

#### Provenance study of oyster shells by LA-ICP-MS

Vincent Mouchi, Camille Godbillot, Catherine Dupont, Marc-Antoine Vella, Vianney Forest, Alexey Ulianov, Franck Lartaud, Marc de Rafélis, Laurent Emmanuel, Eric P. Verrecchia

#### ► To cite this version:

Vincent Mouchi, Camille Godbillot, Catherine Dupont, Marc-Antoine Vella, Vianney Forest, et al.. Provenance study of oyster shells by LA-ICP-MS. Journal of Archaeological Science, 2021, 132, pp.105418. 10.1016/j.jas.2021.105418 . hal-03284352

#### HAL Id: hal-03284352 https://hal.science/hal-03284352v1

Submitted on 8 Sep 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Provenance study of oyster shells by LA-ICP-MS
2	Vincent Mouchi <sup>1*</sup> , Camille Godbillot <sup>1</sup> , Catherine Dupont <sup>2</sup> , Marc-Antoine Vella <sup>3</sup> , Vianney
3	Forest <sup>4</sup> , Alexey Ulianov <sup>5</sup> , Franck Lartaud <sup>6</sup> , Marc de Rafélis <sup>7</sup> , Laurent Emmanuel <sup>1</sup> , Eric P. Verrecchia <sup>8</sup>
4	
5	<sup>1</sup> : Sorbonne Université, CNRS-INSU, Institut des Sciences de la Terre Paris, ISTeP, F-75005 Paris,
6	France
7	<sup>2</sup> : CNRS, CReAAH, UMR 6566, Université de Rennes, F-35042 Rennes, France
8	<sup>3</sup> : Sorbonne Université, CNRS, EPHE, UMR 7619 METIS, F-75005 Paris, France
9	<sup>4</sup> : INRAP-Midi-Méditerranée, UMR 5068, TRACES, F-31000 Toulouse, France
10	<sup>5</sup> : University of Lausanne, Institut des Sciences de la Terre, CH-1015, Lausanne, Switzerland
11	<sup>6</sup> : Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire d'Ecogéochimie des Environnements Benthiques, LECOB,
12	F-66650, Banyuls, France
13	<sup>7</sup> : Géosciences Environnement Toulouse, CNRS, IRD, Université Paul Sabatier Toulouse 3, 14
14	Avenue Edouard Belin, F-31400 Toulouse, France
15	<sup>8</sup> : University of Lausanne, Institut des Dynamiques de la Surface Terrestre, CH-1015, Lausanne,
16	Switzerland
17	
18	*: Corresponding author: <a href="mailto:vmouchi@gmail.com">vmouchi@gmail.com</a> ; Current address: Sorbonne Université, CNRS, UMR
19	7144, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Place Georges Teissier, F-29680, Roscoff, France
20	
21	ABSTRACT
22	Provenance determination of archaeological remains is a valuable tool for reconstruction of
23	past exchange networks. Among these materials, oyster shells are ubiquitous in sites from all

prehistorical and historical periods. Thus, they seem to be as promising candidates for provenance 24 25 identification as they include chemical elements from the environment in their shells, which implies 26 that an elemental fingerprint of the region of origin can be recorded in the shell composition. In this study, we present elemental measurements from 15 groups of modern and archaeological shells from 27 28 13 continental localities in mainland France and the island of Corsica (western Mediterranean Sea). 29 Two of these localities had two oyster species (Crassostrea gigas and Ostrea edulis). Results indicate 30 that (i) a species-specific elemental fingerprint exists and (ii) the Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean 31 Sea provenances can be identified for O. edulis shells. Moreover, if the shell originated from a locality 32 only partially connected to the ocean (e.g. an estuary or lagoon), a fingerprint specific to the watershed 33 can also be observed, even between groups originating from the same bay. Using these measurements 34 as reference fingerprints, we characterize the Mediterranean origin of two groups of shells unearthed at Lyons (central France, 200 km away from the nearest shoreline), dated from the 1<sup>st</sup> c. CE. 35

36

#### 37 1. INTRODUCTION

Reconstruction of exchange networks in archaeology is paramount to study large-scale
medium- to long-term economics, as well as cultural and technological transfers, since routes were
vectors of ideas and cultural exchanges. Numerous studies have attempted to reconstruct the
provenance of marbles (Herz and Waelkens, 1988; Matthews, 1997; Mrozek-Wysocka, 2014),
obsidian (Robin et al., 2016; Kuzmin et al., 2018), variscite (Querré et al., 2015), ceramics (Michelaki
et al., 2013), and ore (Vogl et al., 2019). Yet, only a few studies have focused on shells and shell beads
from chemical analyses (Shackleton and Renfrew, 1970; Eerkens et al., 2005).

In Mesolithic and Neolithic sites from the European Atlantic seaboard, food wastes composed
of oyster shells are exclusively found on the coastline (Dupont, 2016) as the hunter-gatherer
populations consumed the soft body and discarded the shell on site. More recent sites from Antiquity
comprise oyster shells used for consumption in numerous localities, even distant from marine shores
(Bardot-Cambot, 2014). Indeed, during this period, oysters were consumed by the elite as delicacies

away from the sea (Bardot-Cambot, 2014). Most of the time, the provenance of these archaeological 50 shells remains unknown, unless these shells are associated with other taxa, (epi-)fauna, or substrate 51 52 that presents some endemic characteristics possibly identified by specialists (Schneider and Lepetz, 53 2007; Bardot-Cambot, 2013; Chaufourier et al., 2015; Somerville et al., 2017). However, these identifications require substantial knowledge in cladistics as well as in the geological settings of the 54 55 shoreline at regional to country (even sometimes continental) scales, as it is known that high-level 56 dignitaries in Rome were used to import oysters from countries as far as England (Andrews, 1948; 57 André, 1981). In the more common case of non-endemic associated items, archaeologists rely on 58 morphometry to identify groups of shells of different origins (Gruet and Prigent, 1986a, 1986b; Bardot-Cambot, 2014). Nonetheless, such characteristics are not specific to a locality but rather, to the 59 type of substrate (Gruet and Prigent, 1986a; Campbell, 2010; Somerville et al., 2017); therefore, they 60 61 are not relevant to the geographical identification of the specimen's origin beyond a single region.

Other techniques have been developed in order to identify the provenance of shell remains, such as geochemical analyses, not only for archaeology (Eerkens et al., 2005, 2010; Mouchi et al., 2020a), but also for quality control of modern cultured specimens prior to market distribution (Bennion et al., 2019; Morrison et al., 2019). In addition, geochemistry has been used to study connectivity of separated populations of mollusc species that colonize distant localities through larval dispersion (Becker et al., 2005; Carson, 2010; Fodrie et al., 2011; Sorte et al., 2013).

68 Molluscs build their shells in calcium carbonate by sampling chemical elements from their surrounding waters, and the shell's chemical composition reflects (at least partially) that of the 69 70 environment (Urey et al., 1951). Most studies have used oxygen and carbon stable isotope ratios ( $\delta^{18}$ O 71 and  $\delta^{13}$ C, respectively) from shells as a provenance proxy (Eerkens et al., 2005, 2010; Bajnóczi et al., 72 2013; Milano et al., 2019; Apolinarska and Kurzawska, 2020), but the use of this approach appears to be restricted to differentiate between marine and brackish/estuarine environments. Indeed,  $\delta^{18}O$  and 73 74  $\delta^{13}$ C are mainly representative of local biogeochemical conditions rather than the geographical location (temperature and salinity for  $\delta^{18}$ O and food source for  $\delta^{13}$ C; Craig, 1965; Sharp, 2007; 75 Lartaud et al., 2010a). Temporal homogeneity for the regional fingerprint cannot be insured as 76

seasonal temperatures have an impact on  $\delta^{18}$ O, leading to the overlap of amplitude values with remote 77 78 areas exhibiting similar seasonal temperature contrasts (Mouchi et al., 2020b). Additionally, it was 79 recently demonstrated that oysters do not mineralize their shell in equilibrium with seawater during their first year of growth, making unlikely the use of  $\delta^{18}$ O as a proxy for local physicochemical 80 conditions during this life stage (Huyghe et al., 2020). Overall, elemental analyses tend to provide 81 82 better results in provenance determination than carbon and oxygen isotopic compositions (Zhao and Zhang, 2016; Ricardo et al., 2017; Bennion et al., 2019; Morrison et al., 2019). Nevertheless, such 83 84 studies have identified issues related to 'vital effects': the metabolism acts as a filter between the 85 living environment and the shell, thus modifying the shell's final composition. It has indeed been 86 shown (Mouchi et al., 2020a) that concentrations in rare earth elements (REE) can be used to identify 87 the region of provenance for the cupped oyster Crassostrea gigas. Still, these elements are not 88 discriminant for the flat oyster, Ostrea edulis, which is the only oyster species found in European archaeological sites, as the cupped oyster Crassostrea angulata was imported to Portugal from Asia 89 during the 17<sup>th</sup> century. Consequently, other chemical elements need to be investigated as provenance 90 91 proxies for O. edulis.

