

# Imparting Knowledge and Skills at the Forefront of Interdisciplinary Research - a case study on course design at the intersection of music and engineering

Elaine Chew

# ► To cite this version:

Elaine Chew. Imparting Knowledge and Skills at the Forefront of Interdisciplinary Research - a case study on course design at the intersection of music and engineering. Proceedings. Frontiers in Education. 36th Annual Conference, Oct 2006, San Diego, United States. pp.27-32, 10.1109/FIE.2006.322575. hal-03278555

# HAL Id: hal-03278555 https://hal.science/hal-03278555v1

Submitted on 5 Jul2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Imparting Knowledge and Skills at the Forefront of Interdisciplinary Research – a case study on course design at the intersection of music and engineering

Elaine Chew

Viterbi Early Career Assistant Professor, University of Southern California Viterbi School of Engineering, Integrated Media Systems Center, and Epstein Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering Los Angeles, CA 90089-0193 echew@usc.edu

Abstract – This paper presents a constructivist approach to advanced course development in an interdisciplinary field by focusing on a case study at the intersection of music and engineering. Engineering approaches to music modeling and cognition is a fast growing and rapidly evolving focus of study due to the explosion of digital music information. The challenges of designing a course to impart the expertise necessary to innovate at the forefront of the field include the lack of a formal body of scholarly knowledge in the form of a text, the lack of formal structures to support the course in the traditional disciplinary framework, the relatively small number of students with suitably strong backgrounds in both computing and music, and misconceptions about the nature of music research. The paper describes how these obstacles were surmounted. An outcome of the course was dissemination of organized knowledge and example projects through the web as a resource for the community.

*Index Terms* – Interdisciplinary curriculum design, Music and Engineering, Constructivist approach, Hands-on learning.

#### INTRODUCTION

Engineering is increasingly interfacing with traditionally disparate fields to push the frontiers of human knowledge. The spotlight on interdisciplinary research has necessitated the development of new courses that bridge disciplines and encourage lateral thinking. Training the next generation of engineers to acquire the breadth of expertise required to innovate at the frontiers of interdisciplinary knowledge poses unique challenges for the design of course curricula. This paper presents one solution to advanced course development in a rapidly growing, and continually evolving, interdisciplinary domain by focusing on an example at the intersection of music and engineering – the computer modeling of music processes.

The building of computational models for music processes can be traced back to the theoretical chemist and cognitive scientist, Christopher Longuet-Higgins (in his Letters to a Musical Friend in the 60s [1][2], and computational models in the 70s and 80s, see for example [3]). Longuet-Higgins was also a gifted amateur musician [4] – he was a pianist, conductor and composer – and he took the **1-4244-0257-3/06/\$20.00** © **2006 IEEE** 

seminal steps towards advancing the scientific understanding of music, its structures, and performance. The study of music cognition became an academic specialty in the 80s. The new millennium saw a surge in interest in the field and newcomers from the engineering disciplines, due in part to the maturing of computing technology, and the explosion of digital music information. There exists today renewed and rapidly growing interest in automating the processing and machine understanding of digital music, not only for artificial intelligence, but also for music retrieval by content. The exponential growth in interest in the field can be measured by that in refereed publications generated by newly created conferences such as the International Conferences on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR, [5], founded 2000), Sound and Music Computing (SMC, [6], founded 2004), and Computer Music Modeling and Retrieval (CMMR, [7], founded 2003).

This paper describes the creation, development, and evolution of a course titled Topics in Engineering Approaches to Music Cognition. The goals of the course are: to introduce graduate and advanced undergraduate students to cutting-edge knowledge and research in the computational modeling of music processes; and, to lay the foundations for advanced scientific research at the forefront of the field (including thinking and articulation skills). In the course, the students actively review and present current literature on computational research in music cognition (learn by example), and gain hands-on experience through the design and implementation of a music and computing project (learn by doing). Selected reports and presentations, and all final projects, are posted online as open courseware (www-scf.usc.edu/~ise575), serving as a resource to the community. The course, created in Spring 2003, appeared in its fourth incarnation in Spring 2006. Due to the exponential growth in research in the field, the course is now subdivided into semester-long, focused treatments of special topics. The paper will detail the design goals and principles in the crafting of the course content, including synergistic activities organized to augment the course.

