

Structural and Vibrational Study of CsSbGe3O9, a New Germanate in the ASbGe3O9 Family (A = Alkali Metal)

Monique Tillard, Abel Haidoux, Dominique Granier, Léa Daenens, Pascale

Armand

► To cite this version:

Monique Tillard, Abel Haidoux, Dominique Granier, Léa Daenens, Pascale Armand. Structural and Vibrational Study of CsSbGe3O9, a New Germanate in the ASbGe3O9 Family (A = Alkali Metal). Inorganic Chemistry, 2021, 60 (14), pp.10361 - 10370. 10.1021/acs.inorgchem.1c00747 . hal-03293686

HAL Id: hal-03293686 https://hal.science/hal-03293686

Submitted on 23 Aug 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Structural and vibrational study of $CsSbGe_3O_9$, a new germanate in the $ASbGe_3O_9$ family (A = alkali metal).

Monique Tillard^o, Abel Haidoux, Dominique Granier,

Léa Daenens, Pascale Armand*^{, o}

ICGM, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Montpellier, France

Corresponding author

*E-mail: pascale.armand@umontpellier.fr ; Tel: +33 (0)4 67 14 33 19

^o ORCID: P. Armand 0000-0001-8921-5427, M. Tillard 0000-0002-0609-7224

Abstract:

The germanate CsSbGe₃O₉, grown spontaneously *via* the high-temperature solution method from Cs₂Mo₄O₁₃ used as a flux, crystallizes in the orthorhombic system a = 12.3636(2), b = 13.8521(2), c = 31.4824(5) Å, V = 5391.73(2) Å³. Its structure, determined from single-crystal X-ray diffraction data, is most probably non-centrosymmetric despite our choice to report it in the centro-symmetric maximal supergroup *Pnma* D_{2h}^{16} (n° 62) in which agreement factors RI = 0.0371 and wR2 = 0.0706 (all data) were obtained. The unit cell contains 24 formulas CsSbGe₃O₉. The 3-dimensional network is built up with regular germanate tetrahedra at nine crystallographically independent Ge sites. The Sb atoms (four independent positions) adopt octahedral coordination with O atoms and the Cs⁺ cations are located in the channels of the 3-D network. A more in-depth analysis of the structure of CsSbGe₃O₉ is carried out in the light of the structures previously determined for the compounds $ASbGe_3O_9$ (A = K, Rb) having the same chemical formula but significantly different atomic arrangements. The structural characteristics are discussed related to literature and the nature of the monovalent cation A^+ .

KEYWORDS: oxides, crystal growth, X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, crystal structure

I. Introduction

In our search for new oxide materials with a non-centrosymmetric structure for piezoelectric and non-linear optical applications, we took an interest first in the germanium dioxide GeO₂ which adopts α -quartz-like structure and later in some ternary oxides ¹⁻⁴. The ternary phases *ASbGe*₃O₉ with *A* = K, Rb display a structural relationship with the Benitoite-type structure associated with the mineral BaTiSi₃O₉ ⁵⁻⁷. The *A*BX₃O₉ ternary oxides of Benitoite-type are made up of X₃O₉ cyclic units with X = Si, Ge. They include a post-transition or main group element B that can easily adopt octahedral coordination such as Ti, Ge, Sn, Ta... and a large mono or divalent cation taken from alkaline or alkaline earth as K, Rb, Ba, or even Tl (8-12). Synthetic germanates isostructural with the natural Benitoite BaTiSi₃O₉ (*P*Gc2 space group) are few to be reported in the literature ^{5, 13, 14}.

In earlier crystallographic works dealing with X-ray diffraction studies of flux-grown singlecrystals, we have shown that KSbGe₃O₉ is isostructural to Benitoite while, despite many similarities, the compound RbSbGe₃O₉ is not isostructural ^{5, 6}. In this context, it seemed very attractive to establish the crystal structure of CsSbGe₃O₉, hitherto unknown. This is the first reason why attempts have been made to synthesize this phase by the same slow cooling method using the flux technique. Besides, having good quality crystals is also useful to explore the vibrational characteristics and, in this particular case, to complement the study of the oxides of the ASbGe₃O₉ series with A = K, Rb, Cs and assess the role played by the monovalent cation A^+ in this family of structures.

This paper presents the synthesis of sub-millimeter-sized crystals having the chemical composition CsSbGe₃O₉, the X-ray crystal structure determination, and a study of their optical properties in the visible range through IR and Raman spectroscopy. The crystallographic and vibrational experimental data are analyzed comparatively to those

already obtained for KSbGe₃O₉ and RbSbGe₃O₉ with the idea of better understand how the identity of the alkaline element may influence the compound formation and crystallization.

II. Experimental section

The high-temperature flux technique with the slow cooling crystal growth method was used to spontaneously nucleate crystals of CsSbGe₃O₉ using Cs₂Mo₄O₁₃ as a flux. The starting reagents were commercial Alfa Aesar products MoO₃ (99.95%), Cs₂CO₃ (99%), and Yb₂O₃ (99.9%). They were used as received. The flux Cs₂Mo₄O₁₃ (100g) was prepared from powders Cs₂CO₃ (38.5 g) and MoO₃ (67.1g) *via* a solid-state reaction at 465 °C for two weeks. A total amount of 15g of an intimate mixture of 3g of Yb₂O₃ and 12g of Cs₂Mo₄O₁₃ in a weight ratio of 20/80 was added in a 5 cm³ Pt crucible with a lid. The crucible was placed in an electrical furnace and heated at 970 °C in the air for 6 hours to ensure the complete dissolution of the solute. Then, the temperature was slowly lowered at a rate of 1 °/h down to 745 °C for crystal growth, then the furnace was turned off.

The compound was characterized using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), powder Xray diffraction (XRD), and room-temperature infrared and non-polarized Raman spectroscopy. Details on the experimental procedures can be found in a previous paper ⁶.

The analysis by Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy of the grown crystals, using an Electron Scanning Environmental Microscope (ESEM) equipped with an Inca micro analyzer (Oxford Instruments), determined the content of Cs, Ge, and Sb elements. Three independent measures were made at the surface of the same as-grown crystal in order to check the homogeneity of the results.