In the present study, we use a new multi-elemental approach based on laser-ablation
inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) performed on 68 modern and
archaeological oyster specimens (*C. gigas* and *O. edulis*) from 15 groups of different localities and
ages. We aim at using this approach to identify potential discriminant elements for provenance studies
applied to both oyster species found in Europe. This technique was selected as it is fast and commonly
used in carbonate geochemistry studies (Durham et al., 2017).

- 98

#### 99 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

100

#### 2.1. Collection sites and specimens

Samples were collected from multiple modern localities and archaeological sites in continental
France and the island of Corsica (Figure 1; Table 1). As elemental composition of the rivers

103 (suggested to influence the composition of seawater and shells at the output) reflects the composition 104 of substrate weathered on land, a brief description of the geological formations of watersheds are indicated with site descriptions in Supplementary Information 1. Specimens are divided into groups 105 106 of origin, according to their species ('Cg' and 'Oe' for C. gigas and O. edulis, respectively), their 107 locality (see Table 1), and their age ('M' for modern and 'A' for ancient specimens). Although the 108 species Crassostrea gigas has been recently renamed Magallana gigas (Salvi and Mariottini, 2016), 109 this genus change is debated by numerous experts (Bayne et al., 2017), so we will continue to use the 110 name Crassostrea gigas throughout the paper.

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Group	Location	Coordinates	ID on	Age	Number of	Number of
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				Figure		specimens	measurements
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				1			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Cg_BdV_M	Baie des Veys	49°23.11 N,	1	Modern	5	38
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		(Normandy)	01°06.05 W				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Oe_BdV_M	Baie des Veys	49°23.11 N,	1	Modern	5	38
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		(Normandy)	01°06.05 W				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Oe_Lan_A	Landévennec (Brittany)	48°17.42 N,	2	$8^{th} - 15^{th}$ c. CE	2	16
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			04°16.00 W				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Oe_RsB_M	Riec-sur-Bélon (Brittany)	47°48.55 N,	3	Modern	3	20
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			03°43.08 W				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Cg_MO_M	Marennes-Oléron	45°52.23 N,	4A	Modern	3	23
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(Charente-Maritime)	01°10.60 W				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline (Charente-Maritime) & 01^\circ 10.60 \ W & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Oe_MO_M	Marennes-Oléron	45°52.23 N,	4A	Modern	3	16
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(Charente-Maritime)	01°10.60 W				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline Maritime & 01 \ 07.44 \ W & 1 & 11 \ 14 \ 14 \ 16 \ CE & 11 \ 16 \ 14 \ 16 \ CE & 11 \ 16 \ 14 \ 16 \ CE & 11 \ 16 \ 14 \ 16 \ CE & 11 \ 16 \ 14 \ 16 \ CE & 11 \ 16 \ 16 \ 16 \ 16 \ 16 \ 16 \ 16$	Oe_Bro_A	Brouage (Charente-	55°85.05 N,	4B	16 <sup>th</sup> c. CE	4	24
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Maritime)	01°07.44 W				
Maritime)         00°58.57 W         CE         Image: Ce of the constraint of the const	Oe_BrT_A	Broue tower (Charente-	45°47.67 N,	4C	$11^{th} - 14^{th} c.$	6	40
$\begin{array}{c c} Cg\_Tes\_M \\ Cg\_Leu\_M \\ Cg\_Leu\_M \\ Leucate (Aude) \\ Cg\_Leu\_M \\ Island) \\ Oe\_Ale\_A \\ Oe\_X1\_A \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Sea) \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} Tes (Arcachon Basin) \\ Tes (Arcachon Basin) \\ Otion (Corsica \\ 42^\circ 08.00 \\ 09^\circ 32.17 \\ 09^\circ 32.17 \\ Ce\_M \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Or \\ Sea) \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} Modern \\ A12^\circ 06.50 \\ Parity \\ Parity \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Sea \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} Tes (Arcachon Basin) \\ O1^\circ 08.18 \\ A12^\circ 06.18 \\ O1^\circ 08.18 \\ A2^\circ 05.0 \\ Parity \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Sea \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} Modern \\ A2^\circ 06.00 \\ Parity \\ O1^\circ 08.18 \\ A12^\circ 06.50 \\ Parity \\ Parity \\ Parity \\ O1^\circ 08.18 \\ Parity \\ P$		Maritime)	00°58.57 W		CE		
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c }\hline & 01^\circ 08.18 \ W & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Cg_Tes_M	Tès (Arcachon Basin)	44°40.01 N,	5	Modern	8	70
$ \begin{array}{c c} Cg\_Leu\_M \\ Cg\_Leu\_M \\ Cg\_Dia\_M \\ Island \\ Oe\_Ale\_A \\ Oe\_X1\_A \\ Oe\_X2\_A \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Sea \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} Leucate (Aude) \\ Diana lagoon (Corsica \\ 42°08.00 N, \\ 09°32.17 E \\ 09°32.17 E \\ 09°30.72 E \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Sea \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} Modern \\ Diana lagoon (Corsica \\ 42°08.00 N, \\ 09°32.17 E \\ 09°30.72 E \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Unknown \\ Sea \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} Ale^{\circ} Oe\_X1 \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Sea \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} Ale^{\circ} Oe\_X1 \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Sea \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Sea \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} Ale^{\circ} Oe\_X2 \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Sea \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c c} Ale^{\circ} Oe\_X2 \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Sea \\ Oe\_Mal\_A \\ Oe\_Man\_A \\ Ooe\_A \\ Oooe\_A \\ Ooe\_A \\ Ooe\_A \\ Ooe\_A \\ Ooe\_A \\ OooA \\ OoooA \\ OooA \\ OooA $			01°08.18 W				
Cg_Dia_MDiana lagoon (Corsica Island)42°08.00 N, 09°32.17 E7AModern213Oe_Ale_AAléria (Corsica Island)42°06.50 N, 09°30.72 E7BAntiquity214Oe_X1_AUnknownUnknown-1 <sup>st</sup> c. CE645Oe_X2_AUnknownUnknown-1 <sup>st</sup> c. CE841Oe_Mal_AUndefined (Mediterranean Sea)Unknown-6 <sup>th</sup> c. CE639	Cg_Leu_M	Leucate (Aude)	42°52.48 N,	6	Modern	5	35
Cg_Dia_MDiana lagoon (Corsica Island)42°08.00 N, 09°32.17 E7AModern213Oe_Ale_AAléria (Corsica Island)42°06.50 N, 09°30.72 E7BAntiquity214Oe_X1_AUnknownUnknown-1st c. CE645Oe_X2_AUnknownUnknown-1st c. CE841Oe_Mal_AUndefined (Mediterranean Sea)Unknown-6 <sup>th</sup> c. CE639			03°01.50 E				
Island)09°32.17 EImage: Constraint of the second sec	Cg_Dia_M	Diana lagoon (Corsica	42°08.00 N,	7A	Modern	2	13
Oe_Ale_AAléria (Corsica Island)42°06.50 N, 09°30.72 E7BAntiquity214Oe_X1_AUnknownUnknown-1st c. CE645Oe_X2_AUnknownUnknown-1st c. CE841Oe_Mal_AUndefined (Mediterranean Sea)Unknown-6th c. CE639		Island)	09°32.17 E				
Oe_X1_AUnknownUnknown-1st c. CE645Oe_X2_AUnknownUnknown-1st c. CE841Oe_Mal_AUndefined (Mediterranean Sea)Unknown-6th c. CE639	Oe_Ale_A	Aléria (Corsica Island)	42°06.50 N,	7B	Antiquity	2	14
Oe_X1_AUnknownUnknown-1st c. CE645Oe_X2_AUnknownUnknown-1st c. CE841Oe_Mal_AUndefined (Mediterranean Sea)Unknown-6th c. CE639			09°30.72 E				
Oe_X2_AUnknownUnknown-1st c. CE841Oe_Mal_AUndefined (Mediterranean Sea)Unknown-6th c. CE639	Oe_X1_A	Unknown	Unknown	-	1 <sup>st</sup> c. CE	6	45
Oe_Mal_A     Undefined (Mediterranean     Unknown     -     6 <sup>th</sup> c. CE     6     39	Oe_X2_A	Unknown	Unknown	-	1 <sup>st</sup> c. CE	8	41
Sea)	Oe_Mal_A	Undefined (Mediterranean	Unknown	-	6 <sup>th</sup> c. CE	6	39
		Sea)					

**Table 1:** Number of specimens and measurements per group of shells.

112



114 Figure 1: Sample collection sites. Modern specimen groups are indicated by circles while archaeological specimen groups 115 are presented as stars. Colour coding corresponds to that used in the t-SNE analysis on the following figures. 1: Baie des 116 Veys modern site. Arrow: direction of the English Channel current near the shore (Lazure and Desmare, 2012). 2: 117 Landévennec Medieval site. 3: Riec-sur-Bélon modern site. 4: Charente-Maritime, comprising the modern site of Marennes-118 Oléron (A), the Renaissance site of Brouage (B), and the Medieval site of Broue tower (C). Arrow: direction of the current in 119 the bay (Dechambenoy et al., 1977). 5: Tès modern site. 6: Leucate modern site. 7: Corsica island sites, comprising the 120 modern site of Diana lagoon (A) and the Antiquity site of Aléria (B). Additional archaeological groups are the Medieval 121 shells found at La Malène of confirmed Mediterranean origin and two groups unearthed at Cybèle (Lyons) of unknown 122 origin.