The challenges to mounting and sustaining such a course include the lack of a formal body of knowledge in the form of a text, the lack of formal academic structures to support the course, the relatively small number of students with suitably strong backgrounds in both computing and music, and misconceptions about the nature of music research. The bulk

Session T1A

of the growth in literature in the field has taken place only in the past five years, presenting challenges to the organization of material for a class. As a fast growing field that is still in its infancy, there is often not a natural home in the traditional departmental structures of a university through which one might offer a course on the subject. Mounting a new and interdisciplinary course that does not quite fit in any one department requires an awareness of academic structures beyond that necessary for the teaching of a traditional class. Extra effort also needs to be taken to ensure that students from multiple disciplines who might be interested in, and benefit from, the course know about it.

The interdisciplinary approach espouses a knowledge view that applies tools and language from more than one discipline to examine a central theme or topic (paraphrase of quote by Jacobs, [8] in [9]). An engineering course that focuses on an application area, especially one in the arts, rather than predominantly scientific methods, can often be viewed with suspicion within the traditional and abstract methodology-driven mold. Hence, open communication with one's colleagues about the content and goals of the course can be as important as educating the students in the class. The computational modeling of music cognition draws upon methodologies and tools from music theory, cognitive science, artificial intelligence, experimental psychology, mathematics, signal processing, acoustics, psychoacoustics, and neuroscience. Few, if any, students enrolled in the class are equipped with the requisite knowledge to apprehend all the material. Cross-disciplinary knowledge acquisition is facilitated by opening the course to students not only in engineering, but also in music and psychology. The course adopts a constructivist approach to facilitate learning (see Piaget's theory from [10], summarized in [11]). Care is taken in the design of the course to ensure that each student can gain confidence by building upon domain knowledge that s/he possesses, and acquiring new knowledge through collaborative exchange in a case-based and hands-on learning environment.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: the next section expands on the challenges and solutions to the design and implementation of a course on computational modeling of music processes. Examples are provided using the last two instantiations of the course (one in the survey format, and one topical example on computational modeling of expressive performance) as case studies. Synergistic and supporting activities are described. The paper concludes with a summary of the findings.

#### **CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS**

This section addresses each of the challenges to creating, developing, and sustaining *Topics in Engineering Approaches to Music Cognition*, the interdisciplinary course on computational modeling of music processes, and some concrete solutions to the problems.

#### I. The Structuring of Content

Since the computational modeling of music processes is still a burgeoning field whose body of knowledge is yet amorphous and undergoing metamorphosis, the design of a course in this area of study requires first a structuring of the available content. Unlike more mature fields such as Mechanics or Algebra, it may not be immediately obvious how one might organize the growing body of information in the field.

The structure of the original survey course (offered 2003-2005) was formed after consulting numerous course syllabi in the related areas of music theory and music cognition at the Eastman School of Music, at Ohio State University, and at Indiana University, Bloomington, and Temperley's structuring of content in his book The Cognition of Basic Musical Structures [12]. Admittedly, these courses based in music schools and departments focused more on the organization of music theoretic knowledge rather than technical applications; however, they draw inspiration from music pedagogy, with a tradition of organizing music domain knowledge that has evolved over centuries. Some guiding principles gleaned from this background research resulted in the structuring of the music knowledge part of the course into the analysis of pitch vs. time structures, and within the pitch domain, the analysis of horizontal (linear) vs. vertical (simultaneous) grouping structures. See, for example, the organization of material in Weeks 4-11 (labeled 'Musical Structures') in the Spring 2005 survey course shown in Figure 1, and available online at wwwscf.usc.edu/~ise575/a/syllabus. Earlier examples can be located through www-scf.usc.edu/~ise575.

The *Topics in Engineering Approaches to Music Cognition* course aims to fulfill more than the goal of imparting music cognition and theoretic knowledge. It also strives to associate these concepts with engineering approaches that could provide automated (computational) solutions to the modeling of these processes and structures. The design goals of the class are threefold:

- To provide an introduction to the computational modeling of music processes;
- To lay the foundations for advanced scientific research at the forefront of the field; and,
- To build a structured repository of knowledge in the field as a resource for the broader community.

As a result of participating in the course, by the end of the semester, each student should:

- Understand basic musical structures, and possess the vocabulary with which to describe them;
- Be capable of manipulating digital music in different formats;
- Be able to create computational/automatic means of analyzing, generating, and visualizing music; and,
- Be able to formulate a scientific question and build the computational tools to answer it.

The structure discussed thus far would only address the first action goal. To address the practical goal of being able to handle and manipulate digital music information, a module was added to survey digital music representation in 2005 (see Figure 1, Week 3), and to survey tools for analyzing expression in 2006 (see Figure 2, Week 10). To allow students

#### 1-4244-0257-3/06/\$20.00 © 2006 IEEE

# Session T1A

to learn by example, several weeks of surveying existing interactive applications in the field were added (see Figure 1, Weeks 12-15, and Figure 2, Weeks 13-14). The third design and fourth action goals will be addressed in the next section.



