Supporting Information ©Wiley-VCH 2021 69451 Weinheim, Germany

# Table of contents

Table	e of contents		2
I- A	dditional figures		3
II- G	General Information		3
III-	Gram-scale synthesis of complexes		6
а.	Synthesis of Ru-4	6	
b.	Synthesis of Ru-5	8	
IV-	Self-Metathesis reactions		.10
а.	Evaluation of Ru-5 in batch	10	
b.	General procedure for SM reactions in continuous flow TiT reactor	14	
C.	SM of Ethyl 9-decenoate 5	14	
d.	SM of allyl benzene	18	
e.	SM of 1-dodecene	20	
f.	SM of 1-decene	22	
V- C	Cross-metathesis reactions with Ru-4		. 25
а.	General procedure	25	
b.	CM between 1-decene and allyl acetate	25	
с.	CM between 1-heptene and 3-butenyl acetate	26	
d.	CM between 1-octene and 3-butenyl acetate: Lepidoptera pheromone	28	
e.	CM between 1-dodecene and 4-penten-1-ol: Disparlure pheromone precursor	30	
f.	CM between 1-decene and allyl alcohol	32	
g.	CM between 1-hexene and 5-hexenyl acetate: Lepidoptera pheromone	34	
h.	CM between 1-hexene and 7-octenyl acetate: Lepidoptera pheromone	36	
i.	CM between 1-decene and 3-butenyl acetate: Tenebrio Molitor pheromone	39	
j.	CM between 1-hexene and 8-nonenyl acetate: Lepidoptera pheromone	41	
k.	CM between 1-hexene and 9-decenyl acetate: Lepidoptera pheromone	43	
I.	CM between 1-hexene and 9-decen-1-ol: Lepidoptera pheromone	45	
VI-	Macrocyclisation (mRCM)		. 48
a.	General procedure	48	
b.	Formation of ester 16-membered ring	49	
C.	Formation of civetone	51	
d.	Formation of Cryptoletes pusillus pheromone	53	
e.	Formation of Yuzu lactone	56	
VII-	Cross-metathesis reactions with Ru-3		. 58
a.	General procedure	58	
b.	CM between 1-decene and cis-1,4-diacetoxy-2-butene	58	
C.	CM between 1-decene and cis-1,4-diol-2-butene	60	
d.	CM between 1-heptene and cis-1,4-diol-2-butene: Meles Meles pheromone	63	
e.	CM between 1-octene and cis-1,4-diol-2-butene	65	
VIII-	Synthesis of the civetone in the fReactor		. 67
а.	Procedure using fReactor in flow (standard scale):	67	
b.	Procedure using fReactor in flow (large scale):	68	
IX-	NMR spectra		. 69
a.	Ru-complexes	69	
b.	Catalysis products	73	
Х- Х	-Ray data		. 93
a.	Data of 4	93	
b.	Data of Ru-5	94	
XI-	References		. 95

# I- Additional figures



Scheme S 1: Synthesis of unstaturated cyclometalated Ru complexes

Table S 1: Evaluation of Ru-5 in batch

 $\mathbf{R} \qquad \underbrace{\frac{\mathbf{Ru-5} (0.1 \text{ mol}\%)}{\mathsf{THF},}}_{35 \text{ °C, time (h)}} \qquad \mathbf{R} \qquad +$ 

Entry	Substrate (R)	Product	Time (h)	Conversion <sup>[a]</sup> (%)	Z:E ratio <sup>[b]</sup>
1	Ph	12	0.5	45	97:3
2			1	64	95:5
3			3	76	91:9
4			20	86	83:7
5	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>9</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	13	0.5	37	92:8
6			1	68	90:10
7			3	87	87:13
8			20	97	80:20

[a] Conversions were determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy using trimethoxybenzene as IS. [b] Z:E ratio were determined by GC.

# **II-** General Information

**Solvents and reagents:** All manipulations were carried out in an Ar filled glovebox. Glassware was dried in an oven overnight at 150 °C or flame dried prior to use. Toluene and tetrahydrofuran, diethyl ether and dichloromethane used for complex synthesis, purification and catalysis, were purified using MBraun Solvent Purification Systems and freeze-pump-thaw degassed prior to use. 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) and *n*-pentane used for complex synthesis, purification and catalysis reactions were dried over CaH<sub>2</sub>, distilled and freeze-pump-thaw degassed prior to use. Benzene and methanol used for complex synthesis were dried over Na, distilled and freeze-pump-thaw degassed prior to use. Pentane and diethyl ether used for catalysis products purification were order from Sigma Aldrich and used as received (technical grade). NaOPiv<sup>1</sup> was synthesized according to literature procedure. NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Both were lyophilized before entering in the glove box.

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

*Z*-Selective Grubbs catalyst **Ru-1** and KHMDS (0.5 M in toluene) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, stored in a glove box and used as received. **Hoveyda-Grubbs 1** (**HG1**) was purchased form Umicore AG, stored in a glove box and used as received. NHC precursors  $1^2$  and  $2^3$  and **Ru-3**<sup>4</sup> were synthesized according to literature procedures. 1-hexene, 1-heptene, 1-octene, 1-decene, 1-dodecene, allyl benzene, allyl acetate, allyl alcohol, buten-3-yl acetate, 9-decen-1-ol were filtered through basic alumina and freeze-pump-thaw degassed prior to use. 5-hexenyl acetate,<sup>5</sup> 7-octenyl acetate,<sup>5</sup> 8-nonenyl acetate,<sup>5</sup> 9-decenyl acetate,<sup>5</sup> macrocycle precursors **21**,<sup>6</sup> **23a**<sup>7</sup>, **24a**<sup>8</sup>, **25a**<sup>6</sup> and **25b**<sup>9</sup> and ethyl 9-decenoate<sup>10</sup> were prepared according to the literature procedures, filtered over basic alumina and freeze-pump-thaw degassed prior to use. All reagents and complexes were stored in -20 °C freezer in the glove box after purification. Columns chromatography were performed with silica gel (spherical, particle size 40 µm, neutral) purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The eluents employed are reported as volume (volume percentages). Celite® 545 (spherical, particle size < 125.3 µm, neutral) was purchased from Acros. When used in the glove box, Celite® 545 and Silica were previously dried 16 h at 90 °C under high vacuum.

**NMR**: For Ru complexes and catalysis products, multinuclear NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ARX400 (400 MHz) spectrometer at 298 K. For nucleus other than <sup>1</sup>H spectra were recorded with complete proton decoupling. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million with the solvent resonance as the internal standard (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, <sup>1</sup>H:  $\delta$  7.26 ppm, <sup>13</sup>C:  $\delta$  77.16 ppm; C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, <sup>1</sup>H:  $\delta$  7.16 ppm, <sup>13</sup>C:  $\delta$  128.06 ppm). Coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hertz (Hz). Multiplicities in <sup>1</sup>H NMR are reported using following abbreviations: s = singlet, br s = broad singlet, d = doublet, dd = double doublet, dt = double triplet, t = triplet, q = quartet, quint = quintet, sept = septet, hept = heptet, m = multiplet.

Quantitative <sup>13</sup>C (126 MHz) for *Z/E* ratio determination of **15** has been performed using a Bruker NEO 500 MHz spectrometer fitted with a TCI cryoprobe, belonging to the PRISM core Facility (Biogenouest, UMS Biosit, Université de Rennes 1) at 288K, with a relaxation time of 30 s.

**X-Ray crystallography:** Intensity data were collected on a D8 VENTURE Bruker AXS diffractometer equipped with a (CMOS) PHOTON 100 detector using MoK $\alpha$  radiation (0.71073 Å) at T = 150 K. Data reduction was performed using the SHELXT program. The structures were resolved using the software SHELXS-97 by the direct methods and refined using SHELXL-2013-4.

**High Resolution Mass Spectroscopie (HRMS):** HRMS were recorded at the Centre Régional de Mesures Physiques de l'Ouest (CRMPO), Université de Rennes 1. For Ru complexes, HRMS were recorded on a Q-Exactive spectrometer using ESI source. For catalysis product, HRMS were recorded on a Bruker MaXis 4G using different sources (indicated under each compound).

**GC** analysis (Gas Chromatography): GC analysis were recorded on a GC-2014 Shimadzu (Injector:  $250^{\circ}$ C – Detector– FID:  $250^{\circ}$ C; injection volume of 1 µL) or a GC/MS-QP2010-SE Shimadzu (Injector:  $280^{\circ}$ C – Detector: EI/SQ (Ion Source temperature:  $200^{\circ}$ C; Interface temperature:  $250^{\circ}$ C); injection volume of 1 µL).

Analytical methods and retention times of every E and Z isomers were previously optimized with mixtures obtained with non-selective metathesis catalysts.

**Tube-in-tube reactor:** The 1 mL reactor was built in Cardiff University. The 3 mL reactor was purchased from Cambridge Reactor Design (Gastropod for Gas-Liquid reactions). Both are composed of:

- Outer tube in Teflon FEP (OD 3.2 mm, ID 1.6 mm, Length 6 m, Gas Volume 7.2 mL)
- Inner tube in Teflon AF2400 (OD 1 mm, ID 0.8 mm, Length 6 m, Gas Volume 3 mL)
- Both tubes are connected via Swagelok® fittings (Figure S 2b).

The reactor was connected to a syringe pump and to a vacuum pump (10 mbar) and placed in a water bath to heat the reaction.



Figure S 2 : Photos of the tube-in-tube reactor used for this study

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

**fReactor** The flow setup consisted of PFA tubing, both 1/32" and 1/16" ID, and used a Chemyx Fusion 100 syringe pump. Outlet of CSTR 5 uses 1/16" ID PFA tubing. All flow fittings and connections were purchased from Kinesis (Gripper fitting nuts, part number: 002103; Adapters, part number: P-618; Omnilok type-p fitting ferrule, part number: 008FT16; Threaded union, part number: P-623). fReactor was purchased from Asynt. It is advised that when conducting the experiment for longer than 6 hours run time, glass syringes or THF resistant syringes should be used to avoid swelling of the plastic barrel. All reaction glassware was flame-dried and back-filled with nitrogen gas.



Figure S 3: Photo of the fReactor

## III- Gram-scale synthesis of complexes

a. Synthesis of Ru-4

#### Synthesis of dichloro ruthenium-based complex 3



Chemical Formula:  $C_{35}H_{46}Cl_2N_2ORu$ Molecular Weight: 682,74 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>

In a glovebox, a suspension of imidazolium tetrafluoroborate salt **1** (2.669 g, 5.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in toluene (90 mL) was treated with a 0.5 M solution of KHMDS in toluene (12 mL, 6.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) for 30 min at room temperature resulting in a yellowish homogeneous solution. **HG1** complex (3.567 g, 5.94 mmol, 1.0 equiv)

was then added and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at 40 °C outside the glovebox for 30 min when TLC analysis (eluent: pentane/acetone 8/2) showed completion of the reaction. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the obtained crude material was purified on SiO<sub>2</sub> using pentane/acetone 8/2 to 100% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as eluent to afford the desired compound **3** as a green solid (3.519 g, 87% yield).





<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) 17.14 (s, 1 H), 7.70 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.54 (ddd, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 6.5 Hz, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.95-6.88 (m, 4 H), 5.07 (hept, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.18 (br s, 6 H), 2.69 (hept, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.49 (br s, 3 H), 2.03-2.00 (m, 3 H), 1.91-1.88 (m, 3 H), 1.70 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 6 H), 1.04 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6 H), 0.91 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6 H).