123

124

#### 2.2. Sample selection and preparation

The preparation protocol is given in Mouchi et al. (2020a). Multiple specimens were selected 125 126 from each group based on the apparent preservation of the umbo region of the shell. Epibionts, when present, were mechanically removed. The umbo of the left valve was embedded in Huntsman Araldite 127 128 2020 epoxy resin, cut through using a Buehler low-speed saw to expose the preserved internal 129 structures along the growth axis, and made into polished 750 µm-thick sections. As the umbo records 130 the entire growth of the shell in a condensed area, geochemical analyses on such sections have the 131 benefit of being deprived of surface contaminations of chemical or organic origin (Richardson et al., 1993; Kirby et al., 1998). Indeed, the umbo is a dense area, protected from the exterior environment 132 133 within the bivalve, which generally presents a much better preservation compared to the rest of the 134 shell. In addition, oyster shells are made of lamellae that can be intertwined with empty spaces that can 135 be filled with organic and sedimentary contaminants, which could strongly interfere with the quality of 136 the measurements.

Each section was observed under cathodoluminescence to ensure the pristine state of the
surface and to outline the seasonal calibration of the shell based on the intensity of luminescence
(Lartaud et al., 2010b; Mouchi et al., 2018; Huygue et al., 2019). Following the growth direction, areas
of strong luminescence correspond to parts of the shell built in summer periods, while areas in dull
luminescence have grown during winter periods. Observations were completed using a Cathodyne-

OPEA cold cathode at ISTeP, Sorbonne Université (Paris, France) with 15-20 kV, 200-400 µA.mm<sup>-2</sup>
and a pressure of 0.05 Torr. Shells from Leucate (Cg\_Leu\_M) were the only specimens that did not
exhibit cathodoluminescence, which made the seasonal calibration impossible. The seasonal
calibration from morphology proposed by Kirby et al. (1998) was also unsuccessful due to the absence
of the necessary curved surface of the umbo. All specimens from the other groups were seasonally
calibrated.

148

#### 2.3. Geochemical analyses by LA-ICP-MS

149 Measurements were performed using an Element XR (ThermoScientific) ICP-MS coupled 150 with a RESOlution 193 nm ArF excimer ablation system equipped with an S155 two-volume ablation 151 cell (Australian Scientific Instruments) at ISTE (University of Lausanne, Switzerland). The analyses 152 were carried out with a pulse repetition rate of 20 Hz and an on-sample energy density of 4 J.cm<sup>-2</sup>. 153 Sample areas were cleaned from potential surface contamination (during polishing) by conducting pre-154 ablation. Spot size was 200 µm. Measurements were conducted on both summer and winter zones, based on the seasonal calibration. Measurements on Leucate specimens (Cg\_Leu\_M) were positioned 155 156 randomly instead. Each specimen (shell) was measured several times in order to avoid bias due to 157 internal variability. We carefully avoided performing measurements in suspicious areas regarding preservation, based on cathodoluminescence observation. We performed at least two adjacent 158 159 measurements for each selected season area, with generally six to eight measurements per shell 160 (sometimes less, *e.g.* four measurements for some Oe\_MO\_M specimens, due to lower growth rates restricting the surface available for measurements). For most specimens, three to four successive 161 162 season areas were sampled. Prior and following each 15-sample series, NIST SRM 612 was measured for external calibration. Accuracy of the analyses was checked against measurements of the BCR-2 163 basalt reference material from USGS according to the GeoReM preferred values (Jochum et al., 2005). 164 Relative standard deviation for all measured elements range from 1.2 % to 5.8 % (Supplementary 165 166 Information 2). Measured elements were La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Ti, Cr, Cu, Zn, Sr, Ba, Pb, U, Y, and Ca 167 as the internal standard. Data reduction was performed using the LAMTRACE software (Jackson, 2008). A total of 472 measurements were acquired. 168

169

#### 2.4. Data processing

170 All data processing was conducted using the Matlab software (MathWorks, 171 www.mathworks.com, v. R2017a). As none of the 14 measured elements presented a normal 172 distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) due to a right-skewed distribution (towards larger element 173 abundances), it was necessary to transform the data to normality for statistical processing, which was 174 performed by using the cubic root transform (Chen and Deo, 2004). Hierarchical cluster analyses were used to estimate seasonal differences (using the seasonal age models based on the 175 176 cathodoluminescence signal) at Baie des Veys on 38 measurements from C. gigas specimens 177 (Cg\_BdV\_M; n=5) and 38 measurements from O. edulis specimens (Oe\_BdV\_M; n=5), and at 178 Marennes-Oléron on 23 measurements from C. gigas specimens (Cg MO M; n=3) and 16 179 measurements from O. edulis specimens (Oe\_MO\_M; n=3). Two methods were tested: unweighted average distance and "Ward" inner squared distance. The cophenetic correlation coefficient between 180 181 the distances obtained from the cluster tree and the original distances (in the multivariate space) is an 182 indicator of the quality of the estimation (of the hierarchical cluster analysis) to faithfully represent dissimilarities between observations being compared. Comparison and classification between all 183 184 groups were performed using t-SNE (t-distributed Stochastic Neighbour Embedding; van der Maaten 185 and Hinton, 2008) with the exact Euclidean method. This method allows high-dimensional data points to be imbedded in low dimensions (typically two dimensions) in a way that respects similarities 186 187 between points: distant points in a high-dimensional space correspond to distant embedded low-188 dimensional points, and nearby data points in the high-dimensional space correspond to nearby 189 embedded low-dimensional points (MathWorks, www.mathworks.com, v. R2017a). In other words, 190 each point represented by t-SNE corresponds to the overall composition of one measurement of all 14 191 elements, two close points having a similar composition while two distant points reflect different 192 compositions. To prevent the undesirable over-influence of some elements showing wide variance, we 193 performed t-SNE on normalized data. The Matlab script for t-SNE processing is available as 194 Supplementary Information 3. As we focus on comparing the concentrations between individual shells from multiple localities using the same (LA-ICP-MS) analytical method, the host phase (organic 195

or mineral) of specific elements and their resulting co-variations within a measurement are notdiscussed here.

198

199 3. RESULTS

All measurement results are presented in **Figure 2a**. The three elements with the largest coefficients of variation are Ce, La and Zn, followed by U, Ba, and Ti (**Fig. 2b**). All other elements appear to have a low variance in the dataset. Except for Sr, Ba, Zn, La, and Ce, all measured elements have concentrations below 1 ppm. Boxplots by element for all the shell groups are provided as **Supplementary Information 4**. None of the elements can be used to differentiate between the origins of the various groups on its own.



206

Figure 2: Distribution of the 472 measurements for each analyte. a: Boxplot with values indicated in cubic root for best
approaching the normality in the distribution and for clarity. Note that the ordinate axis is in logscale. b: Coefficient of
variation for each analyte.

210

Similarities and differences between the 15 shell groups based on geochemical data are plotted in **Figure 3** using t-SNE. First, the five groups of *C. gigas* shells are positioned at the upper left side of the figure, while *O. edulis* samples are spread out in a much wider area. Moreover, the measurements performed on both species from the same site (*i.e.*, Cg\_BdV\_M and Oe\_BdV\_M for Baie des Veys, and Cg\_MO\_M and Oe\_MO\_M for Marennes-Oléron) do not overlap, indicating that the

216 compositions of the shells are not identical for both species from the same locality. Furthermore, the

five groups of *C. gigas* shells are relatively well identified, particularly those of Leucate (Cg\_Leu\_M),

- 218 Diana lagoon (Cg\_Dia\_M) and Baie des Veys (Cg\_BdV\_M). The Marennes-Oléron (Cg\_MO\_M) and
- 219 Tès (Cg\_Tes\_M) groups partially overlap.



220

Figure 3: Visualization of shell groups' partitioning using t-SNE (exact method using Euclidean distance) based on 472
 measurements. *Crassostrea gigas* shell measurements are indicated with dots. Light blue symbols represent measurements
 from shells originating from Normandy, dark blue symbols represent Brittany, red symbols represent Charente, purple
 symbols represent Arcachon Basin, and green and orange symbols represent the Mediterranean Sea, including Corsica. Two
 groups of Antiquity shells of unknown origin are indicated by yellow and black circles.