Crystals with suitable quality and size were selected for X-ray diffraction experiments on a Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer (Mo micro source and Photon II CPAD detector). The data collected were handled with the Apex suite ¹⁵ and the structural solutions searched and refined with the SHELX programs ^{16, 17}.

III. Results

3.1. Compound characterization.

The visually colorless and transparent platelets with sub-millimeter sizes that were obtained from the melt have hexagonal shapes and sharp edges. Their chemical EDX analysis gave atomic concentrations of 6.8 ± 0.2 at.% for Sb, 6.9 ± 0.2 at.% for Cs, and 21.1 ± 0.2 at.% for Ge. With a Cs:Sb:Ge ratio of 1:1:3, the nominal composition is CsSbGe₃O₉. No phase transition was observed during the DSC experiment where 44.3 mg of crystals were heated from room temperature, at a heating rate of 5 °/min, up to 1200 °C. The melting point was detected at 1080±1 °C, which indicates good thermal stability of the material in a large range of temperatures.

The room temperature XRD pattern recorded for a powdered sample of $CsSbGe_3O_9$ is rather complex and does not match any reference in the X'Pert HighScore database ¹⁸.

3.2. Structure determination.

Two as-grown crystals, selected under polarized light for singularity, were used in this structural study. For each of them, the diffracted data are complex, and indexing the reciprocal lattice was not obvious. In the first stages, taking into account only the "main" diffraction spots allowed an indexation of the data with orthogonal axes a = 12.4, b = 13.8, c = 5.2 Å. Such data processing only led to incomplete/incoherent structural models from which no satisfactory refinement could be achieved. Subsequently, a new indexation was carried out by taking into account all the diffraction spots, which required to multiply by 6 the *c*-parameter. Thus, the data sets were indexed within an orthorhombic lattice of parameters a = 12.3636(2), b = 13.8521(2), c = 31.4824(5) Å (crystal 1) and a = 12.3813(3), b = 13.8699(5),

c = 31.5109(8) Å (crystal 2). Using these lattice dimensions and symmetry, a very good profile fitting was obtained for the XRD powder pattern recorded at room temperature in standard conditions (PANalytical X'Pert Pro II diffractometer, Cu K α radiation, 10 - 50° angular 2 θ range) for the CsSbGe₃O₉ compound. The main parameters, related to the recording of diffraction data and the refinement of the structural model, are collected in Table 1.

System	Orthorhombic						
Space group	Pnma (n° 62)						
Temperature, K	298(2)						
Unit cell dimensions, Å	a = 12.3636(2)	a = 12.3813(3)					
	b = 13.8521(2)	b = 13.8699(5)					
	c = 31.4824(5)	c = 31.5109(8)					
Volume, Å ³	5391.73(2)	5411.3(3)					
Z	24						
Calculated density, Mg/m ³	4.556	4.540					
F(000)	6576						
Diffractometer, source	Bruker D8 Venture, X-rays						
Radiation, wavelength (Å)	Κα Μο, 0.7107						
Absorption coefficient, mm^{-1}	16.929						
Absorption correction	multi-scan SADABS						
Crystal size, mm	0.127×0.069×0.037	0.131×0.088×0.067					
Theta range, °	2.095 - 31.000	2.092 - 31.000					
Index ranges	$-17 \le h \le 17, -20 \le k \le 20, -45 \le l \le 45$						
Reflections collected	107272	138961					
Independent reflections	8882 ($R_{int} = 0.0295$)	8908 ($R_{int} = 0.0330$)					
Refinement type	full-matrix least-squares on F ²						
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.106	1.029					
Final <i>R1</i> (I > $2\sigma(I)$)	0.0324	0.0198					
wR2 (I > $2\sigma(I)$)	0.0687	0.0449					
<i>R1</i> , w <i>R2</i> indices (all data)	0.0371, 0.0706	0.0237, 0.0462					
Extinction coefficient	0.00076(2)	0.000311(6)					
Fourier residuals $\Delta \rho$, e.Å ⁻³	3.204 / -3.747	3.250 / -2.967					

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for CsSbGe₃O₉.

Several orthorhombic space groups were considered to find the structural solution. The extinction conditions identified in the two recorded crystal data sets (0kl, k+l = 2n+1 and hk0, h = 2n+1) are fully compatible with *Pnma* (n° 62) and *Pna2*₁ (n° 33) space groups. Nevertheless, the structure solution was also searched within other space groups as *Pmn2*₁ (31), *Pmc2*₁ (26), and *P2*₁2₁2₁ (19) in which extinction conditions are less severe. After the data have been corrected for the effects of absorption, the refinement of the structural solutions in each of these groups was carried out to fairly good *R1* values close to 3.5%. Note that anisotropic displacement parameters have been refined for all the atoms.

For both sets of data, the structural refinement within the space groups Pnma (*a b c* setting), $Pmn2_1$ (*b a* \bar{c} setting) and $Pmc2_1$ (*b c a* setting) was slightly better than in $Pna2_1$ (\bar{a} *c b* setting) and $P2_12_12_1$ (*a b c* setting). Refinement of the structural model within the centrosymmetric Pnma space group converged to R1 factors of 3.26 (crystal 1) and 3.54% (crystal 2) but residual density peaks of somewhat high intensity (~6.3 - 6.7 e/Å³) are observed very close to the heaviest atoms. Very similar characteristics were observed for the refinements in the space groups $Pna2_1$ and $P2_12_12_1$ but these residuals are significantly reduced, to about 3 e/Å³, when the resolution limit is changed to $\theta \leq 32$. With the only extinction conditions h00, h = 2n+1, 0k0, k = 2n+1 and 00l, l = 2n+1, the space group $P2_12_12_1$ could be dismissed.

On the other hand, slightly weaker residual peaks $(3.8 - 5.1 \text{ e/Å}^3)$ were found near the Cs positions in the density difference maps when the structure is refined in the noncentrosymmetric space groups $Pmn2_1$ and $Pmc2_1$ leading to R1 factors in the range 3.43 - 3.56%. Thus, if we consider the best density residuals and the best reliability factors, and even if it is impossible to exclude the other space groups, the quality of the refinements (standard deviations on the parameters of the atom ...) would also be in favor of these two space groups which seem the most likely for the compound. All these results tend to indicate noncentrosymmetry for the structure of CsSbGe₃O₉. Yet, the careful inspection of statistical tests on diffracted intensities, in which the heavy atoms contribute to most, shows a trend but does not provide clear evidence of an inversion center. Moreover, the Flack parameter which refines to values close to 0.5 could indicate an inversion twinning leading then to the centrosymmetric *Pnma* space group. Under these conditions, it is quite hard to decide and state what is the true symmetry and the space group to assign to the structure. Finally, because we cannot unequivocally attest to the lack of inversion center in CsSbGe₃O₉ and we want to avoid a bad choice of space group, we decide deliberately to give its structural description in the centrosymmetric space group *Pnma* (n° 62).

