

Revisiting the paleomagnetism of Muong Nong layered tektites: Implications for their formation process

J. Gattacceca, Pierre Rochette, Yoann Quesnel, Sounthone Singsoupho

To cite this version:

J. Gattacceca, Pierre Rochette, Yoann Quesnel, Sounthone Singsoupho. Revisiting the paleomagnetism of Muong Nong layered tektites: Implications for their formation process. Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 2021, 10.1111/maps.13703. hal-03283291

HAL Id: hal-03283291 <https://hal.science/hal-03283291v1>

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

METEORITICS & PLANETARY SCIENCE

Revisiting the paleomagnetism of Muong Nong layered tektites: implications for their formation process

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

Running Head

 Revisiting the paleomagnetism of Muong Nong layered tektites: implications for their formation process

4 Jérôme Gattacceca¹, Pierre Rochette¹, Yoann Quesnel¹, Sounthone Singsoupho²

 CNRS, Aix-Marseille Univ, IRD, INRAE, Aix-en-Provence, France

Department of Physics, Faculty of Natural Sciences, National University of Laos, Vientiane,

7 Laos

Abstract

all the so-called Muong Nong tektites stand of the so-called Muong Nong tektites stand of y aspect. Although the source crater for the Austral ang tektites are generally considered as a relatively p sible for the formation 10 Among Australasian tektites, the so-called Muong Nong tektites stand out for their peculiar 11 layering and blocky aspect. Although the source crater for the Australasian tektites is not 12 known, Muong Nong tektites are generally considered as a relatively proximal ejecta. The 13 mechanism responsible for the formation of the layering has been a matter of debate. In this 14 work, we revisit the paleomagnetism of Muong Nong tektites. They retain a thermoremanent 15 magnetization acquired during cooling below 585°C in the presence of the ambient 16 geomagnetic field, and carried magnetite in most samples, although at least one sample 17 containing metallic iron was detected. The inclination of the paleomagnetic direction with 18 respect to the layering plane clusters around 18±12°, compatible with the inclination of the 19 geomagnetic field for this latitude at the time of impact. This indicates that the layering of the 20 Muong Nong tektites was sub-horizontal while they were cooling below 585°C. The preferred 21 scenario for the formation of the layering of layered tektite is therefore by horizontal shear in 22 pools or sheets of molten material.

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

1. Introduction

b a known impact crater, except Australasian tektites wh
though it has been recently proposed to be hidden undersical explicition of their related al., 2019), the assumed large diameter of the putative
st 180 million km² 26 Tektites are glassy bodies formed by high-temperature melting of target rocks during 27 hypervelocity impacts at the surface of the Earth, and spread over extensive strewnfields (Glass, 28 1990). Five tektite fields are known: Australasian tektites, belizites, moldavites, ivoirites, 29 North-American tektites, by order of increasing age (Koeberl, 1994; Rochette et al., 2021). All 30 can be associated to a known impact crater, except Australasian tektites whose source is yet not 31 firmly identified, although it has been recently proposed to be hidden under a volcanic plateau 32 in Southern Laos (Sieh et al., 2020). This is surprising in view of their relatively young age of 33 788±3 ka (Jourdan et al., 2019), the assumed large diameter of the putative crater and extensive 34 spread over at least 180 million km² (28% of the surface of the Earth) when including 35 microtektites found in deep sea sediments and Antarctica (Folco et al., 2008; Di Vincenzo al., 36 2021). A source crater located in Indochina is favored by most authors based on tektite and 37 microtektite distribution (Glass and Pizzuto, 1994; Glass and Koeberl, 2006), despite 38 alternative locations proposed in Northern China (Mizera et al., 2016). However, such a crater 39 has not been confirmed so far. In the absence of crater, competing hypotheses of formation by 40 multiple km-sized craters (Wasson, 1991), or airburst without the need for an impact crater 41 have emerged for the Australasian tektites (e.g., Barnes, 1963; Wasson, 2003). Besides the 42 Australasian tektite case, this debate has far-reaching implications for the understanding of the 43 possible risk and environmental effects associated with large airbursts (e.g., Cavosie and 44 Koeberl, 2019).

45 Of particular interest in this debate are the Muong-Nong tektites, a sub-category of Australasian 46 tektites characterized by their larger size (up to above 20 kg), blocky aspect without the typical 47 splashforms attesting solidification during flight, and marked layering with adjacent dark and 48 light layers showing differences in chemical composition (Koeberl, 1992). These objects, also

Page 3 of 32

 $\mathbf{1}$

Running Head

aivan, 1962; Barnes, 1963; Wasson et al., 2003). Get ejected inhomogeneous melt flowing after reachin to ther models, such as stretching in flight and/or deform nolten material have been proposed (Fiske, 1996). Muo with re 49 called simply "layered tektites" were named after the town in Laos where they were first 50 identified (Lacroix, 1935). They are found in abundance over an area of ~1000 km radius in 51 East Thailand, South Central Vietnam and Laos (e.g., Barnes, 1971; Koeberl, 1992; Fiske et 52 al., 1999; Schnetzler, 1992; Schnetzler and Mc Hone, 1996; Wasson et al. 1995; Fiske et al. 53 1999). Layering was proposed to be formed by differential flow of melt with different 54 viscosities in a melt sheet, melt pools or melt puddles along gently inclined surfaces (e.g., 55 Barnes and Pitakpaivan, 1962; Barnes, 1963; Wasson et al., 2003). Geochemistry favors a 56 formation from an ejected inhomogeneous melt flowing after reaching the surface (e.g., 57 Koeberl, 1992). But other models, such as stretching in flight and/or deformation during landing 58 of large masses of molten material have been proposed (Fiske, 1996). Muong Nong tektites are 59 also characterized, with respect to standard splashform australasites, by 1) a higher volatile 60 content (water, noble gases, etc., see Beran and Koeberl 1997; Koeberl, 1992; Mizote et al., 61 2003), 2) pressure of gas bubbles within the atmospheric range, while splashforms bubble 62 pressure estimates suggests solidification outside the atmosphere (Mizote et al., 2003; Žák et 63 al., 2019), 3) preservation of crystalline inclusions of coesite, cristobalite, zircon, magnetite 64 (Glass and Barlow, 1979; Kleinman, 1969; Krizova et al., 2019; Cavosie et al., 2018; Masotta 65 et al. 2020) indicative of lower peak temperatures.