226

Although some *O. edulis* groups are well defined without any measurement overlap from other
groups (*i.e.*, Oe\_Ale\_A and Oe\_Lan\_A), some groups of this species are more difficult to discriminate
geochemically (Figure 4a). Indeed, when only considering groups originating from localities on the
English Channel and the Atlantic Ocean coastlines (*i.e.*, open seas with strong tidal influence),
numerous measurements cluster without discrimination between the locality of origin (dashed ellipse
in Figure 4b). Only the Landévennec (Oe\_Lan\_A) group is absent from this common cluster.
Inversely, the Oe\_BdV\_M group measurements are found solely in this common cluster. For the

Oe\_RsB\_M, Oe\_MO\_M, Oe\_Bro\_A and Oe\_BrT\_A groups, other measurements are found in 234 'secondary' clusters in addition to their presence in the common cluster, which are specific to the 235 236 group. Some specimens from these groups have a unique fingerprint corresponding to one or the other 237 cluster (common or secondary), while other specimens have fingerprints corresponding to both clusters along successive measurements, following shell growth (Figure 5). Amongst them, 238 239 Oe MO M and Oe Bro A (both from Charente-Maritime) share this 'secondary cluster', while Broue 240 tower (Oe\_BrT\_A; from the Charente-Maritime region as well) has a 'secondary cluster' isolated from 241 the others (Figure 4c). It is also worth noting that specimens from the Oe\_BrT\_A group, which have 242 been collected from two distinct temporal units in the site (see **Supplementary Information 1**), present measurements in the common cluster as well as in the secondary cluster for specimens from 243 both stratigraphic units (sometimes along the same specimen), confirming that the local elemental 244 245 fingerprint is identical over centuries. However, the two specimens from Landévennec (Oe\_Lan\_A), belonging to two different temporal periods, are discriminated from one another by t-SNE (Figure 3) 246 247 in the form of two groups of measurements in the Landévennec cluster.



248

Figure 4: Visualization of *O. edulis* shell groups' partitioning using t-SNE showing specific groups. a: t-SNE from Figure 3
with measurements from *C. gigas* shells shaded. b: Same as a showing only groups of confirmed English Channel or Atlantic
Ocean coastlines. The dashed ellipse indicates a cluster common to measurements from several groups. c: Same as a showing
only the three Charente groups. d: Same as a showing only the groups from the Mediterranean coastline and the two groups
of unknown origin.



254

255 Figure 5: Successive measurements along shell growth indicating different fingerprints on specimen 1297-2 from Broue 256 tower (Oe\_BrT\_A), namely "common cluster" and "secondary cluster" on Fig. 4b. a: Cathodoluminescence view of the last 257 two years of growth (corresponding to the area analysed by LA-ICP-MS) on the umbo region and positions of the 258 measurements. Red and blue dots correspond to summer and winter measurements, respectively. Note that the first 259 measurement on the left is out of the picture frame. b: Intensity of luminescence (in arbitrary units) along the umbo following 260 the line indicated on **a**, showing the areas of light (corresponding to summers; "S") and dull (corresponding to winters; "W") 261 luminescence. Smoothing of this signal is shown overlapping in red, and highlights the presence of a monthly tidal cycle 262 (numbers).



267	Data collected from specimens bred at Baie des Veys and Marennes-Oléron were used to
268	study the differences between seasons for both species based on dendrograms (Supplementary
269	<b>Information 5</b> ). Seasons cannot be reliably discriminated, as indicated by the cluster analyses that
270	show both seasonal measurements mixed together. For Cg_BdV_M, the cophenetic correlation
271	coefficients are 0.80 and 0.52 for average and Ward methods, respectively. In the same site, for
272	Oe_BdV_M, coefficients are 0.89 and 0.77 for average and Ward methods, respectively. Similar
273	cophenetic correlation coefficients are found for Cg_MO_M with 0.70 and 0.62 for average and Ward
274	methods, respectively, and for Oe_MO_M with 0.89 and 0.77 for average and Ward methods,
275	respectively. The identification of seasons for all the measurements (except Leucate specimens; see
276	section 2.2) in the t-SNE distribution is also reported in <b>Supplementary Information 5</b> .
277	
278	4. DISCUSSION
279	4.1. Large-scale provenance discrimination
280	It was previously reported that REE and Y cannot be used for O. edulis as a provenance proxy
281	due to vital effects, unlike C. gigas (Mouchi et al., 2020a). Consequently, other elements were
282	investigated in this study in order to provide a potential regional fingerprint for this species and to find
283	a way to efficiently compare measurements from O. edulis and C. gigas in terms of sourcing. The
284	results show that REE are not the only elements whose incorporation differ between these two species.
285	Indeed, the Cg_BdV_M and Oe_BdV_M groups, which originate from the same modern locality (and
286	reared simultaneously on the same culture table in Baie des Veys, Normandy), exhibit obvious
287	different compositions (Figure 3). Observations are similar for Cg_MO_M and Oe_MO_M from

288 Marennes-Oléron, Charente-Maritime. However, it seems possible, when considering only one of the

two species, to discriminate the composition depending on their region of origin, if not the locality.

For *C. gigas* specimens, the shells from the Mediterranean Sea (Cg\_Leu\_M and Cg\_Dia\_M), seem to be separated by t-SNE from the Atlantic Ocean/English Channel groups along the second dimension (approximately around unit 25 in **Figure 3**). This separation appears to be controlled by a

lower abundance in light REE in the Mediterranean groups compared to the Atlantic groups 293 (Supplementary Information 4). This low abundance in light REE can either be specific to the 294 295 Mediterranean shells or to the relatively small watersheds from these two localities (the smallest of the 296 entire dataset). Although most of the Baie des Veys measurements are discriminated by t-SNE from 297 other groups, some measurements share their composition with a part of the Tès group (Cg\_Tes\_M), 298 which itself is partially connected to the Marennes-Oléron group (Cg MO M). This observation tends 299 to indicate that specimens from the English Channel (Baie des Veys) and the Atlantic Ocean 300 (Marennes-Oléron and Tès) exhibit some similarities in their geochemical composition.

301 For O. edulis, the common cluster (dashed ellipse in Figure 4b) gathers measurements from 302 specimens from the Atlantic Ocean and English Channel coastlines, covering roughly 700 km of 303 coastline (Figure 1) from Charente-Maritime in the south (Oe\_MO\_M, Oe\_Bro\_A, Oe\_BrT\_A) to 304 Normandy in the north (Oe\_BdV\_M). As the English Channel and the Atlantic Ocean are connected 305 across a wide area, the overall composition of seawater should share some similarities. On the 306 contrary, the Mediterranean Sea is a semi-enclosed basin and is recharged by the Atlantic Ocean 307 through the narrow Strait of Gibraltar. A difference in composition is expected in shells from 308 Mediterranean localities. In addition, the near absence of tidal range in this region compared to the 309 Atlantic Ocean can cause differences in fluid mixing and elemental incorporation in the shells. 310 Therefore, it appears possible to discriminate, at a large scale, between specimens from the Atlantic 311 Ocean/English Channel and the Mediterranean Sea.

312

#### 4.2. Fine-scale provenance discrimination

The common cluster composed of samples from Oe\_BdV\_M, Oe\_RsB\_M, Oe\_MO\_M,

314 Oe\_Bro\_A, and Oe\_BrT\_A shells (Baie des Veys: Normandy; Riec-sur-Belon: Brittany; Marennes-

315 Oléron, Brouage and Broue tower: Charente) represents a homogenous fingerprint. These groups are

from watersheds with quite distinct geological formations (limestones, basalts, etc...) but share a large

seawater body (*i.e.*, the Atlantic Ocean). However, we observe that each group in this cluster has also

318 a secondary cluster outside the common one, which seems group-specific, except for the Baie des

319 Veys (Oe\_BdV\_M; Figure 4b). Within these groups, some specimens have all their measurements

320 within a single cluster (either the common cluster or the secondary cluster of the corresponding 321 group), while other specimens have some measurements in both clusters (Figure 5). As these 322 specimens have not been transferred from one location to another during growth, it appears that these 323 changes in elemental incorporation occurred over the growth of the organism in the same site. As 324 these changes occur multiple times over the growth period (Figure 5), it cannot be caused by 325 ontogeny. This cyclical pattern is not linked to seasonality (this was checked by the seasonal 326 calibration using cathodoluminescence; see t-SNE figure in Supplementary Information 5). 327 Consequently, a different factor is at play. Temporal resolution of the LA-ICP-MS measurements is 328 approximately one month, based on a tidal cathodoluminescence signal (Mouchi et al., 2013; see 329 monthly cycles on Figure 5b) and *in vivo* labelling (Lartaud et al., 2010b; Supplementary 330 **Information 6**). Growth rates can however be different according to the specimen and the period 331 considered, and some measurements may represent a slightly shorter or longer period (Huyghe et al., 332 2019). Since all the corresponding groups originate from the English Channel and the Atlantic Ocean 333 shorelines, tidal influence can be a pertinent factor. Indeed, all the groups from the Mediterranean Sea 334 (Oe\_Mal\_A, Oe\_Ale\_A), which exhibits a very weak tidal range (only a few centimetres), are 335 excluded from the common cluster (Figure 4d). In localities with a broad tidal range, the influence of 336 the chemistry of nearby rivers could fluctuate widely, increasing during low tide and, on the contrary, 337 being diluted with seawater at high tide. If this were the case, the secondary clusters would represent 338 the correct local fingerprint, while the common cluster would correspond to the fingerprint of Atlantic 339 seawater. Manganese is known to fluctuate in oyster shells from ebb to flood currents (Huyghe et al., 340 2019). Other chemical elements (e.g. those measured here) could present similar fluctuations. Switching between these two compositions, which are responsible for the presence of two distinct 341 fingerprints, could be caused by the relative proportion (by volume in the analysed shell) of carbonate 342 343 formed during ebb and flow currents. The proportion may vary, depending on growth rates. The case of the Baie des Veys shells (Oe BdV M group) is singular as it has no secondary cluster (Figure 4b). 344 Interestingly, this site is also the locality with the most open marine settings from all modern groups. 345 346 Although some shells of other groups did not have measurements corresponding to secondary clusters, the fact that no measurements from the 38 performed on five specimens are outside this common 347

cluster would tend to imply that there is only one fingerprint in this site. This observation is supported 348 by the marine water mass current of the Baie des Veys, which flows towards the direction of the 349 350 rivers' outputs from the locality where the oysters were collected (Figure 1; Lazure and Desmare, 351 2012), hence limiting the influence of the composition of the freshwater coming from the land. Becker 352 et al. (2005) measured the elemental fingerprint of young mussel shells in several localities in San 353 Diego County to study the larval dispersal along the coastline. They were able to observe distinct 354 fingerprints for specimens from estuarine locations, but could not clearly discriminate open marine 355 locations. We suspect this is what is observed here, with a 'marine' fingerprint common to several 356 groups (being identical to that of an open marine locality, *i.e.* Baie des Veys), and a secondary 357 fingerprint, specific to the locality, in environments where continental inputs are sufficiently strong. 358 This assumption is supported by a higher and more stable salinity in Baie des Veys (approximately 33 all year) than in Marennes-Oléron (27 to 34; Lartaud et al., 2010c). 359

