

Biofilms and coronaviruses reservoirs: a perspective review

Rafael Gomes von Borowski, Danielle Silva Trentin

▶ To cite this version:

Rafael Gomes von Borowski, Danielle Silva Trentin. Biofilms and coronaviruses reservoirs: a perspective review. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2021, 87 (18), pp.AEM0085921. 10.1128/AEM.00859-21. hal-03282350

HAL Id: hal-03282350 https://hal.science/hal-03282350

Submitted on 16 Jul 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ivironmental	ology
Ш	bid
anc	\icre
Applied	2

1	Biofilms and coronaviruses reservoirs: a perspective review
2	Rafael Gomes Von Borowski^a, Danielle Silva Trentin^{b*}
3	
4 5	^a Université de Rennes, CNRS, Institut de Génétique et Développement de Rennes (IGDR) UMR6290, Rennes, France.
6 7 8	^b Departamento de Ciências Básicas da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Rua Sarmento Leite, 245, Porto Alegre - RS, Brazil, 90050-170.
9	* Corresponding author:
10	Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA)
11	Rua Sarmento Leite, 245, Sala 204 prédio 1, Porto Alegre - RS, Brazil, 90050-170.
12	Phone +55 51 3303 8739.
13	E-mail: daniellest@ufcspa.edu.br
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30 21	
JT	1

32 Abstract

33 Bats are a key reservoir of coronaviruses (CoVs), including the agent of the severe acute 34 respiratory syndrome, SARS-CoV-2, responsible for the recent deadly viral pneumonia 35 pandemic. However, understanding how bats can harbor several microorganisms 36 without developing illnesses is still a matter under discussion. Viruses and other 37 pathogens are often studied as stand-alone even though it is known that, in nature, they 38 mostly live in multi-species associations called biofilms - both externally and within the 39 host. Microorganisms in biofilms are enclosed by an extracellular matrix that confers 40 protection and improves survival. Previous studies have shown that viruses can 41 secondarily colonize preexisting biofilms, and viral biofilms have also been already 42 described. In this review, we raise the perspective that CoVs can persistently infect bats due to occurrence in biofilm structures. This phenomenon potentially provides an 43 44 optimal environment for non-pathogenic and well-adapted viruses to interact with the host, as well as for viral recombination. Biofilms can also enhance virion viability in 45 46 extracellular environments, such as in fomites and aquatic sediments, allowing viral 47 persistence and dissemination. Moreover, understanding CoVs biofilm lifestyle in 48 reservoirs might contribute to explain several burning questions that remain unanswered 49 including persistence and transmissibility by highly pathogenic emerging CoVs.

50

51 Key-words: Coronaviruses, biofilm, host, bat, microbial interaction, persistent infection

52

61 62

63

Applied and Environ<u>mental</u>

64 1. Coronaviruses

65 Human activities, including urbanization and, increase in global commerce, have led to drastic ecological changes (1, 2). This phenomenon, allied to social and cultural 66 67 behaviors may affect the balance between health and disease (3). As consequence, we 68 have seen the successful introduction of animal pathogens to the human population, 69 such as the zoonotic transmission of non-primate viruses (4). Coronaviruses (CoVs) 70 have been classified as zoonotic pathogens that infect the respiratory, gastrointestinal, 71 hepatic, and central nervous systems of unrelated hosts. A historical and evolutionary 72 overview of CoVs (1930-2020) transmission to hosts are summarized in Box 1 and 73 Figure 1.

Applied and Environmental

Applied and Environmental Microbiology

AEM

Box 1 - Chronological overview of CoVs

Probably first observed in the '30s, it was in 1968 that Nature published the description of a cluster of viruses found in humans and animals with the appearance of the "solar corona." These viruses contained a characteristic "fringe", supported by electron microscopy. The International Committee for the Nomenclature of Viruses (ICNV) named them coronaviruses (5, 6). In the '70s the attention to CoVs was focused on the veterinary field, especially for calves diseases that were causing losses in animal production and economy (7). It was in the 80's we have acquired more scientific information about these viruses according to clinical significance. A book was published summarizing the status quo of coronavirus research (8). The next decade was highlighted by advances in molecular and structural biology knowledge and insights about human infections began to appear (9); demonstrating an expansion of the spectrum of animals that could be infected by them. Furthermore, the community-acquired human CoVs strains 229E and OC43 were characterized. Since 2003, several studies have been present in the literature. This occurred as a reflection of the Asia CoV epidemic (SARS) in 2002. In 2012, a novel CoV was reported on the Program for Monitoring Emerging Diseases (ProMEDmail), named later as the Middle East respiratory syndrome-coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (10, 11). MERS was first identified in humans in Saudi Arabia (12). Sporadic outbreaks have been reported until now in African and European countries and the United States of America, but exclusively in individuals traveling back from the Middle East (13). Recently, 2019 raised the "tip of the iceberg" that would become the 2020 declared pandemic by WHO. A respiratory disease of unknown etiology was firstly detected in Wuhan, Hubei Province, China, and started to circulate the world (14). Chinese scientists identified the etiological agent as a new coronavirus, the 2019-nCoV (15) which was renamed SARS-CoV-2. Subsequently, the disease name was recommended as COVID-19 by the WHO. Clinical signs, similar to SARS and MERS, such as fever, cough and difficulties in breathing, with evolution to severe pneumonia and organ failures, were verified in the most drastic cases (16). Only in 2020, more than 37,204 articles were published on this subject (15th October 2020 from PubMed) and the emergence of human pathogenic CoVs attend a constant discussion.

74

CoVs are enveloped viruses that belong to the *Coronaviridae* family (17). The disease-related CoVs in humans including the <u>severe acute respiratory syndrome</u> <u>coronavirus (SARS-CoV)</u>, the new SARS-CoV-2 and the <u>Middle East respiratory</u> <u>syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV)</u> are classified as *Betacoronavirus*. They are positive-strand RNA viruses owing large genomes (30–32 kb) made of proteins such as the spike and transmembrane glycoproteins, the envelope protein, the nucleocapsid and, some of them, the haemagglutinin-esterase (18-20). Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

Applied and Environmental Microbiology

AEM

82 Although there are different hypotheses about the origin of the virus (21), the close phylogenetic relationship with bats CoVs provides strong evidence that SARS-83 CoV-2 may be originated from these animals and that they are the reservoir host of this 84 85 virus. It has been proposed that the SARS-CoV-2 was introduced into a small cluster of humans from infected animals and, from there, the virus acquired the capacity for 86 human-to-human transmission. Pangolins could be one of the intermediate amplifying 87 88 hosts for the virus, which is surprising since they are solitary animals with relatively 89 small population sizes, reflecting their endangered status (22). However, human 90 exposition to these animals exists, since they are recognized as a meat delicacy sold in 91 the parallel market and their scales are utilized in traditional Chinese medicine.

92 Regarding CoVs, the source of the viruses, their persistence, reservoirs and hosts, and the transmission to humans are topics under continuous discussion in the scientific 93 94 community, and will also be addressed by this review-perspective study. There are 95 advanced studies that establish the role of biofilms in microbial persistence, resistance and latent infections but to date, none of them bring up the potential involvement of 96 97 biofilms in CoVs reservoirs (bats and environment). Overall, bats have been shown to 98 possess an evident role in these processes, especially as the natural reservoir of diverse 99 viruses. However, several attractive questions remain to be answered, such as (i) How 100 do CoVs and other viruses persistently infect bats? (ii) How do they evade the immune 101 system of bats? (iii) How are they not pathogenic for bats while leading to lethal human 102 disease? (iv) How do they undergo several recombination events, favoring the 103 emergence of distinct genetic variants from bats? And (v) How do they remain 104 infectious in the environment, at least for hours or days, after being expelled in 105 secretions by a contaminated host? This perspective review shed new light on complex 106 host-virus-environmental interactions.

