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ABSTRACT

The magnetite/zinc oxide (Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO) nanocomposites were synthesised by the solid-state method using different ratios of zinc oxide and iron oxide. The structural, morphological, and optical properties of nanocomposites were analysed by X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared spectra (FT-IR), Raman spectroscopy, transmission electron microscope (TEM), UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-Vis/DRS) and Photoluminescence (PL) spectrophotometry. A significant Raman shift which recorded in nanocomposite due to the ZnO component which is strongly incorporated with the magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. Furthermore, Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO has a strongly quenching in PL intensity indicating stability between electrons and holes (e$^-$/h$^+$). The photocatalytic activities of Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO nanocomposites were investigated by the degradation of methylene blue in aqueous solution under visible light in different condition of pH, initial concentration and different trapping agents for free radicals (Tert-butyl alcohol (TBA), p-benzoquinone (BQ) and disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (Na$_2$-EDTA)). The results revealed that the photodegradation efficiency of ZnO compound with presence of magnetite improved from 13.5% to 88.5%. The optical results show enhancement of nanocomposite absorption under the visible region with a high life time between e$^-$/h$^+$ at the optimum ratio between Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO (MZ4), which can applied to obtain high photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue under visible light. Finally, the catalytic activity has been stabled when such photocatalyst was utilized again for several cycles.
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Highlight:

- Magnetic zinc oxide was elaborated through facile and rapid solid-state method.
- Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO has superior photocatalytic activity under visible light.
- The photocatalytic efficiency improved to 88.5% for MB degradation.
- Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO has high activity to water remediation without secondary pollution.
- The photocatalytic mechanism is based on the synergistic effect of Fe$_3$O$_4$ and ZnO.

1. Introduction

In recent decades, water contamination has become one of the dominant problems [1-3]. One of the harmful compounds in water is methylene blue (MB) [4-6]. MB is a cationic dye used in different applications as the wood and textile industries [4, 5]. In industry, the remediation of water from MB is a major problem, as failure to removal it has led to hazards in living organisms and the environment. Recently, various techniques for MB removal in aqueous media have been developed such as adsorption [6, 7], biosorption mechanism [4], membrane [8], Fenton [9] and photocatalytic process [10]. Most of the normal techniques for MB removal have limitations, as they result in secondary pollutants like adsorption and biosorption mechanism, or they are expensive such as the membrane process [10]. However, the photocatalytic technique for the degradation of hazardous substance becomes significant [11-13], due to its unique advantages without producing secondary pollutants. The photocatalyst degrades the harmful substance into friendly components as carbon dioxide and water [14, 15]. Specially, when the photocatalyst has magnetic properties, it is easy to be utilized for several times.

Over the past years, researchers in the major of water treatment have focused on the improvement of nano materials which act as photocatalysts [16-27]. Several semiconductors such as SnO$_2$, WO$_3$, TiO$_2$, CeO$_2$, and ZnO have been utilized as heterogeneous photocatalysis [28, 29]. Most of these semiconductors have a wide-band-gap, which requires ultraviolet light to be active [30, 31]. Among these semiconductors, ZnO is one of the excellent photocatalytic material because of its high catalytic activity and being environmentally friendly [32, 33]. ZnO nanoparticles has a huge surface area and a large number of active sites [34]. ZnO is classified as an n-type semiconductor [35]. It has a wide band gap energy of approximately 3.37 eV [35]. The large band gap of ZnO leaded to its excitations in the UV range below 400 nm. The high level of electrons/holes recombination is another restriction associated with ZnO [36, 37]. This deficit can be remediated by modifying ZnO [34]. This modification enhanced the absorption to be in the
visible light irradiation. Moreover, it reduces the rate of recombination between electrons/holes. Therefore, various systems have been implemented to overcome the drawbacks of ZnO, for example, doping, modification of the surface with metal nanoparticles, and the advancement of heterostructure [34, 38, 39]. Among these, the coupling ZnO with p type materials as Fe₃O₄ can increase the range of light absorption and accelerate the separation rate of the electron-hole pairs [40]. Thus, the photocatalytic performance of the Fe₃O₄/ZnO nanocomposite is assumed to be more active due to the electrons/holes separation in the p-n junction [41]. Moreover, the current magnetic properties make photocatalysts more easy to isolate and reuse from wastewater following the utilization of an external magnet [1, 42-44].

