



**HAL**  
open science

## **Dimensioning an FPGA for Real-Time Implementation of State of the Art Neural Network-Based HPA Predistorter**

Abdelhamid Louliej, Younes Jabrane, Víctor P Gil Jiménez, Frédéric Guilloud

### ► **To cite this version:**

Abdelhamid Louliej, Younes Jabrane, Víctor P Gil Jiménez, Frédéric Guilloud. Dimensioning an FPGA for Real-Time Implementation of State of the Art Neural Network-Based HPA Predistorter. *Electronics*, 2021, 10 (13), <10.3390/electronics10131538>. <hal-03271275>

**HAL Id: hal-03271275**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03271275v1>**

Submitted on 25 Jun 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 - Attribution - International License

## Article

# Dimensioning an FPGA for Real-Time Implementation of State of the Art Neural Network-Based HPA Predistorter

Abdelhamid Louliej <sup>1</sup> , Younes Jabrane <sup>2</sup> , Víctor P. Gil Jiménez <sup>3,\*</sup>  and Frédéric Guilloud <sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup> SHTC Team, Ibn Zohr University, Agadir 80000, Morocco; a.louliej@uca.ac.ma<sup>2</sup> MSC Lab, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakech 40000, Morocco; y.jabrane@uca.ma<sup>3</sup> Department of Signal Theory and Communications, University Carlos III de Madrid, 28911 Leganés, Madrid, Spain<sup>4</sup> IMT Atlantique, Lab-STICC, UMR CNRS 6285, F-29238 Brest, France; frederic.guilloud@imt-atlantique.fr

\* Correspondence: vgil@tsc.uc3m.es; Tel.: +34-916-245-973

**Abstract:** Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) is one of the key modulations for current and novel broadband communications standards. For example, Multi-band Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (MB-OFDM) is an excellent choice for the ECMA-368 Ultra Wideband (UWB) wireless communication standard. Nevertheless, the high Peak to Average Power Ratio (PAPR) of MB-OFDM UWB signals reduces the power efficiency of the key element in mobile devices, the High Power Amplifier (HPA), due to non-linear distortion, known as the non-linear saturation of the HPA. In order to deal with this limiting problem, a new and efficient pre-distorter scheme using a Neural Networks (NN) is proposed and also implemented on Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA). This solution based on the pre-distortion concept of HPA non-linearities offers a good trade-off between complexity and performance. Some tests and validation have been conducted on the two types of HPA: Travelling Wave Tube Amplifiers (TWTA) and Solid State Power Amplifiers (SSPA). The results show that the proposed pre-distorter design presents low complexity and low error rate. Indeed, the implemented architecture uses 10% of DSP (Digital Signal Processing) blocks and 1% of LUTs (Look up Table) in case of SSPA, whereas it only uses 1% of LUTs in case of TWTA. In addition, it allows us to conclude that advanced machine learning techniques can be efficiently implemented in hardware with the adequate design.

**Keywords:** ECMA-368; MB-OFDM; HPA; PAPR; pre-distortion; neural networks; FPGA



**Citation:** Louliej, A.; Jabrane, Y.; Jiménez, V.P.G.; Guilloud, F. Dimensioning an FPGA for Real-Time Implementation of State of the Art Neural Network-Based HPA Predistorter. *Electronics* **2021**, *10*, 1538. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics10131538>

Academic Editors: Alexander Gegov and Raheleh Jafari

Received: 8 May 2021

Accepted: 20 June 2021

Published: 25 June 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Ultra Wideband (UWB) technology has been deployed for broadband wired and wireless communications since in February 2002, the implementation of a regulation gave authorization on the use of UWB technology for telecommunications consumer in the United States by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). Once the frequency band of 7.5 GHz not subject to licensing (FCC 02-48) has been allotted, the FCC welcomed the very high data rate (beyond Gbps) wireless communications. UWB was basically linked to waveforms without carriers (carrier-free) built from very short pulses [1,2]. In this way, a simple approved definition considered that these signals having a fractional bandwidth  $FB \geq 0.25$  with a frequency bandwidth  $\geq 500$  MHz can be considered UWB [2].