5

107 2. Coronaviruses and hosts

For many years the four community-acquired CoVs (i.e. HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, HCoV-HKU1, and HCoV-NL63) circulate in the human population triggering common cold in immunocompetent subjects, and, likely, these viruses do not depend on animal reservoir (23). In contrast, highly pathogenic CoVs (SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2) are maintained and propagated in their zoonotic reservoirs and eventually spillover to susceptible human targets, possibly via one or more intermediate and amplifying hosts (summarized in Boxes 2-4).

Box 2 – SARS-CoV

The first SARS-CoV patients reported exposure to animal trade in wet markets or in restaurants where live animals were kept in Guangdong Province - China. Then, the SARS-CoV-like virus was isolated from Himalayan palm civets (*Paguma larvata*) presenting 99.8% of genomic identity with that from humans (24, 25). Although 80% of other animals from nearby markets possessed anti-SARS-CoV antibodies (26), the massive culling of market civets played a major role in the efficient control of SARS. This indicates that palm civets might serve as the intermediate amplifying host but not as the natural reservoir for SARS-CoV. Multiple species of mammals might also serve as intermediate and dead-end hosts for SARS-CoV (23).

Since 2005 a large number of SARS-related CoVs (SARSr-CoVs) have been detected in horseshoe bat species (*Rhinolophus* spp.) in China (27). A 5-year surveillance study reported distinct sequences of SARSr-CoVs in different genes from multiple species of horseshoe bats. This suggests that bat viruses are considered unlikely to represent the direct progenitor of the human virus. Importantly these bat SARSr-CoV strains present different S proteins but all of them might interact with the cell entry receptor in humans, the angiotensin-converting enzyme II - ACE2 (28). Likewise, another study also showed that SARSr-CoVs from bats faecal samples use ACE2 receptor (from humans, civets, and horseshoe bats) for cell entry, indicating a viral broad species tropism (29).

These findings likely explain that the direct ancestor of SARS-CoVs has arisen from sequential recombination events within bats before spillovers to an intermediate host, such as farmed civets or another mammal, which transmitted the virus to other civets by faecal–oral transmission. So, it is likely that when virusinfected civets were transported to Guangdong markets, the virus spread to market civets and acquired further mutations before spillover to humans (30).

Applied and Environmental

Box 3 – MERS-CoV

Bats also have been proposed to harbor the progenitor viruses of MERS-CoV, although human infection has been linked to contact with dromedary camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) or other infected-humans (31). The Middle East is a region that possesses religious and cultural practices along with a reliance on camels for food, medicine, and travel. As reviewed by Cui and collaborators (2019), specific antibodies were highly prevalent in these animals from that region and their MERS-CoV strains were almost identical to those from humans (> 99% identity). Furthermore, infectious MERS-CoVs have been isolated from camels (32) and the respiratory route, flesh, and discharges, such as faeces and milk (23) are recognized as possible transmission ways to humans. Nevertheless, several patients did not have an epidemiological link to infected camels or humans (31). In this sense, several animal species including livestock harbor the human MERS-CoV dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) receptor, however only alpaca (*Vicugna pacos*) and goat (*Capra hircus*) cells were showed to be permissive for MERS-CoV replication in comparison to sheep, cattle, and rodent cells (33).

MERS-related CoVs (MERSr-CoVs) were found in bat species from two bat families (27, 30) however, none of these viruses is recognized as a direct progenitor of MERS-CoV since their S proteins differ substantially. Overall, all the MERSr-CoVs isolated from bats support the hypothesis that they are originated from these mammals followed by numerous recombination events that implied the exchange of genetic elements between different viral ancestors, including camels and bats (30). Bat viruses have been detected in urine, faeces, saliva, and soiled fruit, indicating the potential for virus transmission via bats excreta in the wild. Emerging bats feed on palms or other crops and those seeds may carry bat faeces, possibly possessing MERS-CoVs. When seeds drop to the ground they might be eaten by camels (34).

116

AEM

Box 4 – SARS-CoV-2

Viral full-length genome sequences obtained from five patients at the early stage of the outbreak were almost identical among them and shared only 79.6% sequence identity to SARS-CoV. These CoV genomes presented 96.2% sequence identity to a bat CoV (BatCoV RaTG13) detected in Rhinolophus affinis bats from China, leading to the identification of the SARS-CoV-2 (35). SARS-CoV2 also uses ACE2 as a cell entry receptor. It is capable of using the ACE2 proteins from humans, Chinese horseshoe bats, civets, and pigs and it does not bind to DPP4 receptors, used by MERS-CoV 2 (35). However, BatCoV RaTG13 might be unconsidered the immediate ancestor for SARS-CoV-2 because the genetic sequence encoding for ACE2 shares only 89% of identity with that of SARS-CoV-2. Recently, another batderived CoV denoted RmYN02 from Rhinolophus malayanus was identified. This virus shares 93.3% of identity with SARS-CoV-2 for the complete genome and 97.2% for the 1ab gene, which is the closest relative of SARS-CoV-2 reported to date. In contrast, RmYN02 might not bind to the ACE2 receptor (15). Presumably, the intermediate animal hosts of SARS-CoV-2 should be among the wildlife species sold at the Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market where many of the initial cases of COVID-19 were associated, indicating an animal-to-human transmission event.

Therefore, the origins of this virus have not been conclusively (23) and possibly has more than one source of infection. SARSr-CoVs were also identified from 25 Malayan pangolins (*Manis javanica*) collected from a wildlife rescue center (36, 37). Because of the sequence divergences over the whole genome, phylogenetic analyses did not support that the SARS-CoV-2 arose directly from the pangolin-CoV (38), but evidence suggests it might have originated from the recombination of a pangolin-CoV-like virus with a Bat-CoV-RaTG13-like virus.

117

Pervasive evidence has shown that many of the human and animal CoVs have a bat origin. They are transmitted to humans via intermediate hosts although the crossspecies pathways are still unknown (27, 39). Bats have been recognized as special reservoirs for viral pathogens since the ancient phylogenetic relationship between viruses from bats and a variety of other human viral pathogens has been confirmed (40-42).

Mammalian host-virus relationships demonstrated that bats harbor a significantly higher proportion of zoonotic viruses than other mammalian orders. Bats account for more than 20% of all classified mammal species worldwide and they possess wide 127 geographical mobility and distribution, and extensive species diversity (27). They are 128 the only mammals to have achieved true self-powered flight. This enables them to have 129 a longer range of migration as well as excrements spread and intimate interactions with 130 humans and livestock when compared to land ones (27, 43). The migratory ability of 131 bats likely has particular relevance in the context of disease transmission. Furthermore, 132 humans might share some ecological niches with bats through butchering or coal 133 mining; they are consumed as game meat and their excrements, such as faeces, are often 134 used in traditional Chinese medicine (44). The Chinese food culture beliefs that live slaughtered animals are more nutritious, potentially enhancing the risks of viral 135 136 transmission (27).

137

138 3. Biofilm and viruses

139 It is established that the majority of microorganisms on earth live in biofilms (45, 140 46). Viruses play an extensive ecological role and have been reported to exist in diverse 141 microbial communities such as biofilms. They are involved in several dynamics such as 142 microbial diversity and biogeochemical cycles due to their prevalence and variation 143 across diverse ecosystems (47). Therefore, it is essential to comprehend how the viruses 144 effectively persist in different environments because this process can assist in the 145 understanding of their transmissibility and cross-infectivity among different hosts. At 146 this moment, there is limited knowledge about the relationship between viruses and 147 biofilms, which usually addresses enteric viruses.

Biofilm is an ecological cluster of microorganisms, surface-attached or floating, with the evolutionary purpose given the protection, nutrition, or strengthening survival. In biofilms, the microorganisms are surrounded by a complex assembled extracellular matrix. This results in heterogeneous biomolecular and biochemical arrangements that hinder the entry of exogenous components while facilitates the exchange of genetic Applied and Environmental Microbiology

AEM

elements among microbial cells (48, 49). The consequences of pathogenic biofilm
formation are calamitous for human health, water systems, food, and other industries
and consequently for the economy (50).