ONLINE SYLLABUS (2005) + LINKS TO PRESENTATIONS, REPORTS.

To keep apace with rapid developments in the field, the course underwent further revision and expansion to assume a topical form in Spring 2006. Each one-semester part now covers some topic that can be classified generally into the traditional musical categories of analysis, performance, and composition. The Spring 2006 course focused on computational modeling of expressive performance; its syllabus is shown in Figure, 3 and available online at *www-scf.usc.edu/~ise575/b/syllabus*. This is the first time a course on this topic is being offered, and the material has been organized into the descriptors of performance (tempo, dynamics, articulation), a survey of **1-4244-0257-3/06/\$20.00** © **2006 IEEE**  analysis and synthesis tools, and a review of some interactive systems, book-ended by survey articles and musicians' views. Other aspects of the course such as the presentation format, reporting and project requirements remain the same.

-	computational modeling of Expressive Fertormanee
Sprin	g 2006 Daniel J. Egstein Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering University of Southern California Andrew and Erna Viterbå School of Engineering
introductio Week 1	n Course syllabus [ PDF ] Class list [ HTML   PDF ] Signup information sheet [ HTML ] Reading plan I PDF ]
(Jan 11)	Overview
(	Palmer, C. (1997). Music Performance. Annual Review of Psychology. 48:115-38. [PDE] presentation: [chew.]
Week 2	Gabrielsson, A. (1999). Music Performance. In D. Deutsch (Ed.): The Psychology of Music, Vol.2, pp.501-602. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
(Jan 18)	Read and write a report on one of the following: set A (= pp.501-523) OR set B (= pp.523-557, 577-579). presentation (A): [ unal ] reports (A): [ jer, lin, wang, vazin ] report (AB): [ ju ] presentation (B): [ miles ] reports (B): [ cheng, miles ]
Гетро, Dyr	namics, Articulation
Week 3	Todd, N. P. M. (1992). The dynamics of dynamics: A model of musical expression. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, June 1992, Vol. 91, Issue 6, pp.3540-355 [DR]. Detection July and the acoustical society of America, June 1992, Vol. 91, Issue 6, pp.3540-355 [DR]. Detection July and July an
(Jan 25)	Rep. B. H. (1998). A microcosm of musical expression. I. Quantitative analysis of planists' timing in the initial measures of Chopin's Etude in E major. Journal of the
	Accustical Society of America, Aug 1998, Vol. 104, Issue 2, pp.1085-1100. [PDF] presentation: [moss1] reports: [cheng, chuar, lin ] Deep B, JH (1000) A minical processing of weight of the analysis of a lightly deepending in the bitter analysis of Chenich E minical company.
	Repp. B. Pr. (1999). A microcostri or musical expression: II: Quantitative analysis of plantiss dynamics in the initial measures or Chopin's Eude in El major. Journal or the Acoustical Society of America, Mar 1999, Vol. 105, Issue 3, pp. 1972-1988. [CDE] presentation: [vasin] presentation: [vasin]
MY1	Playing Vivald's Sonata No. 4 by Eric Cheng, presentation: [cheng]
Week 4 (Feb 1)	MUSIC and MOTOR
(1001)	[ sheng ] reports: [ sheng, itu, mardirossian ]
	Enderg, A., Sundberg, J., and Fryden, L. (2000). Music from motion: Sound revel envieopes of tones expressing human locomotion. Journal of New Music Research , 29 (2000). No. 3, pp. 199-210 [PDE] presentation: [chang, addb [reports] [chang, hiles]
Week 5	Articulation and Prosody
(Feb 8)	Bresin, R. & Battel, G. U. (2000). Articulation strategies in expressive piano performance. Journal of New Music Research, Vol.29, No.3, Sep 2000, pp.211-224. [PDF] mesentation: [lin] tenority: [chuan_most_vapid]
	Lindstrom, E. (2003). The Contribution of Immanent and Performed Accents to Emotional Expression in Short Tone Sequences. Journal of New Music Research, Vol.32,
	No.3, Sep 2003, p.289-280. [Cite] presentation: [wang reports: [chain, miles, wang] Palmer C. & Harbins & (2005) White is musical memory in B. H. Ross (Fd.). Psychology of Learning and Motivation 46. Elsevier Press, mesentation: [ier] reports: [
	cheng, matricesian, voi (propinsi nanoce provoj in pri nanoce provoj in pri nanoce provoj na postala nanoce provoj na pri n Pri na pri
Week 6	Interpretation