OFDM is a modulation technology that guarantees an orthogonality in the frequency domain since it uses the sinusoidal basis function [3]. Then, a cyclic prefix or zero padding is added to each symbol, which makes it possible to avoid the inter-symbol interference (ISI) due to the multipath channel. However, if the orthogonality between the sub-carriers is lost, it results in inter-carrier-interference (ICI), and thus OFDM system performances is degraded. In order to decrease the impact of these problems, a new Multi-band Orthogonal Frequency Division Modulation (MB-OFDM) system has been proposed in [4]. To make wireless connectivity possible between devices within the personal area network. At the

same time, it is important to undergo the European Conformity (CE) and the multimedia industry current needs in the field of wireless personal area network (WPAN) with very high data-rates or for their use in future Wireless Universal Serial Bus (WUSB/IEEE 1394) [5], different standards have been implemented: Multi-band OFDM Alliance (MBOA), Wimedia and ECMA-368 [6]. In this paper, the ECMA-368 Standard is used. It points out the MB-OFDM scheme to transmit information for a wireless personal area network, however identically to all OFDM based communications systems, the ECMA-368 undergoes the large Peak to Average Power Ratio (PAPR), this drawback reduces the High Power Amplifier (HPA) efficiency at the transmitter.

In the ECMA-368 system, very efficient amplifiers are used, mainly of two different types, namely, Traveling Wave Tube Amplifiers (TWTA) and Solid State Power Amplifiers (SSPA). Unfortunately, these amplifiers are highly non-linear and thus, in order to avoid the distortion of the signal, large back-offs are needed. As result, the efficiency is substantially reduced. This phenomenon leads to the in-band distortion, which increases the bit error rate (BER) [7] and the out-band spectral re-growth which also increases adjacent channel interference. Numerous methods have been proposed to overcome the high PAPR problem in OFDM signals [8,9]. Among these techniques, the HPA pre-distorter [10] is one of the most promising ones because it avoids the increase on transmit energy, it does not need side information which reduces efficiency, it is only needed to be applied at the transmitter, which eases the implementation, and it does not increase the BER, among other advantages.

It should be noted that most of the results and designing parameters in this paper can also be extended to other OFDM standards [11–14].

TWTA and SSPA are the most efficient amplifiers, and are the two main amplifier choices for space-based RF communications. TWTA and SSPA are generally more advantageous for higher power and higher frequencies, at a reduced size, cost, and with improved thermal performance. Unfortunately, these amplifiers are highly non-linear [15], hence, a large back-off [16] is needed to mitigate the signal distortion. As outcome, the efficiency is substantially reduced.

To run off a large back-off, several techniques were used to reduce the power envelope fluctuations (PAPR) [8,17]. However, the non-linearity of HPA [18] still provoke a signal distortion, compromising the system performance. These non-linearity can be identified in the amplitude with the Amplitude Modulation/Amplitude Modulation (AM/AM), and in the phase with the Amplitude Modulation/Phase Modulation (AM/PM) functions [19]. In addition, those techniques, as indicated earlier, increase transmission power due to the expansion on the transmitted constellation.

In order to overcome this problem, we can pre-distort the signal before the HPA like to linearize the HPA, i.e., to linearize the AM/AM and AM/PM characteristics of the HPA. In order to better understand the effect and issues with AM/AM and AM/PM distortion, please see [20] and the references therein.

Artificial NNs are being successfully applied through a wide range of complex computational problems [21,22]. Among the different NN architectures [23], Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) is the most used one. It connects a set of input data to an appropriate output's set by using a supervised training techniques. In this paper, we developed a very simple pre-distorter architecture based on two MLPs for, respectively, AM/AM and AM/PM conversions. Then, two HPA models, namely, TWTA and SSPA [24] have been tested. Finally, the implementation of the proposal on FPGA is described and analyzed showing that it is well suited to future wireless communications systems [25].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the NN models for HPA pre-distortion. Section 3 presents the implementation of our proposed design on FPGA. Then, results are detailed in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 summarizes the conclusion of the paper.

## 2. NN Models for HPA Pre-Distortion

First, in this section, the signal model and the NN design are described and analyzed.

### 2.1. Transmitted Signal

The transmitted radio frequency ECMA-368 signal can be mathematically described as [6]

$$x(t) = \Re \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{N_{pq}-1} S_n(t - nT_{SYM}) \exp(j2\pi\mathcal{F}q(n)t) \right\} \tag{1}$$

where  $\Re\{\cdot\}$  stands for the real part of the signal,  $T_{SYM}$  is the symbol duration,  $N_{pq}$  is the number of symbols that every packet has,  $\mathcal{F}$  is the center frequency, and  $q(n)$  is a the mapping function of the  $n^{th}$  symbol to the appropriate frequency.