156 Reports in the literature about viruses in biofilms are recent, dating from the late 157 90s. Specifically, enteroviruses have been detected from biofilms. In 1997 a study with 158 the nonenveloped Poliovirus-1, using an artificial water flow distribution system, 159 brought up the discussion about virus persistence and biofilm. They showed a greater amount of viruses recovered from biofilms. Notably, those viruses seemed to be 160 161 protected against the disinfectant chlorine in the opposite of those in water phase 162 counterparts (51). Enterovirus and Norovirus, nonenveloped viruses, were also 163 identified into biofilms from drinking water and wastewater samples and infectious viruses could be released from these biofilms. Besides, virions from wastewater were 164 165 characterized as being more persistent when associated with biofilm, remaining 166 infectious for up to 30 days. These results warned for the risk of virus-containing 167 biofilms that contribute to temporal dispersion of viral-pollution in water environments 168 (52-54). Additionally, biofilm-associated enteroviruses developed attached to pipeline 169 walls are flow pressure and disinfectant resistant and may represent 95% of the overall 170 potential contaminant biomass in direct contact with the water (55). In this sense, the 171 entitled article "Enteric virions and microbial biofilms – a secondary source of public 172 health concern?" enquire about the presence of pathogenic virions within biofilms from 173 distribution pipes and their neglected monitoring (56). It is important to mention the 174 detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater (57). Although the isolation of the 175 infectious virus from faeces was described, no cases of faecal-oral transmission have 176 been reported (58).

177 Viruses as well as other micro-organisms may come into contact with preexisting 178 biofilms and accidentally adhere and make part of them, thus constituting secondary colonizers. A study of 2002 supports that biofilms may encompass a set of 179 180 nonenveloped enteric viruses, including Calicivirus, Rotavirus, Astrovirus, and hepatitis 181 A virus, among other microorganisms such as Gram-negative bacteria and filamentous 182 fungi (55). Some authors indicate that the human oral cavity may be an active site of 183 infection and reservoir for SARS-CoV-2 assuming its interaction with the host oral 184 microbiota (59), which is mostly in the form of biofilm. Importantly, both virions and 185 virus-infected eukaryotic cells embedded in biofilms have been reported to retain their 186 infectivity. A study investigated the enveloped virus Herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-187 1) and the nonenveloped virus Coxsackievirus type B5 (CVB5) within the fungal 188 Candida albicans biofilms (60). The authors recovered high virus titers from in vitro 189 cultures of the virus-exposed biofilms after extensive washes, indicating that virions 190 and viruses are deeply dispersed and protected into the fungi-produced biofilm matrix. Still, the authors related that biofilm reduced virus sensitivity to chemical inactivation. 191 192 They discussed some mechanisms that may lead to virions inclusion into the fungal 193 biofilm; for example, by sorption sites from the extracellular matrix, by the natural 194 colloidal characteristic of viruses, or by biomolecules present on biological fluids. Since 195 these viruses encompassed on biofilm kept their viability and infectivity, it indicates 196 that biofilm lifestyle does not limit viral dissemination (60) and even can improve it. 197 Therefore, viruses may be stored in biofilms regarding temporary or long-term 198 reservoirs in the environment (61). As pointed by Vasickova and collaborators (2010), 199 the potential of viral spreading via contaminated surfaces depends particularly on the 200 ability of the virus to maintain infectivity while it is in the environment, and they

indicated some benefits for viruses within biofilms, such as protection againstdesiccation and antimicrobial agents (62).

A pioneering study conducted by French scientists using the enveloped human T-203 204 cell leukemia virus type 1 (HTLV-1) showed that the biofilm-like extracellular viral 205 assemblies mediate the HTLV-1 cell-to-cell transmission (63). Notably, the 206 extracellular state represents a crucial step for viral dissemination since intracellular 207 formed virions have to spread the surface of other target cells to re-start viral 208 replication. At this moment they are exposed to physicochemical and host immune 209 system challenges. To overcome it the authors proposed that the observed "extracellular 210 viral assemblies" would correspond to a "viral biofilm." Viral biofilms were then 211 defined as a protective form of "virion community embedded in a matrix of highly with enhanced persistence, infectious capacity, and 212 glycosylated proteins" 213 dissemination (64). They also detail the similarities of these extracellular viral 214 assemblies and the composition, organization, and dissemination to bacterial biofilms. 215 To conclude, the authors pointed that it is likely a similar mechanism can be used also 216 by other enveloped viruses, and CoVs possess enveloped capsid.

217 A growing number of studies reports that enveloped and non-enveloped viruses 218 can spread in groups in so-called collective infectious units (65-67). The vehicles 219 mediating collective spread vary widely and include lipid vesicles, protein matrices, 220 diverse forms of aggregation, and binding to the surface of host or non-host cells (66). 221 In accordance, Tozzi and co-workers (2020) described the collective arrangements of 222 multiple SARS-CoV-2 particles based on microscopic image analysis of viruses 223 emerging from the surface of cultured cells. They suggest that when the virions exit the 224 host cells, single particles tend at first to aggregate in spherical assemblies, then to 225 develop interconnected network-like branches and nodes and this time called collective 226 clustering dynamics (68). However, further investigations are needed to characterize the 227 presence of the matrix for SARS-CoV-2, such as in the study developed by Thoulouze and colleagues (2011) for HTLV-1. In this sense, the ability of Betacoronaviruses to 228 229 bind carbohydrates has been mapped due to their high saccharides or carbohydrate 230 domains and glycosylation patterns (69-71). Likewise, we speculate that the affinity of 231 CoVs virions to polysaccharides presented on the matrix of biofilms probably would 232 play a role in secondary colonization. Moreover, would this high saccharide profile be 233 involved in the formation of viral biofilms?

This set of evidence allows us to propose that CoVs also could be present in biofilm patterns, either as viral biofilm or as a secondary colonizer of a microbial biofilm (**Figure 2**). Moreover, previous studies addressed in this review indicate that the (i) viral particles comprising a viral biofilm are embedded in an extracellular matrix from the host cell microenvironment, eventually, induced by the viral infection; otherwise, (ii) viral particles that are inside a microbial biofilm are surrounded by an extracellular matrix produced and externalized by bacterial and/or fungal cells.

241 Since viruses are strict intracellular parasites, we hypothesize that they will be 242 unable to proliferate in biofilms, but they would persist infectious in a reservoir host due 243 to the advantages conferred by the biofilm structure. Furthermore, the maintenance of 244 viral particles inside biofilms facilitates the co-infection process due to physical 245 proximity. When viruses spread collectively, they should locally increase the number of 246 viral genomes that initiate a cell infection, which may contribute to increasing 247 infectivity and may favor co-infections (66, 67). To co-infect the same cell is beneficial 248 for microorganisms since it may provide diverse genetic materials, favoring mutations 249 and recombinations, biological diversity, and drug resistance (72) (Figure 2, step 3b). 250 Subsequently, we expect that CoVs-containing biofilms may also act as a viral

environmental reservoir, including under fomites, and contribute to viral persistence anddissemination (61).

253 Importantly, these circumstances may increase viral infectivity and favor co-254 infections (73). A retrospective study from Jiangsu Province showed that 242 (94.2 % 255 of 257) SARS-CoV-2 positive patients were co-infected with one or more pathogens. 256 Bacterial co-infections were dominant and Streptococcus pneumoniae, Klebsiella 257 pneumoniae, and Haemophilus influenzae were the most common species. Additionally, viral, fungal, and bacterial-fungal co-infections were present in the highest severe 258 259 SARS-CoV-2 cases (74). In accordance, another study from Renmin Hospital of Wuhan 260 University including 354 SARS-CoV-2 positive patients concluded that 24.4% of 261 critical cases were co-infected with other respiratory pathogens. These conclusions 262 suggest that co-infections are associated with the severity of SARS-CoV-2 (75).