(red 15)	r amer, S. (1999). On the dissignment of structure in music performance. <i>Mosic revCeption</i> , 14: 23-56. [ <u>Hite</u> ] presentation: [ <u>margrossian</u> ] reports: [ <u>cheng</u> , <u>margrossia</u>
	reports: [chuan, in, itu, yazin, wang]
	De Poli, G. (2004). Methodologies for Expressiveness Modelling of and for Music Performance. Journal of New Music Research, Vol.33 No.3, Sep 2004, pp.189-202. [PDF presentation: [china] reports: [chena, chunz, liu, aratirossian, unal, vaziri]
Analytical a	Ind Generative Tools
Week 7	Visualization
(Feb 22)	Langner J. & Goebl W. (2002). Visualizing Expressive Performance in Tempo-Loudness Space. Computer Music Journal, Vol.27 No.4, Winter 2003, pp.69-83. [PDE] presentation: [cheng] (report): [cheng.] (repor
	Geebal, W., Pampalk, E. & Widmer, G. (2004). "Exploring Expressive Performance Trajectories: Six Famous Planists Play Chopin Pieces," In Proceedings of the 8th
Week 8	Analysis
Mar 1)	Widmer, G. & Goebi, W. (2004). Computational Models of Expressive Music Performance: The State of the Art. Journal of New Music Research. Vol. 33, No.3, Sep 2004.
	PDE presentation: [chang] reports: [chan, in: mardrossian; moss], vaziri ] Koniez, R. Bonoet, M. Goehl, W. & Attermuler (2003). Tempo and Loudress Analysis of a Continuous 28-Hour Performance of Frik Satie's Composition "Versations".
	Toping Tr, burget,
	Langner, J., Kopiez, R., Stoffel, C., Witz, M. (2000). Real-time Analysis of Dynamic Shaping. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Music Perception and Cognition, 5-10 Aug 2000, Keele, England, [PDF] presentation: [per] reports; [cheng, chuan, lin]
Week 9	Rule-Based Models
(Mar 8)	Friberg, A. (1991). Generative Rules for Music Performance: A Formal Description of a Rule System. Computer Music Journal, Vol.15 No.2, pp.56-71. presentation: [vazi/ reports: I chuan. unal. vazi/i ]
	Fiberg, A., Colombo, V., Fryden, L., Sundberg, J. (2000). Generating Musical Performances with Director Musices. Computer Music Journal, 2000. presentation: [wans ]
	reports: [cheng, chuan liu, mossi, wang] Sundhem I. Friham A. & Rosin R. (2003) Attempts to Reporting a Planis's Evropsisive Timing with Director Musices Performance Rules. <i>Journal of New Music</i>
	Research, 2003. [PDE] presentation: [ in ] reports: [ cheng, marcinostian, most studies units of the most studies of the most
Week 10	Case-Based Reasoning
(Mar 22)	Acces, J. L., de Maharas, K. L., & Sena, A. (1996). Sate: A Case-based reasoning System for Generating Expressive Musical Pendimances. Journal of New Music Research, Vol.27 No.3 [ES/s] presenter: [miles] profits: [chaine, liu, mardinossian, vaziri]
	Arcos, J. L., de Mantaras, R. L. (2001). An Interactive Case-Based Reasoning Approach for Generating Expressive Music. Applied Intelligence, Vol.14 No.1, Jan 2001, on 115/129 (EPE) Loreschert, Ichuan Interactive Case-Based Reasoning Approach for Generating Expressive Music.
	TOOLS for airgment collected by Miles, Cheng, and Unal.
	TOOLS for journess measurement collected by Liu, Wang, and Jer.
	TOOLS for <u>tempo tracking</u> collected by Lin, Unleng, and Chung. TOOLS for <u>tempo tracking</u> collected by Chuna, Mardinessian, Mosst, and Vaziri.
Week 11	Machine-Learning
(Mar 29)	Bresin, R. (1998). Artificial neural networks based models for automatic performance of musical scores. Journal of New Music Research, Vol.27 No.3, pp.239-270. resentations (impost) across to Journal of Ib million most variation.
	Immonen, T. & Takela, T. (1999). Conductor following with artificial neural networks. In Proceedings of the International Computer Music Conference, 1999. [PS] presenta
	[miles] reports: [cheng. chean. markingsian. moss]. wang ] Banhael C. (2011). Can the Computer Learn to Plaw Music Expressively/2 <i>Artificial Intelligence and Statistics</i> . 2001. [PDF1 presentation: Lyaziri ] reports: [cheng.]]u va
Week 12	Widmer, G. (2002). Machine Discoveries: A Few Simple, Robust Local Expression Principles. Journal of New Music research, Vol.31, No.1, Mar 2002, pp.37-50. [ PDF ]
(Apr g)	presenter: [ jer ] reports: [ chuan; jer, in; iiu, mossi, vaziri ] Widmer, G. & Tobudic, A. (2003). Plaving Mozart by Analogy: Learning Multi-level Timing and Dynamics: Strategies: Journal of New Music Research. Cel 32 No.3. Sep 20
