relatively low in
7 eM2 lines as compared to DMSO controls (Figure 3A), we can conclude that DMRs that fully
8 restored CG methylation in eM2 are likely not major contributors for the suppressive effect
9 observed in the eM1 generation.

11 Paternally expressed genes are transiently down-regulated in suppressor lines

13 Previous studies in *Arabidopsis* and crops have shown that the triploid block leads to
14 striking changes in the gene expression program of the developing triploid seed (Schatlowski
15 et al., 2014; Stoute et al., 2012). Most notably, expression of many PEGs is up-regulated in
16 abortive triploid seeds, and restored to wild-type diploid levels when the triploid block is
17 genetically or epigenetically suppressed (Schatlowski et al., 2014; Kradofer et al., 2013;
18 Batista et al., 2019; Satyaki and Gehring, 2019; Martinez et al., 2018; Wolff et al., 2015).
19 Therefore, we performed transcriptome analysis by mRNA sequencing of developing siliques
20 collected 6 to 9 days post anthesis, as previously described (Mizzotti et al., 2018).
21 Comparisons between wild-type Col-0 (WT) and *jas-3* mutant siliques allowed to detect 668
22 down-regulated and 1804 up-regulated genes in *jas-3* (Figure 4A, Supplemental Data Set 3).
23 Similar analysis between WT and DMSO control plants showed a strong overlap between
24 genes up- and down-regulated in untreated *jas-3* and DMSO control plants (Supplemental
25 Figure 7 and Supplemental Data Set 3). These observations, together with a strong correlation
26 between *jas-3* and DMSO replicates (Supplemental Figure 8), show that DMSO treatment
27 does not induce major changes in the transcriptome of developing siliques.

29 We then compared suppressor Aza1 plants from eM1 generation with DMSO controls,
30 and found 470 genes down-regulated in eM1, while only 48 were up-regulated (fold change
31 ≥ 2 , $p < 0.01$) (Supplemental Data Set 1). As expected, the vast majority of genes down-
32 regulated in Aza1 eM1 plants (93%) overlapped with genes up-regulated in *jas-3* (vs WT)
33 (Supplemental Figure 7). We also performed transcriptome profiling in siliques of four
34 different siblings in the eM2 generation, as CG methylation and the triploid block response

1 were partially restored in these plants (Figure 3). Indeed, we found 368 genes significantly
2 up-regulated in eM2 siliques as compared to eM1, while only 28 genes were found down-
3 regulated in eM2 (fold change ≥ 2 , $p < 0.01$). Strikingly, most of the 368 genes up-regulated
4 in eM2 (90%) overlapped with the genes down-regulated in eM1 plants (vs DMSO)
5 (Supplemental Figure 7), and are thus strong candidates to explain triploid block suppression
6 in plants treated with 5-Azacytidine. Indeed, well-known PEGs involved in the triploid block
7 response were found among the down-regulated genes in the eM1 generation, such as
8 *PHE1/PHE2* and *ADM* (Figures 4B and 4C) (Batista et al., 2019; Kradošfer et al., 2013), for
9 which expression is partially restored in eM2 (Figures 4B and 4C).

11 Chemically-induced hypomethylation allows bypassing interploidy and interspecific 12 hybridization barriers

14 Endosperm-based hybridization barriers are frequently observed during interploidy
15 and interspecific crosses in a variety of plant species (Lafon-Placette and Köhler, 2016), and
16 we asked if genome-wide epimutagenesis induced by 5-Azacytidine could suppress
17 hybridization barriers in crosses between plants of different ploidy or between different
18 species. To test this hypothesis, we first treated tetraploid Arabidopsis plants in Col-0
19 background with 100 μ M 5-Azacytidine during seed germination. In agreement with the
20 results in *jas-3* mutants, we obtained 40% of viable triploid seeds when using pollen from 5-
21 Azacytidine-treated tetraploid plants to pollinate non-treated diploid Col-0 plants (Figure 5A),
22 while only 10% of triploid seeds were viable in the control cross using pollen from DMSO-
23 treated plants. These results show that triploid block suppression after treatment with 5-
24 Azacytidine is not dependent on the method used to produce diploid pollen.

26 We then performed interspecific hybridizations between *Capsella rubella* and
27 *Capsella grandiflora*, as crosses between these species resemble the triploid block when *C.*
28 *grandiflora* is used as the male parent (Rebernik et al., 2015). *C. grandiflora* plants were
29 treated with 100 μ M 5-Azacytidine, and pollen from treated plants was used to pollinate non-
30 treated *C. rubella* plants. Strikingly, approximately 30% of hybrid seeds were plump and
31 appeared normal, and about 40% of seeds were less severely collapsed, as compared to the
32 control DMSO crosses that resulted in 100% completely collapsed seeds (Figure 5B). When
33 these hybrid seeds were tested in germination assays, we found that about 15-20% of hybrid
34 seeds could germinate in the crosses where the male parent was treated with 5-Azacytidine

1 (Figure 5B), while none of the seeds germinated in the control cross. Collectively, our data
2 shows that DNA hypomethylation induced by 5-Azacytidine treatment can suppress hybrid
3 seed lethality in both interploidy and interspecific crosses.