Once the signal has been described, the pre-distortion scheme and the proposal will be presented. It should be highlighted that, although this paper uses the ECMA-368 standard for describing the signal and obtaining the results, all the recommendations and analysis can be easily extrapolated to other MB-OFDM or even OFDM system or standard, which makes the contribution of this paper very valuable.

### 2.2. HPA Pre-Distortion Concept

The model for a HPA can be characterized by the AM/AM and the AM/PM distortion, as it can be seen in Figure 1. The output  $y(t)$  of the non-linear amplifiers TWTA and SSPA corresponding to  $x(t)$  input, is expressed as

$$y(t) = AM(|x(t)|)e^{j[PM(|x(t)|)+\phi(x(t))]} \tag{2}$$

where  $AM(\cdot)$  and  $PM(\cdot)$  are, respectively, the AM/AM and AM/PM distortion functions. According to Saleh’s model [26], the AM/AM and AM/PM conversions can be written as

$$AM(|x(t)|) = \frac{\alpha_{||}|x(t)|}{(1 + \beta_{||}|x(t)|^2)} \tag{3}$$

$$PM(|x(t)|) = \frac{\alpha_{PM}|x(t)|^2}{(1 + \beta_{PM}|x(t)|^2)} \tag{4}$$

where  $\alpha_{||}$  is the small signal gain,  $A_{sat} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_{||}}}$  is the input saturation voltage of TWTA or SSPA, and  $A_{max} = \frac{\alpha_{||}}{2\sqrt{\beta_{||}}} = \frac{\alpha_{||}A_{sat}}{2}$  is the maximum output amplitude.




Figure 1. HPA’s operating principle.

The modified Rapp model [27] is used instead for the SSPA case. Where, the AM/AM and AM/PM conversions is given as

$$AM'(|x(t)|) = g \frac{|x(t)|}{(1 + (\frac{g|x(t)|}{A_{sat}})^{2s})^{\frac{1}{2s}}} \tag{5}$$

$$PM'(|x(t)|) = \frac{\alpha|x(t)|^{c1}}{(1 + (\frac{|x(t)|}{\beta})^{c2})} \tag{6}$$

where  $g$  is the small gain signal,  $s$  is a smoothness factor parameter,  $A_{sat}$  is the saturation level with a similar meaning as in the TWTA, and parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are adjusted to match the amplifier's characteristics.

A common parameter in HPA is the Input Back Off ( $IBO$ ), which is defined as

$$IBO = 10 \log_{10} \frac{P_{sat}}{P_{avg}} \tag{7}$$

where the  $P_{sat}$  represents the saturation input power and  $P_{avg}$  denotes the average input power. The  $IBO$  accounts for how much power need to be reduced to obtain a low level of distortion output signal, and it is usually understood as a loss in link budget analysis. A summary of these operating principle is illustrated in Figure 1.


The concept of pre-distortion is to compensate the AM/AM and AM/PM distortion with an inverse non-linearity. With the formulated AM/AM and AM/PM pre-distortion functions, the amplifier input can be re-written as

$$y(t) = AM^{-1}(|x(t)|)e^{j[PM^{-1}(|x(t)|)+\phi(x(t))]} \tag{8}$$

where  $AM^{-1}(|x(t)|)$  and  $PM^{-1}(x(t))$  are the AM/AM and the AM/PM pre-distorter functions, respectively. The pre-distorter output can be expressed as

$$z(t) = AM^{-1}(|y(t)|)e^{j[PM^{-1}(|y(t)|)+\phi(y(t))]} \tag{9}$$

It should be highlighted here that, although these pre-distortion schemes allow the use of lower  $IBO$  than other techniques, we still need an  $IBO$  to absorb the near-flat part of the curve due to the hard saturation in AM/AM characteristic (see Figure 2). In the case of the amplifiers in Figure 2, it should be around 4 dB of  $IBO$  for the SSPA (worst case), around 2 dB for TWT2 and 1 dB for TWT1, while other techniques would probably need an  $IBO$  of around 8-10 dB. Of course, it will depend on the specific HPA characteristics and the hard saturation point. Since a minimum  $IBO$  is needed, the dynamic range of the HPA is reduced. However, the higher the  $IBO$  the lower the dynamic range. Thus, with pre-distortion techniques, since the  $IBO$  can be lowered, so the the dynamic range can be larger than with other techniques.