- 42×1 (D)	pp.259-268. [ PDE ] presenter: [ chiang ] reports: [ cheng. chuan. liu. mardrossian. mosst ]
nteractive	Systems The Redia Reten
ADT 12)	Mathews, M. V. (1991a). The Conductor Program and Mechanical Baton. In M. V. Mathews and J. R. Pierce, eds. Current Directions in Computer Music Research
Api 12)	Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press, pp. 263-281. presentation: [ in ] reports: [ cheng. miles, moss], wang ]
Week 14	Matthews, M. V. (1991b). The Radio-Baton and Conductor Program, or: Pitch, the Most Important and Least Expressive Part of Music. Computer Music Journal, Vol.15 N pp.37-46. presentation: (u.g.al) reports; [iu. macrossian]
	Sundberg, J., Friberg, A., Mathews, M., Bennett, G. (2003). Experiences in Combining the Radio Baton and the Director Musices Performance Grammar. Workshop on Comment on the Director Musices in Communication and the Director Musices Performance Grammar. Workshop on Comment of Director Musice Communication and Communication and Communication and the Director Musices Performance Grammar. Workshop on Comment of Director Musice Communication and Communication and Communication and the Director Musices Performance Grammar. Workshop on Communication and Communication and Communicatio
	Content Research Directors in computer (moderk)   parcentia, spain, for 1917, 2001   presentation, name interesting characteristic and in the second state of the seco
	Music Acoustics Conference, August 6-9, 2003 (SMAC 03), Stockholm, Sweden, reports: [ <u>liu, mossi, vaziri</u> ]
week 14	Uther Interfaces Type 5 (2005) The "Air Worm": an Interface for Best-Time Marin (stion of Evropssive Music Parlomence, Proceedings of the International Type 5 (2005) The "Air Worm": an Interface for Best-Time Marin (stion of Evropssive Music Parlomence, Proceedings of the International
(Apr 19)	Computer Music Conference, 2005. [PDE] presentation: [chuan] reports: [cheng. chuan. mardirozsian. mozst. vaziri]
	Chew, E., François, A. R. J., Lu, J. & Yang, A. (2005). ESP: A Driving Interface for Musical Expression Synthesis. Conference on New Interfaces for Musical Expression (NIME). Vancouver, B.C., Canada, May 26-28, 2005. [PDP] presentation: [lu] reports: [cheng, mardinossian, miles, moss1]
	Wanderley M.M. & Orio N. (2002). Evaluation of Input Devices for Musical Expression: Borrowing Tools from HCI. Computer Music Journal, Vol.26, No.3, Sep 2002, pp. 82-78/151. EDE: Loresenter: Lund Lagords: Eliu, and varied.
Performers	Perspectives
Week 15	Three Pianists' Opinions
(Apr 26)	Brendel, A. (1976). Musical Thoughts and After-Thoughts. London: Robson Books. Selected essays. presenter: [ cheng ] reports: [ cheng. mardirossian, miles, wang ] (res
	week 12) Wolff, K. (1979). Schnabel's Interpretation of Plano Music. London: Faber Music in association with Faber and Faber. Chapter 10: Interpretation of Character and Structure
	p.120-153. presenter: [mardrossian] reports: [cheng, mardrossian, vazin]
	theatt K. (1970) Veheabers Interpretation of Diano Music London: Enhor Music in accessibling with Enhor and Enhor Chapter 11: The Means of Deculation Chapter
	Torn, R. (1979). Softwares memperation or hand music, contain rade music in association with rade and rader, chapter H. The means or regulating Sound, Chapter 2. Technique, and Appendix, p.154-182, presenter (moss) ( provide) [ [in, moss) ]
	Woll, R. (197). Schlades interpletation interpletation factor match match in association with racer on a racer. Chapter 11: The interpletation of the regularing Social, Chapter 12: Technique, and Appendix, p. 154-182. Presenter: (most) proof. (in most) Chapter 12: Technique, and Appendix, p. 154-182. Presenter: (most) proof. (in most) Chapter 20: No. 19, presenter 10: Presen
Week 16	12. Technique, end Agenda, p. 164-982, presenter (1 mozia)] monte) [Ib: mozia] 12. Technique, end Agenda, p. 164-982, presenter (1 mozia)] monte) [Ib: mozia] 2001, p. 4. Genda, P. Le Jimou, and G. Chen (2003). "Seeing the Big Picture": Plano Practice as Expert Problem Solving. Music Perception, Vol.20, No.4 (Summer 2003, p. 4.6-940, mports; [Ib: mozia]
Week 16 (May 3)	12 Technique, and Appendie, p. 554-92, presenter: [Instal] reports [Instal] Particle (Instal) Statuted with rate for dear. Dapies 11: the intent of vegativity double dapies (Instal) 2000 (Capped Capped Cap