66 Models of layering formation by flow in a melt pool or melt sheet (formed either by fallout of 67 liquid ejecta from an impact, or by in situ melting by an airburst) all require that the layering of 68 Muong Nong tektites was sub horizontal during cooling in the Earth magnetic field. Therefore, 69 the angle between layering and natural remanent magnetization (NRM), should be close to the 70 expected inclination of the geomagnetic field at that time, i.e. 28° for a site at latitude 15°N. In 71 this work, following the pioneering study of de Gasparis et al. (1975), we revisited Muong-72 Nong tektite paleomagnetism to bring further constraints to their original position at the surface 73 during cooling. Indeed, the work by de Gasparis (1973) and de Gasparis et al. (1975) was

74 focused primarily on the paleointensity of the magnetic field recorded by the tektites, with the 75 objective of determining if tektites were of lunar or terrestrial origin, an active debate at that 76 time. The directional data were only briefly mentioned and discussed, and it was John Wasson 77 who wrote "*I suggest that the remanence should be measured again…*" (Wasson, 2003), and 78 initiated this second study of Muong Nong tektites paleomagnetism.

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

2. Samples

by characterized by a purely paramagnetic behavior (R

d for paleomagnetic investigations that require at

significant amount of such inclusions (Kleinman et a

chette et al., 2015), making them suitable for a paleo

5).
 81 Tektites are usually characterized by a purely paramagnetic behavior (Rochette et al. 2015, 82 2019), not adapted for paleomagnetic investigations that require at least 10 ppm of 83 ferromagnetic inclusions such as magnetite or metal. However, it appears that Muong Nong 84 tektites contain a significant amount of such inclusions (Kleinman et al., 1969; Glass and 85 Barlow, 1979; Rochette et al., 2015), making them suitable for a paleomagnetic study (de 86 Gasparis et al., 1975).

87 We studied 32 samples taken from 18 Muong Nong tektites (Table 1, Figure 1). They were 88 selected for their marked layering (Figure 2). Nine tektites, with label starting with T or TS 89 were selected and characterized by John Wasson. Seven tektites, with label starting with N, 90 were recovered and selected by Pierre Rochette within five collection sites prospected during a 91 field trip in 2019 around the Muong Nong locality in Southern Laos. The larger samples were 92 bought directly from villagers who collected them while cultivating their fields, thus ensuring 93 that the coordinates given in Table 1 are reliable. Finally, two samples (MNP1, MNP2) were 94 obtained from a dealer in Thailand. Selection criteria were well-expressed planar unfolded 95 layering (apart from sample N16, see below), large enough size to allow cutting samples of a 96 few cm³ lacking magnetic soil incrustations that often found in the porous parts of Muong Nong 97 tektites. We encountered a significant number of Muong Nong where folding of layering 98 prevented the definition of a consistent presumed paleo-horizontal plane for the whole tektite.

 $\mathbf{1}$

Running Head

99 To document the paleomagnetic signal behavior of such samples, we cut one folded sample 100 (tektite N16) perpendicular to the fold axis, obtaining four subsamples, two from the fold flanks 101 with a ~40° angular difference, and two from the fold hinge.

al. 2019, and our own field work in 2019). Previous intestion of the soil (called loess-like or "catastro-loess" is al., 2016) on top of a lateritic surface on which the Mudu u melting may be too simplistic. In fact, the " 102 Field observations consistently show that Muong Nong tektites, when found *in situ*, occur near 103 the contact between the upper yellow sandy soil formation and an underlying more consolidated 104 red formation rich in lateritic gravel (Schneztler and McHone, 1996; Wasson et al., 1995; Tada 105 et al., 2020; Zak et al. 2019, and our own field work in 2019). Previous interpretations in terms 106 of subsequent deposition of the soil (called loess-like or "catastro-loess" by some authors, see 107 review in Mizera et al., 2016) on top of a lateritic surface on which the Muong Nong tektite fell 108 or formed by in situ melting may be too simplistic. In fact, the "loess-like" material is typical 109 of poor podzolic soils commonly found in hilly zones of tropical humid areas. Between this soil 110 and the lateritic formation, a stone line (rich in quartz pebbles) is consistently observed. Stone 111 lines are usually interpreted as accumulation of insoluble matter after pedogenetic dissolution. 112 Therefore, the in situ position of tektites may not reflect their deposition position but long term 113 pedogenetic processes. Our prospection around Muong Nong locality showed that most sites 114 producing Muong Nong tektite were lateritic gravels pits dug to provide material for dirt roads. 115 Those pits allowed to clearly observe that the Muong Nong tektites, when recovered in situ, 116 have their layering often but not always presently horizontal, and do not occur as a continuous 117 thin layer. The largest continuous layer we observed (with a maximum thickness of 4 cm) was 118 followed along less than one meter laterally. The largest samples we recovered in situ and 119 bought were 150 and 458 g, respectively.