4 5 **Discussion**

6
7 The triploid block is a classic example of dosage regulation, and our recent studies
8 have demonstrated how plants use epigenetic mechanisms to sense and control parental
9 genome dosage in crosses between parents with differing ploidy (Martinez et al., 2018;
10 Borges et al., 2018; Schatlowksi et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Dziasek et
11 al., 2021; Florez-Rueda et al., 2021; Satyaki and Gehring, 2019). Differential DNA
12 methylation between parental genomes is essential for seed development and genomic
13 imprinting (Adams et al., 2000; Xiao et al., 2006; Choi et al., 2002), and DNA methylation of
14 the paternal genome is required to trigger the triploid block in Arabidopsis (Schatlowksi et al.,
15 2014; Wang et al., 2021; Satyaki and Gehring, 2019). However, and despite the recent
16 advances, the mechanistic aspects of this complex process remain largely unclear.

17
18 In this study, we demonstrate that the triploid block is bypassed in epimutagenized
19 plants treated with the cytosine analog 5-Azacytidine, which induces DNA hypomethylation.
20 We found that the suppression level was highly correlated with a genome-wide and
21 transgenerational loss of DNA methylation that occurred mostly in the CG context (Figure 2
22 and Supplemental Figure 4). Interestingly, independent suppressor lines showed strong loss of
23 CG methylation at different loci, while non-suppressors had almost normal levels of CG
24 methylation (Figure 4B). The reason for this variability among treated plants remains to be
25 explored, but one possibility is that only a fraction of plants treated with 5-Azacytidine is able
26 to transmit DNA hypomethylation to their germline, and from there to the next generation.

27
28 We were able to validate previous results showing that DNA hypomethylation of the
29 paternal genome is what allows viability of triploid seeds (Schatlowksi et al., 2014; Wang et
30 al., 2021; Satyaki and Gehring, 2019). In previous studies, ectopic CHG methylation at PEGs
31 was observed in the endosperm carrying a hypomethylated paternal genome derived from
32 diploid *met1* pollen, and was associated with triploid block suppression (Schatlowksi et al.,
33 2014). However, only one of the suppressor lines in our study showed a small number of loci
34 with ectopic CHG methylation (Supplemental Figure 6), thus suggesting that this mechanism

1 is unlikely responsible for PEG suppression in 5-Azacytidine-treated plants. Nevertheless,
2 DNA methylation analyses in the developing endosperm of different suppressor lines will be
3 required to finally evaluate this hypothesis.

4
5 Notably, we found a significant number of DMRs overlapping between the three
6 strongest suppressors lines Aza1, Aza18 and Aza25 (Figure 2D). It is tempting to speculate
7 that a particular epiallele (or epialleles) involved in the triploid block is within this list of 253
8 DMRs (Supplemental Data Set 2), although there is no clear overlap with genes up-regulated
9 in *jas-3*, or down-regulated in eM1 (Supplemental Data Set 3). Alternatively, triploid block
10 suppression may simply require that a certain amount of the genome remains hypomethylated,
11 independent of location. Comparisons between plants in eM1 and eM2 generations support
12 this idea, as DNA methylation was restored at only a fraction of hypomethylated CG DMRs
13 detected in eM1 (Figure 3B), but the majority of genes down-regulated in eM1 were
14 significantly up-regulated in eM2 (Supplemental Figure 7). This way, genome-wide CG
15 methylation levels of the paternal genome could somehow function as a "ploidy sensor" in the
16 developing endosperm, by attracting or repulsing epigenetic modulators of genomic
17 imprinting. The most obvious candidates for this interplay are the paternal DNA methylation
18 and maternal PRC2 pathways that are often mutually exclusive (Deleris et al., 2012; Rougée
19 et al., 2020), and have been independently implicated in the triploid block (Erilova et al.,
20 2009; Martinez et al., 2018; Satyaki and Gehring, 2019; Wang et al., 2021).

21
22 Our work furthermore shows that chemically-induced epimutagenesis allows
23 bypassing interspecific hybridization barriers in crosses between the *Capsella* species *C.*
24 *rubella* and *C. grandiflora* (Figure 5B). Interestingly, CHG and CHH methylation was shown
25 to decrease in *Capsella* hybrid endosperm, while CG methylation increased (Dziasek et al.,
26 2021). However, it remains to be explored whether the increase in CG methylation is what
27 causes hybrid seed defects in interspecific crosses, rather than the decrease in CHG and CHH
28 methylation.

29
30 In conclusion, our study demonstrates that 5-Azacytidine can be successfully used as a
31 tool to facilitate the generation of triploid seeds and interspecific F1 hybrids in different plant
32 systems. We believe this method could be applicable to a wide range of species, including
33 crops with high agronomical interest, thus providing a convenient and cheap strategy to
34 facilitate modern plant breeding.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

Methods

Plant growth and chemically-induced epimutagenesis

The mutant allele *jas-3* (SAIL_813_H03, Col-0 background) was used in this study. Diploid seeds from *jas-3* mutants were surface sterilized with 50% bleach for five minutes, rinsed with sterile deionized water, and sowed on agar plates containing 0.5X Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium, 1% sucrose, pH = 5.7 and different concentrations (25, 50 and 100µg/mL) of 5-Azacytidine (Sigma), under long day conditions (16h photoperiod). DMSO solvent was used as control treatment. Seedlings were transferred to soil after two weeks, and maintained in greenhouse long-day conditions to complete the life cycle.

Triploid block quantification

The triploid block was quantified by counting the number of aborted seeds in 5 siliques after selfing. The same set of seeds was then surface-sterilized using 50% bleach and ethanol, rinsed once with ethanol 96%, and air-dried. Seeds were then sown on agar plates containing 0.5X MS medium, 1% sucrose, pH = 5.7, then stratified for 2 days at 4 °C, and transferred to growth chambers under long-days conditions (16h day, 8h dark). Germination rate was initially quantified on 4 to 5-day-old seedlings, then adjusted after 7 days if necessary to account for germination delays.