The results of the refinement in this space group, using the data sets collected for each of the two crystals, are available at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center ¹⁹. The corresponding CIF files can be obtained indicating the following numbers: CSD 2068035 for crystal 1 (a = 12.3636, b = 13.8521, c = 31.4824 Å) and CSD 2068034 for crystal 2 (a = 12.3813, b = 13.8699, c = 31.5109 Å).

3.3. Structural description.

The structural model is built up with 49 crystallographically independent atoms of which four antimony atoms (1 × 4*a* (0 0 0), 1 × 4*b* (0 0 $\frac{1}{2}$) and 2 × 8*d* (*x y z*) sites), six cesium atoms at 4*c* (*x* $\frac{1}{4}$ *z*) sites, nine germanium atoms at 8*d* general sites and 30 oxygen atoms (6 × 4*c* and 24 × 8*d* sites). The orthorhombic unit cell contains 336 atoms, which corresponds to 24 units of formula CsSbGe₃O₉ and agrees with the EDX measurements performed on the crystals. The structure representation given in Figure 1 has been drawn using the program Diamond ²⁰. It shows that the CsSbGe₃O₉ structure consists of a three-dimensional arrangement of polyhedra SbO₆ and GeO₄, in which three-dimensional channels host the Cs⁺ cations. The four independent Sb^V atoms of the unit cell are 6-fold coordinated through Sb–O bonds in

the range 1.953 to 1.972 Å, Table 2. The average of the six Sb–O distances of an octahedron

only varies from 1.963 to 1.966 Å for the four SbO₆ units. The O–Sb–O angle values, in the range 177.8 - 180.0° (*trans*) and 84.2 - 96.2° (*cis*), give a measure of the distortion from the ideal octahedral geometry with point group O_h . Each SbO₆ octahedron shares all its vertices with six GeO₄ tetrahedra, Figure 1.



Figure 1. The orthorhombic structure of $CsSbGe_3O_9$ projected on *a*- the (011) plane, *b*- the (101) plane, see Figure 8g for the (110) projection. The SbO₆ octahedra (brown) are interconnected through GeO₄ tetrahedra (blue) within a 3-D framework. The Cs⁺ cations are drawn as green spheres.

The 4-fold coordinated germanium Ge^{IV} atoms (9 independent atoms) are involved in Ge–O bonds ranging from 1.724 to 1.766 Å within more or less regular GeO₄ tetrahedral units (1.738 - 1.745 Å for the average of Ge–O distances within a tetrahedron), Table 2. Each GeO₄ tetrahedron shares its vertices with two GeO₄ and two SbO₆ units, Figure 1.

Sb1	$2 \times O8$	1.965(2)	Sb3	O20	1.953(2)	Sb4	O12	1.961(2)
	$2 \times O6$	1.968(2)		O4	1.958(2)		09	1.961(2)
	$2 \times O3$	1.969(2)		015	1.964(2)		O24	1.965(2)
				01	1.970(2)		019	1.968(2)
Sb2	$2 \times O14$	1.957(2)		O17	1.971(2)		05	1.969(2)
	$2 \times O2$	1.967(2)		O10	1.972(2)		07	1.971(2)
	$2 \times O11$	1.972(2)						
			Ge4	O5	1.736(2)	Ge7	O28	1.729(1)
Ge1	O9	1.726(2)		O10	1.738(2)		O24	1.730(2)
	O20	1.729(2)		O18	1.745(1)		03	1.736(2)
	O26	1.746(2)		O26	1.755(2)		O25	1.757(2)
	O16	1.757(2)						
				015	1.724(2)	Ge8	O17	1.737(2)
Ge2	O14	1.726(2)		O12	1.739(2)		O2	1.738(2)
	O6	1.736(2)		O22	1.752(2)		013	1.739(2)
	O25	1.745(2)		O21	1.754(2)		O27	1.756(1)
	O27		1.757(2)					
			Ge6	019	1.733(2)	Ge9	O29	1.732(1)
Ge3	O30		1.736(1)	O23	1.740(1)		08	1.733(2)
	O4	1.738(2)		01	1.741(2)		07	1.736(2)
	O11	1.739(2)		016	1.763(2)		O21	1.766(2)
	O22	1.752(2)						

Table 2. Main bond lengths (Å) for CsSbGe₃O₉.

Consequently, two types of bridging oxygen atoms are encountered in this structure, either between two germanium atoms (Ge–O–Ge) or between a germanium atom and an antimony atom (Ge–O–Sb). The space remaining between the polyhedra is occupied by cesium (Cs⁺ ions) which are surrounded by oxygen neighbors located beyond 3.04 Å (either 7 or 13 for the only distances shorter than 3.80 Å). The tilt in the orientation of the polyhedra along the *c*-direction, easily visible for the SbO₆ octahedra with the structure projection on (*101*) plane given in Figure 1b, accounts for the superstructure mentioned above.

3.4 Optical characterization.

The FT-IR spectrum over the range 450 - 1100 cm^{-1} and the Raman spectrum over the range 100 - 1100 cm^{-1} both registered for CsSbGe₃O₉ at room temperature are given in Figures 2a and 2b, respectively.



Figure 2. Non-polarized infrared transmission (**a**) and Raman (**b**) spectra registered at room temperature for CsSbGe₃O₉. Frequency positions are only given for the main bands.

The main factors that are responsible for the features of the spectra are the vibrations of both GeO_4 tetrahedra and SbO_6 coordinated groups, the deviation of these polyhedra away from the perfect T_d and O_h symmetry, and the interactions between groups in the unit cell. The vibration modes can be assigned to movements of the GeO_4 tetrahedra and lattice modes including motions of Sb^V in its octahedral coordination.

