

Acoustic tones in the near-nozzle region of jets: characteristics and variations between Mach numbers 0.5 and 2

Christophe Bogey

► To cite this version:

 $\label{eq:christophe} Christophe Bogey. Acoustic tones in the near-nozzle region of jets: characteristics and variations between Mach numbers 0.5 and 2. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 2021, 921, pp.A3. 10.1017/jfm.2021.426 . hal-03271352$

HAL Id: hal-03271352 https://hal.science/hal-03271352

Submitted on 25 Jun 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Acoustic tones in the near-nozzle region of 1 jets: characteristics and variations between 2 Mach numbers 0.5 and 2

Christophe Bogey[†]

Univ Lyon, CNRS, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, INSA Lyon, Univ Claude Bernard Lyon I, Laboratoire de Mécanique des Fluides et d'Acoustique, UMR 5509, 69130 Ecully, France

(Received ***)

The characteristics of acoustic tones near the nozzle of jets are investigated for Mach 8 numbers between M = 0.50 and 2 using large-eddy simulations. Peaks are observed in 9 all cases. They are tonal for M > 0.75 and broaden for lower Mach numbers. They are 10 associated with the azimuthal modes $n_{\theta} = 0$ to n_{θ}^{max} , with $n_{\theta}^{max} = 8$ for M = 0.75 and 1 11 for M = 2, for example. Their frequencies do not appreciably vary with the nozzle-exit 12 boundary-layer thickness and turbulence and fall in the frequency bands predicted for 13 the upstream-propagating guided jet waves using a vortex-sheet model. For all azimuthal 14 modes, the peak intensities are highest for the first radial guided jet mode. They increase 15 roughly as M^8 for $M \leq 1$ and as M^3 for $M \geq 1$, following the scaling laws of jet noise, 16 suggesting that they mainly result from a band-pass filtering of the upstream-travelling 17 sound waves by the guided jet modes. In support of this, the Mach number variations of 18 the peak width and sharpness are explained by the eigenfunctions of the guided waves. 19 Moreover, it appears that for high subsonic Mach numbers, among the waves possibly 20 resonating in the potential core, only those close to the cut-off frequencies of the guided 21 jet modes can contribute to the near-nozzle peaks. Finally, the peaks are detectable in 22 the far field for large radiation angles. For M = 0.90, for instance, they emerge for angles 23 higher than 135 degrees. 24

1. Introduction 25

3

6

7

Considerable advancements have been made in the last few years in the field of jet 26 aeroacoustics, as highlighted in the reviews of Brès & Lele (2019) and Lyrintzis & 27 Coderoni (2020) on jet noise prediction and modelling using large-eddy simulations, and 28 that of Edgington-Mitchell (2019) on aeroacoustic resonance and self-excitation in su-29 personic jets, for instance. They have provided new insights into jet flow and noise gen-30 eration mechanisms. In particular, a number of studies have emphasized the important 31 role played by the upstream-propagating neutral subsonic instability waves of the jets in 32 the establishment of feedback phenomena and the radiation of acoustic tones. 33

These waves were first clearly identified and described by Tam & Hu (1989). They 34 are different from the free-stream sound waves classically considered to model feedback 35 loops in jets, as for example in Powell (1953), Ho & Nosseir (1981), Raman (1998) 36 and Weightman et al. (2019). They are characterized by specific dispersion relations 37 and can be classified into modes depending on their radial and azimuthal structures. In 38 addition, they are essentially confined inside the jet flow. For that reason, these waves, 39 sometimes called neutral acoustic waves in the literature, will be referred to as guided 40 jet waves in what follows, as in the recent paper of Edgington-Mitchell et al. (2021). 41

† Email address for correspondence: christophe.bogey@ec-lyon.fr

C. Bogey

They were shown in Tam & Ahuja (1990), Tam & Norum (1992), Gojon et al. (2016), 42 Bogey & Gojon (2017) and Jaunet et al. (2019) to close the feedback loops encountered in 43 subsonic and supersonic ideally-expanded jets impinging on a flat plate, whose direct part 44 consists of growing aerodynamic disturbances convected downstream by the flow. Indeed, 45 the frequencies and axisymmetric or helical natures of the tones observed in such flow 46 configurations can be explained by the properties of the guided jet waves. Similar findings 47 were reported in Jordan et al. (2018) for jet-flap interaction tones for Mach numbers 48 between 0.6 and 1, in Tam & Chandramouli (2020) for jet-plate interaction tones based 49 on the experimental data of Zaman et al. (2015) for Mach numbers ranging from 0.5 to 50 1.06, as well as in Shen & Tam (2002), Gojon et al. (2018), Edgington-Mitchell et al. 51 (2018), Mancinelli et al. (2019) and Li et al. (2020) for some of the screech tones emitted 52 by non-ideally expanded supersonic jets. For round screeching jets, more precisely, the 53 feedback loops of the axisymmetric screech modes A1 and A2 and of the helical screech 54 mode C appear to be completed by waves belonging to upstream-propagating guided jet 55 modes, namely to the second radial axisymmetric mode and the first radial helical mode, respectively, according to the results in Gojon *et al.* (2018). On the contrary, waves of 57 other kinds, e.g. free-stream acoustic waves, may be involved for the flapping screech 58 modes B and D. 59

The upstream-propagating guided jet waves have also been found to be responsible for 60 the generation of acoustic tones in the near fields of high-speed free jets. Such tones were 61 documented for the first time in the paper of Suzuki & Colonius (2006). These authors 62 noted that the tones are particularly strong near the nozzle of a jet at a Mach number 63 of 0.9, are weaker or undetectable at lower Mach numbers, and do not scale with the 64 Strouhal number in term of frequency. They stated the need for further investigation to 65 fully understand this phenomenon. The origin of the tones was studied a decade later 66 in the companion papers of Towne et al. (2017), Schmidt et al. (2017) and Brès et al. 67 (2018). Using a vortex-sheet model, Towne et al. (2017) showed that downstream- and 68 upstream-propagating guided jet waves can both exist in the potential core of subsonic 69 jets for Mach numbers between 0.82 and 1. They demonstrated that, combined with the 70 end conditions imposed by the nozzle and the contraction of the potential core with the 71 axial distance, this can lead to acoustic resonance and the presence of trapped waves in 72 the jet core within limited frequency bands, and observed that these bands are consistent 73 with the frequencies of the tonal peaks obtained just outside the flow in both experiments 74 and numerical simulations (Brès et al. 2018). 75

Several questions remain, however, about the acoustic tones measured in the near pres-76 sure fields of free jets, as pointed out by Brès & Lele (2019). This is the case, for example, 77 concerning their azimuthal structures, their precise relationship with the trapped waves in 78 the jet core, their possible propagation to the acoustic far field and the variations of their 79 properties with the nozzle-exit flow conditions and with the jet Mach number. On the last 80 point, Towne et al. (2017) speculated that the tones due to the presence of trapped waves 81 in the jet core should gradually appear as the Mach number approaches 0.82, and reach 82 their strongest prominence before being damped away into a broadband spectrum for 83 Mach numbers higher than 1. The latter behaviour seems corroborated by the indication 84 of the authors that no near-nozzle tones have been detected for a jet computed at a Mach 85 number of 1.5. Complementary analyses and results were given in Towne et al. (2019) 86 based on large-eddy simulation data for jets at Mach numbers between 0.4 and 1.5. The 87 results included frequency-wavenumber spectra in the jet potential core, which enabled 88 to isolate the signature of the waves trapped in this flow region. The progressive emer-89 gence of tones near the nozzle lips of free jets at low Mach numbers was illustrated by 90 the measurements of Jaunet et al. (2016) and Zaman & Fagan (2019) for Mach numbers 91

2

ranging approximately from 0.6 to 1. No discontinuity of the tone properties is seen to 92 occur around a Mach number of 0.82, below which the guided jet waves cannot propa-93 gate in the downstream direction according to their dispersion relations obtained using a 94 vortex-sheet model. This rather unexpected trend was underlined by Jordan et al. (2018) 95 in their study on jet flap-interaction tones. It led them to assume that the upstream-96 propagating guided jet waves couple with Kelvin-Helmholtz instability waves for jets at 97 low Mach numbers. The variations of the near-nozzle acoustic tones at high Mach numbers were revealed in the experiments of Zaman & Fagan (2019) for free jets at Mach 99 numbers increasing nearly up to 1.5. The tones display continuous characteristics around 100 Mach number 1, but visibly turn into the screech tones of the axisymmetric modes A1 101 and A2 and of the modes B and D as the jets are supersonic and not ideally expanded 102 at the nozzle exit. This result further shows that the upstream-propagating guided jet 103 waves are an effective means of closing the feedback loops in screeching jets. In Zaman & 104 Fagan (2019), four axisymmetric nozzles of different diameters and geometries, providing 105 fully turbulent or nominally laminar boundary layers at the exit, were also used. The 106 near-nozzle acoustic tones appear poorly affected by the nozzle-exit boundary-layer state 107 and thickness. This seems to be also the case for the two initially laminar and turbulent 108 jets computed by Brès et al. (2018). Finally, regarding the propagation of the near-nozzle 109 tones to the acoustic far field, Jaunet et al. (2016) reported significant coherence levels 110 between these waves and the sound waves at 30 nozzle diameters from the jet exit at 111 high polar angles for Mach numbers around 0.82. Zaman & Fagan (2019) observed undu-112 lations in the spectra measured at 25 diameters and an angle of 60° resembling those in 113 the spectra close to the jet exit for a Mach number of 1.013. Therefore, the near-nozzle 114 tones leave their footprints in the far field in both experiments. The radiation mechanism 115 is however unclear and may involve diffraction by the nozzle lip (Jaunet et al. 2016) or 116 unwanted reflections by some uncovered surfaces (Zaman & Fagan 2019). 117

In the present work, the emergence of acoustic tones in the near-nozzle spectra of 118 isothermal round free jets is investigated using large-eddy simulation. The jets have a 119 diameter-based Reynolds number of 10^5 and Mach numbers ranging from 0.5 up to 2. 120 Their upstream boundary layers have different thicknesses, and they are tripped or not, 121 leading to highly disturbed or fully laminar nozzle-exit flow conditions. In this way, the 122 sensitivity of the tones to the jet initial conditions will be examined. In particular, the 123 presence of larger velocity fluctuations early on in the mixing layers may lead to weaker 124 tones in broader spectra. The characteristics of the tones, in terms of frequency, intensity, 125 prominence and width, will be detailed over the jet Mach number range. Their links with 126 the trapped waves observed in the jet potential core will be discussed, based notably 127 on frequency-wavenumber spectra calculated inside and just outside of the jets. Their 128 propagation to the far pressure field, computed using the Linearized Euler equations from 129 the LES near field, will also be highlighted. The azimuthal structures of the tones will 130 be described. For that purpose, the contributions of the first two azimuthal modes for all 131 jets, but also of higher modes for the jets with tripped boundary layers, will be evaluated. 132 The near-nozzle tone frequencies will be compared with the frequencies allowed for the 133 upstream-propagating guided jet waves according to a vortex-sheet model, in order to 134 assess the role of these wave in the tone generation. This role will also be clarified by 135 considering the eigenfunctions of the guided waves predicted by the model, and their 136 variations along the dispersion curves of the waves. Furthermore, the scaling of the tone 137 intensities with the Mach number will be addressed. Specific attention will be paid at 138 both ends of the Mach number range. For subsonic Mach numbers, for instance, the 139 continuity of the tone properties will be scrutinized in the vicinity of the Mach number 140 thresholds below which downstream-propagating guided jet waves cannot exist, making 141

C. Bogey

tripping	М	Re_D	δ_{BL}	$\delta_{\theta}(z=0)$	u'_e/u_j
yes	0.6 - 2	10^{5}	$0.15r_{0}$	$\sim 0.018 r_0$	$\sim 9\%$
no	0.5 - 2	10^{5}	$0.025r_0 - 0.4r_0$	$0.004r_0 - 0.047r_0$	$\sim 0.2\%$

TABLE 1. Jet parameters: boundary-layer tripping, Mach and Reynolds numbers M and Re_D, thickness δ_{BL} of the Blasius profiles at the pipe-nozzle inlet, momentum thickness $\delta_{\theta}(z=0)$ and peak turbulence intensity u'_e/u_i at the exit.

their coupling with the upstream-propagating waves impossible. For supersonic Mach numbers, the appearance of near-nozzle tones for Mach numbers greater than or equal to 1.5 is not obvious given the results mentioned above. If such tones are observed for the present ideally expanded jets, it will be interesting to look at whether they only extend the tones obtained for subsonic Mach numbers, or also share similarities with the tones of screeching jets, exhibiting mode jumps as the Mach number varies, for example.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the jet initial conditions are defined, 148 and the large-eddy simulation methods and parameters are documented. In section 3, the 149 properties of the guided jet modes obtained using a vortex-sheet model for isothermal 150 round jets at varying Mach numbers are presented. The simulation results are displayed 151 in section 5. Vorticity and pressure snapshots and the main flow features of the jets with 152 tripped boundary layers are briefly shown. More importantly, the peaks found in the 153 pressure spectra computed in the jet potential core, very near the nozzle and in the far 154 field are quantified and analyzed, first for the jets at a Mach number of 0.9, then over 155 the whole Mach number range considered. Concluding remarks are given in section 4. 156 Finally, results obtained for untripped jets at Mach numbers between 0.75 and 0.85 and 157 for untripped jets at a Mach number of 0.50 are provided in two appendices. The aim in 158 the second case is to explore the origin of tones appearing in the near-nozzle spectra at 159 the vortex-pairing frequency. 160

¹⁶¹ 2. Parameters

162

2.1. Jet definition

Isothermal round free jets at a Reynolds number $\text{Re}_D = u_j D / \nu = 10^5$ have been com-163 puted by large-eddy simulations for various Mach numbers $M = u_i/c_0$, where u_i , D, c_0 164 and ν are the jet velocity and diameter, the speed of sound in the ambient medium and 165 the kinematic molecular viscosity. The jets originate at z = 0 from a straight pipe nozzle 166 of radius $r_0 = D/2$ and length $2r_0$, whose lip is $0.053r_0$ thick, into a medium at rest at a 167 temperature $T_0 = 293$ K and a pressure $p_0 = 10^5$ Pa. At the pipe inlet, at $z = -2r_0$, Bla-168 sius laminar boundary-layer profiles of thickness δ_{BL} are imposed for the axial velocity, 169 radial and azimuthal velocities are set to zero, pressure is equal to p_0 and temperature is 170 determined by a Crocco-Busemann relation. In the pipe, the boundary layers are tripped 171 or not, leading to highly disturbed or fully laminar flow conditions at the exit. The main 172 parameters of the jets are collected in table 1 and represented in figure 1. Forty-four jets, 173 including six tripped and thirty-eight untripped cases, are simulated. 174

The six jets with tripped boundary layers have Mach numbers equal to 0.60, 0.75, 0.90, 1.10, 1.30 and 2, and boundary layers of thickness $\delta_{BL} = 0.15r_0$ at the pipe inlet. The boundary layers are forced by adding random low-level vortical disturbances uncorrelated in the azimuthal direction in the pipe using a procedure developed in former simulations (Bogey *et al.* 2011*b*, 2012; Bogey & Marsden 2016; Bogey & Sabatini 2019),



FIGURE 1. Jets with • tripped and \circ untripped boundary layers: Mach number M and thickness δ_{BL} of the Blasius profiles at the pipe-nozzle inlet.

in order to generate turbulent structures typical of those encountered in wall-bounded 180 flows (Bogev et al. 2011c). The forcing is applied at the axial position $z = -0.95r_0$ and 181 the radial position $r = r_0 - \delta_{BL}/2$ with a magnitude adjusted to obtain the desired 182 level of peak turbulence intensity at the pipe exit. The mean and rms velocity profiles 183 calculated at the nozzle-exit sections of the jets are plotted in figures 2(a,b). As intended, 184 they are very close to each other. The mean velocity profiles in figure 2(a) are similar to 185 a laminar boundary-layer profile of momentum thickness $\delta_{\theta} = 0.018r_0$, while the turbu-186 lence intensities in figure 2(c) reach peak values $u'_e/u_j \simeq 9\%$. That was also the case in 187 the experiments of Zaman (1985) for a tripped jet at $\text{Re}_D = 10^5$ with highly disturbed, 188 nominally laminar exit boundary layers. 189

On the contrary, and unlike most high-speed jets in experiments, the jets with un-190 tripped boundary layers are initially fully laminar. The computational cost for such a 191 jet is lower than that for a tripped jet, because it is not necessary to discretize turbu-192 lent boundary-layer structures. Thus, the simulations of the untripped jets in this work 193 allows us to cover and describe with accuracy wide ranges of boundary-layer thicknesses 194 and Mach numbers at an affordable cost. Five jets have a Mach number M = 0.90, 195 and pipe-inlet boundary-layer thicknesses $\delta_{BL} = 0.025r_0, 0.05r_0, 0.1r_0, 0.2r_0$ and $0.4r_0$. 196 Past or partial simulations of the first four jets were presented in Bogey & Bailly (2010) 197 and Bogey (2018). The nozzle-exit mean and rms velocity profiles obtained for the five 198 jets are shown in figures 2(c,d). The mean profiles in figure 2(c) resemble the Blasius 199 profiles imposed at the inlet. They are characterized by momentum thicknesses varying 200 from $0.004r_0$ up to $0.047r_0$, as reported in table 1. For the comparison, Zaman (1985) 201 measured $\delta_{\theta} = 0.0062r_0$ in an untripped, initially fully laminar jet at $\text{Re}_D = 10^5$. There-202 fore, with respect to the experiments, the boundary layer is thinner in the jet with 203 $\delta_{BL} = 0.025 r_0$, similar for $\delta_{BL} = 0.05 r_0$ and thicker for $\delta_{BL} \ge 0.1 r_0$. Regarding the rms 204 values of velocity fluctuations in figure 2(d), they are not zero but do not exceed 0.2 per 205 cent of the jet velocity. In addition to the five jets at M = 0.90, thirty-two jets have the 206 same pipe-inlet boundary-layer thickness $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$, yielding exit momentum thick-207 nesses $\delta_{\theta} \simeq 0.024 r_0$, but different Mach numbers. These ones increase from M = 0.50 to 208 M = 2, in increments of $\Delta M = 0.05$ for M ≤ 0.75 , $\Delta M = 0.01$ for $0.75 \leq M \leq 0.85$, 209 $\Delta M = 0.05$ for 0.85 < M < 1.30 and $\Delta M = 0.10$ for M > 1.30. The Mach number 210 range 0.75 < M < 0.85 is particularly well discretized to carefully examine the changes 211 in the near-nozzle tone properties around the Mach numbers below which downstream-212 propagating guided jet waves cannot exist according to the vortex-sheet model. Finally, 213 two jets at M = 0.50 with $\delta_{BL} = 0.05r_0$ and $0.1r_0$ are considered in order to discuss the 214 emergence of acoustic tones at the vortex-pairing frequency in initially laminar jets at 215 low Mach numbers. 216

It can be noted that for the jets with untripped boundary layers, pressure fluctuations



of maximum amplitude 200 Pa random in both space and time are arbitrarily introduced from the start of the simulations between $z = 0.25r_0$ and $z = 4r_0$ in the shear layers, in order to speed up the flow transitory period. At the non-dimensional time $t = 12.5r_0/u_j$, this acoustic excitation is turned off. Therefore, afterwards, the jet flow turbulent development sustains by itself, without any external help. The acoustic waves travelling in the upstream direction may be involved in this process, which will be investigated in future studies.

225

2.2. Numerical methods

The numerical methods in the large-eddy simulations (LES) are identical to those used 226 in previous jet simulations (Bogey & Bailly 2010; Bogey et al. 2012, 2011b; Bogey 2018; 227 Bogey & Sabatini 2019). The LES have been carried out using an in-house solver of 228 the three-dimensional filtered compressible Navier-Stokes equations in cylindrical coor-229 dinates (r, θ, z) based on low-dissipation and low-dispersion explicit schemes. The axis 230 singularity is taken into account by the method of Mohseni & Colonius (2000). In order 231 to alleviate the time-step restriction near the cylindrical origin, the derivatives in the 232 azimuthal direction around the axis are calculated at coarser resolutions than permitted 233 by the grid (Bogey *et al.* 2011a). For the points closest to the axis, they are evaluated 234 using 16 points, yielding an effective resolution of $2\pi/16$. Fourth-order eleven-point cen-235 tered finite differences are used for spatial discretization, and a second-order six-stage 236 Runge-Kutta algorithm is implemented for time integration (Bogey & Bailly 2004). A 23 sixth-order eleven-point centered filter (Bogev et al. 2009) is applied explicitly to the 238 flow variables every time step. Non-centered finite differences and filters are also used 239 near the pipe walls and the grid boundaries (Berland et al. 2007). The explicit filtering 240 is employed to remove grid-to-grid oscillations, but also as a subgrid-scale high-order 241 dissipation model in order to relax turbulent energy at wave numbers close to the grid 242 cut-off wave number while leaving larger scales mostly unaffected. The performance of 243 this LES approach has been studied for subsonic jets (Bogey & Bailly 2006), Taylor-Green 244 vortices (Fauconnier et al. 2013) and turbulent channel flows (Kremer & Bogey 2015) 245 over the past years. For the jets with untripped boundary layers at M > 1.30, containing 246 weak shock cells in their potential cores as will be shown in section 4.1, a shock-capturing 247 filtering is applied in order to avoid Gibbs oscillations near the shocks. It consists in ap-248 plying a conservative second-order filter at a magnitude determined each time step using 249 a shock sensor (Bogey et al. 2009). At the boundaries, the radiation conditions of Tam 250 & Dong (1996) are applied, with the addition of a sponge zone combining grid stretching 251 and Laplacian filtering at the outflow. At the inflow and radial boundaries, density and 252

Characteristics of acoustic tones near the nozzle of jets



pressure are also brought back close to p_0 and ρ_0 , in order to keep the mean values of density and pressure around their ambient values without generating significant acoustic reflections. No co-flow is imposed.

2.3. Simulation parameters

256

In this study, except for the jets with tripped boundary layers at M = 1.30 and M = 2, 257 all the jets are simulated using the same grid in the (r, z) plane, detailed and referred 258 to as gridz40B in Bogey (2018). It contains $N_r = 504$ points in the radial direction and 259 $N_z = 2048$ points in the axial direction, and extends radially out to $r = L_r = 15r_0$ 260 and axially, excluding the 100-point outflow sponge zone, down to $z = L_z = 40r_0$. The 261 variations of the mesh spacings in gridz40B are represented in figures 3(a,b). In the radial 262 direction, there are 96 points between r = 0 and $r = r_0$. The mesh spacing Δr is minimum 263 and equal to $\Delta r_{min} = 0.0036r_0$ at $r = r_0$. It is equal to $0.014r_0$ at r = 0 on the jet axis 264 and to $0.075r_0$ between $r = 6.25r_0$ and $r = L_r$ in the jet near pressure field. For an 265 acoustic wave discretized by five points per wavelength, the mesh spacing $\Delta r = 0.075r_0$ 266 provides diameter-based Strouhal numbers $St_D = fD/u_j = 10.7$ for M = 0.50, $St_D = 5.9$ 267 for M = 0.90, $St_D = 4.1$ for M = 1.30 and $St_D = 2.7$ for M = 2, where f is the frequency. 268 In the axial direction, there are 169 points between $z = -2r_0$ and z = 0 along the 269 pipe nozzle. The mesh spacing Δz is minimum and equal to $0.0072r_0$ between $z = -r_0$ 270 and z = 0. Farther downstream, it increases at the constant stretching rate of 0.103% 271 and reaches $\Delta z = 0.049r_0$ at $z = L_z$. Finally, the number of points in the azimuthal 272 direction depends on the state and thickness of the nozzle-exit boundary layer. It was 273 set at $N_{\theta} = 1024$ for the jets with tripped boundary layers, at $N_{\theta} = 512$ for the jets at 274 ${\rm M}=0.90$ with untripped boundary layers of thicknesses $\delta_{BL} \leq 0.1 r_0$ and at $N_{\theta}=256$ 275 in all other cases. This leads to a total number of points of one billion, 528 millions and 276 262 millions in the 3-D grids, respectively. 277

For the jets with tripped boundary layers at M = 1.30 and at M = 2, the grids are 278 larger and contain $N_r \times N_\theta \times N_\theta = 572 \times 1024 \times 2412 = 1.4$ billion points for M = 1.30 and 279 $572 \times 1024 \times 2947 = 1.7$ billion points for M = 2. Compared to gridz40B, as illustrated in 280 figures 3(a,b), they extend farther in the axial direction in order to take into account the 281 lengthening of the jet potential core with the Mach number (Lau et al. 1979). In addition, 282 they are finer in the jet near pressure field to deal with the presence of sharp pressure 283 gradients in the acoustic field of supersonic jets (Ffowcs Williams et al. 1975; Laufer 284 et al. 1976). In the radial direction, the grids for M = 1.30 and M = 2 are the same. The 285 mesh spacing Δr is identical to that in gridz40B for $r \leq 4r_0$, but is constant and equal to 286 $0.05r_0$ between $r = 4r_0$ and $r = L_r = 15r_0$, yielding $St_D = 6.2$ for M = 1.30 and $St_D = 4$ 287 for M = 2 for an acoustic wave with 5 points per wavelength. In the axial direction, 288

C. Bogey

the grids coincide with gridz40B for $z \leq 0$. From the nozzle exit, they are stretched at the rates of 0.091% for M = 1.30 and 0.070% for M = 2 to obtain $\Delta z = 0.053r_0$ at $z = L_z = 50r_0$ and $\Delta z = 0.050r_0$ at $z = L_z = 60r_0$, respectively.

The quality of the grids for the present jet LES has been assessed in several previ-292 ous papers. In particular, studies of the sensitivity of the results to the grid resolution 293 in the axial and radial directions and to the number of points in the azimuthal direc-294 tion were conducted in Bogey & Bailly (2010) and Bogey *et al.* (2011b) for some of 295 the jets with untripped and tripped boundary layers. The magnitude of the relaxation 296 filtering dissipation was also estimated and compared with that of viscous dissipation 297 in the wavenumber space. More recently, the grid dependence of the flow and acoustic 298 fields of the two jets with untripped boundary layers of thicknesses $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$ and 299 $0.025r_0$ and of the tripped jet at M = 0.90 was discussed at length in Bogey (2018). 300 Moreover, for the tripped jets, the near-wall mesh spacing in the radial direction at the 301 nozzle exit is approximately equal to 2.4, in wall units, which is most likely sufficient 302 to provide accurate results according to former simulations of jets with highly disturbed 303 laminar boundary-layer profiles performed using the same numerical methods as in this 304 work (Bogey & Marsden 2016; Bogey & Sabatini 2019). 305

In the LES, with two exceptions, the time step is identical for all jets to apply the re-306 laxation filtering at the same frequency, and hence not to change its magnitude compared 307 to that of viscous dissipation (Bogey et al. 2011b). Based on the minimum mesh spacing 308 and the speed of sound in the ambient medium, it is given by $\Delta t = 0.7 \times \Delta r_{min}/c_0$, 309 ensuring numerical stability up to M = 2. The two exceptions are for the jets with 310 tripped boundary layers at M = 0.60 and M = 0.75, for which $\Delta t = 1.1 \times \Delta r_{min}/c_0$ 311 and $\Delta t = 0.9 \times \Delta r_{min}/c_0$, respectively, in order to compensate for the increase of the 312 computational cost due to the lower jet velocities in these two LES performed using one 313 billion points. After a transient period varying from $275r_0/u_i$ up to $400r_0/u_i$ depending 314 on the jet initial conditions and on the grid extent in the axial direction, the simula-315 tions have been carried out during a time period T of $500r_0/u_i$. The LES of the jets 316 with tripped boundary layers have been continued from this time onwards, leading to 317 $T = 3,000r_0/u_i$ at M = 0.90, $T = 1,250r_0/u_i$ at M = 0.60 and 0.75 and $T = 1,000r_0/u_i$ 318 otherwise. This allows us to obtain a better statistical convergence for the results of the 319 jets with highly disturbed initial conditions, which are the main jets of interest and for 320 which, in addition, broadband noise components can be expected to be strong due to the 321 presence of fine-scale turbulence all along the mixing layers. The simulation times of the 322 untripped jets at M = 0.9 have also been raised to $T = 2,000r_0/u_i$ for $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$ and 323 $T = 1,600r_0/u_j$ for $\delta_{BL} = 0.025r_0$. 324

In all simulations, the signals of density, velocities and pressure have been recorded 325 at several locations during time T, creating a data base of the order of 150 TB, refer 326 for instance to Bogey (2018) and Bogey & Sabatini (2019) for a description of the data 327 available for the tripped jets. The data of interest in this work include those on the jet 328 axis at r = 0, the cylindrical surfaces at $r = r_0$ and $r = L_r$ and the cross sections at 329 $= -1.5r_0$, z = 0 and $z = L_z$. These data have been stored at a sampling frequency 330 zcorresponding to $St_D = 12.8$, with 256 points retained in the azimuthal direction. The 331 signals have also been acquired in the azimuthal planes at $\theta = 0, \pi/4, \pi/2$ and $3\pi/4$ for 332 all jets, as well as at $\theta = \pi/8$, $3\pi/8$, $5\pi/8$ and $7\pi/8$ for the tripped jet at M = 0.90, at a 333 sampling frequency of $St_D = 6.4$. The Fourier coefficients estimated over the section (r, z)334 for density, the velocity components and pressure have been saved in the same way for the 335 azimuthal modes $n_{\theta} = 0$ to 8 for the six tripped jets and the untripped jets at M = 0.90, 336 and for the modes $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 for the other untripped jets. The flow and acoustic near 337 field statistics presented in what follows are calculated from these recordings. They are 338

8

averaged in the azimuthal direction, when possible. The time spectra are evaluated from overlapping samples of duration $90r_0/u_i$.

Finally, the simulations have been carried out using an OpenMP-based in-house solver 341 on single nodes with 16 to 40 cores. These nodes, provided by the French regional and 342 national HPC centers listed in the acknowledgment section, consisted, for instance, of 343 four Intel Sandy Bridge E5-4650 8-core processors at a clock speed of 2.7 GHz or of two 344 Intel Xeon Gold 6130 16-core processors at 2.1 GHz. The LES needed between 50 GB of 345 memory for the jets with untripped boundary layers computed using gridz40B and 256 346 points in the azimuthal direction and 340 GB for the tripped jet at M = 2 simulated 347 using the largest mesh grid. The number of iterations performed varies between 170,000 348 for the untripped jet at M = 2 and 1.2 million for the tripped jet at M = 0.90. For 349 the last jet, the time per iteration is equal to 120 and 70 seconds using the two 32-core 350 nodes mentioned above, respectively, leading to the consumption of slightly more than 351 one million CPU hours in total. For the five other tripped jets, approximately three 352 million CPU hours have been required. For the thirty-eight untripped jets, most of which 353 have been simulated using four times smaller grids and over shorter time periods than 354 the tripped jets, between six and ten million CPU hours have been necessary. The LES 355 of these jets have run on a wide variety of nodes with different cores, making it difficult 356 to give a more accurate estimation. Thus, the cost of the full study is of the order of 15 357 million CPU hours. 358

³⁵⁹ 3. Guided jet modes in isothermal round jets at varying Mach ³⁶⁰ numbers for a vortex-sheet model

The Mach number variations of the properties of the guided waves in jets are investigated in this section. For that, based on the pioneering work of Tam & Hu (1989), the dispersion relations and eigenfunctions of the neutral subsonic instability waves predicted using a vortex-sheet model for isothermal round jets are examined. They are analyzed, taking into account previous studies on the subject, conducted by Tam & Ahuja (1990), Morris (2010) and Towne *et al.* (2017), among others.

```
367
```

3.1. Guided jet waves for the first two azimuthal modes

As in the papers mentioned above, the two azimuthal modes $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 are first 368 considered. The dispersion relations of the guided jet waves determined for these modes 369 at M = 0.70, 0.90 and 1.10 using the vortex-sheet model are represented in figures 4(a-c) 370 as a function of wavenumber k and Strouhal number St_D . These values are chosen to 371 illustrate the three types of results obtained, respectively, for subsonic Mach numbers 372 below and above $M \simeq 0.80$ and for supersonic Mach numbers. For each azimuthal mode, 373 waves are allowed for specific values of (k, St_D) . They are classified into different radial 374 modes, with the mode number n_r given by the number of antinodes exhibited by the 375 eigenfunction between the jet centerline and the shear layer. The dispersion curves start 376 from a limit point L on the line $k = -\omega/c_0$, where $\omega = 2\pi f$, at a Strouhal number 377 increasing with the mode number. The waves propagate in the upstream direction when 378 their group velocities $v_g = d\omega/dk$ are negative and in the downstream direction when 379 $v_g > 0$. In what follows, they will be denoted as v_g^- waves in the first case and v_g^+ 380 waves in the second one. The points on the curves where $v_g = 0$ and $dv_g/dk = 0$ are 381 marked in order to distinguish between different portions and locate waves with specific 382 characteristics on the dispersion curves. They will be referred to as the stationary and 383 inflection points S and I, respectively. The points S also correspond to the saddle points 384 in the complex wavenumber plane whose importance in the emergence of acoustic tones 385



FIGURE 4. Dispersion relations obtained using the vortex-sheet model for the guided jet waves at (a) M = 0.70, (b) M = 0.90 and (c) M = 1.10 for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and $n_{\theta} = 1$ as a function of k and St_D; points \circ L, \circ S_{max}, \circ S_{min} and \circ I; dispersion relations of the acoustic waves in a duct for $- - n_{\theta} = 0$ and $- - n_{\theta} = 1$; $- \cdot - \cdot k = \omega/(u_j - c_0)$, \cdots $k = -\omega/c_0$.

in the potential core of high subsonic jets was highlighted in Towne et al. (2017). At 386 these points, the waves have zero group velocity, do not propagate and are stationary 387 by nature. At points I, the waves have zero group-velocity dispersion. They are the 388 least dispersive (Whitham 1974) and most coherent waves, and travel without frequency 389 change. This led, for instance, Tam & Ahuja (1990) to assume that they are the most 390 likely to establish stable feedback loops in subsonic jets impinging on a flat plate, which 391 is supported by experimental data for Mach numbers between 0.7 and 0.95 in their paper. 392 For the subsonic Mach numbers M = 0.70 and 0.90, in figures 4(a,b), the dispersion 393 curves fully stand in the region with negative wavenumbers, between the two straight 394 lines $k = -\omega/c_0$ and $k = \omega/(u_j - c_0)$ indicating waves with phase and group velocities 395 equal to $-c_0$ and $u_j - c_0$. The curves are close to the first line near the limit points L of 396 the modes and converge towards the second one as the wavenumber tends to $-\infty$ and the 397 Strouhal number increases. To further characterize the guided waves, following Towne 398 et al. (2017), the dispersion curves obtained for the acoustic modes in a cylindrical soft 399 duct for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 are also displayed. They coincide with the dispersion curves of 400 the guided jet modes for high wavenumbers, in absolute value, but progressively deviate 401 from them as one approaches the line $k = -\omega/c_0$. Thus, Towne *et al.* (2017) proposed to 402 separate the modes into two categories, namely the duct-like modes and the free-stream 403 modes. For M = 0.90, in figure 4(b), they suggested that the waves belong to free-stream 404 modes between points L and S_{max} , named S2 in their work, and to duct-like modes 405 anywhere else on the dispersion curves. For M = 0.70, in figure 4(a), it appears similarly 406 that the waves can be considered as free-stream waves between points L and I and as 407 duct-like waves to the left of points I. 408

Regarding the group velocities of the waves, they are always negative for M = 0.70 in 409 figure 4(a), implying that the waves all propagate in the upstream direction. Given that 410 $St_D = 0$ at the limit point L of the first axisymmetric mode, v_q^- waves can be found for 411 all frequencies. For M = 0.90, in figure 4(b), the group velocities of the waves are negative 412 between points L and S_{max} , positive between S_{max} and S_{min} and negative again to the 413 left of S_{min} , where S_{min} and S_{max} are the stationary points associated, respectively, with 414 the local minimum and the local maximum on the dispersion curves, corresponding to the 415 saddle points S1 and S2 in Towne *et al.* (2017). Therefore, as for M = 0.70, v_q^- waves are 416 possible for all frequencies. However, v_g^+ waves propagating in the downstream direction 417 can also exist, over limited frequency bands ranging between the Strouhal numbers at 418 points S_{min} and S_{max} . These waves vanish below threshold Mach numbers depending on 419 the azimuthal and radial modes. The threshold Mach number is equal to M = 0.82 for 420





FIGURE 5. Pressure eigenfunctions obtained using the vortex-sheet model for the guided jet waves at (a) M = 0.70, (b) M = 0.90 and (c) M = 1.10 at points $___$ L, $___$ S_{max}, $___$ S_{min} and $___$ I on the dispersion curves of the mode ($n_{\theta} = 1, n_r = 1$).

the first axisymmetric mode and to M = 0.80 for the first helical mode, for example, and decreases for higher radial modes.

For the supersonic Mach number M = 1.10, in figure 4(c), the dispersion curves first 423 extend in the region with negative wavenumbers, to the left of the limit points L on 424 the line $k = -\omega/c_0$ down to $St_D = 0$, and then continue in the region with positive 425 wavenumbers, tending towards the line $k = \omega/(u_j - c_0)$, as illustrated in Morris (2010) 426 for instance. For all modes, the group velocities of the waves are negative from points 427 L to S_{max} and positive everywhere else. As a result, as for M = 0.90, the waves can 428 propagate both in the upstream and the downstream directions. Nevertheless, contrary 429 to the previous case, the v_q^- waves are now restricted to very narrow frequency bands 430 ranging between the Strouhal numbers at points L and S_{max} , whereas the v_a^+ waves are 431 allowed for all frequencies. 432

Pressure eigenfunctions obtained using the vortex-sheet model for the guided jet mode 433 $(n_{\theta} = 1, n_r = 1)$ at M = 0.70, 0.90 and 1.10 are shown in figures 5(a-c) between r = 0 and 434 $r = 1.5r_0$. They are determined at the points L, I, S_{max} and S_{min}, when available. The 435 first helical mode is considered, but similar trends can be seen for the other azimuthal 436 modes. As reported in previous studies, the waves are essentially confined inside the 437 jet flow and they decay with the radial distance at a rate depending on the point on 438 the dispersion curves. Outside the jet flow, in particular, the wave magnitudes are quite 439 significant at the limit points L, but much lower at the other points. More precisely, they 440 are approximately two times smaller at the stationary points S_{max} for M = 0.90 and 1.1, 441 and 5 times smaller at the inflection point I for M = 0.70. They are even negligible at 442 the stationary point S_{min} for M = 0.90, resulting in almost entirely confined waves in 443 that case (Tam & Ahuja 1990). These trends are consistent with the classification of the 444 waves into free-stream waves near the line $k = -\omega/c_0$ and duct-like waves otherwise. 445 However, the changeover from free-stream to duct-like waves is gradual, which makes it 446 difficult to claim, for some waves such as those found in the vicinity of the points I for 447 M = 0.70 and S_{max} for M = 0.90 for instance, whether they are free-stream or duct-like 448 waves. 449

To better quantify the amplitude of the waves outside of the jet flow, the magnitudes 450 of the pressure eigenfunctions obtained at $r = 1.5r_0$ for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 at the Mach 451 numbers of the six jets with tripped boundary layers are represented in figures 6(a-f) as 452 a function of St_D. The v_g^- and v_g^+ waves propagating in the upstream and downstream 453 directions are indicated by solid and dashed lines, respectively, and the points L, I, S_{max} 454 and S_{min} are displayed. The variations with the frequency of the magnitude of the v_g^- 455 waves from the limit point L depend on the Mach number and on the presence of v_q^2 456 waves on the curves. For M = 0.60 and 0.75, in figures 6(a,b), in the absence of v_a^{\dagger} 457



FIGURE 6. Magnitudes of the pressure eigenfunctions obtained using the vortex-sheet model for the guided jet waves at $r = 1.5r_0$ at (a) M = 0.60, (b) M = 0.75, (c) M = 0.90 (d) M = 1.10, (e) M = 1.30 and (f) M = 2 as a function of St_D : (solid lines) upstream- and (dashed lines) downstream-propagating waves for (black) $n_{\theta} = 0$ and (grey) $n_{\theta} = 1$; points $\circ L$, $\circ S_{max}$, $\circ S_{min}$ and $\circ I$ on the dispersion curves; \bullet points I' of maximum rate of decrease. Only the waves with $k \leq 0$ are considered for supersonic Mach numbers.

waves, the magnitude of the v_g^- waves decays continuously with the frequency, as was 458 noticed by Jordan et al. (2018) also for M = 0.60. The decay is slow for M = 0.60 but 459 much faster for M = 0.75. It is maximum at points I', which are close to the inflection 460 points I for M = 0.60 and nearly coinciding with them for M = 0.75. For M = 0.90, in 461 figure 6(c), v_q^- waves are first found between L and S_{max} , and again below S_{min} but with 462 an amplitude at least two orders of magnitude lower. Consequently, the magnitudes of 463 the v_{q}^{-} waves are significant between the Strouhal numbers of L and S_{max} and negligible 464 for higher frequencies. Finally, for M = 1.1, 1.3 and 2, in figures 6(d-f), as the waves 465 are all v_g^+ waves below the stationary points S_{max} , the v_g^- waves are cut-off above the 466 Strouhal numbers of these points. Therefore, each guided jet mode can be regarded as 467 a band-pass filter of the upstream-propagating waves. The filter band-width appears to 468 decrease with the Mach number, and can be approximated by the frequency difference 469 between points L and I for M \leq 0.80, and points L and S_{max} for M \geq 0.80. Around 470 the frequencies of I or S_{max} , the filter cut-off is smooth in the first case with a slope 471 steepening with the Mach number, but it is sharp in the second case. 472

The dispersion relations of the guided jet waves allow us to determine the allowable 473 frequency bands for the v_a^- waves propagating in the upstream direction (Tam & Norum 474 1992). The bands predicted using the vortex-sheet model between M = 0.5 and 2 for the 475 first five radial modes for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 are represented as a function of the Mach and the 476 Strouhal number in figures 7(a,b), along with the points L, S_{max} , S_{min} , I and I' defined 477 above. The bands are highlighted in two shades of grey, depending on the presence of 478 v_g^+ waves simultaneously with the v_g^- waves. In the dark-grey regions, v_g^- and v_g^+ waves 479 are both permitted, making acoustic resonance possible in the jet potential core (Towne 480 et al. 2017). In the light-grey ones, on the contrary, only v_g^- waves can be found. For 481 subsonic Mach numbers, the upstream-propagating waves can exist at all frequencies for 482 $n_{\theta} = 0$ and over a wide range of frequencies for $n_{\theta} = 1$. As the Mach number decreases, 483

Characteristics of acoustic tones near the nozzle of jets



FIGURE 7. Allowable Strouhal number ranges obtained using the vortex-sheet model for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves (dark grey) with and (light grey) without downstream-propagating guided waves for (a) $n_{\theta} = 0$ and (b) $n_{\theta} = 1$ as a function of M; points ______ L, _____ S_{max}, ______ S_{min}, ______ I and _____ I on the dispersion curves. The first five radial modes are shown.

the inflection points I gradually move away from the points I' of maximum decay of the eigenfunction magnitude outside of the jet. They remain however very close to each other nearly down to M = 0.6. For supersonic Mach numbers, as mentioned previously, the upstream-propagating waves are restricted to bands narrowing with the Mach number. For a given Mach number, these bands are much smaller for $n_{\theta} = 1$ than for $n_{\theta} = 0$, yielding extremely thin bands for $n_{\theta} = 1$ at M = 2 for example. As the radial mode number increases, the bands are also smaller for $n_{\theta} = 0$ but larger for $n_{\theta} = 1$.

491

3.2. Guided jet waves for the azimuthal modes $n_{\theta} \geq 2$

The properties of the guided jet waves associated with the azimuthal modes $n_{\theta} \geq 2$, whose contributions to the emergence of acoustic tones in the potential core and the near field of jets have not been discussed in the literature to the best of the author's knowledge, are briefly examined in this section. For that, the dispersion relations of the waves have been calculated using the vortex-sheet model for $n_{\theta} = 2$ to 8 over the Mach number range considered in the present work.

The dispersion relations obtained at M = 0.70, 0.90 and 1.10 for $n_{\theta} = 2$ to 5 are 498 represented in figures 8(a-c) as a function of k and St_D, along with those for $n_{\theta} = 0$ 499 and 1. The results for $n_{\theta} = 6$ to 8 are not plotted, for clarity. For each azimuthal mode, 500 n_{θ} is indicated on the curve for the first radial mode. At a higher azimuthal mode, the 501 dispersion curves are found for higher Strouhal numbers, but their shapes do not change 502 much. As a result, they are essentially aligned with each other, and are sometimes very 503 similar. The latter can be observed, for instance, for the solid black curve related to the 504 second radial axisymmetric mode and the dashed black curve of the first radial mode 505 for $n_{\theta} = 2$, and for the solid black curve of the third radial axisymmetric mode and 506 the dash-dotted grey curve of the first radial mode for $n_{\theta} = 5$. Differences between the 507 dispersion relations can however be noted in the vicinity of the line $k = -\omega/c_0$. The 508 portion of the curves near that line is narrower as the azimuthal mode number increases. 509 This leads to limit points L closer to the inflection points I for M = 0.70 in figure 8(a) 510 and to the stationary points S_{max} for M = 0.90 and 1.10 in figures 8(b,c). There are even 511 no points S_{max} at M = 0.90 for $n_{\theta} \ge 3$ and at M = 1.10 for $n_{\theta} \ge 2$. In these cases, the 512 curves have a positive slope immediately to the left of the limit points L, suggesting that 513 free-stream upstream-propagating guided jet waves cannot exist. 514

The allowable frequency bands obtained using the vortex-sheet model between M = 0.5and 2 for the first five radial upstream-propagating wave modes for $n_{\theta} = 2$, 3 and 4 are





FIGURE 9. Allowable Strouhal number ranges obtained using the vortex-sheet model for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves (dark grey) with and (light grey) without down-stream-propagating guided waves for (a) $n_{\theta} = 2$, (b) $n_{\theta} = 3$ and (c) $n_{\theta} = 4$ as a function of M; points ______ L, _____ S_{max}, ______ S_{min} and _______ I on the dispersion curves; * threshold Mach numbers for the presence of S_{max} points. The first five radial modes are shown.

represented as a function of the Mach and the Strouhal number in figures 9(a-c). They 517 resemble those obtained for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 in figures 7(a,b). Compared to these two modes, 518 however, the inflection points I and the stationary points S_{max} are nearer to the limit 519 points L, providing narrower bands. The bands even subsist only up to threshold Mach 520 numbers, indicated by red asterisks, above which there is no stationary point S_{max} on 521 the dispersion curves. The threshold values decrease with the azimuthal mode number 522 and are, for example, equal to M = 1.09, 0.86 and 0.78 for the radial modes $n_r = 1$ for 523 $n_{\theta} = 2, 3$ and 4. They also increase with the radial mode number and vary, for instance, 524 from M = 1.09 for $n_r = 1$ up to 1.86 for $n_r = 5$ in figure 9(a) for $n_{\theta} = 2$. Again, 525 the vortex-sheet model predicts the non-existence of free-stream upstream-propagating 526 waves for the azimuthal modes $n_{\theta} \geq 2$ at sufficiently high Mach numbers. The dispersion 527 curves of the guided jet waves being sensitive to the thickness of the mixing layer, as 528 shown theoretically in Tam & Ahuja (1990) for a Mach number of 0.8 and numerically in 529 Bogey & Gojon (2017) for a Mach number of 1.5, one may wonder whether this will be 530 true for jets with mixing layers of finite thickness, such as those simulated in the present 531 532 study.



FIGURE 10. Snapshots in the (z, r) plane of vorticity norm between $r = 0.5r_0$ and $r = 1.5r_0$ and of pressure fluctuations otherwise for the jets with tripped boundary layers at (a) M = 0.60, (b) M = 0.75, (c) M = 0.90, (d) M = 1.30 and (e) M = 2. The colour scales range between $\pm 20u_j/r_0$ and (a) $\pm 3.5 \times 10^{-3}p_0$, (b) $\pm 4.25 \times 10^{-3}p_0$, (c) $\pm 5.5 \times 10^{-3}p_0$, (d) $\pm 1.3 \times 10^{-2}p_0$ and (e) $\pm 2.5 \times 10^{-2}p_0$, from blue to red.

533 4. Simulation results

534

4.1. Snapshots and flow properties

The flow and near pressure fields of the jets with tripped boundary layers are briefly 535 presented. For conciseness, those obtained for the jets with untripped boundary layers are 536 not shown. In short, these jets exhibit roll-ups and pairings of vortical structures (Winant 537 & Browand 1974) in their initially fully laminar mixing layers, which occur more rapidly 538 and at a higher frequency as the boundary-layer thickness decreases (Bogey & Bailly 539 2010; Bogey 2018), and farther downstream as the Mach number increases in agreement 540 with linear stability analyses (Michalke 1984; Morris 2010). The vortex pairings generate 541 strong acoustic waves in the downstream direction (Colonius et al. 1997). 542

Snapshots of the vorticity and pressure fields obtained downstream of the nozzle-exit 543 section for the jets with tripped boundary layers at M = 0.60, 0.75, 0.90, 1.30 and 2 are 544 provided in figures 10(a-e). Due to the highly disturbed exit flow conditions, turbulence 545 is found just downstream of the nozzle, no vortex pairing can be easily detected in the 546 shear layers, and fine-scale structures and high-frequency sound waves can be seen. In 547 the pressure fields, fluctuations of hydrodynamic nature are visible just outside of the 548 jets (Arndt et al. 1997) and waves are observed in the potential cores (Towne et al. 2017). 549 For a higher jet velocity, the shear layers develop faster and Mach waves are radiated due 550 to the convection of the flow structures at a supersonic speed. Footprints of shock cells 551 can also be detected in the cores of the supersonic jets despite that ambient pressure is 552 imposed at the inlet of the pipe nozzle. 553

The effects of the Mach number on the jet flow development are illustrated by the variations of the shear-layer momentum thickness, of the rms values of axial velocity at $r = r_0$ and of the centerline mean axial velocity in figures 11(a-c). As the Mach number increases, the shear layers spread more slowly in figure 11(a) due to the lower growth rates of instability waves (Michalke 1984) and to compressibility effets (Brown & Roshko



FIGURE 11. Axial variations of (a) the shear-layer momentum thickness, (b) the rms values of axial velocity at $r = r_0$ and (c) the mean axial velocity at r = 0 for the jets with tripped boundary layers at M = 0.60, 0.75, 0.90, - - 1.10, - - 1.30 and - - 2.

1974). As expected (Lau *et al.* 1979), this leads to longer potential cores in figure 11(c), 559 ending at $z_c = 13r_0$ for M = 0.60, $z_c = 14.8r_0$ for M = 0.90, $z_c = 17.8r_0$ for M = 1.30 560 and $z_c = 23.4r_0$ for M = 2 for instance. In that figure, the centerline mean velocity 561 profiles exhibit oscillations in the cores of the supersonic jets, but they are quite small, 562 indicating that the shock-cell structures are very weak and that the jets are nearly ideally 563 expanded at the nozzle exit. Finally, in figure 11(b), the profiles of turbulence intensities 564 in the mixing layers are quite flat due to the high level of velocity fluctuations at the 565 nozzle exit (Bogey et al. 2012), and reach peak values decreasing from 17% for M = 0.60566 down to 15% for M = 2. 567

568

4.2. Acoustic tones for the jets at a Mach number of 0.90

The presence and properties of acoustic tones in the jet potential core, in the near-nozzle region and in the pressure far field are first examined for the six jets at M = 0.90 in figure 1, with particular emphasis on the jet with tripped boundary layers.

⁵⁷² 4.2.1. Tones in the jet potential core

In order to shed light on the waves in the jets, a space-time Fourier transform has been 573 applied to the pressure fluctuations inside the jet potential core for azimuthal modes 574 $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1, as in previous work on free, impinging and screeching jets (Towne *et al.* 575 2017, 2019; Bogey & Gojon 2017; Gojon et al. 2018), but also for modes $n_{\theta} = 2$ to 8. The 576 pressure fluctuations are taken at a fixed radial position, depending on the azimuthal 577 mode, from the nozzle exit at z = 0 down to $z = 0.7z_c$. The latter position allows us to 578 reduce the contributions of the pressure disturbances of aerodynamic nature, particularly 579 significant around the end of the potential core, while permitting a substantial spatial 580 extent in the axial direction. 581

The spectra obtained for the jets with tripped boundary layers for $n_{\theta} = 0$ to 5 are 582 represented in figures 12(a-f) as a function of wavenumber and Strouhal number. For pos-583 itive wavenumbers, strong components lie near the line $k = \omega/(0.75u_j)$. They correspond 584 to the footprints left in the jet potential core by the shear-layer turbulent structures con-585 vected by the flow. For negative wavenumbers, high levels appear along bands near the 586 dispersion curves predicted for the guided jet waves using the vortex-sheet model. This 587 is clearly visible for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1, as in Towne *et al.* (2017) also for a Mach number 0.9 588 jet, as well as for higher azimuthal modes. Differences can be noted between the bands 589 and the dispersion curves. They are less important in the spectra for the untripped jets 590 with thinner boundary layers, not shown for brevity. Therefore, they can be attributed to 591 the assumption of an infinitely thin shear layer in the vortex-sheet model. In agreement 592 with previous studies (Tam & Ahuja 1990; Bogey & Gojon 2017), the bands are slightly 593



above the dispersion curves far from the line $k = -\omega/c_0$. Near the line, the opposite 594 trend is observed for $n_{\theta} = 0$ to 2 in figures 12(a-c). In this zone, the bands have larger 595 portions with a negative slope than the dispersion curves, yielding waves with a negative 596 group velocity over wider frequency ranges. This is especially true for $n_{\theta} = 3$ to 5 in 597 figures 12(d-f), for which upstream-propagating waves are found near $k = -\omega/c_0$ for 598 all radial modes, contrary to the model prediction. In that case, they are restricted to 599 Strouhal numbers around that of the limit points L given by the model. Moreover, the 600 bands are thicker as the azimuthal mode number increases. In the bands, the energy is 601 rather evenly distributed and no peak can be detected, unlike the results for impinging 602 and screeching jets (Bogey & Gojon 2017; Gojon et al. 2018) for instance. However, the 603 energy levels are, overall, highest between the Strouhal numbers of the two stationary 604 points S_{min} and S_{max} , as pointed out by Towne *et al.* (2017). In most cases, the maximum 605 levels even appear mainly located between S_{min} and S_{max} where the waves propagate in 606 the downstream direction. 607

Spectra of pressure fluctuations obtained for $n_{\theta} = 0$ to 5 in the potential core of the jets 608 with tripped boundary layers and with untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.025r_0$ 609 are plotted in figures 13(a-f) as a function of St_D . To be consistent with the frequency-610 wavenumber spectra of figure 12, they are computed at the same radial positions, by 611 averaging between z = 0 and $z = 0.7 z_c$. The allowable frequency ranges predicted using 612 the vortex-sheet model for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves are displayed using 613 the same colour code as in figures 7 and 9. To avoid an overlapping of the dark-grey bands, 614 in which upstream-propagating but also downstream-propagating waves can exist, only 615 the bands for the first four radial guided jet modes are represented for $n_{\theta} = 0$. In the 616 same way, only the bands for $n_r = 1 - 3$ and $n_r = 1 - 2$ are shown for $n_{\theta} = 1, 2$ and 617 $n_{\theta} = 3-5$, respectively. For all azimuthal modes, despite the presence of strong broadband 618 aerodynamic components, visible in the spectra of figure 12 for positive wavenumbers, 619



FIGURE 13. Sound pressure levels in the potential core of the jets at M = 0.90 — with tripped boundary layers and — with untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.025r_0$ at (a) r = 0 for $n_{\theta} = 0$, (b) $r = 0.2r_0$ for $n_{\theta} = 1$, (c) $r = 0.3r_0$ for $n_{\theta} = 2$, (d) $r = 0.3r_0$ for $n_{\theta} = 3$, (e) $r = 0.4r_0$ for $n_{\theta} = 4$ and (f) $r = 0.4r_0$ for $n_{\theta} = 5$ as a function of St_D ; allowable ranges for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves (dark grey) with and (light grey) without downstream-propagating guided waves for (a) $n_r = 1 - 4$, (b-c) $n_r = 1 - 3$ and (d-f) $n_r = 1 - 2$.

large acoustic peaks emerge in the spectra. They lie exclusively within the dark-grey 620 bands, indicating that they are closely linked to the presence of v_g^+ guided jet waves. 621 These results are in line with the findings of Towne et al. (2017), who demonstrated 622 the possibility of resonant interactions between the former waves and v_q^- guided waves 623 in high subsonic jets between the frequencies of the stationary points S_{min} and S_{max} 624 for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1. In the present work, these interactions are found to be possible for 625 higher azimuthal modes. On the basis of the frequency-wavenumber spectra of figure 12, 626 two types of resonances can occur (Towne *et al.* 2017). The first one involves v_g^+ and v_g^- 627 duct-like waves located on both sides of points S_{min} and the second one happens between 628 v_a^+ duct-like and v_a^- free-stream waves around points S_{max} . 629

630 4.2.2. Tones in the jet near-nozzle region

To identify which of the guided jet waves have a significant radial support outside of 631 the jets, a space-time Fourier transform has been applied to the pressure fluctuations at 632 $r = 1.1r_0$, between z = 0 and $z = 0.7z_c$ as previously in the potential core, for $n_{\theta} = 0$ 633 to 8. The spectra for $n_{\theta} = 0$ to 5 are represented in figures 14(a-f) as a function of k 634 and St_D for $k \leq 0$ only. Strong components of aerodynamic nature are observed near 635 k = 0 for low Strouhal numbers due to the proximity of the shear layer. In spite of this, 636 spots of high levels are found for all azimuthal modes in the vicinity of the dispersion 637 curves predicted for the guided jet waves using the vortex-sheet model. With respect to 638 the elongated bands obtained in the in-core spectra of figure 12, the spots do extend 639 along the curves but are restricted to very limited parts. Their levels are highest close 640 to the line $k = -\omega/c_0$, rapidly decrease farther from it and are negligible to the left of 641 the local maximum point. Therefore, the waves located between the limit points L and 642 the stationary points S_{max} of the dispersion curves, *i.e.* the so-called free-stream waves 643 in Towne et al. (2017), are detected just outside of the jets, whereas the other, duct-like, 644 waves are not. The frequency ranges of the free-stream waves also appear to be narrower 645



FIGURE 14. Frequency-wavenumber spectra of pressure fluctuations of the jet at M = 0.90 with tripped boundary layers at $r = 1.1r_0$ for (a) $n_\theta = 0$, (b) $n_\theta = 1$, (c) $n_\theta = 2$, (d) $n_\theta = 3$, (e) $n_\theta = 4$ and (f) $n_\theta = 5$ as a function of (kD, St_D) ; ______ dispersion curves of the guided jet waves, points $\circ L$, $\circ S_{max}$ and $\circ S_{min}$; $- - k = -\omega/c_0$. The greyscale levels spread over 25 dB. Only $k \leq 0$ is shown.

at a higher azimuthal mode. These results are in agreement with the variations of the eigenfunction magnitude of the guided jet waves outside of the shear layer in figures 5(b) and 6(c), and with the merging of points L and S_{max} as the azimuthal mode number increases in figures 7 and 9 for M = 0.90. Given their negative group velocities, the free-stream waves propagate in the upstream direction, and can be expected to mark the pressure spectra in the near-nozzle region.

The spectra of pressure fluctuations computed near the nozzle exit at z = 0 and 652 $r = 1.5r_0$ for the jets with tripped boundary layers and with untripped boundary layers 653 with $\delta_{BL} = 0.025r_0$ are represented in figures 15(a,b) as a function of St_D. The contri-654 butions of the first six azimuthal modes are also depicted. Tonal peaks emerge in the full 655 spectra as well as for the azimuthal modes. They are very similar to those found in the 656 near-nozzle spectra reported in Suzuki & Colonius (2006), Towne et al. (2017) and Brès 657 et al. (2018) for jets at the same Mach number as the present jets but at Reynolds num-658 bers $\text{Re}_D \simeq 10^6$. They are stronger and narrower for the jet with untripped boundary 659 layers than for the other one. However, the peak frequencies are almost identical in the 660 two cases. For a given azimuthal mode, the first peak falls very near the dash-dotted line 661 indicating the Strouhal number of point S_{max} , or L in the absence of S_{max} , obtained on 662 the dispersion curves for the radial guided jet mode $n_r = 1$ using the vortex-sheet model. 663 This supports that the peaks are due to the upstream-propagating waves highlighted in 664 figure 14. Regarding the full spectra, the first, second and third peaks at $St_D \simeq 0.4, 0.6$ 665 and 1 coincide with the first peaks of modes $n_{\theta} = 0, 1$ and 2 in red, blue and green, 666 respectively. The fourth peak corresponds to the first peak of mode $n_{\theta} = 3$ in magenta, 667 enhanced by the second peak of mode $n_{\theta} = 1$ in blue. The higher peaks also consist of 668 combinations of peaks of different modes, for instance modes $n_{\theta} = 2$ and 4 for the fifth 669 peak and modes $n_{\theta} = 1, 3$ and 5 for the sixth peak. The complex structure of the peaks 670 can be explained by the great resemblance, and quasi superposition in some instances, 671 of the dispersion curves for different azimuthal modes, discussed in section 3.2 based on 672



FIGURE 15. Sound pressure levels obtained at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for the jets at M = 0.90(a) with tripped boundary layers and (b) with untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.025r_0$ as a function of St_D: ______ full spectra and $n_{\theta} = --_____ 0$, _____ 1, _____ 2, _____ 3, _____ 4 and ______ 5; (dash-dotted lines) Strouhal numbers at points S_{max} or L on the dispersion curves for the guided jet modes $(n_{\theta}, n_r = 1)$ using the same colours for as for the solid lines.

figure 8. This issue was mentioned by Suzuki & Colonius (2006) who remarked that the frequency of the first peak of mode $n_{\theta} = 2$ is nearly the same as that of the second peak of mode $n_{\theta} = 0$ in their experimental spectra.

The spectra calculated at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for the jets with tripped boundary 676 layers and untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.025r_0$ for modes $n_{\theta} = 0$ to 5 are 677 plotted in figures 16(a-f) as a function of St_D . The allowable ranges for the upstream-678 propagating guided jet waves according to the vortex-sheet model are represented in 679 grey as in figure 13. The frequency ranges of the free-stream waves between points L 680 and S_{max} on the dispersion curves are also indicated by oblique hatching, when possible. 681 Compared to the peaks obtained in the potential core in figure 13, the near-nozzle peaks 682 are narrower and exhibit a sharper decrease on the right side of the stationary points 683 S_{max} . Moreover, instead of fully filling the dark-grey bands where v_q^- and v_q^+ guided jet 684 waves can both exist, they appear limited to the hatched bands. This trend is clearly 685 observed for modes $n_{\theta} \geq 1$ in figures 16(b-f), for which points L and S_{max} are very 686 close or superimposed on the dispersion curves, which gives rise to very tonal peaks. The 687 present results are in agreement with the eigenfunctions of figure 5(b) and the transfer 688 functions of 6(c). They show that the near-nozzle peaks are mainly related to the free-689 stream guided jet waves. In particular, it appears that among the resonant interactions 690 possibly occurring between v_q^- and v_q^+ guided waves in the jet potential core, only those 691 involving v_q^- free-stream waves can contribute significantly to the near-nozzle pressure 692 field. Given that the peak levels are higher at point S_{max} than at point L when the 693 two points are sufficiently distinct from each other, as for mode $(n_{\theta} = 0, n_{\tau} = 2)$ in 694 figure 16(a) and for mode $(n_{\theta} = 1, n_r = 1)$ in figure 16(b), this may be especially true 695 for the waves resonating around the stationary point S_{max} . 696

The Strouhal numbers of the peaks obtained for the six jets at M = 0.90 in the spectra 697 at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for $n_{\theta} = 0, 1$ and 2 are represented in figures 17(a-c) as a 698 function of boundary-layer thickness δ_{BL} at the nozzle-pipe inlet. The allowable bands 699 predicted for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves using the vortex-sheet model, 700 as well as the points L, S_{max} and S_{min} on the dispersion curves given by the model, are 701 also displayed. Over the wide range of boundary-layer thicknesses considered, the peak 702 Strouhal numbers do not vary appreciably despite the ratio of 16 between the largest and 703 the smallest values of δ_{BL} . This is in line with the experimental results of Zaman & Fagan 704 (2019) for two jets with boundary-layer thicknesses differing by a factor of 3. In the same 705

20



FIGURE 16. Sound pressure levels obtained at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for the jets at M = 0.90 with tripped boundary layers and -— with untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.025r_0$ for (a) $n_{\theta} = 0$, (b) $n_{\theta} = 1$, (c) $n_{\theta} = 2$, (d) $n_{\theta} = 3$, (e) $n_{\theta} = 4$ and (f) $n_{\theta} = 5$ as a function of St_D ; allowable ranges for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves (dark grey) with and (light grey) without downstream-propagating guided waves and (hatched) between the St_D at points L and S_{max} for (a) $n_r = 1 - 4$, (b-c) $n_r = 1 - 3$ and (d-f) $n_r = 1 - 2$, St_D at points L on the dispersion curves.



FIGURE 17. Peak Strouhal numbers in the spectra of pressure fluctuations at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for the jets at M = 0.90 with \bullet tripped and \circ untripped boundary layers for (a) $n_{\theta} = 0$, (b) $n_{\theta} = 1$ and (c) $n_{\theta} = 2$ as a function of δ_{BL}/r_0 ; allowable ranges for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves (dark grey) with and (light grey) without downstream-propagating guided waves, St_D at points - - - L, - S_{max} and - S_{min} on the dispersion curves.

way, the peak frequencies are very similar for tripped and untripped boundary layers, 706 as was the case for the two initially laminar and turbulent jets computed by Brès et al. 707 (2018). For all jets, even for the one with $\delta_{BL} = 0.4r_0$ for which the vortex-sheet model 708 is a very rough approximation, the peaks are located between the points L and S_{max} , 709 or near the point L when S_{max} does not exist. These results provide further evidence 710 about the links between the near-nozzle peaks and the free-stream guided jet waves. In 711 the zones between points L and S_{max} , the peaks are closer to the second point than to 712 the first one for the first two radial modes for $n_{\theta} = 0$ in figure 17(a). This again supports 713 the possible contributions of waves resonating around the stationary point S_{max} to the 714 near-nozzle pressure fields. 715

The sensitivity of the near-nozzle acoustic peaks to the jet initial conditions is exam-716 ined by comparing some properties of the near-nozzle peaks obtained for the six jets at 717



FIGURE 18. Near-nozzle peaks for the jets at M = 0.90 with (bullets) tripped and (circles) untripped boundary layers for the radial modes $n_r = 1$ of the guided jet waves for (red) $n_{\theta} = 0$, (blue) $n_{\theta} = 1$ and (green) $n_{\theta} = 2$: (a) peak levels, (b) ratio between the peak levels and the minimum values for higher St_D and (c) peak widths as a function of δ_{BL}/r_0 ; (dash-dotted lines) ΔSt_D between points S_{max} and L using the above colours for n_{θ} .

⁷¹⁸ M = 0.90. Only the peaks associated with the guided jet modes $n_r = 1$ for $n_{\theta} = 0, 1$ and ⁷¹⁹ 2 are considered. The peak intensities are depicted in figure 18(a). They are lower for the ⁷²⁰ jet with tripped boundary layers than for the jets with untripped ones, and for the latter ⁷²¹ jets, they grow with the boundary-layer thickness except for $\delta_{BL} \geq 0.2r_0$. Thus, overall, ⁷²² the more noise generated by the jets (Bogey & Bailly 2010; Bogey 2018), the higher the ⁷²³ levels of the near-nozzle acoustic peaks.

In order to quantify the degree of emergence of the peaks, the ratios between the peak levels and the first minimum values reached for a higher frequency are plotted in figure 18(b). As for the peak intensities, they are minimum for the jet with tripped boundary layers and are higher as the boundary layer is thicker for the initially fully laminar jets. Therefore, the near-nozzle peaks are more prominent for the jets with mixing layers containing stronger large-scale coherent structures, and inversely weaker fine-scale turbulent structures, yielding a weaker broadband noise in the upstream direction.

Finally, the peak widths at half of maximum are given in figure 18(c). They increase 731 with the boundary-layer thickness. This trend can be attributed to the effects of the 732 shear-layer thickness on the dispersion curves of the guided jet waves near the line k =733 $-\omega/c_0$ (Tam & Ahuja 1990; Bogey & Gojon 2017). Indeed, as mentioned in section 4.2.1 734 and illustrated by the frequency-wavenumber spectra of figure 12, the free-stream guided 735 jet waves are obtained over wider frequency ranges for a thicker shear layer. In that 736 case, the band-pass filtering of the upstream-propagating waves by the guided jet modes 737 has a larger width. For comparison, the widths estimated as the frequency differences 738 between points L and S_{max} on the dispersion curves using the vortex-sheet model for 739 modes $(n_{\theta} = 0, n_r = 1)$ and $(n_{\theta} = 1, n_r = 1)$ are shown in figure 18(c). A fairly good 740 agreement is found with the peak widths for $n_{\theta} = 1$. For $n_{\theta} = 2$, the peak width is 741 underestimated by the model, which is expected due to the discrepancies between the 742 numerical and theoretical dispersion curves near $k = -\omega/c_0$ in figure 12(c). 743

⁷⁴⁴ 4.2.3. Tones in the jet acoustic far field

The LES near-field fluctuations obtained for the jet at M = 0.90 with tripped boundary 745 layers have been propagated to the far field using an in-house OpenMP-based solver of 746 the isentropic linearized Euler equations (ILEE) in cylindrical coordinates based on the 747 same numerical methods as the LES. Two calculations are performed as in previous 748 studies (Bogey & Sabatini 2019; Bogey 2021). They are carried out from the velocity 749 and pressure fluctuations recorded during time $T = 3,000r_0/u_j$ at $r = 15r_0$ and at 750 $z = -1.5r_0$ and $z = L_z = 40r_0$ at a sampling frequency corresponding to a Strouhal 751 number of $St_D = 12.8$. They allow us to compute the pressure waves radiated at a 752



FIGURE 19. Sound pressure levels obtained for the jet at M = 0.90 with tripped boundary layers at $150r_0$ from the nozzle exit for (a) $\phi = 30^{\circ}$, (b) $\phi = 60^{\circ}$, (c) $\phi = 90^{\circ}$, (d) $\phi = 135^{\circ}$, (e) $\phi = 150^{\circ}$ and (f) $\phi = 165^{\circ}$ as a function of St_D: _______ full spectra and $n_{\theta} =$ _______ 0, _______ 1, ______ 2, ______ 3, ______ 4, ______ 5, ______ 6, 7 and 8; (dash-dotted lines) Strouhal numbers at points S_{max} or L on the dispersion curves for the guided jet modes ($n_{\theta}, n_r = 1$) using the same colours as for the solid lines; \triangle measurements of Bridges & Brown (2005) for an isothermal jet at M = 0.9 and Re_D = 10⁶.

distance of $150r_0$ from the nozzle exit, where far-field acoustic conditions are expected 753 to apply (Ahuja *et al.* 1987; Viswanathan 2010), between the angles $\phi = 15^{\circ}$ and 165° 754 relative to the jet direction. Grids containing up to 1.6×10^9 points with a uniform mesh 755 spacing of $0.075r_0$ in the axial and radial directions and $N_{\theta} = 256$ points in the azimuth 756 are used. This mesh spacing, leading to $St_D = 5.9$ for an acoustic wave discretized by 757 five points per wavelength, is identical to that in the LES near pressure field. The sound 758 pressure spectra thus determined at six angles ϕ between 30° and 165° are represented 759 in figures 19(a-f) as a function of St_D. The contributions of modes $n_{\theta} = 0$ to 8 are also 760 shown. Because of the different shapes of the spectra (Mollo-Christensen et al. 1964; Tam 76 1998), the level axis ranges from 74 up to 116 dB/St_D in figure 19(a) for $\phi = 30^{\circ}$, but 762 only up to 104 dB/St_D in figure 19(b) for $\phi = 60^{\circ}$ and to 98 dB/St_D in figures 19(c-f) 763 for $\phi \geq 90^{\circ}$. 764

⁷⁶⁵ In the downstream and sideline directions, in figures 19(a-c), the pressure spectra ex-

C. Bogey

hibit the characteristics typically found in the far field of subsonic jets. The axisymmetric 766 mode is dominant in the downstream direction and is soon overwhelmed by modes $n_{\theta} = 1$ 767 and 2 as the radiation angle increases (Juvé et al. 1979; Cavalieri et al. 2012; Brès et al. 768 2018). More importantly given the focus of the present work, there are peaks neither in 769 the spectra for the full pressure signals, nor in those for the different azimuthal modes. 770 This is obvious in figure 19(c) for the angle $\phi = 90^{\circ}$, for instance. In particular, there is no 771 trace of the undulations noticed around $St_D = 1$ in the spectra of Zaman & Fagan (2019) 772 at an angle of 60° for jets at Mach numbers close to 1. This supports the hypothesis of 773 the authors that these undulations are due to unwanted reflections by some uncovered 774 surfaces in their experiments. 775

In the upstream direction, as suggested by the experiments of Jaunet et al. (2016), 776 peaks appear in the full spectra at high radiation angles. They are barely detectable at 777 $\phi = 135^{\circ}$ in figure 19(d), clearly visible at $\phi = 150^{\circ}$ in figure 19(e) and predominant 778 at $\phi = 165^{\circ}$ in figure 19(f). Their frequencies and azimuthal structures at the latter 779 angle are very close, if not identical, to those of the near-nozzle peaks in figure 15(a). In 780 summary, the i^{th} peak in the full spectrum corresponds to the first peak of the azimuthal 781 mode $n_{\theta} = i - 1$. The latter peak is located near the Strouhal number of the point S_{max} , 782 or L when S_{max} is lacking, obtained on the dispersion curve for the guided jet mode 783 $(n_{\theta} = i - 1, n_r = 1)$ using the vortex-sheet model. Therefore, the free-stream guided jet 784 waves contribute significantly to the far-field noise for very large radiation angles. It can 785 be noted that the prominence and tonal shape of the peaks vary with the angle. Thus, 786 the most apparent peaks are the peaks for modes $n_{\theta} = 4 - 8$ at $\phi = 135^{\circ}$, for $n_{\theta} = 2 - 5$ 787 at $\phi = 150^{\circ}$ and for $n_{\theta} = 0-3$ at $\phi = 165^{\circ}$. Finally, the emergence of peaks in the spectra 788 results in stronger noise components at $\phi = 165^{\circ}$ than at 150°. This trend is similar to 789 that observed for supersonic jets generating screech tones in the upstream direction. 790

To better describe the noise variations with the radiation angle, the overall sound 791 pressure levels computed at $150r_0$ from the nozzle exit are plotted in figure 20 as a 792 function of ϕ . They decrease monotonically with the angle between $\phi = 25^{\circ}$ and 150° , in 793 agreement with the experimental data of the literature (Bridges & Brown 2005; Bogey 794 et al. 2007), and then are nearly constant between $\phi = 150^{\circ}$ and 165°. The effects of 795 the emergence of peaks in the spectra for large radiation angles are more visible on the 796 sound levels associated with the different azimuthal modes. For $\phi \leq 135^{\circ}$, in line with 797 previous studies (Cavalieri et al. 2012; Brès et al. 2018), the stronger modes are the 798 axisymmetric mode for $\phi \leq 45^{\circ}$ and modes $n_{\theta} = 1$ and 2 for $\phi \geq 45^{\circ}$. In addition, for all 799 modes, the levels decrease between $\phi = 60^{\circ}$ and 135°. For $\phi \ge 135^{\circ}$, more surprisingly, 800 the contributions of modes $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 sharply grow with the radiation angle. As a 801 consequence, at $\phi = 165^{\circ}$, the first helical mode predominates, closely followed by the 802 axisymmetric mode. For larger angles, given the tendencies obtained between $\phi = 150^{\circ}$ 803 and 165°, one can expect a further increase of the sound levels for modes $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 804 and the predominance of mode $n_{\theta} = 0$ near $\phi = 180^{\circ}$. This could be checked in future 805 studies. 806

807

4.3. Acoustic tones for the jets at Mach numbers between 0.50 and 2

The persistence and properties of acoustic tones in the jet potential core and in the nearnozzle region are now investigated for the jets at Mach numbers varying from M = 0.50to 2 in figure 1. As previously, greater attention is paid to the jets with tripped boundary layers.

24



FIGURE 20. Overall sound pressure levels obtained for the jet at M = 0.90 with tripped boundary layers at $150r_0$ from the nozzle exit as a function of the angle ϕ : ______ full spectra and $n_{\theta} = ---0$, ______ 1, _____ 2, _____ 3, _____ 4, _____ 5, _____ 6 and 7; \triangle measurements of Bridges & Brown (2005) for an isothermal jet at M = 0.9 and $\text{Re}_D = 10^6$.

⁸¹² 4.3.1. Tones in the jet potential core

A space-time Fourier transform has been applied to the pressure fluctuations inside the 813 jet potential core for modes $n_{\theta} = 0$ to 8. The fluctuations are located between z = 0 and 814 $0.7z_c$ at radial positions depending on the azimuthal mode as in section 4.2.1. The spectra 815 for the jets with tripped boundary layers at M = 0.75, 1.10 and 2 for $n_{\theta} = 0$ to 2 are 816 represented in figures 21(a-i) as a function of wavenumber and Strouhal number. As for 817 the tripped jet at M = 0.90 in figure 12, strong aerodynamic components are found along 818 the line $k = \omega/(0.75u_i)$. Bands of high energy are also observed near the dispersion curves 819 of the guided jet modes predicted by the vortex-sheet model, for negative wavenumbers 820 but also for positive wavenumbers in the supersonic cases, as expected. Similar results 821 were obtained by Towne et al. (2019) for jets at M = 0.70, 0.80, 0.9 and 1.50 for $n_{\theta} = 0$. 822 The agreement between the bands and the dispersion curves is good in figures 21(a-c) 823 for M = 0.75, fair in figures 21(d-f) for M = 1.10 and rather poor in figures 21(g-i) 824 for M = 2. This may be due to the fact that the dispersion curves are determined 825 from the linearized equation of motion of a compressible flow by assuming disturbances 826 of small amplitudes, which is not necessarily true for supersonic jet velocities. For all 827 Mach numbers, the energy is fairly well distributed along the bands. This is the case in 828 particular for M = 0.75, in figures 21(a-c), where the levels are significant from the limit 820 point L of the dispersion curves on $k = -\omega/c_0$ to the inflexion point I and far beyond 830 that point. For M = 2, in figures 21(g-i), the levels are however much stronger for positive 831 wavenumbers than for negative ones. Focusing on the region near the line $k = -\omega/c_0$, the 832 bands all exhibit a portion with negative slopes between the limit point on the line and 833 the local maximum point. This indicates the presence of upstream-propagating guided 834 jet waves for all modes, including those for which such waves should not exist according 835 to the vortex-sheet model. This is the case, for instance, in figure 21(f) for the mode 836 $(n_{\theta} = 2, n_r = 1)$ at M = 1.10. This discrepancy, also noticed for the tripped jet at 837 M = 0.90 for $n_{\theta} \geq 3$ in previous section, is most likely due to the infinitely thin shear 838 layer in the vortex-sheet model. 839

The pressure spectra calculated in the potential core of the six jets with tripped boundary layers for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1, by averaging between z = 0 and $0.7z_c$ at r = 0 and $r = 0.2r_0$, respectively, are depicted in figures 22(a,b) as a function of St_D. For the two modes and for modes $n_{\theta} \ge 2$, not shown for brevity, the spectra are smooth for M = 0.60 but peaks can be seen for higher Mach numbers. The peaks clearly appear for M = 0.75 at St_D ≥ 1.5 , dramatically emerge for M = 0.90 and are hardly visible for supersonic Mach numbers. This trend is in good agreement with the statement of Towne *et al.* (2017)



FIGURE 21. Frequency-wavenumber spectra of pressure fluctuations in the potential cores of the jets with tripped boundary layers at (a-c) M = 0.75, (d-f) M = 1.10 and (g-i) M = 2, at (a,d,g) r = 0 for $n_{\theta} = 0$, (b,e,h) $r = 0.2r_0$ for $n_{\theta} = 1$ and (c,f,i) $r = 0.3r_0$ for $n_{\theta} = 2$, as a function of (kD, St_D) ; \longrightarrow dispersion curves of the guided jet waves, points \circ L, \circ S_{max}, \circ S_{min} and \circ I; $- - - k = -\omega/c_0$, $- \cdot - \cdot k = \omega/(0.75u_j)$. The greyscale levels spread over 25 dB.

that the acoustic tones in the jet potential core, attributed to resonating trapped waves, 847 should reach their strongest prominence between $M \simeq 0.82$ and 1. For M = 0.90, as illus-848 trated in figure 13, the peaks lie within the frequency bands of the v_q^+ duct-like waves. 849 For M = 0.75, the peaks are close to the Strouhal numbers of the inflexion points I on 850 the dispersion curves. For supersonic Mach numbers, they are near the frequencies of 851 the stationary points S_{max} , around which interactions are possible between v_q^+ and v_q^- 852 guided jet waves. Finally, it can be remarked that in the frequency-wavenumber spec-853 tra, the dominant components are those associated with aerodynamic fluctuations for 854 M = 0.75 in figures 21(a-c) and with the guided jet waves with positive wavenumbers for 855 M = 2 in figures 21(g-i). The strengthening of these two components, in comparison with 856 those related to the guided jet waves with negative wavenumbers, may be one reason for 857 the weakening of the peaks in the spectra as the Mach number deviates from M = 0.90. 858

859 4.3.2. Tones in the jet near-nozzle region

To get information on the pressure waves just outside of the jet flow, a space-time Fourier transform has been applied to the pressure fluctuations at $r = 1.1r_0$ between z = 0 and $0.7z_c$ for $n_{\theta} = 0$ to 8, as in section 4.2.2. The spectra for the jets with tripped boundary layers at M = 0.75, 1.10 and 2 for $n_{\theta} = 0$ to 2 are presented in figures 23(a-i) as a function of k and St_D for $k \leq 0$. In the region with $k \geq 0$, not shown for clarity, the

Characteristics of acoustic tones near the nozzle of jets



spectra are dominated by very strong aerodynamic components as the in-core spectra 865 of figure 21. However, contrary to the latter, they do not reveal spots of notable energy 866 near the dispersion curves of the guided jet modes provided by the vortex-sheet model for 867 supersonic Mach numbers. For $k \leq 0$, despite the wide dark patch due to aerodynamic 868 disturbances at low Strouhal numbers, high levels are found close to the dispersion curves. 869 As for the jet at M = 0.90 in figure 14, the levels are significant only in the vicinity of 870 $k = -\omega/c_0$. For M = 0.75, in figures 23(a-c), they are negligible on the left side of the 871 inflexion point I of the dispersion curves. For M = 1.10, in figures 23(d-f), they quickly 872 decrease to the left of the local maximum point, corresponding to the stationary points 873 S_{max} of the dispersion curves. For M = 2, in figures 23(g-i), a similar trend is observed 874 for the first radial modes. For modes $n_r \geq 2$, however, the decay on the left side of the 875 local maximum point is less rapid. This may be caused by the fact that for the jet at 876 M = 2, represented in figure 10(e) between z = 0 and $12r_0$, some of the points considered 871 from z = 0 down to $z = 0.7z_c = 16.4r_0$ at $r = 1.1r_0$ to compute the spectra lie inside the 878 jet flow. Therefore, only the guided jet waves located approximately between the limit 879 points L on $k = -\omega/c_0$ and points I in the subsonic case, and between points L and S_{max} 880 in the supersonic cases, clearly extends out of the jets. These waves, with a negative 881 group velocity, are able to propagate in the upstream direction up to the near-nozzle 882 region. These results are consistent with the eigenfunction magnitudes obtained for the 883 guided jet waves at $r = 1.5r_0$ using the vortex-sheet model, displayed as a function of 884 the Strouhal number in figures 6(b,d,e) for M = 0.75, 1.10 and 2. 885

The spectra of pressure fluctuations obtained at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for the jets with 886 untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$ are presented in figure 24(a) as a function of 887 the Mach number, using a logarithmic scale. They are normalized by their respective peak 888 values, yielding a maximum value of 1 for each jet velocity. The contributions of modes 889 $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 to the spectra are highlighted in figures 24(b,c). A zoom between M = 0.75 890 and 0.85 is provided in appendix A, based on the results for the jets at Mach numbers 891 increasing in increments of $\Delta M = 0.01$ in figure 1. Well-organized peaks are visible in 892 the spectrograms. For M ≤ 0.65 , the dominant peaks are at St_D $\simeq 0.70$ for both $n_{\theta} = 0$ 893 and 1. As discussed in appendix B, they happen at the vortex-pairing frequency and may 894 result from the establishment of a feedback loop between the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability 895 waves and the upstream-propagating sound waves generated by the first stage of vortex 896 pairings in the initially laminar shear layers. For higher Mach numbers, the peaks form 897 continuous bands, with the first two bands associated with the axisymmetric and the 898 first helical modes, respectively. The bands have central Strouhal numbers decreasing 899



with the Mach number, and emerge hardly from the background noise below M = 0.75900 but quite distinctly above. They are similar to those measured near the nozzle lips of 901 free jets by Jaunet et al. (2016) and Zaman & Fagan (2019) for $0.6 \lesssim M \leq 1$, and 902 resemble the allowable frequency bands obtained for the upstream-propagating guided 903 jet waves in figure 7. They persist for supersonic Mach numbers up to M = 2, without any 904 discontinuity or energy jump from one band to another. In particular, they do not turn 905 into screech-tone bands, as it was the case for the non-ideally expanded jets of Zaman & 906 Fagan (2019). 907

The spectra of the pressure fluctuations at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for the six jets with 908 tripped boundary layers at M = 0.60, 0.75, 0.90, 1.10, 1.30 and 2 are represented in 909 figures 25(a-f) as a function of St_D, along with the contributions of modes $n_{\theta} = 0$ to 8. 910 The Strouhal numbers of specific points on the dispersion curves predicted by the vortex-911 sheet model for the guided jet modes $(n_{\theta}, n_r = 1)$ are also indicated by dash-dotted lines. 912 For a given mode, the reported point is the inflexion point I when the dispersion curve 913 has no portion with a positive slope. Otherwise, the point is the stationary point S_{max} , 914 or the limit point L on the line $k = -\omega/c_0$ when there is no local maximum on the curve. 915 The first case happens for all modes for M = 0.60 in figure 25(a), and the second one for 916 all modes for $M \ge 0.90$ in figures 25(c-f). For M = 0.75 in figure 25(b), both cases occur 917 and the dash-dotted lines are associated with points I for $n_{\theta} = 0-3$, S_{max} for $n_{\theta} = 4$ and 918

Characteristics of acoustic tones near the nozzle of jets



FIGURE 24. Power spectral densities of (a) pressure fluctuations at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$, normalized by their peak values, and contributions of modes (b) $n_{\theta} = 0$ and (c) $n_{\theta} = 1$ for the jets with untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$ as a function of (M, St_D). The greyscale ranges logarithmically from 10^{-2} to 10.

⁹¹⁹ L for $n_{\theta} = 5 - 8$. According to figure 6, these lines reveal the cut-off Strouhal numbers of ⁹²⁰ the band-pass filtering of the sound waves propagating in the upstream direction outside ⁹²¹ of the jets by the guided jet modes.

In figure 25, peaks emerge in the spectra for the full pressure signals, strongly for 922 $M \ge 0.75$ but hardly for M = 0.60. This is in agreement with the experimental results of 923 Suzuki & Colonius (2006) and Zaman & Fagan (2019). They correspond to peaks in the 924 spectra for the modes $n_{\theta} = 0$ to n_{θ}^{max} , with n_{θ}^{max} depending on the Mach number and 925 being equal to 8 for M = 0.75 and to 1 for M = 2, for instance. For a given azimuthal 926 mode, the first peak falls very near the associated dash-dotted line. The second peak 927 is much weaker than the first one for subsonic Mach numbers in figures 25(a-c), but is 928 significant for supersonic ones for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 in figures 25(d-f). Coming back to the first 929 peak for each n_{θ} , it is tonal and well above the background noise when the dash-dotted 930 line is plotted for a point S_{max} or L. For a point I, in contrast, the peak can be more or 931 less broadband and prominent. For M = 0.75, in figure 25(b), the peak is very narrow 932 for mode $n_{\theta} = 3$ in magenta, and broadens for a lower azimuthal mode. The peaks are 933 still larger and less pronounced for M = 0.60 in figure 25(a) than for M = 0.75. These 934 results demonstrate the close links between the near-nozzle peaks and the upstream-935 propagating guided jet modes over the wide range of Mach numbers considered in this 936 study. Moreover, they suggest that the tonal character of the peaks is related to the 937 shape of the transfer function of the band-pass filtering associated with the latter modes 938 outside of the jet, illustrated in figure 6. Indeed, the peaks are tonal for a sharp cut-off, 939 but are gradually broader for a smoother one. This can explain the different shapes of 940 the peaks for Mach numbers above and below M = 0.75. 941

As was done in figures 16(a-c) for jets at M = 0.90, the pressure spectra obtained 942 at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for $n_{\theta} = 0, 1$ and 2 for the jets at M = 0.75 with tripped 943 boundary layers and with untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$ are represented 944 in figures 26(a-c). The allowable ranges for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves 945 according to the vortex-sheet model are displayed in grey using the same colour code 946 as in figures 7 and 9. Among these waves, those located between points L and I on 947 the dispersion curves are specifically highlighted with greenish grey. For the two jets, 948 and especially for the untripped one, peaks appear in the spectra, despite the fact that 949 downstream-propagating guided jet waves cannot exist at M = 0.75 for $n_{\theta} = 0, 1$ and 2. 950 This result, along with those reported in appendix A for the jets at Mach numbers 951 varying from M = 0.75 up to M = 0.85 in increments of $\Delta M = 0.01$, may cast doubt 952 on the importance of the acoustic resonance possibly occurring in the jet potential core 953 in the generation of the near-nozzle peaks. In figure 26, overall, the peaks lie in the 954



FIGURE 25. Sound pressure levels obtained at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for the jets with tripped boundary layers at (a) M = 0.60, (b) M = 0.75, (c) M = 0.90, (d) M = 1.10, (e) M = 1.30 and (f) M = 2 as a function of St_D: ______ full spectra and $n_{\theta} = ---0$, ______ 1, _____ 2, ______ 3, _____ 4, _____ 5, _____ 6, 7 and 8; St_D at points (dashed lines) I and (dash-dotted lines) S_{max} or L on the dispersion curves for the guided jet modes (n_{θ} , $n_r = 1$) using the same colours as for the solid lines.

greenish-grey bands. They strongly decrease on the right side of the bands, all the more 955 rapidly that the peak is at a higher frequency. This is clearly observed, for instance, for 956 the untripped jet in figure 26(b) for $n_{\theta} = 1$. These trends are in agreement with the 957 transfer functions of figure 6(b), revealing that along the dispersion curves of the guided 958 jet modes, the decay of the wave magnitude outside of the jet is maximum around the 959 inflexion point I, and that the decay rate at that point increases with the radial mode 960 number. They provide additional evidence of the importance of the steepness of the band-961 pass filter associated with the latter modes on the near-nozzle peak shape. Regarding 962 the Mach number effects for $M \leq 0.75$, the decrease of the spectra around point I is 963 slower for M = 0.60 in figure 25(a) than for M = 0.75 in figure 25(b). Again, this can be 964 explained by the lower decay rates in the transfer functions for M = 0.60 in figure 6(a) 965 than for M = 0.75 in figure 6(b). 966

To examine the near-nozzle peak properties for a supersonic Mach number, the pressure spectra obtained at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for $n_{\theta} = 0$, 1 and 2 for the jets at M =

30



FIGURE 26. Sound pressure levels obtained at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for the jets at M = 0.75with tripped boundary layers and with untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$ for (a) $n_{\theta} = 0$, (b) $n_{\theta} = 1$ and (c) $n_{\theta} = 2$ as a function of St_D ; allowable ranges for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves (greenish grey) between the Strouhal numbers at points L and I on the dispersion curves of the modes and (light grey) otherwise.



FIGURE 27. Sound pressure levels obtained at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for the jets at M = 1.30with tripped boundary layers and _____ with untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$ for (a) $n_{\theta} = 0$, (b) $n_{\theta} = 1$ and (c) $n_{\theta} = 2$ as a function of St_D; (dark grey) allowable ranges for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves, $- \cdot - \cdot$ Strouhal numbers at points L on the dispersion curves.

1.30 with tripped boundary layers and with untripped boundary layers with δ_{BL} = 969 $0.2r_0$ are gathered in figures 27(a-c). The frequency ranges for the upstream-propagating 970 guided jet waves according to the vortex-sheet model are displayed in grey. Downstream-971 propagating waves are permitted in the bands. The Strouhal numbers at the points L 972 of the dispersion curves of the waves on $k = -\omega/c_0$ are indicated by dash-dotted lines. 973 They allow us to roughly locate the frequencies of the upstream-propagating guided 974 jet waves when these waves are not predicted by the vortex-sheet model, refer to the 975 frequency-wavenumber spectra in figures 23(g,h,i). In figure 27, the peaks are typically 976 one order of magnitude higher for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 than for $n_{\theta} = 2$. They are all near the 977 grey bands, when available, or the dash-dotted lines, otherwise, despite the relatively 978 poor agreement between the dispersion curves given by the model and the simulations 979 in figures 21(d-i) for the jets at M = 1.10 and 2. Therefore, for supersonic jets, the near-980 nozzle peaks can also be related to the upstream-propagating guided jet modes. In this 981 case, interactions are possible between free-stream upstream-propagating and duct-like 982 downstream-propagating waves close to the cut-off frequencies of the modes, as suggested 983 by figures 23(d-i). 984

The Strouhal numbers of the first three peaks associated with the guided jet modes in the near-nozzle spectra are represented as a function of the Mach number in figures 28(ac) for the tripped jets for $n_{\theta} = 0$, 1 and 2 and in figures 29(a,b) for the untripped jets for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1. For the latter jets, the Strouhal numbers of the peaks obtained for $M \leq 0.65$ close to the vortex-pairing frequency, as documented in appendix B, are also shown. The allowable frequency bands for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves,



FIGURE 28. Mach number variations of \circ the Strouhal numbers of the first three peaks in the spectra of pressure fluctuations at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for the jets with tripped boundary layers for (a) $n_{\theta} = 0$, (b) $n_{\theta} = 1$ and (c) $n_{\theta} = 2$; allowable ranges for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves (dark grey) with and (light grey) without downstream-propagating guided waves, points _____ L, ____ S_{max}, _____ S_{min} and _____ I.



FIGURE 29. Mach number variations of the peak Strouhal numbers in the spectra of pressure fluctuations at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for the jets with untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$ for (a) $n_{\theta} = 0$ and (b) $n_{\theta} = 1$: \circ peaks associated with the first three guided jet modes and \diamond peaks at the vortex-pairing frequencies; allowable ranges for the upstream-propagating guided jet waves (dark grey) with and (light grey) without downstream-propagating guided waves, points ______ L, ______ S_{max}, ______ S_{min}, ______ I and _____ I d.

as well as the points L, S_{max} , S_{min} , I and I' on the dispersion curves, defined in section 3 991 based on the vortex-sheet model, are displayed. For both tripped and untripped jets, for 992 all azimuthal modes, the circles remarkably follow the variations of the guided jet modes 993 over the entire Mach number range. They are found between points L and I for $M \leq 0.75$ 994 and points L and S_{max} for $M \ge 0.80$. Thus, they all lie within the frequency ranges 995 over which free-stream upstream-propagating guided jet waves are possible according to 996 figure 6. This further supports that the presence of the near-nozzle peaks is mainly due to 997 a filtering of the upstream-travelling sound waves by the guided jet modes, the amplitude 998 of the waves with frequencies in specific ranges being preserved while that of the other 999 waves decreases because of their evanescent nature. 1000

The intensities, degrees of emergence and full widths at half maximum of the spectral peaks at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ are represented as a function of the Mach number in figure 30 for the tripped jets and in figure 31 for the untripped jets. For the tripped jets, the peaks are those associated with the first radial guided jet modes $n_r = 1$ for $n_{\theta} = 0$, 1 and 2, while for the untripped jets, modes $n_r = 1$ and 2 are both considered for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1. For the latter jets, zoomed views between M = 0.75 and 0.85 are also available in appendix A.

In figures 30(a) and 31(a,d), the peak levels increase roughly as M^8 for $M \le 1$ and as M^3 for $M \ge 1$, following the typical scaling laws of aerodynamic noise for subsonic



FIGURE 30. Near-nozzle peaks associated with the radial modes $n_r = 1$ of the guided jet waves for $\circ n_{\theta} = 0$, $\circ n_{\theta} = 1$ and $\circ n_{\theta} = 2$ for the jets with tripped boundary layers: (a) peak levels, (b) ratios between the peak levels and the minimum values for higher St_D and (c) peak widths as a function of M; $---M^8$, $--M^3$, (dash-dotted lines) ΔSt_D between points I and L or between S_{max} and L using the same colours for n_{θ} as for the circles.



FIGURE 31. Near-nozzle peaks (circles) associated with the guided jet modes (a-c) $n_r = 1$ and (d-f) $n_r = 2$ and (diamonds) at the vortex-pairing frequencies for (red) $n_{\theta} = 0$ and (blue) $n_{\theta} = 1$ for the jets with untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$: (a,d) peak levels, (b,e) ratios between the peak levels and the minimum values for higher St_D and (c,f) peak widths as a function of M; $- - - M^3$, (dash-dotted lines) ΔSt_D between points I and L or between S_{max} and L using the same colours for n_{θ} as for the symbols.

jets (Lighthill 1952) and supersonic jets (Ffowcs Williams 1963). This indicates, unsur-1010 prisingly, that the pressure waves propagating up to the near-nozzle region are acoustic 1011 waves generated by the jets. In most cases, there are no significant deviations from the 1012 M^8 law between M = 0.5 and 1, suggesting that the acoustic resonances which can occur 1013 in the jet potential core for $0.80 \le M \le 1$ have a rather limited effect on the near-nozzle 1014 peak intensities. Given the 3-5 dB excess observed between the red circles and the trend 1015 line around M = 0.85 in figure 31(a), this may, however, not be true for the untripped 1016 jets for the peaks associated with the first radial axisymmetric guided jet mode. In fig-1017 ure 31(a), the levels of the peaks obtained for the untripped jets at low Mach numbers 1018 at the vortex-pairing frequency $St_D \simeq 0.70$ are also represented. For the axisymmetric 1019 mode, they strongly increase as the Mach number decreases. A tone can even be ob-1020 served at $St_D \simeq 0.70$ in the near-nozzle spectrum for the jet at M = 0.50, provided in 1021

C. Bogey

figure 35(a) of appendix B. This may result from a feedback loop establishing between the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability waves and the sound waves generated by the vortex pairings.

Regarding the peak emergence, it is difficult to identify a clear trend in figures 30(b) for 1025 the tripped jets. For the untripped jets, however, the peak emergence gradually increases 1026 from M = 0.50 up to $M \simeq 0.85$ and then decreases with the Mach number for $M \ge 0.85$ 1027 in figures 31(b,e). The increase may be linked to the steepening of the filters induced by the guided jet modes at their upper cut-off frequencies, shown in figure 6(a-c), providing 1029 lower noise levels just above these frequencies. As for the decrease, it may be due to 1030 the fact that at a higher Mach number the bands of the filters are closer to each other, 1031 leading to smaller bands without upstream-propagating guided jet waves as illustrated 1032 in the spectra of figures 23(f,i). 1033

Finally, in figures 30(c) and 31(c,f), the peak widths decrease significantly between 1034 M = 0.50 and 1, and then very slightly for $M \ge 1$. These variations can be explained by 1039 the narrowing of the allowable frequency bands for the free-stream guided jet modes as the 10 Mach number increases. To demonstrate this, the band widths, estimated as the frequency 1037 differences between points L and I or points L and S_{max} on the dispersion curves obtained 1038 using the vortex-sheet model, are plotted. They are in fairly good agreement with the 1039 peak widths nearly up to M = 1 in all cases. Above M = 1, except for the mode ($n_{\theta} = 0$, 1040 $n_r = 2$) in figure 31(f), they are much smaller than the peak widths, which is not 1041 surprising considering the considerable discrepancies observed between the dispersion 1042 curves given by the model and the simulations near $k = -\omega/c_0$ in figures 23(d-i) for 1043 supersonic Mach numbers. 104

¹⁰⁴⁵ 5. Conclusion

In the present paper, the presence and properties of acoustic tones in the pressure 1046 spectra computed near the nozzle of jets have been investigated for isothermal round 1047 jets with different Mach numbers, nozzle-exit boundary-layer thicknesses and turbulence 1048 intensities using large-eddy simulations. For all jets, acoustic peaks appear in the near-1049 nozzle spectra over the whole range of Mach numbers considered, from M = 0.50 up to 1050 M = 2, at frequencies which do not depend appreciably on the initial jet flow conditions. 1051 The peaks have a tonal shape above $M \simeq 0.75$, broaden for lower Mach numbers, and 1053 correspond to peaks in the spectra of the first azimuthal modes $n_{\theta} = 0$ to n_{θ}^{max} , with 1053 n_{θ}^{max} reaching a value of 8 for M = 0.75 for instance. The peak levels increase roughly 1054 as the eighth power of the jet Mach number for M < 1 and then as the third power 1055 for $M \geq 1$, following the typical scaling laws of aerodynamic noise. Their other proper-1056 ties vary continuously with the Mach number, without spectacular changes around the 1057 threshold Strouhal numbers $M \simeq 0.80$ for the downstream-propagating guided jet waves, 1058 and without stage jump for supersonic Mach numbers, contrary to the screeching modes 1059 observed for non ideally expanded jets. In the acoustic far field, the peaks can also be 1060 detected for large radiation angles $\phi \geq 135^{\circ}$ and they are predominant in the upstream 1061 direction. 1062

The properties of the near-nozzle peaks and their links with the acoustic tones emerging in the jet potential core in some cases have been carefully examined by the computations of frequency and frequency-wavenumber spectra inside and outside of the jet flow and comparisons with the dispersion relations and eigenfunctions of the guided jet waves predicted for a vortex-sheet model. The near-nozzle peaks are found to lie within the frequency bands of the upstream-propagating guided jet waves with a significant radial support outside of the jet shear layer. This suggests that they are mainly due to the filter-

34

ing of the upstream-travelling sound waves by the guided jet modes. The sharpness and 1070 prominence of the peaks can thus be explained by the decay rate of the filtering transfer 1071 functions at their cut-off frequencies. Concerning the upstream-propagating guided jet 1072 waves possibly resonating in the jet potential core for high subsonic Mach numbers, only 1073 those close to the cut-off frequencies of the guided jet modes can contribute to the near-1074 nozzle peak. Naturally, for impinging jets or supersonic non-ideally expanded supersonic 1075 jets, the upstream-propagating guided jet waves of the jets are likely to couple with the 1076 Kelvin-Helmholtz instability waves growing in the jet mixing layers to establish intense 1077 aeroacoustic feedback loops. For free, ideally-expanded jets, such a coupling may exist, 1078 but its strength can be expected to depend on the laminar or turbulent state of the 1079 boundary layers at the nozzle exit. This will be discussed in future studies. 1080

1081 Acknowledgments

This work was granted access to the HPC resources of PMCS2I (Pôle de Modélisation 1082 et de Calcul en Sciences de l'Ingénieur et de l'Information) of Ecole Centrale de Lyon, 1083 PSMN (Pôle Scientifique de Modélisation Numérique) of ENS de Lyon and P2CHPD 1084 (Pôle de Calcul Hautes Performances Dédiés) of Université Lyon I, members of FLMSN 1085 (Fédération Lyonnaise de Modélisation et Sciences Numériques), partner of EQUIPEX 1086 EQUIP@MESO, and to the resources of CINES (Centre Informatique National de l'Ensei-1087 gnement Supérieur) and IDRIS (Institut du Développement et des Ressources en Informa-1088 tique Scientifique) under the allocation 2020-2a0204 made by GENCI (Grand Equipement 1089 National de Calcul Intensif). It was performed within the framework of the LABEX 1090 CeLyA (ANR-10-LABX-0060) of Université de Lyon, within the program Investisse-1091 ments d'Avenir (ANR-16-IDEX-0005) operated by the French National Research Agency 1092 (ANR). 1093

1094 Declaration of interests

¹⁰⁹⁵ The author reports no conflict of interest.

Appendix A. Near-nozzle acoustic tones for the untripped jets at Mach numbers varying from 0.75 to 0.85

In this first appendix, the near-nozzle acoustic peaks obtained for the jets with untripped boundary layers at Mach numbers increasing from M = 0.75 up to M = 0.85 in increments of $\Delta M = 0.01$ are examined in order to reveal their possible changes around the Mach numbers below which downstream-propagating guided waves are not permitted in the jets according to the vortex-sheet model.

The spectrograms of pressure fluctuations calculated at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for 1103 $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 are represented in figures 32(a,b) as a function of M and St_D using 1104 logarithmic scales. Lines indicating the Strouhal numbers at the limit points L on k =1105 $-\omega/c_0$, the stationary points S_{max} and S_{min} and the inflexion points I on the dispersion 1106 curves of the guided jet modes according to the vortex-sheet model are also displayed. 1107 Significant energy is found inside the bands between points L and I and then points L 1108 and S_{max} , as expected given the eigenfunction magnitudes obtained in figure 6 for the 1109 waves travelling in the upstream direction outside of the jet flow. These are the bands of 1110 the free-stream guided jet waves. In particular, no notable change seems to occur around 1111 point S_{min} , which marks the cut-on frequency of the waves which can be involved in 1112 resonant mechanisms in the potential core. 1113

C. Bogey



FIGURE 32. Power spectral densities of pressure fluctuations at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$, normalized by their peak values, for (a) $n_{\theta} = 0$ and (b) $n_{\theta} = 1$ for the jets with untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$ as a function of (M, St_D) ; points _____ L, ____ S_{max}, _____ S_{min} and _____ I on the dispersion curves of the guided jet modes. The greyscale ranges logarithmically (a) from 10^{-3} to 10 and (b) from 10^{-2} to 10.



FIGURE 33. Near-nozzle peaks associated with the guided jet modes (a-c) $n_r = 1$ and (d-f) $n_r = 2$ for $\circ n_{\theta} = 0$ and $\circ n_{\theta} = 1$ for the jets with untripped boundary layers with $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$: (a,d) peak levels, (b,e) ratios between the peak levels and the minimum values for higher St_D and (c,f) peak widths as a function of M; ---- M⁸, (dashed lines) Mach number thresholds for the downstream-propagating waves and (dash-dotted lines) ΔSt_D between points I and L or between S_{max} and L using the same colours for n_{θ} as for the circles.

In support of the preceding observations, some properties of the near-nozzle peaks 1114 associated with the guided jet modes $n_r = 1$ and 2 for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and 1 are plotted in 1115 figure 33 as a function of the Mach number. Over the whole Mach number range, the 1116 peak levels grow roughly as M⁸ in figures 33(a,d). The peak prominence increases with 1117 the jet velocity in figures 33(b,e) and the peak full widths at half maximum decrease in 1118 figures 33(b,e), in good agreement with the filter band widths estimated between points L 1119 and I and then points L and S_{max} . Except maybe for the intensity of the peaks for mode 1120 $(n_{\theta} = 0, n_r = 1)$ in figure 33(a), there are no significant variations near the threshold 1121 Mach numbers for the downstream-propagating guided jet waves. 1122





FIGURE 34. Power spectral densities of radial velocity fluctuations for the jets at M = 0.50 with untripped boundary layers with (a) $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$, (b) $\delta_{BL} = 0.1r_0$ and (c) $\delta_{BL} = 0.05r_0$, at $r = r_0$ and $z = 3.6r_0$, $2r_0$ and $1.2r_0$, respectively: _______ full spectra, ______ $n_{\theta} = 0$ and _______ $n_{\theta} = 1$; $- - St_{\theta} = f\delta_{\theta}(z = 0)/u_j = 0.007$.

Appendix B. Near-nozzle tones at the vortex-pairing frequency for the untripped jets at low Mach numbers

In this second appendix, results obtained for the three jets at M = 0.50 with untripped boundary layers of thickness $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$, $0.1r_0$ and $0.05r_0$ are provided in order to investigate the origin of the peaks at $St \simeq 0.70$ in the near-nozzle spectra for the untripped jets with $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$ for $M \le 0.6$.

In order to determine the frequencies of the first vortex pairings in the mixing layers, 1129 the spectra of radial velocity fluctuations computed for the three jets at $r = r_0$ for 1130 $z = 3.6r_0, 2r_0$ and $1.2r_0$, that is approximately at the positions of the vortex pairings, 1131 are plotted in figures 34(a-c). The spectra for $n_{\theta} = 0$ and $n_{\theta} = 1$ are also depicted. For 1132 all jets, peaks at harmonic frequencies are observed. The dominant ones are centered 1133 around the vortex-pairing frequencies, providing $St_{\theta} = f \delta_{\theta}(z=0)/u_j \simeq 0.007$ when 1134 normalized by the nozzle-exit momentum thickness. This St_{θ} value corresponds to half 1135 of the frequency of the initial instability waves in laminar shear layers (Zaman & Hussain 1136 1981; Gutmark & Ho 1983). 1137

The spectra of pressure fluctuations at z = 0 and $r = 1.5r_0$ for the full pressure signal 1138 and for the first two azimuthal modes are reported in figures 35(a-c). The vortex-pairing 1139 frequencies obtained from the velocity spectra are indicated by a mixed line. At these 1140 frequencies, a tone clearly emerges in figure 35(a) for $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$, a small hump is visible 1141 in figure 35(b) for $\delta_{BL} = 0.1r_0$, but no specific components are found in figure 35(c) for 1142 $\delta_{BL} = 0.05r_0$. Therefore, the peaks in the near-nozzle spectra for the untripped jets with 1143 $\delta_{BL} = 0.2r_0$ for low Mach numbers are related to the vortex-pairing process, and vanish 1144 for a thinner boundary layer. In addition, the tonal shape of the peak for M = 0.50 in 1145 figure 35(a) suggests the establishment of an feedback loop between the growing Kelvin-1146 Helmholtz instability waves and the upstream-propagating sound waves generated by the 1147 first stage of vortex pairings in the shear layers in that case. The possibility of such a 1148 feedback mechanism in free jets, similar to that found in impinging jets (Ho & Nosseir 1149 1981), was proposed forty years ago by Laufer & Monkewitz (1980) and Ho & Huang 1150 (1982), for instance. 1151

REFERENCES

1152	AHUJA, K. K., TESTER, B. J. & TANNA, H. K. 1987 Calculation of far field jet noise spectra
1153	from near field measurements with true source location. J. Sound Vib. 116 (3), 415–426.

- ARNDT, R. E. A., LONG, D. F. & GLAUSER, M. N. 1997 The proper orthogonal decomposition
 of pressure fluctuations surrounding a turbulent jet. J. Fluid Mech. 340, 1–33.
- BERLAND, J., BOGEY, C., MARSDEN, O. & BAILLY, C. 2007 High-order, low dispersive and low



dissipative explicit schemes for multiple-scale and boundary problems. J. Comput. Phys.
 224 (2), 637–662.

- BOGEY, C. 2018 Grid sensitivity of flow field and noise of high-Reynolds-number jets computed
 by large-eddy simulation. Int. J. Aeroacoust. 17 (4-5), 399–424.
- BOGEY, C. 2021 Generation of excess noise by jets with highly disturbed laminar boundary-layer
 profiles. AIAA J. 59 (2), 569–579.

BOGEY, C. & BAILLY, C. 2004 A family of low dispersive and low dissipative explicit schemes
 for flow and noise computations. J. Comput. Phys. 194 (1), 194–214.

BOGEY, C. & BAILLY, C. 2006 Large eddy simulations of transitional round jets: influence of
 the Reynolds number on flow development and energy dissipation. *Phys. Fluids* 18 (6),
 065101.

BOGEY, C. & BAILLY, C. 2010 Influence of nozzle-exit boundary-layer conditions on the flow and acoustic fields of initially laminar jets. J. Fluid Mech. **663**, 507–539.

- BOGEY, C., BARRÉ, S., FLEURY, V., BAILLY, C. & JUVÉ, D. 2007 Experimental study of the spectral properties of near-field and far-field jet noise. *Int. J. Aeroacoust.* 6 (2), 73–92.
- BOGEY, C., DE CACQUERAY, N. & BAILLY, C. 2009 A shock-capturing methodology based
 on adaptative spatial filtering for high-order non-linear computations. J. Comput. Phys.
 1174 228 (5), 1447–1465.
- BOGEY, C., DE CACQUERAY, N. & BAILLY, C. 2011a Finite differences for coarse azimuthal discretization and for reduction of effective resolution near origin of cylindrical flow equations.
 J. Comput. Phys. 230 (4), 1134–1146.
- ¹¹⁷⁸ BOGEY, C. & GOJON, R. 2017 Feedback loop and upwind-propagating waves in ideally-¹¹⁷⁹ expanded supersonic impinging round jets. J. Fluid Mech. **823**, 562–591.
- BOGEY, C. & MARSDEN, O. 2016 Simulations of initially highly disturbed jets with experiment like exit boundary layers. AIAA J. 54 (4), 1299–1312.
- ¹¹²² BOGEY, C., MARSDEN, O. & BAILLY, C. 2011b Large-eddy simulation of the flow and acoustic ¹¹²³ fields of a Reynolds number 10^5 subsonic jet with tripped exit boundary layers. *Phys. Fluids* ¹¹²⁴ **23** (3), 035104.
- BOGEY, C., MARSDEN, O. & BAILLY, C. 2011c On the spectra of nozzle-exit velocity disturbances in initially nominally turbulent, transitional jets. *Phys. Fluids* 23 (9), 091702.
- ¹¹⁸⁷ BOGEY, C., MARSDEN, O. & BAILLY, C. 2012 Influence of initial turbulence level on the flow ¹¹⁸⁸ and sound fields of a subsonic jet at a diameter-based Reynolds number of 10^5 . J. Fluid ¹¹⁸⁹ Mech. **701**, 352–385.
- BOGEY, C. & SABATINI, R. 2019 Effects of nozzle-exit boundary-layer profile on the initial shear-layer instability, flow field and noise of subsonic jets. J. Fluid Mech. 876, 288–325.
- BRÈS, G. A., JORDAN, P., JAUNET, V., LE RALLIC, M., CAVALIERI, A. V. G., TOWNE,
 A., LELE, S. K., COLONIUS, T. & SCHMIDT, O. T. 2018 Importance of the nozzle-exit
 boundary-layer state in subsonic turbulent jets. J. Fluid Mech. 851, 83–124.
- BRÈS, G. A. & LELE, S. K. 2019 Modelling of jet noise: a perspective from large-eddy simula tions. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 377 (2159), 20190081.
- BRIDGES, J. & BROWN, C. A. 2005 Validation of the small hot jet acoustic rig for aeroacoustic
 research. *Tech. Rep.* 2005-2846. AIAA Paper.

- BROWN, G. L. & ROSHKO, A. 1974 On density effects and large structure in turbulent mixing
 layers. J. Fluid Mech. 64 (4), 775–816.
- CAVALIERI, A. V. G., JORDAN, P., COLONIUS, T. & GERVAIS, Y. 2012 Axisymmetric superdi rectivity in subsonic jets. J. Fluid Mech. 704, 388–420.
- COLONIUS, T., LELE, S. K. & MOIN, P. 1997 Sound generation in a mixing layer. J. Fluid
 Mech. 330, 375–409.
- EDGINGTON-MITCHELL, D. 2019 Aeroacoustic resonance and self-excitation in screeching and
 impinging supersonic jets A review. Int. J. Aeroacoust. 18 (2-3), 118–188.
- EDGINGTON-MITCHELL, D., JAUNET, V., JORDAN, P., TOWNE, A., SORIA, J. & HONNERY, D.
 2018 Upstream-travelling acoustic jet modes as a closure mechanism for screech. J. Fluid
 Mech. 855, R1.
- EDGINGTON-MITCHELL, D., WANG, T., NOGUEIRA, P., SCHMIDT, O., JAUNET, V., DUKE, D., JORDAN, P. & TOWNE, A. 2021 Waves in screeching jets. J. Fluid Mech. 913, A7.
- FAUCONNIER, D., BOGEY, C. & DICK, E. 2013 On the performance of relaxation filtering for large-eddy simulation. J. Turbulence 14 (1), 22–49.
- FFOWCS WILLIAMS, J. E. 1963 The noise from turbulence convected at high speed. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* 255 (1061), 469–503.
- FFOWCS WILLIAMS, J. E., SIMSON, J. & VIRCHIS, V. J. 1975 'Crackle': an annoying component of jet noise. J. Fluid Mech. **71** (2), 251–271.
- GOJON, R., BOGEY, C. & MARSDEN, O. 2016 Investigation of tone generation in ideally expanded supersonic planar impinging jets using large-eddy simulation. J. Fluid Mech. 808, 90–115.
- GOJON, R., BOGEY, C. & MIHAESCU, M. 2018 Oscillation modes in screeching jets. AIAA J.
 56 (7), 2918–2924.
- 1223 GUTMARK, E. & Ho, C.-M. 1983 Preferred modes and the spreading rates of jets. *Phys. Fluids* 1224 **26** (10), 2932–2938.
- HO, C.M. & NOSSEIR, N.S. 1981 Dynamics of an impinging jet. Part 1. The feedback phenomenon. J. Fluid Mech. 105, 119–142.
- Ho, C.-M. & HUANG, L.-S. 1982 Subharmonics and vortex merging in mixing layers. J. Fluid
 Mech. 119, 443–473.
- JAUNET, V., JORDAN, P., CAVALIERI, A. V. G., TOWNE, A., COLONIUS, T., SCHMIDT, O. &
 BRÈS, G. A. 2016 Tonal dynamics and sound in free and installed turbulent jets. *Tech. Rep.* 2016-3016. AIAA Paper.
- JAUNET, V., MANCINELLI, M., JORDAN, P., TOWNE, A., EDGINGTON-MITCHELL, D. M.,
 LEHNASCH, G. & GIRARD, S. 2019 Dynamics of round jet impingement. *Tech. Rep.* 2019 2769. AIAA Paper.
- JORDAN, P., JAUNET, V., TOWNE, A., CAVALIERI, A. V. G., COLONIUS, T., SCHMIDT, O. &
 AGARWAL, A. 2018 Jet-flap interaction tones. J. Fluid Mech. 853, 333–358.
- ¹²³⁷ JUVÉ, D., SUNYACH, M. & COMTE-BELLOT, G. 1979 Filtered azimuthal correlations in the acoustic far field of a subsonic jet. *AIAA J.* **17** (1), 112–113.
- KREMER, F. & BOGEY, C. 2015 Large-eddy simulation of turbulent channel flow using relaxation
 filtering: Resolution requirement and Reynolds number effects. *Comput. Fluids* **116**, 17–28.
- LAU, J. C., MORRIS, P. J. & FISHER, M. J. 1979 Measurements in subsonic and supersonic free jets using a laser velocimeter. J. Fluid Mech. **93** (1), 1–27.
- LAUFER, J. & MONKEWITZ, P. 1980 On turbulent jet flows: a new perspective. *Tech. Rep.*80-0962. AIAA Paper.
- LAUFER, J., SCHLINKER, R. & KAPLAN, R. E. 1976 Experiments on supersonic jet noise. AIAA
 J. 14 (4).
- ¹²⁴⁷ LI, X., ZHANG, X., HAO, P. & HE, F. 2020 Acoustic feedback loops for screech tones of ¹²⁴⁸ underexpanded free round jets at different modes. J. Fluid Mech. **902**, A17.
- LIGHTHILL, M. J. 1952 On sound generated aerodynamically i. General theory. Proc. Roy. Soc. A **211** (1107), 564–587.
- LYRINTZIS, A. S. & CODERONI, M. 2020 Overview of the use of large-eddy simulations in jet aeroacoustics. AIAA J. 58 (4), 1620–1638.
- 1253 MANCINELLI, M., JAUNET, V., JORDAN, P. & TOWNE, A. 2019 Screech-tone prediction using 1254 upstream-travelling jet modes. *Exp Fluids* **60** (1), 22.
- 1255 MICHALKE, A. 1984 Survey on jet instability theory. Prog. Aerosp. Sci. 21, 159–199.

- MOHSENI, K. & COLONIUS, T. 2000 Numerical treatment of polar coordinate singularities. J.
 Comput. Phys. 157 (2), 787–795.
- MOLLO-CHRISTENSEN, E., KOLPIN, M. A. & MARTUCELLI, J. R. 1964 Experiments on jet flows and jet noise far-field spectra and directivity patterns. J. Fluid Mech. 18 (2), 285–301.
- MORRIS, P. J. 2010 The instability of high speed jets. Int. J. Aeroacoust. 9 (1-2), 1-50.
- POWELL, A. 1953 On edge tones and associated phenomena. Acta Acust. United Ac. 3 (4), 233–243.
- RAMAN, G. 1998 Advances in understanding supersonic jet screech: Review and perpective.
 Prog. Aerosp. Sci. 34 (1), 45–106.
- SCHMIDT, O., TOWNE, A., COLONIUS, T., CAVALIERI, A. V. G., JORDAN, P. & BRÈS, G. A.
 2017 Wavepackets and trapped acoustic modes in a turbulent jet: Coherent structure eduction and global stability. J. Fluid Mech. 825, 1153–1181.
- SHEN, H. & TAM, C. K. W. 2002 Three-dimensional numerical simulation of the jet screech
 phenomenon. AIAA J. 40 (1), 33–41.
- SUZUKI, T. & COLONIUS, T. 2006 Instability waves in a subsonic round jet detected using a near-field phased microphone array. J. Fluid Mech. 565, 197–226.
- 1272 TAM, C. K. W. 1998 Jet noise: Since 1952. Theor. Comput. Fluid Dyn. 10 (1-4), 393-405.
- ¹²⁷³ TAM, C. K. W. & AHUJA, K. K. 1990 Theoretical model of discrete tone generation by im-¹²⁷⁴ pinging jets. J. Fluid Mech. **214**, 67–87.
- TAM, C. K. W. & CHANDRAMOULI, S. 2020 Jet-plate interaction tones relevant to over-the-wing
 engine mount concept. J. Sound Vib. 486, 115378.
- TAM, C. K. W & DONG, Z. 1996 Radiation and outflow boundary conditions for direct com putation of acoustic and flow disturbances in a nonuniform mean flow. J. Comput. Acous.
 4 (2), 175–201.
- TAM, C. K. W. & HU, F. Q. 1989 On the three families of instability waves of high-speed jets.
 J. Fluid Mech. 201, 447–483.
- TAM, C. K. W. & NORUM, T. D. 1992 Impingement tones of large aspect ratio supersonic rectangular jets. AIAA J. **30** (2), 304–311.
- TOWNE, A., CAVALIERI, A. V. G., JORDAN, P., COLONIUS, T., SCHMIDT, O., JAUNET, V. &
 BRÈS, G. A. 2017 Acoustic resonance in the potential core of subsonic jets. J. Fluid Mech.
 825, 1113–1152.
- ¹²⁸⁷ TOWNE, A., SCHMIDT, O. T. & BRÈS, G. A. 2019 An investigation of the Mach number dependence of trapped acoustic waves in turbulent jets. *Tech. Rep.* 2019-2546. AIAA Paper.
- VISWANATHAN, K. 2010 Distributions of noise sources in heated and cold jets: are they different?
 Int. J. Aeroacoust. 9 (4-5), 589–625.
- WEIGHTMAN, J. L., AMILI, O., HONNERY, D., EDGINGTON-MITCHELL, D. & SORIA, J. 2019
 Nozzle external geometry as a boundary condition for the azimuthal mode selection in an impinging underexpanded jet. J. Fluid Mech. 862, 421–448.
- ¹²⁹⁴ WHITHAM, G. B. 1974 *Linear and nonlinear waves*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- ¹²⁹⁵ WINANT, C. & BROWAND, F. 1974 Vortex pairing : the mechanism of turbulent mixing-layer ¹²⁹⁶ growth at moderate reynolds number. J. Fluid Mech. **63** (2), 237–255.
- ¹²⁹⁷ ZAMAN, K. B. M. Q. 1985 Effect of initial condition on subsonic jet noise. *AIAA J.* **23** (9), ¹²⁹⁸ 1370–1373.
- ZAMAN, K. B. M. Q. & FAGAN, A. F. 2019 Near-exit pressure fluctuations in jets from circular
 and rectangular nozzles. *Tech. Rep.* 2019-220383. NASA TM.
- ZAMAN, K. B. M. Q., FAGAN, A. F., BRIDGES, J. E. & BROWN, C. A. 2015 An experimental investigation of resonant interaction of a rectangular jet with a flat plate. J. Fluid Mech. **779**, 751–775.
- ZAMAN, K. B. M. Q. & HUSSAIN, A. K. M. F. 1981 Turbulence suppression in free shear flows
 by controlled excitation. J. Fluid Mech. 103, 133–159.