How useful is research on cooperatives? Reflection based on 3 double-cap situations

Marius Chevallier, Geolab, Université de Limoges, CNRS

Camille Noûs, www.cogitamus.fr



Introduction

To my students : "compare what you have read with what you have experienced"

Vigilance about excess of utilitarism ("John Rawls, Theory of Justice, 1971; Bernard Williams, A Critique of Utilitarianism, 1973; Michel Foucault, Surveiller et punir, 1975", cited by Bourcier et al. (2016); Mauss Review)

Professional activities : as a teacher

Volunteer activities : As a cooperator : 20 years research and 13 years as a volunteer but few direct interactions.

Introduction Three experiments in cooperatives

As a volunteer

Le Temps <u>de Vivre, www.letempsdevivre.co</u>	Council of wise people and workshop leader: 2012-2021
Coop Atlantique, <u>www.coop-atlantique.fr</u>	Local Circle 2008-2014 and Supervisory Board Member: 2012-2014
Railcoop, <u>www.railcoop.fr</u>	Member animation circle, local circle: 2020- 2021

Table 1 - Personal investment of the author in the three cooperatives studied

Other cooperatives as a simple member : energy, finance, car sharing, football, internet.

As a researcher

Cooperatives in short food supply chain, bank, insurance, entrepreneurship, craft, retail

 \rightarrow No official cross works but maybe spontaneous ones.

Introduction Critics to academic research

"Dewey's objective (1929): the destruction of the barriers which have divided theory and practice" according to Lhotellier and Saint-Arnaud (1994)

"formalised knowledge (...) do not sufficiently provide actors with the resources necessary to understand their condition" (Penven, 2013)

In SSE :

- Proximity to Popular Education : suspicion to academic system
- · Own research approach

Did I use some research works for my action as a cooperator ?

- 1. Methods
- 2. Results

A/ Which utility of scientific methods ?

1/ Diffusion of knowledge

- "revealing tacit knowledge" (Schön, 1983), thanks to participatory observation, interviews, questionnaires:
 - TDV : diversity of volunteer actions
 - Railcoop : mutualising the meeting practices
- New knowledges (Hubert et al 2013) : not observed

A/ Which utility of scientific methods ?

2/ Recognition of people :

- TDV : active listening of involved people
- Railcoop : active listening of little involved people + quizz on different categories

3/ Highlight of a topic

not just during a meeting but all along the survey.

- Survey on local food in Coop Atlantique
- facilitation techniques in Railcoop :
 - daring tackling a topic
 - asking questions rather than teaching solutions
- TDV : what slows down volunteering

 \rightarrow making people and issues visible.

A/ Which utility of scientific methods ?

- 4/ Results for partners rather than for involved people
- Involved people keep discussing : little interest on results (figures and classifications)
- Partners need some abstracts on the enterprise since they do not live the experience

B/ Which utility of results ?

Galvani (1999) and Freire (1977) : criticism of academic literature.

- 1/ Quick and clear identification of stakes
- Railcoop about emotional expressions in deliberative contexts (Nez, 2018)
- Railcoop about weak and strong ties (Nicole-Drancourt, 2021; Granovetter, 1973)
- TDV : informal sources of power vs democratic spaces (Chevallier, Dellier, 2020)

B/ Which utility of results ?

2/ Legitimisation of a topic

- Coop Atlantique : volunteering in commercial spaces (Caire, Chevallier, 2017)
- Coop Atlantique : recruiting young people (Jacques Ion's works)
- Coop Atlantique : slowing down a piece of decision on rules of procedure (Chevallier, 2013a, 2013b)
- TDV : recognition of volunteers (Millette 2005, Mitchell at al. 2001)

B/ Which utility of results ?

• 3/ Utility for partners and general public

Contrary to appearances ...

- Coop Atlantique : weak differences ≠ inutility of cooperatives :
 - Crossed influences (Ansart et al., 2017)
- Coop Atlantique : Slowness ≠ ineffiency
 - No efficiency differences (Doucouliagos 1997, Fortin et al. 2011, Sexton et al. 1993), quickier diffusion
 - Sociological profile of administrators → Less variability (Biondi et al. 2007, Mangolte 2007) vs excessive volatility of the current economic system (Colletis, 2008)

Conclusion from those 3 experiments

Utility of methods :

1. Revealing and diffusing practices

2. Recognising people

3. Highlighting topics

4. Communicating with partners

Utility of results :

- 1. Quick and clear identification
- 2. Arguing, defending a point

3. Communicating with partners and general public

Thank you for your attention. marius.chevallier@unilim.fr