<sup>13</sup>**C NMR** (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 305.9, 305.6, 171.6, 152.9, 147.9, 145.5, 145.5, 138.4, 130.3, 130.1, 126.7, 124.5, 123.0, 122.8, 118.9, 113.4, 74.4, 59.4, 44.2, 36.1, 30.3, 28.1, 25.8, 23.2, 22.7.

Analytical data for this compound were identical with those reported in the literature.<sup>2</sup>

#### Synthesis of C-H activated complex Ru-4



Prior to performing C-H activation, complex **3** (3.002 g, 4.40 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was taken up in  $CH_2Cl_2$  in a glovebox, filtered over dry  $SiO_2$ , concentrated under reduced pressure and coevaporated with pentane. A Schlenk flask

<sup>Chemical Formula: C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>45</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Ru Molecular Weight: 672,83 g.mol<sup>-1</sup> containing a solution of **3** in a 1:1 THF:MeOH mixture (120 mL) was treated with NaOPiv (5.501 g, 44.3 mmol, 10.0 equiv). After stirring for 2 days at 55 °C out of the glove box, the purple mixture was</sup>



concentrated under reduced pressure in a glove box. The solid was taken up in THF (300 mL) and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was treated with  $NH_4NO_3$  (5.279 g, 66.0 mmol, 15 equiv) and allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. The mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, taken up in benzene and then filtered over Celite. After evaporation of benzene, the solid was washed with a minimum of Et<sub>2</sub>O then pentane to yield **Ru-4** as a purple solid (1.367 g, 46% yield).

**Note:** The reaction times of each step were optimized on smaller scale: **3** (666 mg, 0.98 mmol, 1 equiv) in THF:MeOH (1:1) (28 mL) was treated with NaOPiv (1.2102 g, 9.751 mmol, 10.0 equiv). After stirring for **21 h at 55 °C** out of the glove box, the purple mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure in a glove box. The solid was taken up in THF (60 mL) and filtered through celite. The filtrate was treated with NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (1.1056 g, 13.813 mmol, 14.1 equiv) and allowed to stir **30 min at room temperature**. The same purification was done to yield **Ru-4** as a purple solid (297 mg, 45% yield).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (400 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  (ppm) 15.59 (s, 1 H), 7.45 - 7.42 (m, 1 H), 7.26-7.23 (m, 2 H), 7.22-7.19 (m, 1 H), 7.03 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 6.85 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.70 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.59 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.48 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.54 (hept, J = 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.24 (br s, 1 H), 3.54 (hept, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.63 (hept, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.25 (br s, 1 H), 2.13-2.08 (m, 1 H), 2.03 (br s, 1 H), 1.92-1.83 (m, 2 H), 1.75-1.69 (m, 1 H), 1.67 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 1.58 (br s, 1 H), 1.48 (br s, 2 H), 1.44 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H), 1.42-1.38 (m, 2 H), 1.18 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 1.12 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 1.08 (br s, 1 H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3 H), 0.63 - 0.54 (m, 1 H).

<sup>13</sup>**C NMR** (101 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) δ (ppm) 267.7, 181.9, 155.1, 146.5, 146.1, 143.7, 135.2, 130.0, 128.5, 126.9, 124.4, 124.1, 123.9, 123.6, 123.2, 114.5, 113.1, 74.4, 67.8, 65.6, 65.1, 43.5, 39.9, 37.7, 37.6, 32.8, 30.9, 30.0, 29.1, 28.8, 27.8, 26.3, 22.9, 22.6, 21.1, 20.3.

Analytical data for this compound were identical with those reported in the literature.<sup>2</sup>

### b. Synthesis of Ru-5

Synthesis of dichloro ruthenium-based complex 4



Chemical Formula: C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>40</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>ORu Molecular Weight: 640,66 g.mol<sup>-1</sup> In a glovebox, a suspension of imidazolium tetrafluoroborate salt **2** (3.011 g, 7.37 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in toluene (200 mL) was treated with a 0.5 M solution of KHMDS in toluene (14.8 mL, 7.4 mmol, 1 equiv) for 30 min at room temperature resulting in a vollowish homogeneous solution

resulting in a yellowish homogeneous solution.

**Hoveyda-Grubbs 1** complex (4.417 g, 7.35 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was then added and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at 40 °C outside the glovebox for 30 min when TLC analysis (eluent: pentane/acetone 8/2) showed completion of the reaction. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the obtained crude material was purified on SiO<sub>2</sub> using pentane/acetone 8/2 to 100% CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> as eluent to afford **4** as a green solid (3.608 g, 76% yield).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) 17.09 (s, 1 H), 7.56 (ddd, J = 8.4 Hz, 6.5 Hz, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 (br s, 2 H), 6.96-6.90 (m, 4 H), 5.09 (hept, J = 6.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.16 (br s, 6 H), 2.48 (br s, 3 H), 2.17 (s, 3 H), 2.06 (s, 6 H), 2.03-1.96 (m, 3 H), 1.93-1.85 (m, 3 H), 1.68 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 6 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 308.6, 308.3, 170.5, 152.5, 146.1, 146.0, 139.4, 138.7, 137.6, 130.2, 129.2, 124.7, 123.3, 122.9, 119.9, 113.4, 74.2, 59.1, 44.0, 36.0, 30.2, 22.5, 22.4, 21.4, 21.3, 18.2.

**HRMS** for  $C_{32}H_{40}N_2O^{35}Cl_2^{102}Ru$  (M<sup>+.</sup>): calc.: 640.15557, found: 640.1558 (0 ppm).

**CCDC number** 2079754



Figure S4: X-ray structure of 4

#### Synthesis of C-H activated complex Ru-5



Chemical Formula:  $C_{32}H_{39}N_3O_4Ru$ Molecular Weight: 630,75 g.mol<sup>-1</sup> Prior to performing C-H activation, complex 4 (1.501 g, 2.34 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was taken up in  $CH_2Cl_2$  in a glovebox, filtered over dry  $SiO_2$ , concentrated under reduced pressure and coevaporated with pentane. A Schlenk flask containing a solution of **4** in a 1:1 THF:MeOH

mixture (60 mL) was treated with NaOPiv (2.960 g, 23.8 mmol, 10.2 equiv). After stirring for 16 hours at 40 °C out of the glove box, the purple mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure in a glove box. The solid was taken up in THF (150 mL) and filtered through Celite. The filtrate was treated with  $NH_4NO_3$  (2.826 g, 35.3 mmol, 15 equiv) and the mixture was allowed to stir 30 min at room temperature in the glove box. Still, in the glove box, the mixture was then concentrated under reduced pressure, taken up in benzene and then





filtered over Celite. After evaporation of benzene, the solid was washed with a minimum of  $Et_2O$  then pentane to yield **Ru-5** as a purple solid (1.221 g, 83% yield).

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (400 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  (ppm) 15.65 (s, 1 H), 7.34 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.23-7.17 (m, 1 H), 7.16-7.13 (m, 2 H), 6.97 (br s, 1 H), 6.80 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.68 (br s, 1 H), 6.64 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.50 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.17 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.57 (hept, J = 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.23 (br s, 1 H), 2.29 (s, 3 H), 2.22 (s, 3 H), 2.11 (s, 3 H), 2.10-2.03 (m, 2 H), 1.96-1.87 (m, 2 H), 1.76-1.68 (m, 1 H), 1.61-1.55 (m, 1 H), 1.52-1.45 (m, 2 H), 1.42 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H), 1.41-1.38 (m, 2 H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.64-0.54 (m, 1 H).

<sup>13</sup>**C NMR** (101 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ )  $\delta$  (ppm) 266.4, 181.5, 155.0, 143.9, 138.3, 136.5, 136.0, 134.7, 129.8, 129.2, 126.7, 123.5, 123.3, 122.1, 115.2, 112.9, 74.3, 65.5, 65.2, 43.3, 42.3, 40.0, 37.7, 37.6, 32.8, 31.0, 30.0, 21.3, 21.1, 20.3, 18.4, 17.5.

**CCDC number** 2072389.



Figure S5: X-Ray structure of Ru-5

91:9

83:17

# **IV-** Self-Metathesis reactions

## a. Evaluation of Ru-5 in batch

# General procedure



### Figure S 6 : Evaluation of Ru-5 in batch

In a glove box, in open vial the desired substrate (2 equiv) and trimethoxybenzene (IS, 0.33 equiv) were diluted in THF (3 M). A stock solution of complex **Ru-5** (0.2 mol%) was added. The mixture was stirred in open vessel at 35°C in the glove box. Aliquots were taken, quenched with Ethyl Vinyl Ether (EVE) and analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR for conversion and yield and by GC for *Z/E* ratio determination.

# SM of allyl benzene

3

4

3

24

The general procedure was applied with allyl benzene (172.2 mg, 1.46 mmol, 2 equiv), trimethoxybenzene (55.5 mg, 0.33 mmol, 0.45 equiv) and 61  $\mu$ L of a solution of **Ru-5** (7.5 mg in 0.5 mL of THF, 1.45.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 0.2 mol%).

Entry	Time (h)	Conversion (%)	NMR Yield (%)	Amount of by-product 12b	Z:E ratio
1	0.5	45	42	3	96:4
2	1	67	61	6	95:5

81

87

Table S 2: Evaluation of catalyst Ru-5 in the SM of allyl benzene in batch

68

69

10

19

#### Determination of conversion and yield by <sup>1</sup>H NMR



Figure S 7: Determination of yield and conversion in the SM of allyl benzene in batch by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

#### GC method for *Z/E* ratio determination

Column Information: TR-5 (30.0 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25  $\mu$ m thickness) (Thermo Scientific) Carrier gas: Helium

Linear velocity: constant = 30.0 cm/s

Temperature protocol:

Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	150	25
15	280	10

Example of GC trace obtained (Table S 2, Entry 4)



Figure S 8: GC trace obtained for the SM of allyl benzene in batch. Z/E ratio = 83/17

# SM of 1-dodecene

The general procedure was applied with 1-dodecene (111  $\mu$ L, 0.50 mmol, 2 equiv), trimethoxybenzene (13.9 mg, 0.083 mmol, 0.33 equiv) and 21  $\mu$ L of a solution of **Ru-5** (7.5 mg in 0.5 mL of THF, 0.5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 0.2 mol%).

Entry	Time (h)	Conversion (%)	NMR Yield (%)	Z:E ratio
1	0.5	39	36	92:8
2	1	68	65	90:10
3	3	88	87	87:13
4	24	98	92	81:19

Table S 3: Evaluation of catalyst Ru-5 in the SM of 1-dodecene

### Determination of conversion and yield by <sup>1</sup>H NMR



Figure S 9: Determination of yield and conversion in the SM of 1-dodecene in batch by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

### GC method for yield and Z/E ratio determination

Column Information: TR-5 (30.0 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25  $\mu m$  thickness) (Thermo Scientific) Carrier gas: Helium

Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

Temperature protocol:

Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	175	30
15	280	10

## Example of GC trace obtained (Table S3, Entry 4)



Peak	Retention time (min)	Area	%Area
1	24.655	2502574	81.2
2	25.293	578558	18.8

Figure S 10: GC trace obtained for the SM of 1-dodecene in batch. Z/E ratio = 81/19

b. General procedure for SM reactions in continuous flow TiT reactor



Figure S11: Picture of the set up in TiT reactor

The TiT reactor was purged with Ar and dried, degassed THF. In the glovebox, a syringe was filled with the substrate (2 equiv). A second syringe was filled with the solution of the desired [Ru] complex. Syringes were brought out of the glove box and quickly connected to the continuous flow system. The system was heated at 50°C thanks to a water bath. The vacuum pump was turned on (25 mbar measured during the reaction). The flow rate was set up in order to have the desired residence time in the reactor. After this time, the collect of the products started, the collected mixture was quenched with EVE.