Temporal changes in the local elemental fingerprint have already been reported in mollusc shells, such as *Cerastoderma edule* and *Mytilus edulis* from Ireland (Ricardo et al., 2017; Bennion et al., 2019). These differences occur within months and observations in this study confirm that it is also the case for *O. edulis*, although the same is not true for *C. gigas*. These differences appear to switch back and forth between two fingerprints (**Figure 5**), one being common to multiple groups of shells of various origins in the French Atlantic Ocean and English Channel coastlines.

The case of the Charente-Maritime groups (Marennes-Oléron: Oe\_MO\_M; Brouage: 366 367 Oe Bro A; and Broue tower: Oe BrT A) is interesting, as two distinct secondary clusters are visible 368 in the t-SNE plot (Figure 4c). One of these groups of shells has been collected in the present day (Marennes-Oléron) with the exact location known, while the two other groups (Brouage and Broue 369 370 tower) have been found in archaeological sites on land, with evidence that these locations were on the 371 shoreline at the corresponding ages of the specimens. The fingerprint of the modern group is shared by the Brouage group dated from the 16<sup>th</sup> century CE (Oe\_Bro\_A). On the contrary, the Broue tower 372 shells from the 11th and the 14th centuries CE (Oe\_BrT\_A) present a distinct fingerprint. It may be 373 374 possible that the ovsters from this group were living in a locality in the region under the influence of

another freshwater flow with a different water composition. Indeed, the Marennes-Oléron bay has two 375 major tributary rivers, which cross different geological formations, although the main rock type is 376 377 limestone (Bourgueil et al., 1968, 1972; Platel et al., 1977, 1978; Bambier et al., 1982; Hanztpergue et 378 al., 1984; Mourier et al., 1989). The site of Brouage, from which were unearthed the shells of the 379 Oe\_Bro\_A group, is located at the same level in the bay as the modern Marennes-Oléron group 380 (Oe MO M; Champagne et al. 2012; Figure 1), with which it shares its secondary cluster. The site of 381 the Broue tower, where the shells from the Oe\_BrT\_A group were discovered, is located further south, 382 near the current output of the Seudre river (Figure 1; Normand et al., 2019). As seawater currents 383 cross the bay from north to south, the oysters from the Oe MO M and Oe Bro A groups must have been under the influence of the Charente River (north side of the bay), but not the Seudre River. On 384 385 the contrary, specimens from the Oe\_BrT\_A group must have been mainly under the influence of the 386 Seudre River. The measurements show that, in such contexts, the identification of the region can be 387 narrowed down to the watershed level. In addition to this, although temporal differences in local 388 elemental fingerprints have been reported in other regions (Ricardo et al., 2017; Bennion et al., 2019), 389 the fact that both modern (Oe\_MO\_M) and ancient (Renaissance, Oe\_Bro\_A) shells share a common 390 cluster and a local cluster over the course of several centuries indicate that multiple measurements 391 over the growth direction of shells can allow the local elemental fingerprint to be recognized, whatever 392 the period of collection. This interpretation is also supported by the Oe BrT A specimens, which 393 present fingerprints corresponding to both the common cluster and the same (locality-specific) secondary cluster, whether specimens are from the 11<sup>th</sup> or the 14<sup>th</sup> c. CE. 394

The only group of shells from the English Channel/Atlantic Ocean coastline that have no measurements corresponding to the common cluster is Oe\_Lan\_A. The Medieval site at Landévennec, from which these shells were unearthed, is located in the direct proximity of the Aulne River (**Figure 1**). Although the salinity is close to marine (generally around 32 except during flooding events where salinity drops to 15; Monbet and Bassoulet, 1989), the river rate of flow (annual mean of 24 m<sup>3</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>; Auffret, 1981) is probably high enough to induce a strong influence in terms of chemical composition of the local seawater. That way, even at high tide, the composition of shells, derived from the local

seawater, would still be substantially influenced from the Aulne River. The two sub-groups from this
cluster, with each corresponding to one specimen of different age (8<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> centuries CE), are
probably due to a different degree of the influence of freshwater input. As several centuries separate
these specimens, it is probable that the local geomorphology and water level changed, causing
relocation of the supply of oysters from the inhabitants. Nevertheless, the close similar fingerprint of
these specimens implies that they originate from nearby locations.

408 For *C. gigas* groups, all measurements from the Cg\_Leu\_M group of shells are clustered away 409 from the other groups in **Figure 3**, with highly negative values on the 1<sup>st</sup> dimension axis and highly 410 positive values on the 2<sup>nd</sup> dimension axis. This observation indicates that the elemental compositions of these shells are substantially different than the shells from the other regions. The fingerprint from 411 412 the Diana lagoon (Cg\_Dia\_M) is also easily discriminated from the others. Compared to the other 413 regions, these localities are characterized by small watersheds, uniquely consisting in limestone. As 414 one can expect a smaller surface exposed to weathering than those of the other studied localities, the 415 abundance of some elements in the shell should be lower at Leucate and Diana lagoon. Indeed, both groups are characterized by low abundances of light REE compared to the other groups, but the other 416 417 analysed elements do not present such a systematic lower content (Supplementary Information 4). It is also possible that, as both these localities are in restricted lagoons, salinity can be at play. Indeed, 418 419 Diana Lagoon (Mediterranean Sea) is known to have a high salinity (37.3 annual mean; Orsini et al., 420 2001) and Leucate lagoon has a seasonally dominated salinity (26 in winter and 42 in summer due to 421 evaporation; Andrisoa et al., 2019), whereas Baie des Veys and Marennes-Oléron (Atlantic coastline) 422 have lower salinities (33.4 and 32.4 annual mean, respectively; Lartaud et al., 2010c).

For "Atlantic Ocean" *C. gigas* specimens, the Baie des Veys group (Cg\_BdV\_M; although situated in the English Channel, with a wide access to the Ocean) appears to be the most distinct by t-SNE between these groups, with only some measurements overlapping with the Tès group. This is interesting, as *O. edulis* shells from the same locality (Oe\_BdV\_M) had the peculiar characteristic of representing the composition of a cluster common to other Atlantic groups. The Tès (Cg\_Tes\_M) and Marennes-Oléron (Cg\_MO\_M) groups share their distribution in the t-SNE graph, with the Marennes-

Oléron distribution entirely comprised into a restricted portion of the Tès distribution. The large 429 distribution by t-SNE of Tès measurements compared to Marennes-Oléron can be explained by the 430 431 annual range of salinity (approx. 15 and 5, respectively for Tès and Marennes-Oléron; Lartaud et al., 432 2010c). These two localities (Arcachon Basin and Charente-Maritime) have their watersheds crossing 433 different geological formations with mainly Cenozoic river deposits for Tès (Dubreuilh et al., 1992) 434 and mainly Mesozoic limestone for Marennes-Oléron (Bourgueil and Moreau, 1967, 1970; Bourgueil 435 et al., 1968; Platel et al., 1977; 1978). Still, the deposit cover of the respective watersheds does not 436 appear to be sufficient to entirely discriminate these groups.

437

#### 4.3. Attempting to identify the provenance of the Lyons/Cybèle shells

438 The Oe\_Mal\_A group from La Malène belongs to a specific locality, which is unknown, but at 439 least confirmed from the Mediterranean coastline, probably from Languedoc coastline due to the 440 associated remains from other taxa specific to Roman-influenced Languedoc customs (Bardot-Cambot 441 and Forest, 2014; Forest, 2019; Forest, 2020 for Mureau, 2020). Measurements from this group are 442 discriminated from the other O. edulis groups of known origins (all from the Atlantic Ocean/English 443 Channel coastlines) and these groups are relatively close to one another. We note that the Oe X1 A and Oe X2 A group distributions are partially overlapping (Figure 4d). It seems that the shells from 444 these groups of unknown origin, and found at the Antiquity Cybèle site (Lyons, over 200 km away 445 446 from the nearest shore), share part of their fingerprint, which could imply that all these specimens 447 lived in the same geographic region, yet not the exact same locality. Therefore, although geochemistry confirms that the Cybèle groups initially determined by morphometric parameters (Bardot-Cambot, 448 449 2013) do exist, our data tend to discard the hypothesis that one group originated from the 450 Mediterranean Sea and the other from the Atlantic Ocean. Although additional sites from both Atlantic 451 and Mediterranean shorelines would be required to affirm this, it seems here that populations from these groups lived a short distance away from each other, and the fingerprint of the Oe X1 A group 452 453 seems to correspond to that of La Malène specimens (Oe\_Mal\_A). If true, additional specimens in 454 other localities on the Mediterranean shoreline should be analysed to identify their locality of origin, 455 but at the moment, we were not able to collect wild modern specimens.