Pollen ploidy analysis by flow cytometry

Pollen ploidy in *jas-3* mutants was analyzed by collecting open flowers from individual plants into eppendorf tubes, vortexing in 2mL of 100mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7) for 3min, and filtering through a 50µm nylon mesh. Pollen population is characterized by an elevated high angle scatter (SSC) and autofluorescence, which allows discrimination of haploid (1n) and diploid (2n) pollen, as previously described (Storme and Geelen, 2011;

1 Erilova et al., 2009). These two populations were gated and quantified (Supplemental Figure
2 2). For ploidy analysis of nuclei, leaf tissue was chopped in 2mL of Galbraith buffer (45 mM
3 MgCl₂, 20 mM MOPS, 30 mM sodium citrate, 1 % (v/v) Triton X-100. Adjust pH to 7.0)
4 using a razor blade, filtered through a 50µm mesh, stained with SYBR Green dye (Lonza),
5 and analyzed on a CyFlow Space cytometer (Sysmex).

6 7 Whole-genome bisulfite sequencing and DNA methylation analysis

8

9 Bulked seed from each Aza line, two *jas-3* and two DMSO plants were germinated on
10 MS plates, genomic DNA was isolated from ten pooled seedlings using the Quick DNA
11 purification kit (Zymo), and library preparation and sequencing was performed by BGI
12 Genomics (Hong Kong). Briefly, genomic DNA was fragmented by sonication, end-repaired
13 and ligated to methylated adaptors. After bisulfite treatment, bisulfite-treated fragments were
14 PCR amplified and sequenced as paired-end 100bp reads (PE100) with DNBSEQ technology
15 (BGI). Pre-processed and high-quality reads were mapped to the TAIR10 genome using
16 bismark with default settings for paired-end libraries (Krueger and Andrews, 2011), and all
17 figures and downstream analysis were performed using R. DMRs in CG context were defined
18 as 100-bp bins containing at least 4 differentially methylated CGs, and with an absolute
19 methylation difference of 0.4. DMRs localizing within 200 bp of each other were merged.
20 The statistical significance of the observed overlaps between differentially methylated 100bp
21 bins was calculated using the R package SuperExactTest (Wang et al., 2015). A summary of
22 all bisulfite sequencing data generated in this study is presented in Supplemental Data Set 1,
23 and is accessible through the NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE179702).

24 25 RNA sequencing and analysis

26

27 Total RNA was extracted from three siliques 6-9 days after anthesis, as previously
28 described (Mizzotti et al., 2018), and using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) following
29 manufacturer's recommendations for seed tissues (RLC buffer). Sequencing of messenger
30 RNA was performed by BGI Genomics (Hong Kong) using DNBSEQ technology. High
31 quality raw reads were aligned to the TAIR10 genome using STAR (Dobin et al., 2013).
32 Reads were counted and normalized using the R package DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). We
33 considered differentially expressed genes those displaying a log₂ fold-change ≥ 2 , and with an
34 adjusted *p*-value < 0.01 . Graphical outputs were produced using the R packages ggplot2,

1 heatmap and ComplexHeatmap. The statistical significance of the observed overlaps
2 between differentially expressed genes was calculated using the R package SuperExactTest
3 (Wang et al., 2015). A summary of all RNA sequencing data generated in this study is
4 presented in Supplemental Data Set 1, and is accessible through the NCBI's Gene Expression
5 Omnibus (GSE179697).

6 7 Interploidy and interspecific hybridizations

8
9 Seeds of *Capsella rubella* (accession 48.21) and *Capsella grandiflora* (accession
10 23.5), as well as diploid and tetraploid Col-0 seeds were surface sterilized with 30% bleach
11 and 70% ethanol, rinsed with distilled water and sown on agar plates containing 0.5X
12 Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium and 1% sucrose. Seeds of *C. grandiflora* and tetraploid
13 Col-0 were also sown on agar plates with 0.5X MS medium, 1% sucrose and 100µM 5-
14 Azacytidine (Sigma). All plates were put in a growth chamber with long-day photoperiod
15 (16h and 22°C light, 8h and 19°C darkness) with a light intensity of 110 µE. 7 days-old
16 seedlings were transferred to pots filled with sterile soil and plants were grown in a growth
17 chamber with 60% humidity and daily cycles of 16h light at 21 °C and 8 h darkness at 18°C
18 with light intensity of 150 µE. Flower buds were manually emasculated and pollinated after 2
19 days. Dry seeds were stored for 30 days for "after-ripening". They were then surface sterilized
20 and sown on agar plates containing 0.5X MS medium and 1% sucrose. Plates were stratified
21 for 2 days at 4°C and then moved to the growing chamber. Germination rate was scored after
22 7 days in the growing chamber. The experiment was done in 3 biological replicates (each
23 replicate is offspring of different parental plants).

24 25 **Authors contributions and acknowledgments**

26
27 F.B. designed the study, J.H., K.D., K.P. and F.B. performed experiments, J.H., T.W. and
28 F.B. analyzed the data, C.K. provided materials and advised on experimental design, and F.B.
29 wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors.

30
31 We thank all members of our laboratories for daily discussions. This work was supported by
32 the grant "EpiHYBRIDS" from the French National Agency of Research (ANR-19-CE12-
33 0008) and by an installation grant provided by the BAP department of INRAE to F.B. This
34 work was also partially supported by the EUR SPS-GSR (ANR-17-EUR-0007). The work of

1 K.D. and C.K. was supported a grant from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation to C.K.
2 (grant #2018-0206).

