

# Evidence of deleterious effects of microplastics from aquaculture materials on pediveliger larva settlement and oyster spat growth of Pacific oyster, Crassostrea gigas

Arno Bringer, Jérôme Cachot, Emmanuel Dubillot, Bénédicte Lalot, Hélène

Thomas

# ▶ To cite this version:

Arno Bringer, Jérôme Cachot, Emmanuel Dubillot, Bénédicte Lalot, Hélène Thomas. Evidence of deleterious effects of microplastics from aquaculture materials on pediveliger larva settlement and oyster spat growth of Pacific oyster, Crassostrea gigas. Science of the Total Environment, 2021, 794, pp.148708. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148708. hal-03273735

# HAL Id: hal-03273735 https://hal.science/hal-03273735

Submitted on 2 Aug 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

- 1 Evidence of deleterious effects of microplastics from aquaculture materials on pediveliger
- 2 larva settlement and oyster spat growth of Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*.
- 3
- 4 <u>Arno Bringer<sup>1+</sup></u>, Jérôme Cachot<sup>2</sup>, Emmanuel Dubillot<sup>1</sup>, Bénédicte Lalot<sup>1</sup>, Hélène Thomas<sup>1</sup>
- 5 <sup>1</sup> Littoral Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7266, CNRS-Université de La Rochelle, 2 rue Olympe de
- 6 Gouges, F-17042 La Rochelle Cedex 01, France.
- <sup>2</sup> Université Bordeaux, CNRS, EPOC, EPHE, UMR 5805, F-33600 Pessac, France.
- 8 <sup>+</sup>: Corresponding author:
- 9 Arno Bringer: Tel: +33 645299739 (FR), Email: arno.bringer1@univ-lr.fr
- 10

#### 11 ABSTRACT

12 Plastic is currently used in aquaculture as a material for settlement and magnification of oyster spats. 13 Plastic weathering and fragmentation under natural conditions can lead to the production of micro and 14 nanoparticles and additive leakage, with potential toxic effects on marine life. This study investigates 15 the effects of the exposure to microplastic (MPs) cocktail derived from aged aquaculture material on 16 oyster pediveliger larvae (Crassostrea gigas). The cocktail was made of high-density polyethylene 17 (HDPE), polypropylene (PP) and polyvinyl chloride (PVC). The concentrations tested were 0, 0.1, and 18 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>. During the 7-day fixation phase, pediveliger larvae (17 days) were exposed to the MP 19 cocktail in laboratory-controlled conditions. After exposure, the success of settlement was 20 significantly lower for larvae exposed to 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup> (49±0.9 %) compared to control ones 21 (61.8±1.6 %). No malformations or metamorphosis abnormalities were observed. Growth of 22 pediveliger and spat stages was monitored up to 11 months. During the first twenty-eight days of 23 development, spat growth was significantly lower for the two MPs exposure conditions (0.1 and 10 24 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>; respectively -51.8 % and -44.4 %) compared to control groupe. Subsequently, the 25 previously exposed oysters grew faster than the control condition, resulting in a significantly greater 26 growth (0.1 and 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>: +18.3 % and +19.7 %) than the control group at the end of follow-up. 27 The nearly one-year follow-up highlighted the potential effects of MPs from aquaculture on larvae and 28 spat of C. gigas.

30

# **GRAPHICAL ANSTRACT**



31 Aquaculture plastic equipment

32 **KEYWORDS:** Aged microplastics; Oyster; Pediveliger larvae; Spat; Growth; Settlement success.

33

# 34 1. INTRODUCTION

35 In 2019, plastic production reached 370 million tons worldwide, including almost 58 million tons in 36 Europe (PlasticsEurope, 2020). Seen as revolutionary and versatile, plastic materials are part of one's 37 daily life. Ideal for the current economic system, they incur low production costs, and are light, 38 durable and malleable. The first studies revealing MP presence in marine habitats date back to the 39 1970s (Carpenter et al., 1972). It is estimated that rivers carry between 70 % and 80 % of plastic 40 waste, most of which ends up in oceans (Horton et al., 2017). Each year, over 9.5 million tons of 41 plastics are dumped into oceans (Boucher and Friot, 2020). In 2019 (PlasticsEurope, 2020), the 42 plastics demand focused on Polypropylene (PP, 19.4 %), Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE, 17.4 %), 43 High Density Polyethylene (HDPE, 12.4 %), and Polyvinyl-Chloride (PVC, 10 %). Plastic gear is 44 necessary for the viability of many aquaculture and shellfish farming operations (Schoof and DeNike, 45 2017). More recently, questions have arisen regarding the potential contributions of aquaculture to 46 marine debris and the formation of MPs particles. Most varieties of oyster culture around the world 47 rely on equipment and gear to develop a specific product, respond to environmental conditions, or 48 provide protection for bivalves (Pacific Coast Shellfish Growers Association, 2011; USACE, 2015). 49 Plastic is primarily used in gear such as nets, crops, or attachment tubes/cups, designed to contain or 50 protect farmed molluscs from predators or environmental conditions (Pacific Coast Shellfish Growers 51 Association, 2011; USACE, 2015). In the seas, macroplastics first undergo physical degradation, by 52 waves, salt, hydrolysis, temperature, and photodegradation from the sun's ultraviolet rays (Julienne et 53 al., 2019; Andrady, 2017; Alimi et al., 2018; Chubarenko et al., 2019; Hernandez et al., 2019), before 54 facing biological degradation, by bacterial processes (Dussud and Ghiglione, 2014), creating MPs 55 (fragments <5 mm, Thevenon et al., 2014). Plastics have invaded all marine habitats around the world 56 (Kershaw and Rochman, 2015; Li et al., 2016). Scientists have estimated that oceans concentrated 57 more than 5 trillion pieces of plastic materials, gathering 1 to 102,000 particles/m<sup>3</sup> (Van 58 Cauwenberghe et al., 2015; Auta et al., 2017). Plastic pollution affects marine ecosystems and human 59 activities. When ingested by organisms, they cause abrasions, obstructions, and alterations in 60 physiological structures, directly influencing the survival of individuals (Wright et al., 2013). Recent 61 studies have shown that commercial MPs can affect the early stages of bivalve development (Tallec et 62 al., 2018; Bringer et al., 2020a). These studies have shown the effects of polystyrene (PS)-63 nanoplastics (NPs) (50 nm, 10 µg.mL<sup>-1</sup>) and HDPE-MPs (4-13 µm, 0.1 mg.L<sup>-1</sup>) on development 64 (malformations and survival) and on swimming behaviour in oyster larvae, C. gigas. The early stages 65 of development are the stages most sensitive to environmental hazards and to potential environmental 66 pollution and contamination (Gamain et al., 2020). Cole & Galloway (2015) demonstrated the 67 ingestion of 70 nm to 20 µm PS-MPs/NPs particles in C. gigas larvae over the days 3 to 24 after 68 fertilization. However, the study by Sussarellu et al. (2016) showed transgenerational effects of PS-69 MPs on larval growth following exposure of parents for two months. Nonetheless, information on 70 environmentally-aged MPs is limited. Gardon et al. (2020) investigated the effects of both new and 71 aged MPs on the early stages of pearl oyster development (*Pinctada margaritifera*). They concluded 72 that a 48-hour exposure to MPs significantly increased the larval mortality rate. In addition, MPs with 73 a high surface/volume ratio tended to adsorb persistent organic pollutants while in marine 74 environments (e.g. dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane [DDT], polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and 75 heavy metals; Beaumont et al., 2019; Rochman et al., 2013; Bakir et al., 2014). During fragmentation 76 or ageing in an aquatic environment, plastics can release additives, such as phthalates (Koumba, 77 2018), UV stabilizers, antioxidants (Rani et al., 2017), biocides (Beiras et al., 2021) or dyes. Thus, it 78 is essential to assess toxicity of environmentally-aged MPs on marine fauna. In 1970, due to the 79 economic development of modern societies, questions were raised internationally in relation to plastic 80 waste (Rocher, 2008; Dupré, 2013). Few studies considered plastic materials from aquaculture and 81 fishing activities. Nevertheless, materials and tools from aquaculture professionals are likely to 82 interfere with bivalve organisms (production and capture phases). Fishing gear – abandoned, lost, or 83 discarded – is the main source of plastic waste from the fishing and aquaculture sectors, although their 84 implication in ocean pollution is overlooked by the public (Lusher et al., 2017). The aquaculture and 85 shellfish-farming sector has diversified and expanded massively with the importance of exports in 86 France. Following extreme hydro-climatic events (e.g. storms, strong winds or currents, etc.), 87 professional materials break off and drift to beaches and coasts during high tides. The French shellfish 88 market is of importance. Each year, nearly 130,000 tons of oysters (C. gigas) are produced and 89 marketed, while the activity generates more than 20,000 jobs (CNC, 2020). The sustainability of 90 shellfish companies is water-quality dependent. Due to their filtration feeding activities, bivalves such 91 as oysters absorb and accumulate MPs in marine environments (Li et al., 2018; Phuong et al., 2018; 92 Teng et al., 2019). In addition, oysters are key ecological and economic species in coastal ecosystems. 93 They are also used as a model species in laboratory experiments for the assessment of MPs toxic 94 effects (Teng et al., 2021). Except for the work of Tallec et al. (2018) on commercial PS-NPs, no 95 study has determined the toxicity of MPs or NPs on pediveliger larvae of oyster. For the experiment, 96 pediveliger larvae were exposed for seven days to MPs from aquaculture (cocktail of HDPE crops, PP 97 collection cups and PVC collection tubes) at two theoretical concentrations (*i.e.* 0.1 and 10 mg MP. L<sup>-</sup> 98 <sup>1</sup>). Study (i) determined larval settlement success after 7 days' exposure to different treatments, and (ii) 99 monitored growth for nearly a year (11 months), until larvae reached the spat stage.