## c. SM of Ethyl 9-decenoate 5



# Optimization of the reaction

Figure S 12: Self-Metathesis of Ethyl 9-decenoate 5 in TiT reactor

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

**Procedure** In the glove box, a solution of the desired complex (8.9.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol) in tetradecane (0.23 mL, 0.89 mmol) and THF (0.18 mL) was prepared. In the 1 mL TiT reactor, the general procedure for SM was followed with ethyl 9-decenoate **5** (0.22 mL, 0.98 mmol, 2 equiv) and 0.22 mL of a solution of the stock solution of the complex (4.8.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After the desired residence time, the collected mixture was analysed by GC to determine conversion, yield and E/Z ratio.

Entry	Catalyst	Residence time (min)	Flow rate in the reactor (mL/h)	Flow rate set up on the syringe pump (mL/h)	GC conv. of <b>5</b> (%)	Z/E ratio
1	Ru-1	30	2	1	55	95/5
2	Ru-1	60	1	0.5	70	89/11
3	Ru-1	120	0.5	0.25	75	85/14
4	Ru-1	180	0.33	0.17	78	78/22
5	Ru-4 (VACUUM OFF)	30	2	1	5	nd
6	Ru-4	30	2	1	52	98/2
7	Ru-4	60	1	0.5	66	98/2
8	Ru-4	120	0.5	0.25	75	97/3
9	Ru-4	180	0.33	0.17	79	97/3
10	Ru-5	30	2	1	60	87/13
11	Ru-5	60	1	0.5	75	80/20
12	Ru-5	120	0.5	0.25	82	77/23
13	Ru-5	180	0.33	0.17	84	69/31

#### Table S 4: Procedure optimisation for the self-metathesis of 5.

## GC method for yield and Z/E ratio determination

Column Information: DB-23 (60.0 m x 0.25 mm ID; 0.25  $\mu m$  thickness) (Agilent)

Carrier gas: Helium

Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

Temperature protocol:

Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	80	2
4	240	5

### Determination of response factor



Graph S 1: Calibration curve for GC yield and conversion for the self-metathesis of 5

The GC conversion and yield were calculated according to the following equations with tetradecane (1 equiv in comparison with the product **6**) as internal standard (IS):



Example of GC trace obtained (Table S 4, Entry 7)



Peak	Retention time (min)	Area	%Area
1	7.184	572588	37.88
2	13.673	231127	15.29
3	40.995	24078	1.59
4	41.179	683830	45.24
<b>F</b> <sup>1</sup>	0 40 E	1	014.15

Figure S 13: Example of GC trace obtained for SM of 5

The GC trace above leads to the following results: 75% conversion, 76% GC yield and 97/3 Z/E (Table S 4, Entry 7).

# Reaction in the 3 mL-TiT reactor

The conditions (3 h residence time, 50°C and 1 mol% **Ru-4**) presented in **Table S3, Entry 8** used in the 1-mL reactor, were repeated in the 3-mL TiT reactor.

**Procedure** In the 3-mL TiT reactor, the general procedure for SM reactions was followed with ethyl 9-decenoate **5** (0.22 mL, 0.976 mmol, 2 equiv) and 0.22 mL of a fresh solution of complex **Ru-4** (6.0 mg in tetradecane (0.23 mL) and THF (0.18 mL),  $4.9.10^{-3}$  mmol, 1 mol%). The system was heated to 50°C thanks to a water bath. The vacuum pump was turned on (25 mbar measured during the reaction). The flow rate of the syringe pump was set up at **0.5 mL/h** in order to have a flow rate of **1 mL/h** in the reactor and 3 h of residence time. After 3 h, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 30 min. The crude mixture was analysed by GC to determine the conversion (83%) and *Z/E* ratio (96/4). The compound was isolated by column chromatography (eluent: Pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 95:5) to yield a colourless oil (121.8 mg, 68% yield, *Z/E* ratio after purification 97/3).



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of Z-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.41 – 5.31 (m, 2 H),
4.14 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 4 H), 2.44 – 2.21 (m, 4 H), 2.08 – 1.96 (m, 4 H), 1.69
– 1.56 (m, 4 H), 1.41 – 1.30 (m, 16 H), 1.27 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H).

Chemical Formula: C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Molecular Weight: 368,56

<sup>Molecular Weight: 368,56</sup> <sup>13</sup>C NMR of Z-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 173.9 (2C), 129.8 (2C), 60.1 (2C), 34.4 (2C), 29.7 (2C), 29.2 (2C), 29.1 (2C), 29.1 (2C), 27.2 (2C), 25.0 (2C), 14.3 (2C).

Analytical data for this compound were identical with those reported in the literature.<sup>11</sup>

# Scale up of the reaction with Ru-4 (10 mmol scale)

In the 3 mL TiT, the general procedure for SM reactions was followed with ethyl 9-decenoate **5** (2.2 mL, 9.762 mmol, 2 equiv) and 2.2 mL of a fresh solution of complex **Ru-4** (35.1 mg in tetradecane (1.4 mL) and THF (0.99 mL), 48.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). The system was heated to 50°C thanks to a water bath. The vacuum pump was turned on (25 mbar measured during the reaction). The flow rate

of the syringe pump was set up at 0.5 mL/h in order to have a flow rate of 1 mL/h in the reactor and 3 h of residence time. After 3 h, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 4h30. The crude mixture was analysed by GC to determine the conversion (83%), GC yield (77%) and Z/E ratio (95/5). The compound was isolated by column chromatography (eluent: Pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 95:5) to yield **6** as a colourless oil (1.269 g, 71% yield, Z/E ratio after purification 96/4).

#### d. SM of allyl benzene



Figure S 14: Self-Metathesis of allyl benzene in TiT reactor

**Procedure** In the 3 mL TiT reactor, the general procedure for SM reactions was applied with allyl benzene (0.16 mL, 1.21 mmol, 2 equiv), mesitylene (30  $\mu$ L, 0.22 mmol, 0.35 equiv) and 0.18 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (5.0 mg in 0.45 mL of THF, 17 mM, 3.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 0.5 mol%). The system was heated to 50°C thanks to a water bath. The vacuum pump was turned on (30 mbar measured during the reaction). The flow rate of the syringe pump was set up at 0.75 mL/h in order to have a flow rate of 1.5 mL/h in the reactor and 2 h of residence time. After 2 h, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 15 min. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (58%), NMR yield (52%), by-product (5%) and by GC to determine *Z/E* ratio (95/5). The compound was isolated by column chromatography (eluent: Pentane) to yield **12** as a colourless oil (60.5 mg, 48 % yield, 95/5 *Z/E* ratio).



Molecular Weight: 208,30

12 Chemical Formula: C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub> <sup>13</sup>C NMR of Z-is

<sup>1</sup>**H NMR of Z-isomer** (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 7.39-7.20 (m, 10H), 5.87-5.63 (m, 2H), 3.56 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 4H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR of *Z*-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 140.9 (2C), 129.2 (2C), 128.6 (4C), 128.5 (4C), 126.1 (2C), 33.7 (2C).

Analytical data for this compound were identical with those reported in the literature.<sup>2</sup>



### Example of <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination

Figure S 15: Determination of yield and conversion in the SM of allyl benzene by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

Example of GC trace for Z/E ratio determination



Figure S 16: GC trace obtained for the SM of allyl benzene. Z/E ratio = 95/5

#### e. SM of 1-dodecene



Figure S 17: Self-Metathesis of 1-dodecene in TiT reactor

**Procedure** In the 3 mL TiT reactor, the general procedure for SM reactions was applied with 1dodecene (0.20 mL, 0.90 mmol, 2 equiv), mesitylene (21  $\mu$ L, 0.15 mmol, 0.33 equiv) and desired loading of **Ru-4** in THF (0.22 mL). The system was heated to 50°C thanks to a water bath. The vacuum pump was turned on (30 mbar measured during the reaction). The flow rate of the syringe pump was set up at 0.75 mL/h in order to have a flow rate of 1.5 mL/h in the reactor and 2 h of residence time. After 2 h, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 26 min. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion and NMR yield and by GC to determine *Z*/*E* ratio. The compound was isolated by column chromatography (eluent: Pentane) to yield **13** as a colourless oil.

Entry	Catalyst loading (mol%)	C <sub>catalyst solution</sub> (mM)	Conversion of 1-dodecene (%)	NMR yield (isolated yield)	Z/E ratio
1	2	43	86	79	88/12
2	1	20	81	81	92/8
3	0.5	10	80	76 (63)	95/5
4	0.25	5	70	70	95/5



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of *Z*-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.40 –5.31 (m, 2H), 2.08 –1.97 (m, 4H), 1.39 –1.21 (m, 32H), 0.89 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 6H).

Chemical Formula: C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>44</sub> Molecular Weight: 308,59 <sup>13</sup>C NMR of Z-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 130.1 (2C), 32.1 (2C), 30.0 (2C), 29.9 (2C), 29.9 (2C), 29.8 (2C), 29.6 (2C), 29.5 (2C), 27.4 (2C), 22.9 (2C), 14.3 (2C).

Analytical data for this compound were identical with those reported in the literature.<sup>2</sup>

### Example of <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination (Table S5, Entry 3)



Figure S 18: Determination of yield and conversion in the SM of 1-dodecene by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

## GC method for *Z/E* ratio determination

Column Information: TR-5 (30.0 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25  $\mu m$  thickness) (Thermo Scientific) Carrier gas: Helium

Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

Temperature protocol:

Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	160	40
2	200	-
20	280	5

Example of GC trace for Z/E ratio determination (Table S5, Entry 3)



Figure S 19: GC trace obtained for the SM of 1-dodecene. Z/E ratio = 95/5

f. SM of 1-decene



Figure S20: Self-Metathesis of 1-decene in TiT reactor

**Procedure** In the 3 mL TiT, the general procedure for SM reactions was applied with 1-decene (0.21 mL, 1.11 mmol, 2 equiv), mesitylene (25  $\mu$ L, 0.18 mmol, 0.32 equiv) and 0.23 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (5.0 mg in 0.63 mL of THF, 12 mM, 2.7.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 0.5 mol%). The system was heated to 50°C thanks to a water bath. The vacuum pump was turned on (30 mbar measured during the reaction). The flow rate of the syringe pump was set up at 0.75 mL/h in order to have a flow rate of 1.5 mL/h in the reactor and 2 h of residence time. After 2 h, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 18 min. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (57%), NMR yield (54%) and GC/MS to determine *Z/E* ratio (98/2). The compound was isolated by column chromatography (eluent: Pentane) to yield **14** as a colourless oil (71 mg, 51% yield, *Z/E* ratio after purification 98/2).

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of Z-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) 5.41 – 5.29 (m, 2H), 2.06 – 1.97 (m, 4H), 1.37 – 1.25 (m, 24H), 0.92 – 0.84 (m, 6H).

Chemical Formula: C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>36</sub> Molecular Weight: 252,49

<sup>13</sup>C NMR of Z-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 129.9 (2C), 31.9 (2C),
29.8 (2C), 29.5 (2C), 29.3 (4C), 27.2 (2C), 22.7 (2C), 14.1 (2C).