3
4
5
6
7 **Figure legends**

8
9 **Figure 1.** The triploid block is suppressed in *jas-3* plants treated with 5-Azacytidine.

10 **(A)** The triploid block response was quantified by counting the number of aborted seeds in
11 five siliques of untreated *jas-3* plants and DMSO controls, and plants exposed to three
12 different concentrations of 5-Azacytidine (25, 50 and 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$). Numbers on top of each
13 box represent the number of individual plants used. Statistically significant difference in the
14 percentage of collapsed seeds was calculated by ANOVA with a post hoc Dunnett test, using
15 *jas-3* as the reference group (n.s. is not significant, * is $p \leq 0.05$, and *** is $p \leq 0.001$).

16 **(B)** Representative images of seeds from DMSO controls and the strong suppressor Aza1,
17 showing a decrease in the level of seed abortion in the suppressor line.

18 **(C)** Seed abortion and germination assays were performed in the first generation after
19 treatment with 5-Azacytidine (eM1) to evaluate the transgenerational stability of the
20 suppressive effect. Numbers on top of each box indicate the number of siblings (top) and total
21 number of seeds (bottom) counted. Statistically significant difference in the percentage of
22 non-germinated seeds was calculated by ANOVA with a post hoc Dunnett test, using DMSO
23 as the reference group (n.s. is not significant, * is $p \leq 0.05$, and *** is $p \leq 0.001$).

24
25 **Figure 2.** Transgenerational inheritance of CG hypomethylation occurs specifically in
26 suppressor lines.

27 **(A)** Metaplots show CG methylation levels at protein-coding genes annotated according to the
28 TAIR10 reference genome, and aligned at the 5' and 3' ends (dashed lines). Average CG,
29 methylation was calculated for 100-bp intervals and plotted for untreated *jas-3* and DMSO
30 controls, suppressor and non-suppressor lines, showing that loss of CG methylation occurs
31 specifically in the suppressor lines.

32 **(B)** Heatmap representation of CG methylation levels at hypomethylated CG DMRs detected
33 in the suppressor lines (Aza1, Aza14, Aza18 and Aza25) as compared to the untreated control

1 *jas-3*. Average CG methylation mapping to these DMRs is presented as heatmap for untreated
2 *jas-3* (2 replicates) and DMSO controls (2 replicates), suppressors and non-suppressors.

3 (C) Hypomethylated CG DMRs detected in each suppressor line were mapped to the genomic
4 features annotated in the TAIR10 reference genome, showing that the majority of DMRs
5 overlap with protein-coding genes and transposable elements (TEs).

6 (D) Venn diagram shows a significant overlap between differentially methylated 100bp bins
7 detected in the three strongest suppressor lines (Aza1, Aza18 and Aza25). The statistical
8 significance of the observed regions was calculated using the R package SuperExactTest
9 (Wang et al., 2015).

10

11 **Figure 3.** The triploid block response and CG methylation are partially restored in the second
12 generation after treatment with 5-Azacytidine.

13 (A) Seed abortion and germination assays were performed for two consecutive generations
14 after treatment with 5-Azacytidine (eM1 and eM2), showing that the triploid block response
15 is only partially restored in two independent lines in the second generation after
16 epimutagenesis (eM2). Numbers on top of each box indicate the number of siblings (top) and
17 total number of seeds (bottom) counted. Statistical significance was calculated by ANOVA
18 with a post hoc Dunnett test, using Aza1 as the reference group (n.s. is not significant, and *
19 is $p \leq 0.05$).

20 (B) Average CG methylation mapping to hypomethylated CG DMRs detected between the
21 suppressor line Aza1 and the untreated control *jas-3* is presented as heatmap for untreated *jas-*
22 *3* (2 replicates) and DMSO (2 replicates), Aza1 eM1, and two eM2 lines (Aza1-1 and Aza1-
23 2), to show that CG methylation is also partially restored in eM2 lines.

24 (C) CG methylation of untreated *jas-3*, DMSO, eM1 and eM2 lines was mapped to 100kb
25 bins across the Arabidopsis genome. CG methylation levels in DMSO, eM1 and eM2 datasets
26 were then divided by the levels in *jas-3*, and plotted separately for all five chromosomes to
27 show patterns of hypomethylation. This shows that for most of the genome, the distribution of
28 CG hypomethylation is identical between the two eM2 lines, although there are particular loci
29 where CG methylation is segregating.

30

31 **Figure 4.** Paternally expressed genes are down-regulated in suppressor lines.

32 (A) Differential expression analysis was performed between wild-type Col-0 (WT) and *jas-3*
33 mutant siliques, and 1804 genes were found up-regulated in *jas-3* (fold change ≥ 2 , adjusted
34 p -value < 0.01). Transformed raw counts for these genes are presented as an heatmap for WT,

1 *jas-3* and DMSO, Aza1 eM1 and eM2 biological replicates (see Methods), to show that a
2 proportion of genes up-regulated in untreated *jas-3* and DMSO controls are transiently down-
3 regulated in the first generation after treatment with 5-Azacytidine.

4 **(B)** Genome browser tracks display CG methylation (pooled seedlings) and mRNA levels
5 (siliques) in *jas-3*, DMSO, Aza1 eM1 and Aza1 eM2 plants.

6 **(C)** Raw counts were normalized as fragments per kilobase per million (FPKM) and plotted
7 as barplots with mean values and standard error.

8

9 **Figure 5.** Chemically-induced epimutagenesis allows bypassing interploidy and interspecific
10 hybridization barriers.