#### 101 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 102 2.1 Pediveliger larvae

103 *Crassostrea gigas* (Bayne *et al.*, 2019; Bayne *et al.*, 2017) 17-day-old pediveliger larvae were
104 supplied by a commercial hatchery (France Naissain, France). Upon reception and before starting
105 experiments, the mobility and good health status of larvae were assessed (Motic 1820, x20 objective).

106

## 107 2.2 Seawater and preparation of microplastics from aquaculture

108 Plastic macrodebris were collected on the French Atlantic coast (South West, France), in Angoulins-109 sur-mer municipality beach area (Bringer et al., 2021). They were sorted and classified, selecting only 110 plastics aged in the marine environment, and from the oyster industry. Polypropylene cup collectors 111 (PP), pipe collector (PVC) and high-density polyethylene oyster culture crops (HDPE) were chosen. A 112 GC-MS pyrolysis analysis (700 °C) characterised the polymers of the selected materials (manual 113 cutting to obtain samples of 130-200  $\mu$ g). After a rough cut (3-4 cm), the plastics were crushed using a 114 stationary metal hammer, and this was repeated several times. Then, the plastics were sieved using 5 115 mm, 1 mm and 100 µm sieves. The grinding was carried out at room temperature so as not to 116 influence the nature of the material. The cocktail consisted of 28 % HDPE, 40 % PP, and 32 % PVC 117 (Andrady, 2011). The size range of the cocktail of MPs was 138.6±2.3 µm (three analytical replicates 118 in laser particle size distribution, Malverne). Seawater was sampled in the Atlantic (South West, 119 France), before being filtered with 50 µm and 10 µm membranes to eliminate debris and 120 microorganisms (i.e. filtered seawater, FSW).

121

# 122 2.3 Analysis of exposure solutions

123 To carry out a quantitative analysis of MPs in experimental seawater, each theoretical cocktail solution 124 (0, 0.1, and 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>) was analysed using a flow cytometer (Attune Acoustic Focusing 125 Cytometer). Two-milliliter samples from each MP exposition solution (n=4/condition) were vortexed 126 (StarLab Vortex IR, 12,000 rpm for 20 sec) to homogenise the solutions and then 300  $\mu$ L was taken 127 for flow cytometry analysis. A calibration was carried out, thanks to previous studies (Bringer *et al.*, 128 2020a) with commercial MPs, to achieve an analysis rate of 500  $\mu$ L.min<sup>-1</sup> and a saturation of 10,000 particles maximum detected. From the control conditions (10  $\mu$ m FSW), control analytical samples (n=12) were created. The blank obtained enabled removal of background particles (naturally present in seawater). Using seawater filtered at 0.2  $\mu$ m, a first calibration was conducted to select the < 200-time detected particles. MPs measurements in seawater were assessed at D<sub>0</sub> (beginning of exposure) and D<sub>7</sub> (end of exposure) for all exposure conditions.