Classically, the Raman and infrared modes in the range of $600 - 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ are assigned in this structure to the *v*(Ge–O) stretching motions of both the GeO₄ tetrahedra and their

interconnecting Ge–O–Ge bridges. The bending vibrations of GeO₄ groups and Ge–O–Ge bridges are expected at lower frequencies ^{21, 22}. The stretching vibration modes of the SbO₆ octahedra are encountered in the wavenumber range 450 - 850 cm⁻¹ while both symmetric and antisymmetric bending vibration modes of the octahedral units are observed in the wavenumber range 250 - 600 cm^{-1 23 - 26}. Despite the occurrence of mode-coupling and mode-mixing which hardens the separation of lattice modes that involves the Sb and Ge units, the oxygen bending motion in SbO₆ octahedra should be observed in the 400 - 500 cm⁻¹ domain. At this stage, polarized Raman experimental data associated with theoretical calculations would be greatly helpful to go further in the identification and assignment of vibrational modes in the entire 50 - 1000 cm⁻¹ domain for CsSbGe₃O₉.

IV. Discussion

A more in-depth analysis of the structure of the new compound $CsSbGe_3O_9$ deserves to be carried out in the light of the structures previously determined for the iso-formulated compounds $ASbGe_3O_9$ (A = K, Rb) ^{5, 6}. Although there is a metric parentage for the lattices, important differences in the structural arrangements must be underlined. Moreover, a general comparison of this compound family with other types of germanate structures seems useful.

4.1 Structural hierarchy in the *A*SbGe₃O₉ family.

It is interesting to examine comparatively the structural arrangements within the antimonygermanate family $ASbGe_3O_9$ (A = K, Rb, Cs). The tendency towards the highest symmetry (principle of symmetry) is often mentioned as a goal to be achieved for crystalline solids, so the relation of symmetry that exists between their structures is worth investigating ^{35, 36}. In addition to the strictly geometry-based analysis of the atomic arrangements, they can be described including the relationship between their respective space groups. This can be shown graphically with a tree of group-subgroup relations ^{35 - 37}. The compound KSbGe₃O₉ is described within the hexagonal symmetry and adopts the Benitoite-type structure. It crystallizes in the non-centrosymmetric space group $P\overline{6}c2$ with lattice dimensions a = 6.94 and c = 9.99 Å (V = 419 Å³) (5). Also displaying a non-centrosymmetric structure, RbSbGe₃O₉ conforms to the lower P31c trigonal symmetry in a three times larger lattice, $3 \times V$, with a = 12.14 and c = 9.96 Å (6). This supercell can be obtained from the basic cell of KSbGe₃O₉ by applying the transformation operation (1 $\overline{1}$ 0 1 20001) as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Metric relationships between the KSbGe₃O₉ hexagonal base cell (*V*, black) and the RbSbGe₃O₉ trigonal (3 \times *V*, blue) and CsSbGe₃O₉ orthorhombic (3 \times *c*, 12 \times *V*, red) supercells.

By looking now at the symmetry, the trigonal structure of the Rb-germanate can be considered to derive from that of the K-germanate, itself from $P6_3/mcm$ supergroup as represented in Figure 4. This diagram illustrates the reduction in symmetry of the space group from a highly symmetrical structure-type or "aristotype". In the case of germanate materials studied here, the symmetry $P6_3/mcm$ is the highest symmetry for which, to our knowledge, no experimental example has been found up to now. Starting from $P6_3/mcm$, the first *translationengleiche* transition of index 2 leads to $P\overline{6}c2$, then the unit cell is enlarged through a *klassengleiche* transition of index 3 (cell volume multiplied by 3) to $P\overline{6}2c$, and finally a second *translationengleiche* transition of index 2 leads to the symmetry P31c. This correlation

path passing through the structure of KSbGe₃O₉ towards that of RbSbGe₃O₉ establishes a symmetry relationship of the group-subgroup type which allows to refer to a structural hierarchy even it includes a step for which no example is known.



Figure 4. Group-subgroup scheme in the Bärnighausen formalism mentioning *klassengleiche* (k) and *translationengleiche* (t) indices, symmetry and unit cell transformations.

The structure of CsSbGe₃O₉ given here in the orthorhombic space group *Pnma* (see § 3.2), is indicative of a further lowering in symmetry. With a = 12.36, b = 13.85, c = 31.48 Å, the supercell $(12 \times V)$ of CsSbGe₃O₉ can be obtained from the basic cell of KSbGe₃O₉ by applying the $(1\ 2\ 0\ 2\ 0\ 0\ 0\ \overline{3})$ transformation matrix (Figure 3).

In this case, it is not so easy to establish a relation to formalize this new decrease in symmetry from the trigonal Rb- to the orthorhombic Cs-germanate, especially since the atomic arrangements are also very different (rings *vs.* chains). It may therefore be inappropriate to speak here of a hierarchical relationship and preferable to see only a metric relationship between unit cells.

The structures of the $ASbGe_3O_9$ (A = K, Rb, Cs) room temperature phases are built up with the same type of polyhedra (GeO₄ tetrahedra and SbO₆ octahedra) assembled in a 3-D openframework displaying large channels. In the structures of compounds with A = K and Rb, a sort of oligomerization of GeO₄ tetrahedra should be mentioned with the existence of trimeric units (Ge₃O₉)⁶⁻, Figure 5. These trimers can be viewed as a central Ge₃O₃ ring, perfectly planar in the hexagonal structure of KSbGe₃O₉ (5), with six outwardly directed Ge–O bonds on each side of the mean plane of the ring (approximately the *ab* plane).



Figure 5. The $(Ge_3O_9)^{6-}$ trimer with its Ge_3O_3 central-ring and its six Ge–O external bonds.

Even if the K- and Rb-structures are similar by the general organization of the polyhedra, some distortions occur in RbSbGe₃O₉ (3 × *V*) which no longer complies with the hexagonal symmetry and thus, with the Benitoite type. In the hexagonal $P\overline{6}c^2$ structure, 1 × Ge and 1 × O atoms located on special positions 6k ($x \ y \ \frac{1}{4}$) form planar rings on mirror planes perpendicular to the hexagonal axis (Figure 6, left). Instead, central rings in the trigonal P31cstructure involve 3 × Ge and 3 × O placed at general independent positions 6c ($x \ y \ z$), so that their *z*-coordinate can move out of the plane $z = \frac{1}{4}$ and cause distortions of the ring (Figure 6, right).