3. Methods

122 After initial measurement of their bulk natural remanent magnetization (NRM), samples were 123 cut into mutually-oriented subsamples using a wire saw cooled with water. Sample size was

 $\mathbf{1}$

124 chosen based on the initial NRM measurement to aim for a NRM of at least 10⁻⁶ Am² allowing 125 reliable remanence measurements. Cutting was also aimed at removing the external, weathered 126 part of the tektites, with particular attention to soil incrustations that may be highly magnetic 127 compared to the bulk tektite glass. Additional cleaning was performed using a non magnetic 128 metallic needle, and for some samples with an additional treatment with ethanolamine 129 thioglycolate (EATG) to dissolve remaining adhering terrestrial oxihydroxides. An oriented 130 thin slice was cut from most sample to better define the layering (Figure 2).

rom most sample to better define the layering (Figure 2
ements were performed at CEREGE. The magnetic
dFK1 kappabridge from Agico. Hysteresis properties w
g vibrating sample magnetometer with a maximum app
ity of $\sim 10^{-8$ 131 Magnetic measurements were performed at CEREGE. The magnetic susceptibility was 132 measured using a MFK1 kappabridge from Agico. Hysteresis properties were measured with a 133 Princeton Micromag vibrating sample magnetometer with a maximum applied field of 1T and 134 a moment sensitivity of $\sim 10^{-8}$ Am². Magnetic remanence was measured with a SQUID 135 magnetometer (2G Enterprises, model 755R, with noise level of 10⁻¹¹ Am²), except for the 136 initial NRM of large bulk specimens that was measured with a spinner magnetometer (Minispin 137 model from Molspin). Stepwise alternating field (AF) and thermal demagnetization were used 138 to characterize the NRM. AF demagnetization was performed using the in-line three-axis 139 demagnetization of the 2G magnetometer, or off-line by three-axis demagnetization with a 140 LDA5 AF demagnetizer from Agico. Thermal demagnetization was performed with a zero-141 field oven from ASC. Saturation isothermal remanent magnetization (sIRM) was imparted in a 142 field of 3 T with MMPM9 pulse magnetizer. Anhysteretic remanent magnetization was 143 imparted using the LDA5AF instrument. Magnetization components were computed from 144 NRM demagnetization data by principal component analysis (Kirschvink, 1980), using the 145 Paleomac software (Cogné, 2003).

4. Intrinsic magnetic properties

Page 7 of 32

Running Head

148 The intrinsic magnetic properties of the 18 studied Muong Nong tektites, shown in Table 2, can 149 be compared to previous results for Australasian tektites that are mostly paramagnetic with only 150 traces of ferromagnetic inclusions below 1 ppm (see review in Rochette et al., 2015). The 151 average susceptibility for the studied Muong Nong tektites (94.1±17.4 10-9 m3/kg, n=18) is 152 higher than the average for Australasian tektites of 82±10 10-9 m³/kg, n=152 (Rochette et al., 153 2015). Similarly, the average saturation remanence (sIRM) of our sample set is 5.20 \pm 3.30 \times 10-5 154 Am2/kg, higher than what is observed in the general Australasian tektite population (≤4 10-6 155 Am2/kg in Rochette et al., 2015).

an what is observed in the general Australasian tektite p

e et al., 2015).

lies were measured for subsamples of the six tekt

lificantly higher than the average value of 94.1×10^{-5}

high-field susceptibility compute 156 Hysteresis properties were measured for subsamples of the six tektites with magnetic 157 susceptibility significantly higher than the average value of 94.1×10^{-9} m³/kg. All cycles 158 (corrected for the high-field susceptibility computed over the interval 0.8-1 T) are typical of 159 pseudo-single domain magnetite, with the exception of sample T428 that has a hysteresis loop 160 with low B_C , high B_{CR}/B_C and curvature up to 0.7 T (Figure 3A), typical of the presence of 161 multidomain metallic iron as observed in iron metal-bearing meteorites (e.g., Gattacceca et al., 162 2014). The saturation magnetization (M_S) in magnetite-bearing samples ranges from 8.0×10⁻⁴ 163 to 1.66×10⁻² Am²/kg, indicating a magnetite content in the 8.7 ppm to 180 pm range. The ratios M_{RS}/M_S and B_{CR}/B_C indicate that the magnetite grains are in the pseudo-single domain state 165 (Dunlop, 2002), i.e. in the 80-200 nm size range for equant grains (Muxworthy and Williams, 166 2015). The M_S value of sample T428 suggests the presence of 58 ppm of metallic iron whose 167 multidomain behavior indicates a grain size above 100 nm. There is no indication of the 168 presence of superparamagnetic grains (Figure 3B).

169 Thermal demagnetization of the NRM shows a sharp decrease in magnetization at temperatures 170 in the 570-580°C range (Figure 4A), suggesting that the main remanence carrying mineral is 171 magnetite that has a Curie temperature of 585°C. Sample T428, whose main magnetic carrier 172 is metal was not demagnetized thermally by lack of available material.

 $\mathbf{1}$

PRIDE 173 Demagnetization of sIRM by AF (Figure 4B) shows coercivity spectra in agreement with 174 pseudo-single domain magnetite, with median destructive fields of 21 ± 8 mT. The median 175 destructive field of ARM is 31 ± 9 mT. After AF demagnetization of 100 mT, less than 10% of 176 the sIRM is left in 14 out of 17 tektites (Table 1). Only 3 samples stand out with higher residual 177 IRM after AF demagnetization of 100 mT: T428 (24 %) whose hysteresis properties suggest 178 that it contains metal instead of magnetite, T490 (23%), and N19 (18%). We have no hysteresis 179 data for T490 and N19, but a sample of T490 was demagnetized thermally and shows a 180 unblocking temperature spectrum that is different from the other tektites, with a marked 181 decrease of NRM starting at 490°C rather than 560°C (Figure 4A). This may evidence the 182 presence of metal that is being destroyed rather than demagnetized during the thermal 183 treatment.