11 **(A)** Pollen from tetraploid Arabidopsis plants in Col-0 background was used to pollinate
12 diploid Col-0 plants. Triploid seeds resulting from this cross abort at high frequencies when
13 the paternal parent is treated with DMSO, while 40% of viable triploid seeds were detected
14 when pollen was derived from plants that have been treated with 5-Azacytidine.

15 **(B)** In interspecific crosses between diploid *Capsella rubella* (*Cr*) and *Capsella grandiflora*
16 (*Cg*), hybrid seeds abort, resembling interploidy hybridizations with paternal excess. When *C.*
17 *grandiflora* plants are treated with 5-Azacytidine, approximately 20% of hybrid seeds
18 germinated.

19

20 **Supplemental Data**

21

22 **Supplemental Figure 1.** Quantification of diploid pollen in *jas-3* plants. (Supports Figure 1)

23 **(A)** The proportion of haploid (1n) and diploid (2n) pollen produced in *jas-3* mutants was
24 quantified by flow cytometry, as pollen populations are characterized by an elevated high
25 angle scatter (SSC) and autofluorescence (FL1).

26 **(B)** Boxplot was used to show the distribution of diploid (2n) pollen among individual DMSO
27 and Aza1 plants. Number on top of the boxes represent the number of plants used. A
28 Wilcoxon test was used to compare the mean values between the suppressor Aza1 and the
29 DMSO control. n.s. is not significant ($p=0.937$).

30

31 **Supplemental Figure 2.** Ploidy analysis by flow cytometry. (Supports Figure 1)

32 Leaf tissue was chopped in Galbraith buffer with a razor blade, stained with SYBR Green dye
33 (Lonza), and analyzed on a CyFlow Space cytometer (Sysmex). Nuclei of young diploid

1 leaves in Arabidopsis is characterized by two prominent 2C and 4C peaks. Triploid
2 individuals show a proportional increase in signal intensity.

3

4 **Supplemental Figure 3.** Suppression of the triploid block in plants treated with 5-
5 Azacytidine is a paternal effect. (Supports Figure 1)

6 **(A)** A parental effect in triploid block suppression after epimutagenesis was investigated by
7 performing reciprocal crosses between the strong suppressor Aza1 and DMSO control plants.
8 Numbers on top of each bars represent the number of plants used (top) and total amount of
9 seeds counted (bottom). Statistically significant difference in the percentage of collapsed
10 seeds was calculated by ANOVA with a post hoc Dunnett test, using Aza1 as the reference
11 group (n.s. is not significant, and *** is $p \leq 0.001$).

12 **(B)** Representative seeds images are shown for the controls (selfed plants), and reciprocal
13 crosses. Scale bars represent 1mm.

14

15 **Supplemental Figure 4.** Schematic depicting *jas-3* epimutagenesis and transgenerational
16 analysis of the triploid block in suppressor lines. (Supports Figures 1, 2 and 4)

17 Diploid seeds from *jas-3* were treated with 100 μ g/mL of 5-Azacytidine during germination
18 and early growth. Treated eM0 plants were then transferred to soil to recover, and allowed to
19 self-fertilize. Selfed *jas-3* mutants produce diploid seeds that are viable, and triploid seeds
20 that abort. Diploid plants were selected after each generation and allowed to self-fertilize, and
21 the triploid block was quantified by counting the number of aborted seeds in individual
22 siblings.

23

24 **Supplemental Figure 5.** CG, CHG and CHH methylation profiles at protein-coding genes
25 and transposable elements. (Supports Figure 2)

26 Protein-coding genes (left panels) and transposable elements (TEs, right panels) annotated
27 according to the TAIR10 reference genome were aligned at the 5' and 3' ends (dashed lines),
28 and average CG, CHG and CHH methylation levels for 100-bp intervals were plotted for
29 untreated *jas-3* and DMSO controls, suppressor and non-suppressor lines. Loss of DNA
30 methylation at genes and TEs occurs mainly in the CG context and is observed only in
31 suppressor lines.

32

33 **Supplemental Figure 6.** Ectopic CHG methylation in suppressor lines. (Supports Figure 2)

1 (A) Heatmap representation of CHG methylation levels at ectopic CHG DMRs detected in the
2 suppressor line Aza1, as compared to the untreated control *jas-3*. Average CHG methylation
3 mapping to these DMRs is presented as heatmap for two independent replicates of untreated
4 *jas-3* and DMSO controls, suppressor and non-suppressor lines.

5 (B) Genome browser tracks show DNA methylation at CG and CHG context for selected loci
6 showing ectopic CHG methylation.

7

8 **Supplemental Figure 7.** Differentially expressed genes in suppressor lines. (Supports Figure
9 4)

10 (A) Venn diagram shows overlap between genes up-regulated in untreated *jas-3* (vs WT), and
11 genes up-regulated in DMSO controls (vs WT).

12 (B) Venn diagram shows the overlap of genes up-regulated in *jas-3* (vs WT) with genes
13 transiently down-regulated in eM1 (vs DMSO), and up-regulated in eM2 (vs eM1). The
14 majority of genes down-regulated in eM1 are genes that are up-regulated in *jas-3* mutant
15 siliques, and expression of these genes is restored in eM2.

16 The statistical significance of the observed overlaps between differentially expressed genes
17 was calculated using the R package SuperExactTest (Wang et al., 2015).

18

19 **Supplemental Figure 8.** Clustering of RNA-seq datasets. (Supports Figure 4)

20 Transformed data was used for sample clustering to visualize sample-to-sample distances (see
21 Methods). The heatmap of this distance matrix shows an overview over similarities and
22 dissimilarities between all RNA-seq datasets produced in this study.