There are some scientists interested in fabricating Fe₃O₄/ZnO in order to enhance its photocatalytic activity through different preparation methods to obtain different structure and morphology. C. Karunakaran and co-workers [45] reported that the core-shell of Fe₃O₄/ZnO nanosheets by hydrothermal synthesis allows the band gap of Fe₃O₄ to be conjugated inside the band gap of ZnO for 98.5 %, 25% photodegradation of 25 ppm methylene blue under UV and visible light, respectively. The core-shell structure has a limited activity under visible light than UV source. Also, the core-shell structure of Fe₃O₄/ZnO was studied by M. Nikazar et al. [46] for phenol photodegradation to obtain a removal rate of 88% under UV irradiation. However, the same structure of core-shell was synthesized by Q. Feng et al. [47], then enhance it by graphene oxide to be active under visible illumination for the degradation of methyl orange. Furthermore, O. Długosz et al. [48] evaporated Fe₃O₄/ZnO by microwave process to recorded 63% removal percent of methylene blue. We can conclude that from previous studies the photocatalytic activity of Fe₃O₄/ZnO depend on the preparation method and the structure of the nanocomposite.

In this study, we demonstrate that Fe₃O₄/ZnO by the solid-state method is a rapid and easy method with changing the ratio between Fe₃O₄ and ZnO to get the optimum ratio between them. Among all techniques utilized to synthesize magnetite / zinc oxide, solid state is a cost-effective and simple technique. The crystalline structure, chemical states and morphology of the prepared photocatalyst were characterized by XRD, Raman, FTIR, XPS and TEM techniques. Furthermore, the optical properties were investigated by photoluminescence and UV-Vis spectroscopies. Finally, the photocatalytic activity of Fe₃O₄/ZnO nanocomposite was detected by degradation of methylene-blue (MB) under visible light illumination and with different conditions as an applied
trapping agent. Moreover, the prepared photocatalyst has high efficiency for easy extraction and reuse again.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O) (98%, CAS Number: 10196-18-6), ferrous sulphate heptahydrate (FeSO$_4$.7H$_2$O) with purity (99.5%, CAS Number: 7782-63-0), iron chloride hexahydrate (FeCl$_3$.6H$_2$O) (97%, CAS Number: 10025-77-1), methylene blue (MB) (CAS Number: 122965-43-9) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (98%, CAS Number: 1310-73-2) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich organization. Ethanol was purchased from Honeywell organization with high immaculateness of 99.8 %. All purchased compounds were used as received, with no further purification.

2.2. Nanoparticle synthesis

2.2.1. Synthesis of ZnO nanoparticles (Z)

ZnO nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) were synthesized by precipitation method [49]. 6mM of Zn (NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O was dissolved in 25 mL distilled water with stirring for 30 minutes at 100 °C. The pH value was adjusted at 12 by using 1M NaOH and stirring it for 1 h. The white precipitate was collected by centrifugation at a speed of 4000 rpm. The obtained precipitate was washed several times with deionized water and ethanol, then dried at 60 °C for 6 hrs in an electric oven and calcined at 600 °C for 2hrs in air.

2.2.2. Synthesis of Fe$_3$O$_4$ magnetics nanoparticles (M)

Fe$_3$O$_4$ magnetic nanoparticles (Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs) were prepared via co-precipitation method. We used ferrous and ferric salts in a ratio of 1:2 M ratio in the presence of N$_2$ gas as recorded in our previous study [42]. The relevant chemical reaction can be expressed as follows:

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{Fe}^{3+} + 8\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$$  \hspace{1cm} (eq.1)

2.2.3. Synthesis of Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO nanocomposites (MZ) by solid state method

MZ was prepared by thermolysis process and calcination at 600 °C for 4 h by solid state method [18, 23, 50]. With different weight ratios of M:Z (0.2:1, 0.4:1, 0.6: 1, 0.8:1 and 1:1 wt.% ) and donated as MZ1, MZ2, MZ3, MZ4, and MZ5, respectively.