Figure 6. Geometry of the Ge₃O₃ central ring with respect to the mean plane constructed with the atoms of the ring ($\approx ab$ plane): *left*-planar in KSbGe₃O₉, *right*-twisted in RbSbGe₃O₉.

The deviation from flatness of the Ge₃O₃ central-ring in the Rb-compound typically reflects this symmetry lowering which allows twists in the position of the GeO₄ units and modifications in the Ge–O–Ge angles ⁶. Though built up with the same polyhedral units SbO₆ and GeO₄, the structure of CsSbGe₃O₉ ($12 \times V$) stands out from those of compounds with A =K and Rb. As substantial changes take place in the organization of these polyhedra in CsSbGe₃O₉, it is therefore that only a metric relationship exists between its lattice parameters and those of the other two compounds. The polyhedra still share all their vertices, the SbO₆ with six tetrahedra and the GeO₄ with two tetrahedra and two octahedra, but there is no more (Ge₃O₉) oligomer. The arrangement of polyhedra within the unit cell is rather regular but variations in their orientation along the *c*-axis, Figure 1, account for the large dimension of this lattice parameter. The structure of CsSbGe₃O₉ is characterized by one-dimensional chains of GeO₄ tetrahedra, roughly aligned along the *b*-axis of the orthorhombic lattice, Figure 7, and cross-linked along the *c*-axis through SbO₆ octahedra, Figure 1.



Figure 7. The infinite chains of GeO₄ tetrahedra in CsSbGe₃O₉ (inset in LiGeGe₃O₉²⁷).

4.2 The ASbGe₃O₉ compounds amongst germanate materials.

To place the discussion in a more general context, let us compare the ASbGe₃O₉ compounds with the germanate family of formula A_2 Ge₄O₉ ^{27, 28}. On the strict basis of their formula, the A_2 Ge₄O₉ compounds, or else A_2 GeGe₃O₉, must be considered with a higher alkaline content than ASbGe₃O₉ in which the formal replacement of Sb^V by Ge^{IV} would lead to AGeGe₃O₉. However, since both A_2 GeGe₃O₉ and ASbGe₃O₉ structures consist of tetrahedral-octahedral three-dimensional open-frameworks where the alkaline cations are hosted in voids between the polyhedra, the comparison can be further continued. The symmetry relationships that connect these compounds (space groups deriving from a common supergroup) are shown in Figure 4. Most A_2 GeGe₃O₉ structures also contain three-membered units (Ge₃O₉)⁶⁻ connected through isolated GeO₆ octahedra ²⁸, similarly as found in the ASbGe₃O₉ (A = K, Rb) structures *via* SbO₆ octahedra ^{5, 6}, Figures 8e and 8f. This is the case of compounds A_2 GeGe₃O₉ that are formed with the alkaline elements Na, K, and Rb ²⁸ and crystallize in the trigonal $P\overline{3}c1$ symmetry. Instead, CsSbGe₃O₉ displays the orthorhombic symmetry (Figure 8g), and its structural resemblance with Li₂GeGe₃O₉ ($P_{21}ca$, Figure 8a), recently described for ferroelectric behavior, deserves to be reported ²⁷. The infinite chains of GeO₄ tetrahedra are corrugated (wrinkle), cross-linked by SbO₆ octahedra in CsSbGe₃O₉, and they are "crumpled crankshaft-like", and interconnected *via* GeO₆ octahedra in Li₂GeGe₃O₉, see inset in Figure 7. Thus, the crystal symmetry increases ($P2_1ca \rightarrow P\overline{3}1c$) in A_2 GeGe₃O₉ ^{27, 28} with the size of the alkaline cation from Li⁺ to Na⁺, K⁺ or Rb⁺ and, on the contrary, decreases from $P\overline{6}2c$ to P31cand next to *Pnma* (see § 3.2) in the *A*SbGe₃O₉ family by changing K⁺ by Rb⁺ then by Cs⁺. The first step in lowering the symmetry, from hexagonal to trigonal, is associated with the disappearance of planarity for the Ge₃O₃ central ring.

Looking along the *c*-axis, two layers of octahedra and two layers of tetrahedra are alternately stacked in the trigonal lattices of RbSbGe₃O₉ (6) and A_2 GeGe₃O₉ (A = Na, K, Rb) tetragermanates ^{28, 29}. Likewise, the hexagonal unit cell of the Benitoite-type KSbGe₃O₉ structure ⁵ contains two distinct layers of (Ge₃O₉)⁶⁻ oligomers with Ge₃O₃ rings. However, the A_2 GeGe₃O₉ structure differs from those of ASbGe₃O₉ compounds by an offset of these layers perpendicular to the *c*-axis, so that the Ge₃O₃ rings from two successive layers no longer overlap ^{10, 29} as shown in the structure projections, Figure 8b.

At this stage, an analogy with the Wadeite structure has also to be pointed out. This structure may be placed on a new branch of the group-subgroup tree given in Figure 4 as it also results from the highest $P6_3/mcm$ symmetry through a t2 *translationengleiche*. This natural silicate, K₂ZrSi₃O₉, displays layers based on trimeric units of SiO₄ tetrahedra, connected *via* ZrO₆ octahedra, Figure 8d ³⁰. The successive layers of tetrahedra are also staggered, such as the Si₃O₃ central rings do not overlap, but rings are planar in agreement with the $P6_3/m$ hexagonal symmetry of the Wadeite structure ²⁸⁻³⁰. To our knowledge, no germanate has been reported to date with this structure. On the contrary, several germanates and silicates containing cyclic trimers X₃O₉ (X = Si, Ge) have been described either within the BaTiSi₃O₉ Benitoite-type structure or within the BaGeGe₃O₉ tetragermanate structural type ^{5, 10, 28, 29}. As shown in

Figures 8c and 8e, these structural types bear very strong resemblances, and details about their relationships can be found in earlier works ^{10, 14, 31, 32}. These structures highly depend on the relative size of the constituting elements as reported particularly for the $AGeX_3O_9$ (A= Ba and X = Si, Ge) family where the geometrical ratio of the ionic radii of B and X has been stated to condition the relative stability of the structures ¹⁰.