23

24 **Supplemental Data Set 1.** Summary whole-genome bisulfite and RNA-seq datasets.

25 Whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS). Mean genomic coverage and methylation
26 percentages for CG, CHG and CHH context. Cytosines covered by 3 or more reads were used
27 to calculate genome-wide methylation percentages.

28 RNA-seq. High quality raw reads were aligned to the TAIR10 genome using STAR (Dobin et
29 al., 2013)

30

31 **Supplemental Data Set 2.** Lists of differentially methylated regions.

32 Differentially methylated regions (DMRs) in CG context were defined as 100-bp bins
33 containing at least 4 differentially methylated CGs, and with an absolute methylation
34 difference of 0.4. DMRs localizing within 200 bp of each other were merged.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

Supplemental Data Set 3. Lists of differentially expressed genes.

Reads counts were normalized using the R package DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). We considered differentially expressed genes (DEGs) those displaying a log₂ fold-change ≥ 2 , and with an adjusted *p*-value < 0.01 .

References

- Adams, S., Vinkenoog, R., Spielman, M., Dickinson, H.G., and Scott, R.J.** (2000). Parent-of-origin effects on seed development in *Arabidopsis thaliana* require DNA methylation. *Development* **127**: 2493–2502.
- Akimoto, K., Katakami, H., Kim, H.-J., Ogawa, E., Sano, C.M., Wada, Y., and Sano, H.** (2007). Epigenetic Inheritance in Rice Plants. *Ann. Bot.* **100**: 205–217.
- Batista, R.A., Moreno-Romero, J., Qiu, Y., van Boven, J., Santos-González, J., Figueiredo, D.D., and Köhler, C.** (2019). The MADS-box transcription factor PHERES1 controls imprinting in the endosperm by binding to domesticated transposons. *eLife* **8**: e50541.
- Baubec, T., Pecinka, A., Rozhon, W., and Mittelsten Scheid, O.** (2009). Effective, homogeneous and transient interference with cytosine methylation in plant genomic DNA by zebularine. *Plant J.* **57**: 542–554.
- Belling, J. and Blakeslee, A.F.** (1923). The Reduction Division in Haploid, Diploid, Triploid and Tetraploid *Daturas*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **9**: 106–111.
- Birchler, J.A., Yao, H., Chudalayandi, S., Vaiman, D., and Veitia, R.A.** (2010). Heterosis. *Plant Cell* **22**: 2105–2112.
- Blakeslee, A.F., Belling, J., and Farnham, M.E.** (1920). Chromosomal Duplication and Mendelian Phenomena in *Datura* Mutants. *Science* **52**: 388–390.
- Borges, F., Parent, J.-S., van Ex, F., Wolff, P., Martínez, G., Köhler, C., and Martienssen, R.A.** (2018). Transposon-derived small RNAs triggered by miR845 mediate genome dosage response in *Arabidopsis*. *Nat. Genet.* **50**: 186–192.
- Calarco, J.P., Borges, F., Donoghue, M.T.A., Van Ex, F., Jullien, P.E., Lopes, T., Gardner, R., Berger, F., Feijó, J.A., Becker, J.D., and Martienssen, R.A.** (2012).

- 1 Reprogramming of DNA methylation in pollen guides epigenetic inheritance via small
2 RNA. *Cell* **151**: 194–205.
- 3 **Carputo, D., Barone, A., Cardi, T., Sebastiano, A., Frusciante, L., and Peloquin, S.J.**
4 (1997). Endosperm balance number manipulation for direct in vivo germplasm
5 introgression to potato from a sexually isolated relative (*Solanum commersonii* Dun.).
6 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **94**: 12013–12017.
- 7 **Carputo, D., Monti, L., Werner, J.E., and Frusciante, L.** (1999). Uses and usefulness of
8 endosperm balance number. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **98**: 478–484.
- 9 **Choi, Y., Gehring, M., Johnson, L., Hannon, M., Harada, J.J., Goldberg, R.B., Jacobsen,**
10 **S.E., and Fischer, R.L.** (2002). DEMETER, a DNA Glycosylase Domain Protein, Is
11 Required for Endosperm Gene Imprinting and Seed Viability in Arabidopsis. *Cell*
12 **110**: 33–42.
- 13 **Cisneros, A. and Tel-Zur, N.** (2010). Embryo rescue and plant regeneration following
14 interspecific crosses in the genus *Hylocereus* (Cactaceae). *Euphytica* **174**: 73–82.
- 15 **Creusot, F., Acs, G., and Christman, J.K.** (1982). Inhibition of DNA methyltransferase and
16 induction of Friend erythroleukemia cell differentiation by 5-azacytidine and 5-aza-2'-
17 deoxycytidine. *J. Biol. Chem.* **257**: 2041–2048.
- 18 **Deleris, A., Stroud, H., Bernatavichute, Y., Johnson, E., Klein, G., Schubert, D., and**
19 **Jacobsen, S.E.** (2012). Loss of the DNA methyltransferase MET1 Induces H3K9
20 hypermethylation at PcG target genes and redistribution of H3K27 trimethylation to
21 transposons in Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS Genet.* **8**: e1003062.
- 22 **Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P.,**
23 **Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R.** (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq
24 aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 15–21.
- 25 **Dresselhaus, T., Sprunck, S., and Wessel, G.M.** (2016). Fertilization Mechanisms in
26 Flowering Plants. *Curr. Biol. CB* **26**: R125-139.
- 27 **Dziasek, K., Simon, L., Lafon-Placette, C., Laenen, B., Wärdig, C., Santos-González, J.,**
28 **Slotte, T., and Köhler, C.** (2021). Hybrid seed incompatibility in *Capsella* is
29 connected to chromatin condensation defects in the endosperm. *PLOS Genet.* **17**:
30 e1009370.
- 31 **Eeckhaut, T., Laere, K.V., Riek, J.D., and Huylenbroeck, J.V.** (2006). Overcoming
32 Interspecific Barriers in Ornamental Plant Breeding.: 13.
- 33 **Ehlenfeldt, M.K. and Hanneman, R.E.** (1984). The use of Endosperm Balance Number and
34 2n gametes to transfer exotic germplasm in potato. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **68–68**.
- 35 **Erdmann, R.M., Satyaki, P.R.V., Klosinska, M., and Gehring, M.** (2017). A Small RNA
36 Pathway Mediates Allelic Dosage in Endosperm. *Cell Rep.* **21**: 3364–3372.
- 37 **Erilova, A., Brownfield, L., Exner, V., Rosa, M., Twell, D., Mittelsten Scheid, O.,**
38 **Hennig, L., and Köhler, C.** (2009). Imprinting of the polycomb group gene MEDEA
39 serves as a ploidy sensor in Arabidopsis. *PLoS Genet.* **5**: e1000663.