**Figure 2.** HPA non-linear response for amplitude (left) and phase (right). TWT1:  $\alpha_{||} = 2, \beta_{||} = 1, \alpha_{PM} = 4, \beta_{PM} = 9$ , TWT2:  $\alpha_{||} = 3, \beta_{||} = 2, \alpha_{PM} = 2, \beta_{PM} = 7$ , SSPA: NEC GaAs [10].

### 2.3. NN Pre-Distorter Architecture

The main idea behind the concept of pre-distortion is the aim of introducing inverse nonlinearities that can compensate the AM/AM and AM/PM distortion of the HPA. In order to ease the design of the predistorter and to accelerate the identification, two MLP NN are proposed for  $AM^{-1}(|x(t)|)$  and  $PM^{-1}(|x(t)|)$ . The first one synthesizes

the AM/AM pre-distortion function while the second one synthesizes the AM/PM pre-distortion function.

Each MLP NN is off-line trained using the levenberg-marquardt algorithm [28]. Once it is already trained, it is ready for the continuous and real-time operation. The maximum number of epochs = 1000, and the mean squared error (MSE) is fixed to be less than or equal to 1E-6. The off-line training process is depicted in Figure 3 and is processed according to the following steps:

1. Decompose the original signal  $x(t)$  into magnitude  $|x(t)|$  and phase angle  $\phi(x(t))$ .
2. Apply the HPA AM/AM conversion function to the original magnitude  $|x(t)|$  to obtain HPA magnitude  $AM(|x(t)|)$ .
3. Apply the HPA AM/PM conversion function to the original magnitude  $|x(t)|$  to obtain HPA phase angle  $PM(|x(t)|)$ .
4. Train the NN AM/AM pre-distorter model using magnitudes  $[|x(t)|, AM(|x(t)|)]$  to synthesize the  $AM^{-1}$  pre-distorter function.
5. Calculate the difference between the HPA AM/PM output and original phase angle ( $PM(|x(t)|) - \phi(x(t))$ ).
6. Train the NN AM/PM pre-distorter model using the original magnitude ( $|x(t)|$ ) and  $PM(|x(t)|) - \phi(x(t))$ .
7. Finally, using NN models, the pre-distorters magnitude ( $AM^{-1}(|x(t)|)$ ) and phase angle ( $PM^{-1}(|x(t)|)$ ) signals are generated.




Figure 3. Pre-distorter training phase.

Following off-line training, both NN models are used before the high-power amplifier, as shown in Figure 4. We would like to highlight that the examples of amplifiers used in this paper are only meant to obtain results and validate the performance of the proposed pre-distorter scheme. Once trained with the specific amplifier response, the NN models are able to pre-distort the input signal adequately.




Figure 4. Pre-distorter architecture.

It is worth noting that the amplifier’s response can vary during the time. However, if the NNs have been trained with enough data and possibilities, the NNs will be able to

follow these changes real time even if the amplifier changes its behavior. Obviously, there is a limitation on the possible changes, but it is robust enough for normal operation.

Going deep into the NN architecture of each MLP pre-distorter used, in Figures 3 and 4 consists in 3 layers as illustrated in Figure 5.

- The input layer: receives the input signal of the system.
- The hidden layer includes:
  - $n = 4$  neurons adopting triangular basis (**tribas**) function in case of TWTA.
  - $n = 2$  neurons with radial basis (**radbas**) function in case of SSPA.
- The output layer: with a single neuron using a linear activation function.




Figure 5. Multilayer perceptrons neural networks pre-distorter.

Mathematically each neuron output can be expressed as

$$y = f(u), u = \sum_{i=1}^L W_i P_i + b \tag{10}$$

where  $P$  is an input vector  $P = (P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_i)^T$ ,  $W$  is set of synaptic connections also known as the set of weights  $W = (W_1, W_2, W_3, \dots, W_i)^T$  these weights multiply the input to get  $WP$  and  $b$  is the added bias to  $WP$ . For the MLP NN hidden layer,  $L = 1$  is the number of inputs of each neuron,  $f(u) = \text{tribas}(u)$  is a **tribas** function (TWTA) and  $f(u) = e^{-u^2}$  is a radial basis function (SSPA) while for the MLP NN output layer  $L = 4$  in case of TWTA,  $L = 2$  in case of SSPA and  $f(u) = u$  is a linear function.