263

4. Can microbial biofilms favor viral reservoirs in bats?

Bats harbor a rich pool of virus species, increasing the probability of cell coinfections. It enables the interspecies exchange of genetic fragments and the emergence of new viruses. Longevity, densely packed colonies, close social interaction, and strong ability to fly are all favorable conditions for bats to be an ideal virus spreader. It has been proposed that bats coexist with pathogens due to adaptations inadvertently acquired during the evolution of flight (76). Moreover, multiple pieces of evidence support an evolutionary origin of all human CoVs from bats.

In 2016, Plowright and colleagues described three hypotheses that dominate the current research on emerging bat infections. They pointed that pulses of viral excretion could be generated by episodic shedding from persistently infected bats through a combination of physiological and ecological factors. In this scenario, the viral acute 276 infection is resolved without clearance of viruses which allows pulses of transmission. 277 This is triggered by viral reactivation and the stable carriage of the pathogen in space 278 and time, even if viral shedding is episodic. For example, enveloped Lyssaviruses and 279 Flaviviruses persistently have infected bats and shed viruses for extensive periods 280 without evidence of disease (77). In 2017, Jeong and co-workers demonstrated that 281 persistent infections support the maintenance of CoVs in a bat population. It was 282 assumed that temporally synchronized stressors such as pregnancy or poor nutrition 283 may weaken the immune system of bats facilitating the viral reactivation, the pulses of 284 viral shedding, and the spillover of bat-borne viruses (78, 79). Several hurdles including 285 the necessity of biosecurity level 4 conditions, the difficulty in reproducing natural 286 infections in bat through experimental infections, and in isolating infectious virus from bats have constrained the understanding of emerging bat viruses (80). However, the 287 288 mechanisms including physiological and ecological factors which drive infectious 289 disease dynamics in bats such as viral persistence, clearance, and transmission without 290 apparent disease remain poorly characterized.

Based on this set of evidence and the features regarding biofilms, we raise the perspective that some viruses, such as CoVs, can persistently infect reservoir hosts, including bats, due to biofilm lifestyle. This assumption may contribute to response some important questions and is supported by the following observations and discussions:

296 1 - In persistent infections, a virus is not cleared from the host, but remains
297 associated with specific cells for long periods (79).

Biofilms are recognized as an important way to maintain microbial cells or particles in metabolic latency while they are protected by an external matrix. In this sense, as pointed by Thoulouze and Alcover (2011) viral biofilms could represent "viral

15

301 communities" with enhanced infectious capacity and improved spread compared with 302 "free" viral particles. This might constitute a key reservoir for chronic infections. 303 Additionally, as discussed by Dawley and Gibson (2019) specific associations of 304 viruses with bacteria or other micro-organisms are likely to allow the easier entry of 305 virions into the biofilm resulting in a reservoir of viruses. These virions are as difficult 306 to remove and inactivate as their bacterial counterparts, turning infections persistent for 307 a long time (81).

2 - If bats do not clear infections and viruses may persist within bat hosts, it is
assumed that bat hosts may tolerate viruses. In this case, a tempered inflammatory
response would minimize immunopathology and viral replication may fluctuate as a
function of the immunological competence of the host (78).

312 It has been reported that bats host intracellular microorganisms such as protozoa 313 (Plasmodium spp.), bacteria (Bartonella spp.), and fungi (Histoplasma capsulatum), 314 without ostensible disease symptoms. By contrast, pathogens that predominantly occupy 315 the extracellular space present considerable challenges for bat immune systems (82). 316 The immune system of bats appears to be different in some features from those of other 317 mammals and avoid an over-induction of inflammation (83). In this point, is important 318 to mention the inflammatory process also may be inhibited by biofilms, since the 319 surrounding matrix hinders the recognition of microorganisms by the immune system. 320 Additionally, once viruses are encompassed on biofilms, they will be unable to 321 replicate. These biofilm characteristics may also explain the controlled rate of viruses, 322 the persistent infection, the lower activation of the immune system, and the absence of 323 active disease in bats.

324 3 - Genomic recombination events have been shown for several viruses, including
325 CoVs, that are harbored by bats (23). Compared to other RNA viruses, the expanded

326 genome size of CoVs facilitates the acquisition of genes encoding accessory proteins 327 that are beneficial for CoVs adaptation to a specific host and as a result, genome 328 changes caused by recombination, gene interchange, and insertion or deletion are 329 common among CoVs (27). This genetic plasticity is important to inter-species 330 persistence-survival mechanisms (84).

Biofilms may contribute to viral recombination events especially for supporting an environment in which distinct and infectious viruses are physically close and in the presence of extracellular enzymes and genetic fragments. These viruses would be released from the biofilm and would co-infect the same host cell leading to gene exchanges and recombination. Therefore, the facilitated cell co-infection by diverse viruses allows the evolution and emergence of new viral particles that can undergo spillover events to other animals.

In this sense, it was demonstrated that secondary infection with the white-nose syndrome fungus (*Pseudogymnoascus destructans*) increased CoV replication in bats (85). This study opens up a new perspective about infection dynamics and microbial interactions. It demonstrates that a complex regulation possibly modulates bat responses to virus replication through their translocation from the biofilm (related to persistent infections) to virion free-living state (related to active infection) and vice-versa.

344 4 - It has been reported the difficulty of isolating infectious viruses from bats,
345 which may yield false-negative samples. Moreover, it has been suggested that persistent
346 pathogens within their hosts may be sequestered in tissues while viremia or shedding in
347 excreta may be periodic, justifying the false negatives status (78, 86).

348 It is important to point out that this technical obstacle also may be imposed if 349 viruses are enclosed within biofilm structures.

350

Applied and Environmental

Microbiology

AEM

351

5. Do biofilm mediate CoVs extracellular viability?

352 Viruses dissemination closely depends on hosts and environmental interactions. SARS-CoV and -CoV-2 human transmission can occur with both direct (air-borne and 353 354 droplets) and indirect contact (contaminated surfaces) between susceptible and infected 355 individuals (87, 88). For this, viruses must be shed into the environment and contaminate biotic (mucosa) or abiotic (non-living structures like fomites) surfaces. 356 357 Notably, they must be capable to remain infectious on that for at least a time (Figure 3). In 2017, a review addressed the different types of viruses' collective spread and 358 pointed the advantages of viral dissemination through "complex multi-virion 359 360 structures", such as viral biofilms and viruses as secondary colonizers, in comparison to 361 free individual virions (65, 66). In this context, we point out two leading biofilm features that could directly or indirectly afford and maintain virus viability through 362 363 some intrinsic abilities:

364 1 - Biofilm may act as viral storage where virions remain infectious within the
365 hosts (wild, livestock or domestic animals and humans) and on the environment (food,
366 water, excrements, plants, pastures, and fomites);

367 2 - Biofilm may support virions persistence through matrix physicochemical
368 protection against stresses such as desiccation in the extracellular medium, against
369 immune responses (immunological modulation) and resistance to chemical substances,
370 keeping viral particles infective over time;

Surfaces can serve as a vehicle for virus dissemination because they can be contaminated by direct contact with the animal or human excretions, fluids, and through transfer by mechanical vectors (**Figure 3**) (87). The degree to which environmental/surface-based transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is actually occurring is still unclear. Considering that CoVs are enveloped viruses, it is expected a structural Applied and Environmental Microbiology 376 fragility when facing environmental conditions. However, scientists have investigated 377 the capacity of CoVs to survive on several surfaces (plastics, latex, metals, and glasses) and the results show that they remain infectious during long periods (hours-days for 378 379 CoVs, while weeks-months for others) (89). In this sense, SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, 380 and MERS-CoV would be infectious in environmental reservoirs like water, foods, and 381 in sewage time sufficiently to facilitate onward transmission. The viability and 382 persistence of CoVs depend on several variables and the exact mechanisms are still 383 unknown (90, 91). Although these studies consider some characteristics of the surface 384 substrate (porous and non-porous) the biofilm condition has not been contemplated until 385 now (87, 91-93). It was shown that SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV could survive for 386 extended periods when suspended in human secretions while the influenza virus could survive longer in mucus (91, 94). These observations contribute to biofilm-theory since 387 388 organic materials are highly hydrated and rich in macromolecules which in turn can act 389 as the extracellular matrix.