Analytical data for this compound were identical with those reported in the literature.<sup>12</sup>

## <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination



Figure S 21: Determination of yield and conversion in the SM of 1-decene by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

## GC/MS method for Z/E ratio determination

Column Information: SH-Rxi-5ms (30.0 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25  $\mu m$  thickness) (Shimadzu) Carrier gas: Helium

Linear velocity: constant = 30.0 cm/s

Temperature protocol:

Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	70	4
10	250	10

#### Example of GC/MS trace Z/E ratio determination



Figure S 22: GC/MS trace obtained for the SM of 1-decene. Z/E ratio = 98/2

# V- Cross-metathesis reactions with Ru-4

## a. General procedure



Figure S 23: General setup for CM in TiT reactor

The 3 mL TiT reactor was purged with Ar and dried, degassed THF. In the glovebox, a syringe was filled with the substrates solution (0.5 mmol, 1 equiv). A second syringe was filled with the solution of **Ru-4** ( $5.10^{-3}$  mmol, 1 mol%). Syringes were brought out of the glove box and quickly connected to the continuous flow system. The system was heated at 50°C thanks to a water bath. The vacuum pump was turned on (25 mbar measured during the reaction). The flow rate of the syringe pump was set up at 0.75 mL/h in order to have a flow rate of 1.5 mL/h in the reactor and 2 h of residence time. After 2 h, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and/or GC to determine conversion, yield and *Z/E* ratio.

## b. CM between 1-decene and allyl acetate



Figure S 24: CM between 1-decene and allyl acetate in TiT reactor

**Procedure** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with allyl acetate (51 µL, 0.47 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-decene (0.19 mL, 1.00 mmol, 2.1 equiv), mesitylene (22 µL, 0.16 mmol, 0.33 equiv) and 0.27 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (5.0 mg in 0.4 mL of THF, 19 mM, 5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 2 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 22 min. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (67%), NMR yield (57%), *Z/E* ratio (96/4). The product was isolated by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 95:5) to yield **7** as a colourless oil (37 mg, 37% yield, *Z/E*: 96/4).

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of *Z*-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.75 – 5.61 (m, 1 H), 5.61 – 5.47 (m, 1 H), 4.64 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.16 – 2.05 (m, 5 H), 1.40 – 1.21 (m, 12 H), 0.96 – 0.85 (m, 3 H)

Chemical Formula: C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Molecular Weight: 212,33 <sup>13</sup>**C NMR of Z-isomer** (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 171.0, 135.6, 123.2, 60.4, 31.9, 29.4, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 27.5, 22.7, 21.0, 14.1.

HRMS for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na calc.: 235.16685, found: 235.1668 (0 ppm). Source: ESI.

*Z*-**7** is not described in the litterature, but analytical data don't match with *E*-**7** product reported in the literature.<sup>13</sup>

#### <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield, conversion and *Z*/E ratio determination



Figure S 25: Determination of yield and conversion in the CM of between 1-decene and allyl acetate by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

c. CM between 1-heptene and 3-butenyl acetate



Figure S 26: CM between 1-heptene and 3-butenyl acetate in TiT reactor

**Procedure** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with 3-butenyl acetate (59 µL, 0.47 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-heptene (0.14 mL, 0.99 mmol, 2.1 equiv), mesitylene (23 µL, 0.17 mmol, 0.36 equiv) and 0.22 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (5.0 mg in 0.33 mL of THF, 23 mM, 5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 2 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 18 min. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (75%), NMR yield (72%) and by GC to determine the *Z/E* ratio (98/2). The product was isolated by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 95:5) to yield **8** as a colourless oil (53.8 mg, 62% yield, *Z/E*: 98/2).



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of *Z*-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.57 – 5.46 (m, 1 H), 5.41 – 5.29 (m, 1 H), 4.08 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.47 – 2.34 (m, 2 H), 2.12 – 2.00 (m, 5 H), 1.42 – 1.23 (m, 6 H), 0.95 – 0.86 (m, 3 H).

Chemical Formula: C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Molecular Weight: 184,28

Molecular Weight: 184,28 1<sup>3</sup>**C NMR of Z-isomer** (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 171.1, 133.0, 124.2, 64.0, 31.5, 29.3, 27.3, 26.8, 22.6, 21.0, 14.0.

Analytical data for this compound were identical to those reported in the literature.<sup>14</sup>

## <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination



Figure S 27: Determination of yield and conversion in the CM of between 1-heptene and 3-butenyl acetate by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

#### GC method for Z/E ratio determination

Column Information: DB-23 (60 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25  $\mu$ m thickness) (Agilent) Carrier gas: Helium Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

#### Temperature protocol:

_	Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
	-	80	2
	1	140	2

### GC trace for Z/E ratio determination



Figure S 28: GC trace obtained for the CM between 1-heptene and 3-butenyl acetate. Z/E ratio = 98/2

#### d. CM between 1-octene and 3-butenyl acetate: Lepidoptera pheromone



Figure S 29: CM between 1-octene and 3-butenyl acetate in TiT reactor

**Procedure** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with 3-butenyl acetate (58  $\mu$ L, 0.46 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-octene (0.16 mL, 1.02 mmol, 2.4 equiv), mesitylene (23  $\mu$ L, 0.17 mmol, 0.35 equiv) and 0.24 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (4.9 mg in 0.35 mL of THF, 21 mM, 5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 2 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 20 min. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (59%), NMR yield (58%) and by GC to determine *Z*/*E* ratio (98.5/1.5). The product was isolated by

column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 95:5) to yield **9** as a colourless oil (37 mg, 39% yield, *Z/E*: 98.5/1.5).



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of *Z*-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) 5.59 – 5.46 (m, 1 H), 5.43 – 5.29 (m, 1 H), 4.08 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.47 – 2.34 (m, 2 H), 2.09 – 2.02 (m, 5 H), 1.45 – 1.23 (m, 8 H), 0.90 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3 H).

Chemical Formula: C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Molecular Weight: 198,31

Molecular Weight: 198,31 <sup>13</sup>**C NMR of Z-isomer** (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 171.1, 133.0, 124.2, 64.0, 31.8, 29.6, 29.0, 27.3, 26.8, 22.6, 21.0, 14.1.

HRMS for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na calc.: 221.1512, found: 221.1509 (1 ppm). Source: ESI.

### <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination



*Figure S 30*: Determination of yield and conversion in the CM of between 1-octene and 3-butenyl acetate by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

## GC method for Z/E ratio determination:

Column Information: DB-23 (60 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25 µm thickness) (Agilent)

Carrier gas: Helium

Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

Temperature protocol:

Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	80	2
1	140	2



Figure S 31: GC trace obtained for the CM between 1-octene and 3-butenyl acetate. Z/E ratio = 98.5/1.5

e. CM between 1-dodecene and 4-penten-1-ol: Disparlure pheromone precursor



Figure S 32: CM between 1-dodecene and 4-penten-1-ol in TiT reactor

**Procedure** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with 4-penten-1-ol (56  $\mu$ L, 0.54 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-dodecene (0.24 mL, 1.08 mmol, 2 equiv), mesitylene (25  $\mu$ L, 0.18 mmol, 0.33 equiv) and 0.31 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (5.2 mg in 0.45 mL of THF, 17 mM, 5.3.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 4 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 50 min. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (70%) and by quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR to determine *Z*/*E* ratio (92/8). The product was isolated by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 10:0 to 8:2) to yield **10** as a colourless oil (50.3 mg, 41% yield, *Z*/*E*: 92/8).

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of *Z*-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.50 – 5.31 (m, 2 H), 3.67 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.18 – 2.09 (m, 2 H), 2.09 – 1.94 (m, 2 H), 1.70 – 1.59 (m, 2 H), 1.40 – 1.22 (m, 17 H), 0.96 – 0.83 (m, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>**C NMR of Z-isomer** (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 131.0, 128.9, 62.8, 32.8, 32.1, 29.9, 29.8, 29.8, 29.7, 29.5, 29.5, 27.4, 23.7, 22.8, 14.2.

Analytical data for this compound were identical with those reported in the literature.<sup>15</sup>

### <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination



Figure S 33: Determination of conversion in the CM of between 1-dodecene and 4-penten-1-ol by <sup>1</sup>H NMR



#### Quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR for *Z/E* ratio determination



#### f. CM between 1-decene and allyl alcohol

**Procedure in batch** In the glove box in open vial, 1-decene (0.19 mL, 1.00 mmol, 2 equiv), Allyl alcohol (34  $\mu$ L, 0.50 mmol, 1 equiv) and tetradecane (IS, 0.13 mL, 0.50 mmol, 1 equiv) were placed. 0.28 mL of a fresh solution of **Ru-4** in THF (8 mg in 0.67 mL, 0.005 mmol, 1 mol%) was added. The mixture was stirred at rt in open vessel in the glove box for 24 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with EVE. Conversion was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (98%). Volatiles were removed under vacuum. The product was purified by column chromatography (eluent: Pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O: 9:1) to yield **11** as a colourless oil (22.2 mg, 26% yield, *Z/E*: 65/35).



Figure S 35: CM between 1-decene and allyl alcohol in TiT reactor

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

**Procedure in TiT reactor** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with allyl alcohol (34  $\mu$ L, 0.50 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-decene (0.19 mL, 1.00 mmol, 2 equiv), mesitylene (23  $\mu$ L, 0.16 mmol, 0.33 equiv) and 0.25 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (8.9 mg in 0.33 mL of THF, 40 mM, 10.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 2 mol%). After 4 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 40 min. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (82%), NMR yield (60%), by-product (13%). Volatiles were removed under vacuum and the crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine *Z/E* ratio (80/20). The product was isolated by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 9:1) to yield **11** as a colourless oil (40.6 mg, 47% yield, *Z/E*: 80/20).



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of *Z*-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.73 – 5.47 (m, 2 H), 4.21 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.20 – 2.01 (m, 2 H), 1.48 – 1.18 (m, 13 H), 0.98 – 0.81 (m, 3 H).

**11** Chemical Formula: C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O Molecular Weight: 170,30

<sup>13</sup>C NMR of *Z*-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 133.3, 128.3, 58.6, 31.9, 29.6, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 27.4, 22.7, 14.1.

HRMS for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>22</sub>ONa calc.: 193.15629, found: 193.1562 (0 ppm). Source: ESI.

#### <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination



*Figure S 36*: Determination of NMR yield and conversion in the CM of between 1-decene and allyl alcohol by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

#### <sup>1</sup>H NMR for *Z/E* ratio determination



Figure S 37: Determination of Z/E ratio of 11 by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

#### g. CM between 1-hexene and 5-hexenyl acetate: Lepidoptera pheromone



Figure S 38: CM between 1-hexene and 5-hexenyl acetate in TiT reactor

**Procedure for the 0.3 mmol-scale:** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with 5-hexenyl acetate (38.5 mg, 0.27 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-hexene (67  $\mu$ L, 0.54 mmol, 2 equiv), mesitylene (12  $\mu$ L, 0.09 mmol, 0.32 equiv) and 0.12 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (5.4 mg in 0.36 mL of THF, 23 mM, 2.7.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 2 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 10 min. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (58%), NMR yield (52%) and by GC to determine *Z/E* ratio (98.5/1.5).

**Procedure for the 6 mmol-scale:** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with 5-hexenyl acetate (840.7 mg, 5.91 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-hexene (1.46 mL, 11.76 mmol, 2 equiv), mesitylene (0.27 mL, 1.94 mmol, 0.33 equiv) and 2.6 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (41.3 mg in 2.7 mL of THF, 23 mM, 59.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 2 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 3 h 30. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (80%), NMR yield (75%) and by GC to determine *Z/E* ratio (96/4). The product was isolated by column chromatography with 10% AgNO<sub>3</sub> impregnated silica

(prepared according to a previously describe literature procedure)<sup>16</sup> (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 98:2) to yield 15 as a colourless oil (686 mg, 59% yield, 96/4 Z/E).



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of *Z*-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.46 – 5.30 (m, 2 H), 4.09 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.14 – 1.98 (m, 7 H), 1.73 – 1.61 (m, 2 H), 1.52 - 1.39 (m, 2 H), 1.39 - 1.29 (m, 4 H), 0.99 - 0.85 (m, 3 H).