ONLINE SYLLABUS (2006) + LINKS TO PRESENTATIONS, REPORTS.

#### II. Building Knowledge in an Interdisciplinary Domain

In order to properly address the central theme of computational modeling of music cognition, one needs to draw upon methodologies and tools from several disciplines, including music (theory, composition, and performance), the cognitive sciences (artificial intelligence, experimental psychology, psychoacoustics, and neuroscience), and applied mathematics (signal processing, acoustics, algorithm design and implementation). Few students, if any, are equipped with the disciplinary know-how from more than one or two of these

#### 36<sup>th</sup> ASEE/IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference T1A-3

specialties. Collaborative learning with cohorts from multiple disciplines is facilitated by opening the class enrolment to students from a variety of engineering disciplines, including electrical engineering (EE), computer science (CS), and industrial and systems engineering (ISE), as well as in music and psychology. The varied backgrounds the students bring to the classroom, each of which is pertinent to some aspect of the course material and the interdisciplinary nature of

the classroom, each of which is pertinent to some aspect of the course material, and the interdisciplinary nature of computational music cognition, points to the need for a constructivist approach to course instruction. The musician possesses domain-specific knowledge, the computer scientist knowledge of algorithms and data structures, the electrical engineer essentials of digital signal processing, and the psychologist experimental design and statistical know-how.

The course aims to create an environment for case-based and hands-on learning. As a quick induction into the process of music-making, Week 2 in Spring 2005 focused on the creating of short musical pieces using intuitive interfaces that do not require note-reading ability, such as Bamberger and Hernández's Impromptu [13] and Farbood and Pasztor's Hyperscore [14]. Through experimentation, even students who have not yet engaged in active music making can experience firsthand the basic concepts of musical design and structure. As much as possible, each student is responsible for her/his own learning, and is assigned a few papers to present over the course of the semester. The student is expected not only to summarize and explain the content of the paper, but to seek out and demonstrate additional examples or associated software the author may have distributed online. For example, the third presentation in Week 12 of Spring 2005 included a demonstration of Pachet's Continuator [15] for humanmachine improvisation, and the second presentation in Week 9 of Spring 2006 included a demonstration of KTH's Director Musices software [16] for rule-based synthesis of musical expression. The presentation format and the required weekly reports are often foreign to the typical engineering student, and present valuable opportunities for the student to learn to articulate ideas verbally and in writing. No one student is expected to know every detail of a paper, and the other students in the class are encouraged to chime in with relevant information that they may bring to the table from their own backgrounds. For example, the music student is able to explain the concept of meter (higher level groupings of periodic pulses) and demonstrate conducting gestures, while the engineering student can help explain the dynamic programming approach to music alignment.

Finally, to test the students' grasp of the material, and provide an opportunity to learn by doing, they are required to formulate a music-engineering project related to the content of the course, implement and document it, and present a working prototype to the class. Figure 3 shows a part of the final projects website for Spring 2005 (the full list is available at *www-scf.usc.edu/~ise575/a/projects*), and the 'Percolate' site by Stein and Sundar; Figure 4 shows the projects index for Spring 2006 (*www-scf.usc.edu/~ise575/b/projects*). Each student has provided web documentation of his/her project, including executable software, whenever possible, for open

## Session T1A

download and testing. For example, the 'Percolate' site contains links to open source code and documentation, and example sound files.



FIGURE 3 Student Projects Index (partial, 2005) + Sample Project Site.