390 391

6. Concluding remarks

392 The scientific literature allows us to propose that biofilms may play a role in 393 CoVs pathogenicity modulation, the ability to persist in reservoir hosts and the 394 environment, and viral transmissibility. Assuming that CoVs can also occur in biofilms, 395 new perspectives could be provided for important questions (i) the absent or low 396 pathogenicity of CoVs within reservoir hosts, like bats; (ii) a more depressed level of 397 immune system stimulation; (iii) viral persistence and chronic infection profile in 398 reservoir hosts, like bats; (iv) the viral genetic plasticity and emergence of new viral 399 entities; (v) the viral environmental spreading through excretions; (vi) the persistence of 400 the viruses outside host cells; and (vii) indirect cross-species transmissibility by

401 handling and consuming contaminated products. SARS-CoV-2 pandemic exposes 402 enormous inequalities of our societies that negatively impact our lives. Given the public 403 health and economic gravity of this pandemic, SARS-CoV-2 is currently the hot topic 404 and significant financial investment has been destined by several countries. It is 405 supporting basic research, to understand the biology and pathogenesis of the virus, as 406 well as applied research, to obtain epidemiological data to understand the origin and 407 dynamics of the virus and accelerate the development of drugs and vaccines. We 408 strongly feel this is a significant interdisciplinary contribution connecting microbiology, 409 ecology, and public health. It will aid the advancement of alternative approaches for the 410 control of these pathogens, and the management of the current and potential future 411 crises.

412

413 Acknowledgments

We kindly acknowledge Dr. Alexandre José Macedo, Dr. Carlos Blanco, and Dr. Daniel
Thomas for their insight. We thank "Ana Paula Ilustrações e Design" and Siou Ku for
the brilliant contributions with the illustrations.

417

418 Competing interests

419 The authors declare no competing interests.

420

421 Author contribution

422 Both authors contributed equally to this study.

423

424 Biographical sketch

Applied and Environmental Microbiology 425 - Rafael Gomes Von Borowski is pharmacist and Ph.D. in Pharmaceutical Sciences 426 (Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil) and Microbiology (University of 427 Rennes 1, France). His doctoral thesis received honorable mention and Rennes 1 428 Foundation Theses Award. After an experience in a Public Hospital in the south of 429 Brazil, he has working in the R&D Department of public and private laboratories. His is 430 particularly interested in new strategies and natural antimicrobials to control infectious 431 disease. Currently, he is responsible for the development of a diagnostic test at a 432 pharmaceutical biotechnology company specialized on human phage therapy.

433

434 - Danielle da Silva Trentin is pharmacist and holds the M.Sc. (2009) and Ph.D. (2013) 435 in Pharmaceutical Sciences from Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil. Her thesis received honorable mention in the Brazilian national 436 437 award "CAPES Theses Award", field of Pharmacy. From 2013 to 2016, she completed 438 postdoctoral fellowships at UFRGS. Since 2017 she has held the adjunct professor 439 position at the Department of Basic Health Sciences at the Federal University of Health 440 Sciences of Porto Alegre (Porto Alegre, Brazil), in Microbiology. She was granted by 441 the Serrapilheira Institute and is leader of the research group "Bacteriology and 442 Alternative Experimental Models". Her research interests are focused on microbial 443 virulence factors, including biofilms, as an approach to control infectious disease. 444 Currently, she is working with Galleria mellonella larvae as an experimental host to 445 evaluate in vivo virulence-attenuating compounds and as an animal model to study 446 plastic biodegradation.

447

448 References

1. Schlesinger WH. 2006. Global change ecology. Trends Ecol Evol. 21(6):348-51.

21

Palmer M, Bernhardt E, Chornesky E, Collins S, Dobson A, Duke C, Gold B,
 Jacobson R, Kingsland S, Kranz R, Mappin M, Martinez ML, Micheli F, Morse J, Pace M,
 Pascual M, Palumbi S, Reichman OJ, Simons A, Townsend A, Turner M. 2004. Ecology.
 Ecology for a crowded planet. Science. 304(5675):1251-2.

454 Bavel JJV, Baicker K, Boggio PS, Capraro V, Cichocka A, Cikara M, Crockett MJ, 3. 455 Crum AJ, Douglas KM, Druckman JN, Drury J, Dube O, Ellemers N, Finkel EJ, Fowler JH, 456 Gelfand M, Han S, Haslam SA, Jetten J, Kitayama S, Mobbs D, Napper LE, Packer DJ, 457 Pennycook G, Peters E, Petty RE, Rand DG, Reicher SD, Schnall S, Shariff A, Skitka LJ, 458 Smith SS, Sunstein CR, Tabri N, Tucker JA, Linden SVD, Lange P.V, Weeden KA, Wohl 459 MJA, Zaki J, Zion SR, Willer, R. 2020. Using social and behavioural science to support 460 COVID-19 pandemic response. Nat Hum Behav. 4(5):460-471. doi: 10.1038/s41562-461 020-0884-z. Epub 2020 Apr 30.

462 4. Bastone P, Truyen U, Löchelt M. 2003. Potential of zoonotic transmission of
463 non-primate foamy viruses to humans. J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health.
464 50(9):417-23.

465 5. Virology: Coronaviruses. 1968. Nature. 220(5168):650-.

466 6. Baudette FR, Hudson CB. 1933. New recognized Poultry disease.: North 467 American Vet.; p. 50-4.

468 7. McClurkin AW. 1977. Probable role of viruses in calfhood diseases. J Dairy Sci.469 60(2):278-82.

470 8. Lai MM. 1987. Molecular biology of coronavirus 1986. Adv Exp Med Biol. 218:7471 13.

472 9. Mostl K. 1990. Coronaviridae, pathogenetic and clinical aspects: an update.
473 Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 13(4):169-80.

474 10. Al-Tawfiq JA. 2013. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-coronavirus infection: an
475 overview. J Infect Public Health. 6(5):319-22.

476 11. Al-Tawfiq JA, Smallwood CA, Arbuthnott KG, Malik MS, Barbeschi M, Memish
477 ZA. 2013. Emerging respiratory and novel coronavirus 2012 infections and mass
478 gatherings. East Mediterr Health J. 19 Suppl 1:S48-54.

Bermingham A, Chand MA, Brown CS, Aarons E, Tong C, Langrish C, Hoschler K,
Brown K, Galiano M, Myers R, Pebody RG, Green HK, Boddington NL, Gopal R, Price N,
Newsholme W, Drosten C, Fouchier RA, Zambon M. 2012. Severe respiratory illness
caused by a novel coronavirus, in a patient transferred to the United Kingdom from the
Middle East, September 2012. Euro Surveill. 17(40):20290.

484 13. WHO. 2020a. Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus. In: WHO, editor.
485 Geneva: World Health Organisation Press.

486 14. WHO. 2020b. Novel coronavirus 2019. In: WHO, editor. Geneva: World Health487 Organisation Press.

Thu H, Chen X, Hu T, Li J, Song H, Liu Y, Wang P, Liu D, Yang J, Holmes EC,
Hughes AC, Bi Y, Shi W. 2020. A Novel Bat Coronavirus Closely Related to SARS-CoV-2
Contains Natural Insertions at the S1/S2 Cleavage Site of the Spike Protein. Curr Biol.
30(11):2196-2203.e3.

Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Zhang L, Fan G, Xu J, Gu X, Cheng Z,
Yu T, Xia J, Wei Y, Wu W, Xie X, Yin W, Li H, Liu M, Xiao Y, Gao H, Guo L, Xie J, Wang G,
Jiang R, Gao Z, Jin Q, Wang J, Cao B. 2020. Clinical features of patients infected with
2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 395(10223):497-506.

496 17. Chen Y, Liu Q, Guo D. 2020. Emerging coronaviruses: Genome structure,
497 replication, and pathogenesis. J Med Virol. 92(4):418-23.

498 18. Perlman S, Netland J. 2009. Coronaviruses post-SARS: update on replication and
499 pathogenesis. Nat Rev Microbiol. 7(6):439-50.

500 19. Neuman BW, Buchmeier MJ. 2016. Supramolecular Architecture of the 501 Coronavirus Particle. Adv Virus Res. 96:1-27.

502 20. Hu B, Guo H, Zhou P, Shi Z-L. 2021. Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-503 19. Nature reviews Microbiology. 19(3):141-54.

504 21. Sallard E, Halloy J, Casane D, Decroly E, van Helden J. 2021. Tracing the origins 505 of SARS-COV-2 in coronavirus phylogenies: a review. Environ Chem Lett. 2021:1-17.

 Heinrich S, Wittman TA, Ross JV, Shepherd CR, Challender DWS, Cassey P. 2017.
 THE GLOBAL TRAFFICKING OF PANGOLINS: A comprehensive summary of seizures
 and trafficking routes from 2010–2015. Available from: https://www.traffic.org/publications/reports/the-global-trafficking-of-pangolins/.

510 23. Ye ZW, Yuan S, Yuen KS, Fung SY, Chan CP, Jin DY. 2020. Zoonotic origins of 511 human coronaviruses. Int J Biol Sci. 16(10):1686-97.

512 24. Guan Y, Zheng BJ, He YQ, Liu XL, Zhuang ZX, Cheung CL, Luo SW, Li PH, Zhang LJ,
513 Guan YJ, Butt KM, Wong KL, Chan KW, Lim W, Shortridge KF, Yuen KY, Peiris JS, Poon
514 LL. 2003. Isolation and characterization of viruses related to the SARS coronavirus from
515 animals in southern China. Science. 302(5643):276-8.

516 25. Song HD, Tu CC, Zhang GW, Wang SY, Zheng K, Lei LC, Chen QX, Gao YW, Zhou 517 HQ, Xiang H, Zheng HJ, Chern SW, Cheng F, Pan CM, Xuan H, Chen SJ, Luo HM, Zhou 518 DH, Liu YF, He JF, Qin PZ, Li LH, Ren YQ, Liang WJ, Yu YD, Anderson L, Wang M, Xu RH, 519 Wu XW, Zheng HY, Chen JD, Liang G, Gao Y, Liao M, Fang L, Jiang LY, Li H, Chen F, Di B, 520 He LJ, Lin JY, Tong S, Kong X, Du L, Hao P, Tang H, Bernini A, Yu XJ, Spiga O, Guo ZM, 521 Pan HY, He WZ, Manuguerra JC, Fontanet A, Danchin A, Niccolai N, Li YX, Wu CI, Zhao 522 GP. 2005. Cross-host evolution of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus in 523 palm civet and human. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 102(7):2430-5.

524 26. Tu C, Crameri G, Kong X, Chen J, Sun Y, Yu M, Xiang H, Xia X, Liu S, Ren T, Yu Y,
525 Eaton, BT, Xuan H, Wang LF. 2004. Antibodies to SARS coronavirus in civets. Emerg
526 Infect Dis. 10(12):2244-8.

527 27. Fan Y, Zhao K, Shi ZL, Zhou P. 2019. Bat Coronaviruses in China. Viruses. 11(3).

528 28. Hu B, Zeng LP, Yang XL, Ge XY, Zhang W, Li B, Xie JZ, Shen XR, Zhang YZ, Wang
529 N, Luo DS, Zheng XS, Wang MN, Daszak P, Wang LF, Cui J, Shi ZL. 2017. Discovery of a
530 rich gene pool of bat SARS-related coronaviruses provides new insights into the origin
531 of SARS coronavirus. PLoS Pathog. 13(11):e1006698.

532 29. Ge X, Li Y, Yang X, Zhang H, Zhou P, Zhang Y, Shi Z. 2012. Metagenomic analysis
533 of viruses from bat fecal samples reveals many novel viruses in insectivorous bats in
534 China. J Virol. 86(8):4620-30.

535 30. Cui J, Li F, Shi ZL. 2019. Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. Nat 536 Rev Microbiol. 17(3):181-92.

537 31. Chan JF, Lau SK, To KK, Cheng VC, Woo PC, Yuen KY. 2015. Middle East
538 respiratory syndrome coronavirus: another zoonotic betacoronavirus causing SARS-like
539 disease. Clin Microbiol Rev. 28(2):465-522.

S2. Raj V S, Farag EA, Reusken CB, Lamers MM, Pas SD, Voermans J, Smits SL,
Osterhaus AD, Al-Mawlawi N, Al-Romaihi HE, AlHajri MM, El-Sayed AM, Mohran KA,
Ghobashy H, Alhajri F, Al-Thani M, Al-Marri SA, El-Maghraby MM, Koopmans MP,
Haagmans BL. 2014. Isolation of MERS coronavirus from a dromedary camel, Qatar,
2014. Emerg Infect Dis. 20(8):1339-42.

545 33. Eckerle I, Corman VM, Müller MA, Lenk M, Ulrich RG, Drosten C. 2014.
546 Replicative Capacity of MERS Coronavirus in Livestock Cell Lines. Emerg Infect Dis.
547 20(2):276-9.

548 34. Fakhoury H, Hajeer A. 2015. Re-emerging Middle East respiratory syndrome 549 coronavirus: The hibernating bat hypothesis. Ann Thorac Med. 10(3):218-9.

S50 35. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, Si HR, Zhu Y, Li B, Huang CL,
S51 Chen HD, Chen J, Luo Y, Guo H, Jiang RD, Liu MQ, Chen Y, Shen XR, Wang X, Zheng XS,
S52 Zhao K, Chen QJ, Deng F, Liu LL, Yan B, Zhan FX, Wang YY, Xiao GF, Shi ZL. 2020. A
S53 pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature.
S54 579(7798):270-3.

Xiao K, Zhai J, Feng Y, Zhou N, Zhang X, Zou JJ, Li N, Guo Y, Li X, Shen X, Zhang Z,
Shu F, Huang W, Li Y, Chen RA, Wu YJ, Peng SM, Huang M, Xie WJ, Cai QH, Hou FH,
Chen, W, Xiao L, Shen, Y. 2020. Isolation of SARS-CoV-2-related coronavirus from
Malayan pangolins. Nature. 583(7815):286-9.

37. Wahba L, Jain N, Fire AZ, Shoura MJ, Artiles KL, McCoy MJ, Jeong DE. 2020. An
Extensive Meta-Metagenomic Search Identifies SARS-CoV-2-Homologous Sequences in
Pangolin Lung Viromes. mSphere. 5(3).

AEN

562 38. Liu J, Zheng X, Tong Q, Li W, Wang B, Sutter K, Trilling M, Lu M, Dittmer U, Yang
563 D. 2020. Overlapping and discrete aspects of the pathology and pathogenesis of the
564 emerging human pathogenic coronaviruses SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and 2019-nCoV. J
565 Med Virol. 92(5):491-4.

566 39. Banerjee A, Kulcsar K, Misra V, Frieman M, Mossman K. 2019. Bats and 567 Coronaviruses. Viruses. 11(1).

568 40. Drexler JF, Corman VM, Wegner T, Tateno AF, Zerbinati RM, Gloza-Rausch F,
569 Seebens A, Müller MA, Drosten C. 2011. Amplification of emerging viruses in a bat
570 colony. Emerg Infect Dis. 17(3):449-56.