<sup>13</sup>C NMR of Z-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 171.2, 130.6, 129.0, 64.5, 31.9, 28.2, 26.9, 26.7, 26.0, 22.3, 21.0, 14.0.

Analytical data for this compound were identical to those reported in the literature.<sup>17</sup>

## Example of <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination (6 mmol-scale)



Figure S 39: Determination of yield and conversion in the CM of between 1-hexene and 5-hexenyl acetate by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

## GC method for Z/E ratio determination:

Column Information: DB-23 (60 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25 µm thickness) (Agilent) Carrier gas: Helium

Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

Temperature protocol:

Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	80	2
1	140	2





Figure S 40: GC trace obtained for the CM between 1-hexene and 5-hexenyl acetate. Z/E ratio = 98.5/1.5

h. CM between 1-hexene and 7-octenyl acetate: Lepidoptera pheromone



Figure S 41: CM between 1-hexene and 7-octenyl acetate in TiT reactor

**Procedure for the 0.5 mmol-scale:** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with 7octenyl acetate (107  $\mu$ L, 0.56 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-hexene (0.14 mL, 1.13 mmol, 2 equiv), tetradecane (0.13 mL, 0.50 mmol, 0.9 equiv) and 0.37 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (5.5 mg in 0.54 mL of THF, 15 mM, 5.6.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 2 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 30 min. The crude mixture was analysed by GC to determine conversion (50%), yield (48%) and *Z/E* ratio (98/2).

**Procedure for the 2.5 mmol-scale:** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with 7-octenyl acetate (438 mg, 2.57 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-hexene (0.64 mL, 5.16 mmol, 2 equiv), tetradecane (0.66 mL, 2.54 mmol, 1 equiv) and 1.7 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (18.3 mg in 1.9 mL of THF, 14 mM, 24.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 2 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture
was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 2 h15 min. The crude mixture was analysed by GC to determine conversion (64%), yield (55%) and Z/E ratio (97/3). The product was isolated by column chromatography with 10% AgNO<sub>3</sub> impregnated silica (prepared according to a previously describe literature procedure)<sup>16</sup> (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 98:2) to yield **16** as a colourless oil (295 mg, 51% yield, 97/3 Z/E).



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of Z-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) 5.44 – 5.30 (m, 2 H), 4.07 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.10 – 2.04 (m, 7 H), 1.66 – 1.61 (m, 2 H), 1.43 – 1.29 (m, 10 H), 0.96 – 0.87 (m, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR of *Z*-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 171.3, 130.1, 129.6,

Chemical Formula: C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Molecular Weight: 226,36

64.6, 32.0, 29.6, 28.9, 28.6, 27.1, 26.9, 25.8, 22.3, 21.0, 14.0.

Analytical data for this compound were identical to those reported in the literature.<sup>17</sup>

#### GC method for conversion, yield and *Z/E* ratio determination:

Column Information: DB-23 (60 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25 µm thickness) (Agilent) Carrier gas: Helium Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

Temperature protocol:

Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	80	2
1	140	2



### Determination of response factor

**Graph S 2:** Calibration curve for GC yield and conversion for the CM between 1-hexene and 7-octenyl acetate

The GC conversion and yield were calculated according to the following equations with tetradecane (1 equiv) as internal standard (IS):

$$Conversion of \text{ octen} - 7 - yl \text{ acetate}$$

$$= 1 - (1.8166 \times \frac{Area of \text{ octen} - 7 - yl \text{ acetate}}{Area of IS} - 0.0236) \times Equiv (IS)$$

$$Yield of \mathbf{16} = \left(1.3832 \times \frac{Area of (Z). \mathbf{16} + Area of (E). \mathbf{16}}{Area of IS} + 0.0244\right) \times Equiv (IS)$$

# Example of GC trace of the 0.5 mmol-scale

4





411639

19.99

40.617



# i. CM between 1-decene and 3-butenyl acetate: Tenebrio Molitor pheromone

Figure S 43: CM between 1-decene and 3-butenyl acetate in TiT reactor

**Procedure for the 0.5 mmol-scale:** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with 3butenyl acetate (59 µL, 0.47 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-decene (0.18 mL, 0.950 mmol, 2 equiv), mesitylene (23 µL, 0.165 mmol, 0.34 equiv) and 0.26 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (5.0 mg in 0.40 mL of THF, 18 mM, 4.8.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 2 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 21 min. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (75%), NMR yield (66%) and by GC to determine *Z*/*E* ratio (98/2). The product was isolated by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 98:2) to yield **17** as a colourless oil (62.7 mg, 59% yield, *Z*/*E*: 98/2).

**Procedure for the 6 mmol-scale:** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with 3butenyl acetate (0.73 mL, 5.82 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-decene (2.1 mL, 11.08 mmol, 1.9 equiv), mesitylene (0.27 mL, 1.95 mmol, 0.34 equiv) and 3.1 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (41.1 mg in 3.2 mL of THF,17 mM, 52.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 2 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 4 h10. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (75%), NMR yield (72%) and by GC to determine *Z/E* ratio (97/3). The product was isolated by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 98:2) to yield **17** as a colourless oil (797 mg, 60% yield, *Z/E*: 97/3).



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of Z-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.59 – 5.46 (m, 1 H), 5.41 – 5.33 (m, 1 H), 4.08 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2 H), 2.47 – 2.32 (m, 2 H), 2.09 – 2.03 (m, 5 H), 1.38 – 1.29 (m, 12 H), 0.93 – 0.87 (m, 3 H).

Chemical Formula: C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>2</sub> <sup>13</sup>C NMR of *Z*-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 171.1, 133.0, 124.2, Molecular Weight: 226,36
 64.0, 31.9, 29.6, 29.5, 29.3 (2 C), 27.3, 26.8, 22.7, 21.0, 14.1.

Analytical data for this compound were identical with those reported in the literature.<sup>2</sup>

# Example of <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination



Figure S 44: Determination of yield and conversion in the CM of between 1-decene and buten-3-yl acetate by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

# GC method for Z/E ratio determination:

Column Information: DB-23 (60 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25 µm thickness) (Agilent)

Carrier gas: Helium

Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

Temperature protocol:

Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	80	2
1	140	2

### Example of GC trace for Z/E ratio determination of the 0.5 mmol scale



Peak	Retention time (min)	Area	%Area
1	37.961	2769	1.73
2	38.528	156868	98.27

Figure S 45: GC trace obtained for the CM between 1-decene and buten-3-yl acetate. Z/E ratio = 98/2

j. CM between 1-hexene and 8-nonenyl acetate: Lepidoptera pheromone



Figure S 46: CM between 1-hexene and 8-nonenyl acetate in TiT reactor

**Procedure:** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with 8-nonenyl acetate (113  $\mu$ L, 0.53 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-hexene (0.14 mL, 1.12 mmol, 2.1 equiv), tetradecane (0.14 mL, 0.54 mmol, 1 equiv) and 0.39 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (5.1 mg in 0.54 mL of THF, 14 mM, 5.5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 2 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 30 min. The crude mixture was analysed by GC to determine conversion (62%), yield (50%) and *Z*/*E* ratio (98/2). The product was isolated by column chromatography with 10% AgNO<sub>3</sub> impregnated silica (prepared according to a previously describe literature procedure)<sup>16</sup> (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 98:2) to yield **18** as a colourless oil (55.5 mg, 44% yield, 98/2 *Z*/*E*).



Chemical Formula: C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Molecular Weight: 240,39

<sup>1</sup>H NMR of Z-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.40 – 5.29 (m, 2H), 4.05 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.04 (s, 7H), 1.65 – 1.56 (m, 2H), 1.39 – 1.21 (m, 12H), 0.93 – 0.84 (m, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR of Z-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 171.3, 130.0, 129.7,
64.7, 32.0, 29.7, 29.1 (2C), 28.6, 27.1, 26.9, 25.9, 22.3, 21.0, 14.0.

Analytical data for this compound were identical to those reported in the literature.<sup>16</sup>

**GC** method for conversion, yield and Z/E ratio determination: Column Information: DB-23 (60 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25 µm thickness) (Agilent) Carrier gas: Helium Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

#### Temperature protocol:

-	Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	-	80	2
	1	140	2

# Determination of response factor



**Graph S 3:** Calibration curve for GC yield and conversion for the CM between 1-hexene and 8-nonenyl acetate

The GC conversion and yield were calculated according to the following equations with tetradecane (1 equiv) as internal standard (IS):

Conversion of **8** – **nonenyl acetate** =  $1 - (1.3711 \times \frac{Area \ of \ \mathbf{8} - \mathbf{nonenyl acetate}}{Area \ of \ \mathbf{IS}} + 0.022) \times Equiv (IS)$ 

$$Yield of \mathbf{18} = \left(1.252 \times \frac{Area of (Z). \mathbf{18} + Area of (E). \mathbf{18}}{Area of \mathbf{IS}} + 0.008\right) \times Equiv (IS)$$

#### GC trace for conversion, yield and Z/E ratio determination



Peak	Retention time (min)	Area	%Area
1	8.318	548418	60.19
2	19.099	145835	16.01
3	47.159	4371	0.48
4	48.277	212449	23.32

Figure S 47: GC trace obtained for the CM between 1-hexene and 8-nonenyl acetate

The GC trace above correspond to 62% conversion, 50% yield and 98/2 Z/E.

# k. CM between 1-hexene and 9-decenyl acetate: Lepidoptera pheromone



Figure S 48: CM between 1-hexene and 9-decenyl acetate in TiT reactor

**Procedure:** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with 9-decenyl acetate (113  $\mu$ L, 0.50 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-hexene (0.14 mL, 1.12 mmol, 2.2 equiv), tetradecane (0.14 mL, 0.54 mmol, 1 equiv) and 0.39 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (5.0 mg in 0.54 mL of THF, 14 mM, 5.4.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 2 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 30 min. The crude mixture was analysed by GC to determine conversion (69%), yield (54%) and *Z/E* ratio (96/4). The product was isolated by column chromatography with 10% AgNO<sub>3</sub> impregnated silica (prepared according to a previously describe literature procedure)<sup>16</sup> (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 98:2) to yield **19** as a colourless oil (62.2 mg, 49% yield, 96/4 *Z/E*).



**19** Chemical Formula: C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Molecular Weight: 254,41

<sup>1</sup>H NMR of Z-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) 5.48 – 5.30 (m, 2H), 4.07 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.07 (s, 7H), 1.70 – 1.57 (m, 2H), 1.42 – 1.25 (m, 14H), 0.98 – 0.84 (m, 3H)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR of *Z*-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 171.2, 129.9, 129.8, 64.7, 32.0, 29.7, 29.4, 29.2, 29.2, 28.6, 27.2, 26.9, 25.9, 22.4, 21.0, 14.0.

Analytical data for this compound were identical to those reported in the literature.<sup>18</sup>

# GC method for conversion, yield and *Z/E* ratio determination:

Column Information: DB-23 (60 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25 µm thickness) (Agilent) Carrier gas: Helium Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

#### Temperature protocol:

•	Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
	-	80	2
	1	140	2

# Determination of response factor



**Graph S 4:** Calibration curve for GC yield and conversion for the CM between 1-hexene and 9-decenyl acetate

The GC conversion and yield were calculated according to the following equations with tetradecane (1 equiv) as internal standard (IS):

Conversion of  $9 - \text{decenyl} \text{ acetate} = 1 - (1.6324 \times \frac{Area \text{ of } 9 - \text{decenyl} \text{ acetate}}{Area \text{ of } IS} - 0.0196) \times Equiv (IS)$  (Area of (Z), 19 + Area of (E), 19)

$$Yield of \mathbf{19} = \left(1.1299 \times \frac{Area of (Z). \mathbf{19} + Area of (E). \mathbf{19}}{Area of \mathbf{IS}} + 0.0329\right) \times Equiv (IS)$$



# GC trace for conversion, yield and Z/E ratio determination

Figure S 49: GC trace obtained for the CM between 1-hexene and 9-decenyl acetate

The GC trace above correspond to 69% conversion, 54% yield and 96/4 Z/E.