#### 1-4244-0257-3/06/\$20.00 © 2006 IEEE

36<sup>th</sup> ASEE/IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference

The nine projects from 2005 ranged from the generating of music using a gestural interface, to computer assisted composition, to structural analysis of music through signal processing. Two of the projects in the last category provided the impetus for the students' doctoral theses. The ten projects from 2006 ranged from comparisons of performances by renowned violinists, to modeling and color visualization of emotional response to music, to the characterization of scene classification in silent film music, to software tools for extracting beat and tempo curves. The creators of the first two examples will be expanding and developing their projects into Masters theses, and the project on silent film music will be incorporated into ongoing doctoral research.

In the spirit of MIT's OpenCourseware movement [17], all course material (including information and linked content shown in Figures 1 through 4) are openly available on the web through the course website (www-scf.usc.edu/~ise575). Whenever possible, a link to an online copy of the paper and accompanying software is provided. Each paper reviewed is accompanied by the presentation file, as well as exemplary summary reports on the paper, all in PDF format (see annotations added to Week 12 in Figure 1). Project material include a website containing a description of the project, and executable code for open distribution (as shown in Figure 3). This open courseware serves as a resource to the community at large. It provides reviews of research in computational modeling of music cognition, organized by music structures analyzed, and interactive applications; and, includes details and source files from sample projects by students in the class. Students, researchers and educators in the field, nationally and internationally have found the site to be a valuable resource, and an excellent example for creating courseware for an interdisciplinary field, and have provided positive feedback.

#### III. Synergistic Course-Related Activities

Although the number of researchers in computational music cognition is growing rapidly, the ones in the academe are still few and far between, compared to the more traditional disciplines. To expand the students' exposure to researchers in the field other than their instructor, specialists in the field are invited to give guest lectures to the class. The students were also encouraged to listen to actual performances as much as possible, so as to augment their learning with real-life examples, such as the performance of the concerto for two pianos highlighted in Week 2 of Spring 2005 (Figure 1).

The interaction with the invited speakers makes the lessons learned in class tangible and real, reinforcing the fact that there exists a community of researchers 'out there' who are interested in, and devoting their careers to, the very issues addressed in the classroom. In Spring 2005 (see Figure 1), the two visitors were David Temperley, a music theorist from the Eastman School of Music specializing in music cognition, and Christopher Raphael, a statistician from Indiana University at Bloomington, who developed *Music-Plus-One*, an automated accompaniment system using Bayesian networks. In addition, a postdoctoral researcher, Anja Volk, conducted the session on her area of specialty – Rhythm and Meter – in Week 5. The

### Session T1A

presence of actual researchers in the field speaking about their work made a real difference in the way the students viewed their assigned presentations. The papers were no longer simply disassociated writings of some unknown persons, many of them represented the life's work of very real individuals who were deeply passionate about their chosen profession.

Due to the broad appeal of the invited lectures, the presentations by specialists in the field have branched off into a separate lecture series, shown in Figure 5 and documented online at *www-rcf.usc.edu/~mucoaco/events/vecc0506.html*. These talks by experts in the field continue to inspire and motivate the students in, and augment the knowledge goals of, the computational music cognition class. Several of the talks address directly the theme of the course. For example, Jeanne Bamberger, upon the instructor's request, gave a presentation titled *Expressing the Difference: Comparing Great Performances*, that gave a hands-on approach to listening to, understanding, and describing the differences between performances by renowned artists.



#### IV. Finding Paths Through Existing Academic Structures

Some bureaucracy is inevitable in the everyday functioning of any large organization. In order to successfully introduce and maintain a new course offering in a system requires some understanding of the formal structures through which such initiatives operate. Any course proposal must pass the scrutiny of the curriculum review committees. And, a course that cannot sustain a reasonable enrolment on a yearly basis will die a quick death. These challenges are further

#### 1-4244-0257-3/06/\$20.00 © 2006 IEEE

exacerbated in the case of interdisciplinary course offerings that either do not have a natural home in the traditional department structures, or may not receive as strong support from a given department because it is not deemed to be central to the discipline.

Special steps were taken to counter these threats in the case of Topics in Engineering Approaches to Music Cognition. Computational modeling of music processes is not typically the topic of choice for traditional industrial engineering students in the author's, and hence the course's, home department. To ensure steady enrolment, cross-listing in multiple engineering departments were sought so that students not only from ISE, but CS, and EE could readily take the course for credit towards their respective degrees. Cross listing in Psychology (in a different school) was more recently approved. Steps were taken to ensure that the course was listed as an approved elective in relevant Masters degree programs such as the Masters degrees in Multimedia and Creative Technologies (in CS and EE), in Integrated Media Systems, and in Game Development (within CS). Furthermore, special care was taken to ensure that students who might be interested in the course in all three schools (engineering, music, and the college) receive the announcements.