134

#### 135 2.4 Experimental design

# 136 2.4.1 Exposure of pediveliger larvae in experimental microcosms

137 Pediveliger larvae  $(D_0)$  were acclimatised for a few hours in petri dishes at microcosm temperature 138 (24±0.1 °C) for fixation (Figure S1). Microcosms have been defined as experimental systems 139 simulating real-life conditions (Buffet et al., 2014; Martinez-Sosa, 2019; Enya et al., 2020). Fifteen 140 thousand (15,000) larvae/condition with three replicates were added to experimental sieves, *i.e.* 45,000 141 larvae/condition. Then, larvae were exposed in the dark for 7 days (step 1: settlement phase, from  $D_0$ 142 to  $D_7$ , Figure 1). The exposure conditions were as follows: larvae were put in 195  $\mu$ m sieves (three 143 replicates per condition), at the surface of a 300-400 µm microbreak layer (Ovive industry, La 144 Rochelle, France), and placed in 224 L tanks. Microbreaks correspond to very finely crushed oyster 145 shells. Microbreaks was cleaned before use in microcosms. The sieves (n=3/conditions) were then 146 placed on a table inside a tank where the FSW circulated. Sieves were all connected by an air-lift 147 system creating a bottom-up movement of FSW (2.3 L.h<sup>-1</sup>/sieve), allowing a continuous renewal of 148 water in the sieves containing the pediveliger larvae. The temperature was 24.0±0.1 °C, and salinity 149 28.2±0.3 (Table 1). Continuous bubbling and brewing systems were set up. Brewers were installed in 150 the microcosms in order to homogenize the dispersion of the MPs. The temperature value and salinity 151 were measured daily. Throughout the seperiment, nitrates, nitrites, chlorine, and pH (7.1±0.4) were 152 regularly measured to ensure consistency. This experimental device was based on Glize's work 153 (1992). The day before the start of the exposure, the cocktail of MPs was inserted into the tanks (224 154 L) according to three theoretical concentrations tested: 0 (control without MP); 0.1 and 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>. 155 To do this, precise quantities of MPs cocktail were weighed (n=7, *i.e.* 7 days of exposure with one 156 renewal every day), before being inserted into the microcosms, for the two conditions of exposure to MPs: 22.4 mg for 0.1 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup> and 2,240 mg for 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>. The water was changed every day and the sieves were transferred to tanks prepared in advance, and the cocktail of MPs was added at each renewal according to the conditions. The pediveliger larvae were fed twice a day with algae paste (mixed diet of *T. Isochrysis galbana, Pavlova, Tetraselmis, Thalassiosira* and *Nanno*, Shelfish diet). At this stage of their development, the larvae are more sensitive to environmental risks (Connor, 1972; Martin *et al.*, 1981 and Quiniou *et al.*, 2005), hence the importance of respecting the protocols of renewal of water and daily food to avoid significant mortalities.

164

## 165 2.4.2 Experimental hatchery for long-term development

166 After a 7-day exposure period, pediveliger larvae were put in experimental hatchery devices (step 2, 167 Figure 1). After D<sub>7</sub>, fixed larvae were placed in a micronursery. In an open circuit, FSW (10  $\mu$ m) 168 circulated at a flow rate of 27 L.h<sup>-1</sup> (132 % renewal every day). The temperature was 21.3±0.5 °C, and 169 salinity 25.1±0.3 (Table 1).

170

171 **Table 1.** Essential parameters (temperature, salinity, and light) for development of pediveliger larvae and oyster

Water parameters	Microcosms	Micronursery	Nursery	Oyster farm
Temperature (°C)	24±0.1	21.3±0.5	19.4±0.6	15.9±2.5
Salinity	28.2±0.3	25.1±0.3	32.0+0.7	32.6±1.5
Light	dark	natural	natural	natural

172 spat in various aquaculture devices.

173

At  $D_{28}$ , oyster spat were transferred to an outdoor nursery (seawater filtered at 30 µm). The flow rate was 2,117.6 L.h<sup>-1</sup> (7,260 % renewal every day), temperature 16.3±1.0 °C, and salinity 27.4±0.7 (Table 1). Once in the nursery, spat were exclusively fed with naturally-present phytoplankton. Larger mesh sieves were used (500, 1000 and 3000 µm) to allow larval magnification. Then, oyster spat were placed in 4000 µm mesh crops and placed in experimental beds ( $D_{190}$ , CNRS platform, La Rochelle, France). Figure 1 summarises the development cycle from pediveliger larvae to oyster spat. It includes the aquaculture devices used for oyster development, and indicates the beginning of the exposure

- 181 period  $(D_0)$ , the settlement success (end of expsoure;  $D_7$ ), and the growth monitoring analysis ( $D_0$  to
- 182 D<sub>338</sub>,).
- 183



184

Development / Growth monitoring (D7 to D338)

Figure 1. Experimental devices to develop pediveliger larvae into oyster spat *C. gigas*. Step 1: 7-day exposure ( $D_0$  to  $D_7$ , settlement success) in experimental microcosms. Step 2: development ( $D_7$  to  $D_{338}$ ) in experimental hatchery. Length growth was monitored for the entire experiment (from  $D_0$  to  $D_{338}$ , *i.e.* 11 months).

# 189 2.5 Settlement success and growth monitoring of pediveliger larvae

After a 7-day exposure period to MPs cocktail, at different concentrations, an analysis of the larval settlement ratio was performed, quantifying larvae that were fixed in each condition and replicate (n=3/condition). A ratio was calculated from the weight of samples taken (n=3/replicates, *i.e.* n=9/conditions) and the total weight corresponding to the total quantity of pediveliger larvae at the start of the experiment. After being weighed, the samples taken were analysed under a microscope (Motic 1820, 200x) and the number of larvae fixed was quantified. The oyster growth in length was

196 monitored at pediveliger and early spat stages, taking microphotographies under the Motic 1820 197 Binocular microscope (200x). Length of larvae was measured on photographs with Image J. 198 Pediveliger larvae were oriented lengthwise under the microscope (Motic 1820, x20 objective) and a 199 line was drawn with Image J (Talmage and Gobler, 2009; Helm et al., 2004; Bringer et al., 2020b). To 200 carry out the growth analysis, pediveliger larvae (n=30) in each replicate and condition 201 (n=90/conditions) were measured. Results are expressed in mean ± SEM. Then, oyster spat 202 (n=30/replicate, *i.e.* n=90/condition) were measured (length, width, and thickness) and weighed (total 203 weight) using a digital caliper (0.1 mm) and precision balance (0.1 g, Denver Instrument). Results are 204 expressed in mean  $\pm$  SEM. During the exposure period (D<sub>0</sub> to D<sub>7</sub>, microcosms, Figure 1), growth was 205 quantified every two days. During the experimental hatchery period ( $D_7$  to  $D_{190}$ , device 1 and 2, Figure 206 1), growth was quantified every 4 days. Finally, during the period in oyster beds, growth was 207 quantified once a month ( $D_{190}$  to  $D_{338}$ , device 3, Figure 1).

208

#### 209 **2.6** Statistics

A statistical analysis was conducted using R Studio and graphs on Microsoft Excel. Homogeneity of variance (Levene's test) and normality of distribution (Shapiro-Wilk) were assessed. To compare the settlement success in each treatment, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed followed by a Tukey's post-hoc test. A statistical analysis of growth biomonitoring data was carried out through the Kruskal-Wallis test. Then, differences in mean concentrations were assessed using the Kruskal Nemenyi Post-hoc test, coupled with the PMCMR package (equivalent to the Tukey's test for nonparametric data). A significance was accepted for p < 0.05. Data are expressed in mean ± SEM.

217

#### 218 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 219 3.1 MP exposure concentrations

The concentrations of MPs for the two exposure conditions (Table 2) varied between  $D_0$  (start of exposure) and  $D_7$  (end of exposure). The results of this present study are comparable to the concentrations assayed by flow cytometry in a previous study on commercial HDPE-MPs of 20-25  $\mu$ m 223 (Bringer et al., 2020a). In accordance with theoretical factors, the dilution factor of the two 224 concentrations tested should equate to 100. However, factors at  $D_0$  and  $D_7$  were of 8.88±0.62 and 9.17 $\pm$ 0.37. The theoretical 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup> condition suggested that the real dilution factor was lower in 225 226 display tanks. The differences observed between the theoretical and measured concentrations of MPs 227 could come from aggregation of MPs togther (Alimi et al., 2018; Michels et al., 2018) and binding on 228 the walls of exposure microcosms. Despite the use of brewers, MPs might be heterogeneously 229 dispersed in the exposure medium. By taking into account the assays carried out at  $D_0$  and  $D_7$ , we can 230 calculate the mean concentrations corresponding to the theoretical concentrations: 0.9±0.08 (0.1 mg 231 MP. L<sup>-1</sup>) and 8.4±0.5 (10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>). No microparticles were found in the waters of the control 232 condition..