2.3. Characterization

The phase of the prepared samples was examined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a diffractometer (Panalytical XPERT PRO MPD). CuKα radiation (λ=1.5418 Å) was used at 40 kV
and 40 mA. The morphology was investigated by transmission electron microscope (TEM) model JEM-2100, JEOL, Japan. The structural study of the prepared samples was investigated by Raman spectroscopy with a 532 nm laser source and a power of 10 mW (model Sentera, Bruker, Germany). The functional groups were identified by a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FT-IR) model spectrum one (Perkin Elmer, USA) in the wave number range of 400 - 4000 cm\(^{-1}\). The optical reflectance was recorded by a UV-Vis spectrometer (Perkin Elmer Lambda 1050). Photoluminescence spectra were recorded by a Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer.

2.4 Photocatalytic activity study

The photocatalytic activity of MZ1, MZ2, MZ3, MZ4, and MZ5 nanocomposites was studied through the degradation of methylene blue (MB). MB was used as an organic pollutants reference in aqueous solution. The photocatalytic activity was detected under visible illumination of a halogen lamp (500 W/cm\(^2\)) placed 10 cm above the 4 cm diameter reactor tube. First, 0.2 g of prepared samples were suspended in 50 mL of aqueous methylene blue solution at a concentration of 100 ppm. The mixture was stirred for 30 min in a dark box, until adsorption-desorption equilibrium was obtained between MB dye and nanocomposite (photocatalyst) before light irradiation. Then, 3mL of the suspensions were withdrawn regularly from reactor and centrifuged to remove the catalyst. The clean centrifuged solutions were analysed by UV-vis spectrophotometer [42].

3 Results and discussion

Magnetite and zinc oxide were elaborated with different ratios to form a homogeneous nanocomposite. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used for detecting the crystalline phase of M, Z, MZ1, MZ2, MZ3, MZ4 and MZ5 as presented in Figure 1, which demonstrates two sets of diffraction peaks. The first set for ZnO is well indexed to hexagonal wurtzite structure (JCPDS Card No. 01-080-4199) with the planes (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), (200), (112) and (201) corresponding to 2\(\Theta\) = 31.7, 34.4, 36.2, 47.5, 56.5, 62.8, 66.3, 67.9 and 69.0\(^{\circ}\), respectively [51]. (Give here the signification of \(2\Theta\).) The preferred growth orientation is along the (101) crystallographic direction. The strong sharp peaks suggested that the ZnO NPs were prepared in high crystalline [52]. The other set of magnetite Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) phase (JCPDS Card no. 01-089-1397) with diffraction peaks at 30.00, 35.50, 43.14, 53.44, 57.40, and 62.58\(^{\circ}\) corresponding to Miller indices (220), (311), (400), (422), (511), and (440), respectively [53]. These peaks are attributed to the cubic spinal structured magnetite. No significant peaks corresponding to impurities were detected.
in the XRD pattern. After mixing Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs with ZnO, peaks of both magnetite and zinc oxide are detected. In the nanocomposite, some intensities of the peaks changed due to peak interference.

![XRD spectra of Z, M, MZ1, MZ2, MZ3, MZ4 and MZ5 nanocomposites.](image)