Since **radbas** function uses an exponential calculation, in [29,30], authors proposed a new approximation to express the exponential function using Taylor series. It has been shown that it consumes less FPGA resources and does not require any memory blocks. In fact, in this paper, this approximation for the SSPA predistorter is adopted.

### 3. FPGA Implementation

To implement the proposed NN pre-distorters, an FPGA has been used for the benefits they offer [31,32]. Indeed an FPGA enables a higher sampling frequency, tolerates higher

data rates and provides real-time processing [33]. Since the training of the NN pre-distorters is carried out off-line, only the real-time part, i.e., their layers, will be implemented on an FPGA, without the need of implementing the learning algorithm.

Figures 6 and 7 represent the architecture of a neural networks, of the AM/AM pre-distorter for TWTA and SSPA, respectively, implemented using Xilinx system generator [34]. To achieve the proposed implementations signed fixed-point representation has been adopted, allowing a better computational speed and minimal resources consumption at expenses of a reduced degradation. The number of bits has been optimized to obtain the best trade-off between speed, space and degradation. Each sample is encoded on 16 bits: 5 bits are reserved for the integer part, 10 bits for the fractional part and one bit of sign. It should be noted that the number of bits at the transmitter side is not usually a problem and it is fixed to the maximum number of bits at Digital to Analog Converter (DAC) to maximize the dynamic range of the transmitted signal. It is worth noting that for AM/PM pre-distorter, the same NN architecture implementation is adopted, the difference is that the weights and bias take a different values, for TWTA and SSPA, respectively. It should be noted that complex multipliers are needed to operate in the output layer, which corresponds to a four real-valued multipliers pipe-line architecture in the implementation as a trade-off between complexity, efficiency and resources.




Figure 6. Implementation for NN AM/AM TWTA pre-distorter.




Figure 7. Implementation for NN AM/AM SSPA pre-distorter.

Figure 8 represents the Register Transfer Level (RTL) schematic of Figures 6 and 7, while Figures 9 and 10 represent a zoom on both entities AM/AM and AM/PM of Figure 8.




Figure 8. RTL Schematic for NN TWTA and SSPA pre-distorters.




Figure 9. A zoom on NN AM/AM entity.




Figure 10. A zoom on NN AM/PM entity.

#### 4. Results

The TWTA has been used with  $\alpha_{||} = 2$ ,  $\beta_{||} = 1$ ,  $\alpha_{PM} = 4$  and  $\beta_{PM} = 9$  [10], while the SSPA used is the NEC GaAs Power Amplifier used for standardization with parameters:  $g = 19$ ,  $A_{sat} = 1.4$ ,  $s = 0.81$ ,  $\alpha = -48000$ ,  $\beta = 0.123$ ,  $c_1 = 3.8$  and  $c_2 = 3.7$  [10].

To evaluate the performance of our implementation, several metrics have been used, namely: the FPGA resources consumption, the bit error rate and finally the power spectral density.

Table 1 shows the consumed resources on virtex-4, virtex-5 and virtex-6 FPGAs. The table also shows the maximum frequency supported (it is worth noting that the maximum frequency stands for the maximum throughput supported by the designs).

**Table 1.** Resources consumption.

Solutions	FPGA	DSP Blocks	LUTs	Max Freq (MHz)
TWTA predistorter	Virtex-6 xc6vlx130	0 (0%)	1560 (1%)	492
	Virtex-5 xc5vlx110	0 (0%)	1611 (2%)	425
	Virtex-4 xc4vlx100	0 (0%)	1796 (1%)	344
SSPA predistorter	Virtex-6 xc6vlx130	52 (10%)	2744 (1%)	200
	Virtex-5 xc5vlx110	52 (81%)	2805 (4%)	157
	Virtex-4 xc4vlx100	78 (81%)	3285 (3%)	122

From Table 1, it can be concluded that the TWTA pre-distorter is faster and less resources consuming than the SSPA. This can be justified by the use of radial basis function based on exponential function approximation, leading to slower and more complex calculation. Since the HPA is usually imposed by the application, we need to guarantee that both design can be efficiently implemented.