# I. CM between 1-hexene and 9-decen-1-ol: Lepidoptera pheromone



Figure S 50: CM between 1-hexene and 9-decen-1-ol in TiT reactor

**Procedure:** The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with 9-decen-1-ol (102  $\mu$ L, 0.57 mmol, 1 equiv), 1-hexene (0.14 mL, 1.12 mmol, 2 equiv), tetradecane (0.14 mL, 0.54 mmol, 1 equiv) and 0.39 mL of a solution of **Ru-4** (5.0 mg in 0.52 mL of THF, 14 mM, 5.4.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 1 mol%). After 2 h of residence time, the product was collected. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 30 min. The crude mixture was analysed by GC to determine the conversion

(72%). The product was isolated by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 9:1), all the fractions containing the product were gathered and *Z/E* ratio was determined by quantitative <sup>13</sup>C (94/6 *Z/E*). The product was then engaged for a second purification with 10% AgNO<sub>3</sub> impregnated silica (prepared according to a previously describe literature procedure)<sup>16</sup> (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 9:1) to yield **20** as a colourless oil (69.4 mg, 57% yield, 94/6 *Z/E*).



Chemical Formula: C14H28O

Molecular Weight: 212,38

<sup>1</sup>H NMR of Z-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) 5.45 – 5.30 (m, 2H), 3.67 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.12 – 1.95 (m, 4H), 1.64 – 1.51 (m, 2H), 1.46 – 1.17 (m, 15H), 1.00 – 0.82 (m, 3H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR of Z-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 129.9, 129.8, 63.1, 32.8, 32.0, 29.7, 29.5, 29.4, 29.2, 27.2, 26.9, 25.7, 22.4, 14.0.

Analytical data for this compound were identical to those reported in the literature.<sup>15</sup>

### GC method for conversion, yield and *Z/E* ratio determination:

Column Information: Tr-5 (TR-5 (30.0 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25  $\mu m$  thickness) (Thermo Scientific) Carrier gas: Helium

Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

Temperature protocol:

Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	90	15
2	120	0
30	320	3



### Determination of response factor

Graph S 5: Calibration curve for GC yield and conversion for the CM between 1-hexene and 9-decen-1-ol

The GC conversion was calculated according to the following equations with tetradecane (1 equiv) as internal standard (IS):

Conversion of  $9 - \text{decenol} = 1 - (1.7277 \times \frac{Area \text{ of } 9 - \text{decenol}}{Area \text{ of } IS} + 0.0264) \times Equiv (IS)$ 



## GC trace for conversion and yield determination

Figure S 51: GC trace obtained for the CM between 1-hexene and 9-decenol

The GC trace above correspond to 72% conversion.

# Quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR for Z/E ratio determination



Quantitative <sup>13</sup>C : Relaxation time = 20 sec with NOE effect removed

Figure S 52: Determination of Z/E ratio of 20 by quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR (Z/E 94/6)

# VI- Macrocyclisation (mRCM)

a. General procedure



Figure S 53: General setup for mRCM in TiT reactor

The 3 mL TiT reactor was purged with Ar and dried and degassed DCE. In the glovebox, a syringe was filled with the 2.5 mL substrates solution (40 mM in DCE, 0.1 mmol, 1 equiv). A second syringe was filled with 2.5 mL of solution of the desired complex (3 mM in DCE, 7.5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 7.5 mol%). Syringes were brought out of the glove box and quickly connected to the continuous flow system. The system was heated at 70°C thanks to a water bath. The vacuum pump was turned on (60 mbar measured during the reaction). The flow rate of the syringe pump was set up at 0.5 mL/h in order to have a flow rate of 1 mL/h in the reactor and 3 h of residence time. After 3 h, the product is collected.

The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The collect lasted 5 h. Volatiles were removed under vacuum, 1 mL of a stock solution of mesitylene (23  $\mu$ L in 5 mL of CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 0.033 mmol, 0.33 equiv) was added as internal standard and the crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR conversion and *Z/E* ratio. Products were then isolated by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 95:5).

# b. Formation of ester 16-membered ring



### Figure S 54: mRCM of 20 in TiT reactor

**Procedure** In the 3 mL TiT, the general procedure for mRCM was followed with **21** (26.6 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1 equiv) at the desired concentration in DCE and a fresh solution of the desired **[Ru]** complex (7.5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 7.5 mol%) at the desired concentration in DCE. The product was then isolated by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 95:5).

Entry	Catalyst	Residence time (h)	Flow rate in the reactor (mL/h)	Flow rate set up on the syringe pump (mL/h)	Final concentration of the substrate (mM)	V of DCE for each solution (mL)	NMR conversion (%)	Isolated yield (%)	<i>Z/E</i> ratio
1	Ru-1	2	1.5	0.75	20	2.5	67	nd	86/14
2	Ru-1	3	1	0.5	20	2.5	80	70	86/14
3	Ru-4	3	1	0.5	20	2.5	25	24	97/3
4	Ru-4	4.5	0.66	0.33	20	2.5	42	29	96/4
5	Ru-4	3	1	0.5	50	1	77	30	95/5
6	Ru-5	3	1	0.5	20	2.5	80	75	82/18

	Table S 6: Procedure	optimisation	for mRCM	of <b>21</b> in	the Ti	T reactor.
--	----------------------	--------------	----------	-----------------	--------	------------

Procedure in batch The procedure described by Grubbs et al. was followed.<sup>6</sup>

Entry	Catalyst (7.5 mol%)	Time (h)	Final concentration of the substrate (mM)	NMR conversion (%)	Isolated yield (%)	Z/E ratio
1	Ru-1	24	5	90	70	90/10
2	Ru-4	24	5	50	32	98.5/1.5
3	Ru-5	24	5	71	56	83/17

Table S 7: Results for mRCM of 21 in batch.



Chemical Formula: C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>2</sub>

Molecular Weight: 238,37

<sup>1</sup>H NMR of Z-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) 5.47 – 5.25 (m, 2 H), 4.25 – 4.11 (m, 2 H), 2.42 – 2.29 (m, 2 H), 2.12 – 2.01 (m, 4 H), 1.73 – 1.61 (m, 4 H), 1.49 – 1.39 (m, 2 H), 1.38 – 1.21 (m, 10 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR of Z-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 174.0, 130.1, 129.6,
64.1, 33.9, 29.2, 28.4, 28.2, 27.9, 27.6, 27.2, 27.1, 26.6, 26.5, 25.3.

Analytical data for this compound were identical with those reported in the literature.<sup>7</sup>

# Example of <sup>1</sup>H NMR for conversion determination (Table S6, Entry 3)





# GC method for Z/E ratio determination

Column Information: Column Information: TR-5 (30.0 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25  $\mu m$  thickness) (Thermo Scientific)

Carrier gas: Helium

Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

#### Temperature protocol:

_	Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	-	100	-
	2	185	5
	10	300	2

## Example of GC trace obtained (Table S 6, Entry 3)



Figure S 56: Example of GC trace obtained for mRCM of 21. Z/E ratio = 97/3.

c. Formation of civetone



Figure S 57: mRCM of 23a in TiT reactor

**Procedure** In the 3 mL TiT, the general procedure for mRCM was followed with **23a** (27.8 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1 equiv) at 40 mM in DCE (2.5 mL) and a fresh solution of the desired **[Ru]** complex (7.5.10<sup>-</sup>

<sup>3</sup> mmol, 7.5 mol%) at 3mM in DCE (2.5 mL). The product was then isolated by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 95:5) yielding to a colourless oil. The *Z/E* ratio was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> on the purified product. The R<sub>f</sub> values of the *E*- and *Z*-isomers were identical (one spot for *E/Z* mixtures) with the various eluents that were tested.

#### Table S 8: Results for mRCM of 23a.

Entry	Catalyst	NMR Conv. (%)	NMR yield (%)	Isolated yield (%)	Z/E ratio
1	Ru-4	62	46	44	95/5
2	Ru-5	74	59	55	70/30



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of Z-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) 5.38 – 5.30 (m, 2 H), 2.42 – 2.36 (m, 4 H), 2.05 – 1.96 (m, 4 H), 1.66 – 1.58 (m, 4 H), 1.36 – 1.25 (m, 16 H)

Chemical Formula: C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O Molecular Weight: 250,43 <sup>13</sup>C NMR of Z-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 212.7, 130.3 (2 C), 42.6 (2 C), 29.2 (2 C), 28.8 (2 C), 28.4 (2 C), 28.3 (2 C), 26.9 (2 C), 24.0 (2 C).

Analytical data for this compound were identical with those reported in the literature.<sup>6</sup>

#### Example of <sup>1</sup>H NMR for conversion determination (Table S 8, Entry 2)



Figure S 58: Determination of conversion in the mRCM of 23a by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>).

# <sup>1</sup>H NMR in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> for *Z/E* ratio determination (Table S 8, Entry 2)



Figure S 59: Example of <sup>1</sup>H NMR for Z/E ratio determination in mRCM of 23a. Z/E ratio = 70/30.

### d. Formation of Cryptoletes pusillus pheromone



Figure S 60: mRCM of 24a in TiT reactor

**Procedure** In the 3 mL TiT, the general procedure for mRCM was followed with **24a** (25.2 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1 equiv) at 40 mM in DCE (2.5 mL) and a fresh solution of the desired **[Ru]** complex (7.5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 7.5 mol%) at 3mM in DCE (2.5 mL). The product was then isolated by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 98:2) yielding to a colourless oil. The *Z/E* ratio was determined by quantitative <sup>13</sup>C NMR in CDCl<sub>3</sub> of all the fractions containing the desired product. The product was submitted to a second purification by column chromatography with 10% AgNO<sub>3</sub>

impregnated silica (prepared according to a previously describe literature procedure)<sup>16</sup> (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 98:2) to yield **24** as a colourless oil.

Entry	Catalyst	NMR Conv. (%)	NMR yield (%)	Isolated yield (%)	Z/E ratio
1	Ru-4	59	48	44	98.5/1.5
2	Ru-5	79	50	46	93/7

Table S 9: Results for mRCM of 24a.



<sup>1</sup>**H NMR of Z-isomer** (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.48 – 5.29 (m, 2H), 5.07 – 4.96 (m, 1H), 2.51 – 2.17 (m, 4H), 2.02 – 1.70 (m, 3H), 1.70 – 1.22 (m, 10H), 1.25 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 3H), 1.20 – 1.11 (m, 1H).

 
 24
 <sup>13</sup>C NMR of Z-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 173.9, 131.3, 129.3, 69.8,

 Chemical Formula: C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Molecular Weight: 224,34
 35.1, 34.3, 27.4, 27.0, 26.7, 25.7 (2C), 25.4, 23.7, 20.2.

Analytical data for this compound were identical with those reported in the literature.8

### Example of <sup>1</sup>H NMR for conversion and yield determination (Table S 9, Entry 2)



7.4 7.3 7.2 7.1 7.0 6.9 6.8 6.7 6.6 6.5 6.4 6.3 6.2 6.1 6.0 5.9 5.8 5.7 5.6 5.5 5.4 5.3 5.2 5.1 5.0 4.9 4.8 1H(pom)

Figure S 61: Determination of conversion in the mRCM of 24a by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

# GC method for Z/E ratio determination

Column Information: Column Information: TR-5 (30.0 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25  $\mu$ m thickness) (Thermo Scientific)

Carrier gas: Helium

Linear velocity: constant = 40.0 cm/s

Temperature protocol:

Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)
-	100	-
2	170	-
30	300	2

# Example of GC trace obtained (Table S 69, Entry 2)



Figure S 62: Example of GC trace obtained for mRCM of 24a. Z/E ratio = 93/7.