**Figure 1.** XRD spectra of Z, M, MZ1, MZ2, MZ3, MZ4 and MZ5 nanocomposites.

The Raman spectra of all prepared samples are shown in Figure 2. The normal vibrational modes of ZnO have $A_1$+$2E_2$+$2B_1$+$E_1$ [54], where $A_1$ and $E_1$ consist of two modes: Longitudinal Optical (LO) and Transverse Optical (TO). $B_1$ is inactive Raman. $E_2$ consists of two modes: high frequency phonon $E_{2H}$ and low frequency phonon $E_{2L}$ [55]. The peak at 435.5 cm$^{-1}$ in the Raman spectrum of Z sample is assigned to $E_{2H}$ mode of ZnO (the strongest mode). The peak at 328 cm$^{-1}$ assigned to $A_1T$. And the peak at 576 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned to $A_{1L}$ (longitudinal optical mode). Nonetheless the $A_{1L}$ phonon peak of bulk ZnO showed reached 574 cm$^{-1}$ [56]. In contrast, the M sample (Fe$_3$O$_4$) has peaks at 218.5, 282, 396 and 652.5 cm$^{-1}$ and they correspond to $A_{1g}$, $E_g$, $E_g$ and $A_{1g}$, respectively [50, 57, 58]. Compared with pure ZnO nanocrystals, the $A_{1L}$ mode of the Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO nanocomposites appeared in the blue shift at peak 609.9 cm$^{-1}$. When the
electromagnetic radiation transmits through the Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO nanocomposites, the polarization of the ZnO component will be strongly influenced by the incorporation with Fe$_3$O$_4$ magnetic nanoparticles. Hence the excited electrode dipoles result in a vibrational frequency shift. Furthermore, the contributing factor could be the interfacial charge shift between Fe$_3$O$_4$ and ZnO, which was replaced by electrons in the nanocomposite materials were catalysed from the ZnO nanocrystals, then they will expend and absorb into the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. This is followed by recombination with the remaining holes at the ZnO-Fe$_3$O$_4$ interface leading to a frequency shift [59]. Moreover, the relative intensity of A1T and A1L (ZnO) during the limitation of the ZnO quantity in nanocomposites decreased gradually from MZ1 to MZ5. In addition, the peak cat 1310 cm$^{-1}$ related to the scattering of two magnon were observed in the nanocomposites due to the presence of scattering generated from the antiparallel spin of two magnons, where the homogeneity and synergism between ZnO and Fe$_3$O$_4$ were confirmed [50]. Figure S1 demonstrates the FT-IR spectra of ZnO, Fe$_3$O$_4$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO nanocomposite before the degradation of MB, which was performed in the range of 400 - 4,000 cm$^{-1}$. The characteristic bond of ZnO is well observed at 449 cm$^{-1}$. The weak band near 1637.8 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to the H-O-H bending vibration due to adsorbed water on the surface of nanoparticles. The broad peak centred around 3400 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to the stretching vibration mode of hydroxyl groups (OH) [60]. Also, the band at 568 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned to Fe-O bond vibration mode [61, 62]. The FT-IR spectra of Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO nanocomposite were identical to the hybridization of ZnO nanocrystals with Fe$_3$O$_4$ [63], taking into consideration that coupling Fe$_3$O$_4$ with ZnO should to be homogeneous.
Figure 2. Raman spectra of the Z, M, MZ1, MZ2, MZ3, MZ4 and MZ5 nanocomposites.

Furthermore, the chemical composition of Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO (MZ4) was detected by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis (XPS) as presented in Figure 3a-c. The XPS spectra of iron have two peaks of Fe$_3$O$_4$: 2p3/2 and 2p1/2 at 711.5 and 723.7 eV, respectively [50, 64] (in Figure 3-a) which are matched with XRD and Raman. In addition, the zinc peak at 121 eV corresponds to Zn 2p3/2 which it was bound to ZnO in Figure 3-b [65]. XPS of oxygen 1s in Figure 3-c at 530.4 eV related to lattice oxygen (O$_{L}$) [66] in the Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO nanocomposite.

Moreover, the morphology of prepared nanocomposites was detected by transmission electron microscopy. TEM images of the Z, M, MZ1, MZ4, and MZ5 nanocomposites are presented in Figure 4a-e. The presence of homogeneous nanocrystalline ZnO particles with spherical shapes and weak agglomeration is observed in Figure 4a) [50]. Figure 4b shows the iron
oxide nanoparticles exhibiting a cubic morphology [50, 67] and Figures 4c-e for Fe₃O₄/ZnO composites show the cubic and spherical morphology with average diameter 15 ± 2 nm and 20 ± 4 nm for Fe₃O₄ and ZnO, respectively as the nanocomposites structure. In addition, the MZ4 nanocomposite has a uniform distribution without accumulation. In addition, the elemental composition of the nanocomposites by EDX presented in Table S1 which confirmed the atomic ratio between Fe₃O₄ and ZnO which is in consistent with the experimental values.