- 1 **Florez-Rueda, A.M., Fiscalini, F., Roth, M., Grossniklaus, U., and Städler, T.** (2021).
2 Endosperm and seed transcriptomes reveal possible roles for small RNA pathways in
3 wild tomato hybrid seed failure. *Genome Biol. Evol.*
- 4 **Gehring, M. and Satyaki, P.R.** (2017). Endosperm and Imprinting, Inextricably Linked.
5 *Plant Physiol.* **173**: 143–154.
- 6 **Griffin, P.T., Niederhuth, Chad E, and Schmitz, Robert J.** (2016). A Comparative
7 Analysis of 5-Azacytidine- and Zebularine-Induced DNA Demethylation. *G3*
8 Bethesda Md **6**: 2773–2780.
- 9 **Hochholdinger, F. and Baldauf, J.A.** (2018). Heterosis in plants. *Curr. Biol.* **28**: R1089–
10 R1092.
- 11 **Jiang, H., Moreno-Romero, J., Santos-González, J., De Jaeger, G., Gevaert, K., Van De**
12 **Slijke, E., and Köhler, C.** (2017). Ectopic application of the repressive histone
13 modification H3K9me2 establishes post-zygotic reproductive isolation in *Arabidopsis*
14 *thaliana*. *Genes Dev.* **31**: 1272–1287.
- 15 **Johnston, S.A. and Hanneman, R.E.** (1982). Manipulations of Endosperm Balance Number
16 Overcome Crossing Barriers Between Diploid Solanum Species. *Science* **217**: 446–
17 448.
- 18 **Jones, P.A. and Taylor, S.M.** (1980). Cellular differentiation, cytidine analogs and DNA
19 methylation. *Cell* **20**: 85–93.
- 20 **Josefsson, C., Dilkes, B., and Comai, L.** (2006). Parent-Dependent Loss of Gene Silencing
21 during Interspecies Hybridization. *Curr. Biol.* **16**: 1322–1328.
- 22 **Kaneko, Y. and Bang, S.W.** (2014). Interspecific and intergeneric hybridization and
23 chromosomal engineering of Brassicaceae crops. *Breed. Sci. Breed. Sci.* **64**, **64**: 14,
24 14–22.
- 25 **Kawashima, T. and Berger, F.** (2014). Epigenetic reprogramming in plant sexual
26 reproduction. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **15**: 613–624.
- 27 **Kirkbride, R.C., Yu, H.H., Nah, G., Zhang, C., Shi, X., and Chen, Z.J.** (2015). An
28 Epigenetic Role for Disrupted Paternal Gene Expression in Postzygotic Seed Abortion
29 in *Arabidopsis* Interspecific Hybrids. *Mol. Plant* **8**: 1766–1775.
- 30 **Köhler, C., Dziasek, K., and Del Toro-De León, G.** (2021). Postzygotic reproductive
31 isolation established in the endosperm: mechanisms, drivers and relevance. *Philos.*
32 *Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **376**: rstb.2020.0118, 20200118.
- 33 **Köhler, C. and Lafon-Placette, C.** (2015). Evolution and function of epigenetic processes in
34 the endosperm. *Front. Plant Sci.* **6**: 130.
- 35 **Kradolfer, D., Wolff, P., Jiang, H., Siretskiy, A., and Köhler, C.** (2013). An imprinted
36 gene underlies postzygotic reproductive isolation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Dev. Cell*
37 **26**: 525–535.