Table 2 and Figure 11 show the power consumption for TWTA and SSPA pre-distorters. It is worth noting that the TWTA pre-distorter consumes less power than the SSPA pre-distorter. It can also be seen in Figure 11 that the novel FPGA architectures (Spartan) are more efficient than older ones, and our proposal can better exploit the optimization characteristics on these devices. It is especially relevant that in Spartan 6 boards, the power consumption of our proposal could even be neglected, which is a relevant contribution in this context. The power estimation has been obtained with Xilinx Power Analyzer, using Simulation Activity Files (SAIF or VCD) for accurate power analysis, which guarantees enough accuracy on the results.

**Table 2.** Power consumption.

Device	Virtex5 5vfx100t	Virtex6 6vcx130t	Virtex6 6vcx130tL	Virtex4 4vfx100	Spartan3 XC3S 4000	Spartan6 XC6SLX 100	Spartan6 XC6SLX 100 L
SSPA power consumption (W)	1.97	1.343	0.932	0.944	0.564	0.198	0.134
TWTA power consumption (W)	1.66	1.23	0.83	0.84	0.272	0.081	0.058

The proposed MLP NNs have been simulated and implemented on FPGA, following ECMA-368 standard. If nothing is indicated in other sense, the *IBO* has been fixed to 2 dB, a very optimistic value for realistic systems. Figure 12 shows the transmitted constellation after the TWTA and SSPA without the pre-distortion (warped constellation), and when using our proposal (close to the original constellation). It can be seen that, even in this taught conditions, the proposed design is able to work properly.




Figure 11. Power consumption For both SSPA and TWTA pre-distorter implementation.

In Figure 12, it can be concluded that using the proposed NN pre-distorters, the constellation is like the original signal without distortion, which will greatly improve the bit error rate.




Figure 12. DCM Constellation—Before and after NN pre-distorters for TWTA (up) and SSPA (down), mean input power = 22.5 dBm.

To make sure that our implementation for both designs does not affect the performance of the ECMA-368 wireless communication system, the Bit Error Rate (BER) is also obtained and analyzed for different standard channels CM1, CM2, CM3 and CM4. In order to do so, a JTAG hardware co-simulation [35] is used to accelerate the simulation of the whole

implemented designs on the FPGA platform. In Figure 13, only the proposed NNs has been implemented on the hardware while the rest is simulated by software. The software transmits a data frame to the hardware at each clock cycle for processing. For a fast transmission, both software and hardware communicate through a JTAG or Ethernet cable.




Figure 13. Co-simulation platform.

As shown in Figures 14–17 the system performance does not undergo any degradation for both TWTA and SSPA pre-distorters for different channels CM1, CM2, CM3 and CM4 with respect to the ECMA-368 standard. The mean input power to generate these figures was 22.5 dBm. The transmit power was kept constant and we varied the noise power. The input power saturation of the HPA was 30 dBm. As it can be observed in the figures, the degradation is lower than 0.4 dB for lower data rates and less than 0.1 dB for higher data rates. These results shows a twofold conclusion. First, the optimization carried out in terms of number of bits works because the degradation is very low. Second, it is possible to implement a real-time high data rate pre-distorter using FPGA. It can be seen that even at very high data rate of nearly 500 Mbs the system is working properly.




Figure 14. Bit Error Rate without and with NN pre-distorter for CM1.




Figure 15. Bit Error Rate without and with NN pre-distorter for CM2.




Figure 16. Bit Error Rate without and with NN pre-distorter for CM3.

In Figure 18, the power spectral density (PSD) of HPA output signal with and without NN pre-distorters is shown. It can be observed that by using our proposals, the PSD regrowth is negligible. In fact, it is about 5 dB for the TWTA, whereas it is 7 dB for the SSPA, which is very reduced compared to the original signal.




Figure 17. Bit Error Rate without and with NN predistorter for CM4.




Figure 18. Power Spectral Density: without NN pre-distorter and with implemented NN pre-distorter on Xilinx for both TWTA and SSPA.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, a novel and efficient architectures for HPA non-linearity pre-distortion have been designed, optimized and implemented on FPGA. Then, it has been tested by using two types of HPA: TWTA and SSPA. To evaluate the performance of our implemented designs, three metrics were used: resource consumption, bit error rate and power spectral density. By using the proposed pre-distorters, the modulation constellation is not modified with respect to the original while respecting the demodulation slicer. In addition, a low consumption of resources is used, about 1% in case of TWTA, which makes it feasible to be implemented with the rest of ECMA-368 transmission chain on the same FPGA. In order to make sure that our implementations do not degrade the performance of the proposed wireless communication system standard, we carried out a bit error rate simulation and plotted the power spectral density. The results show that the system does not undergo any BER degradation for both TWTA and SSPA pre-distorters, with a negligible PSD regrowth. For future works, this proposal can be of interest in 5G and beyond communication systems.