### e. Formation of Yuzu lactone



Figure S 63: mRCM of 25a in TiT reactor

**Procedure** In the 3 mL TiT, the general procedure for mRCM was followed with **25a** (22.4 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1 equiv) or **25b** (25.2 mg, 0.10 mmol, 1 equiv) at 40 mM in DCE (2.5 mL) and a fresh solution of the desired **[Ru]** complex at 3 mM in DCE (2.5 mL). The product was then isolated by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 95:5) yielding to a colourless oil. The *Z*/*E* ratio was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the purified product. The R<sub>f</sub> values of the *E*- and *Z*-isomers were identical (one spot for *E*/*Z* mixtures) with the various eluents that were tested.

Table S 10: Results for mRCM of 25a.

Entry	Substrate	Catalyst (loading)	NMR Conv. (%)	NMR yield (%)	Isolated yield (%)	Z/E ratio
1	25a	<b>Ru-4</b> (7.5 mol%)	25	6	nd	nd
2	25a	<b>Ru-5</b> (7.5 mol%)	61	34	32	82/18
3	25b	<b>Ru-3</b> (6 mol%)	45	7	nd	nd



Chemical Formula: C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Molecular Weight: 196,29 <sup>1</sup>H NMR of Z-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  (ppm) 5.45 – 5.35 (m, 2 H), 4.23 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.47 – 2.40 (m, 2 H), 2.28 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.15 – 2.05 (m, 2 H), 1.72 – 1.66 (m, 2 H), 1.54 – 1.46 (m, 2 H), 1.38 – 1.33 (m, 2 H), 1.31 – 1.26 (m, 2 H), 1.24 – 1.19 (m, 2 H).

Molecular Weight: 196,29 13**C NMR of Z-isomer** (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 174.7, 132.3, 127.1, 64.2, 35.4, 29.7, 27.5, 27.3, 26.0, 25.9, 24.6, 23.5

Analytical data for this compound were identical with those reported in the literature.<sup>6</sup>

# Example of <sup>1</sup>H NMR for conversion determination (Table S10, Entry 2)



Figure S 64: Determination of conversion in the mRCM of 25a by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

Example of <sup>1</sup>H NMR obtained (Table S10, Entry 2)



Figure S 65: Example of <sup>1</sup>H NMR for Z/E ratio determination in mRCM of 25a. Z/E ratio = 82/18.

# VII- Cross-metathesis reactions with Ru-3

a. General procedure



The 3 mL TiT reactor was purged with Ar and dried and degassed THF. In the glovebox, a syringe was filled with the substrates solution (0.25 mmol of terminal alkene, 1 equiv and 0.50 or 1.0 mmol of symmetrical 1,2-disubstituted alkene). A second syringe was filled with the solution of **Ru-3** (12.5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 5 mol%). Syringes were brought out of the glove box and quickly connected to the continuous flow system. The vacuum pump was turned on (25 mbar measured during the reaction). The flow rate of the syringe pump was set up at 18 mL/h in order to have a flow rate of 36 mL/h in the reactor and 5 min of residence time. The collected mixture was quenched with EVE. The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and/or GC/MS to determine conversion, yield and *Z/E* ratio.

# b. CM between 1-decene and cis-1,4-diacetoxy-2-butene



Figure S 66: CM between 1-decene and cis-1,4-diacetoxy-2-butene in TiT reactor

**Procedure** The general procedure for CM reactions with **Ru-3** was applied with cis-1,4-diacetoxy-2-butene (0.16 mL, 1.00 mmol, 4 equiv), 1-decene (47  $\mu$ L, 0.248 mmol, 1 equiv), mesitylene (12  $\mu$ L, 0.086 mmol, 0.35 equiv) and 0.22 mL of a solution of **Ru-3** (13.0 mg in 0.3 mL of THF, 56 mM, 12.5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 5 mol%). The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (63%), NMR yield (49%) and GC/MS to determine *Z/E* ratio (>99/1).

# <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination



Figure S 67: Determination of yield and conversion in the CM of between 1-decene and cis-1,4-diacetoxy-2butene by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

# GC method for Z/E ratio determination:

Column Information: SH-Rxi-5ms (30.0 m x 0.25 mm ID ; 0.25  $\mu m$  thickness) (Shimadzu) Carrier gas: Helium

Linear velocity: constant = 30.0 cm/s

Temperature protocol:

Rate (°C/min)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)	
-	70	4	
10	250	10	

## Example of GC trace for Z/E ratio determination



Peak	Retention time (min)	Area	%Area
1	14.904	9453314	100
2	15.027	0	0

Figure S 68: GC/MS trace obtained for the CM between 1-decene and cis-1,4-diacetoxy-2-butene. Z/E ratio > 99/1

#### c. CM between 1-decene and cis-1,4-diol-2-butene

# Isolated catalyst Ru-3



Figure S 69: CM between 1-decene and cis-1,4-diol-2-butene in TiT reactor

**Procedure** The general procedure for CM reactions with **Ru-3** was applied with cis-1,4-diol-2-butene (41  $\mu$ L, 0.50 mmol, 2 equiv), 1-decene (47  $\mu$ L, 0.248 mmol, 1 equiv), mesitylene (12  $\mu$ L, 0.086 mmol, 0.35 equiv), THF (0.12 mL) and 0.22 mL of a solution of **Ru-3** (13.0 mg in 0.3 mL of THF, 57 mM, 12.5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 5 mol%). The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion and NMR yield. It was impossible to determine *Z/E* ratio by GC, GC/MS or NMR on the crude mixture. The product was purified by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 9/1). The *Z/E* ratio was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR on the mixture obtained by combining all the fractions containing the product. The R<sub>f</sub> values of the *E*- and *Z*-isomers were identical (one spot for *E/Z* mixtures) with the various eluents that were tested. Because complex degradation products were coeluted with product **11**, the mixture was filtered over activated charcoal pipette, and submitted to a second purification by column chromatography, yielding **11** as a colourless oil.

Entry	Vacuum pump	NMR Conv. (%)	NMR yield (%)	Isolated yield (%)	Z/E ratio
1	ON	67	64	56	99/1
2	OFF	61	59	49	96/4

# In-Situ Ru-3



Figure S 70: CM between 1-decene and cis-1,4-diol-2-butene in TiT reactor with in-situ formation of Ru-3

Procedure Out of the glove box, IS-Ru-3 was formed according to literature procedure:<sup>19</sup> In a flamedried 4-mL vial under Ar, 1,4-dichlorocatechol dithiolate (5.4 mg, 0.026 mmol, 1.4 equiv) and Diethyl zinc solution (0.9 M in hexanes, 28 µL, 0.025 mmol, 1.4 equiv) were dissolved in THF (0.3 mL). Hoveyda-Grubbs 1 complex (11.3 mg, 0.018 mmol, 1 equiv) was added. The green mixture was stirred 20 min at rt and turned to brown. This freshly prepared solution of catalyst was used in the flow reaction without further purification. The general procedure for CM reactions was applied with cis-1,4-diol-2-butene (41 µL, 0.50 mmol, 2 equiv), 1-decene (47 µL, 0.248 mmol, 1 equiv), mesitylene (12 µL, 0.086 mmol, 0.35 equiv), THF (0.12 mL) and 0.22 mL of a solution of the previous solution of catalyst (55 mM, 12.5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 5 mol%). The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (67 %) and NMR (61 %) yield. The product was purified by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 9/1). The Z/E ratio was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (99/1) on the mixture obtained by combining all the fractions containing the product. The R<sub>f</sub> values of the *E*- and Z-isomers were identical (one spot for E/Z mixtures) with the various eluents that were tested. Because complex degradation products were coeluted with product **11**, the mixture was filtered over activated charcoal pipette, and submitted to a second purification by column chromatography, yielding **11** as a colourless oil (20.6 mg, 49 % yield, 99/1 Z/E).



<sup>1</sup>H NMR of *Z*-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.73 – 5.47 (m, 2 H), 4.21 (d, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.20 – 2.01 (m, 2 H), 1.48 – 1.18 (m, 13 H), 0.98 – 0.81 (m, 3 H).

Molecular Weight: 170,30 <sup>13</sup>C NMR of *Z*-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 133.3, 128.3, 58.6, 31.9, 29.6, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 27.4, 22.7, 14.1.

HRMS for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>22</sub>ONa calc.: 193.15629, found: 193.1562 (0 ppm). Source: ESI.

#### Example of <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination (Table S9, Entry 1)



*Figure S 71*: Determination of yield and conversion in the CM of between 1-decene and cis-1,4-diol-2-butene by <sup>1</sup>H NMR





Figure S 72: Determination of Z/E ratio of 11 by <sup>1</sup>H NMR



#### d. CM between 1-heptene and cis-1,4-diol-2-butene: Meles Meles pheromone

Figure S 73: CM between 1-decene and cis-1,4-diol-2-butene in TiT reactor

**Procedure** The general procedure for CM reactions with **Ru-3** was applied with cis-1,4-diol-2-butene (41  $\mu$ L, 0.50 mmol, 2 equiv), 1-heptene (35  $\mu$ L, 0.25 mmol, 1 equiv), mesitylene (12  $\mu$ L, 0.086 mmol, 0.35 equiv), THF (0.12 mL) and 0.22 mL of a solution of **Ru-3** (13.0 mg in 0.3 mL of THF, 56 mM, 12.5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 5 mol%). The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (57%) and NMR yield (55%). It was impossible to determine *Z/E* ratio by GC, GC/MS or NMR on the crude mixture. The product was purified by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 9/1). The *Z/E* ratio was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (99/1) on the mixture obtained by combining all the fractions containing the product. The R<sub>f</sub> values of the *E*- and *Z*-isomers were identical (one spot for *E/Z* mixtures) with the various eluents that were tested. Because complex degradation products were coeluted with product **26**, the mixture was filtered over activated charcoal pipette, and submitted to a second purification by column chromatography, yielding **26** as a colourless oil (15.2 mg, 48% isolated yield, 99/1 *Z/E*).

H0 26

Chemical Formula: C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O Molecular Weight: 128,22 <sup>1</sup>H NMR of Z-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.69 – 5.48 (m, 2 H), 4.27 – 4.17 (m, 2 H), 2.15 – 2.02 (m, 2 H), 1.44 – 1.21 (m, 7 H), 0.91 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR of Z-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 133.3, 128.3, 58.6, 31.4, 29.3, 27.4, 22.5, 14.0.

HRMS for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O (2M–H<sub>2</sub>O+H<sup>+</sup>) calc.: 239.23694, found: 239.2374 (2 ppm). Source: ASAP, 50°C.