456

#### 4.4. Quality of the discrimination method

As our dataset is constituted of 14 elements per measurement, attempts were made to reduce 457 458 this number for ease of analytical and data processing work. Some elements were found to be of 459 increased importance compared to others to adequately separate measurements from different groups 460 using t-SNE. By successive removal of one element from the dataset, Pb, Ba and U are the most effective at successfully discriminating O. edulis groups from the Mediterranean Sea and the Atlantic 461 Ocean (Supplementary Information 7). Rare Earth Elements (La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm), as found by 462 463 Mouchi et al. (2020a), were most useful for discriminating C. gigas groups. All other elements were 464 found to contribute equally to discriminating specific localities. In the end, the removal of any of the 465 measured elements systematically reduces the quality of the discriminating method.

466 Cross-validation of the clusters was attempted on O. edulis measurements (without the groups of unknown origin, *i.e.* Oe\_X1\_A and Oe\_X2\_A) using discriminant analysis. We obtained a cross-467 468 validated classification error of 24.3% (out of 210 measurements). However, when we removed all measurements from the common cluster (putatively corresponding to the open marine fingerprint, 469 470 including all measurements from the Oe\_BdV\_M group) from the comparative dataset, we obtained a cross-validated classification error of 0.8% (out of 123 measurements from 7 groups). Becker et al. 471 (2005) were able to retrieve an open marine origin from San Diego County mussel shell composition 472 473 with a 5% error (out of 41 measurements), which corresponded to misclassification to open bays. 474 However, although these specimens had been collected from six open marine localities along the 475 Pacific Ocean coastline of San Diego, the correct identification of the site had a 44 % error. 476 Misclassification of specimens from two open bays (between both bays and all open marine localities 477 as a whole) presented errors of 33 and 62 % (out of 6 and 8 measurements, respectively) due to a 478 misclassification of measurements to open marine environments. These results indicated that the open 479 marine fingerprint from the mussel shell composition is similar along 50 km of coastline (range of the 480 collection sites of the study), and that elemental fingerprinting of specimens from intertidal localities 481 in bays present some similarities to the regional open marine fingerprint. Our observations concur with 482 these conclusions, albeit with additional precision.

483

#### 484 5. CONCLUSION

Previous attempts to discover the geographical origin of archaeological oyster shells based on 485 486 geochemistry presented various degrees of success due to the use of isotopic ratios that are strongly influenced by local environmental conditions, which can be similar over extremely remote areas. In 487 this study, elemental fingerprinting of oyster shells from 15 different groups was used, highlighting 488 489 several points. First, different elemental incorporation behaviours prevent the direct comparison of 490 different species. Second, cluster analysis from the t-SNE method suggests that a regional fingerprint 491 seems to exist and to be distinguishable from large water bodies (seas and oceans). Third, in 492 environments with restricted influence of oceanic water, a more precise fingerprint is identified and 493 the locality (at the watershed scale) can be found. In addition, as previous studies reported, none of the 494 elements is able to differentiate between the origins of various groups on its own. Last but not least, 495 this technique was successful to identify the provenance of oyster shells of known and previously unknown origin from archaeological sites. Therefore, this technique appears adequate and promising 496 497 to pinpoint the provenance of oyster shells. It remains necessary, however, to have the elemental 498 fingerprint of a multitude of coastal sites as a database, so that the fingerprint of unknown specimens 499 can be recognized.

500

501 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

502 This work was carried out by the support of the TracOstrea project, funded by the 503 Collaborative Research Project "*Les Marais Charentais au Moyen-Âge et à l'époque moderne*" and 504 the University of Rennes 1. The authors would like to thank Frédéric Delbès and Mikaël Guiavarch for 505 the work they performed on the preparation of the thin sections. We thank Michel Ropert from the 506 marine station of Port-en-Bessin (IFREMER), Philippe Geairon from the marine station of La 507 Tremblade (IFREMER) and Danièle Maurer from the marine station of Arcachon (IFREMER) for 508 their precious help in the breeding of oysters at Baie des Veys, Marennes-Oléron and Tès localities,

509	respectively. The authors would like to acknowledge three anonymous reviewers for their proposed
510	improvements of the manuscript and Karin Verrecchia for editing the English.
511	
512	7. Data availability
513	The data used in this study have been deposited in the Zenodo data repository
514	( <u>http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4681223;</u> Mouchi et al., 2021).
515	
516	Declarations of interest: none.
517	
518	REFERENCES
519	André, J., 1981. L'alimentation et la cuisine à Rome. Les Belles Lettres, Paris, 264 p.
520	Andrews, A.C., 1948. Oysters as a food in Greece and Rome. The Classical Journal, XLIII,
521	299-303.
522	Andrisoa, A., Lartaud, F., Rodella, V., Neveu, I., Stieglitz, T.C., 2019. Enhanced growth rates
523	of the Mediterranean mussel in a coastal lagoon driven by groundwater inflow. Frontiers in Marine
524	Science, 6, 753. doi: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00753.
525	Apolinarska, K., Kurzawska, A., 2020. Can stable isotopes of carbon and oxygen be used to
526	determine the origin of freshwater shells used in Neolithic ornaments from Central Europe?
527	Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences, 12, 15. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-019-00978-
528	<u>2</u> .
529	Auffret, G., 1981. Dynamique sédimentaire de la marge continentale celtique. Ph.D. thesis,
530	Université de Bordeaux I.
531	Bajnóczi, B., Schöll-Barna, G., Kalicz, N., Siklósi, Z., Hourmouziadis, G.H., Ifantidis, F.,
532	Kyparissi-Apostolika, A., Pappa, M., Veropoulidou, R., Ziota, C., 2013. Tracing the source of Late

- 533 Neolithic *Spondylus* shell ornaments by stable isotope geochemistry and cathodoluminescence
- 534 microscopy. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 40, 874-882. doi: 10.1016/j.jas.2012.09.022.
- 535 Bambier, A., Capdeville, J.-P., Cariou, E., Floc'h, J.-P., Gabilly, J., Hantzpergue, P., 1982.
- 536 Notice explicative, Carte géol. France (1/50 000), feuille La Rochefoucauld (686). Orléans: BRGM,
- 537 30 p, Carte géologique par A. Bambier et al., 1982.
- 538 Bardot-Cambot, A., 2013. Les coquillages marins en Gaule romaine. Approche socio-
- 639 économique et socio-culturelle. BAR International Series, 2481, Archaeopress, Oxford, 270 p.
- 540Bardot-Cambot, A., 2014. Consommer dans les campagnes de la Gaule Romaine. Revue du
- 541 Nord, 21, Hors-série, Collection Art et Archéologie, 109-123.
- 542 Bardot-Cambot, A. Forest, V., 2014. Une histoire languedocienne des coquillages marins
- 543 consommés, du Mésolithique à nos jours. In: Costamagno S. (Ed.) Histoire de l'alimentation humaine
- 544 : entre choix et contraintes. Actes du 138<sup>e</sup> congrès national des sociétés historiques et scientifiques
- 545 (Rennes, 2013). Actes des congrès nationaux des sociétés historiques et scientifiques. Édition
  546 électronique, p. 88-104.
- 547 Bayne, B.L., Ahrens, M., Allen, S.K., Anglès D'auriac, M., Backeljau, T., Beninger, P., Bohn,
- 548 R., Boudry, P., Davis, J., Green, T., Guo, X., Hedgecock, D., Ibarra, A., Kingsley-Smith, P., Krause,
- 549 M., Langdon, C., Lapègue, S., Li, C., Manahan, D., Mann, R., Perez-Paralle, L., Powell, E.N.,
- 550 Rawson, P.D., Speiser, D., Sanchez, J.-L., Shumway, S., Wang, H., 2017. The proposed dropping of
- the genus *Crassostrea* for all Pacific cupped oysters and its replacement by a new genus *Magallana*: A
- dissenting view. *Journal of Shellfish Research*, 36, 545-547. doi: 10.2983/035.036.0301.
- 553 Becker, B.J., Fodrie, F.J., McMillan, P.A., Levin, L.A., 2005. Spatial and temporal variation in
- trace elemental fingerprints of mytilid mussel shells: A precursor to invertebrate larval tracking.
- *Limnology and Oceanography*, 50, 48-61.