Figure 8. Various structures built with XO₆ octahedra (brown), MO₄ tetrahedra (blue) and electropositive cations (yellow, green or violet). *a*- Li₂GeGe₃O₉ (orthorhombic ²⁷, *P*2₁*ca*, (*101*) projection), *b*- *A*₂GeGe₃O₉ *A* = Na, K, Rb (trigonal ²⁸, $P\overline{3}c1$), *c*- BaGeGe₃O₉ (trigonal ^{11, 32}, *P*321), *d*- K₂ZrSi₃O₉ Wadeite-type ^{30, 33} (hexagonal, *P*6₃/*m*), *e*- KSbGe₃O₉ (hexagonal ⁵, $P\overline{6}c2$, Benitoite-type), *f*- RbSbGe₃O₉ (trigonal ⁶, *P*31*c*), *g*- CsSbGe₃O₉ (orthorhombic, (*110*) projection ^{this work}). The representations were drawn from the structure data available in the literature.

4.3 Local structural aspects.

Although the geometry of the SbO₆ and GeO₄ polyhedra deviates respectively from the ideal O_h and T_d symmetry, the mean distances remain close to 1.95 Å for Sb–O and 1.74 Å for Ge–O along with the *A*SbGe₃O₉ (*A* = K, Rb, Cs) family. In the compounds with *A* = K or Rb, the Ge–O bonds in the Ge₃O₃ central rings are a little longer than the outwardly directed Ge–O bonds towards SbO₆ octahedra. In KSbGe₃O₉, these are atoms on a mirror plane *m* (at *x y* ^{1/4} or *x y* ^{3/4} special positions) which form the Ge₃O₃ ring as in the Wadeite-type structure ^{30, 33}. Instead, in RbSbGe₃O₉, the mean plane through the atoms of the central-ring (at general *x y z* positions) is tilted by 2.6° to the (*001*) plane, a value which remains rather weak compared to that in the *A*₂GeGe₃O₉ (11, 32) and by ~22 - 25° in the *A*₂Ge₄O₉ (A = Na, K, Rb, Tl, Ag) tetragermanate structures ⁸⁻¹².

Another way to evaluate the structural distortion is to measure the Ge–O–Ge angles inside the Ge₃O₃ rings. Reported in the range 123 - 129° for the trigonal A_2 GeGe₃O₉ compounds ^{28, 29, 31}, around 125° in BaGeGe₃O₉ ^{11, 32}, the Ge–O–Ge angle is 110.7° in KSbGe₃O₉ ⁵ and 109.6° in RbSbGe₃O₉ ⁶, close to the ideal T_d geometry. Note for comparison the Si–O–Si angle of 107.2° in the Wadeite structure ³³. In other words, the distortions within the (Ge₃O₉)⁶⁻ trimers and the subsequent changes in the Ge–O–Ge angles accommodate the size of the alkaline cations ²⁸. Accordingly, the angle values of O–Ge–O bridges between outwardly directed Ge–O bonds, ranging between 110° and 112°, are lower than the corresponding angles measured close to 125° in the tetragermanate structures ²⁸.

Regarding now the alkaline cations, they are enclosed in regular cages made of four trimers in the Wadeite-type structure. It has been specified that the enthalpies of formation become more exothermic with the size of the alkaline cation, thus the stability of the compound increases ³³. In the A_2 GeGe₃O₉ and BaGeGe₃O₉ tetragermanates, the cation environment is less regular

(i.e. with less uniform distribution of distances around the A or Ba position) due to the twist and the tilt of the Ge₃O₃ rings. Conversely, in the ASbGe₃O₉ family, the most regular environment around the A cation is observed for KSbGe₃O₉, where the overlapping of the Ge_3O_3 central rings allows the formation of channels. It becomes less regular for A = Rb, due to the ring deformation (atoms slightly out of the *ab* plane), and distorted in CsSbGe₃O₉, which still contains channels but no more three-membered cycles. The size, but also the polarizability, of the A element present as a cation, is responsible for these structural modifications. The effective cationic radius increases from K^+ to Rb^+ and Cs^+ (1.52, 1.66, and 1.81 Å respectively for *CN6* environments ³⁴), and the polarizing power changes accordingly. Nevertheless, as Cs⁺ cations are surrounded by 12 to 15 oxygen neighbors (between 3.2 and 4.1 Å), it is preferable to consider the CN12 value of 2.02 Å for the ionic radius. Likely, the ASbGe₃O₉ homologs with A = Li or Na can never be obtained because the Li⁺ and Na⁺ cations are too small (ionic radii 0.90 and 1.16 Å for CN6, respectively) and too polarizing. On the contrary, the large and weakly polarizing Cs^+ cation, which lies at a distance of 3.04 Å from its closest oxygen neighbors in CsSbGe₃O₉ (vs. 2.87 - 2.92 Å for K^+ and $Rb^{+5, 6}$), provides the GeO₄ units an additional degree of freedom to position themselves relative to the surrounding tetrahedra. Therefore, the GeO₄ tetrahedra form chains (~ along b-axis) interconnected through SbO₆ octahedra within a 3-D framework with channels occurring along the *c*-axis, Figures 1 and 7.

Furthermore, bicyclic $(Ge_2O_3)_2Sb$ units, Figure 9, are present in the orthorhombic CsSbGe₃O₉ structure and the mean plane through the atoms of the SbGe₂O₃ rings is almost the equatorial plane of the SbO₆ octahedron. These units can be also formulated as Sb(Ge₂O₃)₂O₁₀ by taking into account the outward connections, $2 \times Sb$ –O and $8 \times Ge$ –O.



Figure 9. The bicyclic (Ge₂O₃)₂Sb units in the orthorhombic structure of CsSbGe₃O₉.

4.4 Spectroscopic features.

To close the discussion, let us return to the vibrational signature of $CsSbGe_3O_9$. Its IR and Raman spectra are different from the shape of the spectra obtained for both $KSbGe_3O_9$ and $RbSbGe_3O_9$ compounds, Figure 10.



Figure 10. (a) IR and (b) Raman spectra recorded at room temperature for compounds of the $ASbGe_3O_9$ family, A = K, Rb, Cs.