**Author Contributions:** This work is developed in contribution of all authors including simulation, designing, implementation and validation. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was partly funded by projects TERESA-ADA (TEC2017-90093-C3-2-R) (MINECO/AEI/FEDER, UE) and MFOC (Madrid Flight on Chip—Innovation Cooperative Projects Comunidad of Madrid—HUBS 2018/MadridFlightOnChip).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funding sponsors had no role in the design of this research, in the analyses, interpretation data and decision to publish the results.

## References

1. Ghavami, M.; Michael, L.B.; Haruyama, S.; Kohno, R. A novel uwb pulse shape modulation system. *Springer Wirel. Pers. Commun.* **2002**, *23*, 105–120. [CrossRef]
2. FCC. FCC 01-382 Public Safety Application and Broadband Internet Access among Uses Envisioned by FCC Authorization of Ultra Wideband Technology. 2002. Available online: <https://www.naic.edu/~phil/rfi/fccactions> (accessed on 24 June 2018).
3. Rahman, M.; NaghshvarianJahromi, M.; Mirjavadi, S.S.; Hamouda, M.A. Bandwidth Enhancement and Frequency Scanning Array Antenna Using Novel UWB Filter Integration Technique for OFDM UWB Radar Applications in Wireless Vital Signs Monitoring. *Sensors* **2018**, *18*, 3155. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Foerster, J.; Li, Q. *UWB Channel Modeling Contribution From Intel Research and Development*; IEEE P802.15 Wireless Personal Area Networks; Intel Research and Development: Hillsboro, OR, USA, 2002.
5. IEEE. *1394-2008—IEEE Standard for a High-Performance Serial Bus*; IEEE Computer Society: New York, NY, USA, 2008; pp. 1–954. [CrossRef]
6. ECMA-368. *High Rate Ultra Wideband PHY and MAC Standard*, 3rd ed.; ECMA International: Geneva, Switzerland, 2008.
7. Ryu, H.S.; Lee, J.S.; Kang, G.C. BER Analysis of Dual-Carrier Modulation (DCM) over Rayleigh Fading Channel. In Proceedings of the International Congress on Ultra Modern Telecommunications and Control Systems, Moscow, Russia, 18–20 October 2010. [CrossRef]
8. Krongold, B.S.; Jones, L.D. PAR reduction in OFDM via active constellation extension. *IEEE Trans. Broadcast.* **2003**, *49*, 525–533. [CrossRef]
9. Louliej, A.; Jabrane, Y.; Said, B.A.E.; Ouahman, A.A. Reduction of Power Fluctuation in ECMA-368 Ultra Wideband Communication Systems Using Multilayer Perceptron Neural Networks. *Wirel. Pers. Commun.* **2013**, *72*, 1565–1583. [CrossRef]
10. Jimenez, V.P.G.; Jabrane, Y.; Armada, A.G.; Said, B.A.E.; Ouahman, A.A. High Power Amplifier Pre-Distorter Based on Neural-Fuzzy Systems for OFDM Signals. *IEEE Trans. Broadcast.* **2011**, *57*, 149–158. [CrossRef]
11. Schulze, H.; Luders, C. *Theory and Applications of OFDM and CDMA: Wideband Wireless Communications*; John Wiley & Sons Ltd.: Chichester, UK, 2005. [CrossRef]
12. Couasnon, T.D.; Monnier, R.; Rault, J.B. OFDM for digital TV broadcasting. *Signal Process.* **1994**, *39*, 1–32. [CrossRef]
13. Bingham, A.C.J. Multicarrier modulation for data transmission: An idea whose time has come. *IEEE Comm. Mag.* **1990**, *28*, 5–14. [CrossRef]

14. Arrano, H.F.; Azurdia-Meza, A.C. OFDM: Today and in the future of next generation wireless communications. In Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE Central America and Panama Student Conference (CONESCAPAN), Guatemala City, Guatemala, 27–30 September 2016. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Jayati, A.E.; Suryani, T. Nonlinear Distortion Cancellation using Predistorter in MIMO-GFDM Systems. *Electronics* **2019**, *8*, 620. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Kenneth, Y.J. *Satellite Communications Network Design and Analysis*; Artech House: Norwood, MA, USA, 2011.
17. Jayalath, A.D.S.; Tellambura, C. SLM and PTS peak-power reduction of OFDM signals without side information. *IEEE Trans Wirel Commun.* **2005**, *4*, 2006–2013. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Rudiakova, A.N.; Krizhanovski, V. *Advanced Design Techniques for RF Power Amplifiers*; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2006.
19. Nam, H.; Sim, T.; Kim, J. A 2.4 GHz 20 W 8-channel RF Source Module with Solid-State Power Amplifiers for Plasma Generators. *Electronics* **2020**, *9*, 1378. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Fernando, X.N.; Sesay, A.B. Adaptive Asymmetric Linearization of Microwave Fiber Optic Links for Wireless Access. *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.* **2002**, *51*, 1576–1596. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Matta, M.; Cardarilli, G.C.; Nunzio, L.D.; Fazzolari, R.; Giardino, D.; Nannarelli, A.; Re, M.; Spanò, S. A reinforcement learning-based QAM/PSK symbol synchronizer. *IEEE Access* **2019**, *7*, 124147–124157. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Zhang, M.; Liu, Z.; Li, L.; Wang, H. Enhanced Efficiency BPSK Demodulator Based on One-Dimensional Convolutional Neural Network. *IEEE Access* **2018**, *6*, 26939–26948. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Dash, R.N.; Subudhi, B.; Das, S. A comparison between MLP NN and RBF NN techniques for the detection of stator inter-turn fault of an induction motor. In Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference on Industrial Electronics, Control and Robotics, Rourkela, India, 27–29 December 2010; pp. 251–256. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Lohmeyer, W.Q.; Aniceto, R.J.; Cahoy, L.K. Communication satellite power amplifiers: Current and future SSPA and TWTA technologies. *Int. J. Satell Commun. Netw.* **2016**, *34*, 95–113. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Vihriala, J.; Ermolova, N.; Lahetkangas, E.; Tirkkonen, O.; Pajukoski, K. On the Waveforms for 5G Mobile Broadband Communications. In Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE 81st Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC Spring), Glasgow, UK, 11–14 May 2015. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Saleh, A.A.M. Frequency-independent and frequency-dependent nonlinear models of TWT amplifiers. *IEEE Trans. Commun.* **1981**, *29*, 1715–1720. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Honkanen, M.; Haggman, S.G. New aspects on nonlinear power amplifier modeling in radio communication system simulations. In Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications-PIMRC'97, Helsinki, Finland, 1–4 September 1997; pp. 844–848. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Marquardt, D.W. An algorithm for least-squares estimation of nonlinear parameters. *J Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.* **1963**, *11*, 431–441. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Louliej, A.; Jabrane, Y.; Zhu, W.P. Design and FPGA implementation of a new approximation for PAPR reduction. *AEU Int. J. Electron. Commun.* **2018**, *94*, 253–261. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Louliej, A.; Jabrane, Y.; Jiménez, V.P.G.; Armada, A.G. Practical Guidelines for Approaching the Implementation of Neural Networks on FPGA for PAPR reduction in Vehicular Networks. *Sensors* **2019**, *19*, 116. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Liu, Y.; Shen, Y.; Li, L.; Wang, H. FPGA implementation of a BPSK 1D-CNN demodulator. *Appl. Sci.* **2018**, *8*, 441. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Shirvaikar, M.; Bushnaq, T. A comparison between DSP and FPGA platforms for real-time imaging applications. In *Real-Time Image and Video Processing 2009*; IS&T/SPIE Electronic Imaging: San Jose, CA, USA, 2009; Volume 7244, pp. 1–10. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Duren, R.; Stevenson, J.; Thompson, M. A comparison of FPGA and DSP development environments and performance for acoustic array processings. In Proceedings of the 2007 50th Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems, Montreal, QC, Canada, 5–8 August 2007; pp. 1177–1180. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Xilinx. *Model-Based DSP Design Using System Generator*, v2019.2 ed.; Vivado Design Suite User Guide; Xilinx Inc.: San Jose, CA, USA, 2016.
35. Delva, J.; Chirila-Rus, A.; Chan, B.; Seng, S. *Using System Generator for Systematic Hdl Design, Verification, and Validation*, v1.0 ed.; Xilinx, Inc.: San Jose, CA, USA, 2008.