5. Paleomagnetism

186 All paleomagnetic results are summarized in Table 3.

5.1. Tektites affected by lightning-generated magnetic fields

188 The NRM intensities span 4 orders of magnitude, ranging from 10⁻⁷ to 10⁻³ Am²/kg. This is in 189 contrast with the more restricted range of 10⁻⁷ to 10⁻⁵ Am²/kg obtained by de Gasparis et al. 190 (1975). NRM/sIRM ratios of the ten samples with highest NRM are close to, or exceeds 0.1, 191 suggesting that these tektites were exposed to a strong field at some point in their history. This 192 is confirmed by the more refined comparison of AF demagnetization data of NRM and sIRM 193 (Figure 5), using the so-called REM' approach (Verrier and Rochette, 2002; Gattacceca and 194 Rochette, 2004). While most samples have REM' values below 0.1, five samples with strong 195 NRM/sIRM have REM' values well above 0.1 over the whole upper range of their coercivity 196 spectrum (samples N03A, N03B, N17, N20A, T419). It is noteworthy that the REM' values of

197 these samples are below 0.1 for AF fields below 5-10 mT, evidencing relaxation of their strong 198 field magnetization over time.

nters and collectors (see e.g., Gattacceca et al., 2014). Netends up to 100 mT, setting a lower limit for the strong Verrier and Rochette, 2002). In view of the original sa such strong and large magnetic fields if artific 199 The orthogonal projection plots of AF and thermal demagnetization data for sample N17 are 200 shown in Figure 6. The other samples with strong NRM and strong REM' show an identical 201 behavior and are not shown. The orthogonal plot for the AF demagnetization data does not 202 show the curvature typical of exposure to hand magnets, a bad habit that is deeply encroached 203 in the meteorite hunters and collectors (see e.g., Gattacceca et al., 2014). Moreover, the REM' 204 values above 0.1 extends up to 100 mT, setting a lower limit for the strong field responsible for 205 the magnetization (Verrier and Rochette, 2002). In view of the original sample size (above 36 206 g and up to 275 g), such strong and large magnetic fields if artificial, would only be generated 207 by very large rare earth magnets or electromagnets, not available on the field. Therefore, 208 remagnetization by an artificial magnetic field seems highly improbable. The best explanation 209 to account for their strong NRM is remagnetization by lightning strikes. Such phenomenon is 210 well-known in paleomagnetic studies and result in demagnetization diagrams and NRM/sIRM 211 ratios similar to what we observed here (e.g., Verrier and Rochette, 2002). For samples that 212 have been at the surface or subsurface for ~ 0.8 Myr in a tropical climatic setting, such 213 remagnetization can indeed be expected. Lightning may have occurred any time between the 214 present and 0.8 Ma, thus accounting for the viscous decay of the lower coercivity IRM. These 215 samples cannot be used for the main purpose of our work that require preservation of the 216 original magnetization of the tektites.

217 Two samples, MNP1 and N20B, have high NRM/sIRM ratio $(6.01 \times 10^{-2}$ and $1.14 \times 10^{-1})$ and 218 two components of magnetization (Figure 6). But high REM > 0.1 are restricted to the lower 219 coercivity component in these two samples: although the low coercivity component was 220 acquired in a strong magnetic field of \sim 20-40 mT, the high coercivity component is not a strong 221 field magnetization and is discussed further.

 $\overline{1}$

5.2. Tektite with folded layering

AcElhinny, 1964). We also tested stepwise unfolding c
grouping is obtained for zero unfolding. This means the
he layering was in its present folded state. The MT (resp
sub-samples, with a Fisher precision parameter of 35 224 The four subsamples originating from the tektite sample that was selected for its folded layering 225 (N16) were thermally demagnetized. They all provided a medium temperature (MT) component 226 isolated between \sim 180°C and \sim 550°C, and a high temperature component (HT) isolated 227 between \sim 550°C and 580°C (Figure 6). Both components do not pass a fold test at the 95% 228 confidence level (McElhinny, 1964). We also tested stepwise unfolding of the four direction, 229 and the maximum grouping is obtained for zero unfolding. This means that the magnetization 230 was acquired after the layering was in its present folded state. The MT (resp. HT) directions are 231 similar for the four sub-samples, with a Fisher precision parameter of 35 (resp. 69). The mean 232 MT and HT directions differ by 22.5° and are distinct at the 99% confidence level using the 233 statistical test of McFadden and McElhinny (1990). The negative fold test indicates that folding 234 of the layering occurred at temperature higher than 585°C, in agreement with a glass transition 235 temperature of about 700°C in tektites indicating that the glass ceases to be plastic below this 236 temperature (Arnd and Rombach, 1976; Wilding et al. 1996).

5.3. Tektites with planar layering

239 Discarding the six tektites (10 sub-samples) that were affected by lighting-induced magnetic 240 fields, the average NRM is 1.52×10^{-6} Am²/kg, and the median NRM is 7.48×10^{-7} Am²/kg (n=23 241 samples). The observed range $(5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ to } 4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Am}^2/\text{kg})$ agrees with the observations of de 242 Gasparis et al. (1975). The demagnetization data are presented in Figure 6. Origin-trending 243 high-temperature (HT) and high coercivity (HC) components could be isolated in all samples. 244 All samples have relatively well-defined paleomagnetic directions with an average maximum 245 angular deviation (MAD, as defined by Kirschvink, 1980) of 9.8±6.3°. The HT component is $\mathbf{1}$

Running Head

HT/HC components are therefore considered as cha
RM). The paleomagnetic declination is meaningless be
non oriented blocks. Therefore, only the absolute value
of to the petrographic layering plane, has a meaning a
ktites a 246 unblocked up to 580°C (Figure 4A). The HC component is unblocked up to alternating fields 247 between 50 mT and above 100 mT (Figure 4C), depending on the coercivity spectrum of the 248 samples (Figure 4B). These components are similar in direction among mutually oriented 249 samples of the same tektite. The average angular difference between HT and HC components 250 for mutually oriented samples is 15°, which can be attributed to orientation uncertainties and to 251 the uncertainties on the direction of the magnetization components themselves as reflected by 252 their MAD. The HT/HC components are therefore considered as characteristic remanent 253 magnetizations (ChRM). The paleomagnetic declination is meaningless because samples were 254 recovered as loose non oriented blocks. Therefore, only the absolute value of the inclination, 255 computed with respect to the petrographic layering plane, has a meaning and is given in Table 256 3. A number of tektites also display a medium temperature (MT), medium coercivity (MC) 257 component of magnetization. The MT components are typically unblocked up 540-560°C, 258 while the MC components are unblocked up to 15-20 mT (Figure 6).