- Bennion, M., Morrison, L., Brophy, D., Carlsson, J., Cortiñas Abrahantes, J., Graham, C.T., 556 557 2019. Trace element fingerprinting of blue mussel (Mytilus edulis) shells and soft tissues successfully 558 reveals harvesting locations. Science of the Total Environment, 685, 50-58. Bourgueil, B., Moreau, P., 1967. Notice explicative, Carte géol. France (1/50 000), feuille 559 Cognac (708). Orléans, BRGM, 12 p., Carte géologique par B. Bourgueil et P. Moreau. 560 561 Bourgueil, B., Moreau, P., 1970. Notice explicative. Carte géol. France (1/50 000), feuille Angoulême (709). Orléans, BRGM, 20 p., Carte géologique par B. Bourgueil et P. Moreau. 562 563 Bourgueil, B., Moreau, P., Vouve, J., 1968. Notice explicative, Carte géol. France (1/50 000), feuille Saintes (683). Orléans: BRGM, 19 p, Carte géologique par B. Bourgueil et al., 1968. 564 565 Bourgueil, B., Moreau, P., Gabet, C., L'Homer, A., Vouve, J., 1972. Notice explicative, Carte 566 géol. France (1/50 000), feuille Rochefort (658). Orléans: BRGM, 30 p, Carte géologique par B. Bourgueil et al., 1972. 567 568 Campbell, G., 2010. Oysters ancient and modern: potential shape variation with habitat in flat oysters (Ostrea edulis L.), and its possible use in archaeology, Munibe, Sup. 31, 176-187. 569 Carson, H.S., 2010. Population connectivity of the Olympia oyster in Southern California. 570 571 Limnology and Oceanography, 55, 134-148. 572 Champagne, A., Aoustin, D., Dupont, C., 2012. La citadelle de Brouage et la dynamique paléoenvironnementale du marais charentais : l'apport de la malacologie et de la palynologie. Bilan 573 Scientifique Régional 2011 de Poitou-Charentes, Service Régional de l'Archéologie. Poitiers, 294-303. 574 575 Chaufourier, G., Busson, D., Dupont, C., 2015. Provenance des huîtres consommées à Lutèce à la fin de la période Augustéenne. Revue Archéologique d'Île-de-France, 7-8, 217-229. 576 Chen, W.W. Deo, R.S., 2004. Power transformations to induce normality and their 577
- applications. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B*, 66, 117-130.

579 Craig, H. 1965. The measurement of oxygen isotope palaeotemperatures. *In*: Tongiori, E (ed.),
580 Stable isotopes in oceanographic studies and palaeotemperatures, 161–182. Pisa: Consiglio Nazionale
581 delle Ricerche Laboratorio di Geologia Nucleare.

582 Dechambenoy, C.L., Pontier, F., Sirou, F., Vouvé, J., 1977. Apport de la thermographie

583 infrarouge aéroportée à la connaissance de la dynamique superficielle des estuaires (système Charente-

584 Seudre-Anse de l'Aiguillon). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris*, 284, 1269-1272.

585Dubreuilh, J., Karnay, G., Bouchet, J.-M., Le Nindre, Y.-M., 1992. Notice explicative, Carte

586 géol. France (1/50 000), feuille Arcachon (825). Orléans, *BRGM*, 53 p., Carte géologique par J.

587 Dubreuilh, J.-M. Bouchet.

588 Dupont, C., 2016. Could occupation duration be related to the diversity of faunal remains in

589 Mesolithic shell middens along the European Atlantic seaboard? *Quaternary International*, 407, 145-

590 153. doi : 10.1016/j.quaint.2016.01.039.

Durham, S.R., Gillikin, D.P., Goodwin, D.H., Dietl, G.P., 2017. Rapid determination of oyster
lifespans and growth rates using LA-ICP-MS line scans of shell Mg/Ca ratios. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology*, 485, 201-209. Doi: 10.1016/j.palaeo.2017.06.013.

- Eerkens, J.W., Herbert, G.S., Rosenthal, J.S., Spero, H.J., 2005. Provenance analysis of *Olivella biplicata* shell beads from the California and Oregon Coast by stable isotope fingerprinting. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 32, 1501-1514.
- 597 Eerkens, J.W., Rosenthal, J.S., Stevens, N.E., Cannon, A., Brown, E.L., Spero, H.J., 2010.
  598 Stable isotope provenance analysis of *Olivella* shell beads from the Los Angeles Basin and San
  599 Nicolas Island. *Journal of Island & Coastal Archaeology*, 5, 105-119. Doi:
- 600 10.1080/15564890902955327.

Fodrie, F.J., Becker, B.J., Levin, L.A., Gruenthal, K., McMillan, P.A., 2011. Connectivity
clues from short-term variability in settlement and geochemical tags of mytilid mussels. *Journal of Sea Research*, 65, 141-151.

604	Forest, V., 2019. Les paysans consommateurs et les vestiges fauniques archéologiques : une
605	fausse évidence. In: G. Ferrand, J. Petrowiste (Eds.) Le nécessaire et le superflu. Le paysan
606	consommateur. Actes des XXXVI <sup>e</sup> Journées internationales d'histoire de l'Abbaye de Flaran, October
607	17 <sup>th</sup> -18 <sup>th</sup> 2014, Presses Universitaires du Midi, Toulouse, pp.69-78.
608	Forest, V., 2020. Étude archéozoologique préliminaire : conchyliologie. La Malène – Les
609	Piboulèdes (Lozère) (périodes antique et médiévale). Rapport inédit.
610	Gruet, Y., Prigent, D., 1986a. Etude de deux prélèvements d'huîtres d'époque gallo-romaine
611	provenant d'Alet (Saint-Malo). Les Dossiers du CeRAA, 14, 123-129.
612	Gruet, Y., Prigent, D., 1986b. Les buttes de Saint-Michel-en-l'Herm (Vendée) : caractères de
613	la population d'huîtres (Ostrea edulis Linné) et de sa faune associée. Haliotis, 15, 3-16.
614	Hanztpergue, P., Bonnin, J., Cariou, E., Gomez de Soto, J., Moreau, P., 1984. Notice
615	explicative, Carte géol. France (1/50 000), feuille Mansle (685). Orléans: BRGM, 24 p, Carte
616	géologique par P. Hanztpergue et al., 1984.
617	Herz, N., Waelkens, M., 1988. Classical marble: Geochemistry, Technology, Trade. Springer-
618	Science + Business Media, SV, 464 p.
619	Huyghe, D., de Rafelis, M., Ropert, M., Mouchi, V., Emmanuel, L., Renard, M., Lartaud, F.,
620	2019. New insights into oyster high-resolution hinge growth patterns. Marine Biology, 166, 48.
621	Huyghe, D., Emmanuel, L., de Rafelis, M., Renard, M., Ropert, M., Labourdette, N., Lartaud,
622	F., 2020. Oxygen isotope disequilibrium in the juvenile portion of oyster shells biases seawater
623	temperature reconstructions. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 240, 106777.
624	Jackson, S.E., 2008. LAMTRACE data reduction software for LA-ICP-MS. In: Laser ablation
625	ICP-MS in the Earth sciences: Current practices and outstanding issues. The Canadian Mineralogist,
626	40, 305-307.

627	Jochum, K.P., Nohl, U., Herwig, K., Lammel, E., Stoll, B., Hofmann, A.W., 2005. GeoReM:
628	A new Geochemical database for reference materials and isotopic standards. Geostandards and
629	Geoanalytical Research, 29, 333-338, doi 10.1111/j.1751-908X.2005.tb00904.x.
630	Kirby, M.X., Soniat, T.M., Spero, H.J., 1998. Stable isotope sclerochronology of Pleistocene
631	and recent oyster shells (Crassostrea virginica). Palaios, 13, 560-569.
632	Kuzmin, Y.V., Alekseyev, A.N., Dyakonov, V.M., Grebennikov, A.V., Glascock, M.D., 2018.
633	Determination of the source for prehistoric obsidian artifacts from the lower reaches of Kolyma River,
634	Northeastern Siberia, Russia, and its wider implications. Quaternary International, 476, 95-101.
635	Lartaud, F, Emmanuel, L, de Rafélis, M, Pouvreau, S, Renard, M. 2010a. Influence of food
636	supply on the $\delta^{13}$ C signature of mollusc shells: implications for palaeoenvironmental reconstitutions.
637	<i>Geo-Marine Letters</i> , 30, 23–34. Doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00367-009-0148-4</u> .
638	Lartaud, F., de Rafélis, M., Ropert, M., Emmanuel, L., Geairon, P., Renard, M., 2010b. Mn
639	labelling of living oysters: artificial and natural cathodoluminescence analyses as a tool for age and
640	growth rate determination of C. gigas (Thunberg, 1793) shells. Aquaculture, 300 (1), 206-217, doi
641	10.1016/j.aquaculture.2009.12.018.
642	Lartaud, F., Emmanuel, L., de Rafélis, M., Ropert, M., Labourdette, N., Richardson, C.A.,
643	Renard, M., 2010c. A latitudinal gradient of seasonal temperature variation recorded in oyster shells
644	from the coastal waters of France and The Netherlands. Facies, 56, 13, doi 10.1007/s10347-009-0196-
645	2.
646	Lazure, P., Desmare, S., 2012. Manche – Mer du Nord – Etat physique et chimique,
647	caractéristiques physiques, courantologie. Caractéristiques et Etat Ecologique, 9 p.
648	https://www.ifremer.fr/sextant_doc/dcsmm/documents/Evaluation_initiale/MMN/EE/MMN_EE_06_C
649	ourantologie.pdf.
650	Matthews, K.J., 1997. The establishment of a data base of neutron activation analyses of white
651	marble. Archaeometry, 39, 321-332.