Figure 3. XPS spectra of MZ4 (a) Fe 2P; (b) Zn 2P and (c) O 1S
3.2 Optical analysis

There are several mechanisms that control photocatalytic activity: the production of electron / hole pairs, photoabsorption, charge / carrier transfer, and charge carrier utilization. The optimization of the photocatalytic activity depends on the efficiency of the product and the transfer of the $e^- / h^+$ pairs, which depends on the energy band gap ($E_g$) of the photocatalyst. The energy band gap values ($E_g$) of the samples were determined according to the following equations [31, 32]:

$$a h v = A (h v - E_g) n/2$$  (eq.2)
Where $\alpha$ is the absorption coefficient, $\nu$ is the frequency of light and $n$ is the constant of proportionality. The $n$ value is determined by the transition of the semiconductor, i.e., the direct transition as in the prepared nanocomposite ($n = 1$). The DRS spectra of the prepared ZnO, Fe$_3$O$_4$, MZ1, MZ2, MZ3, MZ4 and MZ5 NPs are shown in figure 5. The band gap value of pure ZnO NP is 3.20 eV. However, the band gap of the Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO nanocomposites shifted towards the redshift in the presence of magnetite and there are two bandgaps for all samples according to Table 1. The conjugation of two bands gap led to more stability between the $e^-/h^+$ pairs. Moreover, Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO nanocomposites can be excited under visible light to give more electron-hole pairs.

**Figure 5.** UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectra of Z, MZ1, MZ2, MZ3, MZ4 and MZ5 nanocomposites.

**Table 1.** The band gap and kinetic parameters for photocatalytic activities of ZnO, MZ1, MZ2, MZ3, MZ4, and MZ5 NPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>$E_g$ (eV)(Fe$_3$O$_4$)</th>
<th>$E_g$ (eV)(ZnO)</th>
<th>$K_a$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$A_{OC}$ (TW.h/m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MB</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.468E-4 ± 6E-5</td>
<td>563.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>9.217E-4 ± 9.582E-5</td>
<td>150.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The room temperature photoluminescence (PL) spectra of all prepared samples were shown in Figure 6. PL spectrum of pure ZnO shows a strong emission band at 381 nm. In addition, some peaks at 406 nm, 420 nm and 445 nm appear due to deep level emission (DLE) [68]. A strong quenching of PL intensity after incorporation of Fe$_3$O$_4$ with ZnO, indicating that the recombination between photo-electrons generated and holes is reduced.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Emission</th>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Quenching</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MZ1</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.009 ± 8.373E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MZ2</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.011 ± 5.776E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MZ3</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.014 ± 9.106E-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MZ4</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>0.018 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MZ5</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.009 ± 6.141E-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 6. Photoluminescence spectra of Z, MZ1, MZ2, MZ3, MZ4 and MZ5 nanocomposites.](image)

**3.3. Photocatalytic activity**

The photocatalytic efficiency of the (MZ1, MZ2, MZ3, MZ4 and MZ5) nanocomposites was evaluated for MB under visible light irradiation (wavelength > 400 nm). MB was chosen as an organic pollutant model. Figure 7.a shows the degradation of MB concentration for all the
prepared nanocomposites. The MZ4 NCs shows the strongest degradation of MB, implying the highest photocatalytic activity, followed by MZ3, MZ2, MZ5 and MZ1. Figure 7.b, shows the degradation of kinetic linear curves, where the photodegradation reactions follow a Langmuir-Hinshelwood first-order kinetics model as shown in the following equation:

\[ R = \frac{dC}{dt} = kKC / (1+KC) \quad (eq.3) \]

Where \( r \) is the degradation rate of MB (mg L\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)), \( C \) is the concentration of the MB solution (mg L\(^{-1}\)), \( t \) is the irradiation time, \( k \) is the reaction rate constant (mg L\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)), and \( K \) is the adsorption coefficient of MB (mg L\(^{-1}\)). The relationship between \( \ln (C_0/C) \) and the reaction time \( t \) showed that the decomposition of MB with different photocatalysts follows a pseudo-first-order kinetic

\[ \ln (C_0/C) = k_\text{a}t \quad (eq.4) \]

Where \( k_\text{a} \) is the apparent first-order rate constant (min\(^{-1}\)), \( C_0 \) is the concentration at time =0 of irradiation, and \( C \) is the concentration at time \( t \).