259 During thermal demagnetization, the NRM is unblocked up to the Curie temperature of pure 260 magnetite (Figure 4A), except for sample T490 whose NRM is fully unblocked at 570°C, but 261 as discussed above, this sample may have metal instead of magnetite as magnetic carrier. This 262 indicates that the magnetization is likely of thermoremanent origin, and was acquired upon 263 cooling from a temperature above 585°C. The REM' ratios, integrated over the HC component, 264 are in the range of \sim 2 10⁻² typical of a thermoremanence in the geomagnetic field (Gattacceca 265 and Rochette, 2004). Therefore, these tektites were magnetized while in a fixed position with 266 respect to the ambient magnetic field. In particular, this precludes that the samples were in flight 267 (spinning) while they were cooling below 585°C. This cooling phase must have taken place 268 with the tektites in a fixed position on the ground at least between 585°C and 100-200°C (the 269 lower bound of the unblocking temperature spectra). However, 7 out of 12 samples show two 270 components of magnetization, with a cut-off temperature in the 540-560°C range. This suggests

en several components of magnetization are present, we
uighest coercivity) component as the most representate
layering, since later movements will only disturb the
of the two-component magnetizations is implicitly base
RM. 271 a one-step displacement of the tektite while cooling below this temperature. This displacement 272 had to take place in a single phase and quickly (relative to the cooling time in the 585-500 °C) 273 range) since the two components of magnetization (MT and HT) are well-defined, precluding 274 progressive movement during cooling. Tektite TS6 shows a more complex demagnetization 275 with three components of magnetization unblocked over the intervals 280-520°C, 520°C-276 575°C, and 575-580°C respectively. This suggest a more complex movement of this tektite 277 during cooling. When several components of magnetization are present, we consider the highest 278 temperature (and highest coercivity) component as the most representative of the original 279 position of the tektite layering, since later movements will only disturb the original orientation. 280 Our interpretation of the two-component magnetizations is implicitly based on the scheme of 281 two successive pTRM. A more complex possibility may be envisioned, with a part of the 282 magnetization being a chemical remanent magnetization due to the growth of magnetite grains 283 through the circa 20 nm blocking size by diffusion in the glass. But the absence of evidence for 284 superparamagnetic grains in the hysteresis properties (figure 3B) argues against such a scenario.

5.4. Paleomagnetic inclination distribution

287 The distribution of the ChRM inclination with respect to the layering plane is given in Figure 7. 288 When both thermal and AF demagnetization were available, we use the average inclination. For 289 the tektites that had two components of magnetization, we use the HC/HT component that we 290 consider more representative of the original tektite position, as discussed above. We included 291 the 9 inclination values obtained by de Gasparis et al. (1975), eight using AF demagnetization, 292 and one using thermal demagnetization. The inclination distribution is clearly different from a 293 random distribution, with a bias towards low inclinations. This is especially true if we exclude 294 the seven samples with two component of magnetization that had a more complex surface 295 history during cooling. The three samples with inclinations higher than 50° are all $\mathbf{1}$

Running Head

296 multicomponent. Excluding these seven samples, the average inclination is $18\pm12^{\circ}$. (n=15, 297 including the 9 inclination values from de Gasparis et al., 1965)

Cochette, 2003). The anisotropy of anhysteretic remainsted by assuming that the hard magnetic direction is M was imparted in a AF field of 140 mT and a bias field n, one of which being perpendicular to the layering p neas 298 This low inclination could be accounted for by a strong magnetic anisotropy of this layered 299 material, that would deviate NRM directions toward the layering plane with respect to ambient 300 field during cooling. We tested this hypothesis by measuring the anisotropy of ARM, that has 301 been shown to be a good proxy for the anisotropy of thermoremanent magnetization 302 (Gattacceca and Rochette, 2003). The anisotropy of anhysteretic remanent magnetization 303 (ARM) was approximated by assuming that the hard magnetic direction is perpendicular to the 304 layering plane. ARM was imparted in a AF field of 140 mT and a bias field 100 µT along three 305 orthogonal direction, one of which being perpendicular to the layering plane. The minimum 306 ARM was awlays measured along the direction perpendicular to the layering plane. The average 307 AARM degree, computed as the maximum over the minimum ARM, is $P_{ARM} = 1.12 \pm 0.07$, with 308 a range 1.04-1.26. This would result in an average deviation of the ChRM with respect to the 309 ambient magnetic field of 2°, and a maximum deviation of 4° (considering the average 310 inclination of 18° with respect to the layering plane). This point was also discussed, with similar 311 conclusion by de Gasparis et al. (1975), using IRM anisotropy. In conclusion, magnetic 312 anisotropy cannot be responsible for the shallow inclination distribution.

313 As a result, the only explanation for a non random distribution of inclinations in Muong Nong 314 tektites is that the layering was sub-horizontal at the time they cooled below 585°C. The average 315 inclination of the geomagnetic field at 0.8 Ma for latitude 15°N is 28°, slightly higher than the 316 average inclination of $18\pm12^{\circ}$ measured in the Muong Nong tektites. The difference is easily 317 accounted for by the paleosecular variation of the magnetic field that can result in deviation of 318 the instantaneous geomagnetic inclination from the average inclination by as much as 15-20° 319 (e.g., Lund; 2018), as well as low data number, uncertainties on the layering plane and imperfect 320 initial horizontality of this plane. The hypothesis of a post impact cooling of Muong Nong 321 tektites with their layering horizontal on average, is thus confirmed.