Quan PL, Firth C, Conte JM, Williams SH, Zambrana-Torrelio CM, Anthony SJ,
Ellison JA, Gilbert AT, Kuzmin IV, Niezgoda M, Osinubi MO, Recuenco S, Markotter W,
Breiman RF, Kalemba L, Malekani J, Lindblade KA, Rostal MK, Ojeda-Flores R, Suzan G,
Davis LB, Blau DM, Ogunkoya AB, Alvarez Castillo DA, Moran D, Ngam S, Akaibe D,
Agwanda B, Briese T, Epstein JH, Daszak P, Rupprecht CE, Holmes EC, Lipkin WI. 2013.
Bats are a major natural reservoir for hepaciviruses and pegiviruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A. 110(20):8194-9.

578 42. Ciminski K, Pfaff F, Beer M, Schwemmle M. 2020. Bats reveal the true power of 579 influenza A virus adaptability. PLoS Pathog. 16(4):e1008384.

Teeling EC, Springer MS, Madsen O, Bates P, O'Brien SJ, Murphy WJ. 2005. A
molecular phylogeny for bats illuminates biogeography and the fossil record. Science.
307(5709):580-4.

44. Wassenaar TM, Zou Y. 2020. 2019_nCoV/SARS-CoV-2: rapid classification of
betacoronaviruses and identification of Traditional Chinese Medicine as potential
origin of zoonotic coronaviruses. Lett Appl Microbiol. 70(5):342-8.

586 45. Flemming HC, Wuertz S. 2019. Bacteria and archaea on Earth and their 587 abundance in biofilms. Nat Rev Microbiol. 17(4):247-60.

Kernien JF, Snarr BD, Sheppard DC, Nett JE. 2017. The Interface between Fungal
Biofilms and Innate Immunity. Front Immunol. 8:1968.

47. Mateus MD. 2017. Bridging the Gap between Knowing and Modeling Viruses in
Marine Systems—An Upcoming Frontier. Frontiers in Marine Science. 3(284).

592 48. Flemming H-C, Wingender J. 2010. The biofilm matrix. Nature Reviews 593 Microbiology. 8(9):623-33.

49. Olsen I. 2015. Biofilm-specific antibiotic tolerance and resistance. Eur J Clin
Microbiol Infect Dis. 34(5):877-86.

596 50. Flemming HC. 2020. Biofouling and me: My Stockholm syndrome with biofilms.597 Water Res. 173:115576.

598 51. Quignon F, Sardin M, Kiene L, Schwartzbrod L. 1997. Poliovirus-1 inactivation 599 and interaction with biofilm: a pilot-scale study. Appl Environ Microbiol. 63(3):978-82.

52. Skraber S, Ogorzaly L, Helmi K, Maul A, Hoffmann L, Cauchie HM, Gantzer C.
2009. Occurrence and persistence of enteroviruses, noroviruses and F-specific RNA
phages in natural wastewater biofilms. Water Res. 43(19):4780-9.

53. Storey MV, Ashbolt NJ. 2001. Persistence of two model enteric viruses (B40-8
and MS-2 bacteriophages) in water distribution pipe biofilms. Water Sci Technol.
43(12):133-8.

54. Helmi K, Skraber S, Gantzer C, Willame R, Hoffmann L, Cauchie HM. 2008.
Interactions of Cryptosporidium parvum, Giardia lamblia, vaccinal poliovirus type 1,
and bacteriophages phiX174 and MS2 with a drinking water biofilm and a wastewater
biofilm. Appl Environ Microbiol. 74(7):2079-88.

55. Flemming H-C, Percival SL, Walker JT. 2002. Contamination potential of biofilms
in water distribution systems. Water Supply. 2(1):271-80.

56. Storey MV, Ashbolt NJ. 2003. Enteric virions and microbial biofilms--a
secondary source of public health concern? Water Sci Technol. 48(3):97-104.

614 57. Ahmed W, Angel N, Edson J, Bibby K, Bivins A, O'Brien JW, Choi PM, Kitajima M,
615 Simpson SL, Li J, Tscharke B, Verhagen R, Smith WJM, Zaugg J, Dierens L, Hugenholtz P,
616 Thomas KV, Mueller JF. 2020. First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated
617 wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID618 19 in the community. Science of The Total Environment. 2020:138764.

58. Wang W, Xu Y, Gao R, Lu R, Han K, Wu G, Tan W. 2020. Detection of SARS-CoV2 in Different Types of Clinical Specimens. JAMA. 323(18):1843-4.

59. Xiang Z, Koo H, Chen Q, Zhou X, Liu Y, Simon-Soro A. 2020. Potential
implications of SARS-CoV-2 oral infection in the host microbiota. J Oral Microbiol.
13(1):1853451.

624 60. Mazaheritehrani E, Sala A, Orsi CF, Neglia RG, Morace G, Blasi E, Cermelli C.
625 2014. Human pathogenic viruses are retained in and released by Candida albicans
626 biofilm in vitro. Virus Res. 179:153-60.

627 61. Wingender J, Flemming HC. 2011. Biofilms in drinking water and their role as 628 reservoir for pathogens. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 214(6):417-23.

629 62. Vasickova P, Pavlik I, Verani M, Carducci A. 2010. Issues Concerning Survival of
630 Viruses on Surfaces. Food Environ Virol. 2: © Springer Science + Business Media, LLC
631 2010.; p. 24-34.

632 63. Pais-Correia AM, Sachse M, Guadagnini S, Robbiati V, Lasserre R, Gessain A,
633 Gout O, Alcover A, Thoulouze MI. 2010. Biofilm-like extracellular viral assemblies
634 mediate HTLV-1 cell-to-cell transmission at virological synapses. Nat Med. 16(1):83-9.

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

Applied and Environmental Microbiology 635 Thoulouze MI, Alcover A. 2011. Can viruses form biofilms? Trends Microbiol. 64. 636 19(6):257-62.

637 65. Sanjuán R. 2017. Collective Infectious Units in Viruses. Trends Microbiol. 638 25(5):402-12.

Sanjuán R, Thoulouze MI. 2019. Why viruses sometimes disperse in groups? 639 66. 640 Virus Evol. 5(1):vez014.

641 Andreu-Moreno I, Sanjuán R. 2020. Collective Viral Spread Mediated by Virion 67. 642 Aggregates Promotes the Evolution of Defective Interfering Particles. mBio. 11(1).

643 68. Tozzi A, Peters J, Annesi-Maesano I, D'Amato G. 2020. Collective Clustering 644 Dynamics of SARS-COV-2 Particles. Preprints.org.

645 69. Belouzard S, Millet JK, Licitra BN, Whittaker GR. 2012. Mechanisms of 646 coronavirus cell entry mediated by the viral spike protein. Viruses. 4(6):1011-33.

647 70. Balzarini J. 2007. Carbohydrate-binding agents: a potential future cornerstone 648 for the chemotherapy of enveloped viruses? Antivir Chem Chemother. 18(1):1-11.

649 71. Balzarini J. 2007. Targeting the glycans of glycoproteins: a novel paradigm for 650 antiviral therapy. Nat Rev Microbiol. 5(8):583-97.

651 72. Bordería AV, Isakov O, Moratorio G, Henningsson R, Agüera-González S, 652 Organtini L, Gnädig NF, Blanc H, Alcover A, Hafenstein S, Fontes M, Shomron N, Vignuzzi M. 2015. Group Selection and Contribution of Minority Variants during Virus 653 654 Adaptation Determines Virus Fitness and Phenotype. PLoS Pathog. 11(5):e1004838.

655 73. Bakaletz LO. 2017. Viral-bacterial co-infections in the respiratory tract. Curr 656 Opin Microbiol. 35:30-5.