- 1 **Krueger, F. and Andrews, S.R.** (2011). Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller
2 for Bisulfite-Seq applications. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* **27**: 1571–1572.
- 3 **Lafon-Placette, C., Hatorangan, M.R., Steige, K.A., Cornille, A., Lascoux, M., Slotte, T.,**
4 **and Köhler, C.** (2018). Paternally expressed imprinted genes associate with
5 hybridization barriers in *Capsella*. *Nat. Plants* **4**: 352–357.
- 6 **Lafon-Placette, C. and Köhler, C.** (2016). Endosperm-based postzygotic hybridization
7 barriers: developmental mechanisms and evolutionary drivers. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**: 2620–
8 2629.
- 9 **Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S.** (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and
10 dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**: 550.
- 11 **Martinez, G., Wolff, P., Wang, Z., Moreno-Romero, J., Santos-González, J., Conze,**
12 **L.L., DeFraia, C., Slotkin, R.K., and Köhler, C.** (2018). Paternal easiRNAs regulate
13 parental genome dosage in *Arabidopsis*. *Nat. Genet.* **50**: 193–198.
- 14 **Mizzotti, C., Rotasperti, L., Moretto, M., Tadini, L., Resentini, F., Galliani, B.M.,**
15 **Galbiati, M., Engelen, K., Pesaresi, P., and Masiero, S.** (2018). Time-Course
16 Transcriptome Analysis of *Arabidopsis* Siliques Discloses Genes Essential for Fruit
17 Development and Maturation. *Plant Physiol.* **178**: 1249–1268.
- 18 **Pecinka, A. and Liu, C.-H.** (2014). Drugs for Plant Chromosome and Chromatin Research.
19 *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* **143**: 51–59.
- 20 **Rebernik, C.A., Lafon-Placette, C., Hatorangan, M.R., Slotte, T., and Köhler, C.** (2015).
21 Non-reciprocal Interspecies Hybridization Barriers in the *Capsella* Genus Are
22 Established in the Endosperm. *PLoS Genet.* **11**: e1005295.
- 23 **Rodrigues, J.A. and Zilberman, D.** (2015). Evolution and function of genomic imprinting in
24 plants. *Genes Dev.* **29**: 2517–2531.
- 25 **Rougée, M., Quadrana, L., Zervudacki, J., Hure, V., Colot, V., Navarro, L., and Deleris,**
26 **A.** (2020). Polycomb mutant partially suppresses DNA hypomethylation–associated
27 phenotypes in *Arabidopsis*. *Life Sci. Alliance* **4**: e202000848.
- 28 **Santi, D.V., Norment, A., and Garrett, C.E.** (1984). Covalent bond formation between a
29 DNA-cytosine methyltransferase and DNA containing 5-azacytosine. *Proc. Natl.*
30 *Acad. Sci.* **81**: 6993–6997.
- 31 **Satyaki, P.R.V. and Gehring, M.** (2019). Paternally Acting Canonical RNA-Directed DNA
32 Methylation Pathway Genes Sensitize *Arabidopsis* Endosperm to Paternal Genome
33 Dosage. *Plant Cell* **31**: 1563–1578.
- 34 **Sauer, M. and Friml, J.** (2008). In vitro culture of *Arabidopsis* embryos. *Methods Mol. Biol.*
35 *Clifton NJ* **427**: 71–76.
- 36 **Schatlowski, N., Wolff, P., Santos-González, J., Schoft, V., Siretskiy, A., Scott, R.,**
37 **Tamaru, H., and Köhler, C.** (2014). Hypomethylated pollen bypasses the interploidy
38 hybridization barrier in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **26**: 3556–3568.

- 1 **Storme, N.D. and Geelen, D.** (2011). The Arabidopsis Mutant jason Produces Unreduced
2 First Division Restitution Male Gametes through a Parallel/Fused Spindle Mechanism
3 in Meiosis II. *Plant Physiol.* **155**: 1403–1415.
- 4 **Stoute, A.I., Varenko, V., King, G.J., Scott, R.J., and Kurup, S.** (2012). Parental genome
5 imbalance in Brassica oleracea causes asymmetric triploid block. *Plant J.* **71**: 503–
6 516.
- 7 **Stroud, H., Greenberg, M.V.C., Feng, S., Bernatavichute, Y.V., and Jacobsen, S.E.**
8 (2013). Comprehensive analysis of silencing mutants reveals complex regulation of
9 the Arabidopsis methylome. *Cell* **152**: 352–364.
- 10 **Tonosaki, K., Sekine, D., Ohnishi, T., Ono, A., Furuumi, H., Kurata, N., and Kinoshita,**
11 **T.** (2018). Overcoming the species hybridization barrier by ploidy manipulation in the
12 genus *Oryza*. *Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol.* **93**: 534–544.
- 13 **Vu, T.M., Nakamura, M., Calarco, J.P., Susaki, D., Lim, P.Q., Kinoshita, T.,**
14 **Higashiyama, T., Martienssen, R.A., and Berger, F.** (2013). RNA-directed DNA
15 methylation regulates parental genomic imprinting at several loci in Arabidopsis. *Dev.*
16 *Camb. Engl.* **140**: 2953–2960.
- 17 **Wang, G., Jiang, H., Del Toro de León, G., Martinez, G., and Köhler, C.** (2018).
18 Sequestration of a Transposon-Derived siRNA by a Target Mimic Imprinted Gene
19 Induces Postzygotic Reproductive Isolation in Arabidopsis. *Dev. Cell* **46**: 696-705.e4.
- 20 **Wang, M., Zhao, Y., and Zhang, B.** (2015). Efficient Test and Visualization of Multi-Set
21 Intersections. *Sci. Rep.* **5**: 16923.
- 22 **Wang, Z., Butel, N., Santos-González, J., Simon, L., Wärdig, C., and Köhler, C.** (2021).
23 Transgenerational effect of mutants in the RNA-directed DNA methylation pathway
24 on the triploid block in Arabidopsis. *Genome Biol.* **22**: 141.
- 25 **Wolff, P., Jiang, H., Wang, G., Santos-González, J., and Köhler, C.** (2015). Paternally
26 expressed imprinted genes establish postzygotic hybridization barriers in Arabidopsis
27 thaliana. *eLife* **4**.
- 28 **Xiao, W., Brown, R.C., Lemmon, B.E., Harada, J.J., Goldberg, R.B., and Fischer, R.L.**
29 (2006). Regulation of Seed Size by Hypomethylation of Maternal and Paternal
30 Genomes. *Plant Physiol.* **142**: 1160–1168.

31

32