233

Table. 2 Theoretical and measured MP concentrations (mean ± SEM) at the beginning (D<sub>0</sub>) and at the end of the
 pediveliger exposure (D<sub>7</sub>).

236

Sampling times	Theoretical (mg MP. L <sup>-1</sup> )	Measured (MP. µL <sup>-1</sup> )	<b>Concentration factor</b>
$D_0$	0.1	0.88±0.07	-
$D_7$	0.1	0.97±0.09	-
$D_0$	10	7.8±0.65	8.88±0.12
$D_7$	10	8.9±0.27	9.17±0.54

<sup>237</sup> 

238 Through flow cytometry, MP concentrations in exposure conditions were determined. Long et al. 239 (2017) assessed PS-MPs concentrations using flow cytometry. Recent studies have measured the 240 concentrations of nano and microparticles through flow cytometry (Caputo et al., 2021; Kaile et al., 241 2020). In this work, concentrations were higher than measured in the marine environment (Van Cauwenberghe et al., 2015; Auta et al., 2017). A previous study measured 13.4±0.9 MP. L<sup>-1</sup> in the 242 243 coastal Atlantic sector (Green et al., 2018). In addition, the study published by Frere et al. (2017), 244 showed concentrations of 0.24±0.35 MP.m<sup>-3</sup> in the Bay of Brest (France). These different results prove 245 to us that our experimental concentrations are stronger than the natural environment. However, the use 246 of aged aquaculture MPs in the marine environment has increased knowledge on the toxicity of plastic particles at early stages of development in *Crassostrea gigas*. Apart from this research, few studiesfocused on aquaculture plastics and their impacts.

249

# 250 3.2 MP effects on the settlement success of pediveliger larvae

After a 7-day exposure, pediveliger larvae were removed from the microcosm devices and were put in the micronursery (device 1 of experimental hatchery, Figure 1). Fixed larvae were counted using a microscope. In the control condition (without MPs),  $9,266\pm246$  (n=3 replicates) out of the 15,000 larvae at D<sub>0</sub> were fixed. In the 0.1 and 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>conditions,  $9,188\pm129$  and  $7,352\pm142$  larvae were fixed (Figure 2).

256



Figure 2. Settlement success of pediveliger larvae of *C. gigas* a*fter a 7-day exposure:* control (0 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>), 0.1 and 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>. Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM (n=3 replicates/conditions, *i.e.* n=9/conditions). Different letters at the top of the bars indicate significant differences between concentrations (p < 0.05, Anova and Tukey test).

262

In the control condition,  $61.8\pm1.6$  % larvae were successfully fixed. In the 0.1 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup> condition, the ratio indicated  $61.3\pm0.9$  %, which did not statistically differ from the control condition (*p*=0.95). However, in the 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup> condition, the ratio was  $49.0\pm0.9$  %, differing significantly from the

other two conditions (p<0.001). The average rate of *C. gigas* larval settlement in remote microbreaks currently reaches 65 % (Bodoy, 1990). In this work, the control condition displayed a binding rate of approximately 62 %. The experimental system was thus considered valid. After a few days of development in the micronursery, fixed pediveliger larvae began to grow shells (Figure 3). In all conditions, no delay in shell development nor developmental abnormalities (malformations) were noted.





Figure 3. Microphotographs and pictures of pediveliger larvae from the beginning  $(D_0)$  to the end of the experiment  $(D_{338})$  – including MP exposure  $(D_7 - \text{step 1})$ , spat development  $(D_{28} \text{ and } D_{89} - \text{step 2})$ , and monitoring  $(D_{338})$ . Photos were taken in each condition: control (0 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>); 0.1 and 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>. Scales are expressed in mm. Observations and photographs were performed at 5X or 20X using a binocular microscope (Motic). "D<sub>X</sub>" indicates the sampling times in days.

279

273

In an earlier study, pediveliger larvae (*C. gigas*) were exposed for a period of 24 hours, showing decreased metamorphosis rates with increasing drug concentrations tested, greater than what is found in the natural environment (Di Poi *et al.*, 2014). In the study conducted by Vignier *et al.* (2016), exposure of oyster pediveliger larvae (*C. virginica*) for 72 h to increasing doses of CEWAF (dispersed oil) induced settlement inhibition in a dose-dependent manner. The authors concluded that the settlement success was sensitive to environmental parameter variations and pollutant exposure 286 (Vignier et al., 2016). Wang et al. demonstrated that the pediveliger larvae of Pinctada maxima are 287 more prone to attach to natural collectors (palm rope collector) than to plastic collectors (Wang et al., 288 2017). Larvae were considered metamorphosed if they displayed clear loss of velum and foot, shell 289 growth and well-developed gills. Mottier et al. (2014) exposed pediveliger larvae for 24 h to 290 glyphosate in commercial formulations and observed a reduction of the larval metamorphosis rate. 291 Tallec et al. (2018) did not observe impacts on the metamorphosis of exposed C. gigas following 24 h 292 of exposure. A variation in particle size (MPs or NPs) or concentration had no influence. In addition, 293 after PS-MPs/NPs treatments, there were no developmental abnormalities in oysters (Tallec et al., 294 2018). These results echoed our own observations, showing no impacts on larval metamorphosis and 295 no developmental abnormalities during fixation. MPs had no impacts on the development of 296 pediveliger larvae, most likely due to their protective shell (Hickman, 1999; Liebig and Vanderploeg, 297 1995; Schiaparelli et al., 2004). Nonetheless, no studies reported MP impacts on fixation, i.e., with 298 lower fixation rate in larvae exposed to high concentrations. MPs could affect the energy dynamics of 299 pediveliger larvae (Sussarellu et al., 2016; Rico-Villa et al., 2010). Larvae would lose their fixation 300 capacities as they lack energy reserves, constantly fighting against MPs in their environment. Effects 301 were noted on the energy reserves of sediment-dwelling bivalves. Indeed, the lipid content was lower 302 in bivalves exposed to MPs (Auclair et al., 2020; Bour et al., 2018). The drifting and structure of 303 marine organisms could be influenced by chronic MP exposure (Sendra et al., 2021). In addition, 304 recent studies have highlighted that MPs may affect immune enzyme activities and immune-related 305 gene expression (Gardon et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2020). Authors hypothesised that the defence 306 mechanisms at larval stages could be weakened and therefore individuals could be more sensitive to 307 environmental factors such as pathogens or potential MPs (Thomas-Guyon et al., 2009). Larvae of the 308 Pacific oyster C. gigas appeared to acquire their own defence mechanisms during development. 309 Potentially weakened by MPs, pediveliger larvae would have recourse to an adapted defense system to 310 fight against plastic contamination, which can generate significant energy consumption, detrimental to 311 growth for example.

312

#### 313 3.3 MP effects on pediveliger larvae development and oyster spat growth

314 Length growth (in mm, Figure 4.a) was monitored at pediveliger larval stage from the beginning to the 315 end of exposure ( $D_0$  to  $D_7$ , Figure 3) and then until the spat stage, 11 months later ( $D_{338}$ , Figure 3). A 316 7-day exposure to MPs led to growth retardation of larvae up to 28 days ( $D_0$  to  $D_{28}$ , Figure 4.c). 317 Indeed, there was a significant difference of growth rate between the control condition (0.54±0.05 mm 318 of growth for 28 days) and the 0.1 and 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup> conditions(0.26±0.02 and 0.30±0.02 mm of 319 growth for 28 days). Oysters had similar growth rate between  $D_{28}$  and  $D_{89}$  (Figure 4.d), with no 320 significant variation between the conditions tested. The larvae from the control had a growth of 321 9.5±0.9 mm, while the larvae from the 0.1 and 10 mg MP.  $L^{-1}$  conditions grew at 8.1±0.7 and 8.6±0.7 322 mm for 61 days (from 28 to 89 days). From  $D_{89}$  to  $D_{338}$  (end of growth monitoring), pre-exposed 323 oysters exhibited high growth during their development (Figure 4.e). Control spat grew 32.1±2.0 mm 324 while the spat from the 0.1 and 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup> conditions had similar growth of 41.5±2.5 mm and 325 41.3±2.1 mm, for 249 days (from 89 to 338 days, Figure 4.e).



**Figure 4.** (a) Growth kinetic ( $D_0$  to  $D_{338}$ ) (b) and total length of *C. gigas* pediveliger larvae and oyster spat. (c) Graphical displays on total length from  $D_0$  to  $D_{28}$ ; (d)  $D_{28}$  to  $D_{89}$  and (e)  $D_{89}$  to  $D_{338}$  in various exposure conditions: control (0 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>), 0.1 and 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>. Values are expressed in mean ± SEM (n=30/replicates, *i.e.* n=90/conditions). Different letters at the top of the bars indicate significant differences between exposure conditions (p < 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis and Nemenyi test).

333

334 The total growth (D<sub>0</sub> to D<sub>338</sub>) was significantly higher in MPs-exposed oysters in comparison to 335 control individuals (Figure 4.b). Indeed, the control condition observed a total growth of 42.1±1.9 mm 336 while the 0.1 and 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup> conditions displayed a total growth of 49.8±2.0 and 50.4±2.1 mm 337 (Figure 4.b). Our results indicate that the oyster growth during the fixation phase was delayed by MPs 338 exposure. Then, the growth at the end of experiment turned out to be higher than for oysters from the 339 control condition. Few studies monitored the growth of exposed invertebrates on a long-term basis. In 340 some cases, growth, respiration and filtration rates of oysters were not impacted by MPs, compared to 341 other benthic study models that were weakened by exposures to MPs (Green, 2016). Revel et al. 342 (2020) showed that PE and PP-MPs (<400 µm) had no significant toxicity on adult oysters after 10 343 days of exposure to 0-100 µg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>. Cole and Galloway (2015) reported that PS-MPs of 1 and 10 344 µm restricted algal feeding of oyster larvae, but there were no consequences on the growth of oyster 345 larvae exposed up to 100 MP. mL<sup>-1</sup>. After a two-month exposure to low and high doses of PLA 346 (polyactic acid) or HDPE-MPs, filtration and growth rates of adult Ostrea edulis were unaltered 347 (Green, 2016). Because of the relatively low toxicity and long-term effects of MPs and additives, toxic 348 effects analysis over a long periode of time is particulary relevant. Analysis of metabolic rates and 349 assimilation efficiency indicated a decrease in mean energy balance, when comparing adults from the 350 control condition to those exposed for two months to 0.25 and 2.5 µg. L<sup>-1</sup> micro-PS (Gardon et al., 351 2018). The impacts on growth and energy dynamics are likely interconnected. Widdows and Johnson 352 (1988) suggested that the energy available for growth should be a balance between the energy from 353 food consumption and that used for respiration. Oyster larvae facing chronic MPs exposure could 354 consume the energy dedicated to the fixation and development. Sussarellu et al. (2016) exposed adult 355 oysters during gametogenesis for two-months to 23 µg. L<sup>-1</sup> PS-microbeads (2-6 µm) and reported delayed larval growth. Watts *et al.* (2015) fed crabs (*Carcinus maenas*) over a period of 4 weeks (fed 2-3 times per week) with different amounts of PP rope microfibers (0; 0.3; 0.6 and 1 % added to food). Those fed with plastics had a significant decrease in growth, mainly driven by a reduced food consumption over time. Larvae (*Crepidula onyx*) fed with higher concentrations (30 and 70 % of the algal concentration with final concentration of  $6x10^4$  and  $1.4x10^5$  particles.mL<sup>-1</sup> respectively) of micro-PS (2-5 µm) than those found in the environment were smaller due to their reduced growth rate, in comparison to the control species (Lo and Chan, 2018).

363

#### 364 **5. CONCLUSIONS**

365 This study observed the effects of a cocktail of MPs ( $138.6\pm2.3 \,\mu$ m) containing particles of HDPE, PP 366 and PVC from aquaculture plastics aged in the marine environment. After an exposure period of 7 367 days, the settlement success of the pediveliger larvae was evaluated. Then, an 11-month follow-up was 368 carried out to monitor the growth in length of the pediveliger larvae up to the spat stage. Larvae 369 exposed to 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup> showed a lower binding rate to substrate. In addition, the larvae exposed to 370 the two concentrations of MPs (0.1 and 10 mg MP. L<sup>-1</sup>) exhibited growth retardation over 28 days of 371 follow-up. At the end of the experiment (at 338 days), the spat produced from the larvae exposed to 372 the MPs recovered their growth retardation, and over 11 months had a total growth greater than the 373 control group. The energy used by larvae facing MPs exposure could affect the oysters' ability to 374 grow. It may be relevant to conduct further studies on the potential effects of MPs on energy reserves 375 and the immune system of oysters at early stages of development. This study provides new evidences 376 on the environmental risks of realistic high concentrations of MPs on aquatic invertebrates.

377

## 378 Acknowledgements

379 Arno Bringer received a PhD grant (Comité Régional de la Conchyliculture de la Charente-Maritime 380 CRC17) as well as financial support from the Région Nouvelle Aquitaine and Comité Départemental 381 de la Charente Maritime (CD17) to develop this research. The AQUAECOs project (Amélioration de 382 la QUAlité Environnementale sur les zones COnchylicoles des Pertuis Charentais), funded by a 383 partnership with CRC17 and OFB (French Biodiversity Office), contributed to this study. The funding

386	larvae as well as Oriane Titeca and Lucie Rees for their proofreading services.
385	National de la Recherche Scientifique (France). The authors thank France Naissain for the pediveliger
384	was partly supported by the University of La Rochelle, the University of Bordeaux and the Centre

#### 388 **References**

Alimi, O. S., Farner Budarz, J., Hernandez, L. M., & Tufenkji, N., (2018). Microplastics and
nanoplastics in aquatic environments: aggregation, deposition, and enhanced contaminant transport. *Environmental science & technology*, 52(4), 1704-1724.

392

Andrady, A. L., (2011). Microplastics in the marine environment. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 62(8),
1596-1605.

395

Andrady, A. L., (2017). The plastic in microplastics: A review. *Marine pollution bulletin*, *119*(1), 1222.

398

Auclair, J., Peyrot, C., Wilkinson, K. J., & Gagné, F., (2020). Biophysical effects of polystyrene
nanoparticles on Elliptio complanata mussels. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(20),
25093-25102.

402

403 Auta, H. S., Emenike, C. U., & Fauziah, S. H., (2017). Distribution and importance of microplastics in
404 the marine environment: a review of the sources, fate, effects, and potential solutions. *Environment*405 *international*, *102*, 165-176.

406

Bakir, A., Rowland, S. J., & Thompson, R. C., (2014). Enhanced desorption of persistent organic
pollutants from microplastics under simulated physiological conditions. *Environmental pollution*, *185*,
16-23.

- 411 Bayne, B.L., Ahrens, M., Allen, S.K., D'auriac, M.A., Backeljau, T., Beninger, P., Bohn, R., Boudry,
- 412 P., Davis, J., Green, T., Guo, X., Hedgecock, D., Ibarra, A., Kingsley- Smith, P., Krause, M.,
- 413 Langdon, C., Lapègue, S., Li, C., Manahan, D., Mann, R., Perez-Paralle, L., Powell, E.N., Rawson,
- 414 P.D., Speiser, D., Sanchez, J.-L., Shumway, S., Wang, H., (2017). The proposed dropping of the genus
- 415 *Crassostrea* for all pacific cupped oysters and its replacement by a new genus *Magallana*: a dissenting
- 416 view. J. Shellfish Res. 36 (3), 545-548.
- 417
- Bayne, B., d'Auriac, M.A., Backeljau, T., Beninger, P., Boudry, P., Carnegie, R., Langdon, C., (2019).
  A scientific name for Pacific oysters. *Aquaculture* 499, 373.
- 420
- Beaumont, N. J., Aanesen, M., Austen, M. C., Börger, T., Clark, J. R., Cole, M., ... & Wyles, K. J.,
  (2019). Global ecological, social and economic impacts of marine plastic. *Marine pollution bulletin*, *142*, 189-195.
- 424
- 425 Beiras, R., Verdejo, E., Campoy-López, P., & Vidal-Liñán, L., (2021). Aquatic toxicity of chemically
  426 defined microplastics can be explained by functional additives. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 406,
  427 124338.
- 428
- Bodoy, A., (1990). Compte-rendu d'activité 1990 de l'Unité de Recherche Régionale Aquacole
  (URRA) La Tremblade. https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00072/18284/15854.pdf
- 431
- 432 Boucher, J., Friot, D., & Boucher, J., (2020). Microplastiques primaires dans les océans: évaluation
- 433 mondiale des sources. https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/documents/2017-002-Fr.pdf
- 434
- Bour, A., Haarr, A., Keiter, S., & Hylland, K., (2018). Environmentally relevant microplastic exposure
  affects sediment-dwelling bivalves. *Environmental pollution*, *236*, 652-660.
- 437

- Bringer, A., Thomas, H., Prunier, G., Dubillot, E., Bossut, N., Churlaud, C., Clérandeau, C., Le
  Bihanic, F., Cachot, J., (2020a). High density polyethylene (HDPE) microplastics impair development
  and swimming activity of Pacific oyster D-larvae, *Crassostrea gigas*, depending on particle
  size. *Environmental Pollution*, 260, 113978.
- 442
- Bringer, A., Cachot, J., Prunier, G., Dubillot, E., Clérandeau, C., & Thomas, H., (2020b).
  Experimental ingestion of fluorescent microplastics by pacific oysters, *Crassostrea gigas*, and their
  effects on the behaviour and development at early stages. *Chemosphere*, 126793.
- 446
- Bringer, A., Le Floch, S., Kerstan, A., Thomas, H., (2021). Coastal ecosystem inventory with
  characterization and identification of plastic contamination and additives from aquaculture materials. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 112286.
- 450

Buffet, P. E., Zalouk-Vergnoux, A., Châtel, A., Berthet, B., Métais, I., Perrein-Ettajani, H., Poirier, L.,
Luna-Acosta, A., Thomas-Guyon, H., Risso-de Faverney, C., Guibollini, M., Gilliland, D., ValsamiJones, E., & Mouneyrac, C., (2014). A marine mesocosm study on the environmental fate of silver
nanoparticles and toxicity effects on two endobenthic species: the ragworm *Hediste diversicolor* and
the bivalve mollusc *Scrobicularia plana*. *Science of the Total Environment*, 470, 1151-1159.

- 462 Carpenter, E. J., Anderson, S. J., Harvey, G. R., Miklas, H. P., & Peck, B. B., (1972). Polystyrene
- 463 spherules in coastal waters. *Science*, *178*(4062), 749-750.
- 464

<sup>457</sup> Caputo, F., Vogel, R., Savage, J., Vella, G., Law, A., Della Camera, G., Hannon, G., Peacock, B.,
458 Mehn, D., Ponti, J., Geiss, O., Aubert, D., Prina-Mello, A., Calzolai, L., (2021). Measuring particle
459 size distribution and mass concentration of nanoplastics and microplastics: addressing some analytical
460 challenges in the sub-micron size range. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 588, 401-417.
461

465	Chubarenko, I., Efimova, I., Bagaeva, M., Bagaev, A., & Isachenko, I., (2020). On mechanical
466	fragmentation of single-use plastics in the sea swash zone with different types of bottom sediments:
467	insights from laboratory experiments. Marine pollution bulletin, 150, 110726.

469 CNC (Comite National de la Conchyliculture), 2020. http://www.cnc-france.com/La-

- 470 Production-francaise.aspx (accessed December 2020).
- 471
- 472 Cole, M., & Galloway, T. S., (2015). Ingestion of nanoplastics and microplastics by Pacific oyster
  473 larvae. *Environmental science & technology*, 49(24), 14625-14632.
- 474
- 475 Connor, P.M., 1972. Acute toxicity of heavy metals to some marine larvae. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 3, 190–
  476 192.

477

478 Di Poi, C., Evariste, L., Serpentini, A., Halm-Lemeille, M. P., Lebel, J. M., & Costil, K., (2014).

479 Toxicity of five antidepressant drugs on embryo-larval development and metamorphosis success in

- 480 the Pacific oyster, Crassostrea gigas. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 21(23), 13302-
- 481 13314.
- 482
- 483 Dupré, M., (2013). Représentations sociales du tri sélectif et des déchets en fonction des pratiques de
  484 tri. *Les cahiers internationaux de psychologie sociale*, (2), 173-209.
- 485
- 486 Enya, O., Heaney, N., Iniama, G., & Lin, C., (2020). Effects of heavy metals on organic matter
  487 decomposition in inundated soils: microcosm experiment and field examination. *Science of The Total*488 *Environment*, 724, 138223.
- 489

Frere, L., Paul-Pont, I., Rinnert, E., Petton, S., Jaffré, J., Bihannic, I., Soudant, P., Lambert, C., &
Huvet, A., (2017). Influence of environmental and anthropogenic factors on the composition,
concentration and spatial distribution of microplastics: a case study of the Bay of Brest (Brittany,
France). *Environmental Pollution*, 225, 211-222.