657 Zhu X, Ge Y, Wu T, Zhao K, Chen Y, Wu B, Zhu F, Zhu B, Cui L. 2020. Co-infection 74. 658 with respiratory pathogens among COVID-2019 cases. Virus Res. 285:198005.

659 75. Lv Z, Cheng S, Le J, Huang J, Feng L, Zhang B, Li Y. 2020. Clinical characteristics 660 and co-infections of 354 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a 661 retrospective cohort study. Microbes Infect. 22(4):195-9.

662 76. Zhang G, Cowled C, Shi Z, Huang Z, Bishop-Lilly KA, Fang X, Wynne JW, Xiong Z, 663 Baker ML, Zhao W, Tachedjian M, Zhu Y, Zhou P, Jiang X, Ng J, Yang L, Wu L, Xiao J, 664 Feng Y, Chen Y, Sun X, Zhang Y, Marsh GA, Crameri G, Broder CC, Frey KG, Wang LF, 665 Wang J. 2013. Comparative analysis of bat genomes provides insight into the evolution 666 of flight and immunity. Science. 339(6118):456-60.

77. 667 Sulkin SE, Allen R. 1974. Virus infections in bats. Monogr Virol. 8(0):1-103.

668 78. Plowright RK, Peel AJ, Streicker DG, Gilbert AT, McCallum H, Wood J, Baker ML, 669 Restif O. 2016. Transmission or Within-Host Dynamics Driving Pulses of Zoonotic 670 Viruses in Reservoir-Host Populations. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 10(8):e0004796.

Find State 10, 2017. Jeong J, Smith CS, Peel AJ, Plowright RK, Kerlin DH, McBroom J, McCallum H.
2017. Persistent infections support maintenance of a coronavirus in a population of Australian bats (Myotis macropus). Epidemiol Infect. 145(10):2053-61.

80. Dominguez SR, O'Shea TJ, Oko LM, Holmes KV. 2007. Detection of group 1
coronaviruses in bats in North America. Emerg Infect Dis. 13(9):1295-300.

676 81. Dawley C, Gibson KE. 2019. Virus-Bacteria Interactions: Implications for
677 Prevention and Control of Human Enteric Viruses from Environment to Host.
678 Foodborne Pathog Dis. 16(2):81-9.

82. Brook CE, Dobson AP. 2015. Bats as 'special' reservoirs for emerging zoonotic
pathogens. Trends Microbiol. 23(3):172-80.

83. Subudhi S, Rapin N, Misra V. 2019. Immune System Modulation and Viral
Persistence in Bats: Understanding Viral Spillover. Viruses. 11(2).

683 84. Menachery VD, Graham RL, Baric RS. 2017. Jumping species-a mechanism for 684 coronavirus persistence and survival. Curr Opin Virol. 23:1-7.

Bay CM, Donaldson ME, Subudhi S, Rapin N, Warnecke L, Turner JM, Bollinger
TK, Kyle CJ, Dorville NAS, Kunkel EL, Norquay KJO, Dzal YA, Willis CKR, Misra V. 2018.
White-nose syndrome is associated with increased replication of a naturally persisting
coronaviruses in bats. Sci Rep. 8(1):15508.

689 86. Leroy EM, Kumulungui B, Pourrut X, Rouquet P, Hassanin A, Yaba P, Délicat A,
690 Paweska JT, Gonzalez JP, Swanepoel R. 2005. Fruit bats as reservoirs of Ebola virus.
691 Nature. 438(7068):575-6.

Boone SA, Gerba CP. 2007. Significance of fomites in the spread of respiratory
and enteric viral disease. Appl Environ Microbiol. 73(6):1687-96.

88. Spicknall IH, Koopman JS, Nicas M, Pujol JM, Li S, Eisenberg JN. 2010. Informing
optimal environmental influenza interventions: how the host, agent, and environment
alter dominant routes of transmission. PLoS Comput Biol. 6(10):e1000969.

697 89. Kampf G, Todt D, Pfaender S, Steinmann E. 2020. Persistence of coronaviruses
698 on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. J Hosp Infect.
699 104(3):246-51.

700 90. Zuber S, Brüssow H. 2020. COVID 19: challenges for virologists in the food701 industry. Microb Biotechnol. 13(6):1689-701.

702 91. Otter JA, Donskey C, Yezli S, Douthwaite S, Goldenberg SD, Weber DJ. 2016.
703 Transmission of SARS and MERS coronaviruses and influenza virus in healthcare
704 settings: the possible role of dry surface contamination. J Hosp Infect. 92(3):235-50.

705 92. Otter JA, Yezli S, French GL. 2011. The role played by contaminated surfaces in
706 the transmission of nosocomial pathogens. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 32(7):687707 99.

708	93.	Dowell SF, Simmerman JM, Erdman DD, Wu JS, Chaovavanich A, Javadi M, Yang
709	JY, An	derson LJ, Tong S, Ho MS. 2004. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus
710	on hos	pital surfaces. Clin Infect Dis. 39(5):652-7.

- 711 94. Thomas Y, Vogel G, Wunderli W, Suter P, Witschi M, Koch D, Tapparel C, Kaiser,
 712 L. 2008. Survival of influenza virus on banknotes. Appl Environ Microbiol. 74(10):3002713 7.

AEM

737			
738			
739			
740			
741			
742			
743			
744			
745			
746			
747			

748 Figures



749

Figure 1: Chronology diagram of health importance-CoVs transmission to hosts (1930 750 - 2020), based on published reviews using "coronavirus" as a keyword at PubMed 751 752 database (acceded on the first week of April 2020). Color lines represent a temporal 753 estimation of the emergence of pathogenic CoVs, divided into three particular affected 754 groups (livestock and wild animals, pets and humans). ICNV: International Committee for the Nomenclature of Viruses; CoV: Coronavirus; SARS-CoV: Severe Acute 755 Respiratory Syndrome CoV; MERS-CoV: Middle East Respiratory Syndrome; 2019-756 nCoV: 2019 - new Coronavirus; SARS-CoV-2: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome 757 CoV-2 and mr: mortality rate. The number of cases and mr were updated on 5th March 758 759 2021.

- 760
- 761
- 762

763		
764		
765		
766		
767		

- 768
- 769
- 770
- 771



772

773 Figure 2: Biofilms and viruses. Viruses are shown in the extracellular space in 774 planktonic or biofilm forms. 1a) Some viruses come into contact with preexisting 775 biofilms (multispecies biofilm in yellow) and adhere to them, constituting secondary 776 colonizers, or 1b) they are enclosed in a single viral biofilm (orange). Once these 777 structures are established, they become reservoirs and could persist like a "state of 778 latency", keeping viral particles infective over time. 2) Biofilm detachment allows 779 viruses to spread and can liberate virions that will colonize new sites and infect new 780 host cells. 3a) A virion detached from multispecies or viral biofilms infecting the host 781 cell; 3b) Viral gene exchanges and recombination events are possible in the presence of 782 co-infections (exemplified by the green and blank viruses) and can lead to the emergence of new viruses (3c). 4) Environmental stresses such as chemicals, immune
responses (immune modulation) and desiccation in the extracellular medium can
inactivate/eliminate planktonic virions (4a) while virions in biofilm, protected by the
matrix, are more tolerant to these agents and may remain infectious over time (4b).

788



789

790 Figure 3: Proposed role of biofilms in host-coronavirus-environmental interactions and 791 viral dissemination. Bats are the reservoir hosts persistently infected by several viruses, 792 potentially in the form of biofilm chronic infections (multi-species and viral biofilms 793 depicted in enlarged view). Viruses are shed from the host into the environment in 794 biofilms present on excretions. The environmental viral spread can contaminate 795 organisms (e.g. humans, wildlife, livestock and pet animals, plants, and fruits) or abiotic 796 components (e.g. fomites and water). Viral transmission is possible via direct (air-borne 797 and droplets) or indirect (contaminated surfaces) contact between susceptible and 798 infected individuals/hosts.

799