



HAL
open science

Reduction of HfZrO₂ capacitor wake-up effect

Jordan Bouaziz, Nicolas Baboux, Pedro Rojo Romeo, Bertrand Vilquin

► **To cite this version:**

Jordan Bouaziz, Nicolas Baboux, Pedro Rojo Romeo, Bertrand Vilquin. Reduction of HfZrO₂ capacitor wake-up effect. *Materials Challenges for Memory*, Apr 2021, New York, United States. . hal-03273119

HAL Id: hal-03273119

<https://hal.science/hal-03273119>


Submitted on 28 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.


Wake-up effect is a major issue for ferroelectric HfO₂-based memory devices. Here, two TiN/Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂/TiN structures deposited by magnetron sputtering on silicon are compared. The maximum remanant polarization is higher than 21 μC/cm² for both samples, a strong difference is observed in the electrical behavior. For the mesa sample, the difference between maximal and initial Pr is only 3 μC/cm² whereas it is around 14 μC/cm² in the non-mesa case. We discuss the root causes of these behaviors in light of structural results. Various applications have been suggested for fluorite-structure ferroelectrics due to their advantages over the conventional perovskite-structure ferroelectrics. In this presentation we will focus on (Hf,Zr)O₂ (HZO) thin films deposition for the capacitor of Ferroelectric Random Access Memories (FRAM) in the 1Transistor-1Capacitor (1T-1C) model. (Hf,Zr)O₂ thin films are studied to either fully understand the stabilization of the ferroelectric phase (f-phase) or to fit with industrial requirements. Samples are stacks of Si/TiN/Hf_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂/TiN/Pt. All materials are grown by sputtering at room temperature following by a rapid thermal annealing at 450°C during 30 seconds under N₂ atmosphere. The samples are called NM, and M. NM and M refers to two different architectures, respectively non-mesa and mesa structures.

Samples structures



Schemes of (a) NM-sample and (b) M-sample

Grazing Incident X-Ray Diffraction




(a)

(b)

GIXRD measurements comparing NM-sample (black curve) and M-sample (red curve) (a) before RTA (b) after RTA. M stands for monoclinic, O for orthorhombic and T for tetragonal phases


Electrical characterizations PUND, PN, cycling



(a)

(b)

Polarization versus applied electrical field for (a) NM-sample at 10⁵ cycles (b) M-sample at 2.10³ cycles under the stress conditions: 2.5 kHz and 3.5 V



Change of $|P_{r+}| + |P_{r-}|$ versus the number of cycles with the stress conditions 2.5 kHz and 3.5 V for NM-sample (black curve) and M-sample (red curve)

We report the fabrication of two samples deposited by magnetron sputtering with excellent performances, quite similar to samples deposited by Atomic Layer Deposition. P_r values are among the highest for samples deposited by sputtering. Although the N-sample and NM-samples show very close P_r values, the two samples show completely different electrical behaviors. During cycling, the increase of P_r value for the NM-sample is more than an order of magnitude higher than the M-sample. It is accompanied by a decrease of the endurance which is two orders of magnitude higher for the NM-sample than for the M-sample. As electrical behaviors are not the same, for low stress conditions M-sample has a higher P_r value during cycling whereas for high stress conditions NM-sample has a higher P_r value during cycling. As a matter of fact, it has been proven that maximum P_r values are more sensitive to stress conditions than the structures themselves. The origins of the different electrical behaviors come from the micro-crystalline structures of the two samples, according to GIXRD results. The crystallization takes place during the annealing step. During annealing, M-sample is built with a TiN TE fully covering the HZO layer whereas the TiN covers only partially the HZO layer in case of the NM-sample. It induces different stress states which lead to two different micro-crystalline patterning. The M-sample shows no monoclinic peak, whereas the NM-sample shows many monoclinic orientations. It can explain the huge reduction of the wake-up effect.