A course centered on music processes in an engineering environment cannot help but have to face up to some erroneous assumptions about the nature of music research. For example, engineering colleagues who favor the more traditional methodology-focused approach to course design may view an interdisciplinary course of this type as being soft, and not sufficiently rigorous. They may also fear that an interdisciplinary course offering may dilute engineering degree programs. These fears may escalate when they learn that students from music and psychology can enroll in the class, and getting credit alongside engineering students. It may take repeated and patient explanations to clarify that an interdisciplinary course is no less rigorous or difficult than a traditional one, and that students who enroll in the course hoping for an 'easy A' will be disappointed, as all students will be held against the highest standards of excellence. Seminars and guest lectures by well known invited speakers in the field can also help allay the fears of the non-rigorousness of interdisciplinary work. Seeking approval for the expansion of the course to a topical sequence that can be re-taken for credit has been a challenging process for the reasons mentioned above. After a year's debate and several appeals, the proposed changes have been approved.

#### **DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, this paper has presented a constructivist and constructionist approach to interdisciplinary learning at the intersection of music and engineering, with the goal of introducing young scholars to, and preparing them for, research at the forefront of the field. The students in the class learn by example, through the active survey of current literature, and learn by doing, through various hands-on activities incorporated into the class, including the final implementation project. The success of the class can be

### Session T1A

measured through the achievements of the students, as documented in their reports, presentations, and final projects, and the fact that several of them are continuing their projects for their theses. The open sharing of all course material serves as a resource to the community. Other examples of courses in music cognition that incorporate aspects of computing such as representation and algorithms now exist; however, few are as copiously documented as the ones described in this paper, nor is the material as readily re-usable by the community. It is difficult to make formal comparisons at present due to this lack of information. The comprehensive and pragmatic approach to the design and implementation of an advanced course in a new area has been shown to be successful over several repeat offerings. Practical strategies were presented, from structuring of course content, to positioning of the course in existing programs, to organization of synergistic activities, for ensuring that the course not only achieves its goals, but thrives and flourishes in the face of various challenges.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant No. 0347988, and Cooperative Agreement No. EEC-9529152. The work made use of the Integrated Media Systems Center Shared Facilities. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author, and do not necessarily reflect the views of NSF.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Longuet-Higgins, H., C., "Letter to a Musical Friend", *The Musical Review*, Vol 23, 1962, pp 244-8.
- [2] Longuet-Higgins, H., C., "Second Letter to a Musical Friend", *The Musical Review*, Vol 23, 1962, pp 271-80.
- [3] Longuet-Higgins, H., C., & Steedman, M., J., "On Interpreting Bach", In Meltzer, B., & Michie, D. (editors): *Machine Intelligence*, Vol 6, 1971, pp 221-241, Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, UK.
- [4] Darwin, C., "Christopher Longuet-Higgins cognitive scientist with a flair for Chemistry", *The Guardian* – obituaries, June 10, 2004, www.guardian.co.uk/obituaries/story/0,3604,1235115,00.html
- [5] Intl Conf on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR) www.ismir.net
- [6] Intl Conf on Sound and Music Computing (SMC) smc04.ircam.fr
- [7] Intl Conf on Computer Music Modeling and Retrieval (CMMR) www.lma.cnrs-mrs.fr/~cmmr2005
- [8] Jacobs, H., H., "Interdisciplinary Curriculum: Design and Implementation", September, 1989, Association for Supervision and Curriculum.
- Concept to Classroom: Workshop on Interdisciplinary Learning in Your Classroom - www.thirteen.org/edonline/concept2class/interdisciplinary -Educational Broadcasting Corporation, 2004.
- [10] Piaget, J., *The Psychology of Intelligence*, 1950, New York, Routledge.[11] Constructivism (learning theory) -
- en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Constructivism\_%28learning\_theory%29
- [12] Temperley, D., *The Cognition of Basic Musical Structures*, 2001, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- [13] Bamberger, J., Developing Musical Intuitions, 2000, Oxford University Press, with accompanying software, Impromptu, by Bamberger, J., and Hernández, A., www.tuneblocks.com

October 28 - 31, 2006, San Diego, CA

- [14] Farbood, M., & Pasztor, E., Hyperscore www.hyperscore.com
- [15] Pachet, F., Continuator www.csl.sony.fr/~pachet/Continuator
- [16] KTH's Director Musices Software www.speech.kth.se/music/performance/download
- [17] MIT OpenCourseware ocw.mit.edu

#### 1-4244-0257-3/06/\$20.00 © 2006 IEEE

36<sup>th</sup> ASEE/IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference