

Designing Active Sites for Structure-Sensitive Reactions via the Generalized Coordination Number: Application to Alcohol Dehydrogenation

Kamila Kaźmierczak, Paul Clabaut, Ruben Staub, N. Perret, Stephan N.

Steinmann, Carine Michel

To cite this version:

Kamila Kaźmierczak, Paul Clabaut, Ruben Staub, N. Perret, Stephan N. Steinmann, et al.. Designing Active Sites for Structure-Sensitive Reactions via the Generalized Coordination Number: Application to Alcohol Dehydrogenation. Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2021, 125 (19), pp.10370-10377. $10.1021/acs.ijc$ c.1c01746 . hal-03271982

HAL Id: hal-03271982 <https://hal.science/hal-03271982v1>

Submitted on 5 Jul 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Designing Active Sites for Structure-Sensitive Reactions via the Generalized Coordination Number: Application to Alcohol Dehydrogenation

Kamila Kaź[mierczak,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/showCitFormats?doi=10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746&ref=pdf) Paul Clabaut, Ruben Staub, Noémie Perret, Stephan N. Steinmann, and Carine Mi[chel](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746?ref=pdf)*

ABSTRACT: Identifying the structure of the most active site is essential to improve the performance of supported metal catalysts. For structure-sensitive reactions, in silico design cannot be easily achieved combining the scaling relations and Brønsted−Evans −Polanyi relations, which are only built on energy-based descriptors. We used here the generalized [coordination](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746?fig=abs1&ref=pdf) number as a structural descriptor and established that low-coordinated sites are desirable when using Co and Cu to perform the acceptor-less alcohol dehydrogenation reaction.

INTRODUCTION

Computational approaches are recognized as essential to accelerate the design of improved metal-based supported catalysts.^{[1,2](#page-6-0)} A typical strategy is to first investigate the reaction mechanism of a series of pure transition-metal surfaces and then to screen for an alloy combination of improved activity.[3](#page-6-0)−[6](#page-6-0) The predicted activity for alloys is extrapolated from the one for pure metals using descriptors that are typically energy-based (e.g., adsorption energy of a representative species). For instance, this extrapolation can be performed combining linear-scaling relations, 7^{-10} 7^{-10} 7^{-10} 7^{-10} which relate the adsorption energy of reaction species to the corresponding atomic fragments, and Brønsted−Evans−Polanyi (BEP) relationships,^{[11](#page-6-0)−[14](#page-6-0)} which predict the activation energy from the reaction energy. Inserting these relations in a microkinetics framework, a turnover frequency (TOF) can be computed as a function of the energy-based descriptors in a 1D or 2D volcano plot.[4](#page-6-0),[5](#page-6-0),[15](#page-6-0) This in silico design predicts alloys that are active but not necessarily feasible to prepare. Even worse, due to the universality of the scaling relationships, $16-18$ $16-18$ $16-18$ the top of the predicted volcano plot may still feature rather inactive catalysts (in 1D volcano plots) or may lie in a zone that cannot be reached by the (linear) combinations of transition metals (in 2D volcano plots). 15 Hence, to overpass the top of the predicted volcano, it is crucial to identify systems that are not obeying those linear scaling relationships.[19](#page-6-0)−[21](#page-6-0) Several alternatives can be explored, such as strain, 2^{2} ensemble effects in alloys, $23-25$ $23-25$ $23-25$ interfacial sites with the support,^{[26](#page-7-0)} and surface $\frac{d}{dx}$ decoration,^{[27](#page-7-0)−[29](#page-7-0)} and for structure sensitive reactions,^{[30](#page-7-0)} the nanoparticle size and shape. $7,31$ $7,31$ In the last case, using a structural descriptor rather than an energy-based one appears as a natural choice, as it is directly related to the (local) shape

of the active site. To this purpose, Calle-Vallejo et al. recently introdu[ced th](#page-7-0)e generalized [coo](#page-7-0)rdination number (GCN) predicting with success better sit[es](#page-7-0) for the oxygen reduction reaction, $32-34$ including strain,³⁵ but also for the structuresensitive acetone elect[ror](#page-7-0)eduction.³⁶ It was also recently used to design optimal active sites on Cu for the structure-sensitive $CO₂$ electroreduction.³⁷ GCN is a generalization of the coordination number of an atom that takes into account not only the first-coordination shell of the surface metal atom, but also its second-coordination shell. It allows a fine geometrical description of metal surfaces. For instance, GCN can distinguish terrace sites depending on the distance to the edge because it decreases when getting closer to the edge.

In this article, we investigate the structure sensitivity of acceptor-less alco[ho](#page-7-0)l dehydrogenation (AAD), a key reaction to upgrade biomass that generates added value [carbo](#page-7-0)nyls and gaseous hydrogen.³⁸ The metal catalyst activity was shown to depend on the size of the metal nanoparticles,^{39–42} an effect that can be ascribed to a greater metal/support interface or to a greater exposure of undercoordinated sites on the metal nanoparticles. Here, we focus on the example of Co and Cu, two ab[undant](#page-7-0) [3d tran](#page-7-0)sition metals featuring very different properties, and recently demonstrated to be active in the AAD reaction.^{30,43–46,29,47,48} Co is a reactive d⁷ metal with a strong affinity toward H, C, and O, while Cu is a coinage metal with a

weaker affinity due to its s^1d^{10} electron configuration. Recently, combined experimental and density functional theory (DFT) studies demonstrated that the $Cu(211)$ surface was more active than the $Cu(111)$ close-packed surface to perform the gas-phase dehydrogenation of ethanol.^{[49](#page-7-0)} Our previous DFT studies predicted a similar trend for Co with the open type Co(11−20) surface being more active than the close-packed $Co(0001)$ surface.^{[50](#page-7-0)} To probe the structure sensitivity of alcohol dehydrogenation more extensively, we have chosen here to explore the dehydrogenation of a prototypical alcohol (isopropanol) on the most exposed facets on hcp Co, fcc Co, and fcc Cu particles according to a Wulff reconstruction.^{[51,52](#page-7-0)} Building on this extensive set of data, we first investigated the ability of linear-scaling relations and BEP relationships to predict the activity on a variety of Co and Cu facets. Then, we exploited the GCN structural descriptor to capture the structure sensitivity of alcohol dehydrogenation and finally design the most active site structure of Co and Cu catalysts.

METHODS

DFT Computational Details. Periodic DFT computations were performed with the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) (version 5.3.5).[53,54](#page-7-0) The exchange−correlation energy and potential were calculated with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) using the PBE functional^{[55](#page-7-0)} with the \overrightarrow{dDsC} dispersion correction,^{[56,57](#page-7-0)} a combination that was proved to be the most accurate to describe the adsorption of molecules on metal surfaces.^{[58](#page-7-0)} The projector augmented wave method (PAW)[59](#page-7-0),[60](#page-7-0) was used to describe the electron−ion interactions. A cut-off energy of 400 eV was applied to obtain a tight convergence of the plane-wave expansion. Electronic energies were obtained with a convergence criterion of 10[−]⁶ eV.

The optimal interatomic Co−Co distance was established for bulk hcp Co and was found to be equal to 2.47 Å (in agreement with an experimental value of 2.51 Å, the c lattice parameter was counted according to the experimental proportion and was equal to 4.01 Å), and further used for all the surfaces. For Cu, the optimal interatomic distance was established for fcc Cu and found to be equal to 3.62 Å (in excellent agreement with the experimental value of 3.615 Å). The catalyst surfaces were represented by nonsymmetric slabs, composed of few metal layers (usually 4) separated by a vacuum of over 10 Å. Half of the layers (bottom layers) were fixed in bulk truncated positions, whereas the remaining half (upper layers) were allowed to relax. All the computations were performed with dipole correction in the z direction perpendicular to the surface. Five hcp type surfaces and four fcc type facets of Co, and four fcc type surfaces of Cu were considered. For hcp type facets, the following supercells were used: $p(3 \times 3)$ of four layers for Co(0001), $p(4 \times 4)$ of four layers for Co(10−11), p(4 \times 4) of six layers for Co(10−10), $p(3 \times 3)$ of four layers for Co(10–12), and $p(4 \times 4)$ of four layers for Co(11−20); for fcc type surfaces: $p(3 \times 3)$ of four layers for $Co(111)$ and $Cu(111)$, $p(3 \times 3)$ of four layers for Co(100) and Cu(100), $p(3 \times 4)$ of four layers for Co(110) and Cu(110), and $p(3 \times 3)$ of four layers for Co(211) and Cu(211) facets. For the Brillouin zone integration, Monkhorst–Pack meshes of $3 \times 3 \times 1$ and $5 \times 5 \times 1$ K-points were used for Co and Cu, respectively.^{[61](#page-7-0)} Spin-polarized calculations were performed for Co using an initial magnetic moment of 1.63 μ B per atom. It tuned slightly to: 1.58 μ B for (0001), 1.70 μB for (10−11), 1.71 μB for (10−10), 1.73 μB for (10−12),

1.78 μB for (11−20), 1.60 μB for (111), 1.69 for (100), 1.69 μ B for (110), and 1.69 μ B for (211).

Adsorption and reaction processes were realized on the upper surface of the slab. Structures were allowed to relax until the forces were lower than 0.015 eV \AA^{-1} . Frequencies were computed numerically within the harmonic approximation. A reaction path generator developed by Fleurat-Lessard, Opt'n Path,^{[62](#page-7-0)} together with nudge elastic band procedures (NEB) , $63,64$ allowed us to determine the transition-state (TS) structures which were further optimized using the dimer method.^{[65,66](#page-7-0)} All TSs were verified to present a single imaginary frequency whose normal mode corresponds to the reaction coordinate.

For the species adsorbed on the surfaces, Gibbs free energies were approximated by the electronic energies. For gas-phase species, translational and rotational entropy contributions were added at 423 K (typical experimental temperature)^{[67,68](#page-8-0)} within the ideal gas and rigid rotator approximations using a homemade script. The electronic structure of radical species were evaluated including spin-polarization.

Definition of Energy Terms. The definitions gathered here are used for the employed energy quantities:

• Adsorption energy of a species $C_xH_yO_z$ on a surface S

$$
C_xH_yO_z + S \rightarrow C_xH_yO_z\omega S
$$

$$
E_{\rm ads} = E(C_3H_yO\omega S) - E(C_3H_yO) - E(S)
$$

where y is in the range 6–8.

• Binding energy of H is given relative to H_2 while the binding energy of a species C_3H_3O is given relative to $iPrOH (C₃H₈O):$

$$
C_3H_8O + S \to C_3H_yO(\omega S) + \frac{(8 - y)}{2}H_2
$$

\n
$$
\Delta E(C_3H_yO) = E(C_3H_yO(\omega S)) - E(C_3H_8O) - E(S)
$$

\n
$$
+ \frac{(8 - y)}{2}E(H_2)
$$

• Reaction energy of OH or CH bond scission starting from $C_3H_vO\omega S$

 $C_3H_vO\omega S + S \rightarrow C_3H_{v-1}O\omega S + H\omega S$

$$
\Delta E_{\rm r}(C_3H_{\rm y}O) = E(C_3H_{\rm y-1}O(\text{a}) + E(H(\text{a}) - E(C_3H_{\rm y}O(\text{a})) - E(S))
$$

• Activation energy of an OH or CH bond scission with respect to adsorbed species C_3H_3O

$$
E_{\text{act}} = E(\text{TS}\textcircled{a}\text{S}) - E(\text{C}_{3}\text{H}_{y}\text{O}\textcircled{a}\text{S})
$$

Generalized Coordination Number. GCNs were determined for each adsorption site following the philosophy introduced by Calle-Vallejo et al.^{[32](#page-7-0)} The calculations of the GCNs were performed with a home-written script, which is provided as [Supporting Information.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf) It is schematically exemplified on the case of H adsorbed in a hollow site of the (211) facet [\(Figure 1](#page-3-0)). At first, determining the GCN requires identifying the first and the second metallic coordination spheres (Ω_1 and Ω_2) for a given position of an adsorbate. Ω_1 includes the metal atoms within a given radius from a given position. Ω_2 includes all the metal atoms

Figure 1. Example of GCN computation for a three-coordinated adsorption position on the (211) surface. Orange atoms represent the first coordination sphere Ω_1 of the adsorption site, while blue atoms are the second coordination sphere Ω_2 . The rest of the slab atoms is marked as transparent gray. Displayed values are the regular coordination numbers (CNs) of the atoms of the second coordination sphere.

coordinated to the metal atoms belonging to Ω_1 (also within the same radius), but atoms from Ω_1 are not included in Ω_2 . Then, the coordination number (CN) for all atoms in Ω_2 is identified. This radius was set to the shorter metal−metal distance, that is, 2.47 Å for adsorbates on Co surfaces and 2.56 Å for adsorbates on Cu surfaces. Finally, the GCN of the given position is calculated as follows

$$
GCN = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} CN(i)}{n_{MAX}}
$$

with n equal to the number of neighbors in the second coordination sphere (number of atoms in Ω_2) and n_{MAX} the maximal number of neighbors in the second coordination sphere, which depends on the number of neighbors in the first coordination sphere Ω_1 (type of adsorption site) and on the metal crystallographic type: n_{MAX} is defined as the number of atoms that would constitute Ω_2 if the atoms of Ω_1 were all in the metal bulk (Figure 1). The determination of n_{MAX} for fcc metals was already reported by Calle-Vallejo et al., $\frac{32}{2}$ $\frac{32}{2}$ $\frac{32}{2}$ and here we extended it to hcp metals. Values of n_{MAX} for different sites and crystal types can be found in [Table S1.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf)

When a reaction species was adsorbed to the surface via more than one atom, the corresponding GCN was computed as the average of the GCNs for the different anchoring atoms.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Gibbs free energy profiles of the dehydrogenation of isopropanol were computed on 9 Co surfaces (hcp and fcc) and 4 Cu surfaces (fcc). While the stable compact (111) facet is clearly the most exposed one on fcc Co and fcc Cu, noticeably (10−11) is the most exposed facet on hcp Co even though the compact (0001) facet is the most stable one. We systematically investigated the two possible routes illustrated in Scheme 1: (i) Path A (shown in blue) starts with the OH scission (TS_{OH}) , leading to the alkoxy intermediate iPrO, followed by the CH bond scission (TS_{OH−CH}), (ii) Path B (shown in red) inverts the ordering of the bond breaking steps, starting from CH bond dissociation (TS_{CH}) , passing through the hydroxyalkyl intermediate CH_3COHCH_3 , finishing with the OH bond scission (TS_{CH-OH}). The corresponding energy data are shown in Tables S2−S5, [Supporting Information](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf).

Scheme 1. Pathways Considered for the Dehydrogenation of Isopropanol ($iPrOH$) to Acetone ($CH₃COCH₃$) with the Coproduction of $H₂$ (Not Shown)

The typical linear scaling relations^{[69](#page-8-0)} used for in silico catalyst design are obtained when the binding energy (ΔE) of each surface species (shown in Scheme 1) is plotted against the adsorption energy of O or C ($\Delta E_{\rm O}$ and $\Delta E_{\rm C}$) on our series of Co and Cu surfaces [\(Figure S1\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf). The slope α and intercept β of the corresponding correlations are reported together with the mean absolute error (MAE), maximal absolute error (MAX), and the determination coefficient (R^2) in Table 1. The

Table 1. Linear Scaling Relation Parameters (Slope α , Intercept β , MAE, MAX, and Determination Coefficient R^2) Using the Adsorption Energies of O and C ($\Delta E_{\rm O}$ and $\Delta E_{\rm C}$) as Descriptors to Predict the Binding Energies of Different Species $(\Delta E(X); X = H, iPrOH, iPrO, CH_3COHCH_3)$ and $CH₃COCH₃$)

determination coefficient R^2 informs about the correlation fit and is more sensitive to outliers, especially for small slopes. More important is the quality of the estimate of the adsorption energy, which is better reflected by MAE and MAX that directly inform about the distance of the real value to the prediction line.^{[70](#page-8-0)} The binding energy of iPrO is expected to scale with the adsorption of atomic $O(\Delta E_{\Omega})$ while the binding energy of $\mathrm{CH_{3}COHCH_{3}}$ should scale with the one of C (ΔE_{c}) because they are bound to the metal through O and (mainly) C, respectively. These linear relations are established processing the combined Co and Cu data, yielding a typical MAE below 0.2 eV and MAX below 0.32 eV. The obtained slope is in agreement with expectations based on the bondorder of the adsorbed fragments: ∼0.5 for iPrO and ∼0.25 for $CH₃COHCH₃$. Noticeably, when a similar relation is established on a larger series of transition metals but only on the (111) surface, the slope of the alkoxy/O scaling relation is lower (0.38), and surprisingly, this relation still allows us to predict our structurally diverse set of data with a mean signed error (MSE) of 0.10 eV and a MAX of 0.27 eV. On the other hand, predicting the hydroxyalkyl adsorption systematically yields a strong overestimation with a MSE of −0.27 eV. Hence,

predicting the activity of structurally diverse facets using linear relations established on (111) appears rather hazardous.

BEP linear relations $12,13,71$ $12,13,71$ $12,13,71$ $12,13,71$ are the second major ingredient for in silico catalysts design. For alcohol dehydrogenation, BEP relations were shown to be effective at predicting CH and OH scission barriers with MAE below 0.1 eV and a maximal error contained below 0.3 eV.^{[15](#page-6-0)[,72](#page-8-0)} Processing independently the CH and OH scissions data, we obtained the BEP relationships represented with solid lines in Figure 2. The corresponding

Figure 2. Activation energy E_{act} (eV) as a function of the reaction energy ΔE_r (eV) for OH scissions (green) and the CH scissions (orange) on Cu (triangle) and Co (squares) surfaces. Dashed lines correspond to the linear relationships established previously for those scissions on compact surfaces for a series of transition metals, 15 while the continuous lines correspond to the linear relations obtained with the set of data shown here. BEP linear relationships parameters (slope α, intercept $β$, MAE, MAX, and determination coefficient R^2) are reported in [Table S8.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf)

slopes and intercepts are reported in [Table S8](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf) together with the related MAE and MAX. These BEP relations perform reasonably well in terms of MAE $(<0.13$ eV), but with few errors greater than 0.5 eV as in TS_{OH-CH} on Cu(100) and Cu(110). Conversely, the BEP relations formerly established on a set of (111) surfaces^{[15](#page-6-0)} (presented by dashed lines in Figure 2) systematically underestimate activation energies for OH scissions ($MSE = -0.11$ eV or -10%) and overestimate CH scission barriers (MSE = 0.18 eV, +25%). Limited performance of the linear scaling relations combined with the

set of surfaces. For a given species on a given metal, the adsorption energy is well described by the GCN descriptor as shown in Figure 3. The corresponding MAE and MAX values are contained below 0.08 and 0.19 eV, respectively (see [Table S10\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf). These relations perform better than the energy-based relations (see [Tables](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf)

not structure-based.

S6−[S8\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf). Similarly, using the GCN as a descriptor, low errors are obtained for the transition-state adsorption, as shown in [Figure 4](#page-5-0), with MAE < 0.15 eV and MAX < 0.24 eV (see [Table](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf) [S12\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf). The only exception is TS_{OH} on Co with a MAX of 0.43 eV, which corresponds to the (211) stepped surface. These structural linear relations are not only nicely performing statistically but are also very informative. The intercept is an indicator of the strength of adsorption.^{[34](#page-7-0)} Typically, the lower intercept values systematically observed on Co in comparison with Cu can be traced back to the stronger oxophilicity of Co. More importantly here, the slope is a simple means to probe the structure sensitivity providing insights into the catalytic reaction. The adsorption of iPrOH through the oxygen lone pair on top of a metallic atom makes this adsorption rather structure-insensitive with a slope of 0.16 both on Co and Cu. Acetone is weakly bonded on Cu and Co, but its adsorption is structure-sensitive with an identical slope of 0.27 on both metals. Going further, H and iPrO are adsorbed via several metal/H or metal/O bonds, a number that depends on the type of surface. Nevertheless, both are completely structureinsensitive with slopes ranging between −0.05 and 0.11, indicating that the geometric suggestion of several bonds is less

above-described systematic errors in BEP relations strongly question the use of volcano plots established on a given facet to predict the activity on other facets for alcohol dehydrogenation. Besides, retro-engineering the best combination of the metal and facet based on C and O adsorption energies is rather cumbersome because these descriptors are energy-based and

The GCN is a structural descriptor that is in a better position than ΔE_{Ω} or ΔE_{C} to power the structure engineering. As mentioned above, this structural descriptor not only counts the nearest neighbors, but also takes into account their coordination because nearest-neighbor participation is weighted by their coordination numbers. It can be applied to any coordination site, 32 and ranges from 5.40 to 7.93 for our

 (b) Co

Figure 3. Binding energy (ΔE in eV) on (a) Cu and (b) Co surfaces as a function of the GCN of the adsorption position of iPrOH (black dot), CH_3COCH_3 (black circle), H (black square), iPrO (blue dot), and CH_3COHCH_3 (red dot). The corresponding linear regressions are shown with dotted lines. Their slopes α , intercepts β , MAE, MAX, and determination coefficient R^2 are reported in [Table S10](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf).

Figure 4. Binding energy (ΔE in eV) on (a) Cu and (b) Co surfaces as a function of the GCN of the adsorption position of the transition states TS_{OH} (blue dot), TS_{OH−CH} (blue square), TS_{CH} (red dot), and TS_{CH−OH} (red square). The corresponding linear regressions are shown with a dotted line. Their slopes α , intercepts β , MAE, MAX, and determination coefficient R^2 are reported in [Table S12.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf)

important than the formal unity valency of the adsorbate. Finally, the hydroxyalkyl CH_3CHOCH_3 is structure-sensitive with a slope of 0.32 on Co and 0.26 on Cu. Regarding the transition states, they emerge as generally more structure sensitive than the intermediates with slopes as high as 0.46 (see Figure 4 and [Table S12\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf). The CH breakings are less structuresensitive than most OH breakings with the noticeable exception of TS_{CH-OH} on Cu, which is the only one that is completely structure-insensitive with a slope of 0.04.

These structure-based relations show a contrasted picture along the alcohol dehydrogenation pathways: some intermediates and transition states are strongly structure-sensitive while others are structure-insensitive. Thus, the relation between the overall activity and the structure is not obvious without estimating kinetic parameters. One of the most convenient ways to assess the activity is to rely on the simplified model of the energetic span.^{[73](#page-8-0)} We have identified the TOF-limiting intermediate and TOF-limiting transition state along the alkoxy and hydroxyalkyl pathways ([Scheme 1](#page-3-0)) on Cu and Co. Their adsorption energy versus GCN relations were used to obtain the energy span and the corresponding predicted TOF for a given GCN within our range of investigation. A detailed example is given in [Supporting](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf) [Information](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf), section S5.3. The plot of the predicted TOF as a function of the GCN (Figure 5) clearly shows that alcohol

Figure 5. Predicted TOF (s^{-1}) for iPrOH dehydrogenation on Cu (dashed line) and Co (solid line) for Path A (blue) and Path B (red) as a function of the GCN.

dehydrogenation is structure-sensitive: the catalytic activity increases when the GCN decreases, in a greater extent on Co than on Cu. The hydroxyalkyl pathway on Cu is the only exception to this trend but it is predicted poorly active. Finally, to reach the highest activity, building Cu-based catalysts with sites of a very low GCN appears as a good strategy. Low GCN sites on Cu were already identified as exposed and active in $CO₂$ electroreduction.^{[74,75](#page-8-0)} Recently, this was found also for the AAD reaction, where the most active $Cu/ZrO₂$ was found to be the one with the smallest metal crystallite size, hence exposing the largest amount of low-coordinated sites.^{[48](#page-7-0)} Otherwise, if using Co, the GCN of exposed sites should be lower than 5.5 to achieve good performance. Such sites are hardly exposed on the investigated facets and would require defects (typically adatoms), very small NPs, or correspond to corners on NPs.

CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated isopropanol dehydrogenation on the most stable surfaces of hcp and fcc Co and fcc Cu. As our analysis has shown, for this reaction in silico design cannot be achieved neither with scaling relations nor with BEP relations, which are built only on energy-based descriptors. Hence, we decided to employ a structural descriptor, that is the GCN. Using this descriptor, it has been possible to relate the energy of intermediates and transition states to the GCN values for the adsorption sites, which has led to the conclusion that lowcoordinated sites are desirable when using Co and Cu to perform the AAD reaction. This study demonstrates that the GCN is a powerful descriptor to probe the structure sensitivity of reactions, to analyze activity of various active sites, and thus to determine the geometrical properties of active sites that should be maximally exposed. This is essential to improve the performance of supported metal catalysts.

[ASSOCIATED CONTENT](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746?goto=supporting-info)

Coordinates of the optimized structures ([ZIP\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_001.zip) Python Script to compute the GCN ([ZIP\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_002.zip)

Computational details; alcohol dehydrogenation data; energy scaling relations; BEP relations; and adsorption of intermediates and transition states and catalytic activity with GCN as a descriptor [\(PDF](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746/suppl_file/jp1c01746_si_003.pdf))

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Carine Michel − Université Lyon, ENS de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5182, Laboratoire de Chimie, 69342 Lyon, France; [orcid.org/0000-0002-4501-7194;](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4501-7194) Email: carine.michel@ens-lyon.fr

Authors

Kamila Kaźmierczak – Université Lyon, ENS de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5182, Laboratoire de Chimie, 69342 Lyon, France; Université Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, IRCELYON, F-69626 Villeurbanne, France

Paul Clabaut − Université Lyon, ENS de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5182, Laboratoire de Chimie, 69342 Lyon, France; orcid.org/0000-0003-4982-8629

Ruben Staub − Université Lyon, ENS de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5182, Laboratoire de Chimie, 69342 Lyon, France

Noémie Perret − Université Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, IRCELYON, F-69626 Villeurbanne, France; orcid.org/0000-0003-4976-5189

Stephan N. Steinmann – Université Lyon, ENS de Lyon, CNRS UMR 5182, Laboratoire de Chimie, 69342 Lyon, France; orcid.org/0000-0002-2777-356X

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c01746?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was done as a part of the ANR TANOPOL project (ANR-15-CE07-0011-01). The computations were performed using HPC resources from GENCI-CINES (Grant 2018- A0050800609) and from the PSMN Data Center, which was financially supported by the SYSPROD project and the AXELERA Pôle de Compétitivité.

REFERENCES

(1) Nørskov, J. K.; Bligaard, T.; Rossmeisl, J.; Christensen, C. H. [Towards the Computational Design of Solid Catalysts.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.121) Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 37−46.

(2) Somorjai, G. A.; Li, Y. [Major Successes of Theory-and-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-010-9449-0)[Experiment-Combined Studies in Surface Chemistry and Heteroge](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-010-9449-0)[neous Catalysis.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-010-9449-0) Top. Catal. 2010, 53, 311−325.

(3) Andersson, M.; Bligaard, T.; Kustov, A.; Larsen, K.; Greeley, J.; Johannessen, T.; Christensen, C.; Norskov, J. [Toward Computational](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2006.02.016) [Screening in Heterogeneous Catalysis: Pareto-Optimal Methanation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2006.02.016) [Catalysts.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2006.02.016) J. Catal. 2006, 239, 501−506.

(4) Medford, A. J.; Shi, C.; Hoffmann, M. J.; Lausche, A. C.; Fitzgibbon, S. R.; Bligaard, T.; Nørskov, J. K[. CatMAP: A Software](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-015-1495-6) [Package for Descriptor-Based Microkinetic Mapping of Catalytic](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-015-1495-6) [Trends.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-015-1495-6) Catal. Lett. 2015, 145, 794−807.

(5) Abild-Pedersen, F[. Computational Catalyst Screening: Scaling,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2015.08.056) [Bond-Order and Catalysis.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2015.08.056) Catal. Today 2016, 272, 6−13.

(6) Holewinski, A.; Xin, H.; Nikolla, E.; Linic, S[. Identifying Optimal](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2013.04.006) [Active Sites for Heterogeneous Catalysis by Metal Alloys Based on](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2013.04.006) [Molecular Descriptors and Electronic Structure Engineering.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2013.04.006) Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng. 2013, 2, 312−319.

(7) Abild-Pedersen, F.; Greeley, J.; Studt, F.; Rossmeisl, J.; Munter, T. R.; Moses, P. G.; Skúlason, E.; Bligaard, T.; Nørskov, J. K. [Scaling](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.016105) [Properties of Adsorption Energies for Hydrogen-Containing Mole](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.016105)[cules on Transition-Metal Surfaces.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.016105) Phys. Rev. Lett. 2007, 99, 016105.

(8) Jones, G.; Bligaard, T.; Abild-Pedersen, F.; Nørskov, J. K. [Using](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/20/6/064239) [Scaling Relations to Understand Trends in the Catalytic Activity of](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/20/6/064239) [Transition Metals.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/20/6/064239) J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2008, 20, 064239.

(9) Montemore, M. M.; Medlin, J. W[. Site-Specific Scaling Relations](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp4076405) [for Hydrocarbon Adsorption on Hexagonal Transition Metal Surfaces.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp4076405) J. Phys. Chem. C 2013, 117, 20078−20088.

(10) Greeley, J[. Theoretical Heterogeneous Catalysis: Scaling](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-080615-034413) [Relationships and Computational Catalyst Design.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-chembioeng-080615-034413) Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng. 2016, 7, 605−635.

(11) Bronsted, J. N[. Acid and Basic Catalysis.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr60019a001) Chem. Rev. 1928, 5, 231−338.

(12) Bell, R. P.; Hinshelwood, C. N[. The Theory of Reactions](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1936.0060) [Involving Proton Transfers.](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1936.0060) Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 1936, 154, 414−429.

(13) Evans, M. G.; Polanyi, M[. Inertia and Driving Force of](https://doi.org/10.1039/TF9383400011) [Chemical Reactions.](https://doi.org/10.1039/TF9383400011) Trans. Faraday Soc. 1938, 34, 11−24.

(14) Bligaard, T.; Nørskov, J. K.; Dahl, S.; Matthiesen, J.; Christensen, C. H.; Sehested, J[. The Brønsted-Evans-Polanyi relation](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2004.02.034) [and the volcano curve in heterogeneous catalysis.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2004.02.034) J. Catal. 2004, 224, 206−217.

(15) Wang, T.; Ibañez, J.; Wang, K.; Fang, L.; Sabbe, M.; Michel, C.; Paul, S.; Pera-Titus, M.; Sautet, P. [Rational Design of Selective Metal](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0327-2) [Catalysts for Alcohol Amination with Ammonia.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0327-2) Nat. Catal. 2019, 2, 773−779.

(16) Wang, S.; Petzold, V.; Tripkovic, V.; Kleis, J.; Howalt, J. G.; Skulason, E.; Fernández, E. M.; Hvolbæk, B.; Jones, G.; Toftelund, A.; ́ et al[. Universal Transition State Scaling Relations for \(de\)-](https://doi.org/10.1039/C1CP20547A) [Hydrogenation over Transition Metals.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C1CP20547A) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2011, 13, 20760−20765.

(17) Wang, S.; Temel, B.; Shen, J.; Jones, G.; Grabow, L. C.; Studt, F.; Bligaard, T.; Abild-Pedersen, F.; Christensen, C. H.; Nørskov, J. K. [Universal Brønsted-Evans-Polanyi Relations for C-C, C-O, C-N, N-O,](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-010-0477-y) [N-N, and O-O Dissociation Reactions.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-010-0477-y) Catal. Lett. 2011, 141, 370− 373.

(18) Zaffran, J.; Michel, C.; Delbecq, F.; Sautet, P. [Trade-Off](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b01703) [between Accuracy and Universality in Linear Energy Relations for](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b01703) [Alcohol Dehydrogenation on Transition Metals.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.5b01703) J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 12988−12998.

(19) Vojvodic, A.; Nørskov, J. K[. New Design Paradigm for](https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwv023) [Heterogeneous Catalysts.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwv023) Natl. Sci. Rev. 2015, 2, 140−143.

(20) Pérez-Ramírez, J.; López, N[. Strategies to Break Linear Scaling](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0376-6) [Relationships.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0376-6) Nat. Catal. 2019, 2, 971−976.

(21) Zhao, Z.-J.; Liu, S.; Zha, S.; Cheng, D.; Studt, F.; Henkelman, G.; Gong, J[. Theory-Guided Design of Catalytic Materials Using](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-019-0152-x) [Scaling Relationships and Reactivity Descriptors.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-019-0152-x) Nat. Rev. Mater. 2019, 4, 792−804.

(22) Khorshidi, A.; Violet, J.; Hashemi, J.; Peterson, A. A[. How](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-018-0054-0) Strain Can Break the [Scaling Relations of Catalysis.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-018-0054-0) Nat. Catal. 2018, 1, 263−268.

(23) Kyriakou, G.; Boucher, M. B.; Jewell, A. D.; Lewis, E. A.; Lawton, T. J.; Baber, A. E.; Tierney, H. L.; Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, M.; Sykes, E. C. H. [Isolated Metal Atom Geometries as a Strategy for](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215864) [Selective Heterogeneous Hydrogenations.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215864) Science 2012, 335, 1209− 1212.

(24) Darby, M. T.; Stamatakis, M.; Michaelides, A.; Sykes, E. C. H. [Lonely Atoms with Special Gifts: Breaking Linear Scaling Relation](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.8b01888)[ships in Heterogeneous Catalysis with Single-Atom Alloys.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.8b01888) J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2018, 9, 5636.

(25) Ruppert, A. M.; Jędrzejczyk, M.; Potrzebowska, N.; Kaźmierczak, K.; Brzezińska, M.; Sneka-Płatek, O.; Sautet, P.; Keller, N.; Michel, C.; Grams, J[. Supported gold-nickel nano-alloy](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CY00462E) [as a highly efficient catalyst in levulinic acid hydrogenation with](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CY00462E) [formic acid as an internal hydrogen source.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CY00462E) Catal. Sci. Technol. 2018, 8, 4318−4331.

(26) Kauppinen, M. M.; Korpelin, V.; Verma, A. M.; Melander, M. M.; Honkala, K[. Escaping Scaling Relationships for Water](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5126261) [Dissociation at Interfacial Sites of Zirconia-Supported Rh and Pt](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5126261) [Clusters.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5126261) J. Chem. Phys. 2019, 151, 164302.

(27) Schoenbaum, C. A.; Schwartz, D. K.; Medlin, J. W[. Controlling](https://doi.org/10.1021/ar500029y) [the Surface Environment of Heterogeneous Catalysts Using Self-](https://doi.org/10.1021/ar500029y)[Assembled Monolayers.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ar500029y) Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1438−1445.

(28) Ortuño, M. A.; López, N. [Creating Cavities at Palladium-](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b01302)[Phosphine Interfaces for Enhanced Selectivity in Heterogeneous](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b01302) [Biomass Conversion.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b01302) ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 6138−6145.

(29) Kazmierczak, K.; Ramamoorthy, R. K.; Moisset, A.; Viau, G.; ́ Viola, A.; Giraud, M.; Peron, J.; Sicard, L.; Piquemal, J.-Y.; Besson, M.; et al. [Importance of the Decoration in Shaped Cobalt](https://doi.org/10.1039/d0cy00390e) [Nanoparticles in the Acceptor-Less Secondary Alcohol Dehydrogen](https://doi.org/10.1039/d0cy00390e)[ation.](https://doi.org/10.1039/d0cy00390e) Catal. Sci. Technol. 2020, 10, 4923.

(30) Van Santen, R. A[. Complementary Structure Sensitive and](https://doi.org/10.1021/ar800022m) [Insensitive Catalytic Relationships.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ar800022m) Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 57−66. (31) Zandkarimi, B.; Alexandrova, A. N. [Dynamics of Subnanometer](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.8b03680) [Pt Clusters Can Break the Scaling Relationships in Catalysis.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.8b03680) J. Phys.

Chem. Lett. 2019, 10, 460−467. (32) Calle-Vallejo, F.; Martínez, J. I.; García-Lastra, J. M.; Sautet, P.; Loffreda, D[. Fast Prediction of Adsorption Properties for Platinum](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201402958) [Nanocatalysts with Generalized Coordination Numbers.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201402958) Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 8316−8319.

(33) Calle-Vallejo, F.; Tymoczko, J.; Colic, V.; Vu, Q. H.; Pohl, M. D.; Morgenstern, K.; Loffreda, D.; Sautet, P.; Schuhmann, W.; Bandarenka, A. S. [Finding Optimal Surface Sites on Heterogeneous](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab3501) [Catalysts by Counting Nearest Neighbors.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aab3501) Science 2015, 350, 185− 189.

(34) Calle-Vallejo, F.; Loffreda, D.; Koper, M. T. M.; Sautet, P. [Introducing structural sensitivity into adsorption-energy scaling](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2226) [relations by means of coordination numbers.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.2226) Nat. Chem. 2015, 7, 403−410.

(35) Calle-Vallejo, F.; Bandarenka, A. S[. Enabling Generalized](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201800569) [Coordination Numbers to Describe Strain Effects.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201800569) ChemSusChem 2018, 11, 1824−1828.

(36) Bondue, C. J.; Calle-Vallejo, F.; Figueiredo, M. C.; Koper, M. T. M. [Structural Principles to Steer the Selectivity of the](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0229-3) [Electrocatalytic Reduction of Aliphatic Ketones on Platinum.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-019-0229-3) Nat. Catal. 2019, 2, 243−250.

(37) Zhao, Z.; Chen, Z.; Zhang, X.; Lu, G[. Generalized Surface](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b10155) [Coordination Number as an Activity Descriptor for CO2 Reduction](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b10155) [on Cu Surfaces.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.6b10155) J. Phys. Chem. C 2016, 120, 28125−28130.

(38) Angelici, R. J. [Hydrogen Storage and Energy Recovery Using](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs200176c) [Aldehydes and Ketones: A Key Role for Catalysis.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs200176c) ACS Catal. 2011, 1, 772−776.

(39) Fang, W.; Chen, J.; Zhang, Q.; Deng, W.; Wang, Y. [Hydrotalcite-Supported Gold Catalyst for the Oxidant-Free Dehy](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201002469)[drogenation of Benzyl Alcohol: Studies on Support and Gold Size](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201002469) [Effects.](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201002469) Chem.-Eur. J. 2011, 17, 1247-1256.

(40) Shimizu, K.-i.; Sugino, K.; Sawabe, K.; Satsuma, A. [Oxidant-](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200802222)[Free Dehydrogenation of Alcohols Heterogeneously Catalyzed by](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200802222) [Cooperation of Silver Clusters and Acid-Base Sites on Alumina.](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200802222) Chem.-Eur. J. 2009, 15, 2341-2351.

(41) Shimizu, K.-i.; Kon, K.; Shimura, K.; Hakim, S. S. M. A. [Acceptor-free dehydrogenation of secondary alcohols by heteroge](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2013.01.005)[neous cooperative catalysis between Ni nanoparticles and acid-base](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2013.01.005) [sites of alumina supports.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2013.01.005) J. Catal. 2013, 300, 242−250.

(42) Kon, K.; Hakim Siddiki, S. M. A.; Shimizu, K.-i[. Size- and](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2013.04.003) [Support-Dependent Pt Nanocluster Catalysis for Oxidant-Free](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2013.04.003) [Dehydrogenation of Alcohols.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2013.04.003) J. Catal. 2013, 304, 63−71.

(43) Mitsudome, T.; Mikami, Y.; Ebata, K.; Mizugaki, T.; Jitsukawa, K.; Kaneda, K. [Copper Nanoparticles on Hydrotalcite as a](https://doi.org/10.1039/b809012b) [Heterogeneous Catalyst for Oxidant-Free Dehydrogenation of](https://doi.org/10.1039/b809012b) [Alcohols.](https://doi.org/10.1039/b809012b) Chem. Commun. 2008, 4804.

(44) Shimizu, K.-i.; Kon, K.; Seto, M.; Shimura, K.; Yamazaki, H.; Kondo, J. N[. Heterogeneous Cobalt Catalysts for the Acceptorless](https://doi.org/10.1039/C2GC36555C) [Dehydrogenation of Alcohols.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C2GC36555C) Green Chem. 2013, 15, 418−424.

(45) Damodara, D.; Arundhathi, R.; Likhar, P. R. [Copper](https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201300453) [Nanoparticles from Copper Aluminum Hydrotalcite: An Efficient](https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201300453) [Catalyst for Acceptor- and Oxidant-Free Dehydrogenation of Amines](https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201300453) [and Alcohols.](https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201300453) Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 189−198.

(46) Zhu, Y.; Shen, M.; Xia, Y.; Lu, M[. Copper nanoparticles on](https://doi.org/10.1002/aoc.3261) [dichromium trioxide: a highly efficient catalyst from copper](https://doi.org/10.1002/aoc.3261) [chromium hydrotalcite for oxidant-free dehydrogenation of alcohols.](https://doi.org/10.1002/aoc.3261) Appl. Organomet. Chem. 2015, 29, 152−156.

(47) Kazmierczak, K.; Pinel, C.; Loridant, S.; Besson, M.; Michel, C.; ́ Perret, N[. Supported Cobalt Catalysts for Acceptorless Alcohol](https://doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202000359) [Dehydrogenation.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202000359) ChemPlusChem 2020, 85, 1315−1324.

(48) Kazmierczak, K.; Salisu, A.; Pinel, C.; Besson, M.; Michel, C.; ́ Perret, N[. Activity of Heterogeneous Supported Cu and Ru Catalysts](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2020.106179) [in Acceptor-Less Alcohol Dehydrogenation.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2020.106179) Catal. Commun. 2021, 148, 106179.

(49) He, X.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Dong, M.; Wang, G.; Zhang, B.; Niu, Y.; Yao, S.; He, X.; Liu, H[. Controllable in Situ Surface](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b04812) [Restructuring of Cu Catalysts and Remarkable Enhancement of Their](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b04812) [Catalytic Activity.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b04812) ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 2213−2221.

(50) Viola, A.; Peron, J.; Kazmierczak, K.; Giraud, M.; Michel, C.; Sicard, L.; Perret, N.; Beaunier, P.; Sicard, M.; Besson, M.; et al. [Unsupported Shaped Cobalt Nanoparticles as Efficient and](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CY02089A) [Recyclable Catalysts for the Solvent-Free Acceptorless Dehydrogen](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CY02089A)[ation of Alcohols.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CY02089A) Catal. Sci. Technol. 2018, 8, 562−572.

(51) Liu, J.-X.; Su, H.-Y.; Sun, D.-P.; Zhang, B.-Y.; Li, W.-X. [Crystallographic Dependence of CO Activation on Cobalt Catalysts:](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja408521w) [HCP versus FCC.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja408521w) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16284−16287.

(52) Chen, Q.; Svenum, I.-H.; Qi, Y.; Gavrilovic, L.; Chen, D.; Holmen, A.; Blekkan, E. A. [Potassium adsorption behavior on hcp](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP00620A) [cobalt as model systems for the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis: a density](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP00620A) [functional theory study.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C7CP00620A) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2017, 19, 12246− 12254.

(53) Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. [Ab initiomolecular dynamics for liquid](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.47.558) [metals.](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.47.558) Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 1993, 47, 558−561. (54) Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. [Efficient iterative schemes forab](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.54.11169) [initiototal-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set.](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.54.11169) Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 1996, 54, 11169−11186.

(55) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M[. Generalized Gradient](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.77.3865) [Approximation Made Simple.](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.77.3865) Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865−3868.

(56) Steinmann, S. N.; Corminboeuf, C. [A Generalized-Gradient](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3545985) [Approximation Exchange Hole Model for Dispersion Coefficients.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3545985) J. Chem. Phys. 2011, 134, 044117.

(57) Steinmann, S. N.; Corminboeuf, C. [Comprehensive Bench](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct200602x)[marking of a Density-Dependent Dispersion Correction.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ct200602x) J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2011, 7, 3567−3577.

(58) Gautier, S.; Steinmann, S. N.; Michel, C.; Fleurat-Lessard, P.; Sautet, P[. Molecular Adsorption at Pt\(111\). How Accurate Are DFT](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP04534G) [Functionals?](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP04534G) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2015, 17, 28921−28930.

(59) Blöchl, P. E[. Projector Augmented-Wave Method.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953) Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 1994, 50, 17953−17979.

(60) Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. [From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758) [Projector Augmented-Wave Method.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758) Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 1999, 59, 1758−1775.

(61) Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. [Special Points for Brillouin-Zone](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188) [Integrations.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.5188) Phys. Rev. B: Solid State 1976, 13, 5188−5192.

(62) Fleurat-Lessard, P. A Chemist View on Reaction Path Determination. http://pfl[eurat.free.fr/ReactionPath.php](http://pfleurat.free.fr/ReactionPath.php).

(63) Henkelman, G.; Uberuaga, B. P.; Jónsson, H. [A Climbing](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1329672) [Image Nudged Elastic Band Method for Finding Saddle Points and](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1329672) [Minimum Energy Paths.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1329672) J. Chem. Phys. 2000, 113, 9901−9904.

(64) Sheppard, D.; Terrell, R.; Henkelman, G[. Optimization](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2841941) [Methods for Finding Minimum Energy Paths.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2841941) J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128, 134106.

(65) Henkelman, G.; Jónsson, H[. A Dimer Method for Finding](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.480097) [Saddle Points on High Dimensional Potential Surfaces Using Only](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.480097) [First Derivatives.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.480097) J. Chem. Phys. 1999, 111, 7010−7022.

(66) Kästner, J.; Sherwood, P. [Superlinearly Converging Dimer](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2815812) [Method for Transition State Search.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2815812) J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128, 014106.

(67) Kazmierczak, K.; Ramamoorthy, R. K.; Moisset, A.; Viau, G.; ́ Viola, A.; Giraud, M.; Peron, J.; Sicard, L.; Piquemal, J.-Y.; Besson, M.; Perret, N.; Michel, C. [Importance of the Decoration in Shaped](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CY00390E) [Cobalt Nanoparticles in the Acceptor-Less Secondary Alcohol](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CY00390E) [Dehydrogenation.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CY00390E) Catal. Sci. Technol. 2020, 10, 4923−4937.

(68) Kazmierczak, K.; Pinel, C.; Loridant, S.; Besson, M.; Michel, C.; ́ Perret, N[. Supported Cobalt Catalysts for Acceptorless Alcohol](https://doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202000359) [Dehydrogenation.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202000359) ChemPlusChem 2020, 85, 1315−1324.

(69) Liu, B.; Greeley, J[. Decomposition Pathways of Glycerol via C-](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp202923w)[H, O-H, and C-C Bond Scission on Pt\(111\): A Density Functional](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp202923w) [Theory Study.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp202923w) J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 19702−19709.

(70) Sutton, J. E.; Vlachos, D. G[. A Theoretical and Computational](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs3003269) [Analysis of Linear Free Energy Relations for the Estimation of](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs3003269) [Activation Energies.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cs3003269) ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 1624−1634.

(71) Evans, M. G.; Polanyi, M. [Further Considerations on the](https://doi.org/10.1039/tf9363201333) [Thermodynamics of Chemical Equilibria and Reaction Rates.](https://doi.org/10.1039/tf9363201333) Trans. Faraday Soc. 1936, 32, 1333.

(72) Zaffran, J.; Michel, C.; Delbecq, F.; Sautet, P[. Towards More](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6cy00865h) [Accurate Prediction of Activation Energies for Polyalcohol Dehydro](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6cy00865h)[genation on Transition Metal Catalysts in Water.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c6cy00865h) Catal. Sci. Technol. 2016, 6, 6615−6624.

(73) Kozuch, S.; Martin, J. M. L. [The Rate-Determining Step Is](https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201100137) [Dead. Long Live the Rate-Determining State!](https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201100137) ChemPhysChem 2011, 12, 1413−1418.

(74) Dutta, A.; Rahaman, M.; Mohos, M.; Zanetti, A.; Broekmann, P. Electrochemical CO₂ [Conversion Using Skeleton \(Sponge\) Type](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.7b01548) [of Cu Catalysts.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.7b01548) ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 5431−5437.

(75) Dutta, A.; Rahaman, M.; Luedi, N. C.; Mohos, M.; Broekmann, P[. Morphology Matters: Tuning the Product Distribution of CO2](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.6b00770) [Electroreduction on Oxide-Derived Cu Foam Catalysts.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.6b00770) ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 3804−3814.