### <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination



*Figure S 74*: Determination of yield and conversion in the CM of between 1-heptene and cis-1,4-diol-2butene by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

# <sup>1</sup>H NMR for *Z/E* ratio determination



Figure S 75: Determination of Z/E ratio of 26 by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

#### e. CM between 1-octene and cis-1,4-diol-2-butene



Figure S 76: CM between 1-decene and cis-1,4-diol-2-butene in TiT reactor

**Procedure** The general procedure for CM reactions with **Ru-3** was applied with cis-1,4-diol-2-butene (41  $\mu$ L, 0.50 mmol, 2 equiv), 1-octene (39  $\mu$ L, 0.248 mmol, 1 equiv), mesitylene (12  $\mu$ L, 0.086 mmol, 0.35 equiv), THF (0.12 mL) and 0.22 mL of a solution of **Ru-3** (13.0 mg in 0.3 mL of THF, 56 mM, 12.5.10<sup>-3</sup> mmol, 5 mol%). The crude mixture was analysed by <sup>1</sup>H NMR to determine the conversion (66%) and NMR yield (62%). It was impossible to determine *Z/E* ratio by GC, GC/MS or NMR on the crude mixture. The product was purified by column chromatography (eluent: pentane:Et<sub>2</sub>O 9/1). The *Z/E* ratio was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (99/1) on the mixture obtained by combining all the fractions containing the product. The R<sub>f</sub> values of the *E*- and *Z*-isomers were identical (one spot for *E/Z* mixtures) with the various eluents that were tested. Because complex degradation products were coeluted with product **27**, the mixture was filtered over activated charcoal pipette, and submitted to a second purification by column chromatography, yielding **27** as a colourless oil (21.2 mg, 60% isolated yield, 98/2 *Z/E*).



Chemical Formula: C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O Molecular Weight: 142,24

<sup>1</sup>H NMR of *Z*-isomer (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 5.71 – 5.48 (m, 2 H), 4.22 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 2 H), 2,15 – 2.05 (m, 2 H), 1.42 – 1.23 (m, 9 H), 0.91 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3 H).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR of *Z*-isomer (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ (ppm) 133.4, 128.3, 58.7, 31.7, 29.6, 28.9, 27.4, 22.6, 14.1.

HRMS for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>35</sub>O (2M–H<sub>2</sub>O+H<sup>+</sup>) calc.: 267.26824, found: 267.2680 (1 ppm). Source: ASAP, 50°C.

### <sup>1</sup>H NMR for yield and conversion determination



Figure S 77: Determination of yield and conversion in the CM of between 1-octene and cis-1,4-diol-2-butene by <sup>1</sup>H NMR



<sup>1</sup>H NMR for *Z/E* ratio determination

Figure S 78: Determination of Z/E ratio of 27 by <sup>1</sup>H NMR

# VIII- Synthesis of the civetone in the fReactor

a. Procedure using fReactor in flow (standard scale):



Figure S 79: Synthesis of Civetone 23 starting from 6 via Dieckmann condensation and decarboxylation in the fReactor



Figure S 80: Photo of the setup

**Procedure** fReactor was purged with THF and all air bubbles removed. A stock solution of diethyl (Z)-octadec-9-enedioate, **6** (*Z/E* ratio of 96/4), (0.0435 M, 25 mL) was charged into 5 x 5 mL syringes and connected to the inputs 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, via 1/32" PFA tubing. LiHMDS (1 M in THF, 5 mL) was charged into a 5 mL syringe and connected at input 1. Hotplate temperature and stirring was set to 50 °C (Fluid temperature = 44 °C) and 1000 rpm, respectively. All syringe pumps were set to pump at 0.02083 mL/min and the outlet stream set to waste for 140 min. The outlet stream was collected for 100 min into a stirring two-neck 25 mL RBF with condenser, under nitrogen, at 60 °C which corresponds to 12.5 mL reaction mixture collected ([product] = 0.03625 M, calc. by [stock solution] x (5/6)). After the collection time, the reaction was stirred at 60 °C for 60 min. The reaction was cooled to rt and NaOH<sub>(aq.)</sub> (2.5 M, 1.35 mmol, 0.54 mL) was added slowly whilst stirring. The reaction was heated to 80 °C for 18 h. The reaction was cooled to rt and acidified to pH ~1 using 10% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4(aq.)</sub> and heated to 90 °C for 30 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) and

extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) then brine (20 mL) and dried using MgSO<sub>4</sub> and filtered. Civetone **23** was isolated via column chromatography (Et<sub>2</sub>O:pentane, 1:9) to yield low-melt colourless crystals (62%, 69.7 mg, >98/2 Z/E).

### b. Procedure using fReactor in flow (large scale):

fReactor was purged with THF and all air bubbles removed. A stock solution of diethyl (*Z*)-octadec-9-enedioate, **6** (*Z/E* ratio of 96/4), (0.0435 M, 75 mL) was charged into 5 x 15 mL syringes and connected to the inputs 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, via 1/32" ID PFA tubing. LiHMDS (1 M in THF, 15 mL) was charged into a 15 mL syringe and connected at input 1. Hotplate temperature and stirring was set to 50 °C (Fluid temperature = 44 °C) and 1000 rpm, respectively. All syringe pumps were set to pump at 0.02083 mL/min and the outlet stream set to waste for 140 min. The outlet stream was collected for 360 min into a stirring two-neck 250 mL RBF with condenser, under nitrogen, at 60 °C which corresponds to 45 mL reaction mixture collected ([product] = 0.03625 M, calc. by [stock solution] x (5/6)). After the collection time, the reaction was stirred at 60 °C for 60 min. The reaction was cooled to r.t. and NaOH<sub>(aq.)</sub> (2.5 M, 5 mmol, 2.3 mL) was added slowly whilst stirring. The reaction was heated to 80 °C for 18 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) then brine (20 mL) and dried using MgSO<sub>4</sub> and filtered. Civetone **23** was isolated via column chromatography (Et<sub>2</sub>O;pentane, 1:9) to yield low-melt colourless crystals (68%, 277.2 mg, >98/2 Z/E).

# IX- NMR spectra

### a. Ru-complexes









# <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) of Ru-5

![](_page_71_Figure_3.jpeg)
#### b. Catalysis products



S73



100 90 13C (ppm) 





#### S76



-1 13C (ppm) 

# - 7.26 CDCI3 $\begin{array}{c} 5.63\\ 5.61\\ 5.62\\ 5.61\\ 5.62\\ 5.62\\ 5.65\\ 5.65\\ 5.55\\$ HO-11 Chemical Formula: C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O Molecular Weight: 170,30 0.76 0.75± 0.79-7 5.06 1.20H 4.0 1H (ppm) 0.0 8.5 7.5 7.0 5.5 3.0 8.0 6.5 6.0 5.0 4.5 3.5 2.5 2.0 1.5 0.5 0.0 -0.5 -1 1.0 <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 11 (Z/E ratio: 99/1) 77.16 CDCl3 — 133.43 — 128.43 32.01 29.75 29.58 29.41 29.37 29.37 22.80 14.23 HO-11 Chemical Formula: C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O Molecular Weight: 170,30

#### <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 11 (Z/E ratio: 99/1)

100 13C (ppm) 10 200 190 180 170 140 130 120 110 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 ò 160 150 90

# <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 12 (Z/E ratio: 98/2)



S79



## <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 14 (Z/E ratio: 98/2)



# <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 15 (Z/E ratio: 98/2)



#### <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 16 (Z/E ratio: 98/2)





13C (ppm) o

## <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 18 (Z/E ratio: 98/2)



13C (ppm) -10 ò 

### <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 19 (Z/E ratio: 96/4)



#### <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCI<sub>3</sub>) of 20 (Z/E ratio: 94/6)



#### <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 22 (Z/E ratio: 82/18)



#### <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 23 (Z/E ratio: 99/1)





30 220 210 200

190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100

-10 -2

10 0

# <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 24 (Z/E ratio: 98/2)



90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20

#### <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) of 26 (Z/E ratio: 99/1)







#### X-Ray data Х-

#### a. Data of 4



Bond precision: C-C = 0.0043 A Wavelength=0.71073

Cell:	a=43.290(2) b=10.3442(5) alpha=90 beta=108.942(2)		) 2 (2)	c=29.2701(16) gamma=90
Temperature:	150 K	2000-200101	2 (2)	gamma-20
	Calculated		Reported	
Volume	12397.4(11)		12397.3(1	1)
Space group	C 2/C		C 2/C	
Hall group	-C 2yc		-C 2yc	
Moiety formula	2(C32 H40 Cl2 N H2 Cl2	12 O Ru), C	2(C32 H40 H2 C12	0 Cl2 N2 O Ru), C
Sum formula	C65 H82 Cl6 N4	02 Ru2	С65 Н82 С	216 N4 O2 Ru2
Mr	1366.19		1366.18	
Dx,g cm-3	1.464		1.464	
Z	8		8	
Mu (mm-1)	0.793		0.793	
F000	5648.0		5648.0	
F000'	5636.42			
h,k,lmax	56,13,38		56,13,37	
Nref	14292		14034	
Tmin,Tmax	0.685,0.788		0.586,0.7	788
Tmin'	0.671			
Correction method= # Reported T Limits: Tmin=0.586 Tmax=0.788 AbsCorr = MULTI-SCAN				
Data completeness= 0.982 Theta(max) = 27.527				
R(reflections) = 0.0383( 10845) WR2(reflections) = 0.0960( 14034)				
S = 1.024	Npar= 722			

#### b. Data of Ru-5



## **XI-** References

- (1) Itou, T.; Yoshimi, Y.; Morita, T.; Tokunaga, Y.; Hatanaka, M. *Tetrahedron* **2009**, *65*, 263–269.
- Dumas, A.; Tarrieu, R.; Vives, T.; Roisnel, T.; Dorcet, V.; Baslé, O.; Mauduit, M. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 3257–3262.
- (3) Queval, P.; Jahier, C.; Rouen, M.; Artur, I.; Legeay, J. C.; Falivene, L.; Toupet, L.; Crévisy, C.; Cavallo, L.; Baslé, O.; et al. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 14103–14107.
- (4) Koh, M. J.; Khan, R. K. M.; Torker, S.; Yu, M.; Mikus, M. S.; Hoveyda, A. H. *Nature* **2015**, *517*, 181–186.
- (5) Liu, W.; Rajanbabu, T. V. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7636–7643.
- (6) Marx, V. M.; Herbert, M. B.; Keitz, B. K.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 94–97.
- (7) Dumas, A.; Colombel-Rouen, S.; Curbet, I.; Forcher, G.; Tripoteau, F.; Caijo, F.; Queval, P.; Rouen, M.; Baslé, O.; Mauduit, M. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *9*, 436–443.
- (8) Fürstner, A.; Langemann, K. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 3942–3943.
- (9) Ahmed, T. S.; Grubbs, R. H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 11213–11216.
- (10) Evans, P.; Leffray, M. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 7973–7981.
- (11) Roumanet, P. J.; Jarroux, N.; Goujard, L.; Le Petit, J.; Raoul, Y.; Bennevault, V.; Guégan, P. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2020, 8, 16853–16860.
- (12) Gawin, R.; Kozakiewicz, A.; Guńka, P. A.; Dąbrowski, P.; Skowerski, K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 981–986.
- (13) Behr, A.; Gomes, J. P. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2011, 7, 1–8.
- (14) Prat, I.; Font, D.; Company, A.; Junge, K.; Ribas, X.; Beller, M.; Costas, M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 947–956.
- (15) Herbert, M. B.; Marx, V. M.; Pederson, R. L.; Grubbs, R. H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 310–314.
- (16) Keitz, B. K.; Endo, K.; Patel, P. R.; Herbert, M. B.; Grubbs, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 693–699.
- (17) Bykov, V. I.; Butenko, T. A.; Egupova, E. V.; Finkelshtein, E. S. *Russ. Chem. Bull.* **2000**, *49*, 1301–1304.
- (18) Mitra, R. B.; Bhaskar Reddy, G. Synthesis (Stuttg). **1989**, 694–698.
- (19) Müller, D. S.; Curbet, I.; Raoul, Y.; Le Nôtre, J.; Baslé, O.; Mauduit, M. *Org. Lett.* **2018**, *20*, 6822–6826.