- 652 Michelaki, K., Hughes, M.J., Hancock, R.G.V., 2013. On establishing ceramic chemical
- 653 groups: exploring the influence of data analysis methods and the role of the elements chosen in
- analysis. In: R.H. Tykot (ed.) Proceedings of the 38<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Archeometry May
- 655 10<sup>th</sup> 14<sup>th</sup> 2010, Tampa, Florida. *Open Journal of Archaeometry*, 1, e1.
- 656 Milano, S., Schöne, B.R., Gutiérrez-Zugasti, I., 2019. Oxygen and carbon stable isotopes of

657 Mytilus galloprovincialis Lamarck, 1819 shells as environmental and provenance proxies. The

- 658 *Holocene*. Doi: 10.1177/0959683619865595.
- Monbet, Y., Bassoulet, P., 1989. Bilan des connaissances océanographiques en rade de Brest. *Rapport technique*, IFREMER, CEA/IPSN, 106 p.
- Morrison, L., Bennion, M., Gill, S., Graham, C.T., 2019. Spatio-temporal trace element
  fingerprinting of king scallops (*Pecten maximus*) reveals harvesting period and location. *Science of the Total Environment*, 697, 134121.
- Mouchi, V., de Rafélis, M., Lartaud, F., Fialin, M., Verrecchia E., 2013. Chemical labelling of
  oyster shells used for time-calibrated high resolution Mg/Ca ratios: a tool for past estimation of seasonal
  temperature variations. *Palaeogeography Palaeoclimatology Palaeoecology*, 373, pp. 66-74.
- Mouchi, V., Briard, J., Gaillot, S., Argant, T., Forest, V., Emmanuel, L., 2018. Reconstructing
  environments of collection site from archaeological bivalve shells: case study from oysters (Lyon,
  France). *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, 21, 1225-1235. doi: 10.1016/j.jasrep.2017.10.025.
- Mouchi, V., Godbillot, C., Forest, V., Ulianov, A., Lartaud, F., de Rafélis, M., Emmanuel, L.,
  Verrecchia, E.P., 2020a. Rare earth elements in oyster shells: provenance discrimination and potential
  vital effects. *Biogeosciences*, 17, 2205–2217. doi: https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-2205-2020.
- Mouchi, V., Emmanuel, L., Forest, V., Rivalan, A., 2020b. Geochemistry of bivalve shells as
  indicator of shore position of the 2<sup>nd</sup> century BC. *Open Quaternary*, 6, 4, 1-15. doi:
  <a href="https://doi.org/10.5334/0q.65">https://doi.org/10.5334/0q.65</a>.

676	Mouch	i, V., Go	dbillo	t, C., Dupo	ont, C., '	Vella, M	MA., For	est, V.	., Ulianov,	A., Lart	aud, F., de
677	Rafélis, M., H	Emmanuel	l, L.,	Verrecchia	a, E.P.,	2021.	Data for	the p	ublication	by Mou	ichi et al.
678	"Provenance	study	of	oyster	shells	by	LA-ICI	P-MS"	[Data	set].	Zenodo.
679	http://doi.org/1	0.5281/ze	enodo	.4681223.							

- 680 Mourier, J.-P., Floc'h, J.-P., Coubès, L., 1989. Notice explicative, Carte géol. France (1/50 000),
- 681 feuille L'Isle-Jourdain (638). Orléans: BRGM, 73 p, Carte géologique par J.-P. Mourier et al., 1989.

Mrozek-Wysocka, M., 2014. 5. Ancient marbles: Provenance determination by archaeometric
study. *In:* D. Michalska & M. Szcsepaniak (eds.) *Geoscience in Archaeometry. Methods and case studies.* Bogucki Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Poznań, 195 p.

Mureau, C., 2020. Consommation et exploitation des ressources animales dans l'est du Massif
central et le Languedoc de la fin de l'Antiquité tardive au haut Moyen Âge. *Ph.D. thesis*, Université de
Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, Dijon.

Normand, E., Champagne, A., et alii, 2019. Broue (Saint-Sornin – Charente-Maritime) : un site
élitaire au coeur des marais charentais. *Rapport intermédiaire de fouille programmée triennale – campagne 2019*, SRA Nouvelle-Aquitaine – site de Poitiers, 236 p.

Orsoni, V., Souchu, P., Sauzade, D., 2001. Caractérisation de l'état d'eutrophisation des trois
principaux étangs corses (Biguglia, Diana et Urbino), et proposition de renforcement de leur
surveillance. Rapport final. R.INT.DEL/CO 00-02. <u>https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00074/18534</u>.

694 Platel, J.-P., Moreau, P., Vouvé, J., Colmont, G.R., 1977. Notice explicative, Carte géol. France
695 (1/50 000), feuille Pons (707). Orléans: BRGM, 43 p, Carte géologique par J.-P. Platel et al., 1977.

Platel, J.-P., Moreau, P., Vouvé, J., Debenath, A., Colmont, G.R., Gabet, C., 1978. Notice
explicative, Carte géol. France (1/50 000), feuille St-Agnant (682). Orléans: BRGM, 52 p, Carte
géologique par J.-P. Platel et al., 1978.

Querré, G., Cassen, S., Calligaro, T., 2015. Témoin d'échanges au Néolithique le long de la
façade atlantique : la parure en variscite des tombes de l'ouest de la France. *In:* N., Naudinot, L.,

- Meignen, D., Binder, G., Querré (eds.) Les systèmes de mobilité de la Préhistoire au Moyen Age. Actes *des 35<sup>e</sup> rencontres internationales d'archéologie et d'histoire d'Antibes*, October 14<sup>th</sup>-16<sup>th</sup> 2014, 403418. Editions APDCA, Antibes.
- Ricardo, F., Pimentel, T., Génio, L., Calado, R., 2017. Spatio-temporal variability of trace
  elements fingerprints in cockle (*Cerastoderma edule*) shells and its relevance for tracing geographic
  origin. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 3475. Doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-03381-w.
- Richardson, C.A., Collis, S.A., Ekaratne, K., Dare, P., Key, D., 1993. The age determination
  and growth rate of the European flat oyster, *Ostrea edulis*, in British waters determined from acetate
  peels of umbo growth lines. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 50, 493–500.
- Robin, A.-K., Mouralis, D., Akköprü, Gratuze, B., Kuzucuoğlu, C., Nomade, S., Pereira, A.,
  Doğu, A.F., Erturaç, K., Khalidi, L., 2016. Identification and characterization of two new obsidian subsources in the Nemrut volcano (Eastern Anatolia, Turkey): The Sıcaksu and Kayacık obsidian. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports*, 9, 705-717.
- Salvi, D., Mariottini, P., 2016. Molecular taxonomy in 2D: a novel ITS2 rRNA sequence
  structure approach guides the description of the oysters' subfamily *Saccostreinae* and the genus *Magallana* (Bivalvia: Ostreidae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 179, 263-276.
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/zoj.12455</u>.
- Schneider, M., Lepetz, S., 2007. L'exploitation, la commercialisation et la consommation des
  huîtres à l'époque romaine en Gaule : origine géographique et source d'approvisionnement des huîtres
  du vieil Evreux et de Chartres. *Publication des actes du colloque 'Les nourritures de la mer, de la criée*à l'assiette'. Colloque de Tatihou organisé par la SFHM du 2 au 4 octobre 2003, p11-34.
- 722 Shackleton, N., Renfrew, C., 1970. Neolithic trade routes re-aligned by oxygen isotope analyses.
  723 *Nature*, 228, 1062-1065.
- Sharp, Z. 2007. Principles of stable isotope geochemistry. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson
  Prentice Hall, 360 p.

726	Somerville, L., Light, J., Allen, M.J., 2017. Marine molluscs from archaeological contexts:
727	how they can inform interpretations of former economies and environments. In: M.J. Allen (Ed.)
728	Molluscs in Archaeology, 214-237.
729	Sorte, C.J.B., Etter, R.J., Spackman, R., Boyle, E.E., Hannigan, R.E., 2013. Elemental
730	fingerprinting of mussel shells to predict population sources and redistribution potential in the Gulf of
731	Maine. PLoS ONE, 8, e80868.
732	Urey, H.C., Lowenstam, H.A., Epstein, S., McKinney, C.R., 1951. Measurements of
733	paleotemperatures and temperatures of the Upper Cretaceous of England, Denmark, and the
734	southeastern United States. Bulletin of the Geological Society of America, 62, 399-416.
735	van der Maaten, L. Hinton, G., 2008. Visualizing data using t-SNE. Journal of Machine
736	Learning Research, 9, 2579-2605.
737	Vogl, J., Paz, B., Völling, E., 2019. On the ore provenance of the Trojan silver artefacts.
738	Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences, 11, 3267-3277.
739	Zhao, H., Zhang, S., 2016. Effects of sediment, seawater, and season on multi-element
740	fingerprints of Manila clam (Ruditapes philippinarum) for authenticity identification. Food Control,
741	66, 62-68. Doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.01.045.