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

6. Implications for the formation of Muong Nong tektites

The sub-horizontal layering is acquired and iroze.
The sub-horizontal layering is compatible with scenari
formed either by an airburst (Wasson, 2003), or by the
prizontal spreading or downslope flow in these melt p
impart 324 The confirmation that the Muong Nong tektite layering was sub-horizontal when they cooled 325 below 585°C precludes a scenario in which layering is acquired and frozen during ejection or 326 flight (Fiske, 1996). The sub-horizontal layering is compatible with scenarii in which melt pools 327 or a melt sheet are formed either by an airburst (Wasson, 2003), or by the fallout of ejected 328 liquid material. Horizontal spreading or downslope flow in these melt pools or melt sheets 329 would have then imparted a sub-horizontal layering (e.g., Barnes and Pitakpaivan, 1962; 330 Wasson, 2003). Our results cannot discriminate between these two models (in situ melting by 331 an airburst versus fallout following an impact), although the present-day dominant model is that 332 of an impact, favored for instance by the presence of high pressure phases in Australasian 333 tektites (Cavosie et al., 2018). Moreover, the airburst model does not imply strong horizontal 334 flow and is not clearly able to produce a layering.

335 The occurrence of a few samples showing evidence of movements occurring during the cooling 336 history, when the tektite was at a temperature of 540-560°C, show that the tektite melt pools or 337 melt sheet may have behaved in a similar way as lava pool, where rotations of already solidified 338 parts are common, resulting in pahoehoe type features.

339 Although we can roughly estimate the absolute value of the inclination of the geomagnetic field 340 at the time of impact, there is no constraint about its sign. The tektite-forming impact took place 341 at 788±3 ka, shortly before the Brunhes-Matuyama reversal of the geomagnetic field dated at 342 773 ka (Simon et al., 2019). The inclination of the geomagnetic field was therefore negative at 343 the location of the Muong Nong tektites. As a side result, paleomagnetism may provide a way

344 to determine the original polarity of the layering of the Muong Nong tektites, as the inclination 345 of the NRM with respect to the layering plane should be negative (i.e., the NRM should be 346 pointing up).

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

7. Conclusion

mat while some multimg Nong textiles have been rem
kely lighting-generated, a significant fraction retains
uired during cooling below 585°C in the present
This magnetization is carried by magnetite in most s
ontaining meta 349 Our results show that while some Muong Nong tektites have been remagnetized by strong 350 magnetic fields, likely lighting-generated, a significant fraction retains a thermoremanent 351 magnetization acquired during cooling below 585°C in the presence of the ambient 352 geomagnetic field. This magnetization is carried by magnetite in most samples, although at 353 least one sample containing metallic iron was detected. The study of a sample with a folded 354 layering show that the folding was acquired at temperatures above 585°C. For samples with 355 simple planar layering, the inclination of the paleomagnetic direction with respect to the 356 layering plane is not random and clusters near horizontal, with an average of 18±12°, 357 compatible with the inclination of the geomagnetic field for this latitude at the time of impact. 358 This confirms, based on a more extensive dataset, previous paleomagnetic results (de Gasparis 359 et al., 1975) showing that the layering of the Muong Nong tektites was sub-horizontal while 360 they were cooling below 585°C. Some tektites kept this position at least until they cooled below 361 100-200°C, while others were affected by movements suggesting a dynamic cooling 362 environment. The preferred scenario for the formation of the layering of layered tektite is 363 therefore by horizontal shear in pools or sheets of molten material. Paleomagnetism also 364 provide a way to determine the polarity of the layering of Muong Nong tektites.

Acknowledgements

366 This work is dedicated to the memory of John T. Wasson, for his inspiring leadership in the 367 field of meteorite and tektite studies. John suggested the topic of this article to the first author

 $1⁵$

368 during a memorable lunch in downtown Casablanca during the Meteoritical Society Meeting

369 in 2014, and provided well-characterized samples for the study.