\( k_\text{a} \) values obtained from the linear relation between \( \ln (C_0/C) \) and time are reported in Table 1 and increased in the following order: MZ4>MZ3>MZ2>MZ5>MZ1>ZnO>MB meaning MZ4 sample shows the best activity. In addition, MZ4 has a higher photocatalytic efficiency under visible light irradiation compared to previous studies Table 2. Beside the kinetic study, an important factor for judging materials is Figure of Merit (FOM). FOM in our case is based on international union of pure and applied chemistry (IUPAC) for photocatalytic process defined as collector area per order \( (A_{CO}) \) due to the reaction process follow first order kinetics [69, 70]. The Figure of Merit (FOM) for all the prepared nanocomposite illustrated in Table 1, where \( A_{CO} \) was defined by the following equation:

\[ A_{CO} = \frac{AE_s t}{V \log(C_i/C_f)} \quad (eq.5) \]

Where, \( A \) (m\(^2\)) is the light-exposed area, \( E_s \) is the average solar irradiance (W/m\(^2\)), \( t \) is time of illumination (h), \( V \) is volume of reactor (m\(^3\)), \( C_i \) and \( C_f \) are the initial and final concentration of pollutant. Furthermore, the equation supposes first order kinetics, so \( \log (C_i/C_f) = 0.434 k_\text{a}t \); where: \( t \) is time (h) and \( k_\text{a} \) is the apparent first-order rate constant (h\(^{-1}\)) [69, 70]. Equation 4 will be as following:

\[ A_{CO} = \frac{AE_s}{0.434 VK_\text{a}} \quad (eq.6) \]
In addition, the pH-changing during the photodegradation was detected on order to obtain more information about MB photodegradation under different condition for MZ4 as presented in Figure 7.c. The results indicated that the pH of the solution has a changing effect of the MB photodegradation process by MZ4 due to the electrostatic interactions between the substrate, the photocatalyst and the charged produced radicals during the photodegradation process. Therefore, it has been very difficult to illustrate the pH effects of the MB photodegradation. The MB showed in cationic form at pH=5 and MZ4 has negatively charged. Therefore, there is electrostatic attraction between cationic dye and MZ4. However, the best MB photodegradation recorded at pH=6 due to the presence of ideal electrostatic attraction and the ability to the free radical formation on the surface of photocatalyst. Moreover, zinc oxide was the important photocatalyst in MZ4 at that pH [71]. In addition, the pH effect on (100 ppm) MB degradation in the presence and absence of MZ4 after 2 h of visible light illumination is displayed in Figure S2. Where, MB is bleached under acidic medium without catalysts, but with a low effect not more than 10% when compared with presence of MZ4 at 88.5% removal efficiency. Furthermore, the effect of MB initial concentration was studied on its photodegradation from 50 to 200 ppm as presented in Figure 7.c. The degradation efficiency decreased with increased the initial concentration of MB due to the limitation of illumination by agglomerated MB on the photocatalyst [10, 72].

To determine the stability of (MZ4) Fe₃O₄/ZnO nanocomposite photocatalyst, recycling tests for the degradation of MB under visible light were performed on the photocatalyst, which are presented in Figure 7.d. The photocatalyst was collected by magnet then it was washed with distilled water and ethanol. IR characteristics were examined before and after photocatalytic degradation in Figure S3. Where, the same function groups have been observed in the catalyst before and after photocatalytic degradation. Thus, the prepared nanocomposite has high recovery and reusability for photocatalytic activity, in addition to studying the roles of free radical. we applied trapping agents of free radical: Tert-butyl alcohol (TBA), p-benzoquinone (BQ) and disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (Na2-EDTA) to scavenge the hydroxyl radicals, superoxide radicals and holes, respectively as present in Figure 7.d [73]. The removal efficiency of MB changed dependent on the sacrificial agents, and the removal efficiency decreased to 39% in the presence of (5mM) TBA as hydroxyl radicals played an important role in the photodegradation of MB.
Figure 7. (a) Photodegradation and (b) Kinetic of MB by Z, MZ1, MZ2, MZ3, MZ4 and MZ5 nanocomposites for 100 ppm MB under visible light; (c) The pH and MB concentration effect for MB degradation by MZ4 after 2 h of visible light illumination; and (d) The stability cycles and scavenger study effect for MB degradation by MZ4 for 100 ppm MB after 2 h of visible-light illumination.