The 565 and 937 cm⁻¹ frequency bands which are both IR and Raman active in K- and Rbphases, are respectively due to Ge–O–Ge intra-cycle bending motions and to Ge–O symmetric stretching, both occurring in the Ge_3O_3 central-rings ⁵. Both O and Ge atoms participate in these vibration modes. These typical bands attributed to the three-membered rings do not appear in the spectra of the Cs-compound, which agrees with the lack of rings in its structure. Other significant changes can be expected based on the structural differences noted along with the $ASbGe_3O_9$ series and related to both the arrangement and symmetry of the polyhedra. A broadening, or even a splitting, of the bands associated with bending or stretching vibration modes that involve Ge–O–Ge bridges, is expected for CsSbGe₃O₉ as the dispersion of the angular values from 105 to 156° (average value of 133.5°) is slightly higher than in the trigonal structures with A = K and Rb^{5, 6}. Likewise, the Ge–O–Sb angles display a large dispersion in the range 121 to 136° and their average value of 124.6° greatly deviates from the average values of ~134° measured for the K- and Rb- compounds^{5, 6}.

The vibrational differences observed in the IR and Raman spectra of the compound $CsSbGe_3O_9$ and those with the same formula and A = K and Rb find their origin in all the structural specificities underlined above in the discussion part.

V. Conclusion

Transparent and colorless CsSbGe₃O₉ crystals have been spontaneously grown using a hightemperature flux method. No phase transition was observed up to their melting point detected at 1080 °C, which indicates good thermal stability in a large temperature range. The structure, determined from single-crystal X-ray diffraction data is most probably non-centrosymmetric despite our choice to report it in the centrosymmetric maximal supergroup *Pnma*. Physical characterizations as piezoelectric measurements could unambiguously answer this point as soon as CsSbGe₃O₉ single-crystals with a centimeter size could be obtained. The X-ray orthorhombic structure shows a 3-D open-framework built up of GeO₄ tetrahedra and SbO₆ distorted octahedra leading to inter-polyhedral Ge-O-Ge and Ge-O-Sb bridges. With infinite chains of regular tetrahedra GeO₄, it highly differs from the structures of the iso-formulated KSbGe₃O₉ and RbSbGe₃O₉ compounds. The increase in the size of the element *A* leads to a loss of crystal symmetry in the $ASbGe_3O_9$ family, from $P\overline{6}c2$ (K) to P31c (Rb), responsible for the disappearance of the Ge_3O_3 central-ring planarity, and from hexagonal/trigonal (K, Rb) to orthorhombic (Cs) which causes the disappearance of the (Ge₃O₉) trimers. The structural analysis mainly based on geometrical features and supported by literature reports tends to prove that the nature of the alkaline cations and the relative size of the constitutive elements play a dominant role in the formation and the stability of these compounds.

Acknowledgment

The EDX experiments were conducted at the Plateau Technique Microscopie à Balayage at the IEM Institute in Montpellier, France. IR, Raman and DSC measurements were done at the Platform of Analysis and Characterization (PAC) of the Pôle Chimie Balard in Montpellier, France. The X-ray diffraction experiments were done using the X-ray and gamma-ray network of the technological resource of Univ. Montpellier, France.

Supporting information

Table gathering the main bond lengths and angles around Sb and Ge atoms in CsSbGe₃O₉.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by the French Ministry of Higher Education, Scientific Research and Innovation, and the French National Center of Scientific Research (CNRS).

REFERENCES

(1) Lignie A., Ménaert B., Armand P., Peña A., Debray J., Papet P., Top-Seeded Solution Growth and Structural Characterizations of α -Quartz-like Structure GeO₂ Single Crystal, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, **2013**, 13 4220-4225.

(2) Papet P., Bath M., Haidoux A., Ruffle B., Menaert B., Peña A., Debray J., Armand P., High-temperature piezoelectric properties of flux-grown α -GeO₂ single crystal, *J. Appl. Phys.*, **2019**, 126, 144102.

(3) Hermet P., Fraysse G., Lignie A., Armand P., Papet P., Density Functional Theory Predictions of the Nonlinear Optical Properties in α -Quartz-type Germanium Oxide, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2012**, 116, 8692-8698.

(4) Lignie A., Granier D., Armand P., Haines J, Papet P., Modulation of quartz-like GeO₂ structure by Si substitution: an X-ray diffraction study of $Ge_{1-x}Si_xO_2$ ($0 \le x \le 0.2$) flux-grown single-crystals, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, **2012**, 45, 272-278.

(5) Armand P., Hermet P., Tillard M., Haidoux A., Granier D., The open-framework structure of KSbGe₃O₉ flux-grown crystals investigated by X-ray diffraction, vibrational spectroscopy, and DFT calculations, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **2021**, 295, 121925. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2020.121925

(6) Armand P., Tillard M., Haidoux A., Daenens L., Single-crystal growth, structure, and optical properties of a new non-centrosymmetric germanate, RbSbGe₃O₉, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **2020**, 286, 121290. DOI: 10.1016/j.jssc.2020.121290

(7) Zachariasen W. H., The Crystal Structure of Benitoite, BaTiSi₃O₉, *Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater.*, **1930**, 74, 139-146.

(8) Choisnet J., Deschanvres A., Tarte P., Spectres vibrationnels des silicates et germanates renfermant des anneaux, M_3O_9 (M=Si, Ge)-I. Attribution des fréquences caractéristiques de l'anneau M_3O_9 dans les composés de type bénitoïte, wadéite et tétragermanate, *Spectrochim. Acta A*, 1975, 31, 1023-1034.

(9) Choisnet J., Deschanvres A., Tarte P., Spectres vibrationnels des silicates et germanates renfermant des anneaux, M_3O_9 (M=Si, Ge)-II. Etude des spectres vibrationnels des silicogermanates de type bénitoïte : mise en évidence des anneaux mixtes (GeSi₂O₉) et (Ge₂SiO₉), *Spectrochim. Acta A*, 1976, 32, 57-66.

(10) Goreaud M., Choisnet J., Deschanvres A., Raveau B., Synthèse et évolution structurale de nouveaux silicogermanates $BaGe(Ge_{3-x}Si_x)O_9$ de type benitoïte et de structure apparentée, *Mat. Res. Bull.*, **1973**, 8, 1205-1214.