370

371 **References**

- 372 Arndt J., and Rombach N. 1976. Derivation of the thermal history of tektites and lunar glasses 373 from their thermal expansion characteristic. *7 th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference*: 374 1123–1141.
- 375 Barnes V.E. 1963. Terrestrial implication of layering, bubble shape and minerals along faults 376 in tektite origin. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 28:1267–1271.
- 377 Barnes V.E. 1971. Description and origin of large tektite from Thailand. *Chemie der Erde* 378 30:13–19.
- 379 Barnes V.E., and Pitakpaivan K. 1962. Origin of indochinite tektites. *Proceedings of the* 380 *National Academy of Sciences* 48:947–955.
- 381 Beran, A., and Koeberl, C. 1997, Water in tektites and impact glasses by Fourier-transform 382 infrared spectroscopy. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 32:211–216.
- 383 Cavosie A.J., Timms N.E., Erickson T.M., and Koeberl, C. 2018. New clues from Earth's most 384 elusive impact crater: Evidence of reidite in Australasian tektites from Thailand. *Geology* 385 46:203–206.
- 386 Cavosie A.J., and Koeberl C. 2019. Overestimation of threat from 100 Mt–class airbursts? 387 High ‑pressure evidence from zircon in Libyan Desert Glass. *Geology* 47:1–4.
- 388 Cogné, J.P., 2003. PaleoMac: a MacintoshTM application for treating paleomagnetic data and 389 making plate reconstructions. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* 4, 390 http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2001GC000227.
- 391 De Gasparis A.A. 1973. Magnetic properties of tektites and impact glasses. Ph.D thesis, 392 University of Pittsburgh, 173 p.
- 393 De Gasparis A.A., Fuller M., and Cassidy W. 1975. Natural remanent magnetism of tektites of 394 the Muong-Nong type and its bearing on models of their origin. *Geology* 3:605–607.
- Terrestrial implication of layering, bubble shape and n
Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 28:1267-1271.
Description and origin of large tektite from Thailand
Pitakpaivan K. 1962. Origin of indochinite tektites.
my of Science 395 Di Vincenzo G., Folco L., Suttle .M D., Brase L., Harvey R. P. 2021. Multi-collector ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar 396 dating of microtektites from microtektites from Transantarctic Mountains (Antarctica): a 397 definitive link with the Australasian tektite/microtektite strewn field. *Geochimica et* 398 *Cosmochimica Acta* 298:112-130.
- 399 Dunlop D. J. 2002. Theory and application of the Day plot (Mrs/Ms versus Hcr/Hc): 1. 400 Theoretical curves and tests using titanomagnetite data. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 401 107(B3), 2056. 47 8 49 50
- 402 Fiske P. S. 1996. Constraints on the formation of layered tektites from the excavation and 403 analysis of layered tektites from northeast Thailand. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 404 31:42–45. 51 52 53 54
- 405 Fiske P. S., Schnetzler C. C., McHone J., Chanthavaichith K. K., Homsombath I., and 406 Phouthakayalat T. 1999. Layered tektites of Southeast Asia: Field studies in Central Laos 407 and Vietnam. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 34:757–762. 55 56 57
- 408 Folco L., Rochette P., Perchiazzi N., D'Orazio M., Laurenzi M. A., and Tiepolo M. 2008. 409 Microtektites from Victoria Land Transantarctic Mountains. *Geology*:36, 291–294. 58 59 60

 $\mathbf{1}$

Running Head

- 123456789 $\overline{3}$ 457 Mizote S., Matsumoto T., Matsuda J., and Koeberl C. 2003. Noble gases in Muong Nong-type $\overline{4}$ 458 tektites and their implications. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 38:747–758. 5
- 459 Muxworthy A. R., Williams W. 2015. Critical single-domain grain sizes in elongated iron 6 460 particles: implications for meteoritic and lunar magnetism. *Geophysical Journal* $\overline{7}$ 8 461 *International* 202:578-583.
- 9 462 Rochette P., Gattacceca J., Devouard B., Moustard F., Bezaeva N., Cournède C., and Scaillet 10 463 B. 2015. Magnetic properties of tektites and other related impact glasses. *Earth and* 11 464 *Planetary Science Letters* 432:381–390. 12
- 465 Rochette P., Bezaeva N., Kosterov A., Gattacceca J., Masaitis V., Badyukov D. Giuli G., 466 Lepore G.O., Beck P. 2019. Magnetic Properties and Redox State of Impact Glasses: A 467 Review and New Case Studies from Siberia. *Geosciences* 9 (5), 225 13 14 15 16
- , P., Braucher, R., Cornec, J., Debaille, V., Devouard
oustard, F., Moynier, F., Nomade, S., and Reynard, B.
d source crater couple discovered in Central Americion
process and its ubiquity. *Communications Earth*
992. Mech 468 Rochette, P., Beck, P., Braucher, R., Cornec, J., Debaille, V., Devouard, B., Gattacceca, J., 469 Jourdan, F., Moustard, F., Moynier, F., Nomade, S., and Reynard, B. 2021. A new tektite 470 strewn-field and source crater couple discovered in Central America: insights into the 471 tektite generation process and its ubiquity. *Communications Earth & Environment,* in 472 press. 17 18 19 20 21 22
- 473 Schnetzler C. C. 1992. Mechanism of Muong Nong-type tektite formation and speculation on 474 the source of Australasian tektites. *Meteoritics* 27:154–165. 23 24
- 475 Schnetzler C. C., and McHone J. F.1996. Source of Australasian tektites: Investigating 476 possible impact sites in Laos. *Meteoritics and Planetary Science* 31:73–76. 25 26 27
- 477 Sieh K., Herrin J., Jicha B., Schonwalder Angel D., James S., Moore D.P., Banerjee 478 P., Wiwegwin W., Sihavong V., Singer B., Chualaowanich T., and Charusiri P. 2020. 479 Australasian impact crater buried under the Bolaven volcanic field, Southern Laos. 480 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117:1346–1353. 28 29 30 31 32
- 481 Simon Q., Suganuma Y., Okadad M., Hanedad Y., and ASTER Team. 2019. High-resolution 482 10Be and paleomagnetic recording of the last polarity reversal in the Chiba composite 483 section: Age and dynamics of the Matuyama–Brunhes transition. *Earth and Planetary* 484 *Science Letters* 519:92–100. 33 34 35 36 37
- 485 Tada T., Tada R., Chansom P., SOngtham W., Carling P.A., and Tajika E. 2020. In situ 486 occurrence of Muong Nong-type Australasian tektite fragments from the Quaternary 487 deposits near Huai Om, northeastern Thailand. *Progress in Earth and Planetary Science* 488 66,7. 38 39 40 41
- 489 Verrier V., and Rochette P. 2002. Estimating peak currents at ground lightning impacts using 490 remanent magnetization. *Geophysical Research Letters* 29(18), 1867. 42 43 44

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

- 491 Wasson J. T. 1991. Layered tektites: a multiple impact origin for the Australasian tektites. *Earth* 492 *and Planetary Science Letters* 102:95–109.
- 493 Wasson J. T., Pitakpaivan K., Putthapiban P., Salyapongse S., Thapthimthong B., and McHone 494 J. F. 1995. Field recovery of layered tektites in Northeast Thailand. *Journal of Geophysical* 495 *Research-Planets* 100:14383–14389. 47 48 49 50
- 496 Wasson J. T. 2003. Large aerial bursts: an important class of terrestrial accretionary events. 497 *Astrobiology* 3:163–79 51 52 53
- 498 Wilding M., Webb S., and Dingwell D. B. 1996. Tektite cooling rates: Calorimetric relaxation 499 geospeedometry applied to a natural glass. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 60:1099– 500 1103. 54 55 56
- 501 Žák K., Skala R., Randa Z., and Mizera J. 2019. A review of volatile compounds in tektites, 502 and carbon content and isotopic composition of moldavite glass. *Meteoritics and Planetary* 503 *Science* 47:1010–1028. 57 58 59 60

 $\mathbf{1}$

Captions

 Figure 1. Location of studied samples. The coordinates for samples studied in de Gasparis et 507 al. (1973) are from de Gasparis (1971).