Table 2. Photo catalytic degradation of MB under Visible Light with Various Photocatalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>photocatalyst</th>
<th>Weight of catalyst (g/L)</th>
<th>Concentration of MB (ppm)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Degradation (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction</td>
<td>α</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>γ</td>
<td>δ</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$/CdWO$_4$ + H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$/CdWO$_4$ /PrVO$_4$ + H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO$_3$-GO</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/ZnO-MWCNT</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn$_3$(OH)$_2$V$_2$O$_7$•2H$_2$O</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnWO$_4$/CeVO$_4$ + H$_2$O</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>Our work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The degradation mechanism based on all previous results and the energy band of hereto structure of magnetite ZnO is shown in Figure 8. When ZnO and Fe$_3$O$_4$ are coupled together, photons may be absorbed in both ZnO and Fe$_3$O$_4$ and form the electron/hole pairs. Where, ZnO and Fe$_3$O$_4$ have a positions of conduction bands (-0.45 eV and -0.58 eV vs NHE) and valence bands (+ 2.75 eV and + 0.99 eV vs NHE), respectively [79, 80]. The electrons of the conduction Band (CB) of Fe$_3$O$_4$ would migrate to that of the ZnO. Whereas holes at the valence Band (VB) of Fe$_3$O$_4$ would remain there. This process reduces the probability of the electron/hole recombination. Therefore, there is an enhancement of photocatalytic activities of the Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO compared to the pure ZnO. After that the OH$^-$ present in the aqueous solution is captured by the hole of the valence band to form highly reactive OH$^-$ Radical. Then, the dye molecules attacked by this radical are decomposed into simple products [81, 82]. This can be described by the following steps:

1) Photoexcitation
   Photoelectron is transferred from valence band (filled) of a semiconductor to conduction band (empty).

2) Ionization of water
   Holes in the valence band react with water to produce OH$^-$ radical

3) Oxygen ionosorption
   Electron in conduction band is taken by oxygen to produce superoxide radical O$_2$$^-$$^\cdot$

\[
\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{ZnO} \rightarrow \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{ZnO} (h^+) + \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{ZnO} (e^-) \quad \text{(eq.7)}
\]
\[
\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4/\text{ZnO} (e^-) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2^- \quad \text{(eq.8)}
\]
Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO (h$^+$) + H$_2$O $\rightarrow$ OH + H$^+$ \hspace{2cm} (eq.9)
H$_2$O + O$_2^-$ $\rightarrow$ 2 OH \hspace{2cm} (eq.10)
·OH + MB $\rightarrow$ degradation products \hspace{2cm} (eq.11)

Figure 8. Schematic diagram of the MZ4 photocatalytic activity mechanism.

4. Conclusion

The Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO nanocomposite was successfully synthesized by a solid-state process under the optimal conditions achieved at 600 °C for 4 h with different ratios between Fe$_3$O$_4$ and ZnO. The XRD, XPS, TEM, Raman and FT-IR spectroscopy measurement contributed to the understanding of the structural phase of Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO nanocomposites. All characterization techniques confirmed the strong incorporation between Fe$_3$O$_4$ and ZnO compounds, which consequently enhanced the band-gap for the nanocomposites that were recorded by UV-DRs to be active under visible light illumination. Furthermore, the heterogenous band gap between Fe$_3$O$_4$ and ZnO quenched the Photoluminescence and improved the life time of e$^-$/h$^+$. Photocatalytic activity measurements of different coupling of Fe$_3$O$_4$ with ZnO led to better activity in the degradation of MB under visible light. The highest degradation efficiency (88.5 %) was reached with sample MZ4 with high stability up to five cycles. In addition, the preferred pH for MB degradation by MZ4 under visible light is 6. The mechanism of MB degradation mainly depends on hydroxyl radical corresponding to the effect of the various trapping agents applied (TBA, BQ and Na$_2$-EDTA). Moreover, the prepared materials have the ability to be easily extracted from the
solution by the external magnetic field. In brief, Fe₃O₄/ZnO nanocomposites are promising photocatalysts for reducing toxic organic pollutants in wastewater.
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