495 Gamain, P., Roméro-Ramirez, A., Gonzalez, P., Mazzella, N., Gourves, P. Y., Compan, C., Morin, B., 496 & Cachot, J., (2020). Assessment of swimming behavior of the Pacific oyster D-larvae (Crassostrea 497 gigas) following exposure to model pollutants. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 27(4), 498 3675-3685. 499 500 Gardon, T., Reisser, C., Soyez, C., Quillien, V., & Le Moullac, G., (2018). Microplastics affect energy 501 balance and gametogenesis in the pearl oyster Pinctada margaritifera. Environmental science & 502 technology, 52(9), 5277-5286. 503 504 Gardon, T., Huvet, A., Paul-Pont, I., Cassone, A. L., Koua, M. S., Soyez, C., Jezequel, R., Receveur, 505 J., & Le Moullac, G., (2020). Toxic effects of leachates from plastic pearl-farming gear on embryo-506 larval development in the pearl oyster Pinctada margaritifera. Water Research, 115890. 507 508 Gardon, T., Morvan, L., Huvet, A., Quillien, V., Soyez, C., Le Moullac, G., & Le Luyer, J., (2020). 509 Microplastics induce dose-specific transcriptomic disruptions in energy metabolism and immunity of 510 the pearl oyster Pinctada margaritifera. Environmental Pollution, 266, 115180. 511 512 Glize, P., (1992). Manuel de télécaptage de larves d'huître creuse (Crassostrea gigas) sur 513 microbrisure. https://archimer.ifremer.fr/doc/00081/19175/ 514 515 Green, D. S., (2016). Effects of microplastics on European flat oysters, Ostrea edulis and their 516 associated benthic communities. Environmental pollution, 216, 95-103. 517 518 Green, D. S., Kregting, L., Boots, B., Blockley, D. J., Brickle, P., Da Costa, M., & Crowley, Q., 519 (2018). A comparison of sampling methods for seawater microplastics and a first report of the 520 microplastic litter in coastal waters of Ascension and Falkland Islands. Marine pollution bulletin, 137, 521 695-701. 21

Helm, M. M., Bourne, N., & Lovatelli, A. (2004). Hatchery operation: culture of larvae basic
methodology, feeding and nutrition, factors influencing growth and survival, and settlement and
metamorphosis. *Hatchery culture of bivalves. A practical manual. FAO fish technical paper*, 471, 5983.

527

Hernandez, L. M., Xu, E. G., Larsson, H. C., Tahara, R., Maisuria, V. B., & Tufenkji, N. (2019).,
Plastic teabags release billions of microparticles and nanoparticles into tea. *Environmental science & technology*, *53*(21), 12300-12310.

531

Hickman, C. S., (1999). Adaptive function of gastropod larval shell features. *Invertebrate biology*,
346-356.

534

Horton, A. A., Walton, A., Spurgeon, D. J., Lahive, E., & Svendsen, C., (2017). Microplastics in
freshwater and terrestrial environments: evaluating the current understanding to identify the
knowledge gaps and future research priorities. *Science of the Total Environment*, 586, 127-141.

538

Huang, W., Song, B., Liang, J., Niu, Q., Zeng, G., Shen, M., Deng, J., Luo, Y., Wen, X., Zhang, Y.,
(2020). Microplastics and associated contaminants in the aquatic environment: A review on their
ecotoxicological effects, trophic transfer, and potential impacts to human health. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 124187.

543

Julienne, F., Delorme, N., & Lagarde, F., (2019). From macroplastics to microplastics: Role of water
in the fragmentation of polyethylene. *Chemosphere*, 236, 124409.

546

Kaile, N., Lindivat, M., Elio, J., Thuestad, G., Crowley, Q. G., & Hoell, I. A., (2020). Preliminary
results from detection of microplastics in liquid samples using flow cytometry. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7, 856.

- 550
- Kershaw, P. J., & Rochman, C. M., (2015). Sources, fate and effects of microplastics in the marine
  environment: part 2 of a global assessment. *Reports and Studies-IMO/FAO/Unesco- IOC/WMO/IAEA/UN/UNEP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection (GESAMP) Eng No. 93.*
- 555
- Koumba, G. B., (2018). Fragmentations chimique et physique de plastiques et microplastiques en eau
  douce sous irradiation UV-visible (Doctoral dissertation, Université Clermont-Auvergne).
- 558
- Li, W. C., Tse, H. F., & Fok, L., (2016). Plastic waste in the marine environment: A review of sources,
  occurrence and effects. *Science of the Total Environment*, *566*, 333-349.
- 561
- Li, H. X., Ma, L. S., Lin, L., Ni, Z. X., Xu, X. R., Shi, H. H., Yan, Y., Zheng, G.M., Rittschof, D.,
  (2018). Microplastics in oysters *Saccostrea cucullata* along the Pearl River estuary,
  China. *Environmental pollution*, 236, 619-625.
- 565
- Liebig, J. R., & Vanderploeg, H. A., (1995). Vulnerability of *Dreissena polymorpha* larvae to predation by Great Lakes calanoid copepods: the importance of the bivalve shell. *Journal of great lakes research*, 21(3), 353-358.
- 569
- Lo, H. K. A., & Chan, K. Y. K., (2018). Negative effects of microplastic exposure on growth and
  development of *Crepidula onyx. Environmental Pollution*, 233, 588-595.
- 572
- Lusher, A., Hollman, P., & Mendoza-Hill, J., (2017). *Microplastics in fisheries and aquaculture:*status of knowledge on their occurrence and implications for aquatic organisms and food safety. FAO.
- 576 Martínez-Sosa, P., & Tierney, J. E., (2019). Lacustrine brGDGT response to microcosm and 577 mesocosm incubations. *Organic Geochemistry*, *127*, 12-22.

579	Michels, J., Stippkugel, A., Lenz, M., Wirtz, K., & Engel, A. (2018). Rapid aggregation of biofilm-
580	covered microplastics with marine biogenic particles. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 285(1885),
581	20181203.
582	
583	Mottier, A., Pini, J., & Costil, K., (2014). Effects of a POEA surfactant system (Genamin T-200®) on
584	two life stages of the Pacific oyster, Crassostrea gigas. The Journal of toxicological sciences, 39(2),
585	211-215.
586	
587	Pacific Coast Shellfish Growers Association, (2011). Pacific Coast Shellfish Growers Association
588	Environmental Codes of Practice for Pacific Coast Shellfish Aquaculture. Olympia (WA): Pacific
589	Shellfish Growers Association. p 184.
590	
591	Phuong, N. N., Poirier, L., Pham, Q. T., Lagarde, F., & Zalouk-Vergnoux, A., (2018). Factors
592	influencing the microplastic contamination of bivalves from the French Atlantic coast: location,
593	season and/or mode of life?. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 129(2), 664-674.
594	
595	PlasticsEurope, (2020). Plastics - the Facts 2020 - an Analysis of European Plastics Production,

Demand and Waste Data, 2020. PlasticsEurope.