(11) Robbins C., Perloff A., Block S., Crystal Structure of BaGe(Ge₃O₉) and its Relation to Benitoite, *J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand.*, **1966**, 70A, 385-391.

(12) Takahashi Y., Iwasaki K., Masai H., Fujiwara T., Raman spectroscopic study of Benitoite-type compounds, *J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn.*, **2008**, 116, 1139-1142.

(13) Robbins C. R., The Compound BaTiGe₃O₉, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, **1960**, 4311, 610-610. <u>doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1960.tb13626.x</u>

(14) Choisnet J., Deschanvres A., Raveau B., Sur de nouveaux germanates et silicates de type Bénitoïte, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **1972**, 4, 209-2018.

(15) APEX3. Version 2017.3-0, Bruker AXS, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2017.

(16) Sheldrick G. M., Crystal structure refinement with *SHELXL, Acta Cryst. C*, **2015**, 71, 3-8.

DOI: 10.1107/S2053229614024218

(17) Sheldrick G. M., SHELXT - Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination, *Acta Cryst. A*, **2015**, 71, 3-8.DOI: 10.1107/S2053273314026370

(18) Degen T., Sadki M., Bron E., König U., Nénert G., The HighScore suite, Powder Diffr., **2014**, *29*(S2), S13-S18.

⁽¹⁹⁾ http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44 1223 336033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

(20) Putz H., Brandenburg GbR K., Diamond - Crystal and Molecular StructureVisualization, Crystal Impact, *Kreuzherrenstr*. 102, 53227 Bonn, Germany http://www.crystalimpact.com/diamond

(21) Fraysse G., Lignie A., Hermet P., Armand P., Bourgogne D., Haines J., Ménaert B., Papet P., Vibrational origin of the thermal stability in the highly distorted α -quartz-type material GeO₂, an experimental and theoretical study, *Inorg. Chem.*, **2013**, 52, 7271-7279. DOI: 10.1021/ic4009416

(22) Cavalli E., Zannoni E., Bettinelli M., Speghini A., Tonelli M., Toncelli A., Vibrational properties of Ca₃Sc₂Ge₃O₁₂, a garnet host crystal for laser applications, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, **2000**, 12, 4665-4674.

(23) Charton P., Armand P., Glasses in the TeO_2 -Sb₂O₄ binary system, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, **2003**, 316, 189-197.

(24) Zhao H., Tan D., Tian Y., He Y., Li Y., Li X., Yang K., Chen B., Xiao W., Studies on Im-3-type KSbO₃ using high-pressure X-ray diffraction and Raman spectroscopy, *High Press. Res.*, **2018**, 38, 232-242.

https://doi.org/10.1080/08957959.2018.1477941

(25) Carvalho Castro Jr M., Viana Carvalho E. F., Paraguassu W., Pedro Ayala A., Snyder F. C., Lufasod M. W., William de Araujo Paschoal C., Temperature-dependent Raman spectra of Ba₂BiSbO₆ ceramics, *J. Raman Spectrosc.*, **2009**, 40, 1205–1210.
DOI 10.1002/jrs.226

(26) R. L. Frost, S. Bahfenne, A Review of the Vibrational Spectroscopic Studies of Arsenite, Antimonite, and Antimonate Minerals, *Appl. Spectrosc. Rev.*, **2010**, 452, 101-129.

(27) Redhammer G.J., Tippelt G., The polar phase of Li₂Ge₄O₉ at 298, 150, and 90 *K*, *Acta Cryst. C*, **2013**, 69, 1091-1095.

(28) Redhammer G.J., Tippelt G., The tetragermanates $A_2Ge_4O_9$ (A = Na, K and Rb), *Acta Cryst. C*, **2013**, 69, 995-1001

(29) <u>Hazen R. M., Downs R. T.</u>, High-temperature and high-pressure crystal chemistry, *Reviews in Mineralogy & Geochemistry*, Book 41, **2018**, Eds Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG

(30) Henshaw D. E., The structure of Wadeite, *Mineral. Mag. J. Mineral. Soc.*, **1955**, 30, 585-595.

(31) Goreaud M., Raveau B., Structure Cristalline de $Rb_2Ge_4O_9$ et $Rb_2TiGe_3O_9$ de Type 'tetragermanate' et Etude Structurale Comparée de Germanates en Anneaux, *Acta Cryst. B*, **1976**, 32, 1536.

(32) Shashkov A.Y., Rannev N.V., Venevtsev Y.N., Atomic Structure of Crystals of α -PbGe₄O₉, BaGe₄O₉, and Pb_{2/3}Sr_{1/3}Ge₄O₉ and Features of the Coordination of Germanium Atoms in Framework Tetragermanates, *Sov. J. Coord. Chem.*, **1984**, 10, 787-794.

(33) Xu H., Navrotsky A., Balmer M.L., Su Y., Crystal-chemical and energetic systematics of Wadeite-type phases $A_2BSi_3O_9$ (A = K, Cs; B = Si, Ti, Zr), *Phys. Chem. Miner.*, **2005**, 32, 426-435.

(34) Shannon R.D., Revised Effective Ionic Radii and Systematic Studies of Interatomic Distances in Halides and Chalcogenides, *Acta Cryst. A*, **1976**, 32, 751-767.

(35) Bärnighausen H., Group-subgroups relations between space groups: a useful tool in crystal chemistry *MATCH*, *Commun. Math. Chem.*, **1980**, 9, 139-175.

(36) Müller U, Inorganic Structural Chemistry, ISBN 978-0-470-01865-8 Wiley, 2007
(37) Ivantchev, S., Kroumova, E., Madariaga, G., Pérez- Mato, J.M., Aroyo, *SUBGROUPGRAPH*: a computer program for analysis of group–subgroup relations between space groups, *J. Appl. Cryst.* 2000, 33, 1190-1191.

For Table of Contents Only



The germanate CsSbGe₃O₉, grown spontaneously from a high-temperature solution of $Cs_2Mo_4O_{13}$ used as a flux, is characterized by X-ray diffraction and IR-Raman spectroscopies. Regular GeO₄ tetrahedra and SbO₆ octahedra arranged in a 3-D orthorhombic lattice leave channels hosting the Cs⁺ cations. The structural evolution within the family *A*SbGe₃O₉ is discussed related to the literature and the nature of the monovalent alkaline cation *A* (K, Rb, Cs).