 Figure 2. Pictures of a selection of studied tektites, before cutting to extract a sample oriented 509 with respect to the layering. For each sample, a picture of a thin slice in transmitted light is 510 shown to highlight the layering.

The layering.

the layering.

The paramagnetic and diamagnetic contribution computes

the paramagnetic and diamagnetic contribution computes

the paramagnetic and diamagnetic contribution computes

plot into regions with s **Figure 3.** A) Mass-normalized hysteresis cycles for Muong Nong tektites samples N20A and 512 T428, corrected for the paramagnetic and diamagnetic contribution computed over the 0.8-1 T 513 interval. B) Hysteresis parameters for all measurable samples. Horizontal and vertical lines 514 roughly divide the plot into regions with single domain (SD), pseudo-single domain (PSD), 515 superparamagnetic (SP) and multidomain (MD) behavior. The gray curves represent mixtures 516 of PSD, SD, and MD grains for magnetite (from *Dunlop*, 2002)

 Figure 4. A) Evolution of NRM during thermal demagnetization. Sample T420 that was 518 demagnetized by a combination of AF and thermal demagnetization is not shown. Sample T490 519 that contains metallic iron is indicated. B) Evolution of sIRM during AF demagnetization. The 520 five samples with highest coercivity are indicated. C) Evolution of NRM during AF 521 demagnetization. Samples that were remagnetized by lightning are not shown in figures A and 522 C.

 Figure 5. REM' versus AF field for representative samples: magnetized by strong field (N3A), 524 partially magnetized by strong field (MNP1), devoid of strong field magnetization (T490). 525 REM' is the equal to ΔNRM/ΔIRM over successive AF demagnetization steps (see Gattacceca 526 and Rochette, 2004 for lengthy explanations).

 $1⁹$

 Figure 6. Orthogonal plot of demagnetization data for all studied samples not remagnetized by 528 lightning. Among samples remagnetized only lightning, only N17 is shown for example. The 529 demagnetization data are projected on two orthogonal planes: layering plane (solid symbols), 530 and a plane orthogonal to the layering (open symbols). Boxes indicate sub samples from the 531 same tektite. The AF or temperature is indicated from some steps.

MENTER REVIEW ONLY **Figure 7.** Histogram of inclinations of the characteristic remanent magnetization with respect 533 to layering. Samples from this study with a single component of magnetization are highlighted, 534 followed by data from de Gasparis et al. (1975), followed by samples with two components of 535 magnetization (MT/MC and HC/HT). Distribution for an equivalent number of random 536 directions is shown (N=21 for all data, N=14 without the multicomponent samples, N=5 with 537 our single component samples only).

 $\mathbf{1}$

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{4}$ $\overline{7}$

Jérôme Gattacceca e-mail:gattacceca@cerege.fr

Editor of *MAPS*

Aix-en-Provence, April 19, 2021

Dear Editor,

Please find attached a manuscript called "*Revisiting the paleomagnetism of Muong Nong layered tektites: implications for their formation process*" by myself and co-authors that we would like to submit to *Meteoritics and Planetary Sciences* for the special issue honoring John Wasson.

Indeed, the topic of this study was suggested to us in 2014 by John Wasson who had a long time interest in tektites and in Muong Nong tektites in particular. He also selected the samples for the study. Unfortunately, I was not able to complete the study before he passed away.

Example 10 Their content of "Revisiting the paleomagnetism
or their formation process" by myself and co-author
and Planetary Sciences for the special issue honoring Jo
f this study was suggested to us in 2014 by John Wass
 The original scope of this paleomagnetic study was to confirm that the layering of Muong Nong tektites was sub-horizontal at the time these glasses were cooling. In addition to this confirmation, that provide constraints on the formation mechanism of these tektites, we provide interesting data about the magnetic mineralogy of this unusual material.

Yours sincerely,

Jérôme Gattacceca

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$ 5 6 $\overline{7}$ $\bf 8$ 9

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

 $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$ 5 6 $\overline{7}$ 8 $\overline{9}$

Meteoritics & Planetary Science

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$

 $\overline{7}$

 $\overline{9}$

 $\overline{3}$ $\overline{4}$

 $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{2}$

Tables

Table 1: List of studied samples.

4 *collected in situ, \$: bought from villagers, £: bought from a dealer

7 Table 2: **Hysteresis properties**

The Review of Financiere, R_{eff}

and the Contract of Financiere, R_{eff} 9 M_S: saturation magnetization, M_{RS}: saturation remanence, B_C: coercivity, B_{CR}: coercivity of remanence, _{XHF}: high-field (paramagnetic + diamagnetic) susceptibility, γ : low-field susceptibility. diamagnetic) susceptibility, χ : low-field susceptibility.

12 **Table 3. Paleomagnetic results**

13

43 44

14 †: remagnetized by lightning; £: demagnetized by AF to 18 mT before thermal demagnetization. MDF: median destructive field, I: angle between 15 the magnetization component and the layering plane, MAD: maximum angular deviation, n: numbers of steps used in the computation of the 16 magnetization component.

For Peer Review Only