Rani, M., Shim, W. J., Han, G. M., Jang, M., Song, Y. K., & Hong, S. H., (2017). Benzotriazole-type
ultraviolet stabilizers and antioxidants in plastic marine debris and their new products. *Science of The Total Environment*, *579*, 745-754.

<sup>Quiniou, F., His, E., Delesmont, R., Caisey, X., (2005). Bio-indicateur de la toxicité potentielle de
milieux aqueux : bio-essai "développement embryo-larvaire de bivalve". In: Ifremer (Ed.), Méthodes
d'analyse en milieu marin.</sup> 

- Revel, M., Châtel, A., Perrein-Ettajani, H., Bruneau, M., Akcha, F., Sussarellu, R., Rouxel, J., Costil,
  K., Deccottignies, P., Cognie, B., Lagarde, F., Mouneyrac, C., (2020). Realistic environmental
  exposure to microplastics does not induce biological effects in the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas. Marine pollution bulletin*, *150*, 110627.
- 610
- 611 Rico-Villa, B., Bernard, I., Robert, R., & Pouvreau, S., (2010). A Dynamic Energy Budget (DEB)

612 growth model for Pacific oyster larvae, *Crassostrea gigas*. *Aquaculture*, 305(1-4), 84-94.

- 613
- 614 Rocher, L., (2008). Les contradictions de la gestion intégrée des déchets urbains: l'incinération entre
- 615 valorisation énergétique et refus social. *Flux*, (4), 22-29.
- 616
- 617 Rochman, C. M., Browne, M. A., Halpern, B. S., Hentschel, B. T., Hoh, E., Karapanagioti, H. K.,
- 618 Rios-Mendoza, L.M., Takada, H., Teh, S., Thompson, R. C., (2013). Classify plastic waste as 619 hazardous. *Nature*, 494(7436), 169-171.
- 620
- Schiaparelli, S., Cattaneo-Vietti, R., & Mierzejewski, P., (2004). A "protective shell" around the larval
  cocoon of *Cephalodiscus densus* Andersson, 1907 (Graptolithoidea, Hemichordata). *Polar Biology*, 27(12), 813-817.
- 624
- Schoof, R. A., & DeNike, J., (2017). Microplastics in the context of regulation of commercial shellfish
  aquaculture operations. *Integrated environmental assessment and management*, *13*(3), 522-527.
- 627
- 628 Siva-Jothy, M. T., Moret, Y., & Rolff, J., (2005). Insect immunity: an evolutionary ecology
  629 perspective. *Advances in insect physiology*, *32*, 1-48.
- 630
- 631 Sussarellu, R., Suquet, M., Thomas, Y., Lambert, C., Fabioux, C., Pernet, M. E. J., ... & Huvet, A.,
- 632 (2016). Oyster reproduction is affected by exposure to polystyrene microplastics. *Proceedings of the*
- 633 *National Academy of Sciences*, *113*(9), 2430-2435.

- Tallec, K., Huvet, A., Di Poi, C., González-Fernández, C., Lambert, C., Petton, B., Le Goic, N.,
  Berchel, M., Soudant, P., Paul-Pont, I., (2018). Nanoplastics impaired oyster free living stages,
  gametes and embryos. *Environmental Pollution*, 242, 1226-1235.
- 638
- Talmage, S.C., Gobler, C.J., 2009. The effects of elevated carbon dioxide concentrations on the
  metamorphosis, size, and survival of larval hard clams (*Mercenaria mercenaria*), bay scallops
  (*Argopecten irradians*), and Eastern oysters (*Crassostrea virginica*). Limnol. Oceanogr. 54 (6), 20722080.
- 643
- Teng, J., Wang, Q., Ran, W., Wu, D., Liu, Y., Sun, S., Liu, H., Cao, R., Zhao, J., (2019). Microplastic
  in cultured oysters from different coastal areas of China. *Science of the total environment*, 653, 12821292.
- 647
- Teng, J., Zhao, J., Zhu, X., Shan, E., Zhang, C., Zhang, W., & Wang, Q., (2021). Toxic effects of
  exposure to microplastics with environmentally relevant shapes and concentrations: Accumulation,
  energy metabolism and tissue damage in oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. *Environmental Pollution*, 269,
  116169.
- 652
- Thevenon, F., Caroll, C., & Sousa, J., (2014). Plastic debris in the oceans. (IUCN). *The characterization of marine plastics and their environmental impacts, situation analysis report.* Gland,
  Switzerland: IUCN.
- 656
- Thomas-Guyon, H., Gagnaire, B., Bado-Nilles, A., Bouilly, K., Lapègue, S., & Renault, T., (2009).
- 658 Detection of phenoloxidase activity in early stages of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg).
- 659 Developmental & Comparative Immunology, 33(5), 653-659.
- 660

- 661 USACE, US Army Corps of Engineers, (2015). *Programmatic biological assessment: Shellfish*662 *activities in Washington State inland marine waters*. Seattle (WA): US Army Corps of Engineers
  663 Regulatory Program. 208 p.
- 664
- Van Cauwenberghe, L., Claessens, M., Vandegehuchte, M. B., & Janssen, C. R., (2015). Microplastics
  are taken up by mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) and lugworms (*Arenicola marina*) living in natural
  habitats. *Environmental Pollution*, 199, 10-17.
- 668
- 669 Vignier, J., Soudant, P., Chu, F. L. E., Morris, J. M., Carney, M. W., Lay, C. R., Krasnec, M. O.,
- 670 Robert, R., Volety, A. K., (2016). Lethal and sub-lethal effects of Deepwater Horizon slick oil and
- 671 dispersant on oyster (Crassostrea virginica) larvae. Marine environmental research, 120, 20-31.
- 672
- Wang, Q., Li, J., Liang, F., Xie, S., Du, X., & Deng, Y., (2017). Effects of different substrates on
  settlement and growth of pearl oyster (*Pinctada maxima*) larvae in hatcheries. *Aquacultural Engineering*, 77, 15-19.
- 676
- Watts, A. J., Urbina, M. A., Corr, S., Lewis, C., & Galloway, T. S. (2015). Ingestion of plastic
  microfibers by the crab *Carcinus maenas* and its effect on food consumption and energy
  balance. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 49(24), 14597-14604.
- 680
- Widdows, J., & Johnson, D., (1988). Physiological energetics of *Mytilus edulis*: scope for
  growth. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 113-121.
- 683
- Wright, S. L., Rowe, D., Thompson, R. C., & Galloway, T. S., (2013). Microplastic ingestion
  decreases energy reserves in marine worms. *Current Biology*, 23(23), R1031-R1033.<sup>1</sup>.